SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN ON SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES BY THE RICCI CURVATURE
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Abstract. We define the Ricci curvature on simplicial complexes modifying the definition of the Ricci curvature on graphs, and we prove some properties. Thus, we obtain two main results. One is an estimate of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on simplicial complexes by the Ricci curvature. The other is the relation between the Ricci curvature on a simplicial complex and the Ricci curvature on a dual graph of the simplicial complex.

1. Introduction

The Ricci curvature plays an important role in Riemannian geometry when we research global properties of a manifold. The Bonnet-Myers theorem and the Lichnerowicz theorem are good examples. Recently Ollivier [15] generalized Ricci curvature, namely Ollivier’s coarse Ricci curvature. By using this Ricci curvature, in 2010, Lin, Lu, and Yau [11] defined the coarse Ricci curvature of an undirected graph, and they studied the Ricci curvature of the product space of graphs and random graphs. In addition, they showed the Bonnet-Myers theorem in the discrete case. In 2012, Jost and Liu [8] also defined the coarse Ricci curvature on undirected graphs. Their definition is more simple than Lin-Lu-Yau’s definition since they do not use a lazy random walk, but a simple random walk. In 2018, we first introduced a notion of the coarse Ricci curvature of directed graphs. We proved some global properties of directed graphs, but we have to assume a little strong condition. To solve the problem, in 2019, Eidi-Jost [5] defined the Ricci curvature on directed hyper graphs. Recently, the coarse Ricci curvature on graphs was applied to many sciences, for example, a cancer network [17], the Internet topology [14], and so on.

In order to obtain the analytic properties of directed graphs, the graph Laplacian is one of the most important concepts. In 1847, Kirchhoff [9] first defined the graph Laplacian on real-valued functions. Subsequently, many studies have investigated the graph Laplacian and its spectrum. There are several definitions of the graph Laplacian besides Kirchhoff graph Laplacian. One of the most famous definitions is the normalized graph Laplacian defined by Bottema [3]. Another is the higher-order combinatorial Laplacian defined by Eckmann [4]. This Laplacian is defined on not graphs, but simplicial complexes, and based on a discrete version of the Hodge theory. In 2013, modifying the higher-order combinatorial Laplacian, Jost and Horak [6] developed a general framework for Laplacians defined by the combinatorial structure of a simplicial complex. This Laplacian is called the $i$-Laplacian (see Definition 2.4). If we apply several weight functions to an inner product given in Definition 2.3, then the $i$-Laplacian with this weight covers every graph Laplacian obtained previously, so the $i$-Laplacian is the best definition of the graph Laplacian. In addition, one of the most important properties of the $i$-Laplacian is that its spectrum on vertices coincides with that on edges. Hence, in this paper, we use the $i$-Laplacian.
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There is a good relation between the coarse Ricci curvature and the graph Laplacian. In 2009, Ollivier [15] obtained an estimate of the first non-zero eigenvalue of the normalized graph Laplacian by a lower bound of the coarse Ricci curvature.

**Theorem 1.1 (Ollivier [15]).** Let \( \lambda_1 \) be the first non-zero eigenvalue of the normalized graph Laplacian \( \Delta_0 \). Suppose that \( \kappa(x, y) \geq k \) for any \( (x, y) \in E \) and for a positive real number \( k \). Then, we have

\[
  k \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2 - k,
\]

where the normalized graph Laplacian \( \Delta_0 \) is defined by \( \Delta_0 f(u) = \sum_{(v, u) \in E} (f(u) - f(v)) \) for any vertex \( u \in V \) and for a function \( f : V \to \mathbb{R} \).

In 2009, Bauer and Jost [2] obtained an estimate of the eigenvalues of the normalized graph Laplacian on neighborhood graphs by using the Ricci curvature. On simplicial complexes, we [23] obtained an estimate of the Laplacian by the Ricci curvature. However, we considered only one-dimensional simplicial complexes and assumed many conditions, so the setting of our main result in this paper is more general, and the result is an improvement over our previous result [23]. The statement is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( K \) be an orientable \( i \)-dimensional simplicial complex and \( \lambda \) be the non-zero eigenvalue of \( \Delta_i \) with \( \lambda \neq \sum_{E \in \partial F} 2/\deg E - (i + 1) \). We assume the following conditions:

1. \( 1/D := \sum_{E \in \partial F} 1/\deg E = \sum_{E \in \partial F} 1/\deg E \) for any two \( i \)-faces \( F, F' \).
2. \( \kappa(F, F') \geq k \) for any \( F \sim F' \) and for a real number \( k \).

Then, we have

\[
  \lambda \geq (i + 1)(k - 1) + \frac{2}{D}.
\]

This result generalizes Theorem 1.1 on an orientable \((i - 1)\)-regular simplicial complex (see Corollary 4.5).

Next, we obtain the relation between the Ricci curvature on a simplicial complex and the Ricci curvature on a dual graph of the simplicial complex as follows.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( K \) be an orientable \((i - 1)\)-regular \( i \)-dimensional simplicial complex. Suppose that \( \kappa(F, F') \geq k \) for any \( F \sim F' \) and for a real number \( k \), and \( \kappa(x, y) \geq k^G \) for any \( (x, y) \in E(G_K) \) and for a positive real number \( k^G \). Then, we have

\[
  1 - \frac{k^G}{2} \geq k,
\]

where \( \kappa(x, y) \) is the Ricci curvature on graphs (see [1], [8], [11], [12], and [23]).

In this paper, we refer the definition of the Laplacian on simplicial complexes and define the Ricci curvature on simplicial complexes (§2). In §3, we prove some properties of the Ricci curvature. By these properties, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 (§4). In §5, using our results, we show some examples. Finally, we summarize this paper and write future plan (§6).

2. **Preliminaries**

2.1. **Laplacian on simplicial complexes.** In this section, we present several definitions on simplicial complexes, including the Laplacian and the Ricci curvature on simplicial complexes. An abstract simplicial complex \( K \) is a collection of subsets of a finite set \( V \) that is closed under inclusion. An \( i \)-face of \( K \) is an element of cardinality \( i + 1 \), and \( S_i(K) \) denotes the set of all \( i \)-faces of \( K \). A face \( F \) is said to be oriented if we choose an ordering on its
vertices, and an oriented face \( F \) is denoted by \([F]\). The faces that are maximal under inclusion are called facets, and a simplicial complex \( K \) is said to be pure if all the facets have the same dimension. For any \( i \)-face \( F \), the dimension of \( F \) is \( i \), and the dimension of \( K \) is the maximal dimensions of the faces in \( K \). The \( i \)-th chain group \( C_i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) of \( K \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{R} \) is a vector space over the real field \( \mathbb{R} \) with basis \( B_i(K, \mathbb{R}) = \{ [F] \mid F \in S_i(K) \} \), and the \( i \)-th co-chain group \( C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) is defined as the dual of the \( i \)-th chain group.

**Definition 2.1.** For the co-chain groups, the simplicial co-boundary maps \( \delta_i : C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \to C^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{R}), \ i \geq -1 \), are defined by

\[
(\delta_i f)([v_0, \cdots, v_{i+1}]) = \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} (-1)^j f([v_0, \cdots, \hat{v}_j, \cdots, v_{i+1}])
\]

for \( f \in C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \), where \( \hat{v}_j \) implies that the vertex \( v_j \) has been removed.

Note that the one-dimensional vector space \( C^{-1}(K, \mathbb{R}) \) is generated by a function \( f_{-1} \) with \( f_{-1}(\emptyset) = 1 \). To define the Laplacian, we define the boundary of the oriented face and the inner product.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \([F'] = [v_0, \cdots, v_{i+1}]\) be an oriented \((i+1)\)-face of \( K \) and \([F_j] = [v_0, \cdots, \hat{v}_j, \cdots, v_{i+1}]\) be the oriented \( i \)-face of \( F' \). The boundary of the oriented face \([F']\) is defined by

\[
\partial[F'] = \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} (-1)^j [F_j],
\]

and the sign \((-1)^j\) of \([F_j]\) in the boundary of \([F']\) is denoted by \( \text{sgn}([F_j], \partial[F']) \).

**Definition 2.3.** An inner product on the space \( C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) is defined by

\[
(f, g)_{C^i} = \sum_{F \in S_i(K)} w(F) f([F]) g([F]) \tag{2.1}
\]

for \( f, g \in C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \), where \( w : \bigcup_{i=0} S_i(K) \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a function with \( w(\emptyset) = 0 \). We call \( w \) the weight function on \( K \).

For the inner product on \( C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \), the adjoint operator \( \delta_i^* : C^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{R}) \to C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) of the co-boundary operator \( \delta_i \) is defined by

\[
(\delta_i f_1, f_2)_{C^{i+1}} = (f_1, \delta_i^* f_2)_{C^i}
\]

for \( f_1 \in C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( f_2 \in C^{i+1}(K, \mathbb{R}) \).

**Definition 2.4** (Horak-Jost [6]). We define the Laplacian on \( C^i(K, \mathbb{R}) \) as follows.

1. The \( i \)-dimensional combinatorial up Laplacian or simply the \( i \)-up Laplacian is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{i}^{\text{up}}(K) := \delta_i^* \delta_i,
\]

2. The \( i \)-dimensional combinatorial down Laplacian or simply the \( i \)-down Laplacian is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{i}^{\text{down}}(K) := \delta_{i-1} \delta_i^*.
\]

3. The \( i \)-dimensional combinatorial Laplacian or simply the \( i \)-Laplacian is defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_i(K) := \mathcal{L}_i^{\text{up}}(K) + \mathcal{L}_i^{\text{down}}(K) = \delta_i^* \delta_i + \delta_{i-1} \delta_i^*.
\]
For any function \( f \) and any \( i \)-face \( F \), the \( i \)-up Laplacian and the \( i \)-down Laplacian are respectively given by

\[
(L_i^{up})([F]) = \sum_{\bar{F} \in S_{i+1}: F \in \partial \bar{F}} \left( \frac{w(\bar{F})}{w(F)} f([F]) + \sum_{F' \neq \bar{F} \in S_i; F' \in \partial F} \operatorname{sgn}([F], \partial [F]) \operatorname{sgn}([F'], \partial [F']) \frac{w(\bar{F})}{w(F)} f([F']) \right)
\]

and

\[
(L_i^{down})([F]) = \sum_{E \in \partial F} \left( \frac{w(F)}{w(E)} f([F]) + \sum_{F' \in S_i; E = F \cap F'} \operatorname{sgn}([E], \partial [F]) \operatorname{sgn}([E], \partial [F']) \frac{w(F')}{w(E)} f([F']) \right).
\]

As these operators are all self-adjoint and non-negative, the eigenvalues are real and non-negative (see [6]).

**Remark 2.5.** Since \( \delta_i \delta_{i-1} = 0 \) and \( \delta_i^* \delta_{i-1}^* = 0 \), we have \( \im L_i^{down} \subseteq \ker L_i^{up} \) and \( \im L_i^{up} \subseteq \ker L_i^{down} \), where \( \im D \) denotes the image and \( \ker D \) denotes the kernel of an operator \( D \). Thus, \( \lambda \) is a non-zero eigenvalue of \( L_i \) if and only if it is a non-zero eigenvalue of either \( L_i^{up} \) or \( L_i^{down} \).

We represent the Laplacians in matrix form. Let \( D_i \) be the matrix corresponding to the operator \( \delta_i \) and \( W_i \) be the diagonal matrix representing their scalar product on \( C^n \). Then, the operators \( L_i^{up}(K) \) and \( L_i^{down}(K) \) are expressed as

\[
L_i^{up}(K) = W_i^{-1}D_i^T W_{i+1} D_i,
\]

\[
L_i^{down}(K) = D_{i-1} W_i^{-1} D_{i-1}^T W_i,
\]

where \( A^T \) is the transpose of matrix \( A \). By these matrix forms, \( \lambda \) is a non-zero eigenvalue of \( L_i(G) \) if and only if it is a non-zero eigenvalue of \( L_{i+1}(G) \).

**Remark 2.6.** If \( W_i \) is the identity matrix and if \( w(v_i) \) is taken to be the degree of \( v_i \), then the 0-Laplacian corresponds with the normalized graph Laplacian \( \Delta_0 \).

**Definition 2.7.** The degree of an \( i \)-face \( F \) is defined by

\[
\deg F = \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in S_{i+1}(K); F \in \partial \mathcal{T}} w(\mathcal{T}).
\]

**Remark 2.8.** If for every face \( F \) of simplicial complex \( K \) that is not a facet, we take \( w(F) = \deg F \) and the weights of the facets are equal to 1, then the obtained operators are denoted by \( \Delta_i^{out} \) and \( \Delta_i^{in} \).

2.2. **Ricci curvature on simplicial complexes.** Hereafter, we consider an abstract simplicial complex \( K \) with more than one dimension. The case of one-dimensional simplicial complexes corresponds with the case of undirected graphs. We define the distance of a simplicial complex.

**Definition 2.9.**

1. Any two \( i \)-faces \( F \) and \( F' \) are connected, denoted by \( F \sim F' \), if \( \partial F \cap \partial F' \neq \emptyset \). We define a set of connected faces of \( F \), denoted by \( \Gamma(F) \), i.e., \( \Gamma(F) = \{ F' \in S_i(K) | F' \sim F \} \).
2. A path from face \( F \) to face \( F' \) is a sequence of connected faces \( \{ F_i \sim F_{i+1} \}_{i=0}^{n-1} \), where \( F_0 = F, F_n = F' \). We call \( n \) the length of the path.
3. The distance \( d(F, F') \) between two faces \( F \) and \( F' \) is given by the length of the shortest path from \( F \) to \( F' \).
4. \( K \) is said to be connected if any two faces can be connected by a path.
To define the Ricci curvature, we define the 1-Wasserstein distance between probability measures.

**Definition 2.10.** The 1-Wasserstein distance between any two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $V$ is given by

$$W(\mu, \nu) = \inf_A \sum_{u,v \in V} A(u,v) d(u,v),$$

where $A : V \times V \to [0, 1]$ runs over all maps satisfying

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{v \in V} A(u,v) = \mu(u), \\
\sum_{u \in V} A(u,v) = \nu(v).
\end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Such a map $A$ is called a coupling between $\mu$ and $\nu$.

**Remark 2.11.** There exists a coupling $A$ that attains the 1-Wasserstein distance (see [10], [18], and [19]), and we call it an optimal coupling.

One of the most important properties of the 1-Wasserstein distance is the Kantorovich–Rubinstein duality.

**Proposition 2.12** (Kantorovich, Rubinstein). The 1-Wasserstein distance between any two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $V$ is written as

$$W(\mu, \nu) = \sup_f \sum_{u \in V} f(u) (\mu(u) - \nu(u)), $$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $f$ on $V$ that satisfy $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq d(u,v)$ for any $u,v \in V$. A function $f$ on $V$ is said to be 1-Lipschitz if $|f(u) - f(v)| \leq d(u,v)$ for any $u,v \in V$.

In this paper, we use the following probability measure on $S_i(K)$.

**Definition 2.13.** For any $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and for any $i$-face $F \in S_i(K)$, where $i \geq 1$, we define a probability measure $m^{\epsilon}_F$ on $S_i(K)$ by

$$m^{\epsilon}_F(F') = \begin{cases}
1 - \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{i+1} \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{w(F)}{\deg E}, & \text{if } F' = F, \\
\epsilon w(F'), & \text{if } \partial F \cap \partial F' = E, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

Using this probability measure, we define the $\alpha$-Ricci curvature.

**Definition 2.14.** For any $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and for any two distinct $i$-faces $F$ and $F'$, the $\epsilon$-Ricci curvature of $F$ and $F'$ is defined as

$$\kappa_\epsilon(F, F') = 1 - \frac{W(m^{\epsilon}_F, m^{\epsilon}_{F'})}{d(F, F')}. $$

**Definition 2.15.** For any two distinct $i$-faces $F$ and $F'$, the Ricci curvature of $F$ and $F'$ is defined as

$$\kappa(F, F') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\kappa_\epsilon(F, F')}{\epsilon}.$$

To show the existence of this Ricci curvature, we prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.16.** For any two faces $F$ and $F'$, the $\epsilon$-Ricci curvature $\kappa_\epsilon(F, F')$ is concave in $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. 
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This lemma is proved in the same way as Lemma 2.1 in [11].

Lemma 2.17. For any $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and for any two distinct $i$-faces $F$ and $F'$, we have

$$\kappa_{\epsilon}(F, F') \leq \frac{\epsilon}{d(F, F')} \left( 2 - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{w(F)}{\deg E} - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F'} \frac{w(F')}{\deg E} \right).$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality, we have

$$W(m^i_F, m^i_{F'}) \geq -W(\delta_F, m^i_F) + W(\delta_F, \delta^i_F) - W(m^i_{F'}, \delta^i_F)$$

$$= -\left( \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{w(F)}{\deg E} \right) + d(F, F') - \left( \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F'} \frac{w(F')}{\deg E} \right),$$

which implies that

$$d(F, F') - W(m^i_F, m^i_{F'}) \leq \epsilon \left( 2 - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{w(F)}{\deg E} - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F'} \frac{w(F')}{\deg E} \right).$$

This completes the proof. \qed

These two lemmas imply that the function $\kappa_{\epsilon}(F, F')/\epsilon$ is bounded and monotone increasing in $\epsilon$ over $[0, 1)$ for fixed $F$ and $F'$. Thus, the limit $\kappa(F, F') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \kappa_{\epsilon}(F, F')/\epsilon$ exists.

3. Properties of the Ricci curvature on simplicial complexes

Before we prove the main result, we present some propositions about the Ricci curvature. It is easy to show the diameter of a simplicial complex using Lemma 2.17.

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that $\kappa(F, F') \geq k$ for any $F \sim F'$ and for a real number $k$. For any two distinct $i$-faces $F$ and $F'$, we have

$$d(F, F') \leq \frac{1}{k} \left( 2 - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{w(F)}{\deg E} - \frac{1}{i + 1} \sum_{E \in \partial F'} \frac{w(F')}{\deg E} \right).$$

To give a lower bound and an upper bound of the Ricci curvature, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $F$ and $F'$ be $i$-faces with $\partial F \cap \partial F' = E$. For any $E' \in \partial F \setminus \{E\}$, we have

$$|\Gamma_{E'}(F) \cap \Gamma(F')| \leq 1,$$

where $\Gamma_{E'}(F) = \{ F' \in \Gamma(F) \mid \partial F \cap \partial F' = E' \}$.

Proof. Let $F = (v_0, \cdots, v_i)$ and $F' = (v_1, \cdots, v_{i+1})$, i.e., $E = (v_1, \cdots, v_i)$. Assume that there exists an $(i - 1)$-face $E_0 = (v_0, \hat{v}_1, \cdots, v_i) \in \partial F \setminus \{E\}$ such that $|\Gamma_{E'}(F) \cap \Gamma(F')| \geq 2$. We take two distinct $i$-faces $F''$, $F''' \in \Gamma_{E'}(F) \cap \Gamma(F')$. Since we have $F''$, $F''' \in \Gamma_{E'}(F)$, we obtain

$$F'' = (v_0, \hat{v}_1, v_2, \cdots, v_i, u), \text{ and } F''' = (v_0, \hat{v}_1, v_2, \cdots, v_i, u'),$$

where $u, u' \in S_0(K)$. In addition, since we have $F''$, $F''' \in \Gamma(F')$ and $v_1 \in S_0(F'')$, $u$ and $u'$ have to belong to $S_0(F')$; hence, we obtain $u = u' = v_{i+1}$. This contradicts the assumption. \qed

Proposition 3.3. Let $F$ and $F'$ be $i$-faces with $\partial F \cap \partial F' = E$. We have

$$\kappa(F, F') \geq \frac{1}{i + 1} \left\{ 3 + \sum_{F'' \in \Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_{E'}(F) \cap \Gamma(F')} \left( \frac{2w(F'')}{\deg E \wedge \deg E'} + \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \vee \deg E'} \right) \right\}.$$
By using this transfer plan and calculating the 1-Wasserstein distance between these vertices which we need not be moved while the others should be moved for a distance 

\[ \text{Proposition 3.4.} \]

Let \( m'_E \) and \( m'_{F'} \). Our transfer plan for moving \( m'_E \) to \( m'_{F'} \) should be as follows:

1. Move the mass of \((1 - \epsilon) \times w(F)\) from \( F \) to \( F' \). The distance is 1.
2. Move the mass of \( \epsilon w(F')/(i + 1) \deg E \) from \( F' \) to \( \Gamma(F') \), and move the mass of \( \epsilon w(F)/(i + 1) \deg E \) from \( \Gamma(F) \) to \( F \). These distances are 1.
3. Move the mass of \( \epsilon w(F'')/(i + 1) \deg E \) to itself at \( F'' \in \Gamma_E(F) \).
4. Move the mass of \( \epsilon w(F'')/(i + 1) (\deg E \lor \deg E') \) to itself at \( F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F') \), and fill the gaps using the mass at \( \Gamma_E(F) \cup \{ F \} \). The distance is 1.
5. Move the rest of the mass at \( \Gamma(F) \cup \{ F \} \) to the rest of the mass at \( \Gamma(F') \cup \{ F' \} \).

The distance is at most 3.

By using this transfer plan and calculating the 1-Wasserstein distance between \( m'_E \) and \( m'_{F'} \), we have

\[
W(m'_E, m'_{F'}) \leq (1 - \epsilon) \times 1 + \frac{\epsilon w(F')}{(i + 1) \deg E} \times 1 + \frac{\epsilon w(F)}{(i + 1) \deg E} \times 1
\]

\[
+ \sum_{F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \left( \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} - \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E} \right) \times 1
\]

\[
+ \left\{ 1 - (1 - \epsilon) \right\} - \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{\epsilon w(F'')}{(i + 1) \deg E \lor \deg E'} \times 3
\]

\[
= -\frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \left\{ 3 + \sum_{F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{2w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} + \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\epsilon (w(F) + w(F'))}{(i + 1) \deg E} \times 1 + 2\epsilon,
\]

which implies that

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon} (1 - W(m'_E, m'_{F'})) \geq \frac{1}{i + 1} \left\{ 3 + \sum_{F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F')} \left( \frac{2w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} + \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
- \frac{w(F) + w(F')}{(i + 1) \deg E} \times 1 + 2\epsilon.
\]

We take a limit as \( \epsilon \) tends to 0. Then, this completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( F \) and \( F' \) be \( i \)-faces with \( \partial F \cap \partial F' = E \). We have

\[ \kappa(F, F') \leq \frac{1}{i + 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{F'' \in (\Gamma(F) \setminus \Gamma_E(F)) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E \lor \deg E'} \right), \]

where \( \overline{E} = \partial F \cap \partial F'' \) and \( \overline{E'} = \partial F' \cap \partial F'' \).

**Proof.** Since we have \( \text{supp}(m'_E) \cap \text{supp}(m'_{F'}) = \{ F, F' \} \cup (\Gamma(F) \cap \Gamma(F')) \), except for the mass at these vertices which we need not be moved while the others should be moved for a distance
of at least 1, we obtain
\[
W(m^F_F, m^{F'}_{F'}) \geq \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{\epsilon w(F)}{(i + 1) \deg E} + \frac{\epsilon w(F')}{(i + 1) \deg E} + \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{F'' \in \Gamma(F) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E} \right) \right\} \times 1
\]
\[
= 1 - \frac{\epsilon (w(F) + w(F'))}{(i + 1) \deg E} - \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{F'' \in \Gamma(F) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E} \\
= 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{i + 1} \sum_{F'' \in \Gamma(F) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E} \\
\]
which implies that
\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon} (1 - W(m^F_F, m^{F'}_{F'})) \leq \frac{1}{i + 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{F'' \in \Gamma(F) \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{w(F'')}{\deg E} \right).
\]
We take a limit as \( \epsilon \) tends to 0. Then, this completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.5.** By Lemma 3.2, we have \( \kappa(F, F') \leq 1 \). If we consider a tetrahedron as a two-dimensional simplicial complex, then the estimate of Proposition 3.3 corresponds with the estimate of Proposition 3.4, and the Ricci curvature is 2/3.

**Remark 3.6.** This result is a modified version of Liu-Jost’s result presented in [8]. However, our result differs from their result in that their result holds on undirected graphs and their definition of the Ricci curvature is used by a simple random walk.

Whenever we are interested in a lower bound of the Ricci curvature, the following lemma implies that it is sufficient to consider the Ricci curvature of connected faces, although the Ricci curvature is defined for any pair of faces.

**Proposition 3.7** (Lin-Lu-Yau [11]). If \( \kappa(F, F') \geq k \) for any connected faces \( F \sim F' \) and for a real number \( k \), then \( \kappa(F, F') \geq k \) for any pair of faces \( (F, F') \in S_i \times S_i \).

This proposition is proved in the same way as Lemma 2.3 in [11].

4. **ESTIMATE OF THE EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACIAN BY THE RICCI CURVATURE**

In this section, we show the relation between the eigenvalue of the \( i \)-Laplacian and the Ricci curvature. To prove Theorem 1.2, we define the following notation.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( K \) be a pure \( i \)-dimensional simplicial complex. \( K \) is said to be *orientable* if there exists an orientation to all the \( i \)-faces of \( K \) such that for any two \( i \)-faces \( F \) and \( F' \) satisfying \( \partial F \cap \partial F' = E \), where \( E \) is an \( (i - 1) \)-face, \( \text{sgn}([E], \partial [F]) \text{sgn}([E], \partial [F']) = -1 \) holds.

**Remark 4.2.** If \( K \) is orientable, we have
\[
(\Delta^\text{down}_i f)([F]) = \sum_{E \in \partial F} \left\{ \frac{1}{\deg E} f([F]) - \sum_{F'' \in S_i \cap \Gamma(F')} \frac{1}{\deg E} f([F'']) \right\}
\]
Thus, if we take a constant function as \( f \), the eigenvalue is
\[
\lambda = \sum_{E \in \partial F} \frac{2}{\deg E} - (i + 1).
\]
Before we prove Theorem 1.2, we present the statement again;

**Theorem 1.2** Let $K$ be an orientable $i$-dimensional simplicial complex and $\lambda$ be the non-zero eigenvalue of $\Delta_{\text{down}}^i$ with $\lambda \neq \sum_{E \in \partial F} 2/\deg E - (i + 1)$. We assume the following conditions:

1. \[ \frac{1}{D} := \sum_{E \in \partial F} 1/\deg E = \sum_{E \in \partial F'} 1/\deg E \] for any two $i$-faces $F, F'$.
2. $\kappa(F, F') \geq k$ for any $F \sim F'$ and for a real number $k$.

Then, we have

$$\lambda \geq (i + 1)(k - 1) + \frac{2}{D}.$$ 

**Corollary 1.3** Let $K$ be an orientable $(i - 1)$-regular $i$-dimensional simplicial complex. Suppose that $\kappa(F, F') \geq k$ for any $F \sim F'$ and for a real number $k$, and $\kappa(x, y) \geq k_G$ for any $(x, y) \in E(G_K)$ and for a positive real number $k_G$. Then, we have

$$1 - \frac{k_G}{2} \geq k.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $f$ be an eigenfunction with respect to $\lambda$. By the assumption, $f$ is not a constant function; hence, by scaling $f$ if necessary,

$$\sup_{F, F' \in S_i(K)} \frac{|f([F]) - f([F'])|}{d(F, F')} = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

We fix any two $i$-faces $F, F' \in S_i(K)$, and we have $(1 - \kappa_\epsilon(F, F'))d(F, F') = W(m_F', m_{F'})$. To use the Kantorovich duality, we arrange $\Delta_{\text{down}}^i$ as follows.

$$\sum_{F'' \in S_i(K)} m_{F'}(F'') f([F'']) = \left\{ \frac{2\epsilon}{D(i + 1)} - (\epsilon - 1) - \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{i + 1} \right\} f([F']). \quad (4.2)$$

Then, we calculate the Wasserstein distance.

$$|(1 - \kappa_\epsilon(F, F'))d(F, F')| = W(m_F', m_{F'}) \geq \left| \sum_{F'' \in S_i(K)} f([F'']) (m_F'(F'') - m_{F'}(F'')) \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{2\epsilon}{D(i + 1)} - (\epsilon - 1) - \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{i + 1} \right| |f([F']) - f([F'])|$$

Since we take the limit as $\epsilon$ tends to 0, we can assume that $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small; hence, we have $2\epsilon/(i + 1) \sum_{E \in \partial F} 1/\deg E - (\epsilon - 1) - \lambda \epsilon/(i + 1) > 0$. Thus, by Proposition 3.4 and Eq. (4.1), we obtain

$$1 - \kappa_\epsilon(F, F') \geq \frac{2\epsilon}{D(i + 1)} - (\epsilon - 1) - \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{i + 1}$$

which implies that

$$1 - k \geq 1 - \kappa(F, F') \geq \frac{2}{D(i + 1)} - 1 - \frac{\lambda}{i + 1}.$$ 

Thus, we obtain

$$\lambda \geq (i + 1)(k - 1) + \frac{2}{D}.$$

Horak and Jost obtained an estimate of the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{\text{down}}^i$ by using the eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a dual graph.
Definition 4.3. For a simplicial complex $K$, an $i$-dual graph of $K$, denoted by $G_K$, is defined as the graph over the vertex set $V = \{F_j \mid F_j \in S_i(K)\}$ and the edge set $E = \{(F_j, F_l) \mid F_j \cap F_l \in S_{i-1}(K)\}$.

Theorem 4.4 (Horak-Jost [6]). Let $K$ be an orientable $(i-1)$-regular simplicial complex with $(i-1)$-simplices of degree $r$, and let $G_K$ be its $i$-dual graph. Then, for $r \neq 1$,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{i+1}{2} \mu_k.$$

By Remark 5.2 in [6], if $K$ is an orientable $(i-1)$-regular simplicial complex with $(i-1)$-simplices of degree $r$, then $r = 2$. Thus, under this setting, we have $\sum_{E \in \partial F} 2/\deg E - (i+1) = 0$; hence, the condition in Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to considering the non-zero eigenvalues. Therefore, if we apply Theorem 1.2 to an orientable $(i-1)$-regular simplicial complex, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. Let $K$ be an orientable $(i-1)$-regular simplicial complex, and let $\lambda$ be the non-zero eigenvalue of $\Delta_{i}^{\downarrow}$. Assume that $\kappa(F, F') \geq k$ for any $F \sim F'$ and for a real number $k$. Then, we have

$$\lambda \geq (i + 1)k.$$

If we consider a graph that is a one-dimensional simplicial complex, then the result coincides with Ollivier’s result.

Combining Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 4.4, we obtain Corollary 1.3.

5. Example

Example 5.1. If we consider a tetrahedron as a two-dimensional simplicial complex $T$ (see the left side of Figure 1), then we have $1/D = 3/2$ and the Ricci curvature of $T$ is

$$\kappa(F, F') = \frac{2}{3}, \text{ for any } F \sim F',$$

while the non-zero first eigenvalue of $T$ is $2$. Thus, a tetrahedron satisfies equality of Theorem 1.2. Moreover, a tetrahedron satisfies equality of Corollary 1.3.

Example 5.2. If we consider a triangulation of a torus (see the right side of Figure 1) as a two-dimensional simplicial complex, then we have $1/D = 3/2$ and the Ricci curvature is $-1/2$ for any $F \sim F'$, which implies by Theorem 1.2,

$$\lambda \geq -\frac{3}{2}.$$

However, in [6], they showed $\lambda \geq 0$, so our result is effective in the case that the Ricci curvature is positive.

Example 5.3. If we consider a $r$-regular graph as a one-dimensional simplicial complex, then since we have $1/D = 2/r$, we obtain

$$\lambda \geq 2(k - 1) + \frac{4}{r}.$$

Remark 5.4. In the case of graphs, the probability measure in this paper differs from the probability measure written in [1], [8], [11], [12], and [23].
6. Conclusion

(1) One of our main results, namely Theorem 1.2, is most general estimate of the non-zero eigenvalue of $i$-Laplacian, and this estimate is sharp (see Example 5.1).

(2) Another of our main results, namely Corollary 1.3, implies that even if we use the Ricci curvature of graphs, we can obtain the estimate of the non-zero eigenvalue of $i$-Laplacian.

(3) To show Theorem 1.2, we have to assume several conditions. This differs from the undirected case, so we would like to remove these conditions. In order to do that, we need to find a different approach.

(4) In the case that the Ricci curvature is negative, there is no meaning in our result, so we will continue to improve our result.
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