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The linearized model collision operator for multiple species plasmas given by H. Sugama, T.-H. Watanabe, and M. Nunami [Phys. Plasmas 16, 112503 (2009)] is improved to be properly applicable up to the highly collisional regime. The improved linearized model operator retains conservation laws of particles, momentum, and energy as well as it reproduces the same friction-flow relations as derived by the linearized Landau operator so that this model can be used to correctly evaluate neoclassical transport fluxes in all collisionality regimes. The adjointness relations and Boltzmann’s H-theorem are exactly satisfied by the improved operator except in the case of collisions between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures where these relations and H-theorem still holds approximately because there is a large difference between the masses of the two species with significantly different temperatures. Even in the unequal-temperature case, the improved operator can also be modified so as to exactly satisfy the adjointness relations while it causes the values of the friction coefficients to deviate from those given by the Landau operator. In addition, for application to gyrokinetic simulations of turbulent transport, the improved operator is transformed into the gyrophase-averaged form with keeping the finite gyroradius effect.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Coulomb collisions are the main mechanism which causes classical and neoclassical transport in magnetically confined plasmas. Even though plasma confinement is generally dominated by turbulent transport rather than by collisional transport, collisions still have impacts on structures of phase-space distribution functions of particles, growth rates of instabilities, and micro/macroscopic profiles of plasma flows so that they indirectly influence turbulent transport processes as well. Also, transport processes of heavy impurities with high charge numbers which penetrate from the edge into the core region are greatly affected by Coulomb collisions. So far, there have been numerous works on model collision operators for application to theoretical and numerical studies of plasma transport.

A well-established Coulomb collision term is given by the Landau operator which is nonlinear for like-species collisions or bilinear for unlike-species collisions. The linearized Landau operator obtained by perturbatively expanding the distribution functions about the local Maxwellian is more tractable than the full Landau operator and the former is preferred to be used for transport studies when the deviation from the Maxwellian is sufficiently small. Since the field particle part of the linearized Landau operator is not as easy to evaluate as its test particle part, several linearized model collision operators have been proposed, in which simplified versions of the field particle part are used. As an example, Sugama et al. presented a linearized model collision operator for multiple ion species plasmas which conserves particles, momentum, and energy, and satisfies adjointness relations and Boltzmann’s H-theorem even for collisions between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures. This model called the Sugama operator has been successfully applied to studies of neoclassical and turbulent transport in relatively low collisionality regimes.

The difference between the field particle part of the Sugama operator and that of the exact linearized Landau operator is anticipated to increase in a highly collisional regime. Even in very-high-temperature fusion plasmas like the ITER plasma, minority impurity ions such as tungsten are considered to remain in the Pfirsch-Schlüter regime even though bulk ions and electrons are in the banana regime. For such a case, it is necessary to use a collision model which is accurate in all collisionality regimes. In this work, the Sugama operator is improved to present the new linearized model collision operator, which is properly applicable to all cases from low to high collisionality. The improved model is constructed so as to give exactly the same friction-flow relations as those derived from the linearized Landau operator. Therefore, it can be used in drift kinetic simulations to accurately evaluate neoclassical transport fluxes in all collisionality regimes. Then, it is noted that the exact friction-flow relations no longer rigorously keep the symmetry property in the case of collisions between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures, where neither the improved model operator nor the linearized Landau operator is completely self-adjoint. Since the self-adjointness is practically useful for analytical or numerical derivation of the Onsager symmetric neoclassical transport coefficients, further modification of the improved model for the unequal-temperature case is considered in the present paper to restore the adjointness relations by relaxing the accuracy of the friction-flow re-
lations. In addition, the improved collision operator in the form suitable for application to gyrokinetic simulations of turbulent transport is derived by taking the gyrophase average with the finite gyroradius effect taken into account.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly explain the Landau collision operator and its linearization, from which the associated matrix elements are defined to obtain the friction coefficients entering the friction-flow relations. Then, after reviewing the definition and properties of the original Sugama operator in Sec. III, its improved version is presented in Sec. IV, where we write down the correction term to reproduce the same matrix elements and friction coefficients as given by the linearized Landau operator. In Sec. V, the improved operator is expressed in the form suitable for application to gyrokinetic equations. Finally, conclusions are given in Sec. VI. In Appendix A, a collisional energy transfer rate between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures is estimated depending on the ratio between the masses of the two species. In Appendix B, effects of unequal temperatures of colliding particle species on the adjointness relations and matrix elements associated with the linearized Landau operator are discussed. The detailed expressions of the matrix elements are shown in Appendix C. In addition, Appendix D presents a modified version of the improved operator which exactly satisfies the adjointness relations even for collisions between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures although it consequently makes the values of the friction coefficients deviate from those given by the Landau operator.

II. LANDAU COLLISION OPERATOR AND FRICTION-FLOW RELATIONS

The Landau operator for collisions between particle species $a$ and $b$ is written as

$$C_{ab}(f_a, f_b) = -2\pi e^2 e_s^2 \ln \Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[ \int d^3 v' \ U(v - v') \right],$$

(1)

where

$$U(v - v') \equiv \frac{|v - v'|^2 I - (v - v') (v - v')}{|v - v'|^3},$$

(2)

and $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm. The particle mass and charge are denoted by $m_s$ and $e_s$, respectively, where the particle species is denoted by the subscript $s = a, b$. The distribution function $f_b(v)$ generally depends not only on the velocity $v$ but also on the position and time variables ($x, t$) although the dependence on ($x, t$) are not explicitly shown here. Writing the distribution function by the sum of the equilibrium part and the small perturbation part as $f_s = f_{s0} + \delta f_s$, we obtain

$$C_{ab}(f_a, f_b) = C_{ab}(f_{a0}, f_{b0}) + C_{ab}(\delta f_a, f_{b0}) + C_{ab}(f_{a0}, \delta f_b) + C_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b),$$

(3)

where the last term $C_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)$ is neglected hereafter.

We now assume the equilibrium distribution functions to take the Maxwellian form $f_{a0} = f_{b0} \equiv (n_s/\pi^3/2v_{Ts}^3) \exp(-v^2/v_{Ts}^2)$ where $n_s$ is the density, $v_{Ts} \equiv (2Ts/m_s)^{1/2}$ is the thermal velocity, and $T_s$ is the temperature. Then, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) is written as

$$C_{ab}(f_{a0}, f_{b0}) = -3\sqrt{\pi} \left( \frac{T_a}{T_b} - 1 \right) \frac{f_{aM} f_{b}}{\tau_{ab} x_a} \times \left[ G(\alpha_{ab} x_a) - \frac{\alpha_{ab}}{2 x_a} \Phi'(\alpha_{ab} x_a) \right],$$

(4)

where $x_a \equiv v/v_{Ta}$, $\alpha_{ab} \equiv v_{Ta}/v_{Tb}$, $G(x) \equiv [\Phi(x) - x\Phi'(x)]/(2x^2)$, $\Phi(x) \equiv 2\pi^{-1/2} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$, and $\Phi'(x) \equiv 2\pi^{-1/2}e^{-x^2}$. The collision time $\tau_{ab}$ is defined by $(3\sqrt{\pi}/4)^{-1} \equiv 4\pi n_b e_s^2 e_s^2 \ln(2m_s^2 v_{Ts}^2 a)$. It is easily seen that $C_{ab}(f_{aM}, f_{b0})$ vanishes for $T_a = T_b$. We hereafter assume that $T_a/T_b = O(1)$. When $m_a/m_b = O(1)$, we have $\alpha_{ab} = O(1)$ and $C_{ab}(f_{a0}, f_{b0}) \sim -(T_a/T_b - 1) f_{aM} \tau_{ab}$. In this case, as explained in Appendix A, we may consider that collisions cause species $a$ and $b$ to have the equal temperature $T_a = T_b$ after a time scale longer than $\tau_{ab}$.

The second and third terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) are called the test and field particle parts, respectively, and the sum of them gives the linearized collision operator,

$$C_{ab}^l(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \equiv C_{ab}(\delta f_a, f_{b0}) + C_{ab}(f_{a0}, \delta f_b) \equiv C_{ab}^l(\delta f_a) + C_{ab}^l(\delta f_b).$$

(5)

We now expand the perturbed distribution functions $\delta f_s$ ($s = a, b$) as

$$\delta f_s(v) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \delta f_s^{(l)}(v),$$

$$\delta f_s^{(l)}(v) = \sum_{m=-l}^{l} (\delta f_s)^m(v) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi),$$

(6)

where $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$ represent spherical harmonic functions and $(v, \theta, \varphi)$ are spherical coordinates in the velocity space. The $l = 1$ component $\delta f_s^{(l=1)}$ of the distribution function $\delta f_s$ is further expanded in terms of the Laguerre polynomials $L_{l}^{(3/2)}(x_s^2)$ as

$$\delta f_s^{(l=1)} = f_{sM} \frac{m_s}{M_s} v \cdot \left[ u_a + \frac{2 q_a}{5 p_a} \left( x_s^2 - \frac{5}{2} \right) \cdots \right],$$

(7)
where \( x_s = v/v_{Ts} \). The flow vectors \( \mathbf{u}_{sj} \) \((j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)\) are defined by

\[
\mathbf{u}_{sj} = \frac{c_j}{n_s} \int d^3v \, f_s L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) \mathbf{v},
\]

\[
c_j \equiv \frac{3 \cdot 2^j \cdot j!}{(2j + 3)!}.
\]  

(8)

For \( j = 0 \) and \( j = 1 \), we can write \( \mathbf{u}_{s0} = \mathbf{u}_s \) and \( \mathbf{u}_{s1} = -(2/5)(\mathbf{q}_s/p_s) \), where \( \mathbf{u}_s \equiv n_s^{-1} \int d^3v \, \delta f_s \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{q}_s \equiv T_s \int d^3v \, \delta f_s \mathbf{v}(x_s^2 - \frac{5}{2}) \) represent the fluid velocity and the heat flow, respectively.

The \( l = 1 \) component of the collision term in Eq. (10) is written as

\[
C_{ab}^{(l=1)}(\delta f_a^{(l=1)}, \delta f_b^{(l=1)}) = C_{ab}^T(\delta f_a^{(l=1)}) + C_{ab}^F(\delta f_b^{(l=1)})
\]

\[
= \int d^3v \, \frac{m_a}{T_a} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{abj} L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{sbj} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{abk} L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{abk}.
\]  

(9)

Here, \( C_{abj} \) \((j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)\) are defined by

\[
C_{abj} \equiv \frac{c_j}{n_s} \int d^3v \, v L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) C_{ab}^j(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)
\]

\[
= \frac{c_j}{\tau_{ab}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( M_{abk} \mathbf{u}_{kb} + N_{abj} \mathbf{u}_{abk} \right),
\]  

(10)

where the matrix elements \( M_{abk} \) and \( N_{abj} \) \((j, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)\) are given from the test and field particle operators, respectively, as

\[
\frac{n_s}{\tau_{ab}} M_{abk} \equiv \int d^3v \, v \| L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) C_{ab}^T \left( \frac{m_a v}{T_a} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{n_s}{\tau_{ab}} N_{abj} \equiv \int d^3v \, v \| L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) C_{ab}^F \left( \frac{m_b v}{T_b} \right).
\]  

(11)

In Eq. (11), \( v \) denotes the velocity component parallel to the background magnetic field although it can be replaced with the velocity component in any other direction because of the spherical symmetry of the collision operator.

Using the linear collision operator, the friction forces \( \mathbf{F}_{ai} \) \((i = 1, 2, \cdots)\) are given by

\[
\mathbf{F}_{ai} \equiv (-1)^{i-1} \int d^3v \, m_a \mathbf{v} \| L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) \sum_b C_{ab}^j(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{i-1} \sum_b \frac{m_a}{\tau_{ab}} \sum_{i-1} \mathbf{C}_{ab,i-1}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b} l_{abj} \mathbf{u}_{b,j-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots).
\]  

(12)

Here, the first two-order friction forces are written as \( \mathbf{F}_{a1} = n_s m_a \sum_b \mathbf{C}_{ab} \equiv \int d^3v \, m_a \mathbf{v} \sum_b C_{ab}^T(\delta f_a) \) and \( \mathbf{F}_{a2} = -\frac{2}{5} n_s m_a \sum_b C_{ab}^T(\delta f_a) \). The friction coefficients \( l_{abj} \) \((i, j = 1, 2, \cdots)\) are defined by

\[
l_{abj} \equiv n_a m_a \left[ \sum_c \frac{M_{ac}^{i-1,j-1}}{\tau_{ac}} \right] \delta_{ab} + \sum_c \frac{N_{abc}^{i-1,j-1}}{\tau_{abc}},
\]  

(13)

where \( \delta_{ab} \) denotes the Kronecker delta (\( \delta_{ab} = 1 \) for \( a = b \) and \( \delta_{ab} = 0 \) for \( a \neq b \)).

From the momentum conservation in collisions [see Eq. (13) in Appendix B], we obtain

\[
M_{ab}^f + \frac{T_a v_T a}{T_b v_T b} N_{bai}^j = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),
\]  

(14)

and

\[
\sum_a l_{abj} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots).
\]  

(15)

The adjointness relations for the linearized Landau collision operator is written as

\[
\int d^3v \, \frac{\delta f_a}{f_a M} C_{ab}^j(\delta g_a) = \int d^3v \, \frac{\delta f_a}{f_a M} C_{ab}^T(\delta f_a),
\]

\[
T_a \int d^3v \, \frac{\delta f_a}{f_a M} C_{ab}^j(\delta f_b) = T_b \int d^3v \, \frac{\delta f_b}{f_b M} C_{ba}^F(\delta f_a).
\]  

(16)

Strictly speaking, the linearized Landau operator satisfies the adjointness relations in Eq. (15) rigorously only for the case of \( T_a = T_b \). In this case, the symmetry properties of \( M_{abj}^j, N_{bij}^j, \) and \( l_{abj} \) are derived from Eq. (10) as

\[
M_{abj}^j = M_{abj}, \quad N_{bij}^j = N_{bij}, \quad l_{abj} = l_{abj} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),
\]  

(17)

As explained in Appendix A, \( T_a \) and \( T_b \) are significantly different from each other only when \( m_a/m_b \ll 1 \) or \( m_a/m_b \gg 1 \). It is explained in Appendix B that, even for this case of unequal temperatures, the adjointness relations given in Eq. (15), the symmetry properties in Eq. (17), and Boltzmann’s H-theorem in the form shown later in Eq. (39) are regarded as approximately valid because of the large difference between \( m_a \) and \( m_b \). We also should note that the Onsager symmetry for collisional transport coefficients is derived from the adjointness relations which are also used to give useful methods for solving drift kinetic equations and evaluating neoclassical transport fluxes.

### III. SUGAMA OPERATOR

The linearized model collision operator for collisions between species \( a \) and \( b \) given by Sugama et al. is written here as

\[
C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = C_{ab}^{TS}(\delta f_a) + C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b).
\]  

(18)

The test-particle part \( C_{ab}^{TS}(\delta f_a) \) of the Sugama operator is defined by

\[
C_{ab}^{TS}(\delta f_a) = Q_{ab} C_{ab}^{T0} Q_{ab} \delta f_a,
\]  

(19)
where $C_{ab}^{TS}$ is defined by Eq. (22) in Appendix B and the operator $Q_{ab}$ is given for an arbitrary distribution function $g$ by

$$Q_{ab} g \equiv g + (\theta_{ab} - 1)(P_{1a} g + P_{2a} g),$$

with the dimensionless parameter $\theta_{ab}$,

$$\theta_{ab} \equiv \left[ \frac{T_a}{\Delta T_a} + \frac{n_a}{m_a} \right]^{1/2} = \left( \frac{T_a}{T_b + \alpha_{ab}} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1 + \alpha_{ab}}{1 + \alpha_{ab}} .$$

The projection operators $P_{1a}$ and $P_{2a}$ is defined by

$$P_{1a} g \equiv \frac{m_a}{T_a} m_a[v_a] \cdot v, \quad P_{2a} g \equiv \frac{\delta T_a[v]}{T_a} x_2 - \frac{3}{2},$$

where $[v_a] \equiv n_a^{-1} \int d^3 v g$ and $\delta T_a[v] = n_a^{-1} \int d^3 v g \cdot m_a v^2 / 3T_a - 1$. The definition of $\theta_{ab}$ is given so as to satisfy $d^3 v m_a v C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{ab} m_a v / T_a) = \int d^3 v v C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{jm} m_j v / T_a) = C_{ab}^{TS}$ represents the test particle part of the linearized Landau collision operator given in Sec. II. We here note that $C_{ab}^{TS}$ is defined such that the self-adjointness condition holds exactly even if $T_a = T_b$.

The field particle part $C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) $ of the Sugama operator is given by

$$C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) = -V_{ab} [\delta f_a] \cdot C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{ab} m_a v / T_a) - W_{ab} [\delta f_a C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{jm} m_j v / T_a),$$

where

$$V_{ab} [\delta f_b] \equiv \frac{T_b}{\gamma_{ab}} \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_b}{f_{bm} C_{ba}^{TS}(f_{bm} m_b v / T_b),}$$

and

$$W_{ab} [\delta f_b] \equiv \frac{T_b}{\eta_{ab}} \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_b}{f_{bm} C_{ba}^{TS}(f_{bm} m_b v / T_b).}$$

In Eqs. (23) and (24),

$$\gamma_{ab} \equiv T_a \int d^3 v (m_a v / T_a) C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{ab} m_a v / T_a)$$

$$= - \frac{n_a m_a}{\tau_{ab}} \frac{\alpha_{ab}}{1 + \alpha_{ab}^{1/2}} \left( \frac{T_a}{T_b} + \alpha_{ab}^{1/2} \right)$$

and

$$\eta_{ab} \equiv T_a \int d^3 v v C_{ab}^{TS}(f_{ab} m_a v^2 / T_a)$$

$$= - \frac{n_a T_a}{\tau_{ab}} \frac{3 \alpha_{ab}}{1 + \alpha_{ab}^{1/2}} \left( \frac{T_a}{T_b} + \alpha_{ab}^{1/2} \right)$$

and

$$= - 8 \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \ln \left( \frac{n_a m_a \gamma_{ab} C_{ab}^{FS} v_T v_b}{v_T^2 + v_b^2} \left( \frac{1}{m_a} + \frac{1}{m_b} \right) \right) .$$

are used. We see $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ba}$ and $\eta_{ab} = \eta_{ba}$ from Eqs. (27) and (28), respectively. It can be easily verified that the test-particle operator $C_{ab}^{TS}$ and the field particle part $C_{ab}^{FS}$ defined in Eqs. (19) and (21) obeys conservation laws for particles, momentum, and energy. In addition, $C_{ab}^{FS}$ satisfies the adjointness relation,

$$T_a \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{bm} C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b)} = T_b \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_b}{f_{bm} C_{ba}^{FS}(\delta f_a).}$$

It is shown in Ref. 22 that the Sugama operator satisfies Boltzmann’s H-theorem,

$$T_a \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{bm} [C_{ab}^{TS}(\delta f_a) + C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b)]}$$

$$+ T_b \int d^3 v \frac{\delta f_b}{f_{bm} [C_{ba}^{FS}(\delta f_a) + C_{ba}^{FS}(\delta f_b)]} \leq 0 .$$

We also find that, for the case of $m_a / m_b \ll 1$, $C_{ab}^{TS}$ and $C_{ab}^{FS}$ coincide with $C_{ab}^{T}$ and $C_{ab}^{F}$ of the linearized Landau collision operator to the lowest order in $(m_a / m_b)^{1/2}$. For the case of $m_a / m_b \gg 1$, $C_{ab}^{FS}$ equals $C_{ab}^{T}$ to the lowest order in $(m_a / m_b)^{1/2}$ while, for $T_a \neq T_b$, $C_{ab}^{FS}$ differs from $C_{ab}^{T}$ by the non-self-adjoint part which remains to the lowest order [see the paragraph including Eq. (21) in Appendix B].

The matrix elements $M_{ab}^{(S)jk}$ and $N_{ab}^{(S)jk}$ $(j, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ are defined by replacing $C_{ab}^{FS}$ and $C_{ab}^{T}$ with $C_{ab}^{FS}$ and $C_{ab}^{T}$, respectively, in Eq. (11). Similarly, the friction coefficients $\lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are defined using $M_{ab}^{(S)jk}$ and $N_{ab}^{(S)jk}$ in Eq. (13). Then, from the momentum conservation law satisfied by $C_{ab}^{FS}$ and $C_{ab}^{T}$, we obtain

$$M_{ab}^{(S)0j} + \frac{T_a v_T a}{T_b v_T b} N_{ab}^{(S)0j} = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

and

$$\sum_a \lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

We also have

$$M_{00}^{(S)} = - N_{00}^{(S)} = M_{ab}^{(S)00} = - N_{ab}^{(S)00},$$

and

$$\lambda_{11}^{(S)ab} = \lambda_{11}^{(S)ab} .$$

From the adjointness relations shown in Eqs. (23) and (24), the symmetry properties of the matrix elements and the friction coefficients are derived as

$$M_{ab}^{(S)ij} = M_{ab}^{(S)ji}, \quad \lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab} = \lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab} (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$\lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab} = \lambda_{ij}^{(S)ab} (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

In addition, it is found from replacing $(C_{ab}^{T}, C_{ab}^{F})$ with $(C_{ab}^{FS}, C_{ab}^{FS})$ in Eq. (11) and using Eqs. (23), (24), and (28) that $N_{ab}^{(S)ij}$ $(i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ are represented by

$$N_{ab}^{(S)ij} = \frac{M_{00}^{(S)ij} N_{ab}^{(S)0j}}{M_{ab}^{(S)0j}} = \frac{N_{ab}^{(S)00} N_{ab}^{(S)0j}}{N_{ab}^{(S)0j}} .$$
In Appendix C, we find the detailed expressions of the matrix elements \((M_{ij}^{(S)ab}, N_{ij}^{(S)ab})\) as well as \((M_{ij}^{(L)ab}, N_{ij}^{(L)ab})\).

In the moment method, the neoclassical transport coefficients, with which the radial particle and heat fluxes and the parallel current are linearly related to the radial density and temperature gradients and the parallel electric field, can be expressed in terms of the viscosity coefficients and the friction coefficients. The friction coefficients \(l_{ij}^{(S)ab}\) derived from the Sugama operator do not all coincide with \(l_{ij}^{ab}\) given by the Landau operator even for the case of \(T_a = T_b\) (see Sec. III.A below). The dependence of the neoclassical transport coefficients on the friction coefficients becomes relatively strong in the highly collisional regime where accurate values of the friction coefficients need to be derived from the model collision operator for correctly describing the neoclassical transport. In Sec. IV, the improved Sugama operator is presented to reproduce such accurate friction coefficients.

### A. Equal temperature case

When \(T_a = T_b\), the test particle part of the Sugama operator is equivalent to that of the Linearized Landau collision operator,

\[
C_{ab}^{TS} = C_{ab}^T, \tag{36}
\]

which can be easily verified from Eq. (11) with \(\theta_{ab} = 1\), \(Q_{ab}(g) = g\), and \(C_{ab}^T = C_{ab}^{T0}\) for that case. In this equal temperature case, we have

\[
M_{ij}^{(S)ab} = M_{ij}^{ab}, \tag{37}
\]

\[
N_{ij}^{(S)ab} = \frac{N_{00}^{ab}N_{ij}^{ab}}{N_{00}^{ab}} (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{38}
\]

from which we see

\[
N_{ij}^{(S)00} = N_{0j}^{ab} (i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),
\]

\[
N_{ij}^{(S)00} = N_{0j}^{ab} (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),
\]

and

\[
l_{ij}^{(S)ab} = r_{ij}^{ab} (i = 1, 2, \cdots),
\]

\[
l_{ij}^{(S)ab} = r_{ij}^{ab} (j = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{39}
\]

We find from Eqs. (33) and (39) that the deviations of the friction coefficients \(l_{ij}^{(S)ab}\) evaluated by the Sugama operator from \(l_{ij}^{ab}\) by the Landau operator appear only for \(i \geq 2\) and \(j \geq 2\). It is also noted that, for collisions between particles of like species \((a = b)\), the Sugama operator is equivalent to the linearized model collision operator given in Refs. 17, 28, 29.

### IV. IMPROVED SUGAMA OPERATOR

The improved Sugama operator \(C_{ab}^{LS(imp)}\) is defined by adding the correction part \(\Delta C_{ab}^{LS}\) to the original one \(C_{ab}^{LS}\),

\[
C_{ab}^{LS(imp)}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) + \Delta C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b). \tag{40}
\]

In order for \(C_{ab}^{LS(imp)}\) to reproduce the same friction-flow relations and friction coefficients as those in Eqs. (12) and (13), the correction term \(\Delta C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)\) is defined by

\[
\Delta C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = f_aM \frac{ma}{T_a} \nu \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta C_{abj}^{LS}[\delta f_a, \delta f_b]L_{j}^{(S/2)}(x_a), \tag{41}
\]

with

\[
\Delta C_{abj}^{LS}[\delta f_a, \delta f_b] = \frac{C_j}{\tau_{ab}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Delta M_{abj}^{jk} u_{ak} [\delta f_a] + \Delta N_{abj}^{jk} u_{bk} [\delta f_b]) (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots), \tag{42}
\]

where \(u_{ak}[\delta f_a]\) and \(u_{bk}[\delta f_b]\) are evaluated using Eq. (8). The corrections \(\Delta M_{ab}^{jk}\) and \(\Delta N_{ab}^{jk}\) of the matrix elements are defined by

\[
\Delta M_{ab}^{jk} = M_{ab}^{jk} - M_{ab}^{(S)jk}, \quad \Delta N_{ab}^{jk} = N_{ab}^{jk} - N_{ab}^{(S)jk}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N_{ab}^{(S)i0} N_{ab}^{(S)j0} N_{ab}^{(S)0k}}{N_{00}^{ab}}, \tag{43}
\]

where the matrix elements \(M_{ab}^{jk}\) and \(N_{ab}^{jk}\) \((M_{ab}^{(S)jk}\) and \(M_{ab}^{(S)jk})\) are given using the test and field particle parts of the Landau operator (the original Sugama operator) in Eq. (11). From Eq. (33), we immediately find

\[
\Delta M_{ab}^{00} = \Delta N_{ab}^{00} = 0. \tag{44}
\]

Using the improved Sugama operator \(C_{ab}^{LS(imp)}\) defined by Eqs. (10)–(12) instead of the linearized Landau collision operator \(C_{ab}^{L}\) to evaluate the matrix elements and friction coefficients in Eqs. (11) and (13), we can confirm that \(C_{ab}^{LS(imp)}\) still gives the same values to \(M_{ab}^{(S)ij}\) and \(N_{ab}^{(S)ij}\) as \(C_{ab}^{L}\) does, and accordingly, the improved operator correctly reproduces the friction-flow relations in Eq. (12) derived from the Landau operator as well as it retains conservation laws of particles, momentum, and energy. Therefore, we can expect that the classical and neoclassical transport fluxes are accurately evaluated using the improved operator up to the highly collisional regime. As shown in the literature, in order to correctly describe the neoclassical transport for the case where all particle species belong to the Pfirsch-Schluter collisionality regime, we need accurate values for at least the part of the friction coefficients \(l_{ij}^{ab}\) with \(i, j = 1, 2, 3\). Accordingly, in this highly collisional case, truncation of the summation \(\sum_{i} \) and \(\sum_{k} \) in Eqs. (11) and (12) should not be
done unless the terms with \( j \leq 2 \) and \( k \leq 2 \) are retained. When the truncation is done such that \( \Delta M'^{0k}_a \) and \( \Delta N'^{0k}_a \) with \( k \leq k_{\text{max}} \) (\( k_{\text{max}} \): an arbitrary integer number) are included, the matrix elements associated with the improved operator satisfy the relations given in the same form as in Eq. (14) or Eq. (31) so that the momentum conservation law still holds as well as the conservation laws of particles and energy.

As described in Appendix B, the adjointness relations, the resultant symmetry properties for \( M^\delta_{ab} \), \( N^\delta_{ab} \), and \( v^\delta_{ab} \) in Eq. (17), and Boltzmann’s H-theorem in the form of Eq. (30) are not exactly but only approximately satisfied by the linearized Landau operator and the improved Sugama operator for the case of unequal species temperatures \( T_a \neq T_b \). Also, it should be recalled here that the two species need to have very different masses for their temperatures to be significantly different from each other.

It is easily found from the definition of the improved operator in Eqs. (40)–(42) that \( C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) holds if the perturbed distribution functions \( \delta f_a \) and \( \delta f_b \) include no \( l \) components \((\delta f_s^{(l=1)} = 0)\) [see Eqs. (6) and (7)]. Therefore, if \( \delta f_a \) and \( \delta f_b \) are given by the perturbed Maxwellian with the perturbed densities \( \delta n_a \) and temperatures \( \delta T_a \) as

\[
\delta f_a = f_{SM}[\delta n_a,n_a] + \delta T_a T_a \left( \frac{m_a v^2}{2T_a} \right),
\]

(45)

for which \( \delta T_a/T_a = \delta T_b/T_b \) is assumed, then \( C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) vanishes as \( \delta f_a \) does.\[22\] However, when \( \delta f_a \) is written as the shifted Maxwellian \( \delta f_a = f_{SM}(n_a/T_a)(u_a \cdot v) \) with the same flow velocity \( u_a = u_b \) and the different equilibrium temperatures \( T_a \neq T_b \), \( C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) vanishes although neither \( C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) nor \( C^L_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) does exactly. This is related to the fact that the symmetry properties \( v^\delta_{ab} = v^\delta_{ba} \)

\((i, j = 1, 2, \cdots)\) are slightly broken when \( T_a \neq T_b \) (see Appendix D).

When the above-mentioned adjointness relations and resultant symmetry properties are satisfied, they provide useful techniques for calculating the neoclassical transport coefficients.\[1\] Therefore, it will be beneficial for such applications if we can have a linearized collision model which satisfies the adjoint relations exactly even for \( T_a \neq T_b \) while giving small inaccuracies to the values of the matrix elements and the friction coefficients. Such a model is presented in Appendix D where the correction part of the improved Sugama operator is symmetrized.

A. Equal temperature case

When \( T_a = T_b \), we use Eqs. (37) and (38) to obtain

\[
\Delta M'^{ij}_{ab} = 0,
\]

\[
\Delta N'^{ij}_{ab} = \frac{N'^{00}_{ab} M_{ab}^{ij} - N'^{0i}_{ab} N^{0j}_{ab} \delta n_{ab}}{N'^{00}_{ab}} \quad (i,j = 0,1,2,\cdots),
\]

(46)

from which we have

\[
\Delta N'^{ij}_{ab} = \Delta N'^{0i}_{ab} = \Delta N'^{0j}_{ab} = 0 \quad (i,j = 1,2,\cdots).
\]

(47)

V. COLLISION OPERATOR FOR GYROKINETIC EQUATIONS

There are two types of gyrokinetic equations. One is the gyrokinetic equation derived by using the WKB representation for the perturbed distribution function,\[21\] which has a high wavenumber in the direction perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field. The other is derived by using the Lie transform technique to properly define the gyrocenter coordinates for description of the total distribution function.\[22\] The collision operator for the former type of the gyrokinetic equation is considered in this section as well as in the literature.\[19,22\] On the other hand, several studies have been done to represent the collision operator for the latter type in the gyrocenter coordinates.\[22\]

When applying the improved Sugama operator to the gyrokinetic equation for the perpendicular wavenumber vector \( k_{\perp} \), the collision operator is transformed into the following form,

\[
\int \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} e^{i k_{\perp} \cdot \rho_s} C^{L_{ab}}(\delta f_{aM}(e^{-i k_{\perp} \cdot \rho_s} h_{a\perp \perp} - e^{-i k_{\perp} \cdot \rho_s} h_{b\perp \perp}),
\]

(48)

where \( \rho_s = (b \times v)/\Omega_s \) (\( b = B/B \), \( \Omega_s = e_b B/m_c \)) and \( \int d\xi/(2\pi) \) represent the gyroradius vector and the gyro-phase average, respectively, and \( h_{a\perp \perp} \) is given from the nonadiabatic part of the perturbed particle distribution function \( f_{a\perp \perp} = -(e\delta f_{aM}/T_a) f_{SM} + e^{-i k_{\perp} \cdot \rho_s} h_{a\perp \perp} \). The detailed expression of the first term on the right-hand of Eq. (48) is shown in Ref.\[22\] while the second term is written as

\[
\Delta C^{L_{ab}}(\delta f_{aM}, \delta f_{bM}) = \int \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} e^{i k_{\perp} \cdot \rho_s} \Delta C^{L_{ab}}(\delta f_{a\perp \perp}, \delta f_{b\perp \perp})
\]

(49)

where \( J_{0s} = J_{0}(k_{\perp} v_{\perp}/\Omega_s) \) and \( J_{1s} = J_{1}(k_{\perp} v_{\perp}/\Omega_s) \) (\( s = a, b \)) denote the zeroth- and first-order Bessel functions of the normalized perpendicular wavenumber \( k_{\perp} v_{\perp}/\Omega_s \),
respectively, and
\[ \mathbf{p}_{\parallel s k}[\mathbf{h}_{s k \perp}] = \frac{e_k}{n_s} \int d^3v L_k^{(3/2)}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{h}_{s k \perp} J_{0s v}, \]
\[ \mathbf{p}_{\perp s k}[\mathbf{h}_{s k \perp}] = \frac{e_k}{n_s} \int d^3v L_k^{(3/2)}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{h}_{s k \perp} J_{1s v}, \]
are used.

In the case of application to the drift kinetic equation for studying neoclassical transport, we neglect the finite gyroradius effects and take the limit \( k_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{p}_s \to 0 \) \( (s = a, b) \). Then, we put \( J_{0s} \to 1 \), \( J_{1s} \to 0 \), and \( \mathbf{p}_{\perp s k}[\mathbf{h}_{s k \perp}] \to 0 \) in Eqs. (49) and (50).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the improved linearized model collision operator which can be applied up to the highly collisional regime is presented. The improved operator is constructed by adding the correction part to the previous model by Sugama et al. so as to reproduce the same friction-flow relations as those given by the linearized Landau collision operator. In the improved model, conservation laws of particles, momentum, and energy are retained while the adjointness relations and Boltzmann’s H-theorem are approximately valid for collisions between unlike particle species with unequal temperatures and very different masses. It is also shown that the improved operator can be modified to satisfy the adjointness relations exactly even in the unequal-temperature case. This modification causes the friction coefficients to deviate from those given by the Landau operator although the influence of the deviations is made small by the very different masses.

Performing the gyrophase average with keeping the finite gyroradius effect, the improved operator is represented in the suitable form for gyrokinetic equations. In the zero-gyroradius limit, the gyrophase-averaged improved operator can be used in drift kinetic equations to accurately evaluate neoclassical transport in all collisionality regimes. It is considered that only the terms with \( j \leq 2 \) in the Laguerre polynomial expansion of the correction part of the operator need to be kept even for the most collisional case where all particle species are in the Pfirsch-Schlüter collisionality regime. The present model is expected to be useful for simulation studies of neoclassical and turbulent transport processes in plasmas including multiple-species of particles in various collisional regimes.
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APPENDIX A: COLLISIONAL ENERGY TRANSFER BETWEEN UNLIKE SPECIES WITH UNEQUAL TEMPERATURES

Using Eq. (4), the collisional energy transfer from species \( b \) to \( a \), which equals the opposite sign of that from species \( a \) to \( b \), is given by
\[ \int d^3v C_{ab}(f_{aM}, f_{bM}) \frac{m_a v^2}{2} = - \int d^3v C_{ba}(f_{bM}, f_{aM}) \frac{m_b v^2}{2} = -3 \frac{m_a \alpha_{ab}^2}{m_b(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{n_a(T_a - T_b)}{\tau_{ab}}, \] (A1)
where each species is assumed to be in the local equilibrium state represented by the Maxwellian distribution function. Thus, if \( T_a \neq T_b \), collisional energy transfers of the two species to approach to each other, and the characteristic rate \( \nu_{ab}^{c1} \) of the collisional energy transfer from species \( b \) to \( a \) is given by
\[ \nu_{ab}^{c1} = \frac{m_a \alpha_{ab}^3}{m_b(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{3/2} \tau_{ab}} = \frac{c_b^2 n_a m_a \alpha_{ab}^3}{c_a^2 m_b(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{3/2} \tau_{ab}}, \] (A2)

We now assume that \( |c_a/c_b| = \mathcal{O}(1) \), \( n_a/m_b = \mathcal{O}(1) \), and \( T_a/T_b = \mathcal{O}(1) \). Then, in the case where \( m_a/m_b = \mathcal{O}(1) \), we have \( \alpha_{ab} = \mathcal{O}(1) \) and accordingly \( \nu_{ab}^{c1} \sim 1/\tau_{aa} \sim 1/\tau_{bb} \) from Eq. (A2). This implies that, the relaxation toward the equal-temperature \( (T_a = T_b) \) state due to the unlike-species collisions and the thermalization toward the Maxwellian equilibrium is expected to have occurred on the same time scale and that the Maxwellian distribution functions \( f_{aM} \) and \( f_{bM} \) should have the same temperature \( T_a = T_b \).

Next, we consider another case where \( m_a/m_b \ll 1 \) or \( m_a/m_b \gg 1 \) holds. Then, \( \alpha_{ab} \gg 1 \) and \( \nu_{ab}^{c1} \sim (m_a/m_b)/\tau_{aa} \sim (m_a/m_b)^{1/2}/\tau_{bb} \) are obtained for \( m_a/m_b \ll 1 \) while \( \alpha_{ab} \ll 1 \) and \( \nu_{ab}^{c1} \sim (m_b/m_a)^{1/2}/\tau_{aa} \sim (m_b/m_a)/\tau_{bb} \) for \( m_a/m_b \gg 1 \). Therefore, when \( m_a/m_b \ll 1 \) or \( m_a/m_b \gg 1 \), collisional energy exchange between species \( a \) and \( b \) is so slow that \( f_{aM} \) and \( f_{bM} \) are permitted to have unequal temperatures \( T_a \neq T_b \).

We now consider the case where \( m_a/m_b \gg 1 \), \( |c_a/c_b| \gg 1 \), \( n_a/m_b \ll 1 \), and \( T_a/T_b = \mathcal{O}(1) \). This can happen when \( a \) and \( b \) represent heavy minority impurity ions with high charge number and bulk hydrogen isotopes (or electrons), respectively. Then, we have \( \alpha_{ab} \ll 1 \) and
\[ \nu_{ab}^{c1} \sim \frac{c_b^2 n_a m_a^{3/2}}{c_a^2 n_b m_a^{3/2} \tau_{aa}} \sim \frac{c_b^2 m_b^{1/2}}{c_a^2 m_a^{1/2} \tau_{bb}}. \]
When \( n_a/m_b \ll (e_b/e_a)^2(m_b/m_a)^{1/2} \) and \((e_a/e_b)^2 \gg m_a/m_b \) (which correspond to the realistic case of tung-
sten impurity), we find
\[ \nu^a_{ab} \gg \frac{1}{\tau_{aa}}, \quad \nu^b_{ab} \gg \frac{1}{\tau_{bb}}. \] (A3)

In this case, it is reasonable to assume \( T_a = T_b \).

Appendix B: EFFECTS OF UNEQUAL TEMPERATURES ON ADJOINTNESS RELATIONS

On the Landau collision operator defined in Eq. (1), the test and field particle parts are written as
\[ C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) = C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) + C^N_{ab}(\delta f_a), \]
\[ C^F_{ab}(\delta f_b) = C^F_{ab}(\delta f_b) + C^N_{ab}(\delta f_b), \] (B1)

where
\[ C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) = 2\pi e^2 e_b^2 \ln \Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ f_{am}(\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\delta f_a(\nu)}{f_{am}(\nu)} \right) \right] \cdot \int d^3\nu' f_{bm}(\nu')U(\nu - \nu') \]
\[ = \nu^b_{ab}(v) L(\delta f_a) + C^N_{ab}(\delta f_a), \]
\[ C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) = \left( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_a} \right) \frac{2\pi e^2 e_b^2 \ln \Lambda}{m_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ f_{am}(\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\delta f_a(\nu)}{f_{am}(\nu)} \right) \right] \cdot \int d^3\nu' f_{bm}(\nu')U(\nu - \nu') \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_a} \right) \frac{m_a}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\nu^b_{ab}(v)}{2} v^5 \delta f_a \right), \]
\[ C^F_{ab}(\delta f_b) = -\frac{2\pi e^2 e_b^2 \ln \Lambda}{m_a m_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ f_{am}(\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\delta f_b(\nu)}{f_{bm}(\nu)} \right) \right] \cdot \int d^3\nu' f_{bm}(\nu')U(\nu - \nu') \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_a} \right) \frac{2\pi e^2 e_a^2 \ln \Lambda}{m_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ f_{am}(\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\delta f_b(\nu)}{f_{bm}(\nu)} \right) \right] \cdot \int d^3\nu' f_{bm}(\nu')U(\nu - \nu') \]. (B2)

Here, \( C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) \) consists of the pitch-angle-scattering part \( \nu^b_{ab}(v) L(\delta f_a) \) and the energy diffusion part \( C^F_{ab}(\delta f_a) \). The pitch-angle-scattering operator \( L \) is defined by
\[ L(\delta f_a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ (v^2 I - \nu \nu) \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial \nu} \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial \nu} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f_a}{\partial \nu^2} \right], \] (B3)

where \( I \) denotes the unit tensor and \( (v, \theta, \phi) \) represent spherical coordinates in the velocity space. The energy diffusion operator \( C^F_{ab}(\delta f_a) \) is defined by
\[ C^F_{ab}(\delta f_a) = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ \frac{\nu^b_{ab}(v)}{2}  v^4 f_{am} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{am}} \right) \right]. \] (B4)

The collision frequencies for pitch-angle scattering and energy diffusion are given by
\[ \nu^b_{ab}(v) = \left( \frac{3\sqrt{\pi} / 4}{\tau_{ab}^2} \right) f_a \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial \nu}, \]
\[ \nu^b_{ab}(v) = \left( \frac{3\sqrt{\pi} / 2}{\tau_{ab}^3} \right) f_a \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial \nu}, \]
respectively, where
\[ \tau_{ab}^2 = 4\pi m_a e^2 e_b^2 \ln \Lambda / (m_a^2 v^2), \] \[ \tau_{ab}^3 = (3\sqrt{\pi} / 4) \frac{1}{x_a^2} G(x_b) / x_a^3, \]
and \[ G(x) = \Phi(x) / (2\pi^2), \] \[ x_a = v / v_{TS}, \] and \[ v_{TS} = (2T_a / m_a)^{1/2} \] \( (s = a, b) \). We can easily confirm that \( \nu_D L, C^F_{ab} \), and accordingly \( C^T_{ab} \) are all self-adjoint so that
\[ \int d^3 \nu \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{am}} C^T_{ab}(\delta g_a) = \int d^3 \nu \frac{\delta g_a}{f_{am}} C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) \] (B5)
holds for arbitrary functions \( \delta f_a \) and \( \delta g_a \) of \( \nu \). It can also be shown that \( C^F_{ab} \) satisfies the adjointness relation written as
\[ \int d^3 \nu \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{am}} C^F_{ab}(\delta f_a) = \int d^3 \nu \frac{\delta f_a}{f_{am}} C^F_{ba}(\delta f_a). \] (B6)

The remaining test and field operators \( C^N_{ab} \) do not keep adjoint relations such as Eqs. (B5) and (B6) satisfied by \( C^T_{ab} \) and \( C^F_{ab} \), respectively, although \( C^N_{ab} \) and \( C^F_{ab} \) vanish for \( T_a = T_b \).

We also note that the two pairs of the operators \( (C^T_{ab}, C^F_{ab}) \) and \( (C^N_{ab}, C^F_{ab}) \) independently satisfy the particle, momentum, and energy conservation laws, which are written as
\[ \int d^3 \nu C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) = \int d^3 \nu C^F_{ab}(\delta f_a) = 0 \quad (A = 0, N), \]
\[ \int d^3 \nu m_a v C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) + \int d^3 \nu m_b v C^F_{ba}(\delta f_a) = 0 \quad (A = 0, N), \] (B7)
and
\[ \int d^3 \nu \frac{1}{2} m_a v^2 C^T_{ab}(\delta f_a) + \int d^3 \nu \frac{1}{2} m_b v^2 C^F_{ba}(\delta f_a) = 0 \quad (A = 0, N), \] (B8)
respectively.

From the Galilean invariance and spherical symmetry of the Landau collision operator, we have an identity, \[ \int d^3 \nu m_a (v - u) C_{ab}(f_{am}(v - u), f_{bm}(v - u)) = \int d^3 \nu m_a v C_{ab}(f_{am}(v), f_{bm}(v)) = 0, \] for an arbitrary vector \( u \) which is independent of \( v \). Then, taking the \( u \to 0 \) limit of the above identity and using the particle and momentum conservation laws, we can derive another type of relations,
\[ \int d^3 \nu m_a v C^T_{ab}(f_{am} m_a v / T_a) \]
\[ = \int d^3 \nu m_b v C^T_{ab}(f_{bm} m_b v / T_b) \]
\[ = - \int d^3 \nu m_b v C^F_{ba}(f_{bm} m_b v / T_b) \]
\[ = - \int d^3 \nu m_a v C^F_{ab}(f_{am} m_a v / T_a), \] (B10)
We should note that the symmetry properties shown in Eq. (B10) are valid even when $T_a \neq T_b$ although they are not satisfied in the same way as Eqs. (B7)–(B9) are separately satisfied by the two pairs of the operators $(C^{TA}_{ab}, C^{FA}_{ab})$ ($A = 0, N$) for $T_a \neq T_b$.

Using Eq. (B11), the matrix elements $M^{ij}_{ab}$ and $N^{ij}_{ab}$, which are defined by Eq. (B11), are written as

$$M^{ij}_{ab} = M^{(i)j}_{ab} + M^{(N)ij}_{ab},$$

$$N^{ij}_{ab} = N^{(i)j}_{ab} + N^{(N)ij}_{ab},$$

(B11)

where $M^{(i)j}_{ab}$ and $N^{(i)j}_{ab}$ ($A = 0, N$) are defined by

$$\frac{n_a}{\tau_{ab}} M^{(i)j}_{ab} = \int d^3v \ n_i L_1^{(3/2)} (x_a^2) C^{TA}_{ab} \left( f_{aM} L_2^{(3/2)} (x_b^2) \frac{m_a v_i}{T_a} \right),$$

$$\frac{n_a}{\tau_{ab}} N^{(i)j}_{ab} = \int d^3v \ n_i L_1^{(3/2)} (x_a^2) C^{FA}_{ab} \left( f_{bM} L_2^{(3/2)} (x_b^2) \frac{m_b v_i}{T_b} \right).$$

(B12)

Then, the momentum conservation law shown in Eq. (B8) is used to find

$$M^{(i)j}_{ab} + \frac{T_a v_i T_a}{T_b v_T} N^{(i)j}_{ab} = 0 \quad (A = 0, N; j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

(B13)

The symmetry properties of $M^{(i)j}_{ab}$ and $N^{(i)j}_{ab}$ are derived from the adjointness relations given by Eqs. (B7) and (B8) as

$$M^{(i)j}_{ab} = M^{(j)i}_{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{N^{(i)j}_{ab}}{T_a^2 v_T} = \frac{N^{(j)i}_{ab}}{T_b^2 v_T},$$

(B14)

respectively. Also from Eq. (B10), we obtain

$$M^{00}_{ab} = -N^{00}_{ab}, \quad \frac{N^{00}_{ab}}{T_a v_T} = \frac{N^{00}_{ab}}{T_b v_T}.$$

(B15)

It should be noted that the symmetry properties of $N^{(i)j}_{ab}$ and $N^{00}_{ab}$ take different forms with respect to the way the temperatures enter.

In the case of $m_a / m_b = O(1)$, the temperatures $T_a$ and $T_b$ are expected to be close to each other because of the relatively fast energy exchange due to collisions. Therefore, only when $m_a \ll m_b$ or $m_a \gg m_b$, $T_a$ can be significantly different from $T_b$. In the limiting case $m_a \ll m_b$, it is shown that the pitch-angle-scattering term $v_B v_i L_1 (v_0) L_2 (v_0)$ is dominant in the test particle operator $C^{TA}_{ab}$, where the energy scattering term $C^{a}(v_0) L_2 (v_0)$ and the non-adjoint part $C^{FA}_{ab}$ are negligible in the lowest order of the expansion with respect to $(m_a / m_b)^{1/2}$. However, when $T_a \neq T_b$, $C^{ab}$ is not negligible but it is necessary to keep contributions from both $C^{TA}_{ab}$ and $C^{FA}_{ab}$ for accurately evaluating collisional momentum transfer. Then, it can be shown that, to the lowest order in $(m_a / m_b)^{1/2}$, the test and field particle parts of the Sugama operator $C^{TS}_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = C^{TS}_{ab}(\delta f_a) + C^{TS}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ most correctly approximate $C^{TA}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ and $C^{FA}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ of the linearized Landau operator, respectively.

We next consider the case in which $m_a \gg m_b$ and $T_a \neq T_b$ hold. In this case, $C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ is negligibly small compared with $C^{TA}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ while $C^{FA}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ does not contribute to $C^{TA}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ in the lowest order of the expansion with respect to $(m_b / m_a)^{1/2}$. Then, $C^{FA}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ is well approximated by either $C^{F0}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ or $C^{FS}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ although the difference of $C^{TA}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ from $C^{F0}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ or $C^{FS}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ is significant. However, this difference doesn’t cause serious errors in solving the kinetic equation for $\delta f_a$ as far as $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a)/C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) \sim (e_a/e_b)^2 (n_b/n_a) (m_b/m_a)^{1/2}$ becomes very small. Therefore, only when $m_a \gg m_b$ and $T_a \neq T_b$, we can suppose that the matrix elements $M^{ij}_{ab}$ evaluated by $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) = C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) + C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ satisfy the symmetry relations of the same form as those for $M^{(i)j}_{ab}$ shown in Eq. (B14),

$$M^{ij}_{ab} = M^{ji}_{ab} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots).$$

(B16)

It is recalled that contributions of $C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ to the collisional momentum transfer are taken into account in defining $C^{TS}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ such that $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ and $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) = C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) + C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ give the same matrix element $N^{ab}_{ab}$ even when $m_a \gg m_b$ and $T_a \neq T_b$. Also, $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ is constructed so as to yield the matrix elements $M^{ab}_{ab}$ which satisfy symmetry relations of the same form as in Eq. (B10).

When $m_a / m_b \ll 1$ and $T_a \neq T_b$, $C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ makes a significant contribution to $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) = C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a) + C^{FN}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ in this case, we can show that, to the lowest order in $(m_a / m_b)^{1/2}$,

$$\frac{N^{ij}_{ab}}{T_a v_T} = \frac{N^{ji}_{ab}}{T_b v_T} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$$

(B17)

are satisfied by the matrix elements $N^{ij}_{ab}$ associated with $C^{ab}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$. Note that the second relation in Eq. (B15), which holds exactly, is included as a special case in the symmetry relations shown by Eq. (B17) and that they take a different form from those for $N^{(i)j}_{ab}$ in Eq. (B14). Also, the matrix elements $N^{(S)ij}_{ab}$ evaluated by $C^{FS}_{ab}(\delta f_a)$ satisfy symmetry relations of the same form as in Eq. (B17).

In summary, the adjointness relations of the linearized Landau operator $C^{ab}_{ab}$ are not satisfied rigorously in collisions between unlike species with unequal temperatures although significantly different temperatures occur in the case where the two species have so different masses that the adjointness relations and symmetry properties of the matrix elements and the friction coefficients can still be used as approximately valid formulas. On the other hand, the Sugama operator $C^{TS}_{ab}$ in Sec. III and the operator $C^{TS}_{ab}$ in Appendix D are constructed so as to exactly keep the adjointness relations which can be useful
in formulating efficient methods of evaluating Onsager symmetric collisional transport coefficients.

Appendix C: MATRIX ELEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LINEARIZED LANDAU OPERATOR AND THE SUGAMA OPERATOR

This Appendix shows how the matrix elements $M^{(A)ij}_{ab}$ and $N^{(A)ij}_{ab}$ are expressed in terms of $\alpha_{ab}$ defined from the Sugama operator $C_{ab}^{S} = C_{ab}^{T} + C_{ab}^{E}$ (see Sec. III).

First, the 00 elements of the matrices $M^{(A)ij}_{ab}$ and $N^{(A)ij}_{ab}$ ($A = 0, N$) are written as follows:

$$
M_{ab}^{(0)00} = -\frac{\alpha_{ab}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{1/2}},
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{(N)00} = \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T_b}\right)^{3/2},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(0)00} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) M_{ab}^{(0)00} = \frac{\alpha_{ab}}{T_b (1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{1/2}},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(N)00} = \alpha_{ab} M_{ab}^{(N)00} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}}.
$$

Then, the 00 elements, $M_{ab}^{00} = M_{ab}^{(0)00} + M_{ab}^{(N)00}$ and $N_{ab}^{00} = N_{ab}^{(0)00} + N_{ab}^{(N)00}$, which equal $M_{ab}^{(S)00}$ and $N_{ab}^{(S)00}$, respectively, are given by

$$
M_{ab}^{00} = \frac{-3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{00} = \frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}}.
$$

Next, the 0i elements $M_{ab}^{(A)0i}$, $N_{ab}^{(A)0i}$ ($A = 0, N$), $M_{ab}^{0i}$, and $N_{ab}^{0i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are given by

$$
M_{ab}^{(0)01} = -\frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}},
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{(0)02} = -\frac{15\alpha_{ab}^{5}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{5/2}},
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{(N)01} = (\theta_{ab}^{2} - 1) M_{ab}^{(N)01} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}},
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{(N)02} = (\theta_{ab}^{2} - 1) M_{ab}^{(N)02} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{15\alpha_{ab}^{5}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{5/2}},
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{01} = \theta_{ab}^{2} M_{ab}^{(0)01} = -\frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}} (1 + \frac{m_{a}}{m_{b}}),
$$

$$
M_{ab}^{02} = \theta_{ab}^{2} M_{ab}^{(0)02} = -\frac{15\alpha_{ab}^{5}}{(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{5/2}} (1 + \frac{m_{a}}{m_{b}}),
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(0)01} = -\frac{T_a}{T_b} \alpha_{ab} M_{ab}^{(0)01} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{2(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(0)02} = -\frac{T_a}{T_b} \alpha_{ab} M_{ab}^{(0)02} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{15\alpha_{ab}^{5}}{8(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{5/2}},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(N)01} = -\frac{T_a}{T_b} \alpha_{ab} M_{ab}^{(N)01} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{3\alpha_{ab}^{3}}{2(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{3/2}},
$$

$$
N_{ab}^{(N)02} = -\frac{T_a}{T_b} \alpha_{ab} M_{ab}^{(N)02} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right) \frac{15\alpha_{ab}^{5}}{8(1 + \alpha_{ab}^{2})^{5/2}},
$$

where the relations shown in Eqs. (B13) and (B14) are used. Using Eqs. (C3) and (C4), we can immediately evaluate $M_{ab}^{0i} = M_{ab}^{(0)0i} + M_{ab}^{(N)0i}$ and $N_{ab}^{0i} = N_{ab}^{(0)0i} + N_{ab}^{(N)0i}$ ($i = 1, 2$).
The \( ij \) elements \( M_{ab}^{(i)} \) and \( N_{ab}^{(i)} \) \( (A = 0, N; i = 1, 2) \) are written as

\[
M_{ab}^{(0)(11)} = -\frac{\alpha_{ab}(30 + 16\alpha_{ab}^2 + 13\alpha_{ab}^4)}{4(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{5/2}},
\]
\[
M_{ab}^{(0)(12)} = M_{ab}^{(0)(21)} = -\frac{3\alpha_{ab}(84 + 32\alpha_{ab}^2 + 23\alpha_{ab}^4)}{16(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{7/2}},
\]
\[
M_{ab}^{(0)(22)} = -\frac{\alpha_{ab}}{64(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{9/2}} \times (1400 + 1792\alpha_{ab}^2 + 3672\alpha_{ab}^4 + 1088\alpha_{ab}^6 + 433\alpha_{ab}^8),
\]
\[
N_{ab}^{(0)(11)} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right)\frac{3\alpha_{ab}(10 - 2\alpha_{ab}^2 + 3\alpha_{ab}^4)}{4(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{7/2}},
\]
\[
N_{ab}^{(0)(12)} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right)\frac{3\alpha_{ab}(84 - 2\alpha_{ab}^2 + 19\alpha_{ab}^4)}{16(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{9/2}},
\]
\[
N_{ab}^{(0)(21)} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right)\frac{\alpha_{ab}}{64(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{11/2}} \times (280 + 84\alpha_{ab}^2 + 348\alpha_{ab}^4 + 19\alpha_{ab}^6),
\]
\[
N_{ab}^{(0)(22)} = \left(1 - \frac{T_a}{T_b}\right)\frac{\alpha_{ab}}{64(1 + \alpha_{ab}^2)^{13/2}} \times (1400 - 112\alpha_{ab}^2 + 2424\alpha_{ab}^4 - 556\alpha_{ab}^6 + 233\alpha_{ab}^8),
\]

where Eqs. (31), (33), (35), and (36) are used. Then, Eq. (35) can be used to evaluate \( N_{ab}^{(S)(i)} \) \( (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots) \) from \( N_{ab}^{(S)(0)i} \), \( N_{ab}^{(S)(0)j} \), and \( N_{ab}^{(0)0} \) [see Eq. (C2)].

Appendix D: IMPROVED SUGAMA OPERATOR MODIFIED BY SYMMETRIZING MATRIX ELEMENTS

In this Appendix, the improved Sugama operator defined in Eq. (40) is modified when \( T_a \neq T_b \) as follows:

\[
C_{ab}^{LS} \equiv C_{ab}^{LS}((\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) + \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b)
\]

where \( \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) \) represents the original Sugama operator described in Sec. III and the new correction part \( \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) \) is defined by

\[
\Delta C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) = f_a m \frac{m}{T_a} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}[\delta f_b] L_j^{(1/2)}(x_a^2).
\]

Here, \( \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}[\delta f_b] \) \( (j = 1, 2, \cdots) \) are given by

\[
\Delta C_{ab}^{FS}[\delta f_b] = C_{1ab} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta N_{ab}^{(j)k} u_{ab}[^{\delta f_b}] \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots),
\]

and

\[
\Delta N_{ab}^{(j)k} = N_{ab}^{(j)k} - N_{ab}^{(S)(j)k} = \frac{N_{ab}^{(0)(j)k} - N_{ab}^{(S)(j)k}}{N_{ab}^{(0)(j)k}} \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \cdots),
\]

where

\[
N_{ab}^{(j)k} = T_a v_T \frac{N_{ab}^{(j)k}}{2} \left( T_a v_T + \frac{N_{ab}^{(j)k}}{T_a v_T} \right) \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \cdots).
\]

We can now use the test and field particle part of \( C_{ab}^{LS}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) \) to obtain the matrix elements \( M_{ab}^{(j)k} \) and \( N_{ab}^{(j)k} \) in the same way as shown in Eq. (11). Then, the friction coefficients \( \frac{m}{T_a} \) can be derived from \( M_{ab}^{(j)k} \) and \( N_{ab}^{(j)k} \) [see Eq. (13)]. Since \( \Delta C_{ab}^{FS}(\delta f_b) \) defined in Eq. (12) gives the correction only in the field particle part, we immediately see that

\[
M_{ab}^{(j)k} = M_{ab}^{(S)(j)k} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots).
\]

We also find that

\[
N_{ab}^{(j)0} = N_{ab}^{(S)(j)0}, \quad N_{ab}^{(j)0} = N_{ab}^{(S)(j)0}, \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots).
\]
and $N^{ij}_{ab} (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are given by Eq. (D5). It is confirmed from Eqs. (D8) and (D7) that the matrix elements $M^{ij}_{ab}$ and $N^{ij}_{ab}$ satisfy

$$M^{*ij}_{ab} = M^{*ji}_{ba}, \quad \frac{N^{*ij}_{ab}}{T_a v_{Ta}} = \frac{N^{*ji}_{ba}}{T_b v_{Tb}} \quad (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

which leads to the symmetry of the friction coefficients $l^{*ab}_{sij}$,

$$l^{ab}_{sij} = l^{ba}_{sji} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

The modified operator $C^{LS*}_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)$ exactly satisfies the adjointness relations in the same form as those in Eq. (D9) and accordingly induces the Onsager symmetry of collisional transport coefficients.

When $T_a \neq T_b$, the values of $M^{*ij}_{ab}$, $N^{*ij}_{ab}$, and $l^{*ab}_{sij}$ are different from those of $M_{ij}^{ab}$, $N_{ij}^{ab}$, and $l_{sij}$ given by the linearized Landau operator, respectively. However, as explained in Appendix B, the differences between these values are not expected to cause serious errors in solutions of kinetic equations because $m_a/m_b < 1$ or $m_a/m_b > 1$ are required if $T_a$ and $T_b$ differ significantly from each other.

Noting that $\Delta C^{k*}_{ab}(\delta f_b)$ never influences collisional momentum and energy transfer, we can confirm that $C^{LS*}_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)$ keeps conservation laws of particles, momentum, and energy. Especially, the momentum conservation law imposes the constraints on the matrix elements and the friction coefficients as

$$M^{*0j}_{ab} + \frac{T_a v_{Ta}}{T_b v_{Tb}} N^{*0j}_{ba} = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$\sum_a l^{ab}_{s0j} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

as rewritten with the help of Eqs. (D8) and (D9) with

$$M^{*j0}_{ab} + N^{*j0}_{ab} = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$\sum_b l^{ab}_{sj1} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Then, if the perturbed functions are written as $\delta f_s = f_s M(m_a/T_a)(u_s, v)$ ($s = a, b$) with the condition $u_a = u_b$, we find that $C^{LS*}_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b) = \tau^{-1} f_s M(m_a/T_a) v \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j L^{(3/2)}_{j+1}(x^2)(M^{*j0}_{ab} u_a + N^{*0j}_{ab} u_b) = 0$ because of Eq. (D11) and $u_a = u_b$. Noting that $C^{LS*}_{ab}$ is also annihilated by the ambient distribution functions of $\delta f_s$ ($s = a, b$) given by Eq. (D5) with $\delta T_a/T_a = \delta T_b/T_b$, it is now remarked that $C^{LS*}_{ab}(\delta f_a, \delta f_b)$ vanishes for the perturbed distribution functions given by the perturbed Maxwellian with the perturbed densities $\delta n_s$, temperatures $\delta T_s$, and flows $u_s$ ($s = a, b$) as

$$\delta f_s = f_s M \left[ \frac{\delta n_s}{n_s} + \frac{m_s}{T_s} u_s \cdot v + \frac{\delta T_s}{T_s} \left( \frac{m_s v^2}{2T_s} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \right],$$

where $u_a = u_b$ and $\delta T_a/T_a = \delta T_b/T_b$.

Using Eq. (D11), the collision operator for gyrokinetic equations is given by

$$\int \frac{d \epsilon}{2 \pi} e^{i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s C^{LS}_{ab}(\epsilon)(e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}}, e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}})}$$

$$= \int \frac{d \epsilon}{2 \pi} e^{i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s C^{LS}_{ab}(\epsilon)(e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}}, e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}})}$$

$$+ \int \frac{d \epsilon}{2 \pi} e^{i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s \Delta C^{F}_{ab}(\epsilon)(e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}}, e^{-i k_\perp \cdot \rho_s h_{ab}^{(imp)}})}.$$
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