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Abstract. Let \( \mathcal{F}_n \) be the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_n \) over a number field with unitary central character. We prove an unconditional large sieve inequality for the Hecke eigenvalues of \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n \). This leads to the first unconditional zero density estimate for the family of \( L \)-functions \( L(s, \pi) \) associated to \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n \), which we make log-free. As an application, we prove a subconvexity bound on \( L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) \) for almost all \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n \).

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

The large sieve inequality for Dirichlet characters states that if \( M, N \geq 1 \) and \( Q \geq 1 \), then

\[
\sum_{q \leq Q} \left| \sum_{\chi \mod q \text{ primitive}} |\chi(n)b(n)|^2 \right|^2 \leq (N + Q^2) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |b(n)|^2,
\]

where \( b : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a complex-valued function. This serves as a quasi-orthogonality statement for characters to varying moduli, leading to powerful substitutes for the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) for Dirichlet \( L \)-functions, e.g., the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem.

Let \( \mathbb{A}_F \) be the ring of adeles over a number field \( F \) with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_F \), absolute norm \( N = N_{F/Q} \), and discriminant \( D_F \). Let \( \mathcal{F}_n \) be the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F) \) with unitary central character \( \omega_\pi \). We implicitly normalize \( \omega_\pi \) to be trivial on the product of positive reals \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) when embedded diagonally into the (archimedean places of) the ideles so that \( \mathcal{F}_n \) is discrete. To each \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n \), Iwaniec and Sarnak [15] associate an analytic conductor \( C(\pi) \geq 1 \) measuring the arithmetic and spectral complexity of \( \pi \) (see (2.1)). Let \( \mathcal{F}_n(Q) = \{ \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n : C(\pi) \leq Q \} \). For \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n \), let \( q_\pi \) be the arithmetic conductor and \( L(s, \pi) = \sum_n a_\pi(n)N_n^{-s} \) the associated standard \( L \)-function, where \( n \) ranges over the nonzero integral ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_F \).

Let \( b \) be a complex-valued function supported on the integral ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_F \). Under the generalized Ramanujan conjecture (GRC) for all \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q) \) (which implies that \( |a_\pi(n)| \ll_{n, \varepsilon} Nn^{\varepsilon} \)), Duke and Kowalski [9] Theorem 4] proved that for any \( 1/2 \leq \alpha < 1 \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{N_n \leq N} a_\pi(n)b(n) \right|^2 \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} (NQ)^\varepsilon (N + N^{\alpha}Q^{n(1-\alpha)}|\mathcal{F}_n(Q)|) \sum_{N_n \leq N} |b(n)|^2.
\]

For \( n \leq 4 \), Brumley [3] proved (1.2) with \( \alpha = 1 - (n^2 + 1)^{-1} \) without recourse to unproven progress towards GRC. It is conjectured that one can replace \( N^{\alpha}Q^{n(1-\alpha)} \) with 1.

We prove a variant of (1.2) which holds for all \( n \geq 1 \) without recourse to unproven progress towards GRC. This appears to be the first result of its type.

Theorem 1.1. Fix \( \varepsilon > 0, n \geq 1 \). If \( N, Q \geq 1 \) and \( b(n) \) is a complex-valued function, then

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\substack{N_n \leq N \\gcd(n,q_\pi) = 1}} a_\pi(n)b(n) \right|^2 \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} (NQ)^\varepsilon (N + Q^{n^2+n}|\mathcal{F}_n(Q)|) \sum_{N_n \leq N} |b(n)|^2.
\]
Remarks. 1. There exists a constant $c_{n,[F:Q]} > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have the bounds
\begin{equation}
Q^{n+1}D_F^{-n(n+\frac{1}{2})}(c_{n,[F:Q]} + O_n,F((\log Q)^{-1})) \leq |\mathfrak{N}_n(Q)| \ll_{n,[F:Q],\varepsilon} (Q^{2n}D_F^{-n^2})^{1+\varepsilon}.
\end{equation}

Brumley, Thorner, and Zaman [5] Theorem A.1 proved the upper bound. Brumley and Milićević [4] Theorems 1.1–1.3] proved the lower bound, which is the expected asymptotic. 2. Venkatesh [30] proved a similar mean value estimate for $\pi \in \mathfrak{N}$ of a large fixed arithmetic conductor.

3. Under GRC, one can improve $Q^{n^2+n}$ to $Q^n$.

4. Our idea for proving Theorem 1.1 leads to a new inequality for Dirichlet coefficients of Rankin–Selberg $L$-functions (Proposition 3.1) which might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.1 is sharp when $N$ is quite large relative to $Q$. Despite this weak range, Theorem 1.1 is still useful in studying zeros of $L$-functions. For each $\pi \in \mathfrak{N}$, we expect $L(s, \pi)$ to satisfy the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH): If $\text{Re}(s) > 1/2$, then $L(s, \pi) \neq 0$. Since GRH remains open and existing zero-free regions can be limiting in applications, it is useful to show that few zeros of $L(s, \pi)$ lie near the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1$. Hence we define
\begin{equation}
N_\pi(\sigma, T) = \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma: L(\rho, \pi) = 0, \beta > \sigma, |\gamma| \leq T\}.
\end{equation}
We will first describe results when $n = 1$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}$, where $\pi \in \mathfrak{N}$ correspond with Dirichlet characters $\chi$. Montgomery [24] proved for if $Q, T \geq 1$, then
\begin{equation}
\sum_{F(q \leq Q)} \sum_{\chi \equiv 1 \text{ primitive}} \chi(\sigma, T) \ll (Q^2T)^{\min\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}\right)}(1-\sigma)(\log QT)^{13}, \quad \sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}.
\end{equation}
Thus a vanishingly small proportion of zeros of Dirichlet $L$-functions lie near $\text{Re}(s) = 1$. As part of his proof that the least prime $p \equiv a (\text{mod } q)$ is $\ll q^{O(1)}$ (GRH replaces $O(1)$ with $2 + o(1)$), Linnik [20] developed powerful results for the distribution of zeros of Dirichlet $L$-functions, including a log-free zero density estimate. Gallagher [11] and Jutila [16] unified the work of Montgomery and Linnik. Jutila proved that if $Q, T \geq 1$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$,
\begin{equation}
\sum_{F(q \leq Q)} \sum_{\chi \equiv 1 \text{ primitive}} \chi(\sigma, T) \ll_{\varepsilon} (Q^2T)^{(2+\varepsilon)(1-\sigma)}, \quad \sigma \geq \frac{4}{5}.
\end{equation}
Kowalski and Michel [17] extended Jutila’s ideas. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $Q, T \geq 1$. They prove that if each $\pi \in \mathfrak{N}(Q)$ satisfies GRC, then there exists a constant $A_n > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{N}(Q)} N_\pi(\sigma, T) \ll n T^{A_n}(Q \frac{\ln |\mathfrak{N}(Q)|}{\frac{1}{2} + \sigma(1-\sigma)}), \quad \sigma \geq \frac{3}{4},
\end{equation}
Thus under GRC, a vanishingly small proportion of zeros of $L(s, \pi)$ for $\pi \in \mathfrak{N}(Q)$ lie near $s = 1$. Much like (1.3) and (1.6), an estimate such as (1.7) often suffices to prove results which are commensurate with what GRH predicts. Using Brumley’s work [3], one can prove (1.7) when $n \leq 4$ without recourse to unproven progress towards GRC.

We use a “pre-sifted” version of Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.2) to prove the first unconditional zero density estimate for the sum in (1.7), which we make log-free using the ideas in [11] 28.

Theorem 1.2. Fix $n \geq 1$. If $N_\pi(\sigma, T)$ is given by (1.4), then for $Q, T \geq 1$ and $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$,
\begin{equation}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{N}(Q)} N_\pi(\sigma, T) \ll_{n,[F:Q]} (Q^2T^{[F:Q]})^{10^7n^4(1-\sigma)}.
\end{equation}
We now describe an application of Theorem 1.2. For \( \pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n \), we seek bounds for \( |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \) in terms of \( C(\pi) \). The generalized Lindelöf hypothesis (which follows from GRH) asserts that \( |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \ll_{n, |F:Q|, \epsilon} C(\pi)^\epsilon \) for any \( \epsilon > 0 \). When \( F = Q \), Soundararajan and Thorner [28 Corollary 2.7] proved that

\[
|L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \ll_{n} C(\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log C(\pi))^{-\frac{1}{10}+\epsilon n^s}.
\]

Their ideas easily extend to \( F \neq Q \). Subconvexity bounds of the shape \( |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \ll_{n, |F:Q|} C(\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}-\delta} \) (\( \delta > 0 \) a constant) are important in many equidistribution problems. See [15 22] for further discussion and [1 8 12 19 23 26 31] for a sample of some amazing progress.

When \( n = 1 \) and \( F = Q \), a standard application of (1.1) shows that almost all Dirichlet \( L \)-functions satisfy the generalized Lindelöf hypothesis. Theorem 1.1 on its own is not strong enough to establish subconvexity for almost all \( \pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q) \) because of the condition \((n, \mathfrak{q}_\pi) = (1)\) in Theorem 1.1 which we do not know how to remove (see Section 4). Despite this setback, we prove the following result using Theorem 1.2 and the ideas in [28].

\textbf{Theorem 1.3.} Let \( 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1 \), \( \delta = \varepsilon/(10^{16} n^2) \), and \( Q \gg_{n, F} 1 \). For all except \( O_{n, |F:Q|}(|\mathfrak{F}_n(Q)|^{\varepsilon}) \) of the \( \pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q) \), one has the bound \( |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \ll_{n, |F:Q|} C(\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}-\delta} \).

\textbf{Notation.} The expressions \( f \ll_\nu g \) and \( f = O_\nu(g) \) mean that there exists an effectively computable constant \( c_\nu > 0 \), depending at most on \( \nu \), such that \( |f| \leq c_\nu |g| \). If there is no subscript, then the implied constant is absolute. We write \((a, b)\) and \([a, b]\) for the GCD and LCM of two integral ideals \( a \) and \( b \), and \((1)\) for the unit ideal.
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2. Properties of \( L \)-functions

We recall some standard facts about \( L \)-functions arising from automorphic representations and their Rankin-Selberg convolutions; see [2 22] for convenient summaries.

\textbf{2.1. Standard \( L \)-functions.} Given \( \pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n \), let \( \varpi \in \mathfrak{F}_n \) be the representation which is contragredient to \( \pi \), and let \( \mathfrak{q}_\pi \) be the conductor of \( \pi \). The finite part of \( \pi \) is given by a tensor product \( \otimes_p \pi_p \) of local representations. For each prime ideal \( p \), the local \( L \)-function \( L(s, \pi_p) \) is defined in terms of the Satake parameters \( A_{\pi}(p) = \{\alpha_{1, \pi}(p), \ldots, \alpha_{n, \pi}(p)\} \) by

\[
L(s, \pi_p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{j, \pi}(p)}{Np^s}\right)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi}(p^j) \frac{Np^j}{Np^s}.
\]

We have \( \alpha_j(\pi(p)) \neq 0 \) for all \( j \) whenever \( p \nmid \mathfrak{q}_\pi \), and it might be the case that \( \alpha_j(\pi(p)) = 0 \) for some \( j \) when \( p | \mathfrak{q}_\pi \). The standard \( L \)-function \( L(s, \pi) \) associated to \( \pi \) is of the form

\[
L(s, \pi) = \prod_p L(s, \pi_p) = \sum_n \frac{a_{\pi}(n)}{Nn^s}.
\]

The Euler product and Dirichlet series converge absolutely when \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \).
At each archimedean place $v$ of $F$, there are $n$ Langlands parameters $\mu_{j,\pi}(v) \in \mathbb{C}$ from which we define

$$L(s, \pi_{\infty}) = (D_F^n Nq_\pi)^{s/2} \prod_{v} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma_v(s + \mu_{j,\pi}(v)), \quad \Gamma_v(s) := \begin{cases} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) & \text{if } F_v = \mathbb{R}, \\ (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) & \text{if } F_v = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

We have the bounds

$$(2.2) \quad |\alpha_{j,\pi}(p)| \leq Np^{\theta_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(\mu_{j,\pi}(v)) \geq -\theta_n, \quad \theta_n \in [0, 1/2 - 1/(n^2 + 1)]$$

for all pairs $(j, p)$ and $(j, v)$ (see [21, 25]). The generalized Selberg eigenvalue conjecture and GRC assert that in (2.2), one may take \(\theta_n = 0\). We have $q_\pi = q_\pi'$, and for each $p$ and each $v$, we have the equalities of sets $\{\alpha_{j,\pi}(p)\} = \{\alpha_{j,\pi}(p)\}$ and $\{\mu_{j,\pi}(v)\} = \{\mu_{j,\pi}(v)\}$.

Let $r_\pi$ be the order of the pole of $L(s, \pi)$ at $s = 1$. The completed $L$-function $\Lambda(s, \pi) = (s(s - 1))^{r_\pi} L(s, \pi)L(s, \pi_{\infty})$ is entire of order 1. There exists a complex number $W(\pi)$ of modulus 1 such that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the functional equation $\Lambda(s, \pi) = W(\pi)\Lambda(1 - s, \pi)$. On one hand, $L(s, \pi)$ has a zero at each pole of $L(s, \pi_{\infty})$. Such zeros are trivial.

On the other hand, since $\Lambda(s, \pi)$ is entire of order 1, it has a Hadamard factorization

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda(s, \pi) = e^{\alpha s + b s} \prod_{\rho} (1 - s/\rho) e^{s/\rho},$$

where $\rho$ runs through the nontrivial zeros of $L(s, \pi)$. These zeros satisfy $0 \leq \Re(\rho) \leq 1$.

Let $d(v) = 1$ if $F_v = \mathbb{R}$ and $d(v) = 2$ if $F_v = \mathbb{C}$. Following [13], we define for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.4) \quad C(\pi, t) := D_F^n Nq_\pi \prod_{v} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 + |it + \mu_{j,\pi}(v)|^d(v)), \quad C(\pi) := C(\pi, 0).$$

### 2.2. Rankin–Selberg $L$-functions

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ and $\pi' \in \mathfrak{A}_{n'}$. Define

$$(2.5) \quad L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{j'=1}^{n'} (1 - \alpha_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(p) Np^{-s})^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi \times \pi'}(p^j)}{Np^s}.$$

for suitable complex numbers $\alpha_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(p)$. If $p \nmid q_\pi q_\pi'$, then we have the equality of sets

$$(2.6) \quad \{\alpha_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(p)\} = \{\alpha_{j,\pi}(p)\alpha_{j',\pi'}(p)\}.$$

See Brumley [23, Appendix] for a description of $\alpha_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(p)$ when $p| q_\pi q_\pi'$. The Rankin-Selberg $L$-function $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ associated to $\pi$ and $\pi'$ is of the form

$$L(s, \pi \times \pi') = \prod_{p} L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_p) = \sum_{n} \frac{\alpha_{\pi \times \pi'}(n)}{Nn^s}.$$

The Dirichlet series and Euler product converge absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$, which ensures that $|\alpha_{j,\pi \times \pi'}(p)| < Np$. Let $q_{\pi \times \pi'}$ be the conductor of $\pi \times \pi'$. At an archimedean place $v$ of $F$, there are $n' n$ complex Langlands parameters $\mu_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(v)$ from which we define

$$L(s, \pi_{\infty} \times \pi'_{\infty}) = (D_F^n Nq_{\pi \times \pi'})^{s/2} \prod_{v} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{j'=1}^{n'} \Gamma_v(s + \mu_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(v)).$$

Let

$$(2.7) \quad r_{\pi \times \pi'} = -\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, \pi \times \pi'), \quad \kappa_{\pi \times \pi'} = \text{Res}_{s=1} L(s, \pi \times \pi') \prod_{p| q_{\pi \times \pi'}} L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_p)^{-1}.$$
By our normalization for the central characters of $\pi$ and $\pi'$, we have that $r_{\pi \times \pi'} = 0$ and $\kappa_{\pi \times \pi'} = 0$ if and only if $\pi \neq \tilde{\pi}'$. Otherwise, $r_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} = 1$, and the nonnegativity of $a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n)$ for all $n$ (see [13, Lemma 2]) implies that $\kappa_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}} > 0$. The function

$$
\Lambda(s, \pi \times \pi') = (s(s - 1))^{r_{\pi \times \pi'}} L(s, \pi \times \pi') L(s, \pi_\infty \times \pi'_\infty)
$$

is entire of order 1.

As with $L(s, \pi)$, we define the analytic conductor

$$
C(\pi \times \pi', t) := D_F^{n'} N q_{\pi \times \pi'} \prod_{\nu} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{j'=1}^{n'} (1 + |t + \mu_{j,j',\pi \times \pi'}(\nu)|^{d(\nu)}), 
\quad C(\pi \times \pi') := C(\pi \times \pi', 0).
$$

The work of Bushnell and Henniart [7, Theorem 1] and Brumley [14, Lemma A.2] yields

$$
C(\pi \times \pi', t) \ll C(\pi')^{n'} C(\pi^n)(2 + |t|)^{F:Q^n}, 
\quad C(\pi \times \pi') \ll C(\pi)^{n'} C(\pi^n).
$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, Li’s bound [13, Theorem 2] and the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle yield

$$
|\sigma - 1|^{r_{\pi \times \pi'}} L(\sigma + it, \pi \times \pi') \ll_{\varepsilon, n', |F:Q|, \varepsilon} C(\pi \times \pi', t)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon}, 
\quad \sigma \leq 1.
$$

If $r_{\pi \times \pi'} = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$, then the left hand side is viewed as a limit as $\sigma \to 1^+$.

3. Rankin–Selberg combinatorics

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of nonincreasing nonnegative integers $\lambda(1) \geq \lambda(2) \geq \cdots$ with only finitely many nonzero entries. For a partition $\lambda$, let $\ell(\lambda)$ be the number of nonzero $\lambda(i)$, and let $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(i)$. For a set $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ and a partition $\lambda$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$, let $s_{\lambda}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be the Schur polynomial $\det[(\lambda(i+j-n)_{ij}]/\det[(\alpha_i-n)_{ij}]$ associated to $\lambda$. If $|\lambda| = 0$, then $s_{\lambda}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \equiv 1$. By convention, if $\ell(\lambda) > n$, then $s_{\lambda}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0$.

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n$, $\pi' \in \mathfrak{F}_{n'}$, and $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. Cauchy’s identity [6, Chapter 38], tells us that

$$
L(s, \pi_p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j \pi_p}{Np^s}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{(k,0,\ldots)}(A_\pi(p))}{Np^{ks}},
$$

and, for $p \nmid q_{\pi \pi'}$,

$$
L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{j'=1}^{n'} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j \pi_p \alpha_{j'} \pi'_p}{Np^s}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{s_{\lambda}(A_\pi(p))s_{\lambda}(A_{\pi'}(p))}{Np^{s|\lambda|}},
$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions. The above identities, (2.1), and (2.3) yield

$$
a_{\pi}(p^k) = s_{(k,0,\ldots)}(A_{\pi}(p)), 
\quad a_{\pi \pi'}(p^k) = \sum_{|\lambda| = k} s_{\lambda}(A_\pi(p))s_{\lambda}(A_{\pi'}(p)).
$$

For an integral ideal $n$ with factorization $n = \prod_p p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}$ (where $\text{ord}_p(n) = 0$ for all but finitely many prime ideals $p$), the multiplicity of $a_{\pi}(n)$ tells us that

$$
a_{\pi}(n) = \prod_p a_{\pi}(p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}) = \prod_p s_{(\text{ord}_p(n),0,\ldots)}(A_{\pi}(p))
$$

and, for $(n, q_{\pi \pi'}) = (1)$,

$$
a_{\pi \pi'}(n) = \prod_p a_{\pi \pi'}(p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}) = \sum_{(\lambda_p) \in A[n]} \left(\prod_p s_{\lambda_p}(A_{\pi}(p))\right)\left(\prod_p s_{\lambda_p}(A_{\pi'}(p))\right).
$$
Here, $(\lambda_p)_p$ denotes a sequence of partitions indexed by prime ideals and
\[
\Delta[n] := \{(\lambda_p)_p : |\lambda_p| = \text{ord}_p(n) \text{ for all } p\}.
\]

It is important to note that $s_{\lambda_p}(A_{F}(p)) = s_{\lambda_p}(A_{F}(p))$.

We use (3.1) and (3.2) to prove a new inequality for Rankin–Selberg coefficients.

**Proposition 3.1.** If $\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ and $\pi' \in \mathfrak{F}_n'$ have conductors $q_\pi, q_{\pi'}$ and $(n, q_\pi q_{\pi'}) = (1)$, then
\[
|\text{Re}(a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n))|^2 \leq (a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - a_{\pi}(n))\overline{a_{\pi'}(n)}(a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - a_{\pi'}(n))^2.
\]

**Proof.** Let $n$ satisfy $(n, q_\pi q_{\pi'}) = (1)$, and let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. By (3.1),
\[
|a_{\pi}(n)x + a_{\pi'}(n)y|^2 = \left| x \prod_p s_{(\text{ord}_p(n),0,\ldots)}(A_{F}(p)) + y \prod_p s_{(\text{ord}_p(n),0,\ldots)}(A_{F'}(p)) \right|^2.
\]

Since $((\text{ord}_p(n), 0, \ldots))_p \in \Delta[n]$, it follows from nonnegativity that
\[
|a_{\pi}(n)x + a_{\pi'}(n)y|^2 \leq \sum_{(\lambda_p)_p \in \Delta[n]} \left| x \prod_p s_{\lambda_p}(A_{F}(p)) + y \prod_p s_{\lambda_p}(A_{F'}(p)) \right|^2.
\]

Once we expand the squares on both sides of the inequality, we apply (3.2) and observe that
\[
|a_{\pi}(n)|^2 x^2 + 2\text{Re}(a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n))xy + |a_{\pi'}(n)|^2 y^2 \leq a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n)x^2 + 2\text{Re}(a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n))xy + a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n)y^2.
\]

Hence the binary quadratic form $Q(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ given by
\[
Q(x, y) = (a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - a_{\pi}(n))^2 x^2 + 2\text{Re}(a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n))xy + (a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n) - |a_{\pi'}(n)|)^2 y^2
\]
is positive-definite. The result follows since the discriminant of $Q(x, y)$ is nonpositive. \hfill $\Box$

**Corollary 3.2.** If $\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ has conductor $q_\pi$ and $(n, q_\pi) = (1)$, then $|a_{\pi}(n)|^2 \leq a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n)$.

**Proof.** Since $Q(x, y)$ from the proof of Proposition 3.1 is positive-definite, $Q(1, 0) \geq 0$. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark.** While the conclusion of Corollary 3.2 is not new, the proof here appears to be new.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We quickly recall the strategy of Duke and Kowalski in [9] for proving (1.2). Let $\beta : \mathfrak{F}_n(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary function. By duality, the bound (1.2) is equivalent to the bound
\[
\sum_{Nn \leq N} \left| \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q)} a_{\pi}(n)\beta(\pi) \right|^2 \ll_{n,[F:Q],\varepsilon} (NQ)^\varepsilon (N + N^\alpha Q^{n(1-\alpha)}|\mathfrak{F}_n(Q)|) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q)} |\beta(\pi)|^2.
\]

Once we expand the square on the left and swap the order of summation, we are led to estimate the sums $\sum_{Nn \leq N} a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n)$. By Mellin inversion, the size of this sum is related to the analytic properties of the Dirichlet series $\sum_n a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n)\overline{Nn^{-s}}$, which differs from $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ by a factor, say $H(s, \pi, \pi')$, given by a certain Euler product. This generalizes the well-known identity $\sum_n a_{\pi}(n)a_{\pi'}(n)\overline{Nn^{-s}} = L(s, \pi \times \pi')/\zeta_F(2s)$ which holds when $\pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ satisfy $q_{\pi}q_{\pi'} = (1)$ ($\zeta_F(s)$ being the Dedekind zeta function of $F$). It follows from [9] that
\[
|H(s, \pi, \pi')| \ll_{n,n',[F:Q],\varepsilon} (C(\pi)C(\pi'))^\varepsilon, \quad \text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2} + 2\theta_n,
\]

which allows us to estimate the sums with a contour integral calculation when $\theta_n \leq \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for some constant $\delta > 0$. Then (1.2) holds with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + 2\theta_n$. Under GRG, we make take $\theta_n = 0$. When $n \leq 4$, the results in [3] establish these conclusions with $1 - (n^2 + 1)^{-1}$ replacing...
\( \frac{1}{2} + 2\theta_n \) without recourse to unproven progress toward GRC. To prove Theorem 1.1 we use (3.1) and (3.2) to circumvent the need to estimate \( H(s, \pi, \pi') \) altogether.

4.1. **An unconditional large sieve inequality.** We begin with a preliminary lemma. Let \( \phi \) be a smooth test function which is supported in a compact subset of \([-2, 2]\), and let

\[
\hat{\phi}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y)e^{sy}dy
\]

be its Laplace transform. Then \( \hat{\phi}(s) \) is an entire function of \( s \), and for any integer \( k \geq 0 \),

\[
\hat{\phi}(s) \ll_{\phi,k} e^{2|\Re(s)|} |s|^{-k}.
\]

For any \( x > 0 \), \( T \geq 1 \), and \( c \in \mathbb{R} \), it follows from Fourier inversion that

\[
\phi(T \log x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i T} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \hat{\phi}(s/T)x^{-s}ds.
\]

**Lemma 4.1.** Fix a test function \( \phi \) as above. Let \( T, x \geq 1 \). Let \( \pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q) \), and define

\[
g_{\theta}(s, \pi \times \pi') = \prod_{p \mid \theta}(1 - L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_p)^{-1}).
\]

If \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( \theta \) is squarefree, \( (\theta, q\pi q\pi') = (1) \), and \( \kappa_{\pi \times \pi'} \) is given by (2.7), then

\[
\left| \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \mid n} a_{\pi \times \pi'}(n)\phi\left(T \log \frac{Nn}{x}\right) - g_{\theta}(1, \pi \times \pi')x^{\frac{1}{T}}\hat{\phi}(1/T)\kappa_{\pi \times \pi'} \right| \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} Q^{n^2+n+\varepsilon}N\mathfrak{d}^{n^2+\varepsilon}T|F:Q|n^2.
\]

**Proof.** We follow [28, Lemma 6.1]. The result is trivial for \( x \leq e^2 \). For \( x > e^2 \), (4.5) equals

\[
\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i T} \int_{\sigma_x-i\infty}^{\sigma_x+i\infty} \prod_{p \mid \theta, q\pi, q\pi'} L(s, \pi \times \pi') g_{\theta}(s, \pi \times \pi')x^{s}ds \right|, \quad \sigma_x = \frac{1}{\log x}.
\]

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that \(|L(\sigma_x + it, \pi \times \pi')| \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} Q^{n^2+\varepsilon}(2 + |t|)|F:Q|n^2\). Since \(|\alpha_{j_1,j_2,\pi \times \pi'}(p)| \leq Np\) for all \( j_1, j_2, \) and \( p \), it follows from (2.5) that for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
\prod_{p \mid \theta, q\pi, q\pi'} |L(\sigma_x + it, \pi_p \times \pi'_p)|^{-1} \leq \prod_{p \mid \theta, q\pi, q\pi'} (1 + Np)^n \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} Q^{n^2+\varepsilon},
\]

and similarly \(|g_{\theta}(\sigma_x + it, \pi \times \pi')| \ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} N\mathfrak{d}^{n^2+\varepsilon}\). Thus by (4.3), the integral (4.6) is

\[
\ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} \frac{1}{T} Q^{n^2+n+\varepsilon}N\mathfrak{d}^{n^2+\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2 + |t|)^{|F:Q|n^2} \left| \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right) \right| |dt|,
\]

\[
\ll_{n, [F:Q], \varepsilon} \frac{1}{T} Q^{n^2+n+\varepsilon}N\mathfrak{d}^{n^2+\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2 + |t|)^{|F:Q|n^2} \min\left\{1, \frac{T|F:Q|n^2 + 2}{(2 + |t|)|F:Q|n^2 + 2}\right\} dt,
\]

which is bounded as claimed. \( \square \)

For integral ideals \( q \) and \( n \), we define \( 1_q(n) \) to equal 1 if \( (q, n) = (1) \) and zero otherwise.
Theorem 4.2. Let $Q, x \geq 1$, and let $b$ be a complex-valued function on the integral ideals $n$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, one has the bound

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \right|^2 \ll_{n,F,Q,\varepsilon} Q^\varepsilon (x + Q^{n^2 + n} | \mathfrak{F}_n(Q) |) \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} |b(n)|^2.
\end{equation}

If $T \geq 1$, $z \gg_{n,F,Q} Q^{n^2}$ with a sufficiently large implied constant, and $b(n) = 0$ unless $n$ is a prime ideal with norm greater than $z$, then

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x) \setminus \{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \ni \mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \ni \pi \}} a_\pi(p) b(p) \right|^2 \ll_{n,F,Q} \left( \frac{x}{T \log z} + Q^{5n^2} T |F| |Q| n^2 z^{2n^2 + 3} \right) \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x) \setminus \{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \ni \mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \ni \pi \}} |b(p)|^2.
\end{equation}

Proof. We present a unified proof for both parts. We begin by constructing Selberg sieve weights for each $\pi \in \mathfrak{F}_n(Q)$. Define $P^-(n) := \min\{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} | n \}$ with $P^-(1) = \infty$, and define

\begin{equation}
g_\pi(\mathcal{D}) := g_0(1, \pi \times \pi), \quad P_\pi(z) := \prod_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in z < \mathcal{D} \leq z} \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}, \quad D_\pi(z) := \{\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{N}\mathcal{D} \leq z, \mathcal{D} | P_\pi(z)\},
\end{equation}

where $g_0(1, \pi \times \pi)$ is given by (4.4). Let $\lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D})$ be a real-valued function satisfying

\begin{equation}
\lambda_\pi((1)) = 1, \quad \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad \mathcal{D} \in D_\pi(z), \quad |\lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D})| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathcal{D},
\end{equation}

(4.9) where $\lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D})$ is the unit ideal). Our requirements (4.9) for $\lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D})$ imply that if $P^-(n) > z$, then the condition $\mathcal{D} | n$ implies that either $\mathcal{D} = (1)$ or $\lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) = 0$.

It suffices to consider $b$ such that $\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \sum_{n, x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x} |b(n)|^2 = 1$. We will estimate the sum

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \sum_{n, x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x} |a_\pi(n) b(n)|^2 \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) |b(n)|^2.
\end{equation}

Define $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \sup_{|\mathcal{N}| \leq 1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} |\mathcal{D}(\pi)|^2$. By duality, (4.10) is

\begin{equation}
\leq \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) \right|^2.
\end{equation}

By (3.11) is the supremum over $\mathcal{D}$ with $|\mathcal{N}| \leq 1$ of

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) \beta(\pi) \right|^2.
\end{equation}

By (3.11), (4.11) is the supremum over $\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ of

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) \beta(\pi) \right|^2.
\end{equation}

Since $((\text{ord}_p(n, 0, \ldots))_p \in \Lambda(n)$, we bound (4.12) by embedding it into the “completed sum”

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) \beta(\pi) \right|^2.
\end{equation}

Fix a nonnegative smooth function $\phi$ supported on a compact subset of $[-2, 2]$, such that $\phi(T \log \frac{1}{\pi})$ is an upper bound for the indicator function $1_{(x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)}(t)$. Then (4.13) is

\begin{equation}
\leq \sum_{n \in \Lambda(n)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{N} \in (x, \varepsilon \pi \times \varepsilon x)} a_\pi(n) b(n) \lambda_\pi(\mathcal{D}) \beta(\pi) \right|^2 \phi(T \log \frac{Nn}{x}).
\end{equation}
We expand the square, swap the order of summation, apply (3.2), and see that (4.14) equals
\[
\sum_{\pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} \beta(\pi) \overline{\beta(\pi')} \left[ \sum_{(n, q_n, q_{n'}) = (1)} a_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}(n) \left[ \sum_{\varrho \mid (n, P_n(z))} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \right] \left[ \sum_{\varrho' \mid (n, P_{n'}(z))} \lambda_{\pi'}(\varrho') \right] \phi \left( T \log \frac{Nn}{x} \right) \right]
\]
(4.15)
\[
= \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} \beta(\pi) \overline{\beta(\pi')} \sum_{\varrho \in D_n(z)} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \lambda_{\pi'}(\varrho') \left[ \sum_{(n, q_n, q_{n'}) = (1)} a_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}(n) \phi \left( T \log \frac{Nn}{x} \right) \right].
\]

We apply Lemma 4.11 with \( \varrho \) replaced by \([\varrho, \varrho']\), so that (4.15) equals
\[
(4.16) \quad x \frac{\hat{\phi}(1/T)}{T} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} |\beta(\pi)|^2 \sum_{\varrho, \varrho' \in D_n(z)} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \lambda_{\pi'}(\varrho') \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}} g_{\pi}(\varrho, \varrho') + O_n[F; Q] \varepsilon \left( Q^{n^2 + n + x} T |F; Q| n^2 z^{2n^2 + 3} |\mathfrak{S}_n(Q)| \right).
\]

(The off-diagonal contribution resides in the error term because \( \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}} = 0 \) if and only if \( \pi \neq \pi' \).) A standard contour integral calculation shows that \( \sum_{Nz \leq z} 1 \ll |F; Q| \varepsilon z^{1+\varepsilon} \) for all \( z \geq 1 \) and all \( \varepsilon > 0 \). This bound, along with (4.9) and the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means, implies that (4.16) equals
\[
(4.17) \quad x \frac{\hat{\phi}(1/T)}{T} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} |\beta(\pi)|^2 \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}} \sum_{\varrho, \varrho' \in D_n(z)} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \lambda_{\pi'}(\varrho') g_{\pi}(\varrho, \varrho') + O_n[F; Q] \varepsilon \left( Q^{n^2 + n + x} T |F; Q| n^2 z^{2n^2 + 3} |\mathfrak{S}_n(Q)| \right).
\]

Proceeding as in the formulation of the Selberg sieve in [10, Theorem 7.1], we find that for each \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q) \), there exists a choice of \( \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \) satisfying (4.9) such that
\[
(4.18) \quad \sum_{\varrho, \varrho' \in D_n(z)} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \lambda_{\pi'}(\varrho') g_{\pi}(\varrho, \varrho') = \sum_{\varrho \leq 2z} \prod_{p \mid \varrho} \frac{g_{\pi}(p)}{1 - g_{\pi}(p)} \leq \left( \sum_{Nz \leq z} a_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}(n) \right)^{-1}.
\]
Hence (4.17) is
\[
(4.19) \quad \leq x \frac{\hat{\phi}(1/T)}{T} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} \sum_{\varrho \leq 2z} \frac{|\beta(\pi)|^2 \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}}{a_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}(n)} + O_n[F; Q] \varepsilon \left( Q^{n^2 + n + x} T |F; Q| n^2 z^{2n^2 + 3} |\mathfrak{S}_n(Q)| \right).
\]

Since \( \hat{\phi}(1/T) \ll 1 \) by (4.2) and \( \|\beta\|_2 = 1 \), we conclude that
\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} \sum_{Nz \leq z, x, \varepsilon} a_{\pi}(n) 1_{\pi}(n) \left[ \sum_{\varrho \mid (n, P_n(z))} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) \right] b(n)^2 \ll_{n, [F; Q], \varepsilon} x \frac{\max_{T \in \mathfrak{S}_n(Q)} \sum_{Nz \leq z} \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}}}{Nz} + Q^{n^2 + n + x} T |F; Q| n^2 z^{2n^2 + 3} |\mathfrak{S}_n(Q)|.
\]

To prove (4.7), note that \( |\alpha_{j, j' \times \pi \times \overline{\pi'}}(p)| \leq Np \) for all \( p \), hence \( \prod_{p \mid q_n} |L(1, \pi_p \times \overline{\pi}_p)|^{-1} \ll_{n, [F; Q]} \prod_{p \mid q_n} 2^n \ll_{n, [F; Q], \varepsilon} C(\pi) \varepsilon \). We combine this estimate with (2.8) and (2.9) to find that \( \kappa_{\pi \times \overline{\pi'}} \ll_{n, [F; Q], \varepsilon} C(\pi) \varepsilon \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Also, for all \( n \), we have \( \sum_{\varrho \mid (n, P_n(1))} \lambda_{\pi}(\varrho) = 1 \). Thus (4.7) follows from (4.20) with \( T = z = 1 \).
We now prove (4.8). Note that if \( n \) is a prime ideal with norm greater than \( z \), then 
\[
\sum_{\mathcal{O}((n, P(z)))} \lambda_\pi(d) = 1.
\]
With (1.3) and (4.20) in hand, it suffices to prove that
\[
(4.21) \quad \sum_{\substack{N_n \leq z \\ (n,q_s) = 1}} \frac{a_\pi(n)}{N_n} \geq \frac{1 + \kappa_{\pi,z} \log z}{3}, \quad z \gg n, [F:Q] Q^{9n^2}.
\]

Fix \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1/4) \). Fix a smooth function \( \phi_1 \) which is compactly supported in \([0, 1]\), with \( \phi_1(t) = 1 \) for \( t \in [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon] \) and \( \phi_1(t) \leq 1 \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \). If \( Nn \in (y, ey) \), then \( Nn^{-1} \geq (ey)^{-1} \phi_1(\log \frac{Nn}{y}) \). By Lemma 4.11 with \( \delta = (1) \) and \( T = 1 \),
\[
(4.22) \quad \sum_{\substack{Nn \in (y, ey) \\ (n,q_s) = 1}} \frac{a_\pi(n)}{Nn} \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e} + O(\varepsilon) \right) \kappa_{\pi,z} + O_{n, [F:Q], \phi_1, \varepsilon} \left( \frac{Q^{n^2+n+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/4}} \right).
\]

For small enough, we dyadically divide \( [\sqrt{z}, z] \) and use (4.22) to obtain
\[
\sum_{\substack{Nn \leq z \\ (n,q_s) = 1}} \frac{a_\pi(n)}{Nn} \geq 1 + \sum_{\sqrt{z} \leq \sqrt{Nn} \leq z \\ (n,q_s) = 1} \frac{a_\pi(n)}{Nn} \geq 1 + \frac{\kappa_{\pi,z}}{3} \log z + O_{n, [F:Q], \phi_1, \varepsilon} \left( \frac{Q^{n^2+n+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/4}} \right).
\]

Once \( z \gg n, [F:Q] Q^{9n^2} \) with a sufficiently large implied constant, we achieve (4.21).  \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Dyadically decompose \([1, N]\) and sum the contributions from each subinterval using Theorem 4.2 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.  \( \square \)

**Remark.** Even with the description of \( L(s, \pi_p \times \pi'_{p'}) \) when \( p|q_{\pi}q_{\pi'} \) given by Brumley [28 Appendix], there appears to be no variant of (3.2) when \( (n, q_{\pi}) \neq (1) \) which holds with enough uniformity in \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) to allow us to remove the \( (n, q_{\pi}) = (1) \) condition in Theorem 1.1. Such a removal would eliminate the need work with the unramified Rankin–Selberg \( L \)-functions, and the \( Q^{n^2+n} \) term would improve to \( Q^n \), even without GRC.

### 4.2. Mean value estimates for Dirichlet polynomials

The corollary below, whose proof relies on Theorem 4.2, serves as a key component in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( Q, T \geq 1 \). If \( y \gg n, [F:Q] (QT^{[F:Q]})^60n^4 \) and \( u \in [y, y^{12000}] \), then
\[
\sum_{\pi \in \delta_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{y < Np \leq u} \frac{a_\pi(p) \log Np}{Np^{1+it}} \right|^2 dt \ll n, [F:Q] \log u.
\]

**Proof.** A formal generalization of [11] Theorem 1] to number fields tells us that for \( c(n) \) a complex-valued function supported on the integral ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_F \), such that \( \sum_{n} |c(n)| < \infty \),
\[
\int_{-T}^{T} \left| \sum_{n} c(n) Nn^{-it} \right|^2 dt \ll T^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{Nn \in (x, xe^{T})} c(n) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x}.
\]

Therefore, if \( \sum_{Np > z} |b(p)|Np < \infty \), then
\[
(4.23) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \delta_n(Q)} \int_{-T}^{T} \left| \sum_{Np > z} a_\pi(p)b(p)Np^{-it} \right|^2 dt \ll T^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in \delta_n(Q)} \left| \sum_{Np \in (x, xe^{T})} a_\pi(p)b(p) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x}.
\]
If \( z \gg_n [F : \mathbb{Q}] Q^{9n^2} \), then by Theorem 4.2, (4.23) is

\[
(4.24) \quad \ll_{n, [F : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\mathcal{P} > z} |b(\mathcal{P})|^2 \mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{\log z} + \frac{Q^{5n^2} T[F : \mathbb{Q}] n z^{2n^2 + 3}}{\mathcal{P}} \right).
\]

Let \( y \gg_n [F : \mathbb{Q}] (QT[F : \mathbb{Q}])^{60n^4} \) with a sufficiently large implied constant and \( z = y^{3/20n^2} \), which ensures that \( z \gg_n [F : \mathbb{Q}] Q^{9n^2} \). Let \( u \in [y, y^{12000}] \), and define \( b(\mathcal{P}) \) to be \((\log \mathcal{P})/\mathcal{P}\) if \( \mathcal{P} \in [y, u] \) and zero otherwise. Combining (4.23) and (4.24) with these choices, we find that

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \frak{F}_n} \int_{-T}^{T} \sum_{y < \mathcal{P} \leq u} \alpha_\pi(\mathcal{P}) \log \mathcal{P} \frac{dt}{\mathcal{P}^{1+it}} \ll_{n, [F : \mathbb{Q}]} \frac{1}{\log y} \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in [y, u]} \frac{(\log \mathcal{P})^2}{\mathcal{P}} \ll_{n, [F : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in [y, u]} \frac{\log \mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{P}}.
\]

Since at most \([F : \mathbb{Q}]\) prime ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_F \) lie over a given rational prime \( \mathcal{P} \), the final sum is \( \ll_{n, [F : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{\mathcal{P} \leq u} (\log \mathcal{P})/\mathcal{P} \). This is \( \ll_{n, [F : \mathbb{Q}]} \log u \) by Mertens’s theorem.

5. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

Our approach to proving Theorem 1.2 closely follows the approach in [28], which handles the case where \( |\frak{f}_n(Q)| = 1 \) and \( F = \mathbb{Q} \). We require only minor modifications, so we assume some familiarity with [28]. For \( \pi \in \frak{f}_n(Q) \), we define the coefficients \( \Lambda_\pi(n) \) by

\[
(5.1) \quad \sum_n \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^s} = -\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{\pi,j}(\mathcal{P})^k \log \mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{P}^{ks}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.
\]

5.1. Preliminary lemmas.

Suppose that \( r_\pi = 0 \), in which case \( L(s, \pi) \) is entire. Taking logarithmic derivatives of both sides of (2.3), we see that

\[
(5.2) \quad \sum_\mathcal{P} \left( \frac{1}{s - \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) + b_\pi = \frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi) + \frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi_{\infty}).
\]

Using the functional equation of \( \Lambda(s, \pi) \) and the fact that \( \Lambda(s, \pi) \) is entire of order 1, one can prove that \( \text{Re}(b_\pi) \) equals the absolutely convergent sum \(-\sum_\rho \text{Re}(\rho^{-1})\). Thus

\[
(5.3) \quad \sum_\rho \text{Re} \left( \frac{1}{s - \rho} \right) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi) + \frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi_{\infty}) \right).
\]

Lemma 5.1. If \( \eta > 0 \), then

\[
\sum_n \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^{1+\eta}} \leq \frac{1}{\eta} + n \log C(\pi) + O(n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}]) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} + O(n \log C(\pi)).
\]

Proof. The first part is a direct generalization of the work in [28] to number fields. See Lemma 2.3 therein and the discussion immediately following it. The second part follows from Minkowski’s bound: \( n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}] \ll n^2 \log D_F \ll n \log C(\pi) \).

Lemma 5.2. If \( 0 < \eta \leq 1 \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), then

\[
\sum_\rho \frac{1 + \eta - \beta}{|1 + \eta + it - \rho|^2} \leq 2n \log Q + n[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log(2 + |t|) + \frac{2n}{\eta} + O(n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}]).
\]

and \( \#\{\rho: |\rho - (1 + it)| \leq \eta\} \leq 10\eta n \log Q + 5\eta n[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log(2 + |t|) + O(n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log C(\pi)) \). Finally,

\[
N_\pi(0, 6) = \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma: |\gamma| \leq 6\} \geq \frac{4}{15} \log C(\pi) + O_{n,[F : \mathbb{Q}]}(1).
\]
Proof. These results follow from (5.3). The proof is the same as [28, Lemma 3.1], except that we use Lemma 5.1 instead of [28, Equation 1.9]. Using the identity $\Gamma(s) = 2^{s-1}\pi^{-1/2}(s+1/2)$, we note that (with multiplicity) there are $n\sum_v\text{archim.}d(v) = n[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ gamma factors in $L(s, \pi_{\infty})$. This accounts for the dependence on $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$. \hfill \Box

5.2. Detecting zeros. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, and let

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{\log(QT^{[F : \mathbb{Q}]})} < \eta \leq \frac{1}{200n^3}.
\end{equation}

Let $s = 1 + \eta + i\tau$. If $L(s, \pi)$ is entire, then differentiating (5.2) $k$ times, we find that

$$(\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi))^{(k)} + \sum_{v \text{ archim.}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_v(s + \mu_{j,v}(v))\right)^{(k)} = (-1)^k k! \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{(s - \rho)^{k+1}}.$$  

We mimic the calculation leading to [28, Equation 4.2] and conclude that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi)\right)^{(k)} - \sum_{|s - \rho| < 200\eta} \frac{1}{(s - \rho)^{k+1}} \ll \frac{n\log(QT^{[F : \mathbb{Q}]})}{(200\eta)^k}.
\end{equation}

If $L(z, \pi)$ has a zero $\rho_0$ satisfying $|s - \rho| \leq 200\eta$ (with $s = 1 + \eta + i\tau$), then we can produce upper and lower bounds for the high derivatives of $L'/L(s, \pi)$. This leads to a criterion by which we can detect zeros near $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ following [28]. The interplay between the upper and lower bounds will produce the desired zero density estimate. If the sum over zeros in (5.3) is not empty, then we can appeal to the following result of Sós and Turán [27].

Lemma 5.3. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_v \in \mathbb{C}$. If $K \geq v$, then there exists an integer $k \in [K, 2K]$ such that $|z_1^k + \cdots + z_v^k| \geq (|z_1|/50)^k$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|\tau| \leq T$, and let $\eta$ satisfy (5.4). If $L(z, \pi)$ has a zero $\rho_0$ satisfying $|\rho_0 - (1 + it)| \leq \eta$, $K > \lceil 2000\eta n\log(QT^{[F : \mathbb{Q}]}) + O(n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}]) \rceil$, then for some integer $k \in [K, 2K]$, one has (recall $s = 1 + \eta + i\tau$)

$$\left| \sum_{|s - \rho| \leq 200\eta} \frac{1}{(s - \rho)^{k+1}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{(100\eta)^{k+1}}.$$  

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, there are $\leq 2000\eta n\log(QT^{[F : \mathbb{Q}]}) + O(n^2[F : \mathbb{Q}])$ zeros satisfying $|s - \rho| \leq 200\eta$. If $z_1 = (s - \rho_0)^{-1}$, then $|z_1| \geq 1/(2\eta)$. Thus we may apply Lemma 5.3. \hfill \Box

We proceed to the upper bound.

Lemma 5.5. Let $L(s, \pi)$ be entire. Let $T \geq 1$, let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|\tau| \leq T$, and let $\eta$ satisfy (5.4). Let $K \geq 1$ and $k \in [K, 2K]$ be integers, and put $N_0 = \exp(K/(300\eta))$ and $N_1 = \exp(40K/\eta)$. Set $s = 1 + \eta + i\tau$. One has that

$$\left| \frac{\eta^{k+1}}{k!} \left(\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi)\right)^{(k)} \right| \leq \eta^2 \int_{N_0}^{N_1} \left| \sum_{N_0 \leq \eta p \leq u} a_p(p) \log p \right| \frac{du}{u} + O\left(\frac{\eta n\log(QT^{[F : \mathbb{Q}]})}{(110)^k}\right).$$

Proof. This is very similar to [28, Lemma 4.3], except that the sum inside of the integral is over prime ideals rather than powers of prime ideals. Since $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\eta^{k+1}}{k!} \left(\frac{L'}{L}(s, \pi)\right)^{(k)} \right| = \eta \sum_n \frac{\Lambda_n(n)}{N_n^{1+\eta+i\tau}} \frac{(\eta \log N_n)^k}{k!}.$$
During the proof of [28 Lemma 4.3], it is shown that \((\eta \log Nn)^k/k! \leq Nn^{-\eta/2}(110)^{-k}\) for \(Nn \not\in [N_0, N_1]\). Thus by Lemma \[5.1\]
\[(5.6) \quad \left| \sum_{n \notin [N_0, N_1]} \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^{1+\eta+i\tau}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(110)^k} \sum_n \frac{|\Lambda_\pi(n)|}{Nn^{1+\eta/2}} \leq \frac{n \log(QT^{[F:Q]})}{(110)^k}.
\]

Let \(n \geq 2\). Consider the composite \(n\) with \(Nn \in [N_0, N_1]\). Since \((\log u)^k \leq k!u\) for \(k, u \geq 1\),
\[(\eta \log Nn)^k/k! = ((n^2 + 1)\eta)^k \frac{(\log Nn^{\pi^2 + 1})^k}{k!} \leq ((n^2 + 1)\eta)^k Nn^{\pi^2 + 1} \leq \frac{Nn^{\pi^2 + 1}}{(110)^k}.
\]

The above estimate and the bound \((2.2)\) imply that
\[(5.7) \quad \left| \sum_{Nn \in [N_0, N_1]} \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^{1+\eta+i\tau}} \right| \leq \frac{n \log(QT^{[F:Q]})}{(110)^k}.
\]

The bound \((5.7)\) is even easier to prove when \(n = 1\), in which case GRC holds.

By partial summation similar to [28 Page 1250], Lemma \[5.1\] we have
\[(5.8) \quad \left| \sum_{Nn \in [N_0, N_1]} \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^{1+\eta+i\tau}} \right| \leq \eta \int_{N_0}^{N_1} \left| \sum_{Nn \leq Np \leq u} \frac{\Lambda_\pi(n)}{Nn^{1+\eta+i\tau}} \right| \frac{du}{u} + O\left(\frac{1}{(110)^k} \sum_n \frac{|\Lambda_\pi(n)|}{Nn^{1+\eta/2}}\right).
\]

The lemma follows from \((5.6)\), \((5.7)\), and \((5.8)\) with the identity \(\Lambda_\pi(p) = a_\pi(p) \log Np\).

5.3. Proof of Theorem \[1.2\]. Our work in the previous subsection produces an upper bound for the count of zeros of \(L(s, \pi)\) close to the line \(\text{Re}(s) = 1\).

Lemma 5.6. Under the hypotheses of Lemma \[5.3\] if \(K \geq 10^5 n^3 \eta \log(QT^{[F:Q]}) + O_{n,[F:Q]}(1)\) with a sufficiently large implied constant, then
\[N_\pi(1 - \eta/2, T) \ll n_{[F:Q]}(101)^{4K} K \eta^2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{N_0}^{N_1} \left| \sum_{Nn \leq Np \leq u} \frac{a_\pi(p) \log Np}{Np^{1+i\tau}} \right| \frac{2du}{u} d\tau.
\]

Proof. Mimic the proof of [28 Equation 4.5], replacing [28 Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3] with Lemmas \[5.3\] and \[5.5\].

We use Corollary \[1.3\] and Lemma \[5.6\] to prove Theorem \[1.2\].

Proof of Theorem \[1.2\]. All implied constants in this proof will depend on at most \(n\) and \([F : Q]\). Choose \(K = 10^5 n^3 \eta \log(QT^{[F:Q]}) + O(1)\). Recall that the range of \(\eta\) is given by \((5.4)\), \(N_0 = \exp(K/(300\eta))\), and \(n = \exp(40K/\eta)\). By Lemma \[5.6\] we have the bound
\[(5.9) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_\pi(Q)} N_\pi(1 - \eta/2, T) \ll (101)^{4K} K \eta^2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{N_0}^{N_1} \left| \sum_{Nn \leq Np \leq u} \frac{a_\pi(p) \log Np}{Np^{1+i\tau}} \right|^2 \frac{d\tau du}{u}.
\]
We apply Corollary 4.3 with $y = N_0$ to deduce that (5.9) is $\ll (101)^4 K^3$. Using our particular choices of $K$ and $\eta$ and writing $\sigma = 1 - \eta/2$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)} N_\pi(\sigma, T) \ll (QT^{[K:Q]})^{10^7 n^4(1-\sigma)}, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{400 n^3} \leq \sigma < 1 - \frac{1}{2 \log(QT^{[F:Q]}),}$$

If $\sigma \geq 1 - (2 \log(QT^{[F:Q]}))^{-1}$, then

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)} N_\pi(\sigma, T) \leq \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)} N_\pi \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2 \log(QT^{[F:Q]}),} T \right) \ll 1 \ll (QT^{[K:Q]})^{10^7 n^4(1-\sigma)}.$$

On the other hand, if $\sigma < (400 n^3)^{-1}$, then our estimate is trivial since repeatedly applying Lemma 5.2 with $\eta = 1$ implies that $N_\pi(1/2, T) \ll T \log(QT)$ for each $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)$.

We assumed that each $L(s, \pi)$ is entire, only omitting the possibility that $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is trivial. In this case, $L(s, \pi) = \zeta_F(s)$, and $N_\pi(\sigma, T) \ll (D_F T^{[F:Q]})^{200(1-\sigma)}$ by [29, Theorem 4.5]. □

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\alpha = \varepsilon/(10^7 n^3)$. We mimic the proof of [28, Theorem 1.1], using Lemma 5.2 instead of [28, Lemma 3.1], and find that

$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\alpha}{10^9} \right) \log C(\pi) + \frac{\alpha}{10^7} N_\pi(1 - \alpha, 6) + 2 \log |L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi)| + O_{n,[F:Q]}(1).$$

It follows from (2.2) that $2 \log |L(\frac{3}{2}, \pi)| \ll_{n,[F:Q]} 1$. Theorem 1.2 implies that for all except $O_{n,[F:Q]}(Q^{n\varepsilon})$ of the $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n(Q)$, we have $N_\pi(1 - \alpha, 6) = 0$. Theorem 1.3 now follows from (1.3), which implies that if $Q \gg n,F 1$, then $Q^n \ll_{n,[F:Q]} |\mathcal{F}_n(Q)|$.
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