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Abstract. Given a fibration $f$ between two projective manifolds $X$ and $Y$, we prove that $f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ is nef, where $(L, h)$ is a pseudo-effective line bundle with mild singularity.

1. Introduction

Assume that $f : X \to Y$ is a fibration, i.e. a morphism with connected fibres, between two projective manifolds $X$ and $Y$. $L$ is a line bundle on $X$. The positivity of the associated direct image $f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ is of much importance to understand the geometry of this fibration. There are fruitful results in this subject, such as [2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26]. It turns out that the positivity of $f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ is deeply influenced by $L$.

In this paper, we focus on such a circumstance that $(L, h)$ is pseudo-effective with $\mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth fibration between two projective manifolds $X$ and $Y$. Let $(L, h)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-effective line bundle on $X$ with $\mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$. Moreover, the Lelong number along fibre $\nu(h, X_y) = 0$ for every $y \in Y$. Assume that $\mathcal{E} = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ is locally free, then it is nef.

The meaning of the Lelong number along fibre will be clarified in the text. The similar notion first appears in [5], in which it was defined on a trivial vector bundle over disc, and turns out to be useful in the study of the openness conjecture and the $L^2$-extension on the non-reduced variety. We remark here that it is a more intrinsic way to characterise the "horizontal" singularity of $h$.

There are various articles in which the almost nefness of the direct image was proved. One can refer to [2, 20, 21] for more details. The main stream of these papers is to use the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem to extend the sections along the fibre of $f : X \to Y$ locally, which asks that the singular metric $h$ to be well-defined along the certain fibre. As a result, one have to assume that $h$ is well-defined along each fibre if the nefness is required. In this paper, we will be more flexible with the help of the so-called Lelong number along fibre. Obviously, there exists such a metric whose Lelong number along fibre
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is zero along each fibre but need not to be well-defined everywhere. Also we will present a general version of the positivity of the direct image appeared in [2, 20, 21] in the appendix in order to distinguish our method.

Next we consider a canonical fibration. Let $Y$ be a projective manifold, and $E$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on $Y$ with rank $E = r$. There is a natural smooth fibration $\pi : X := \mathbb{P}(E^*) \to Y$. Let $\mathcal{O}_E(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle. The key point is the following relationship:

$$K_{X/Y} = \mathcal{O}_E(-r) + \pi^*(\text{det } E).$$

We take $L = \mathcal{O}_E(r + 1) - \pi^*(\text{det } E)$, which is ample along fibre. Then we can translate Theorem 1.1 into the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $Y$ be a projective $n$-fold. $E$ is a vector bundle on $Y$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $E$ is nef, and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $S^mE$ has a section;
2. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there exists a family of metrics $\{h_m\}$ on $\mathcal{O}_E(m)$ such that $(\mathcal{O}_E(m), h_m)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective with $\mathcal{I}(h_m) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\nu(h_m, X_y) = 0$ for every $y \in Y$.

This theorem shows that the result in Theorem 1.1 is optimal in this situation.

The organization of this article is as follows. We first introduce some notions, including the definition of the positivity of a vector bundle, the Lelong number along fibre and so on. Then we proceed to a talk about the positivity of direct images in §3. The further discussions will be given in §4. For reader’s convenience and the completeness of this paper, we will provide a general version of the almost nefness of the direct images in Appendix.

**Acknowledgment.** This paper was finished during the visit to Chalmers, so the author thanks his domestic supervisor Prof. Jixiang Fu for his support. Also the author wants to express his gratitude to Prof. Bo Berndtsson for many valuable discussions. Thanks also go to Ya Deng and Jian Xiao, who made a detailed introduction to the recent work of Păun–Takayama on the direct images.

2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r$ on a projective manifold $Y$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$ the projectivized bundle of $E^*$ and by $\mathcal{O}_E(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Let $\pi : X := \mathbb{P}(E^*) \to X$ be the canonical projection. First we recall some notions concerning the positivity of $E$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $h$ be a (singular) Hermitian metric on $E$. 

(1) \( h \) is negatively curved (or \( h \) has Griffiths semi-negative curvature), if for any open subset \( U \subset Y \) and any \( v \in H^0(U, E) \), \( \log |v|_h^2 \) is plurisubharmonic on \( U \).

(2) \( h \) is positively curved (or \( h \) has Griffiths semi-positive curvature), if the dual singular Hermitian metric \( h^* \) := \( h^{-1} \) on the dual vector bundle \( E^* \) is negatively curved.

(3) \( E \) is weakly positive in the sense of Viehweg, if on some Zariski open subset \( U \subset Y \), for any integer \( a > 0 \), there exists an integer \( b > 0 \) such that \( S^{ab}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(bA) \) is generated by global sections on \( U \). Here \( A \) is an auxiliary ample divisor on \( Y \).

(4) \( E \) is pseudo-effective, if \( \mathcal{O}_E(1) \) is pseudo-effective and the image of the non-nef locus \( \text{NNef}(\mathcal{O}_E(1)) \) (i.e. the union of all curves \( C \) on \( X \) with \( \mathcal{O}_E(1) \cdot C < 0 \) under \( \pi \) is a proper subset of \( Y \).

(5) \( E \) is almost nef if there exists a countable family of proper subvarieties \( Z_i \) of \( Y \) such that \( E|_{C} \) is nef for any curve \( C \not\subset \bigcup_i Z_i \).

(6) \( E \) is nef, if \( \mathcal{O}_E(1) \) is nef.

**Remark 2.1.** We omit the definition of the singular Hermitian metric on \( E \) because it won’t be used in the following part of the paper. These definitions also work for a general torsion-free coherent sheaf \([21]\). Indeed, a torsion-free coherent sheaf must be locally free outside a closed Zariski subset whose codimension larger than 2. We say a torsion-free coherent sheaf satisfies the certain positivity if it does on its locally free part. The relationships among them are summarized below.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{nef} & \iff & \text{weakly positive} & \iff \text{pseudo-effective} & \iff \text{almost nef} \\
\end{array}
\]

positively curved \iff \text{pseudo-effective} \iff \text{almost nef}

One can refer to \([8, 9, 20, 21]\) for the more details.

Next let us introduce the following notion called the Lelong number along fibre.

**Definition 2.2.** (Lelong number along fibre) Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a fibration between two projective manifolds \( X \) and \( Y \). \( \varphi \) is the weight function of a pseudo-effective metric of some line bundle \( L \) on \( X \) with \( \mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}_X \). Let \( y \in Y \) be an arbitrary point. Fix a (local) section \( u \) of \( f_* (K_{X/Y} \otimes L) \). Then the Lelong number of \( \varphi \) along fibre \( X_y \) in the direction \( u \) is defined to be

\[
\nu(\varphi, X_y, u) := \nu(- \log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}, y).
\]

We say the Lelong number along fibre is zero at \( y \), if \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) = 0 \) for every \( u \), and denote it simply by \( \nu(\varphi, X_y) = 0 \).
We list some basic properties of this notion here.

**Proposition 1.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be the fibration considered above. \( \dim X = m \) and \( \dim Y = n \).

1. For every plurisubharmonic function \( \varphi \), the Lelong number along fibre always exists.
2. \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) = \sup \{ \gamma \geq 0; \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \geq \frac{C}{|z-y|^s} \text{ at } y \} \). \( C \) is a constant and \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) is the local coordinate of \( Y \) near \( y \).
3. If \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) < 1 \), then \( \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \) is integrable in a neighborhood of \( y \).
4. If \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) \geq n+s \) for some integer \( s \geq 0 \), then \( \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \geq C|z-y|^{-2n-2s} \) in a neighborhood of \( y \).

**Proof.** (1) Notice that \( I(\varphi) = O_X \) implies \( I(\varphi|_{X_y}) = O_{X_y} \) generically. Thus \( \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} < +\infty \) generically, which means that \( \psi(y) = -\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \) is a non-trivial function on \( Y \). Since \( \varphi \) is plurisubharmonic, applying the main theorem in [1, 4] we know that \( \psi \) is also a plurisubharmonic function. Thus the Lelong number of \( \nu(\psi, y) \) is well-defined by the canonical result in [19].

(2) is a quick consequence of the main result [19]. (3) and (4) are two consequences of [22]. Moreover, we would like to say a few words about (4). By assumption that \( I(\varphi) = O_X \), \( \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \) is always locally integrable. So actually (4) implies that we must have \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) < n \) for every \( u \). Simply we denote it by \( \nu(\varphi, X_y) < n \).

Example 2.1. \((L, \varphi) = (f^*H, f^*\phi)\). Obviously, \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) = \nu(\phi, y) \) for all \( u \) and \( y \) in this case. In particular, \( \nu(\varphi, X_y, u) = \nu(f_*\phi, y) \) when \( \dim Y \geq \dim X - 1 \). Certainly there is no such a restriction on our definition. Next we use some examples to illustrate the relationship between the Lelong number along fibre of \( \varphi \) and the Lelong number of the push forward of \( \varphi \) (if well-defined).

Example 2.2. Assume that \( L \) is effective and \( \varphi \) is defined by the section of \( L \). Then if we denote \( A \) by the Weil divisor correspond to \( L \), the pluripolar set of \( f_* (ddc \varphi) \) is just \( f(A) \). The pluripolar set of \( \psi = -\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \) is

\[ \{ y \in Y | X_y \subset A \}, \]

which is a subset (maybe proper) of \( f(A) \).
Example 2.3. Since $\nu(\varphi, X_y, u)$ is defined as
\[
\nu(-\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}, y) = \nu(-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}), y),
\]
we try to make an approach to the precise expression of
\[
-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi})
\]
in some special cases. We focus on a local coordinate ball $U$ of $Y$, and the restriction $(L|_{f^{-1}(U)}, \varphi|_{f^{-1}(U)})$ over $f^{-1}(U)$.

Consider the trivial vector bundle $E := f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$ over $U$ with fibre $H^0(X_y, K_{X_y} \otimes L)$ and the $L^2$-metric
\[
\|u\|_y^2 = \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}.
\]
We assume that $\dim Y = 1$ to ease the notation. As is proved in [3], if $\varphi$ is a smooth metric, the curvature associated with the $L^2$-metric can be written as

(1) \[ \langle \Theta^E u, u \rangle = \left( \int_{X_y} dd^c \varphi \wedge u \wedge \bar{u} e^{-\varphi} \right) - \left\| P_\perp \mu \right\|^2 - c_n \int_{X_y} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} e^{-\varphi}. \]

Here $\mu$ is given by $\partial^c u = dt \wedge \mu$ and $\eta$ by $\bar{\partial} u = dt \wedge \eta$. Moreover, $\langle \Theta^E u, u \rangle$ is (strictly) positive if $\varphi$ is (strictly) plurisubharmonic.

We use the formula (7) to represent $-dd^c(\log(\int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}))$. Notice that $\psi = -\log(\int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi})$ is the Finsler metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$ corresponds to $\|u\|^2_y$ (or the dual metric of $\|u\|^2$ on $E^*$, more precisely). Here we use the fact that
\[ H^0(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)) = H^0(U, E^*) \]
if we denote $\pi$ to be the projection from $\mathbb{P}(E)$ to $U$. So $\psi$ is (strictly) plurisubharmonic if and only if $\langle \Theta^E u, u \rangle$ is (strictly) positive.

Now we assume that $\varphi$ is strictly plurisubharmonic, so will be $\psi$. We can rewrite $dd^c \psi$ on the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$ (not $U$) as
\[
-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}) =
\]
\[
\{ [\xi = 0] \} + i(g_{1\bar{1}} dy \wedge d\bar{y} + g_{1\bar{2}} dy \wedge d\bar{u} + g_{2\bar{1}} du^\alpha \wedge d\bar{y} + g_{2\bar{2}} du^\alpha \wedge d\bar{u} \},
\]
and the coformal basis by
\[
\{ \delta u^\alpha = du^\alpha + g^{\beta\alpha} g_{1\bar{2}} dy, dy \}.
\]
Here $(u^\alpha)$ is the vertical coordinate of $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \to U$ and $y$ the horizontal one. $\{ [\xi = 0] \}$ is the current of integration of the zero section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$. 

5
It is proved by Kobayashi [17] that on the total space of $O_{P(E)}(1)$ (not $U$), we have

\begin{equation}
-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}) = \{\{\xi = 0\}\} - \Psi + \omega_V,
\end{equation}

where $\Psi = i \Theta^E \alpha \bar{\beta}$ and

\[ \omega_V = -i \frac{\partial^2 (\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi})}{\partial u^\alpha \partial \bar{u}^\beta} \delta u^\alpha \wedge \delta \bar{u}^\beta. \]

Combine with (1) and (2), on $U$ we have

\begin{equation}
(-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}))|_U = \frac{\partial^2 (\Theta^E u, u)}{\partial u^\alpha \partial \bar{u}^\beta} \int_{X_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi}. 
\end{equation}

This formula is not complete, since it is only valid for a smooth and (strictly) plurisubharmonic $\varphi$. It seems hard to remove the smooth assumption in general because the Hodge theory was applied in formula (1).

Next we show a special case, where the singular $\varphi$ can also be included. Assume that $-K_{X/Y} \geq 0$ and take $L = -K_{X/Y}$. In this situation, $H^0(X_y, K_{X_y} \otimes L)$ only has a trivial element $u = 1$, so $E$ is actually a line bundle. Therefore $-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} e^{-\varphi} dV_y)$ is exactly the curvature associated with the $L^2$-metric on $E$, and (3) can be simplified as

\[-dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} e^{-\varphi} dV_y) = \int_{X_y} dd^c \varphi \wedge e^{-\varphi} dV_y \frac{\int_{X_y} dd^c \varphi \wedge e^{-\varphi} dV_y}{\int_{X_y} e^{-\varphi} dV_y}.
\]

Notice that $\mu$ and $\eta$ in (1) equal zero here, and $dV_y = i^{m-n} dw \wedge d\bar{w}$. $w$ is the coordinate long fibre of $f : X \to Y$. The singular case comes from the standard approximation.

These examples tell that, $dd^c \psi = -dd^c(\log \int_{X_y} e^{-\varphi})$ as well as its Lelong number is much more complicated than $f_* \varphi$ except some special cases.

Then we recall the definition of the fibre product.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds $X$ and $Y$, the fibre product, denoted by $(X \times_Y X, p^1_2, p^2_2)$, is a projective manifold coupled with two morphisms (we will also refer the fibre product merely to the manifold $X \times_Y X$ itself if nothing is confused), which satisfies the following properties:

1. The diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times_Y X & p^2_2 & X \\
| & \searrow & | \\
p^1_2 & \downarrow f & \\
X & \downarrow f & Y \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes.
2. If there is another projective manifold $Z$ with morphisms $q_1, q_2$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{q_2} & X \\
\downarrow{q_1} & & \downarrow f \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\end{array}
$$

commutes, then there must exist a unique $g : Z \to X \times_Y X$ such that $p_1^2 \circ g = q_1, p_2^2 \circ g = q_2$.

We inductively define the $m$-fold fibre product, and denote it by $X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X$. Moreover, we denote two projections by

$$p_1^m : X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \to X$$

and

$$p_2^m : X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \to X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X$$

respectively.

The meaning of the fibre product is clear in the view of geometry. In fact, when we do the fibre product, geometrically it just means that we take the Cartesian product along the fibre. Namely, if $y$ is a regular value of $f$,

$$(X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X)_y = X_y \times \cdots \times X_y.$$

Finally we introduce the following two lemmas without proof for the later use.

**Lemma 2.1.** *(Projection formula)* If $f : X \to Y$ is a holomorphic morphism between two complex manifolds $X$ and $Y$, $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $X$, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on $Y$, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes f^* \mathcal{E}) \cong f_* (\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{E}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *(Base change)* Assume that $f : X \to Y, v : X' \to X$ and $g : X' \to Y'$ are holomorphic morphisms between complex manifolds $X, X', Y$ and $Y'$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$. $u : Y' \to Y$ is a smooth morphism, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{v} & X \\
\downarrow{g} & & \downarrow f \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \\
\end{array}
$$

commutes. Then for all $q \geq 0$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$u^* R^q f_* (\mathcal{F}) \cong R^q g_* (v^* \mathcal{F}).$$
3. The positivity of $f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$

In this section, we shall prove the main result. The ingredient is the following observation:

**Lemma 3.1.** For any positive integer $m$, consider the $m$-fold fibre product $f_m : X_m = X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \to Y$. With the same notations as in Definition 2.3, we have

$$(f_m)_*(K_{X_m/Y} \otimes (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1}p_2^m \otimes p_1^{m-2}p_2^{m-1}p_3^m \cdots \otimes p_1p_2^2\cdots p_2^m)^*)(L)) = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)^{\otimes m}.$$  

Here $L$ is an arbitrary line bundle on $X$.

**Proof.** We just prove the lemma with $m = 3$, and the general case is the same. The calculation is nothing but using Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 repeatedly. Also we need the following two facts:

1. $(g \circ f)_* = g_\ast f_\ast$ for arbitrary morphisms $f$ and $g$;
2. $K_{X_m/Y} = (p^m)_1^*K_{X/Y} \otimes (p^m)^2_2^*K_{X_{m-1}/Y}$.

Then we complete the proof by carefully chasing the diagram.

$$(f_3)_*(K_{X_3/Y} \otimes (q_3^3)_1^*L \otimes (q_3^3)^2_2^*(q_3^2)_3^*L) = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes (q_3^3)_1^*K_{X_3/Y} \otimes (q_3^3)^2_2^*(q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_3^2)_2^*(q_2^2)_3^*L)$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes (q_3^2)_2^*(K_{X_2/Y} \otimes (q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_2^2)_3^*(q_2^2)_2^*L))$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*(f_3)_*(K_{X_2/Y} \otimes (q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_2^2)_3^*(q_2^2)_2^*L))$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*(f_3)_*(K_{X_2/Y} \otimes (q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_2^2)_3^*(q_2^2)_2^*L))$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*(f_3)_*(K_{X_2/Y} \otimes (q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_2^2)_3^*(q_2^2)_2^*L))$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L) \otimes f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L \otimes f^*(f_3)_*(K_{X_2/Y} \otimes (q_3^2)_3^*L \otimes (q_2^2)_3^*(q_2^2)_2^*L))$$

$$= f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)^{\otimes 3}. \quad \Box$$

We also need the following Kollár-type vanishing theorem proved in [24].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective fibration between two projective manifolds $X$ and $Y$. Let $(L, h)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-effective line bundle on $X$ so that $\mathcal{J}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then if $A$ is ample on $Y$, the following holds:

$$H^i(Y, f_*(K_X \otimes L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(A)) = 0$$

for every $i > 0$.

Combine with the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, there is a direct global generation result.

**Theorem 3.2. (Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, [18])** Let $X$ be a projective variety and let $H$ be an ample divisor that is generated by global
sections. Let $S$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$, and let $m$ be a natural number such that

$$H^i(X, S \otimes H^{m-i}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$ (which is called $m$-regular). Then $S \otimes H^m$ is generated by its global sections.

Denote $E = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)$, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.1, if $A$ and $A'$ are line bundles on $Y$, with $A$ ample and globally generated, and $A'$ nef, then the sheaf $E \otimes A^m \otimes A' \otimes K_Y$ is globally generated for every $m \geq \dim Y + 1$.

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $H^i(Y, E \otimes A^{m-i} \otimes A' \otimes K_Y) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$. Therefore the sheaf $E \otimes A^m \otimes A' \otimes K_Y$ is globally generated by Theorem 3.2. □

Now we turn to the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Consider the $m$-fold fibre product $f_m : X_m = X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \to Y$. If we denote

$$L_m := (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} p_2^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1^m p_2 p_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_2^1 p_2 \cdots p_2^m)^*(L),$$

by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$E^{\otimes m} \otimes A = (f_m)_*(K_{X_m/Y} \otimes L_m) \otimes A.$$  

In order to apply Corollary 3.1 we should analyse the singularity of the pseudo-effective metric of $L_m$. Indeed, the metric $h$ of $L$ induces a natural metric

$$h_m = (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} p_2^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1^m p_2 p_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_2^1 p_2 \cdots p_2^m)^*(h)$$

of $L_m$ with positive curvature current. If we fix a point $y \in Y$ and its neighborhood $(U, (y_1, \ldots, y_n))$ as well as $(f^{-1}(U), (y_1, \ldots, y_n), (x_1, \ldots, x_l))$, locally the weight function $\varphi$ of $h$ can be written as:

$$\varphi = \varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n), (x_1, \ldots, x_l)).$$

Since $f$ is smooth, we have $f_m^{-1}(U) = U \times X_y^1 \times \cdots \times X_y^m$. Here we add the index $x_l$ to distinguish the fibres. Then we take the local coordinate ball of $(X_m)_y$ to be

$$(f_m^{-1}(U), ((y_1, \ldots, y_n), (x_1^l, \ldots, x_l^1), \ldots, (x_1^m, \ldots, x_l^m))),$$

and the weight function $\varphi_m$ of $h_m$ would be

$$\varphi_m = (p_1^m + p_1^{m-1} p_2^1 + \cdots + p_2^1 p_2 \cdots p_2^m)^* \varphi$$

$$= \sum_j \varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n), (x_j^l, \ldots, x_l^1)).$$

We claim that for any (local) section $u$ of

$$(f_m)_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)|_U = H^0(f_m^{-1}(U), K_{X/Y} \otimes L_m),$$
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the integral
\[ \int_U \int_{X^1_y \times \cdots \times X^m_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} \]
is finite. In fact, we only need to consider those sections \( u \) with the form that
\[ u = p_1^{m_k} u_m \otimes p_1^{m_{k-1}} p_2^{m_k} u_{m-1} \otimes p_1^{m_{k-2}} p_2^{m_{k-1}} p_3^{m_k} u_{m-2} \otimes \cdots \otimes p_1^{m_2} p_2^{m_1} u_1, \]
where \( u_1, \ldots, u_m \) are (local) sections of \( L|_{f^{-1}(U)} \). The reason is that for a general section \( u \) we can always find an \( \tilde{u} \) with such a form so that \( |u|^2 \leq |\tilde{u}|^2 \). Then we have
\[
\psi_m(y) = -\log(\int_{X^1_y \times \cdots \times X^m_y} |u|^2 e^{-\sum_j \varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n),(x^1_j, \ldots, x^m_j))})
= -\log(\prod_j \int_{X^1_y} |u_j|^2 e^{-\varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n),(x^1_j, \ldots, x^m_j))})
= -\sum_j \log \int_{X^1_y} |u_j|^2 e^{-\varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n),(x^1_j, \ldots, x^m_j))}.
\]
Thus its Lelong number
\[ \nu(\psi_m, y) = \sum_j \nu(-\log \int_{X^1_y} |u_j|^2 e^{-\varphi((y_1, \ldots, y_n),(x^1_j, \ldots, x^m_j))}, y) = 0 \]
for every \( y \in Y \) by assumption, which proves our claim due to the famous theorem of Skoda \cite{22}. Then we conclude that
\[ \int_{f_m^{-1}(U)} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} \]
is also finite, which exactly means that \( \mathcal{I}(\varphi_m) = \mathcal{O}_{X_m} \). Indeed, let \( Z := \{ y \in Y | \varphi|_{X^1_y} \equiv -\infty \} \) which is a Zariski closed subset of \( Y \). For any \( y_0 \in Z \), we can take a family of tubular neighborhoods \( \{ f_m^{-1}(U_\varepsilon) \} \) of \( X_{y_0} \) such that \( f_m^{-1}(U) \setminus f_m^{-1}(U_\varepsilon) \subset Y \setminus Z \), and we have
\[
\int_{f_m^{-1}(U) \setminus f_m^{-1}(U_\varepsilon)} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} = \int_{(U \setminus U_\varepsilon) \times X^1_y \times \cdots \times X^m_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} 
\leq \int_U \int_{X^1_y \times \cdots \times X^m_y} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m}
\]
for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Therefore we conclude that
\[ \int_{f_m^{-1}(U)} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{f_m^{-1}(U) \setminus f_m^{-1}(U_\varepsilon)} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_m} < +\infty. \]
Now, in order to prove that \( \mathcal{I}(\varphi_m) = \mathcal{O}_{X_m} \), we need to prove that \( \int_Y e^{-\varphi_m} \) is finite for any local coordinate ball \( V \) of \( X \). For a section \( u \) of \( (K_{X/Y} \otimes L)|_{f^{-1}(U)} \) with \( V \subset f^{-1}(U) \), consider the resolution of \( \{ u = 0 \} \). It means a projective manifold \( \tilde{X} \) with \( g : \tilde{X} \to X \), such that
\{g^*u = 0\} is smooth. In other word, \(dg^*u \neq 0\) when \(g^*u = 0\). Then we have

\[
\int_V e^{-\varphi_m} = \int_{g^{-1}(V)} e^{-g^*\varphi_m} \\
\leq (\int_{g^{-1}(V)} |g^*u|^2 e^{-2g^*\varphi_m - \epsilon \log |g^*u|^2})^{1/2} (\int_{g^{-1}(V)} |g^*u|^{2(\epsilon - 1)})^{1/2} \\
= A \cdot B
\]

with some \(\epsilon\) small enough. The inequality comes from Hölder’s inequality. The term \(A\) is bounded since the Lelong number along fibre of \(\varphi\) is zero and the strong openness of the multiplier ideal sheaf proved in \([10]\). Furthermore, because \(dg^*u \neq 0\) when \(g^*u = 0\), near \(\{g^*u = 0\}\), which is integrable. So \(B\) is also finite, and we have \(I(\varphi_m) = O_{X_m}\).

Then we fix a very ample line bundle \(H\) on \(Y\) and let \(A = K_Y \otimes H^{\otimes (n+1)}\) with \(n = \dim Y\). Applying Corollary 3.1 to \(f_m\), we deduce that the sheaf \(E \otimes A\) is generated by its global sections.

Therefore the sheaf \(S^m \cdot E \otimes A\), being a quotient of \(E \otimes A\), is globally generated, too. Consider \(\pi : X \to Y\). Note that we have a surjective morphism

\[
\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_E(m) \cong \pi^*(S^m(E)) \to \mathcal{O}_E(m),
\]

and we thus deduce that \(\mathcal{O}_E(m) \otimes \pi^* A\) is globally generated, hence nef, for every \(m \geq 1\). This implies that \(\mathcal{O}_E(1)\) is nef, that is, \(E\) is nef. \(\square\)

4. FURTHER DISCUSSION

Given a vector bundle \(E\) of rank \(r\) over \(Y\), there is a natural smooth fibration \(\pi : X := \mathbb{P}(E^*) \to Y\). We have the following relationship:

\[
K_{X/Y} = \mathcal{O}_E(-r) + \pi^*(\det E).
\]

Therefore \(-K_{X_y} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(r)\) which is positive. Moreover,

\[
S^m(E) = \pi_* (K_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(r + m) \otimes \pi^*(\det E)^{-1}).
\]

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 we know that if there exists a metric \(h_{r+1}\) of \(\mathcal{O}_E(r + 1)\) such that \((\mathcal{O}_E(r + 1), h_{r+1})\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-effective with \(\mathcal{I}(h_{r+1}) = \mathcal{O}_X\), and \(\nu(h_{r+1}, X_y) = 0\) for every \(y \in Y\), \(E\) is nef. One may worry about the positivity of \(\det E\), but it can be absorbed by \(A = K_Y \otimes H^{\otimes (n+1)}\). Moreover, since

\[
H^0(X, S^m E) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(E^*), \mathcal{O}_E(m))
\]

for all \(m \in \mathbb{N}_+\), certainly \(S^m E\) will have a section for some \(m \in \mathbb{N}_+\). It turns out that it’s actually a sufficient and necessary condition as is stated in Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. (2)⇒(1). As we see above,

\[ S^m E = \pi_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r + m)) \otimes \text{det} \mathcal{E}. \]

Notice that \((\mathcal{O}(r + m), h_m)\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-effective and the Lelong number \(\nu(h_m, X_y) = 0\). Thus \(S^m E \otimes (\text{det} E)^r \otimes A\) is nef for all \(m > 0\) by (the proof of) Theorem 1.1 for some sufficiently ample line bundle \(A\). It means that \(E\) is nef itself.

(1)⇒(2). We have

\[ \mathcal{O}(r + m) = K_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2r + m) \otimes \pi^*(\text{det} E)^{-1} \]

by the canonical formula. Since \((\mathcal{O}(r + m)|_{X_y})\) is pseudo-effective (ample, actually), we can define the fibrewise super-canonical metric \(\varphi_{\text{can}}\) on the line bundle \(\mathcal{O}(r + m)|_{X_y}\) as follows: we endow \((\mathcal{O}(2r + m) \otimes \pi^*(\text{det} E)^{-1})|_{X_y}\) with a smooth metric \(h_{y,m}\). Take a Kähler metric \(\omega\) on \(X\) and define

\[ \alpha_{y,m} = \Theta(K_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2r + m) \otimes \pi^*(\text{det} E)^{-1})|_{X_y, \omega, h_{y,m}}. \]

Then for each \(y \in Y\) we define \(\varphi_{\text{can},m}\) to be

\[ \varphi_{\text{can},m}(y) = \sup\{ \varphi(y)|\alpha_{y,m} + dd^c \varphi \geq 0, \int_{X_y} e^\varphi \leq 1 \}. \]

Here the operator \(dd^c\) is taken along the fibre. We get a metric of \(\mathcal{O}(r + m)|_{X_y}\) as \(y\) varies. We claim that this metric is the desired metric. First, it is smooth along all the fibres because \((\mathcal{O}(r + m)|_{X_y})\) is ample. So \(\mathcal{I}(\varphi_{\text{can},m}) = \mathcal{O}_X\). Moreover, \(\nu(\varphi_{\text{can},m}, X_y) = 0\) everywhere. We only need to prove that \(\varphi_{\text{can},m}\) is plurisubharmonic.

Now we show that \(\varphi_{\text{can},m}\) is plurisubharmonic, and for this, it is enough to look at the analytic disk \(\Delta \subset Y\). We may thus as well assume that \(Y = \Delta\) is the unit disk. Fix an auxiliary line bundle \((A, \psi)\) on \(X\) first (which will be clarified later). Consider the super-canonical metric \(\varphi_{\text{can},m}\) over \(X_0\). The approximation argument developed in [6] shows that \(\varphi_{\text{can},m}\) along the central fibre is a regularized upper limit

\[ \varphi_{\text{can},m}|_{X_0} = (\lim_{l \to +\infty} \sup_{l} \frac{1}{l} \log |\sigma_l|^2)^* \]

defined by sections

\[ \sigma_l \in H^0(X_0, l(K_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2r + m)|_{X_0}) \otimes p_1 A|_{X_0}) \]

such that

\[ \int_{X_0} |\sigma_l|^2 e^{-(l-p_l)\varphi_{\text{can},m} - p_l \psi_0} dV_\omega \leq C. \]

Here we take \(\psi_0 = \varphi_\omega + \varphi_\varepsilon + \psi\), where \(\varphi_\omega\) is the smooth metric on \(K_{X_0}\) induced by \(\omega\), \(\varphi_\varepsilon\) is the smooth metric on the nef line bundle \(\mathcal{O}(2r + m)\) whose curvature \(i\Theta_{\varphi_\varepsilon} \geq -\varepsilon \omega\), and \(\psi\) is the metric of \(A\) taken such that the associated curvature of \(\psi_0\) is strictly positive. In particular, \(\psi\) can be taken to be independent of \(m\) and \(\varepsilon\).
Now, by the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem, these sections extend to \( \tilde{\sigma}_l \) which defined on the whole space, satisfying a certain \( L^2 \)-estimate. More specifically, we define a sequence of line bundles \( F_i \) by putting

\[
F_0 = p_t A, \quad F_i = p_t A \otimes (K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2r + m))^{\otimes i}
\]

Take \( A \) ample enough so that \( F_0 \) has a smooth metric \( \varphi_1 \) with strictly positive curvature. Now, we equip \( F_0 \) with this metric, and

\[
F_i \otimes K_X^{-1} = F_0 \otimes (K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2r + m))^{\otimes (l-1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(2r + m)
\]

with that metric multiplied by \( e^{-\tilde{\varphi}} \) provided by the nef line bundle

\[
F_i \otimes K_X^{-1} \otimes F_0^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_E(l(r + m) + r).
\]

Notice that \( K_X = \mathcal{O}_E(-r) \) since \( Y = \Delta \). It is clear that the metric \( \varphi_1 + \tilde{\varphi} \) of \( F_i \otimes K_X^{-1} \) has positive curvature. In this setting, we apply the local version of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem (one refers to Theorem 5.2 for the statement) to the line bundle

\[
F_i \otimes K_X^{-1}
\]

to extend \( \sigma_l \) over the whole space, which is denoted by \( \tilde{\sigma}_l \).

Now we set

\[
\Phi = (\limsup_{l \to +\infty} \frac{1}{l} \log |\tilde{\sigma}_l|^2)^*,
\]

then \( \Phi \) is plurisubharmonic by construction, and \( \varphi_{can,m} \geq \Phi \) by the definition of the super-canonical metric. Obviously we have \( \varphi_{can,m}|_{X_0} = \Phi|_{X_0} \). It follows easily that \( \varphi_{can,m} \) satisfies the mean value inequality with respect to any disk centered on the central fibre \( X_0 \), and the plurisubharmonicity of \( \varphi_{can,m} \) follows.

It is easy to induce the desired metrics on \( \mathcal{O}_E(1), ..., \mathcal{O}_E(r) \) through the metrics on \( \mathcal{O}_E(r + m) \) constructed before. \( \square \)

5. Appendix

In this section we will use a general version of the almost nefness of the direct images to illustrate the difference between our algebraic method and the analytic method used in [2, 21]. This result is more or less included in these papers.

Also we would like to point out that in [2], it is proved that \( \mathcal{E} \) is positively curved even without the assumption that \( \mathcal{S}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X \), which seems to be the best result analytic method can achieved currently. Apparently, being positively curved is stronger than being pseudo-effective or almost nef. Indeed, if a vector bundle \( \mathcal{E} \) is positively curved, then it will be weakly positive in the sense of Viehweg [21], which is equivalent to say that \( \mathcal{E} \) is pseudo-effective. But the relationship between positively curved and nef is complicated. Therefore we believe that there is something new in our result.
Theorem 5.1. (Model Case) Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a fibration between two projective manifolds \( X \) and \( Y \). \((L, h)\) is a pseudo-effective line bundle on \( X \) with \( \mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X \). Assume that \( \mathcal{E} = f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L) \) is torsion-free. Then it is pseudo-effective.

Technically, the proof of this theorem follows the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 i.e. to prove that \( f_*(K_{X/Y} \otimes L)^{\otimes m} \otimes A \) is generically globally generated for an auxiliary line bundle \( A \) and all \( m > 0 \). The difference is that we will use the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem instead of the Kollár-type vanishing theorem before to achieve it.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. For a vector bundle, being pseudo-effective is equivalent to being weakly positive in the sense of Viehweg. So it is sufficient to find a Zariski open subset \( Y' \subset Y \) such that

\[ \mathcal{E}^{\otimes m} \otimes A \]

is globally generated on \( Y' \) for a fixed line bundle \( A \) and all \( m > 0 \). In our situation, \( L \) is endowed with a singular metric \( h \). Since \( \mathcal{I}(h) = \mathcal{O}_X \), there is a Zariski open subset \( Z' \subset Y \) such that \( \mathcal{I}(h|_{y}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y} \) for all \( y \in Z' \) by Fubini’s Theorem. Let \( Z \subset Y \) be the set of all regular value of \( f \), and \( Z'' \subset Y \) the set on which \( \mathcal{E} \) is locally free. Then we take \( Y' = Z \cap Z' \cap Z'' \) and \( A = K_Y \otimes H^{\otimes (\dim Y + 1)} \), where \( H \) is a very ample line bundle on \( Y \). Following the same idea of Theorem 1.1 we consider the \( m \)-fold fibre product \( f_m : X_m = X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X \to Y \). If we denote

\[ L_m := (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1}p_2^m \otimes p_1^{m-2}p_2^{m-1} \cdots \otimes p_1^m p_2^{m-1} \cdots p_2^m)^*(L), \]

by Lemma 3.1 we have

\[ \mathcal{E}^{\otimes m} \otimes A = (f_m)_*(K_{X_m/Y} \otimes L_m) \otimes A. \]

Note that the bundle \( L_m \) with the metric

\[ h_m = (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} \cdots p_2^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} \cdots p_2^m)^*(h) \]

is pseudo-effective. Now we focus on the multiplier ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{I}(h_m) \).

The fibre \( (X_m)_y \) is isomorphic to the \( m \)-fold product \( X_y \times \cdots \times X_y \) for \( y \in Y' \). Therefore,

\[ \mathcal{I}(h_m|_{(X_m)_y}) = (p_1^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} \cdots p_2^m \otimes p_1^{m-1} \cdots p_2^m)^*(h|_{X_y}) \]

by the subadditivity theorem 7. Since \( \mathcal{I}(h|_{X_y}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y} \), combining with the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem we have that

\[ \mathcal{O}_{(X_m)_y} = \mathcal{I}(h_m|_{(X_m)_y}) \supset \mathcal{I}(h_m)|_{(X_m)_y}. \]

Here we use the local version of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem.

Theorem 5.2. (local version) Let \( f : X \to \Delta \) be a smooth fibration between projective manifold \( X \) and the disc \( \Delta \). \((L, h)\) is a (singular) Hermitian line bundle with semi-positive curvature current \( i\Theta_{L,h} \) on
Let $\omega$ be a global Kähler metric on $X$, and $dV_X, dV_X$ the respective induced volume forms on $X$ and $X_0$. Here $X_0$ is the central fibre. Assume that $h|_{X_0}$ is well-defined. Then any holomorphic section $u$ of $K_{X_0} \otimes L|_{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi|_{X_0})$ extends into a section $\tilde{u}$ over $X$ satisfying an $L^2$-estimate

$$\int_X |\tilde{u}|^2_{\omega, h} dV_\omega \leq C_0 \int_{X_0} |u|^2_{\omega, h} dV_{X_0},$$

where $C_0$ is some universal constant.

Therefore $\mathcal{I}(h_m)|_{(X_m)_y} = \mathcal{O}(X_m)_y$.

Now since

$$H^0(Y, (f_m)_*(K_{X_m/Y} \otimes L_m) \otimes A) = H^0(X_m, K_{X_m/Y} \otimes L_m \otimes f_m^* A)$$

and the fibre of $(f_m)_*(K_{X_m/Y} \otimes L_m) \otimes A$ equals to (remember that $A_y$ is just $\mathbb{C}$)

$$H^0((X_m)_y, K_{(X_m)_y} \otimes L_m|_{(X_m)_y}) \otimes A_y = H^0((X_m)_y, K_{(X_m)_y} \otimes L_m|_{(X_m)_y}),$$

we only need to show that the restriction morphism

$$H^0(X_m, K_{X_m} \otimes L_m \otimes f_m^* H^{\otimes (\dim Y + 1)}) \to H^0((X_m)_y, K_{(X_m)_y} \otimes L_m|_{(X_m)_y})$$

is surjective (remember that we set $A = K_Y \otimes H^{\otimes (\dim Y + 1)}$ at the beginning). We do the induction on the dimension of $Y$.

If $\dim Y = 1$, a general element $D$ of the linear system $|f_m^* H|$ is a disjoint union of smooth fibres. Hence if $(X_m)_y = f_m^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in f(D)$, we have the surjective restriction morphism

$$H^0(D, K_D \otimes L_m|_D) \to H^0((X_m)_y, K_{(X_m)_y} \otimes L_m|_{(X_m)_y}).$$

Fix a smooth metric $h'$ with positive curvature on $H$. Since $\Theta_{h_m} \geq 0$, we have

$$\Theta_{f_m(h')} \geq \Theta_{f_m(h)}.$$

Thus the line bundle $L_m \otimes f_m^* H^{\otimes 2}$ endowed with the metric $h_m \otimes f_m^* (h')^{\otimes 2}$ satisfies the conditions of the following Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem:

**Theorem 5.3.** (global version) Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional projective or Stein manifold, $Z \subset X$ is the zero locus of some section $s \in H^0(X, E)$ for a holomorphic vector bundle $E \to X$; suppose that $Z$ is smooth and the codimension $r = \text{rank}(E)$. Let $(F, h)$ be a line bundle, endowed with (singular) Hermitian metric $h$ with

1. $\Theta_h(|F|) + i\partial \bar{\partial} \log |s|^2 \geq 0$;
2. $\Theta_h(|F|) + i\partial \bar{\partial} \log |s|^2 \geq (<\Theta(E)s, s>/|\alpha|s|^2)$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$;
3. $|s|^2 \leq \exp(-\alpha)$, and $h|_Z$ is well-defined.

Then all the sections $u \in H^0(Z, (K_X + F|_Z) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h|_Z))$ extend to $\tilde{u}$ on the whole $X$, and satisfy that

$$\int_X |\tilde{u} \wedge \tilde{u} \exp(-\varphi_F)| s|^{2r}(- \log |s|^2) \leq C_0 \int_Z |u| \exp(-\varphi_F) dV_Z.$$
\[ h = e^{-\varphi}. \]

Therefore any section in
\[ H^0(D, K_D \otimes L_m|_D \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m|_D)) \]
extends to a section in \( H^0(X_m, K_{X_m} \otimes L_m \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m)) \). Since for any \( y \in Y', \mathcal{I}(h_m)|_{(X_m)_y} = \mathcal{O}_{(X_m)_y} \), we have \( \mathcal{I}(h_m|_D) = \mathcal{O}_D \), and the proof of the \( \dim Y = 1 \) part is finished.

If \( \dim Y > 1 \), a general element \( D \) of the linear system \( |f_m^* H| \) is a projective submanifold of \( X \) by Bertini’s theorem. Furthermore \( h_m|_D \) is well-defined. The former computation shows that \( (L_m|_D, h_m|_D) \) is a pseudo-effective line bundle over \( D \) such that \( \Theta_{h_m|_D}(L_m|_D) \geq 0 \) and \( \mathcal{I}(h_m|_D)|_{(X_m)_y} = \mathcal{O}_{(X_m)_y} \) for every \( y \in D \). So by induction
\[ (f_m|_D)_*(K_D \otimes L_m|_D) \otimes H^{\otimes \dim Y}|_D \]
is generically generated by its global sections. By adjunction formula, \( K_D = K_{X_m} \otimes f_m^* H \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \), so another application of Theorem \([5, 3]\) shows that the restriction map
\[ H^0(X_m, K_{X_m} \otimes L_m \otimes f_m^* H^{\otimes (\dim Y + 1)} \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m)) \rightarrow H^0(D, K_D \otimes L_m|_D \otimes f_m^* H^{\otimes \dim Y}|_D \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m|_D)) \]
is surjective. \qed
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