

A Classical Proof of the Classical Soft Graviton Theorem in $D > 4$

Alok Laddha^a and Ashoke Sen^b

^a*Chennai Mathematical Institute, Siruseri, Chennai, India*

^b*Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI
Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211019, India*

E-mail: aladdha@cmi.ac.in, sen@hri.res.in

Abstract

Classical soft graviton theorem gives an expression for the spectrum of low frequency gravitational radiation, emitted during a classical scattering process, in terms of the trajectories and spin angular momenta of ingoing and outgoing objects, including hard radiation. This has been proved to subleading order in the expansion in powers of frequency by taking the classical limit of the quantum soft graviton theorem. In this paper we give a direct proof of this result by analyzing the classical equations of motion of a generic theory of gravity coupled to interacting matter in space-time dimensions larger than four.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Classical soft theorem	6
3	Soft radiation from fields	14
3.1	General form of the stress tensor of radiation	15
3.2	Computation of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$	18
A	Radiative fields at large retarded time	22
B	Stress tensor of radiation at large distance	23

1 Introduction

Classical soft graviton theorem [1] describes the spectrum of low frequency gravitational radiation emitted during a classical scattering process, including decay in which a single bound system explodes into a set of outgoing objects. Up to subleading order in the expansion in powers of the soft graviton frequency, the result depends solely on the trajectories and the spin angular momenta of incoming and outgoing objects (which may also include radiation), without requiring any detailed knowledge of how the objects travelled during the scattering process or what kind of forces acted between the objects during the scattering. In space-time dimensions larger than four, this was proved in [1] by taking the classical limit of the quantum multiple soft graviton theorem [2–15]. Our goal in this paper will be to show that classical soft graviton theorem can be derived directly using the equations of motion of general relativity coupled to matter. Our results will be valid in any general coordinate invariant theory of gravity coupled to interacting matter, possibly including higher derivative terms in the action.

The strategy we follow will be to take all but the linearized terms in the Einstein’s equation to the right hand side and regard the right hand side as the total energy momentum tensor of the system. This includes the energy momentum tensor of the gravitational field as defined in [16]. We can then ‘solve’ the equations by taking the convolution of the flat space retarded Green’s function with the energy momentum tensor. The domain of integration is then divided into two parts: a large but finite spatial volume around the region where the scattering takes place, and the region outside this volume. In the outer region we approximate the energy

momentum tensor by that of free particles corresponding to the asymptotic incoming and outgoing particles and radiation. In the inner region the energy momentum tensor, including the non-linear terms in the Einstein's equation, are complicated, but we determine the low frequency gravitational radiation from this region simply by using local conservation laws. As we show below, the sum of the two contributions is independent of the precise division of the space-time regions we choose, and is given solely by the asymptotic trajectories and spin angular momenta of the incoming and the outgoing particles.

We now summarize our main results. In the following we shall refer to the incoming and outgoing objects involved in the scattering as particles, even though we do not assume that they are structureless objects – even black holes, stars and bound binary systems will be counted as particles. This is justified by the fact that while describing the coupling of a gravitational field of wavelength much larger than the characteristic size of the objects, we can approximate the stress tensor for any finite size gravitating object by the stress tensor of a point particle with (generically) infinitely many multipole moments. We denote the asymptotic trajectory of the a -th particle by

$$r_{(a)} = c_{(a)} + V_{(a)} \sigma_a, \quad (1.1)$$

where $c_{(a)}$ and $V_{(a)}$ are constant D -dimensional vectors and σ_a is an appropriately normalized affine parameter. We also denote by $p_{(a)} \propto V_{(a)}$ the momentum of the a -th particle, and by $\Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta}$ the spin angular momentum carried by the a -th particle, both counted with $+$ sign if ingoing and $-$ sign if outgoing. Operationally, $c_{(a)}$, $V_{(a)}$, $p_{(a)}$ and $\Sigma_{(a)}$ can be defined through the energy momentum tensor carried by the particle as given in (2.11). If $h_{\alpha\beta} = (g_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta})/2$ denotes metric fluctuation, then we define¹

$$e_{\alpha\beta}(x) \equiv h_{\alpha\beta}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega, \vec{x}) \equiv \int dx^0 e^{i\omega x^0} e_{\alpha\beta}(x) = e^{i\omega |\vec{x}|} \int du e^{i\omega u} e_{\alpha\beta}(x), \quad u \equiv x^0 - |\vec{x}|, \quad (1.3)$$

$$k \equiv -\omega \left(1, \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} \right), \quad \mathcal{N} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i |\vec{x}|} \right)^{(D-2)/2} \omega^{(D-4)/2}. \quad (1.4)$$

We show that for large $|\vec{x}|$, the small ω expansion of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by (up to gauge transforma-

¹Even though we express the metric as $\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2h_{\mu\nu}$, we do not assume that $h_{\mu\nu}$ is small, except in the asymptotic region.

tions):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega, \vec{x}) = & \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \left[\sum_a \frac{p_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta}}{k \cdot p_{(a)}} - i \sum_a \frac{1}{k \cdot p_{(a)}} p_{(a)(\alpha} k^\gamma J_{(a)\beta)\gamma} + \mathcal{O}(\omega) \right] \\ & + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{D/2}}, \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{(D-3)}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$J_{(a)\gamma\alpha} = \{ c_{(a)\gamma} p_{(a)\alpha} - c_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\gamma} + \Sigma_{(a)\gamma\alpha} \}, \quad (1.6)$$

denotes the total angular momentum carried by the a -th particle, with the first two terms giving the orbital contribution and the last term giving the spin contribution. In (1.5) we have set $8\pi G = 1$. Here, and in the rest of the paper, all indices are raised and lowered by the Minkowski metric and all scalar products are also defined using the Minkowski metric. As indicated in the last line of (1.5), the order of the error is larger of $|\vec{x}|^{-D/2}$ and $|\vec{x}|^{-(D-3)}$. An important feature of (1.5) is that the result does not depend on any details of the actual scattering process or the nature of the interactions involved during the scattering. The leading term in (1.5), associated with the first term inside the square bracket, agrees with the results obtained in [17, 18].

If a significant amount of momentum and / or angular momentum is carried away by the outgoing scalar, electromagnetic and / or gravitational radiation, then the sum over a in (1.5) also includes the contribution due to radiation. An explicit form of this contribution may be written as:

$$-\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \left[\int d\hat{n}' \frac{A_\alpha A_\beta}{k \cdot A} - i \int d\hat{n}' \frac{1}{k \cdot A} k^\gamma A_{(\alpha} B_{\beta)\gamma} \right], \quad (1.7)$$

where $\int d\hat{n}'$ denotes angular integration, and

$$\begin{aligned} A^\alpha(\hat{n}') &= \lim_{r' \rightarrow \infty} r'^{D-2} \int dt' \hat{n}'_i T_R^{i\alpha}(x'), \\ B^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{n}') &= \lim_{r' \rightarrow \infty} r'^{D-2} \int dt' \hat{n}'_i \left\{ x'^\alpha T_R^{i\beta}(x') - x'^\beta T_R^{i\alpha}(x') \right\}, \\ r' &\equiv |\vec{x}'|, \quad \hat{n}' \equiv \frac{\vec{x}'}{|\vec{x}'|}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

$T_{R\mu\nu}$ is the contribution to the symmetric energy-momentum tensor due to massless fields. Note that there is no ambiguity regarding the definition of $T_{R\mu\nu}$ for the gravitational field – it is what we get by taking the non-linear terms in the Einstein's equation to the right hand side [16]. Explicit form of $T_{R\mu\nu}$ for massless scalar, vector and gravitational field to the required

order has been given in appendix B. Physically $A^\alpha(\hat{n}')$ and $B^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{n}')$ represent respectively the total flux of outgoing momentum and angular momentum [19] of radiation along the direction \hat{n}' . The overall minus sign in (1.7) reflects that in (1.5) the momenta and angular momenta are counted as positive if ingoing, whereas $A^\mu(\hat{n}') d\hat{n}'$ and $B^{\mu\nu}(\hat{n}') d\hat{n}'$ represent outgoing flux.

With (1.7) present on the right hand side of (1.5), both sides of the equation contain the gravitational field $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$. However one can easily check that the contribution to (1.7) from $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ of frequency of order ω or less is suppressed by higher powers of ω and therefore does not produce any order ω^0 terms. Therefore (1.5) with (1.7) included can be regarded as an equation that determines the low frequency component of the gravitational radiation in terms of its finite frequency component and other asymptotic data. Our result is similar in spirit to the memory effect in four dimensions (see [20] for a review) where the memory term is determined in terms of finite frequency gravitational radiation and other asymptotic data.

With some work, this approach to deriving classical soft theorem may be extended to one higher order in expansion in the soft frequency ω , by including the next order terms in the expansion (2.11) of the energy momentum tensor of matter in the far region. However the corresponding coefficients of expansion will not be universal, – they will depend on the detailed properties of the incoming and the outgoing objects. Based on the quantum results of [9], we expect the corrections to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ at the next order to be of the form:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a \frac{1}{k \cdot p_{(a)}} k^\gamma k^\delta [J_{(a)\alpha\gamma} J_{(a)\beta\delta} + B_{(a)\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}] , \quad (1.9)$$

where $B_{(a)\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}$ is some tensor that depends on the properties of the a -th external state, but does not depend on the details of the scattering process.² $B_{(a)\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}$ is anii-symmetric under $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma$ and also under $\beta \leftrightarrow \delta$, and symmetric under $(\alpha\gamma) \leftrightarrow (\beta\delta)$. This approach will break down at the next order due to the ambiguity described in (2.28) in determining the contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ from the near region. This is in agreement with the corresponding results in quantum soft graviton theorem described in [9].

A generalization of (1.5), including the contribution from massless particles, exists in four space-time dimensions as well [23, 24], but due to the existence of long range electromagnetic and gravitational forces, the actual formula takes a different form (see eq.(2.2) and (2.6) of [24] for the general formula). In particular the subleading terms now have contribution proportional to $\ln \omega$. As in the case of $D > 4$, the four dimensional formula has been obtained by taking the

²Results of [21, 22] in four dimensions suggest that the coefficient $B_{(a)\alpha\gamma\beta\delta}$ vanishes if the a -th external state represents a rotating black hole.

classical limit of quantum soft graviton theorem. This has also been verified in explicit examples where independent computation of soft gravitational radiation during classical scattering has been performed [25–27]. We expect that a direct classical derivation of this formula should be possible along the lines discussed in the paper, but the analysis will have to be more complicated due to the reasons described below eq.(2.16).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2 we prove the classical soft theorem (1.5), assuming that the contribution due to the radiation can be treated as a flux of massless particles. In §3 we derive the radiation contribution (1.7) explicitly by analyzing the soft radiation sourced by the energy momentum tensor of massless fields. The two appendices provide some technical results on the asymptotic growth of massless fields that is used in computing the contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ due to radiation.

2 Classical soft theorem

We consider the situation in which a set of objects enter a given region \mathcal{R} in space, interact among themselves, and then disperse. Our goal will be to compute the spectrum of low frequency gravitational waves emitted during this process. Decomposing the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ as $\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2 h_{\mu\nu}$, we express the Einstein’s equation as

$$\partial_\rho \partial^\rho h_{\mu\nu} - \partial^\rho \partial_\nu h_{\mu\rho} - \partial^\sigma \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_\rho{}^\rho = - \left\{ T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{D-2} \eta_{\mu\nu} T_\rho{}^\rho \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where we have set $8\pi G = 1$. $T_{\mu\nu}$ contains contribution from the matter energy momentum tensor as well as all the non-linear terms in the Einstein’s equation. Bianchi identity ensures that $T_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the conservation law (see *e.g.* [16]):

$$\partial^\alpha T_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Choosing de Donder gauge,

$$\partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu h_\rho{}^\rho = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and defining $e_{\mu\nu}$ through the equation

$$e_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} h_\rho{}^\rho, \quad (2.4)$$

a ‘solution’ to (2.1) may be written as

$$e_{\alpha\beta}(x) = - \int d^D x' G_r(x, x') T_{\alpha\beta}(x'), \quad (2.5)$$

where G_r denotes the flat space retarded Green's function

$$G_r(x, x') = \int \frac{d^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D} e^{i\ell \cdot (x - x')} \frac{1}{(\ell^0 + i\epsilon)^2 - \vec{\ell}^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

We should note however that (2.5) should be regarded as an identity involving $e_{\alpha\beta}$ instead of a solution, since the right hand side of the equation also involves $e_{\mu\nu}$ through $T_{\alpha\beta}$.

If we define

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega, \vec{x}) \equiv \int dx^0 e^{i\omega x^0} e_{\alpha\beta}(x^0, \vec{x}), \quad (2.7)$$

then using (2.5), (2.6) we get

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega, \vec{x}) = - \int d^D x' \int \frac{d^{D-1} \vec{\ell}}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} e^{i\omega x'^0 + i\vec{\ell} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')} \frac{1}{(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 - \vec{\ell}^2} T_{\alpha\beta}(x'). \quad (2.8)$$

We now decompose $\vec{\ell}$ into its component ℓ_{\parallel} along $\vec{x} - \vec{x}'$ and $\vec{\ell}_{\perp}$ transverse to $\vec{x} - \vec{x}'$. For large $|\vec{x}|$, we can evaluate the integration over ℓ_{\parallel} by closing the integration contour in the upper half plane and picking up the residue from the pole at $\ell_{\parallel} = \sqrt{(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 - \vec{\ell}_{\perp}^2}$. After this the integration over $\vec{\ell}_{\perp}$ can be done using saddle point method, with the saddle point occurring at $\vec{\ell}_{\perp} = 0$. The result takes the simple form [1]:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega, \vec{x}) \simeq i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega |\vec{x}|} \int d^D x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{\alpha\beta}(x') + \text{boundary terms at } \infty, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi i |\vec{x}|} \right)^{(D-2)/2} \frac{1}{2\omega}, \quad k = -\omega \left(1, \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

and the boundary terms at infinity have to be adjusted to make this integral well-defined. \simeq denotes equality up to terms containing higher powers of $1/|\vec{x}|$.

Let us now suppose that we have a classical scattering process in which the interaction takes place mainly around the origin of the spatial coordinates \vec{x}' . We shall evaluate (2.9) by dividing the domain of integration over the spatial coordinates \vec{x}' into two parts: $|\vec{x}'| > L$ and $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$, and call the corresponding contributions $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ and $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ respectively. Here L is a large but finite number so that the interaction takes place mainly in the region $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$. We do not need to assume anything about the kind of interactions that take place in this region, except that they must be consistent with the conservation laws. Outside this region we only need to take into account the effect of long range gravitational and electromagnetic fields, and even these can be treated perturbatively. We shall assume that all initial and final particles move

with finite non-zero velocity so that in the far past and far future the region $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$ is nearly empty. This can always be achieved by choosing an appropriate Lorentz frame. However, since our final formula will be written in a Lorentz covariant form, it will also be valid in the frame where some of the initial or final state particles are at rest.

In the region $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$, we do not make any assumption about $T_{\mu\nu}$ except its conservation laws. We shall see that this is sufficient to extract the relevant contribution to the integral from this region. On the other hand in the interval $|\vec{x}'| > L$, $T_{\mu\nu}$ will be taken to be the energy momentum tensor of free particles whose quantum numbers are the same as those of the incoming and the outgoing particles. We do not however assume that the particles are structureless: the effect of the internal structure of the particle is encoded in the fact that the energy momentum tensor of the particles is allowed to have derivatives of delta functions localized on the trajectory besides the leading term proportional to the delta function [21, 22, 28–33]. In this case the Fourier transform $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(k)$ of the energy momentum tensor will have an expansion in powers of k , with the expansion coefficients encoding the internal structure of the particle. As long as we consider wavelengths large compared to the sizes of the particles, this expansion will be valid even for big objects like neutron stars, black holes or binary systems. For our analysis we shall only need the first two coefficients in the expansion, which are determined in terms of the momentum and spin of the particle. The precise expression will be given shortly.

We begin our analysis in the $|\vec{x}'| > L$ region. In this region we have, to first order in the expansion in derivatives of delta function,³ (see *e.g.* [34]):

$$T_{\alpha\beta}(x') = \sum_a \int d\sigma_a \left[V_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} \delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)) + V_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \partial'^\gamma \delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)) \right], \quad (2.11)$$

where the sum over a runs over all the incoming and outgoing particles, $r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)$ denotes the trajectory of the a 'th particle with σ_a labelling an appropriately normalized affine parameter along the trajectory up to a sign, $p_{(a)}$ and $\Sigma_{(a)}$ are respectively the D -momentum and the spin angular momentum of the a 'th particle, both counted with positive sign for ingoing particles and negative sign for outgoing particles, and $V_{(a)} = dr_{(a)}/d\sigma_a$. Since in our notation the ingoing momenta are positive, we take σ_a to increase from $-\tau_a$ at the outer end to 0 on the surface

³For the trajectory $r_{(a)}(\sigma_a) = V_{(a)}\sigma_a + c_{(a)}$, the right hand side of (2.11) can be shown to be invariant under the transformation $c_{(a)} \rightarrow c_{(a)} + b_{(a)}$, $\Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta} - b_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} + p_{(a)\alpha} b_{(a)\beta}$, for any constant vector $b_{(a)}$. Therefore neither $c_{(a)}$ nor $\Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta}$ are unambiguously defined. We can fix this ambiguity by imposing some conditions on $\Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta}$, *e.g.* $p_{(a)}^\alpha \Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta} = 0$. In any case, $J_{(a)\alpha\beta}$ defined in (1.6) is unambiguous.




Figure 1: Geometry of a scattering process.

$|\vec{x}'| = L$. In this notation $V_{(a)} = K_{(a)}p_{(a)}$ for some positive constant $K_{(a)}$ and the trajectory begins at some cut-off point $R_{(a)} \equiv r_{(a)}(-\tau_a)$ at the outer end and ends at a point $c_{(a)} \equiv r_{(a)}(0)$ on the surface $|\vec{x}'| = L$. This has been illustrated in Fig. 1. Therefore by definition $|\vec{c}_{(a)}| = L$. $(\alpha \dots \beta)$ denotes symmetrization, with the convention:

$$A_{(\alpha}B_{\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\alpha}B_{\beta} + B_{\alpha}A_{\beta}) . \quad (2.12)$$

In the sum over a in (2.11), we include the effect of outgoing radiation (gravitational or electromagnetic) by regarding them as a flux of massless particles.⁴ Therefore the sum over a includes an angular integration over outgoing finite frequency radiation. In the special case where the total energy carried away by radiation is small compared to the energies of the massive objects involved in the scattering, the contribution due to radiation in the sum in (2.11) can be ignored.

There are of course higher order terms in the expansion (2.11) containing more derivatives of $\delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a))$, but they will not contribute to the soft theorem to subleading order. This can be seen as follows. First we see that when we substitute (2.11) into (2.9), the x' integral in the first term gets localized at $r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)$, but the σ_a integration produces a linearly divergent term from the large σ_a region in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit. This divergence is regulated by the $e^{ik \cdot x'}$ term in (2.9), producing an inverse power of k . This gives the leading term. Since the second term in (2.11) contains a derivative of $\delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a))$, we can first integrate by parts, bringing down a factor of $k \cdot V_{(a)}(\sigma_a)$ and then repeat the previous argument. As a result this term is of order unity and begins contributing at the subleading order. Terms involving

⁴This will be justified in detail in §3.

higher derivatives of $\delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a))$ will bring down more powers of k . Therefore they will not contribute at the subleading order.

Using (2.11), the contribution $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1(k) &\equiv i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{|\vec{x}'|>L} d^D x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{\alpha\beta}(x') + \text{boundary terms at } \infty \\
&= i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a \int_{-\tau_a}^0 d\sigma_a e^{ik \cdot r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)} \{ V_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} - i k^\gamma V_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \} \\
&\quad + i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a e^{ik \cdot c_{(a)}} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot V_{(a)}} V_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\gamma \\
&\quad + \text{boundary terms at } \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where we define,

$$\tilde{n}' = (0, \vec{x}'/|\vec{x}'|), \quad \tilde{n}_{(a)} = (0, \vec{c}_{(a)}/|\vec{c}_{(a)}|). \tag{2.14}$$

The term in the penultimate line of (2.13) is a boundary term at $|\vec{x}'| = L$ that arises from having to integrate by parts the term involving ∂'_γ in (2.11). This can be seen as follows. First we represent the boundary term as

$$-i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a e^{ik \cdot c_{(a)}} \int d^D x' \int d\sigma_a \delta(|\vec{x}'| - L) V_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\rho} \tilde{n}'^\rho \delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)). \tag{2.15}$$

We now carry out the integration over x' using the $\delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a))$ factor. This replaces $\delta(|\vec{x}'| - L)$ by $\delta(|\vec{r}_{(a)}(\sigma_a)| - L)$. We then carry out the σ_a integration using this delta function, which has support at $\sigma_a = 0$ since $|\vec{r}_{(a)}(0)| = |\vec{c}_{(a)}| = L$. This generates a factor of $-1/\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot V_{(a)}$, with the minus sign reflecting the fact that $V_{(a)}$ is counted as positive if ingoing.

There are similar terms from the outer boundary where $r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)$ takes value $R_{(a)}$, but these terms are absorbed into the boundary terms at ∞ in the last line of (2.13). Using the trajectory equation $r_{(a)}(\sigma_a) = c_{(a)} + V_{(a)} \sigma_a$, we can carry out the integration over σ_a in (2.13). If we now use the relation $V_{(a)} = K_{(a)} p_{(a)}$ for some positive constant $K_{(a)}$, we can express (2.13) as:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1(k) = \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a e^{ik \cdot c_{(a)}} \left[\frac{1}{k \cdot p_{(a)}} \{ p_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} - i k^\gamma p_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \} + i \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} p_{(a)(\alpha} \Sigma_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\gamma \right]. \tag{2.16}$$

In arriving at this expression we have cancelled all terms proportional to $e^{ik \cdot R_{(a)}}$ by appropriate choice of the boundary terms at $R_{(a)}$.

Let us estimate the error we made in the above calculation by taking $T_{\mu\nu}$ to be the energy momentum tensor produced by free particles. Since we are computing the result to subleading

order ω^0 , we shall estimate the error to this order. First error stems from the fact that the particles are not free, but are under the influence of each other's long range gravitational (and possibly electromagnetic) fields. These forces fall off as $1/r^{D-2}$ when the distance between the particles is of order r – with all distances being measured with respect to the flat metric. Integrating this once we see that the correction to P_α (and hence also V_α) fall off as $1/r^{D-3}$. Therefore the integral of the error over part of the trajectory from r to ∞ will fall off as $1/r^{D-4}$. Since $r \geq L$ in the integration region for evaluating $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$, the net error in the computation of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ is bounded by $1/L^{D-4}$. For $D > 4$, this error vanishes in the large L limit.

There may also be contributions to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ from $T_{\alpha\beta}$ stored in the long range fields (gravitational and electromagnetic). We shall show in §3 that this contribution can be included in the sum over a in (2.16) by regarding the radiative field contribution as a sum over the flux of massless particles. In the final expression, the additional contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ due to radiation will be given by (1.7).

We now turn to the contribution $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ to (2.9) from the $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$ region. We have:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) = i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{|\vec{x}'| \leq L} d^D x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{\alpha\beta}(x'). \quad (2.17)$$

This gives:

$$i k^\alpha \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) = i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{|\vec{x}'| \leq L} d^D x' \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\alpha} \left(e^{ik \cdot x'} \right) T^\alpha_\beta(x'). \quad (2.18)$$

Using integration by parts and the conservation law (2.2), this can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} i k^\alpha \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) &= i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{|\vec{x}'|=L} d^{D-1} x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{\gamma\beta}(x') \tilde{n}'^\gamma, \\ &\int_{|\vec{x}'|=L} d^{D-1} x' \dots \equiv \int d^D x' \delta(|\vec{x}'| - L) \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

We can evaluate the right hand side by noting that on the boundaries $|\vec{x}'| = L$ the energy momentum tensor may be approximated by those of the free particles entering and leaving the region $|\vec{x}'| = L$. We now use (2.11) to express (2.19) as,

$$\begin{aligned} i k^\alpha \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) &= i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{|\vec{x}'|=L} d^{D-1} x' e^{ik \cdot x'} \sum_a \int d\sigma_a \tilde{n}'^\gamma V_{(a)\gamma}(\sigma_a) p_{(a)\beta}(\sigma_a) \delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \left[\int_{|\vec{x}'|=L} d^{D-1} x' e^{ik \cdot x'} \sum_a \int d\sigma_a \tilde{n}'^\rho \left\{ V_{(a)\rho}(\sigma_a) \Sigma_{(a)\beta\gamma}(\sigma_a) + V_{(a)\beta}(\sigma_a) \Sigma_{(a)\rho\gamma}(\sigma_a) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \partial'^\gamma \delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

We manipulate this expression using the following steps.

1. Replace $\int_{|\vec{x}'|=L} d^{D-1}x' \dots$ by $\int d^D x' \delta(|\vec{x}'| - L) \dots$.
2. Integrate the ∂'^γ term by parts. This acts on $e^{ik \cdot x'}$ and brings down a factor of $-ik^\gamma$. It also acts on the $\delta(|\vec{x}'| - L)$ and gives $-\tilde{n}'^\gamma \delta'(|\vec{x}'| - L)$.
3. Once the ∂'^γ factor has been removed from $\delta^{(D)}(x' - r_{(a)}(\sigma_a))$, we can use this delta function to perform the x' integration. This sets $x' = r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)$ in all the expressions, including in the $\tilde{n}'^\gamma \delta'(|\vec{x}'| - L)$ term and in the $e^{ik \cdot x'}$ term.
4. We now use the fact that the solution to relations $|\vec{r}_{(a)}(\sigma_a)| = L$ is $\sigma_a = 0$ to write

$$\delta(|\vec{r}_{(a)}(\sigma_a)| - L) = -(\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot V_{(a)})^{-1} \delta(\sigma_a), \quad \delta'(|\vec{r}_{(a)}(\sigma_a)| - L) = -(\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot V_{(a)})^{-2} \delta'(\sigma_a). \quad (2.21)$$

The minus sign reflects the fact that $V_{(a)}$ is counted as positive if ingoing.

5. We can now perform the integration over σ_a using these delta functions. In particular the $\delta'(\sigma_a)$ term will generate a σ_a derivative of $-e^{ik \cdot r_{(a)}(\sigma_a)}$, producing a term proportional to $-ik \cdot V_{(a)}$.
6. We now replace all factors of $V_{(a)}$ by $K_{(a)} p_{(a)}$ with $K_{(a)}$ being a positive constant.

The net outcome of these manipulations is the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} i k^\alpha \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) = & -i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a e^{ik \cdot c_{(a)}} \left\{ p_{(a)\beta} - \frac{i}{2} k^\gamma \Sigma_{(a)\beta\gamma} + \frac{i}{2} k^\gamma \frac{p_{(a)\beta}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\gamma\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{2} \frac{k \cdot p_{(a)}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\beta\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Expanding the $e^{ik \cdot c_{(a)}}$ factor in powers of $k \cdot c_{(a)}$ and using the momentum conservation law

$$\sum_a p_{(a)} = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

we can express (2.22) as

$$\begin{aligned} i k^\alpha \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k) = & -i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a \left(i k \cdot c_{(a)} p_{(a)\beta} - \frac{i}{2} k^\gamma \Sigma_{(a)\beta\gamma} + \frac{i}{2} k^\gamma \frac{p_{(a)\beta}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\gamma\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{2} \frac{k \cdot p_{(a)}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\beta\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Since in the definition (2.17) of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ the integration over \vec{x}' is confined to a finite region (which also effectively makes the integration over x'^0 bounded since by assumption the region $|\vec{x}'| = L$ becomes empty for large $|x'^0|$), $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ is an analytic function of k^μ near $k = 0$ and should admit a Taylor series expansion in k^μ . We propose the following solution for $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2 = & -i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a \left(c_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{(a)\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{(a)\beta}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\alpha\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{(a)\alpha}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\beta\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

It satisfies (2.24) up to terms of order ω . We also need to check that this is symmetric under exchange of α and β . For this we note that angular momentum conservation implies:

$$\sum_a (c_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta} - c_{(a)\beta} p_{(a)\alpha} + \Sigma_{(a)\alpha\beta}) = 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Adding (2.26) multiplied by $i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|}/2$ to (2.25), we get:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2 = -i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \sum_a \left\{ c_{(a)(\alpha} p_{(a)\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{(a)\beta}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\alpha\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{(a)\alpha}}{\tilde{n}_{(a)} \cdot p_{(a)}} \Sigma_{(a)\beta\rho} \tilde{n}_{(a)}^\rho \right\} + \mathcal{O}(k), \quad (2.27)$$

which is manifestly symmetric under $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$.

We can also argue that the solution (2.25) is unique. To see this we assume the contrary, that there is another solution. Then the difference $d_{\alpha\beta}$ between the two solutions will be analytic function of k^μ near $k = 0$ and will satisfy the constraint $k^\alpha d_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. It is easy to check that there is no function $d_{\alpha\beta}(k)$ that satisfies this requirement, is analytic at $k = 0$ and does not vanish at $k = 0$. The first term in the power series expansion in k_μ that satisfies this constraint is proportional to

$$k^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} - k_\alpha k_\beta. \quad (2.28)$$

Adding (2.16) and (2.27), and expanding in powers of k , we get

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1 + \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \left[\sum_a \frac{p_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\beta}}{k \cdot p_{(a)}} - i \sum_a \frac{1}{p_{(a)} \cdot k} p_{(a)(\alpha} k^\gamma J_{(a)\beta)\gamma} \right] + \mathcal{O}(k), \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$J_{(a)\gamma\alpha} = \{ c_{(a)\gamma} p_{(a)\alpha} - c_{(a)\alpha} p_{(a)\gamma} + \Sigma_{(a)\gamma\alpha} \}. \quad (2.30)$$

This is the classical soft graviton theorem to subleading order. We emphasize that the sum over a in (2.29) includes integration over the flux of gravitational (and electromagnetic if any)

radiation, with $J_{(a)\gamma\alpha}$ representing the flux of angular momentum carried by the radiation. Explicit expression for these contributions has been given in (1.7). In §3 we shall derive (1.7) by directly working with massless fields instead of regarding them as flux of massless particles.

We conclude this section by exploring the possibility of extending the analysis to higher orders in the frequency ω of the soft graviton:

1. In order to extend our computation of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ to higher order in ω , we need to keep terms in the expression (2.11) involving higher number of derivatives of the delta function. However the coefficients of these terms are not expected to be universal. Instead they will depend on the internal structures of the objects involved in the scattering. Nevertheless, these contributions will still be independent of the details of the scattering process, being sensitive only to the properties of the incoming and the outgoing objects. For Kerr black holes in four dimensions, some of the coefficients of higher derivatives of the delta function have been computed in [21, 22].
2. Presence of the term (2.28) in the expression for $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ begin affecting the soft radiation at the sub-sub-subleading order. These contributions are expected to depend on the details of the scattering process and not just on the properties of the incoming and the outgoing objects. Therefore our approach cannot unambiguously determine the low frequency gravitational radiation in terms of the properties of the incoming and outgoing objects beyond the sub-subleading order and further details of the theory are required to determine the metric.

We have described in (1.9) the expected correction to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ at the subsubleading order in the expansion in powers of ω . We hasten to add however that this expectation is based on the quantum soft graviton theorem derived in [9], and we have not derived (1.9) from a classical analysis.

3 Soft radiation from fields

Our goal in this section will be to compute soft radiation sourced by fields. We shall use (2.9) for this computation, by dividing the integration region into the $|\vec{x}'| > L$ and $|\vec{x}'| \leq L$ parts as in §2. We shall divide the analysis into two parts. In the first part we shall derive the analog of (2.11) for radiation. In the second part we shall use this result to compute soft radiation from the radiative stress tensor.

3.1 General form of the stress tensor of radiation

We begin by introducing some notations. Let us first define:

$$t' = x'^0, \quad r' = |\vec{x}'|, \quad \hat{n}' = \vec{x}'/r', \quad n' = (1, \hat{n}'), \quad \tilde{n}' = (0, \hat{n}'), \quad u' = t' - r', \quad (3.1)$$

where in (3.1), n' and \tilde{n}' are to be regarded as contravariant vectors. We shall denote by ∂_μ the derivative $\partial/\partial x'^\mu$. We also define the transverse derivative $\vec{\partial}_\perp$ as follows. If $\delta\hat{n}'$ denotes an infinitesimal vector orthogonal to \hat{n}' , so that $\hat{n}' + \delta\hat{n}'$ is still a unit vector to first order, then we define $\vec{\partial}_\perp S$ for any function $S(\hat{n}')$ via the relation:

$$S(\hat{n}' + \delta\hat{n}') = S(\hat{n}') + \delta\hat{n}' \cdot \vec{\partial}_\perp S, \quad \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{\partial}_\perp S = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to verify the following identities,

$$\partial_\mu r' = \tilde{n}'_\mu, \quad \partial_\mu u' = -n'_\mu, \quad \partial_\mu \hat{n}'_i = -r'^{-1}(n'_i \tilde{n}'_\mu - \delta_{i\mu}) = r'^{-1} \eta_{\mu i}^\perp, \quad \partial_{\perp i} n'_\mu = \eta_{i\mu}^\perp = \partial_{\perp i} \tilde{n}'_\mu, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}^\perp \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} + n'_\mu n'_\nu - n'_\mu \tilde{n}'_\nu - n'_\nu \tilde{n}'_\mu, \quad (3.4)$$

is the projection operator into the space transverse to n' and \tilde{n}' . This gives, for any function $f(r', u, \hat{n}')$:

$$\partial_\mu f = \tilde{n}'_\mu \partial_{r'} f - n'_\mu \partial_{u'} f + \frac{1}{r'} \eta_{\mu i}^\perp \partial_{\perp i} f. \quad (3.5)$$

We shall now derive the analog of (2.11) – the asymptotic form of the stress tensor $T_{R\mu\nu}$ associated with massless fields, including gravitational and electromagnetic field. As in §2, the stress tensor of the gravitational field will be defined to be whatever appears on the right hand side of the Einstein's equation if the left hand side contains only the linear terms. We claim that the relevant part of the stress tensor produced by radiation has the following expansion to order $r'^{-(D-1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{R\mu\nu}(x') &= \frac{1}{r'^{D-2}} R(\hat{n}', u') n'_\mu n'_\nu - \frac{1}{r'^{D-1}} \{n'_\mu R_{\perp\nu}(\hat{n}', u') + n'_\nu R_{\perp\mu}(\hat{n}', u')\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r'^{D-1}} n'_\mu n'_\nu \vec{\partial}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_\perp(\hat{n}', u') + \frac{1}{r'^{D-1}} \partial_{u'} N_{\mu\nu}(\hat{n}', u'), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $R_\perp(\hat{n}', u')$ is a vector with only transverse components:

$$R_\perp = (0, \vec{R}_\perp), \quad \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{R}_\perp(\hat{n}', u') = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, we show below that for large $|u'|$, R and R_\perp fall off at least as fast as $|u'|^{-(D-2)}$ and $|u'|^{-(D-3)}$ respectively.

The justification for (3.6) can be given as follows. We begin with the leading term⁵

⁵This result is well known (see *e.g.* [35]), but we include the argument for completeness.

$R n'_\mu n'_\nu / r'^{(D-2)}$. Any component ϕ_a of a massless field, irrespective of its spin, has a leading behaviour of the form $f_a(\hat{n}', u') / r'^{(D-2)/2}$ for large r' . Furthermore, as reviewed in appendix A, $f_a(\hat{n}', u')$ falls off at large $|u'|$ [17, 18, 36]. Using (3.5) we now see that the leading term in $\partial_\mu \phi_a$ is given by $-n'_\mu \partial_{u'} f_a(\hat{n}', u') / r'^{(D-2)/2}$. Since the relevant term in the stress tensor in the asymptotic region comes from the square of the first derivative of the field, we see that in order to get a term of the form $1/r'^{(D-2)}$ in the stress tensor we must take the term $n'_\mu n'_\nu \partial_{u'} f_a \partial_{u'} f_b / r'^{(D-2)}$ and appropriately contract the indices. Since n'_μ cannot contract with itself or the transverse indices, by taking the leading order term in the fields to carry only transverse polarization – which is possible for $D > 4$ – we can ensure that n'_μ and n'_ν remain uncontracted and only the transverse indices are contracted with each other. This shows that the $1/r'^{(D-2)}$ term in $T_{R\mu\nu}$ must be proportional to $n'_\mu n'_\nu$, i.e. it takes the form given in the first term in (3.6). Using (3.3), (3.5) one can show that this term satisfies the energy momentum conservation law $\partial^\mu T_{R\mu\nu} = 0$ by itself.

We now turn to the subleading terms in (3.6). We begin by writing down the most general expression for the order $1/r'^{(D-1)}$ term in $T_{R\mu\nu}$:

$$\frac{1}{r'^{D-1}} \left[A n'_\mu n'_\nu + (n'_\mu B_{\perp\nu} + n'_\nu B_{\perp\mu}) + C(n'_\mu \tilde{n}'_\nu + n'_\nu \tilde{n}'_\mu) + F \tilde{n}'_\mu \tilde{n}'_\nu + (\tilde{n}'_\mu G_{\perp\nu} + \tilde{n}'_\nu G_{\perp\mu}) + H_{\perp\mu\nu} \right], \quad (3.8)$$

where A , C and F are scalars, $B_{\perp\mu}$, $G_{\perp\mu}$ are transverse vectors and $H_{\perp\mu\nu}$ is a transverse symmetric tensor, all the quantities being functions of \hat{n}' and u' . We now demand $\partial^\mu T_{R\mu\nu} = 0$ and use (3.3). We get, at order $1/r'^{D-1}$:

$$\partial_{u'} C = 0, \quad \partial_{u'} F = 0, \quad \partial_{u'} G_{\perp\nu} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Since for fixed r' the stress tensor must vanish for $u' \rightarrow -\infty$, this gives:

$$C = 0, \quad F = 0, \quad G_{\perp\nu} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Vanishing of the term proportional to $1/r'^D$ in $\partial^\mu T_{R\mu\nu}$ give

$$A - \partial_\perp \cdot B_\perp = 0, \quad \partial_\perp \cdot H_\perp^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

The right hand sides of these equations could actually have terms proportional to u' -derivatives of higher order coefficients of expansion, but since such terms can be absorbed into a redefinition of $N_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.6), we ignore them. This brings the result almost to the desired form (3.6) with the identification $B_\perp = -R_\perp$, except that we need to show that the transverse tensor $H_{\perp\alpha\beta}$ has the form of the term proportional to $\partial_{u'} N_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.6). This can be seen as follows:

1. Since we have chosen the polarization tensors of the fields ϕ_a to be transverse (and also traceless for the radiative part of the metric), neither the u' nor the r' derivative can contract with an index of the polarization tensor. One way to get rid of the free u index from $\partial_{u'}$ is to pick the other derivative to be $\partial_{r'}$ and contract $\partial_{u'}$ with $\partial_{r'}$ – we see from (3.5) that this is possible since $n' \cdot \tilde{n}' = 1$. This leaves behind the indices from the polarization tensors, which could supply the indices of the transverse tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ (and, for the gravitational field, the left-over transverse indices can contract with each other). Since $\partial_{r'}\phi_a \propto f_a(\hat{n}', u') r'^{-D/2}$ and $\partial_{u'}\phi_a \propto \partial_{u'}f_a(\hat{n}', u') r'^{-(D-2)/2}$, such contributions to $H_{\perp\mu\nu}$ will have the structure $r'^{-(D-1)}\partial_{u'}f_a f_b$ with the polarizations appropriately contracted. It is easy to see that these terms are total derivatives in u' and therefore can be absorbed into the term proportional to $\partial_{u'}N_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.6). For example for gauge fields we shall have $H_{\perp ij} \propto (f_i\partial_{u'}f_j + f_j\partial_{u'}f_i) = \partial_{u'}(f_i f_j)$ and for the gravitational field we have $H_{\perp ij} \propto (f_{i\ell}\partial_{u'}f_{j\ell} + f_{j\ell}\partial_{u'}f_{i\ell}) = \partial_{u'}(f_{i\ell}f_{j\ell})$.
2. For the gravitational field we need to also consider a possible contribution to T_{ij} proportional to $\partial_{u'}h_{rr}\partial_{u'}h_{ij}$, where i, j are transverse directions and the two u indices coming from $\partial_{u'}$ are contracted with the two r indices of h_{rr} . Even if by a choice of gauge we take the order $r'^{-(D-2)/2}$ term in $h_{\mu\nu}$ to have only transverse components, h_{rr} could have a term of order $r'^{-D/2}$. Therefore, $\partial_{u'}h_{rr}\partial_{u'}h_{ij}$ could give a contribution to T_{ij} of order $r'^{-(D-1)}$. However, as shown in eq.(B.35) in appendix B, equations of motion forces the leading term in h_{rr} to be of order $r'^{-(D+2)/2}$. Therefore we cannot get a contribution of order $r'^{-(D-1)}$ to T_{ij} with i, j transverse from the $\partial_{u'}h_{rr}\partial_{u'}h_{ij}$ term.

This establishes (3.6).

In appendix B we shall verify (3.6) explicitly for massless scalar, vector and tensor fields, where we also express (3.6) in Bondi coordinates. From this analysis it will also be clear that R and R_{\perp} contain two powers of $f_a(\hat{n}', u')$, with R having two u' derivative acting on these factors and R_{\perp} having one u' derivative acting on one of the factors. On the other hand, it follows from the analysis of [17, 18, 36] – rederived in appendix A – that $f_a(\hat{n}', u')$ falls off at least as fast as $|u'|^{-(D-4)/2}$ for large u' . Therefore R falls off at least as fast as $|u'|^{-(D-2)}$ and R_{\perp} falls off at least as fast as $|u'|^{-(D-3)}$ for large $|u'|$.

Before concluding this section we shall discuss a subtle point. In odd dimensions, the expansion of a massless field ϕ_a in inverse powers of r' also contains integer powers of the form $r'^{-(D-3)}$. In five dimensions this could upset the expansion (3.6), by producing a term

of order $r'^{-7/2}$ from the product of the leading term in ϕ_a of order $r'^{-3/2}$ and the subleading term of order r'^{-2} . This is larger than the subleading term of order r'^{-4} given in (3.6). It was shown however in [37] that the order $r'^{-(D-3)}$ term in the expansion of ϕ_a is u' -independent, and therefore when we try to construct the stress tensor from the field, we must necessarily act either a radial derivative or a transverse derivative on this component.⁶ This produces an extra power of $1/r'$, making the contribution to the stress tensor of order $r'^{-9/2}$. This is smaller than the subleading term in (3.6) which falls off as r'^{-4} .

3.2 Computation of $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$

We shall now substitute (3.6) into (2.9) to compute $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$. As usual we divide the integration range into two parts, $r' > L$ and $r' \leq L$, to compute the contributions to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ and $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$. We begin with the contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$, given by

$$i\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{r'>L} d^D x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{R\alpha\beta}(x') + \text{boundary terms at } \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

First we note that the contribution from the last term in (3.6) proportional to $\partial_{u'} N_{\alpha\beta}$ may be analyzed by integration by parts. There are no boundary terms since for fixed r' , integration over $u' \equiv t' - r'$ runs from $-\infty$ to ∞ and the integrand falls off at the two ends. Acting on the $e^{ik \cdot x'}$ factor at fixed r' , \hat{n}' , the $\partial_{u'}$ term brings down a factor proportional to $\omega = |k^0|$. The integrand multiplying it falls off as dr'/r' for large r' , and the $e^{ik \cdot x'}$ factor renders the integral finite, with at most a $\ln \omega$ divergence for small ω . Since $\omega \ln \omega$ terms are subsubleading in the soft expansion, we can ignore this term in our computation.

Similarly one can show that higher order terms in the expansion of $T_{\mu\nu}$, beyond those given in (3.6), do not contribute to (3.12) to subleading order. For this let us consider a term in $T_{\mu\nu}$ of order $r'^{-(D-1+a)}$ for any positive number a . We substitute this into (3.12) and evaluate it in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit. First let us assume that the integrand falls off sufficiently fast for large $|u'|$ so that the u' integral gives a finite result. Then the integration over the spatial coordinates is proportional to $\int_{r'>L} d^{D-1} r' / r'^{(D-1+a)}$. This goes as L^{-a} and is therefore suppressed in the large L limit. Exceptions to this are terms coming from products of Coulomb components of the fields, which remain u' independent [37] over a time scale of order r' . After this period the

⁶Since in our set up the sources of the gravitational field travel with finite velocity at late time, we expect the Coulomb part to be not u' independent, but it should fall off for $|u'| \gg r'$ since the sources move away to a distance further than r' for $|u'| \gg r'$. Nevertheless the important point is that the u' derivative is of the same order as r' derivative and therefore does not produce any contribution to $\partial_\mu \phi_a$ of order r'^{-2} .

sources producing the Coulomb field will move away to a distance farther than r' and the field will begin to decrease. Therefore for the contribution to the stress tensor from the product of these terms, the u' integration can give terms of order r' . However since the Coulomb component appears at order $r'^{-(D-3)}$ and its derivatives are of order $r'^{-(D-2)}$, its contribution to the stress tensor will be of order $r'^{-2(D-2)}$. Therefore even if the u' integral produces a factor of r' , the spatial integral will be of the form $\int_{r'>L} d^{D-1}r'/r'^{(2D-3)} \sim L^{-(D-4)}$. For $D > 4$, this is suppressed for large L .

Therefore we need to evaluate (3.12) with $T_{R\mu\nu}$ given by (3.6), ignoring the term proportional to $\partial_{u'} N_{\mu\nu}$. For this we first make a change of variables:

$$\vec{x}' \rightarrow \vec{x}' + \vec{R}_\perp(\hat{n}', u')/R(\hat{n}', u'). \quad (3.13)$$

This induces the transformations:

$$r' \rightarrow r', \quad u' \rightarrow u', \quad \hat{n}' \rightarrow \hat{n}' + \frac{\vec{R}_\perp(\hat{n}', u')}{r' R(\hat{n}', u')}, \quad d^D x' \rightarrow d^D x' \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r'} \vec{\partial}_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{R}_\perp(\hat{n}', u')}{R(\hat{n}', u')} \right) \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

where we have ignored terms that are suppressed by two powers of r' . Using this in (3.12) we get

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{r'>L} d^D x' e^{ik \cdot n' r' + i\omega u' + ik \cdot R_\perp/R} \left[\frac{1}{r'^{D-2}} R(\hat{n}', u') n'_\alpha n'_\beta + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r'^D}\right) \right]. \quad (3.15)$$

In particular the order $1/r'^{(D-1)}$ terms in (3.6) get cancelled (except for the $\partial_{u'} N_{\alpha\beta}$ term, which we have argued does not contribute to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1$ to subleading order). We now express $e^{ik \cdot n' r'}$ as $(ik \cdot n')^{-1} \partial_{r'} (e^{ik \cdot n' r'})$, and then integrate by parts over r' , arriving at

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1 &= -\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \frac{1}{k \cdot n'} e^{ik \cdot n' L + i\omega u' + ik \cdot R_\perp/R} \left[R(\hat{n}', u') n'_\alpha n'_\beta + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{L^2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad - \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{r'>L} d^D x' \frac{1}{k \cdot n'} e^{ik \cdot n' r' + i\omega u' + ik \cdot R_\perp/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} \left[R(\hat{n}', u') n'_\alpha n'_\beta + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r'^2}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $d\hat{n}'$ denotes integration over the angular variables and we have used:

$$d^D x' = d\hat{n}' du' r'^{D-2} dr'. \quad (3.17)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (3.16) represents the boundary contribution from $r' = L$. As usual, we have ignored boundary terms at infinity. The term in the second line has

integrand of order $1/(r')^3$, and even when we expand the exponential factor to order k^μ to pick the subleading term, the integrand will be of order $1/(r')^2$. Therefore the integral goes as $1/L$ and can be ignored. This gives, to subleading order in the expansion in powers of $\omega \equiv |k^0|$,

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = -\mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \left[\frac{1}{k \cdot n'} \{1 + ik \cdot n' L + i\omega u' + ik \cdot R_\perp / R\} R(\hat{n}', u') n'_\alpha n'_\beta \right]. \quad (3.18)$$

We now turn to the computation of

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2(x) \equiv i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{r' \leq L} d^D x' e^{ik \cdot x'} T_{R\alpha\beta}(x'). \quad (3.19)$$

The calculation will follow the same steps as the ones described below (2.17). We have

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha \tilde{e}^{2\alpha\beta}(x) &= \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int_{r' \leq L} d^D x' \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\alpha} e^{ik \cdot x'} \right\} T_R^{\alpha\beta}(x') \\ &= \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' (r')^{D-2} \hat{n}'_\alpha e^{ik \cdot x'} T_R^{\alpha\beta}(x') \Big|_{r'=L}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where in the second step we have carried out an integration by parts, picking up the boundary term at $r' = R$ and using the conservation law⁷ $\partial_\alpha T_R^{\alpha\beta}(x') = 0$. Since the total ingoing momentum flux is equal to the total outgoing momentum flux, we have⁷

$$-(r')^{D-2} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \hat{n}'_\alpha T_R^{\alpha\beta}(x') \Big|_{r'=L} = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Using this we can express (3.20) as

$$k_\alpha \tilde{e}^{2\alpha\beta}(x) = i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} (r')^{D-2} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \hat{n}'_\alpha k \cdot x' T_R^{\alpha\beta}(x') \Big|_{r'=L} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2). \quad (3.22)$$

We can take the solution to (3.22) to be

$$\tilde{e}^{2\alpha\beta}(x) = i \mathcal{N} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} (r')^{D-2} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \hat{n}'_\gamma x'^\alpha T_R^{\gamma\beta}(x') \Big|_{r'=L} + \mathcal{O}(k). \quad (3.23)$$

This does not look symmetric under $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, but using the conservation of total angular momentum (see footnote 7):

$$(r')^{D-2} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \hat{n}'_\gamma \left[-x'^\alpha T_R^{\gamma\beta}(x') + x'^\beta T_R^{\gamma\alpha}(x') \right] \Big|_{r'=L} = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

⁷Actually it is the sum of the stress tensor of the radiation and matter that is conserved. So we really need to combine (3.20) with (2.18) and set to zero the total contribution to $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}$. Similarly neither (2.23) nor (3.21) is true individually, but their sum is true, and one should analyze the contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}^2$ from matter and radiation together. A similar remark holds for the angular momentum conservation laws (2.26) and (3.24).

we can rewrite (3.23) as a manifestly symmetric tensor:

$$\tilde{e}^{2\alpha\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{N}e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' (r')^{D-2} \tilde{n}'_\gamma \left[x'^\alpha T_R^{\gamma\beta}(x') + x'^\beta T_R^{\gamma\alpha}(x') \right] \Big|_{r'=L} + \mathcal{O}(k). \quad (3.25)$$

Adding (3.18) and (3.25), and dropping terms containing inverse powers of L , we now get, up to subleading order in the expansion in powers of k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}^{\alpha\beta}(x) &= \tilde{e}^{1\alpha\beta}(x) + \tilde{e}^{2\alpha\beta}(x) \\ &= -\mathcal{N}e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int d\hat{n}' \int du' \left[\frac{1}{k \cdot n'} (1 + ik \cdot n' L + i\omega u' + ik \cdot R_\perp / R) R(\hat{n}', u') n'^\alpha n'^\beta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{i}{2} \left[(r')^{D-2} \tilde{n}'_\gamma \left\{ x'^\alpha T_R^{\gamma\beta}(x') + x'^\beta T_R^{\gamma\alpha}(x') \right\} \right]_{r'=L} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

We now use the expression for $T_{R\mu\nu}$ given in (3.6) to evaluate this expression, ignoring terms containing inverse powers of L . Using the result $x'^\mu = L n'^\mu + u (n'^\mu - \tilde{n}'^\mu)$ at $r' = L$, and after an integration by parts in the angular variables for the term proportional to $\vec{\partial}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_\perp$, we get the result:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta} = -\mathcal{N}e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \int du' \int d\hat{n}' \left[R(\hat{n}', u') \frac{n'_\alpha n'_\beta}{n' \cdot k} - i \frac{1}{n' \cdot k} k^\gamma n'_\alpha \left\{ \tilde{R}_\beta(\hat{n}', u') n'_\gamma - n'_\beta \tilde{R}_\gamma(\hat{n}', u') \right\} \right], \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_\mu(\hat{n}', u') = -u' R(\hat{n}', u') \tilde{n}'_\mu + R_{\perp\mu}(\hat{n}', u'). \quad (3.28)$$

It is straightforward to verify that if we substitute the expression for $T_{R\mu\nu}$ given in (3.6) into (1.8), and substitute the resulting expressions for A_α , $B_{\beta\gamma}$ into (1.7), we get back the same expression for $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ as given in (3.27).⁸ This establishes (1.7), (1.8).

From the analysis in the penultimate paragraph of §3.1 we know that R falls off as $|u'|^{-(D-2)}$ and R_\perp fall off as $|u'|^{-(D-3)}$ for large u' . Using these results in (3.27), (3.28) we see that the integrand in (3.27) falls off at least as fast as $|u'|^{-(D-3)}$ for large $|u'|$. Therefore its integral over u' yields finite result for $D > 4$. This observation is particularly relevant for odd D since the retarded Green's function $G_r(x, x')$ has support for x lying inside the future light-cone of x' , instead of on the future light-cone of x' .

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Miguel Campiglia, Arnab Priya Saha and Biswajit Sahoo for useful discussions. The work of A.S. was supported in part by the J. C.

⁸In the computation we have not included the contribution from the term proportional to $\partial_{u'} N_{\alpha\beta}$ in (3.6). This gives a contribution to $x'^\alpha T_R^{\mu\beta} - x'^\beta T_R^{\mu\alpha}$ proportional to $r'^{-(D-2)} \partial_{u'} (n'^\alpha N^{\mu\beta} - n'^\beta N^{\mu\alpha})$. Being a total derivative in u' (and hence t'), its contribution to the soft theorem via (1.8) vanishes.

Bose fellowship of the Department of Science and Technology, India and the Infosys chair professorship.

A Radiative fields at large retarded time

In this appendix we shall study the asymptotic fall-off of massless fields in the scattering process at large retarded time. We shall assume that we have chosen a gauge such that the field equation of a massless field ϕ_a takes the form:

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_a = -j_a \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for some source term j_a . In this case the solution is given by:

$$\phi_a = - \int d^D x' G_r(x, x') j_a(x'), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where G_r is the retarded Green's function. It was shown in [1] (and reviewed in §2) that for large $|\vec{x}|$, (A.2) takes the form:

$$\phi_a(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\phi}_a(\omega, \vec{x}), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

with,

$$\tilde{\phi}_a(\omega, \vec{x}) = \frac{i}{2\omega} e^{i\omega|\vec{x}|} \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi i |\vec{x}|} \right)^{(D-2)/2} \int d^D x' e^{i\omega(t' - \hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}')} j_a(x'), \quad \hat{n} = \vec{x}/|\vec{x}|. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Now we know from the analysis of §2 that for small ω :

$$\int d^D x' e^{i\omega(t' - \hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}')} j_a(x') \simeq \frac{A(\hat{n})}{\omega} + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for some function $A(\hat{n})$. Since small ω behaviour of $\tilde{\phi}_a(\omega, \vec{x})$ controls the large time behaviour of $\phi_a(t, \vec{x})$, we get, from (A.2)-(A.4),

$$\phi_a(t, \vec{x}) \simeq \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i |\vec{x}|} \right)^{(D-2)/2} A(\hat{n}) \int d\omega e^{-i\omega u} \omega^{(D-6)/2}, \quad u \equiv t - |\vec{x}|. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

In even dimensions > 4 the integral gives $\delta(u)$ or its derivatives [17, 36], and therefore the expression is localized around $u = 0$. In odd dimensions, changing integration variable from ω to $y \equiv \omega u$, we get:

$$\phi_a(t, \vec{x}) \simeq \frac{i}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i |\vec{x}|} \right)^{(D-2)/2} A(\hat{n}) u^{-(D-4)/2} \int dy e^{-iy} y^{(D-6)/2}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This shows that for $D > 4$, $\phi_a(t, \vec{x})$ falls off as $u^{-(D-4)/2}$ for large u . This agrees with the results of [18] and is one of the results used in our analysis in §3 for computing the contribution to $\tilde{e}_{\alpha\beta}$ due to stress tensor of massless fields.

B Stress tensor of radiation at large distance

In this appendix we shall verify the general form (3.6) of the stress tensor associated with massless fields by explicitly constructing the stress tensor of massless scalar, vector and tensor fields. The asymptotic form of various massless fields that we shall use for this computation can be found in [37, 38], but we also review their derivation. To simplify notation, we shall drop the subscript R from $T_{R\mu\nu}$ and drop the primes from the coordinate labels used in (3.6).

We shall work with Bondi coordinates defined as

$$u \equiv t - r, \quad r, \quad \theta_K \equiv \hat{n}_K. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

For a given vector A_μ , we get, using (3.3)

$$A_\mu = \partial_\mu u A_u + \partial_\mu r A_r + \partial_\mu \theta^K A_K = -n_\mu A_u + \tilde{n}_\mu A_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\perp\mu} \theta^K A_K, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

so that we have

$$A_r = n^\mu A_\mu, \quad A_u = (n^\mu - \tilde{n}^\mu) A_\mu. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In this coordinate system, the expected form of $T_{\mu\nu}$ given in (3.6) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-2}} R - \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} D^K R_K, \quad T_{ru} = 0, \quad T_{rr} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r} T_{uK} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} R_K, \quad \frac{1}{r} T_{rK} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{r^2} T_{KL} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

up to terms that are either of order $1/r^{D-1}$ and total derivative in u or of order $1/r^D$. R_K is related to $R_{\perp i}$ in (3.6) via the relation,

$$\partial_{\perp i} \theta^K R_K = R_{\perp i}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The metric in this coordinate system is given by

$$ds^2 = -2dr du - du^2 + r^2 Q_{KL} d\theta^K d\theta^L, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where Q_{KL} is the metric on the unit sphere. The inverse metric has the form:

$$g^{ru} = -1, \quad g^{rr} = 1, \quad g^{uu} = 0, \quad g^{KL} = r^{-2} Q^{KL}, \quad g^{uK} = 0, \quad g^{rK} = 0. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

The non-vanishing Christoffel symbols of the Minkowski metric in Bondi coordinate system are:

$$\Gamma_{KL}^u = r Q_{KL}, \quad \Gamma_{KL}^r = -r Q_{KL}, \quad \Gamma_{rL}^K = r^{-1} \delta_L^K, \quad \Gamma_{LM}^K = \tilde{\Gamma}_{LM}^K, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{LM}^K$ is the Christoffel symbol on the unit $(D-2)$ sphere labelled by the coordinates θ^K . We shall denote by D_K the covariant derivative on the unit sphere computed with the metric Q_{KL} and the Christoffel symbol $\tilde{\Gamma}_{LM}^K$, and by D^K the combination $Q^{KL} D_L$.

Our goal will be to verify (B.4) for massless fields. Let us first consider the case of a massless scalar field with stress tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\phi = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \phi \partial_\rho \phi. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

In the Bondi coordinates the Laplace equation $\square \phi = 0$ takes the form:

$$-2\partial_u \partial_r \phi + \partial_r^2 \phi + r^{-2} D^K D_K \phi - r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_u \phi + r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_r \phi = 0. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The asymptotic form of the scalar field produced during a classical scattering process has the form:⁹

$$\phi = \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} g(\hat{n}, u) + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{g}(\hat{n}, u) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^{(D+2)/2}}\right). \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Here g is some function that falls off for large $|u|$ according to the results of appendix A, and \tilde{g} will be determined shortly. In (B.11) we have ignored a possible Coulomb term of order r^{-2} in $D = 5$, since we have argued in §3 that these terms do not contribute to $T_{\mu\nu}$ to the required order. Substituting (B.11) into (B.10) we find that the order $r^{-D/2}$ term automatically vanishes and the order $r^{-(D+2)/2}$ term gives:

$$\partial_u \tilde{g}(\hat{n}, u) = -\frac{1}{2} D^K D_K g(\hat{n}, u) + \frac{(D-2)(D-4)}{8} g(\hat{n}, u). \quad (\text{B.12})$$

This gives, to order $r^{-D/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u \phi &= \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} \partial_u g(\hat{n}, u) - \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \frac{1}{2} D^K D_K g(\hat{n}, u) + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \frac{(D-2)(D-4)}{8} g(\hat{n}, u), \\ \partial_r \phi &= -\frac{D-2}{2} \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} g(\hat{n}, u), \quad \frac{1}{r} \partial_K \phi = \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \partial_K g, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

⁹In standard notation in general relativity, *e.g.* in [37], g and \tilde{g} would be denoted as $\phi^{(\frac{D-2}{2})}$ and $\phi^{(\frac{D}{2})}$ respectively. We shall avoid using this notation for brevity, but the translation is straightforward. The same translation can be made for the other fields introduced below, *e.g.* a_μ and \tilde{a}_μ will stand for $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{(\frac{D-2}{2})}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{(\frac{D}{2})}$ respectively, and $f_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$ will stand for $h_{\mu\nu}^{(\frac{D-2}{2})}$ and $h_{\mu\nu}^{(\frac{D}{2})}$ respectively.

and therefore

$$\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi = -2 \partial_u \phi \partial_r \phi + \partial_r \phi \partial_r \phi + r^{-2} Q^{KL} \partial_K \phi \partial_L \phi = \frac{D-2}{r^{D-1}} g(\hat{n}, u) \partial_u g(\hat{n}, u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-D}). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Since this is a total derivative in u , we can ignore its contribution to $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$ given in (B.9). From (B.13), (B.9) we now get:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-2}} (\partial_u g)^2 - \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} \partial_u g D^K D_K g, & T_{ur} &= 0, & T_{rr} &= 0, \\ r^{-1} T_{uK} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} \partial_u g \partial_K g, & r^{-1} T_{rK} &= 0, & r^{-2} T_{KL} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

up to terms that are either of order r^{-D} or of order $r^{-(D-1)}$ and total derivative in u . This matches (B.4) up to terms of the form $r^{-(D-1)} \partial_u N_{\alpha\beta}$ if we choose:

$$R = (\partial_u g)^2, \quad R_K = \partial_u g \partial_K g. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

Next we shall analyze the stress tensor corresponding to the asymptotic electromagnetic field. We shall use Lorentz gauge. In the Bondi coordinates, the equations of motion $\square \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0$ take the form [37, 38]:

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \partial_u \partial_r \mathcal{A}_u + \partial_r^2 \mathcal{A}_u + r^{-2} D^K D_K \mathcal{A}_u - r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_u \mathcal{A}_u + r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_r \mathcal{A}_u &= 0, \\ -2 \partial_u \partial_r \mathcal{A}_r + \partial_r^2 \mathcal{A}_r + r^{-2} D^K D_K \mathcal{A}_r - r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_u \mathcal{A}_r + r^{-1} (D-2) \partial_r \mathcal{A}_r \\ -2 r^{-3} D^K \mathcal{A}_K + r^{-2} (D-2) \mathcal{A}_u - r^{-2} (D-2) \mathcal{A}_r &= 0, \\ -2 \partial_u \partial_r \mathcal{A}_L + \partial_r^2 \mathcal{A}_L + r^{-2} D^K D_K \mathcal{A}_L - r^{-1} (D-4) \partial_u \mathcal{A}_L + r^{-1} (D-4) \partial_r \mathcal{A}_L \\ -2 r^{-1} \partial_L \mathcal{A}_u + 2 r^{-1} \partial_L \mathcal{A}_r - (D-3) r^{-2} \mathcal{A}_L &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

We now express the radiative part of the gauge field in the far region as

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} a_\mu(\hat{n}, u) + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{a}_\mu(\hat{n}, u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-(D-2)/2}), \quad (\text{B.18})$$

where the function $a_\mu(\hat{n}, u)$ falls off for large $|u|$. As described in §3, there are also Coulombic modes [37], but their contribution to the stress tensor can be ignored at this order. The Lorentz gauge condition $\partial^\mu \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0$ gives $\partial_u(n^\mu a_\mu) = 0$. Since a_μ falls off at large $|u|$, we get $n^\mu a_\mu = 0$. We can use the residual gauge freedom $\mathcal{A}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\mu + \partial_\mu \phi$ with $\square \phi = 0$ to also set $\tilde{n}^\mu a_\mu = 0$. In the u, r, θ^K coordinate system this gives, from (B.3),

$$\mathcal{A}_u = \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{a}_u, \quad \mathcal{A}_r = \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{a}_r, \quad \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{A}_K = \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} a_K + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{a}_K, \quad (\text{B.19})$$

up to corrections of order $r^{-D/2-1}$. Substituting (B.19) into (B.17) we get equations analogous to (B.12):

$$\partial_u \tilde{a}_u = 0, \quad \partial_u \tilde{a}_r = D^K a_K, \quad \partial_u \tilde{a}_K = -\frac{1}{2} D^L D_L a_K + \frac{1}{8} (D^2 - 6D + 12) a_K. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

The first equation, together with the fact that \tilde{a}_u vanishes in the far past, allows us to set \tilde{a}_u to 0.

In the (u, r, θ^K) coordinate system, different components of the field strength $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv (\partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu)$ up to order $r^{-D/2}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{ur} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} D^K a_K, \quad \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{F}_{uK} = \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} \partial_u a_K + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} D^L D_L a_K + \frac{D^2 - 6D + 12}{8} a_K \right\}, \\ \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{F}_{rK} &= \frac{D-4}{2} \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} a_K, \quad \frac{1}{r^2} \mathcal{F}_{KL} = \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} (D_K a_L - D_L a_K). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

From this we can calculate the energy momentum tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{em} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\rho} \mathcal{F}_\nu{}^\rho - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}^{\rho\sigma}, \quad (\text{B.22})$$

ignoring corrections of order r^{-D} and total derivatives in u in terms of order $r^{-(D-1)}$. We first note that to this order

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}^{\rho\sigma} = -4 r^{-2} Q^{KL} \mathcal{F}_{uK} \mathcal{F}_{rL} = -2 \frac{D-4}{r^{D-1}} Q_{KL} a_K \partial_u a_L = -\frac{D-4}{r^{D-1}} \partial_u (Q^{KL} a_K a_L). \quad (\text{B.23})$$

Since this is a total derivative we can ignore its contribution to $T_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore we get, ignoring terms of order r^{-D} and total u -derivative terms of order $r^{-(D-1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} &= r^{-2} Q^{KL} \mathcal{F}_{uK} \mathcal{F}_{uL} = \frac{1}{r^{D-2}} Q^{KL} \partial_u a_K \partial_u a_L - \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} Q^{KL} \partial_u a_K D^M D_M a_L, \quad T_{rr} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r} T_{rK} &= 0, \quad T_{ur} = r^{-2} Q^{KL} \mathcal{F}_{uK} \mathcal{F}_{rL} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{r^2} T_{KL} = \frac{1}{r^2} g^{ru} (\mathcal{F}_{Ku} \mathcal{F}_{Lr} + \mathcal{F}_{Kr} \mathcal{F}_{Lu}) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{r} T_{uK} &= \frac{1}{r} g^{ru} \mathcal{F}_{ur} \mathcal{F}_{Ku} + r^{-2} Q^{LM} \frac{1}{r} \mathcal{F}_{uL} \mathcal{F}_{KM} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} \partial_u a_K D^L a_L + \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} Q^{LM} \partial_u a_L (D_K a_M - D_M a_K). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

This has the same form as (B.4) if we identify:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= Q^{KL} \partial_u a_K \partial_u a_L, \quad R_K = \partial_u a_K D^L a_L + Q^{LM} \partial_u a_L (D_K a_M - D_M a_K), \\ D^K R_K &= Q^{KL} \partial_u a_K D^M D_M a_L + \partial_u \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

It is easy to check that the last two equations are consistent with each other for suitable choice of \mathcal{N} .

Finally we shall analyze the stress tensor associated with asymptotic gravitational field. We shall use the de Donder gauge:

$$\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu h_\rho{}^\rho = 0, \quad (\text{B.26})$$

so that the linearized equations of motion take the form $\square h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. We expand the radiative part of $h_{\mu\nu}$ in the far region as

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} f_{\mu\nu}(\hat{n}, u) + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}(\hat{n}, u) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-(D-2)/2}), \quad (\text{B.27})$$

ignoring the Coulombic modes as usual. The gauge condition (B.26) gives, at leading order,

$$n^\mu f_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} n_\nu f_\rho{}^\rho = 0. \quad (\text{B.28})$$

In this gauge we still have residual gauge symmetry

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu, \quad \square \xi_\mu = 0, \quad (\text{B.29})$$

which induces a transformation

$$f_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow f_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu a_\nu + n_\nu a_\mu, \quad (\text{B.30})$$

for any function $a_\mu(\hat{n}, u)$. By adjusting a_μ we can set

$$f_\rho{}^\rho = 0, \quad \tilde{n}^\rho f_{\rho\mu} = 0. \quad (\text{B.31})$$

In Bondi coordinates this corresponds to the following expansion of the various components of $h_{\mu\nu}$ up to order $r^{-D/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{uu} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{uu}, & h_{rr} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{rr}, & h_{ru} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{ru}, & \frac{1}{r} h_{uK} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{uK}, \\ \frac{1}{r} h_{rK} &= \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{rK}, & \frac{1}{r^2} h_{KL} &= \frac{1}{r^{(D-2)/2}} f_{KL} + \frac{1}{r^{D/2}} \tilde{f}_{KL}, & Q^{KL} f_{KL} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.32})$$

We can now write down the $\square h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ equations in the Bondi coordinate system, and substitute (B.32) into these equations to determine $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$'s in terms of $f_{\mu\nu}$'s as in the case of scalar fields and gauge fields. Explicit form of these equations can be found in [37, 38]. For the sake of

brevity we shall not describe the full set of equations for $h_{\mu\nu}$, but give one example. The rr component of the equations of motion takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\partial_u\partial_r h_{rr} + \partial_r^2 h_{rr} + r^{-2}D^K D_K h_{rr} - r^{-1}(D-2)\partial_u h_{rr} + r^{-1}(D-2)\partial_r h_{rr} \\ & -4r^{-3}D^K h_{Kr} + 2r^{-4}Q^{KL}h_{KL} + 2r^{-2}(D-2)(h_{ur} - h_{rr}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.33})$$

Upon substituting (B.32) into this equation we find that the order $r^{-(D+2)/2}$ terms in the equation gives

$$\partial_u \tilde{f}_{rr} = -Q^{KL} f_{KL} = 0, \quad (\text{B.34})$$

where in the last step we have used the last equation of (B.32). Vanishing of $\partial_u \tilde{f}_{rr}$ is an important ingredient that was used in §3 to show that at order $r^{-(D-1)}$, the transverse component of the gravitational stress tensor is a total derivative in u – we shall also see this explicitly in (B.39). Similar analysis with the other components of the $\square h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ equation leads to the following set of equations for the $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$'s in the Bondi coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u \tilde{f}_{uu} &= 0, \quad \partial_u \tilde{f}_{ur} = 0, \quad \partial_u \tilde{f}_{rr} = 0, \quad \partial_u \tilde{f}_{uK} = 0, \\ \partial_u \tilde{f}_{rK} &= D^K f_{KL}, \quad \partial_u \tilde{f}_{KL} = -\frac{1}{2}D^M D_M f_{KL} + \frac{1}{8}(D^2 - 6D + 16)f_{KL}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.35})$$

We can now use this to compute the energy-momentum tensor of gravitational radiation. In the asymptotic region we only need to take the terms quadratic in $h_{\mu\nu}$. This is given by [16]:¹⁰

$$T_{\mu\kappa} = -h_{\mu\kappa}R_{\rho}^{(1)\rho} + \eta_{\mu\kappa}h^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} + R_{\mu\kappa}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\kappa}\eta^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma}^{(2)}, \quad (\text{B.36})$$

where $R_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}$ and $R_{\rho\sigma}^{(2)}$ represent contributions to the Ricci tensor $R_{\rho\sigma}$ linear and quadratic in $h_{\mu\nu}$ respectively:

$$R_{\mu\kappa}^{(1)} = \partial_\mu\partial_\kappa h_\rho^\rho - \partial_\rho\partial_\kappa h_\mu^\rho - \partial_\rho\partial_\mu h_\kappa^\rho + \partial^\rho\partial_\rho h_{\mu\kappa}, \quad (\text{B.37})$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\kappa}^{(2)} &= -2h^{\rho\nu} [\partial_\mu\partial_\kappa h_{\rho\nu} - \partial_\rho\partial_\kappa h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu h_{\rho\kappa} + \partial_\rho\partial_\nu h_{\mu\kappa}] \\ &\quad + [2\partial_\nu h_\sigma^\nu - \partial_\sigma h_\nu^\nu] [\partial_\kappa h_\mu^\sigma + \partial_\mu h_\kappa^\sigma - \partial^\sigma h_{\mu\kappa}] \\ &\quad - [\partial_\rho h_{\sigma\kappa} + \partial_\kappa h_{\sigma\rho} - \partial_\sigma h_{\rho\kappa}] [\partial^\rho h_\mu^\sigma + \partial_\mu h^{\sigma\rho} - \partial^\sigma h_\mu^\rho]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.38})$$

In the Bondi coordinates, $T_{\mu\kappa}$ will have the same form, except that the derivatives ∂_ρ will have to be replaced by \mathcal{D}_ρ – covariant derivatives computed with the metric (B.6) and connection

¹⁰Even if the action contains higher derivative terms, their contribution to the stress tensor in the asymptotic region will be suppressed. Therefore we do not include these terms.

(B.8), and $\eta_{\rho\sigma}$ will have to be replaced by the form of the metric given in (B.6). The calculation is straightforward, yielding the result:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-2}} \partial_u f_{KL} \partial_u f^{KL} - \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} \partial_u f_{KL} D^M D_M f_{KL}, \\ \frac{1}{r} T_{uK} &= \frac{1}{r^{D-1}} [\partial_u f_{MN} D_K f^{MN} - 2 \partial_u f^{LM} D_M f_{LK} + 2 D_M f^{LM} \partial_u f_{LK}] , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.39})$$

with all the other components vanishing to this order. This has the form given in (B.4) with:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \partial_u f_{KL} \partial_u f^{KL}, \quad R_K = \partial_u f_{MN} D_K f^{MN} - 2 \partial_u f^{LM} D_M f_{LK} + 2 D_M f^{LM} \partial_u f_{LK}, \\ D^K R_K &= \partial_u f_{KL} D^M D_M f_{KL} + \partial_u \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.40})$$

In particular the last two equations are compatible for suitable choice of \mathcal{N} . The expression for T_{uK} given in (B.39) also agrees with the result of [39] in $D = 4$.

References

- [1] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Gravity Waves from Soft Theorem in General Dimensions,” JHEP **1809**, 105 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2018)105 [arXiv:1801.07719 [hep-th]].
- [2] S. Weinberg, “Infrared photons and gravitons,” Phys. Rev. **140**, B516 (1965). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.140.B516
- [3] C. D. White, “Factorization Properties of Soft Graviton Amplitudes,” JHEP **1105**, 060 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2011)060 [arXiv:1103.2981 [hep-th]].
- [4] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, “BMS supertranslations and Weinbergs soft graviton theorem,” JHEP **1505**, 151 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)151 [arXiv:1401.7026 [hep-th]].
- [5] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, “Evidence for a New Soft Graviton Theorem,” arXiv:1404.4091 [hep-th].
- [6] N. Afkhami-Jeddi, “Soft Graviton Theorem in Arbitrary Dimensions,” arXiv:1405.3533 [hep-th].
- [7] Z. Bern, S. Davies, P. Di Vecchia and J. Nohle, “Low-Energy Behavior of Gluons and Gravitons from Gauge Invariance,” Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 8, 084035 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.084035 [arXiv:1406.6987 [hep-th]].

- [8] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, “Asymptotic symmetries and subleading soft graviton theorem,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 12, 124028 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124028 [arXiv:1408.2228 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Sub-subleading Soft Graviton Theorem in Generic Theories of Quantum Gravity,” arXiv:1706.00759 [hep-th].
- [10] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “New Double Soft Emission Theorems,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no. 6, 065030 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.065030 [arXiv:1503.04816 [hep-th]].
- [11] T. Klose, T. McLoughlin, D. Nandan, J. Plefka and G. Travaglini, “Double-Soft Limits of Gluons and Gravitons,” *JHEP* **1507**, 135 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)135 [arXiv:1504.05558 [hep-th]].
- [12] A. P. Saha, “Double Soft Theorem for Perturbative Gravity,” *JHEP* **1609**, 165 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)165 [arXiv:1607.02700 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. Chakrabarti, S. P. Kashyap, B. Sahoo, A. Sen and M. Verma, “Subleading Soft Theorem for Multiple Soft Gravitons,” arXiv:1707.06803 [hep-th].
- [14] S. Atul Bhatkar and B. Sahoo, “Subleading Soft Theorem for arbitrary number of external soft photons and gravitons,” *JHEP* **1901**, 153 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2019)153 [arXiv:1809.01675 [hep-th]].
- [15] D. Jain and A. Rudra, “Leading soft theorem for multiple gravitini,” arXiv:1811.01804 [hep-th].
- [16] S. Weinberg, “Gravitation and Cosmology : Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity,”
- [17] D. Garfinkle, S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi, A. Tolish and R. M. Wald, “The Memory Effect for Particle Scattering in Even Spacetime Dimensions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34**, no. 14, 145015 (2017) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa777b [arXiv:1702.00095 [gr-qc]].
- [18] G. Satishchandran and R. M. Wald, “Memory effect for particle scattering in odd space-time dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no. 2, 024036 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.024036 [arXiv:1712.00873 [gr-qc]].

- [19] K. Tanabe, T. Shiromizu and S. Kinoshita, “Angular momentum at null infinity in higher dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 124058 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124058 [arXiv:1203.0452 [gr-qc]].
- [20] M. Favata, “The gravitational-wave memory effect,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 084036 (2010) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/27/8/084036 [arXiv:1003.3486 [gr-qc]].
- [21] J. Vines, “Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effective-one-body mappings,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, no. 8, 084002 (2018) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aaa3a8 [arXiv:1709.06016 [gr-qc]].
- [22] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov and J. Vines, “Scattering of Spinning Black Holes from Exponentiated Soft Factors,” arXiv:1812.06895 [hep-th].
- [23] A. Laddha and A. Sen, “Logarithmic Terms in the Soft Expansion in Four Dimensions,” *JHEP* **1810**, 056 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)056 [arXiv:1804.09193 [hep-th]].
- [24] B. Sahoo and A. Sen, “Classical and Quantum Results on Logarithmic Terms in the Soft Theorem in Four Dimensions,” *JHEP* **1902**, 086 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2019)086 [arXiv:1808.03288 [hep-th]].
- [25] P. C. Peters, “Relativistic Gravitational Bremsstrahlung,” *Phys. Rev.* **D1**, 1559 (1970).
- [26] M. Ciafaloni, D. Colferai and G. Veneziano, “Infrared features of gravitational scattering and radiation in the eikonal approach,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 6, 066008 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.066008 [arXiv:1812.08137 [hep-th]].
- [27] A. Addazi, M. Bianchi and G. Veneziano, “Soft gravitational radiation from ultra-relativistic collisions at sub- and sub-sub-leading order,” *JHEP* **1905**, 050 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2019)050 [arXiv:1901.10986 [hep-th]].
- [28] W. M. Tulczyjew, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **18**, 393 (1959).
- [29] W. G. Dixon, in *Proceedings of the International School of Physics Enrico Fermi LXVII*, edited by J. Ehlers (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979) pp. 156219.
- [30] R. A. Porto, “Post-Newtonian corrections to the motion of spinning bodies in NRGR,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104031 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.104031 [gr-qc/0511061].

- [31] W. D. Goldberger and I. Z. Rothstein, “Dissipative effects in the worldline approach to black hole dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104030 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.104030 [hep-th/0511133].
- [32] R. A. Porto and I. Z. Rothstein, “The Hyperfine Einstein-Infeld-Hoffmann potential,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 021101 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.021101 [gr-qc/0604099].
- [33] R. A. Porto and I. Z. Rothstein, “Next to Leading Order Spin(1)Spin(1) Effects in the Motion of Inspiralling Compact Binaries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 044013 (2008) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 029905 (2010)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.029905, 10.1103/PhysRevD.78.044013 [arXiv:0804.0260 [gr-qc]].
- [34] W. D. Goldberger, J. Li and S. G. Prabhu, “Spinning particles, axion radiation, and the classical double copy,” arXiv:1712.09250 [hep-th].
- [35] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, “Hereditary effects in gravitational radiation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 4304 (1992). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.4304
- [36] S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi and R. M. Wald, “BMS Supertranslations and Memory in Four and Higher Dimensions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34**, no. 15, 155005 (2017) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa777a [arXiv:1612.03290 [gr-qc]].
- [37] G. Satishchandran and R. M. Wald, “Asymptotic behavior of massless fields and the memory effect,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 8, 084007 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.084007 [arXiv:1901.05942 [gr-qc]].
- [38] M. Pate, A. M. Raclariu and A. Strominger, “Gravitational Memory in Higher Dimensions,” *JHEP* **1806**, 138 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2018)138 [arXiv:1712.01204 [hep-th]].
- [39] S. Pasterski, A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, “New Gravitational Memories,” *JHEP* **1612**, 053 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2016)053 [arXiv:1502.06120 [hep-th]].