Multimode thermal states with multiphoton subtraction: study of the photons number distribution in the selected subsystem
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Thermal states of light are widely used in quantum optics due to their correlation properties. As is well known, their correlation properties and the photon number distribution as a whole are strongly dependent on the mode number selected by the detection scheme. The same changes can be caused by photon subtraction. Therefore, we describe the general case of the multimode thermal state after a multiphoton subtraction, when the photon number statistics is registered by the detector selecting a part of the initial modes. We present an analytical form of the obtained photon number distribution and its general properties and check them in the experiment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Historically, thermal states of light lay in the basis of quantum optics. In 1900 Max Plank while studying the black-body spectrum came to the concept of photons and in 1956 Robert Hanbury Brown and Richard Q. Twiss showed that photon number correlations really exist and can be utilized to measure the apparent angular size of stars [1]. However, thermal states of light are classical i.e. they can be described with customary visualization by considering a light beam as a set of waves [2]. Thus, in recent years scientists use them to probe some quantum phenomena in order to understand if these effects are really quantum, and if any non-classical properties of light give any benefits, or not. Among them: ghost imaging [3–6], Hong-Ou-Mandel interference [7, 8], Schmidt modes correlation [9], super resolution, based on multiphoton interference [10], etc. Lately thermal states utilization in effects based on the photon annihilation in several modes, like quantum vampire effect [11–13], Photonic Maxwells demon [14], quantum thermal engine [15] etc. became very attractive, so the general theory of multiphoton subtracted multimode thermal states has grown in relevance.

Generally, the significance and relevance of thermal states is based on their correlation properties. Single-mode thermal state of light is well described by the density operator, which has a well-known diagonal form in the Fock basis [16]:

\[ \hat{\rho}_{TS} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{BE}(n|\mu_0) |n\rangle\langle n|, \]

where \( P_{BE}(n|\mu_0) = \frac{\mu_0^n}{n!} e^{-\mu_0} \) is a Bose-Einstein distribution with the mean photon number per mode \( \mu_0 \).

Thus, thermal field is a typical representative of super-Poissonian light with a second-order correlation function \( g^{(2)} = 2 \).

Photon subtraction applied to the single-mode thermal state leads to correlation decrease. It has been theoretically shown [17] and experimentally verified [18–20] that the photon number distribution of \( k \)-photon subtracted thermal states can be described by a negative binomial or a compound Poisson distribution [21]

\[ P_{cP}(n|\mu_0, a) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n) \mu_0^n}{\Gamma(a) n!} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \mu_0} \right)^{n+a}, \]

where \( a = k + 1 \) is the coherence parameter, \( \mu = a\mu_0 \) is the mean photon number. The correlation function of the considered distribution \( g^{(2)} = 1 + \frac{1}{a} \). Therefore, the density matrix of the \( k \)-photon subtracted thermal state equals:

\[ \hat{\rho}_{kTS} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{cP}(n|\mu_0, k+1) |n\rangle\langle n|. \]

It is interesting, that the total photon number \( N \) of an \( M \)-mode thermal state is subject to exactly the same compound Poisson distribution \( P_{cP}(N|\mu_0, a = M) \) [22] and has the same correlation properties.

The general case of the multiphoton-subtracted multimode thermal state photon number statistics registration scheme is presented in Fig.1. We start from the \( M \)-mode thermal state

\[ \hat{\rho}_{MTS} = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \hat{\rho}_{TS} = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{BE}(n_i|\mu_0) |n_i\rangle\langle n_i|, \]

where \( i \) is a mode index. This state passes through a low-reflective beam splitter, combined with a photon detector, which presents the usual way of the conditional
implementation of photon annihilation \cite{23, 24}. The \( K \)-photons detection leads to the \( K \)-photon subtracted state:

\[
\rho_{MKTS} = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_M = K} P(k_1, \ldots, k_M | K) \prod_{i=1}^{M} \hat{\rho}_{k_i, TS},
\]

where \( k_i \) denotes the number of subtracted photons in each \( i \) mode, \( P(k_1, \ldots, k_M | K) \) is the probability that exactly \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_M \) photons are subtracted in the modes \( 1, 2, \ldots, M \) respectively under the condition that the total number of subtracted photons equals \( K \). The first sum is taken over all the indices \( k_i \) combinations, satisfying this condition. Finally, the total photon number \( N \) of the \( m \)-mode subsystem of (5) is measured.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 1, we derive the photon number distribution for \( m \)-mode subsystem of \( K \)-photon subtracted \( M \)-mode thermal state \( P_N(N|K, M, m, \mu_0) \). In Sec. 2 we describe the experimental verification of this distribution model. In Sec. 3 we present the comparison between the measured data and the theoretical model. Finally, we summarize the obtained results in Conclusion section.

\section{1. THEORY}

The equivalence between mode addition and photon subtraction for thermal states of light can be easily shown with use of probability generating function \( G(z) \equiv \sum_n P(n) z^n \). The generating function of the initial thermal state \( \hat{P}_{BE}(n|\mu_0) \) equals

\[
G_{BE}(z|\mu_0) = [1 + \mu_0(1 - z)]^{-1},
\]

and the generating function of the compound Poisson distribution \( \hat{P}_{cP}(n|\mu_0, a) \) equals

\[
G_{cP}(z|\mu_0, a) = [1 + \mu_0(1 - z)]^{-a}.
\]

 Addition of one more thermal mode leads to the multiplication of the generating function by \( G_{BE}(z|\mu_0) \). Therefore, the \( m \)-mode thermal state generating function is

\[
G_{MTS}(z|\mu_0, m) = [1 + \mu_0(1 - z)]^{-m} \equiv G_{cP}(z|\mu_0, m).
\]

As was shown in \cite{20, 27} the photon subtraction can be described by a normalized generating function derivative:

\[
G_{-1}(z) = \frac{G^{(1)}(z)}{G^{(1)}(1)}. \tag{9}
\]

Substituting (8) into (9) we obtain \( G_{cP}(z|\mu_0, m + 1) \). Thus the \( k \)-photon subtracted \( M \)-mode thermal state has the function \( G_{cP}(z|\mu_0, m + k) \). Thereby, mathematically the equivalence between mode addition and photon subtraction is linked to the equivalence between exponentiation and normalized differentiation for the generating function \( \hat{F} \).

Next, let’s move on to the general case, where the \( K \) photon subtraction takes place in \( M \geq m \) modes, and we consider the photon number distribution of its \( m \)-mode subsystem \( P_N(N|K, M, m, \mu_0) \). It is a weighted sum of compound Poisson distributions \( P_{cP}(n|\mu_0, a = k + m) \) corresponding to different values of the subtracted photon number \( k \):

\[
P_N(N|K, M, m, \mu_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} P_k(k|K, M, m) \times \prod_{a=k+m}^{K} \tilde{P}_{cP}(N|\mu_0, a = k + m), \tag{10}
\]

where \( P_k(k|K, M, m) \) is the probability that exactly \( k \) photons have been subtracted from the considered \( m \) modes, while the total number of subtracted photons from all the \( M \) modes equals \( K \). This probability can be found in terms of balls and boxes combinatorial problem \cite{26}. The number of ways in which \( K \) identical balls can be divided into \( M \) distinct boxes \((K \text{ photons can be subtracted from } M \text{ modes})\) equals \( C_{M+k-1}^K \). Similarly, the number of ways in which \( k \) photons can be subtracted from \( m \) modes is \( C_{m+k-1}^k \) and for \( K-k \) photons that can be subtracted from \( M-m \) modes the number equals \( C_{M-k-1}^{M-k} \). Therefore, the required probability

\[
P(k|K, M, m) = \frac{C_{m+k-1}^k \times C_{M-k-1}^{M-k-1}}{C_{M+k-1}^K}. \tag{11}
\]

This is a Polya distribution \cite{21, 27, 28} which has the generating function \( \hat{F} \):

\[
G(z|K, M, m) = 2F_1(-K, m, 1, -z), \tag{12}
\]

where \( 2F_1 \) is a Gauss hypergeometric function.

The factor \( P_{cP}(N|\mu_0, k + m) \) in (10) can be interpreted as photon number distribution of multimode thermal state with a random mode number \( k + m \) (the sum of random variables subjected to the distribution \( \mu_0 \) with a random number of summands), where \( m \) is constant and \( k \) is subject to the Polya distribution \( \hat{F} \). Thus, the generating function of the required distribution (10) can be obtained by the generating function composition rule as a compound generating function \cite{23}:

\[
G(z|K, M, m, \mu_0) = (G_{BE}(z|\mu_0))^m \times \times 2F_1(-K, m, 1 - G_{BE}(z|\mu_0)), \tag{13}
\]
which corresponds to the following photon number distribution:

\[ P_N(N|K, M, m, \mu_0) = \frac{\mu_0^N}{(1 + \mu_0)^{N+m}} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \frac{\Gamma(N + m)}{\Gamma(N + 1) \Gamma(M - m) \Gamma(M + K - m) \Gamma(M + K)} \times \]

\[ \times F_1 \left(-K, N + m, -K - M + m + 1, \frac{1}{1 + \mu_0}\right). \]  

(14)

The considered generating function (13) generates the probability distribution (14) only when \( m < M \).

The considered distribution has the following properties.

1. When \( m \rightarrow M \) it turns to the compound Poisson distribution [2]:

\[ P_N(N|K, M, m = M, \mu_0) = P_{cP}(n|\mu_0, K + M). \]  

(15)

2. For \( K = 0 \) it also turns to the compound Poisson distribution and does not depend on \( M \):

\[ P_N(N|K = 0, M, m, \mu_0) = P_{cP}(N|\mu_0, m). \]  

(16)

3. Its mean photon number

\[ \mu = m\mu_0 \left(1 + \frac{K}{M}\right). \]  

(17)

4. Its correlation function

\[ g^{(2)}(0) = \frac{1 + 1/m}{1 + 1/M} \left(1 + \frac{1}{M + K}\right). \]  

(18)

5. In contrast to the compound Poisson distribution \( P_{cP}(N|\mu_0, k + 1) \), the distribution (14) allows only an integer number of subtracted photons \( K \) (otherwise the distribution diverges).

### III. 2. EXPERIMENT

The sketch of our experimental setup is presented in Fig.2, which is quite similar to the setup described in [20]. The HeNe cw radiation was passed through a rotated ground glass disk (RGGD) and transmitted through a single-mode fiber (SMF) for single-mode thermal state preparation [30, 31]. A small part of the fiber output beam was redirected by a 90:10 beam splitter to a single-photon detector \( D_k \) based on the silicon avalanche photodiode (APD), in order to implement conditional photon annihilation [23, 24]. Next the multiphoton subtracted thermal light was directed into another single-photon detector \( D_n \) for photo-count distribution \( P(N) \) measurement.

Thus, photo-count pulses from \( D_n \) and \( D_k \) have been synchronously collected. The data processing algorithm is presented in Fig.3. First, all the time traces have been divided by time bins \( \tau \) and then they are thinned with a period \( T \) in order to avoid interbin correlations. (b) Thinned data are grouped by \( M \) and groups are sorted according to the total number of subtracted photons in the group \( K \). The total photon number \( N \) is calculated as a sum of the first \( M \leq m \) bins. (c) Finally the histogram of \( \{N\} \), corresponded to the same value of \( K \) are collected and fitted with the probability distribution \( P_N(N|K, M, m, \mu_0) \) [14].
In our experiment $T_{coh} = 40\ \mu s$, $\tau_d = 220\ \text{ns}$ and $\tau = 10\ \mu s$, so the inequality has been satisfied. For each time bin the photo-count numbers $k$ and $n$ from the detectors $D_k$ and $D_n$ correspondingly have been calculated. Next, in order to avoid any interbin correlations, we selected the bins periodically separated by $T = 12T_{coh}$.

Since the thermal state was spatially single-mode, the only one way to perform multi-mode state preparation was to collect of $M$ time modes. Therefore, all the uncorrelated time bins have been grouped by $M$ Fig.3(b), and for each group the total number of subtracted photons $K$ has been obtained. In order to analyze the situation described in Fig.1 where just a part of the thermal modes is finally collected, we calculated the total photon number $N$ as a sum of the first $m$ bins in a group.

Considering the $K$-photon subtracted state we selected the groups with the total number of annihilated photons $K$ (Fig.3(c)). Thus, for each value of $M = 1 \div 5$, $m = 1 \div M$ and $k = 0 \div 5$ we derived a set of photo-count values $\{N\}$. The histogram of collected data was compared with the distribution (14).

However, $P(N)$ does not exactly equal the measured photo-count distribution because the latter also includes dark counts $N_D$, described by the Poisson distribution $P(N_D)$ with the mean value $\mu_D = m \times 0.035$, while the mean photon number per mode $\mu_0 = 0.24$. Thus the distribution model which describes the data $\{N\}$ is a convolution of (14) and $P(N_D)$.

### IV. 3. RESULTS

Some examples of collected histograms of the photo-count number $\{N\}$ and corresponding model distributions $P_N(N|K,M,m,\mu_0)$ are presented in Figure 4. For all the plots dots with statistical error bars correspond to the measured data and lines to the model (14) (including dark counts). Different number of subtracted photons $K$ is denoted by colors from top to bottom on the $y$ axis: black – $K = 0$, red – $K = 1$, orange – $K = 2$, green – $K = 3$, blue – $K = 4$ and violet – $K = 5$.

In Fig.4 (a) we present the distributions $P_N$ (14) at $m = M$. As was shown above, it equals compound Poisson distribution (15), where the mode addition is equivalent to the photon subtraction. In Fig.4 (b) we present the single-mode photon number distributions for $m = 1$ and $M = 1 \div 5$. One can see that with increasing $M$ the action of the photon subtraction become weaker. In Fig.4 (c) we present the reverse set, where the full mode number $M$ is fixed and equals 5, and observed mode number $m$ is increasing from 1 to 5. Again one can note that with the increase of the observed mode fraction $m/M$ the difference between the states with different photon subtraction become more significant.

For all the measured histograms our model (14) passed the convenient adequacy $\chi^2$ test at the significance level $p = 0.05$. The sample size of all states ranged from 2000 to 20000

In addition we provide the plots of the mean photon number $\mu$ (Fig.5a) and correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)$ (Fig.5b), where one can note a good agreement between the measured data and theoretical predictions (17-18), including the dark counts.
Figure 5. (Color online). The mean photon number $\mu$ (a) and the correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)$ (b) for various total mode number $M$, observed mode number $m$ and number of subtracted photons $K$. Measured points are compared with theoretical lines $^{17}$.

V. CONCLUSION

Thus we have found a photon distribution $^{14}$ based on the combination of compound Poisson $^{2}$ and Polya $^{11}$ distributions, describing the $m$-mode subsystem of $M$-mode thermal state under $K$-photon subtraction (Fig.1). We have studied its some particular cases and derived the simple equations for its mean photon number $\mu$ $^{17}$ and correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)$ $^{18}$. We have analyzed the considered situation experimentally (Fig.2, 3) and obtained the photo-count histograms (Fig.4), which are in a good agreement with the presented theoretical model, as well as the measured mean photon number and correlation function (Fig.5).
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