

Random Finite Noncommutative Geometries and Topological Recursion

Shahab Azarfar and Masoud Khalkhali

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, Canada*

Abstract

In this paper we investigate a model for quantum gravity on finite noncommutative spaces using the theory of blobbed topological recursion. The model is based on a particular class of random finite real spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$, called random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$, with a fixed fermion space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma, J)$, and a distribution of the form $e^{-S(D)} dD$ over the moduli space of Dirac operators. The action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$ is considered to be a sum of terms of the form $\prod_{i=1}^s \text{Tr}(D^{n_i})$ for arbitrary $s \geq 1$. The Schwinger-Dyson equations satisfied by the connected correlators W_n of the corresponding multi-trace formal 1-Hermitian matrix model are derived by a differential geometric approach. It is shown that the coefficients $W_{g,n}$ of the large N expansion of W_n 's enumerate discrete surfaces, called stuffed maps, whose building blocks are of particular topologies. The spectral curve $(\Sigma, \omega_{0,1}, \omega_{0,2})$ of the model is investigated in detail. In particular, we derive an explicit expression for the fundamental symmetric bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}$ in terms of the formal parameters of the model.

Contents

1	Introduction and basics	2
2	Random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$	4
3	Topological expansion of the action functional	6
4	The corresponding 1-Hermitian matrix model	9
4.1	Topological expansion of the correlators	10

*Email addresses: sazarfar@uwo.ca, masoud@uwo.ca

4.2	Large- N spectral distribution	12
5	Schwinger-Dyson equations	13
6	Spectral curve	21
7	Fundamental bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta)$	27
8	Local Cauchy kernel	32
9	Blobbed topological recursion formula	37
	Acknowledgment	41
	References	41

1 Introduction and basics

In metric noncommutative geometry, the formalism of *spectral triples* [14] encodes, in the commutative case, the data of a Riemannian metric on a spin manifold in terms of the Dirac operator. More precisely, by *Connes' reconstruction theorem* [17] one knows that a spin Riemannian manifold can be fully constructed if we are given a commutative spectral triple satisfying some natural conditions like reality, and regularity. A simple manifestation of this fact is a distance formula [13] according to which one can recover the Riemannian metric from the interaction between the Dirac operator and the algebra of smooth functions on a spin manifold through their actions on the Hilbert space of L^2 -spinors. This naturally leads to the view that spectral triples in general, without commutativity assumption, can be regarded as noncommutative spin Riemannian manifolds.

The data of a real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$ consists of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} together with a $*$ -representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a self-adjoint Dirac operator D , a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading γ , and an anti-linear isometry J acting on \mathcal{H} . The above-mentioned operators should satisfy certain (anti)-commutation relations and technical functional analytic conditions (see [14], [12] and [18] for the detailed axiomatic definition of a real spectral triple).

A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is called *finite* if the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, i.e. $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. The data $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma, J)$ corresponding to a finite real spectral triple is referred to as a *fermion space*. Given a fixed fermion space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma, J)$, the *moduli space* of Dirac operators of the finite real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$ consists of all possible self-adjoint operators D (up to unitary equivalence) which satisfy the axiomatic definition of a real spectral triple [24]. It is considered as the space of all possible geometries, that is Riemannian metrics, over the noncommutative space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma, J)$.

The theory of spectral triples has been used in constructing geometric models of matter coupled

to gravity, using an action functional, called the *spectral action*, which is given in terms of the spectrum of the Dirac operator (see [15], [11], [16] and [12]).

This paper is about a second application of the idea of spectral triples by creating a connection with the recently emerged theory of *topological recursion* [20]. Roughly speaking, if we understand quantization of gravity as a path integral over the space of metrics, it is natural to consider models of Euclidean quantum gravity over a finite noncommutative space in which one integrates over the moduli space of Dirac operators for a fixed fermion space. Given a fermion space $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \gamma, J)$, the distribution over the moduli space of Dirac operators is considered to be of the form

$$e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD, \quad (1.1)$$

where the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$ is defined in terms of the spectrum of the Dirac operator D .

The investigation of the relation between models of quantum gravity on a certain class of finite noncommutative spaces and (anti)-Hermitian matrix ensembles started in the work of Barrett and Glaser ([3], cf. also [2]), although largely through numerical simulations. In this paper we consider a much larger class of models and show that an analytic approach to analyzing these models is possible, using techniques of *topological recursion* and *blobbed topological recursion* pioneered by Eynard-Orantin [20, 21, 6, 19], and Borot [4, 9].

In the following, we recall the definition of a particular type of finite real spectral triples whose Dirac operators are classified in terms of (anti)-Hermitian matrices in [2].

Consider the real Clifford algebra¹ $\text{Cl}_{p,q}$ associated to the vector space \mathbb{R}^n and the pseudo-Euclidean metric η of signature (p, q) given by

$$\eta(v, v) = v_1^2 + \cdots + v_p^2 - v_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - v_{p+q}^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.2)$$

Let $\mathbb{C}\ell_n := \text{Cl}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ denote the complexification of $\text{Cl}_{p,q}$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the standard oriented orthonormal basis, i.e. $\eta(e_i, e_j) = \pm \delta_{ij}$, for \mathbb{R}^n . The *chirality operator* Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = i^{\frac{1}{2}s(s+1)} e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n, \quad (1.3)$$

where $s \equiv q - p \pmod{8}$, $0 \leq s < 8$. We denote by $V_{p,q}$ the unique (up to unitary equivalence) irreducible complex $\mathbb{C}\ell_{p,q}$ -module, where, for $n = p + q$ odd, the chirality operator Γ acts trivially

¹Given a quadratic form q on a vector space V , we follow the convention to define the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, q)$ associated to V and q as $\text{Cl}(V, q) := \mathcal{T}(V) / \mathcal{I}_q(V)$, where $\mathcal{T}(V) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes r}$, and $\mathcal{I}_q(V)$ denotes the two-sided ideal generated by elements of the form $v \otimes v - q(v)\mathbf{1}$ for $v \in V$.

on $V_{p,q}$.²³ We refer to $\gamma^i = \rho(e_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e. the Clifford multiplication by e_i 's, as the *gamma matrices*. There exist a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V_{p,q}$ such that the gamma matrices act unitarily with respect to it, i.e. $\langle \gamma^i u, \gamma^i v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ [23].⁴

Consider the Hilbert space $(V_{p,q}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Let $C : V_{p,q} \rightarrow V_{p,q}$ be a *real structure* of KO -dimension $s \equiv q - p \pmod{8}$ (see, e.g., [24]) on $V_{p,q}$ such that

$$(\mathbb{C}\ell_n, V_{p,q}, \Gamma, C) \tag{1.4}$$

satisfies all the axioms of a fermion space.⁵

Definition 1.1. A matrix geometry of type (p, q) is a finite real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$, where the corresponding fermion space is given by:

- $\mathcal{A} = M_N(\mathbb{C})$
- $\mathcal{H} = V_{p,q} \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$
- $\langle v \otimes A, u \otimes B \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle \text{Tr}(AB^*)$, $v, u \in V_{p,q}$, $A, B \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$
- $\pi(A)(v \otimes B) = v \otimes (AB)$
- $\gamma(v \otimes A) = (\Gamma v) \otimes A$
- $J(v \otimes A) = (Cv) \otimes A^*$.

The Dirac operators of type (p, q) matrix geometries are expressed in term of gamma matrices γ^i , and commutators or anti-commutators with given Hermitian matrices H and anti-Hermitian matrices L (see [2] and [3]).

2 Random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$

In this section we describe a model for Euclidean quantum gravity on finite noncommutative spaces corresponding to the random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$. The Dirac operator of type $(1, 0)$ matrix geometries is given by [3]:

$$D = \{H, \cdot\}, \quad H \in \mathcal{H}_N, \tag{2.1}$$

²Let $\rho : \mathbb{C}\ell_{p,q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V)$ be an irreducible complex unitary representation of $\mathbb{C}\ell_{p,q}$. It can be shown [23] that:

- If $n = p + q$ is even, then the representation ρ is unique up to unitary equivalence;
- If $n = p + q$ is odd, then either $\rho(\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}_V$ or $\rho(\Gamma) = -\mathbf{1}_V$. Both possibilities can occur, and the corresponding representations are inequivalent.

³We have $V_{p,q} \cong \mathbb{C}^k$, where $k = 2^{n/2}$ (resp. $k = 2^{(n-1)/2}$) for n even (resp. odd).

⁴For $i = 1, \dots, p$ (resp. $i = p + 1, \dots, n$) the gamma matrix γ^i is Hermitian (resp. anti-Hermitian) with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

⁵In the physics literature, the operator C is called the *charge conjugation* operator.

where \mathcal{H}_N denotes the space of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices. The Dirac operator D acts on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = M_N(\mathbb{C})$ in the following way

$$D(B) = \{H, B\} = HB + BH, \quad \forall B \in M_N(\mathbb{C}). \quad (2.2)$$

The moduli space of Dirac operators is isomorphic to the space of Hermitian matrices \mathcal{H}_N . A distribution of the form

$$d\rho = e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD \quad (2.3)$$

is considered over \mathcal{H}_N , where

$$dD := dH = \prod_{i=1}^N dH_{ii} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} d(\operatorname{Re}(H_{ij})) d(\operatorname{Im}(H_{ij})), \quad (2.4)$$

is the canonical Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{H}_N . Let us describe the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$. Let

$$\mathbb{N}_\uparrow^n = \left\{ \vec{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid 1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_n \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Suggested by Connes' spectral action, we define the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$ of the model by

$$\mathcal{S}(D) = \mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D) + \mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{V}(D)), \quad \mathcal{V}(x) = \frac{1}{2t} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \sum_{n=3}^d \alpha_n \frac{x^n}{n} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D) = - \sum_{s=1}^g (N/t)^{-4s} \sum_{n_I \in \mathbb{N}_\uparrow^s} \hat{\alpha}_{n_I} \prod_{i=1}^s \operatorname{Tr}(D^{n_i}). \quad (2.8)$$

In the definition of the action functional, t is a fixed parameter (“temperature”), the $(\alpha_n, \hat{\alpha}_{n_I})_{n, n_I}$ are formal parameters, and, for each s , the summation over $n_I \in \mathbb{N}_\uparrow^s$ is a finite sum.⁶ Let

$$\hbar := \frac{t}{N}. \quad (2.9)$$

For large N , the term $\mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D)$ can be considered as higher order terms in \hbar -expansion of the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$.

Using

$$D^n = \left(H \otimes \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbb{C}^N)^*} + \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}^N} \otimes H^t \right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} H^{n-k} \otimes (H^k)^t, \quad (2.10)$$

⁶The formal parameters $(\alpha_n, \hat{\alpha}_{n_I})_{n, n_I}$ play the role of coupling constants in physics literature.

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D) &= \frac{N}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(H^2) - \sum_{n=3}^d \frac{\alpha_n}{n} \text{Tr}(H^n) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{t} (\text{Tr}(H))^2 + \sum_{n=3}^d \frac{\alpha_n}{nt} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} \text{Tr}(H^{n-r}) \text{Tr}(H^r) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^s \text{Tr}(D^{n_i}) &= \\ \sum_{m=0}^s (2N)^{s-m} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=m}} \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \text{Tr}(H^{n_i}) &\left(\sum_{\substack{(r_{j_1}, \dots, r_{j_m}) \\ 1 \leq r_j \leq n_j - 1, j \in J}} \prod_{j \in J} \binom{n_j}{r_j} \text{Tr}(H^{n_j - r_j}) \text{Tr}(H^{r_j}) \right),\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

where $I = \{1, \dots, s\}$. By substituting (2.11) and (2.12) into (2.6), we get the expression for the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$ in terms of the spectrum of the Hermitian matrix $H \in \mathcal{H}_N$.

3 Topological expansion of the action functional

In the following, we rewrite the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$, in a succinct form, as a summation over a finite set of (properly defined) equivalence classes of surfaces.

We start by recalling the notion of a surface with polygonal boundary. A compact, connected, oriented surface C is referred to have n polygonal boundary components of perimeters $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\ell_i \geq 1$, if, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the i -th connected component of ∂C is equipped with a cellular decomposition into ℓ_i 0-cells and ℓ_i 1-cells. We refer to the 1-cells in each connected component of ∂C as the *sides* of that polygon. We define an equivalence relation between surfaces with polygonal boundaries in the following way:

Definition 3.1. *Two compact, connected, oriented surfaces C_1, C_2 with polygonal boundaries are considered equivalent if there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $F : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ which restricts to a cellular homeomorphism $f : \partial C_1 \rightarrow \partial C_2$ whose inverse is also a cellular map.*

The set $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of equivalence classes of compact, connected, oriented surfaces with polygonal boundaries is in bijective correspondence with the set

$$\left\{ (g; \vec{\ell}) \mid g \geq 0, \vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_+^n, n \geq 1 \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where g denotes the genus of the corresponding closed surface. Inspired by [4], we refer to the combinatorial data $(g; \vec{\ell})$, and its corresponding equivalence class $[C] \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ of surfaces with polygonal

boundaries, as the *elementary 2-cell* of type $(g; \vec{\ell})$.

We isolate the *free* part of the action functional and denote it by

$$\mathcal{S}_0(H) = \frac{N}{2t} \text{Tr} (H^2). \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$P_\ell(H) := \frac{\text{Tr} (H^\ell)}{\ell}, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \quad H \in \mathcal{H}_N. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider the following two sets:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}} = \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \mid 3 \leq \ell \leq d\}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}} = \{(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathbb{N}_+^2 \mid 2 \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2 \leq d\}. \quad (3.5)$$

We rewrite $\mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D)$ in the following from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D) &= \mathcal{S}_0(H) - \frac{N}{t} \sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}}} t_\ell^{(0)} P_\ell(H) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}} t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)} P_{\ell_1}(H) P_{\ell_2}(H), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $t_\ell^{(0)} = \alpha_\ell$, $3 \leq \ell \leq d$; $t_{1,1}^{(0)} = -1/t$, and for $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}} \setminus \{(1, 1)\}$, $t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}$ is an integral multiple of $\alpha_{(\ell_1 + \ell_2)}/t$.

For each $1 \leq s \leq \mathfrak{g}$, and $0 \leq m \leq s$, let

$$\Upsilon_{s,m} = \bigcup_{\substack{n_I, |I|=s \\ J \subseteq I, |J|=m \\ 1 \leq r_j \leq n_j - 1, j \in J}} \{(r_J, n_J - r_J, n_{I \setminus J})\} / \sim, \quad (3.7)$$

where two $(s+m)$ -tuples are considered equivalent if there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s+m}$ which maps one to the other. Consider the set

$$\mathfrak{L}_{s,m} = \left\{ \vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_+^{s+m} \mid [\sigma \cdot \vec{\ell}] \in \Upsilon_{s,m} \text{ for some } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s+m} \right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

We rewrite $\mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D)$ in the following form

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D) = - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq \mathfrak{g} \\ 0 \leq m \leq s}} \frac{(N/t)^{2-2(s+1)-(s+m)}}{(s+m)!} \sum_{\vec{\ell} \in \mathfrak{L}_{s,m}} t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(s+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{s+m} P_{\ell_i}(H), \quad (3.9)$$

where, for each $\vec{\ell} \in \mathfrak{L}_{s,m}$, $t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(s+1)} = t^{s-m} \tilde{\alpha}_{\vec{\ell}}$, and $\tilde{\alpha}_{\vec{\ell}}$ is a finite linear combination of the formal

parameters $\hat{\alpha}_{n_I}$'s with integral coefficients.

Consider the following two sets of elementary 2-cells:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{unstable}} = \left\{ (0; \vec{\ell}) \mid \vec{\ell} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}} \cup \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}} \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{stable}} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq g \\ 0 \leq m \leq s}} \left\{ (s+1; \vec{\ell}) \mid \vec{\ell} \in \mathfrak{L}_{s,m} \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

We identify the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{unstable}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\text{stable}} \quad (3.12)$$

with the corresponding set of equivalence classes $[C]$ of surfaces with polygonal boundaries. We assign a *Boltzmann weight*, equal to $t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(g)}$, to each elementary 2-cell $[C] \in \mathcal{C}$ of type $(g; \vec{\ell})$. Note that the elementary 2-cells in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{unstable}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\text{stable}}$) are represented by surfaces whose Euler characteristic satisfies $\chi \geq 0$ (resp. $\chi < 0$). For each elementary 2-cell $[C] \in \mathcal{C}$ of type $(g; \vec{\ell})$ with Boltzmann weight $t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(g)}$, $\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_+^n$, let

$$T_{[C]}(H) := t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(g)} \prod_{i=1}^n P_{\ell_i}(H), \quad H \in \mathcal{H}_N, \quad (3.13)$$

where $P_{\ell}(H)$ is defined by (3.3). We rewrite (3.6) and (3.9), respectively, in the following form

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{unstable}}(D) = \mathcal{S}_0(H) - \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{unstable}}} \frac{(N/t)^{\chi(C)}}{(\beta_0(\partial C))!} T_{[C]}(H), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{stable}}(D) = - \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{stable}}} \frac{(N/t)^{\chi(C)}}{(\beta_0(\partial C))!} T_{[C]}(H), \quad (3.15)$$

where $\beta_0(\partial C)$ denotes the zeroth Betti number, i.e. the number of connected components, of the boundary ∂C of a surface C . Thus, we get:

Proposition 3.1. *The action functional $\mathcal{S}(D)$ for the random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$, given by (2.6), can be decomposed in the following form*

$$\mathcal{S}(D) = \mathcal{S}_0(H) + \mathcal{S}_{\text{int}}(H), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{int}}(H) = - \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{(N/t)^{\chi(C)}}{(\beta_0(\partial C))!} T_{[C]}(H), \quad (3.17)$$

and \mathcal{C} is given by (3.12).

4 The corresponding 1-Hermitian matrix model

From now on, we consider the multi-trace 1-Hermitian matrix model corresponding to the random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$ with the distribution $d\rho = e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD$, in the sense of *formal* matrix integrals. In other words, we treat the term $\mathcal{S}_{\text{int}}(H)$ in (3.16) as a perturbation of $\mathcal{S}_0(H)$.

Consider the normalized Gaussian measure

$$d\rho_0 = c e^{-\mathcal{S}_0(H)} dH = c \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2t} \text{Tr}(H^2)\right) dH \quad (4.1)$$

over \mathcal{H}_N with total mass one. Here

$$c = \left[2^N \left(\frac{\pi t}{N}\right)^{N^2}\right]^{-1/2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Denote by \mathbf{t} the sequence of Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_{\ell}^{(g)}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\text{int}}(H)$. We consider

$$\Phi(H) = \exp(-\mathcal{S}_{\text{int}}(H)) \quad (4.3)$$

as a formal power series in \mathbf{t} , i.e. an exponential generating function. The *partition function* Z_N of the model is defined by

$$Z_N = \rho_0 [\Phi(H)]^{\text{formal}} c \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \Phi(H) e^{-\mathcal{S}_0(H)} dH, \quad (4.4)$$

where the second integral is understood in the sense that we expand $\Phi(H)$ as a power series in \mathbf{t} , and *interchange* the integration with the summation.

The *disconnected n -point correlators* $\widehat{W}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 1$, of the model are defined as the joint moments of

$$X_j = \text{Tr}((x_j \mathbb{1}_N - H)^{-1}), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Spec}(H), \quad (4.5)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{Z_N} \rho_0 \left[\Phi(H) \prod_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}((x_j \mathbb{1}_N - H)^{-1}) \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{formal}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}((x_j \mathbb{1}_N - H)^{-1}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $(x_j \mathbb{1}_N - H)^{-1}$ denotes the resolvent of H .⁷ The *connected n -point correlators* $W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 1$, of the model are defined as the joint cumulants of X_j 's, i.e.

$$W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{K \vdash [1, n]} (-1)^{[K]-1} ([K] - 1)! \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} \widehat{W}_{|K_i|}(x_{K_i}). \quad (4.8)$$

In (4.8), the sum runs over partitions of $[1, n] := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, the number of subsets in a partition K is denoted by $[K]$, and

$$\widehat{W}_{|K_i|}(x_{K_i}) \equiv \widehat{W}_{|K_i|}\left((x_j)_{j \in K_i}\right). \quad (4.9)$$

4.1 Topological expansion of the correlators

Proposition 4.1. *The connected n -point correlators $W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$ with the distribution $d\rho = e^{-S(D)} dD$ have a large N expansion⁸ of topological type, given by*

$$W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{g \geq 0} (N/t)^{2-2g-n} W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (4.10)$$

where $W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined, in the following, by (4.17).

Proof. We use the *Wick's Theorem* and the techniques of [10] to relate the formal matrix integrals in our model to the combinatorics of *stuffed maps* [4], [9]. Considering (4.6) and (4.7), the computation of $\widehat{W}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ leads to Gaussian integrals of the following form

$$\rho_0 \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\text{Tr}(H^{\ell_j})}{x_j^{\ell_j+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{C}|} \frac{1}{k_i!} \left(\frac{(N/t)^{\chi(C_i)}}{(\beta_0(\partial C_i))!} T_{|C_i|}(H) \right)^{k_i} \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

To compute (4.11) using Wick's Theorem, we represent each term of the form $\text{Tr}(H^{\ell_j})/x_j^{\ell_j+1}$, in (4.11), by a marked face of perimeter ℓ_j with Boltzmann weight $x_j^{-(\ell_j+1)}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.⁹ Also, we represent each term of the form

$$\frac{(N/t)^{\chi(C_i)}}{(\beta_0(\partial C_i))!} T_{|C_i|}(H), \quad (4.12)$$

⁷Strictly speaking, in the context of formal matrix integrals, one works with the formal series

$$\tilde{X}_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(H^{\ell})}{x_j^{\ell+1}} \quad (4.7)$$

instead of $\text{Tr}((x_j \mathbb{1}_N - H)^{-1})$.

⁸One should *not* misinterpret (4.10) as the *asymptotic expansion* of the connected correlators as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see [19], [8]).

⁹A polygon of perimeter ℓ is an oriented 2-dimensional CW complex consisting of a 2-cell, homeomorphic to a disk, whose boundary is equipped with a cellular decomposition into ℓ 0-cells and ℓ 1-cells. A polygon is called *rooted* if we distinguish one of the 0-cells and its incident 1-cell on the boundary. We refer to a labeled rooted polygon of perimeter ℓ as a *marked face of perimeter ℓ* .

in (4.11), by a surface C_i of Euler characteristic $\chi(C_i)$ and Boltzmann weight $t_{\vec{\ell}_i}^{(g_i)}$ representing the corresponding elementary 2-cell $[C_i] \in \mathcal{C}$ of type $(g_i; \vec{\ell}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{C}|$. The orientation on each C_i induces an orientation on ∂C_i .

Let Ξ be the collection of all surfaces representing the terms in (4.11) in the above-mentioned way. Consider a pairing σ on the set of sides of the connected components of the boundary of surfaces in Ξ . We glue the surfaces in Ξ along the sides of their boundary, according to σ , such that the gluing map reverses the orientation. The resulting stuffed map $M = (S, G)$ consists of an oriented, not necessarily connected surface S , and a graph G embedded into S .¹⁰ We denote by \tilde{S} the surface which one gets by deleting the marked faces from S .

It can be shown that each vertex (resp. edge) of G contributes a weight N (resp. t/N) [10]. In addition, each unmarked connected component \mathfrak{U} of $S \setminus G$ contributes a weight $(N/t)^{\chi(\mathfrak{U})}$. Hence, the exponent of N , in the total contribution corresponding to $M = (S, G)$, equals $\chi(\tilde{S})$.¹¹

In addition to the pre-mentioned Boltzmann weights assigned to the 2-cells of M , we assign a Boltzmann weight equal to t to each vertex of G . The total Boltzmann weight of the isomorphism class $[M]$ of a stuffed map M , denoted by $\mathfrak{Bw}([M])$, is defined to be the product of all Boltzmann weights assigned to the cells in M divided by the order $|\text{Aut}(M)|$ of the automorphism group of M . The contribution of the isomorphism class $[M]$ of a Boltzmann-weighted not necessarily connected stuffed map $M = (S, G)$ to $\widehat{W}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is given by

$$(N/t)^{\chi(\tilde{S})} \mathfrak{Bw}([M]). \quad (4.13)$$

Let $\mathbb{M}_{g,n}(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of the Boltzmann-weighted connected closed stuffed maps $M = (S, G)$ of genus g with n marked faces, such that the equivalence class of each unmarked connected component of $S \setminus G$ (in the sense of Definition 3.1) is in \mathcal{C} . Considering (4.13), and

$$\widehat{W}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{K \vdash [1,n]} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{|K_i|}(x_{K_i}), \quad (4.14)$$

we have

$$W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{[M] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,n}(\mathcal{C})} (N/t)^{\chi(\tilde{S})} \mathfrak{Bw}([M]). \quad (4.15)$$

Since, for a connected closed stuffed map $M = (S, G)$ of genus g with n marked faces,

$$\chi(\tilde{S}) = 2 - 2g - n, \quad (4.16)$$

¹⁰If each connected component of $S \setminus G$ is homeomorphic to an open disk, then $M = (S, G)$ is called a *map*.

¹¹This fact about the exponent of N , in the case of maps (or, equivalently, *ribbon graphs*), was first noticed by Gerard 't Hooft in [26] (see, e.g., [19]).

we get (4.10), where

$$W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{[M] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,n}(\mathcal{C})} \mathfrak{Bw}([M]) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{t}][[t]][[(x_j^{-1})_j]]. \quad (4.17)$$

■

Let $\mathbb{M}_{g,n;\vec{\ell}}(\mathcal{C})$, $\vec{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, be the set of isomorphism classes $[M] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,n}(\mathcal{C})$ of the stuffed maps with n marked faces of perimeters ℓ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$. By (4.17), the generating series $Q_{g;\vec{\ell}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{t}][[t]]$ of the stuffed maps, corresponding to our model, of genus g with n polygonal boundaries of perimeters ℓ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1} \frac{t}{x_1} + \sum_{\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{[M] \in \mathbb{M}_{g,n;\vec{\ell}}(\mathcal{C})} \mathfrak{Bw}([M]) \\ &= \delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1} \frac{t}{x_1} + \sum_{\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}^n} Q_{g;\vec{\ell}} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{-(\ell_j+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

4.2 Large- N spectral distribution

Using (4.18), we see that the generating series $Q_{0;\ell}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, of the rooted planar stuffed maps with topology of a disk and perimeter ℓ , is given by

$$Q_{0;\ell} = \sum_{[M] \in \mathbb{M}_{0,1;\ell}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{unstable}})} \mathfrak{Bw}([M]) x^\ell \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}][[t]], \quad (4.19)$$

where $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$ denotes the sequence of Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$, $\vec{\ell} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}} \cup \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}$.

If the Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$ (or, equivalently, the formal parameters α_n , $3 \leq n \leq d$) have given values, then there exists a critical temperature $t_c > 0$ such that, for any $|t| < t_c$, we have $Q_{0;\ell} < \infty$, $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ [4]. From now on, we restrict ourselves to the case $0 < t < t_c$, where t_c is specified according to each set of given values to α_n 's. Hence, we have the following *one-cut Lemma* [4], [5]:

Lemma 4.2. *For given values to the Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$, and $0 < t < t_c$, the series*

$$W_{0,1}(x) = \frac{t}{x} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{0;\ell}}{x^{\ell+1}}, \quad (4.20)$$

is the Laurent expansion at $x = \infty$ of a holomorphic function, denoted by $W_{0,1}(x)$, on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$ depends on $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$, t . The limits $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} W_{0,1}(s \pm i\epsilon)$, $\forall s \in \Gamma^\circ$ exist, and the jump discontinuity

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (W_{0,1}(s - i\epsilon) - W_{0,1}(s + i\epsilon)) \quad (4.21)$$

assumes positive values on the interior Γ° of the discontinuity locus Γ , and vanishes at $\partial\Gamma$.

Consider the measure $\mu = \varphi(s) ds$ on \mathbb{R} , where ds denotes the Lebesgue measure, and $\varphi(s)$ is given by (4.21). By the *Sokhotski-Plemelj Theorem*, the function $W_{0,1}(x)$ is, indeed, the *Stieltjes transform* $\mathcal{S}[\mu](x)$ of μ , i.e.

$$W_{0,1}(x) = \mathcal{S}[\mu](x) = \int \frac{\varphi(s)}{x-s} ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp}(\mu). \quad (4.22)$$

In addition, consider the *empirical spectral distribution* (*empirical measure*)

$$\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i} \quad (4.23)$$

on \mathbb{R} , where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the eigenvalues of the random Hermitian matrix $H \in \mathcal{H}_N$ corresponding to the random matrix geometries of type $(1,0)$ with the distribution $d\rho = e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD$.¹² By (4.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{N} W_1(x) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{S}[\mu_N](x)]. \quad (4.24)$$

Motivated by (4.10), we assume that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} W_1(x) = W_{0,1}(x). \quad (4.25)$$

Therefore, considering (4.22) and (4.24), the expected distribution of the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is given by

$$\mu = \varphi(s) ds, \quad (4.26)$$

up to terms with exponential decay, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.¹³ We refer to the measure $\mu = \varphi(s) ds$ as the *large- N spectral distribution*. In addition, from now on, we assume that the sequence of Boltzmann weights \mathbf{t} , and the parameter t , are *tame*, in the sense of [4], Definition 4.1. Hence, each $W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, a priori defined as the generating series of stuffed maps, upgrades to a holomorphic function on $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)^n$ which has a jump discontinuity when one of x_i 's crosses Γ .

5 Schwinger-Dyson equations

Our main tool for analyzing the $W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$'s is an infinite system of equations, called the *Schwinger-Dyson equations* (SDEs), satisfied by the n -point correlators of the model. In the matrix model framework, they were introduced by Migdal [25], and referred to as the *loop equations*. There

¹²The Dirac measure at $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by δ_λ .

¹³The measure $\mu = \varphi(s) ds$ plays the same role as what is called, in the context of *convergent* matrix integrals, the *equilibrium measure* (see, e.g., [1]).

are several versions of SDEs for matrix models (and some other closely related models in statistical physics, e.g., the β -ensembles) in the literature (see, e.g., [19], [7]). However, the root of all of them is the invariance of the integral of a top degree differential form under a 1-parameter family of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms on a manifold.

To put the above-mentioned differential geometric fact in a precise form, consider an oriented connected Riemannian n -manifold M with the Riemannian volume form ω . Let V be a smooth vector field on M with a local flow $\phi_t : M \rightarrow M$. Consider a smooth function $\Psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a compact n -dimensional submanifold of M . Since

$$\int_{\phi_t(\Omega)} \Psi \omega = \int_{\Omega} \phi_t^* (\Psi \omega), \quad \forall t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon), \quad (5.1)$$

using Cartan's magic formula and Stokes' theorem, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (d\Psi(V) + \Psi \operatorname{div}(V)) \omega - \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi(\iota_V \omega) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

In (5.2), the *exterior derivative*, the *interior product* by V , and the *divergence* of V are denoted by d , ι_V , and $\operatorname{div}(V)$, respectively.

The Schwinger-Dyson equations for the multi-trace 1-Hermitian matrix models are derived in [4], using *Tutte's decomposition* applied to the stuffed maps. In this section, we give a proof of them based on the above-mentioned differential geometric fact.¹⁴

Consider the action of the unitary group U_N on \mathcal{H}_N by conjugation, i.e. $u \cdot H := uHu^{-1}$ for $u \in U_N$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_N$. Since the action functional $\mathcal{S}(D) : \mathcal{H}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the pre-mentioned action of U_N on \mathcal{H}_N , we can rewrite $\mathcal{S}(D)$ as a function of the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, of the random Hermitian matrix $H \in \mathcal{H}_N$.

Let

$$(\hat{\mu}_N)^k := \underbrace{\hat{\mu}_N \times \cdots \times \hat{\mu}_N}_{k\text{-times}} \quad (5.3)$$

be the product measure on \mathbb{R}^k corresponding to the unnormalized empirical measure

$$\hat{\mu}_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i} \quad (5.4)$$

on \mathbb{R} . For each elementary 2-cell $[C] \in \mathcal{C}$ of type $(g; \vec{\ell})$, $\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{N}_+^k$, we rewrite $T_{[C]}(H)$, defined by

¹⁴In general, there are, at least, two approaches in the literature for deriving the SDEs for matrix models: one is usually referred to as “integration by parts” or “invariance under change of variable” which is basically the above-mentioned differential geometric approach; and the other one is combinatorial and based on Tutte's decomposition. The differential geometric approach has the advantage that it can be applied to a slightly more general class of models, e.g. the β -ensembles for arbitrary $\beta > 0$, which do not necessarily have a combinatorial interpretation.

(3.13), in the following from

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[C]}(H) &= t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(g)} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\text{Tr}(H^{\ell_j})}{\ell_j} \\ &= \frac{t_{\vec{\ell}}^{(g)}}{\prod_{j=1}^k \ell_j} (\hat{\mu}_N)^k \left[s_1^{\ell_1} s_2^{\ell_2} \cdots s_k^{\ell_k} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$(\hat{\mu}_N)^k \left[s_1^{\ell_1} s_2^{\ell_2} \cdots s_k^{\ell_k} \right] = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N \lambda_{i_1}^{\ell_1} \lambda_{i_2}^{\ell_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}^{\ell_k}. \quad (5.6)$$

For each $1 \leq k \leq 2\mathbf{g}$, let

$$T_k(H) = -\delta_{k,1} \frac{N}{2t} \text{Tr}(H^2) + \sum_{\substack{[C] \in \mathcal{C} \\ \beta_0(\partial C)=k}} (N/t)^{\chi(C)} T_{[C]}(H). \quad (5.7)$$

Let $\tilde{T}_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ be the polynomial in $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^k$ satisfying

$$(\hat{\mu}_N)^k \left[\tilde{T}_k(s_1, \dots, s_k) \right] = T_k(H). \quad (5.8)$$

Because of the symmetry of $(\hat{\mu}_N)^k$, we can replace $\tilde{T}_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ with its symmetrization $T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, i.e.

$$T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \tilde{T}_k(s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(k)}), \quad (5.9)$$

in (5.8). We refer to the symmetric polynomials $T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq 2\mathbf{g}$, as the k -point interactions. We will need the following technical lemma on derivatives of T_k in the proof of SDEs:

Lemma 5.1. *For each fixed element $\hat{\lambda}$ of $E = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$, we have*

$$\partial_{\hat{\lambda}} \left((\hat{\mu}_N)^k [T_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)] \right) = k (\hat{\mu}_N)^{k-1} \left[T_k^{(1)}(\hat{\lambda}, s_2, \dots, s_k) \right], \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$T_k^{(1)}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) := \partial_{s_1} T_k(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k). \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. Let $\hat{E} = E \setminus \{\hat{\lambda}\}$. Let

$$\hat{\Lambda}_1 = \left\{ (\hat{\lambda}, a_2, \dots, a_k) \mid a_l \in \hat{E}, \forall l \right\}, \quad (5.12)$$

and, for each $2 \leq r \leq k$, let

$$\hat{\Lambda}_r = \bigcup_{2 \leq m_2 < \dots < m_r \leq k} \left\{ (\hat{\lambda}, a_2, \dots, a_k) \mid a_{m_i} = \hat{\lambda}, \forall i, \quad \text{and} \quad a_l \in \hat{E}, \quad l \neq m_i \right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

We denote $(\hat{\mu}_N)^k [T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)] = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N T_k(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k})$ by

$$\sum_{\lambda_I \in E^k} T_k(\lambda_I). \quad (5.14)$$

Since T_k is a symmetric polynomial, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda_I \in E^k} T_k(\lambda_I) = \sum_{\lambda_I \in \hat{E}^k} T_k(\lambda_I) + \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{k}{r} \sum_{\lambda_I \in \hat{\Lambda}_r} T_k(\lambda_I), \quad (5.15)$$

and

$$\partial_{\hat{\lambda}} (T_k(\lambda_I)) = r T_k^{(1)}(\lambda_I), \quad \forall \lambda_I \in \hat{\Lambda}_r. \quad (5.16)$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\hat{\lambda}} \sum_{\lambda_I \in E^k} T_k(\lambda_I) &= k \sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{\lambda_I \in \hat{\Lambda}_r} T_k^{(1)}(\lambda_I) \\ &= k \sum_{\lambda_J \in E^{k-1}} T_k^{(1)}(\hat{\lambda}, \lambda_J). \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

■

We rewrite the measure $d\rho = e^{-S(D)} dD$ over \mathcal{H}_N in the following form

$$d\rho = e^{-S(D)} dD = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2g} \frac{1}{k!} (\hat{\mu}_N)^k [T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)] \right) dH. \quad (5.18)$$

By the *Weyl integration formula*, the measure $d\rho$ induces a measure $d\tilde{\rho}$, given by

$$d\tilde{\rho} = c_N \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{U}_N)}{N! (2\pi)^N} \Delta(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^2 \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2g} \frac{1}{k!} (\hat{\mu}_N)^k [T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)] \right) \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i, \quad (5.19)$$

on the space \mathbb{R}^N of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices. In (5.19), $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant, i.e.

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |\lambda_j - \lambda_i|, \quad (5.20)$$

and $c_N = 2^{\frac{N-N^2}{2}}$.¹⁵

Let

$$\Omega = \hat{\Gamma}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (5.21)$$

where $\hat{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a strict ϵ -enlargement of the support Γ of the large- N spectral distribution μ , i.e. $\Gamma \subset \hat{\Gamma}^\circ$, and $\hat{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$ has small Lebesgue measure. We assume that if we replace $d\tilde{q}$ with

$$d\varrho = \mathbf{1}_\Omega d\tilde{q} \quad (5.22)$$

in the definition of the partition function and the correlators, then they get modified by terms of exponential decay as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5.2. *For any $x, (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}$, the rank n Schwinger-Dyson equation for the connected correlators of the model (up to the boundary term) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{n+1}(x, x, x_I) + \sum_{J \subseteq I} W_{|J|+1}(x, x_J) W_{n-|J|}(x, x_{I \setminus J}) \\ & + \sum_{i \in I} \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{W_{n-1}(\xi, x_{I \setminus \{i\}})}{(x - \xi)(x_i - \xi)^2} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \sum_{\substack{K \vdash [1, k] \\ J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_{[K]} = I}} \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{(k-1)!(x - \xi_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{|K_i|+|J_i|}(\xi_{K_i}, x_{J_i}) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, $n \geq 1$, and $C_{\hat{\Gamma}}$ is a closed counter-clockwise-oriented contour in an ϵ -tubular neighborhood of $\hat{\Gamma}$ which encloses $\hat{\Gamma}$.

Proof. Let $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ be a sequence of parameters. Consider the random variables X_j , $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, given by

$$X_j = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x_j - \lambda_i}, \quad x_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}. \quad (5.24)$$

Let $P^{\vec{\tau}}$ be the probability measure on \mathbb{R}^N defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{P^{\vec{\tau}}}[f] := \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_P \left[\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j X_j \right) \right]} \mathbb{E}_P \left[f \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j X_j \right) \right], \quad (5.25)$$

¹⁵In addition, the term $\text{Vol}(U_N)$ is the volume of the unitary group U_N with respect to the Riemannian volume form corresponding to the induced metric on U_N from the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(AB^*)$ on the ambient vector space $M_N(\mathbb{C})$.

where $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$dP = \frac{1}{\varrho[1]} d\varrho. \quad (5.26)$$

We denote the joint cumulants (resp. moments) of $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^r$ with respect to the probability measure $P^{\vec{\tau}}$ by $W_r^{\vec{\tau}}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ (resp. $\widehat{W}_r^{\vec{\tau}}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$).

Consider the Riemannian manifold \mathbb{R}^N with the Euclidean metric, and the Riemannian volume form

$$d\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i. \quad (5.27)$$

Let Ω be the compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N given by (5.21). Consider the smooth vector field

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x - \lambda_i} \vec{e}_i, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}, \quad (5.28)$$

where \vec{e}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, denote the standard constant unit vector fields on \mathbb{R}^N . One gets the rank n Schwinger-Dyson equation for the connected correlators $W_n(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, by considering the invariance of

$$Z_N^{\vec{\tau}} = \mathbb{E}_P \left[\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j X_j \right) \right] = \int \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x_j - \lambda_i} \right) \right) dP, \quad (5.29)$$

under the flow of the vector field V .

We rewrite $Z_N^{\vec{\tau}}$ in the following form

$$Z_N^{\vec{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\varrho[1]} \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (5.30)$$

where $\Psi(\lambda) = \prod_{m=1}^3 \psi_m(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\psi_1(\lambda) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x_j - \lambda_i} \right) \right), \quad (5.31)$$

$$\psi_2(\lambda) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2g} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N T_k(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}) \right), \quad (5.32)$$

and

$$\psi_3(\lambda) = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} |\lambda_j - \lambda_i|. \quad (5.33)$$

By (5.2), the invariance of $Z_N^{\vec{\tau}}$ under the flow of V is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}_{P^{\vec{\tau}}} \left[\sum_{m=1}^3 \frac{d\psi_m(V)}{\psi_m} + \text{div}(V) \right] = 0, \quad (5.34)$$

up to the boundary term¹⁶.

Using the Cauchy integral formula, we get¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi_1(V)}{\psi_1} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(x - \lambda_i)(x_j - \lambda_i)^2} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{1}{(x - \xi)(x_j - \xi)^2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\xi - \lambda_i} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

By interchanging the integration on \mathbb{R}^N with the contour integral, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{P^{\vec{\tau}}} \left[\frac{d\psi_1(V)}{\psi_1} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_j \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi)}{(x - \xi)(x_j - \xi)^2}. \quad (5.36)$$

Considering Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(d \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N T_k(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}) \right) (V) \\ &= k \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{x - \lambda_i} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}=1}^N T_k^{(1)}(\lambda_i, \lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_{k-1}}) \\ &= k \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{x - \xi_1} \prod_{r=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\xi_r - \lambda_i} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

where the integration is a k -times iterated contour integral along $C_{\hat{\Gamma}}$. Thus, using (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{P^{\vec{\tau}}} \left[\frac{d\psi_2(V)}{\psi_2} \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{x - \xi_1} \widehat{W}_k^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2g} \sum_{K \vdash [1, k]} \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{(k-1)!(x - \xi_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{|K_i|}^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi_{K_i}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

¹⁶Since we are considering the case in which $\Gamma \subset \hat{\Gamma}^\circ$, i.e. both edges of $\hat{\Gamma}$ are *soft*, the boundary term is of exponential decay as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see [7]).

¹⁷Strictly speaking, we should assume that x and x_j 's are not in an ϵ -tubular neighborhood of $\hat{\Gamma}$, so that the function $1/((x - \xi)(x_j - \xi)^2)$ is holomorphic on that neighborhood of $\hat{\Gamma}$.

By similar steps, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}^{\vec{\tau}}} \left[\frac{d\psi_3(V)}{\psi_3} \right] = W_2^{\vec{\tau}}(x, x) + \left(W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(x) \right)^2 + \partial_x \left(W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(x) \right), \quad (5.39)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}^{\vec{\tau}}} [\text{div}(V)] = -\partial_x \left(W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(x) \right). \quad (5.40)$$

By substituting (5.36), (5.38), (5.39), and (5.40) into (5.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & W_2^{\vec{\tau}}(x, x) + \left(W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(x) \right)^2 \\ & + \sum_{i \in I} \tau_i \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi)}{(x - \xi)(x_i - \xi)^2} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{2\mathbf{g}} \sum_{K \vdash \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket} \oint_{C_{\hat{\Gamma}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{(k-1)!(x - \xi_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{|K_i|}^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi_{K_i}) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Considering the definition of joint cumulant, for given finite subsets $L_i \subset \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, of \mathbb{N} , we have

$$\partial_{\vec{\tau}} \Big|_{\vec{\tau}=0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} W_{|L_i|}^{\vec{\tau}}(\xi_{L_i}) \right) = \sum_{J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_{\ell} = I} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} W_{|L_i| + |J_i|}(\xi_{L_i}, x_{J_i}). \quad (5.42)$$

Therefore, by taking the derivative $\partial_{\vec{\tau}} \Big|_{\vec{\tau}=0}$ of each term of (5.41), we get (5.23). ■

The system of Schwinger-Dyson equations is not closed in the sense that, for each $n \geq 1$, the rank n SDE gives an expression for $W_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in terms of $W_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)$'s with $1 \leq r \leq \max\{n+1, n+2\mathbf{g}-1\}$. However, we will see that they are “asymptotically” closed as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and we can solve them to find the coefficients $W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the large N expansion of the correlators.

For each $1 \leq k \leq 2\mathbf{g}$, and $h \geq 0$, let $T_{h,k}(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ be the symmetric polynomial in $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^k$ satisfying

$$(\hat{\mu}_N)^k [T_{h,k}(s_1, \dots, s_k)] = -\delta_{h,0} \delta_{k,1} \frac{\text{Tr}(H^2)}{2} + \sum_{\substack{[C] \in \mathcal{C} \\ \beta_0(\partial C)=k, \ g(C)=h}} T_{[C]}(H), \quad (5.43)$$

where $g(C)$ denotes the genus of a surface C . The k -point interactions $T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ of the model

can be rewritten in the following form

$$T_k(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{h \geq 0} (N/t)^{2-2h-k} T_{h,k}(s_1, \dots, s_k), \quad (5.44)$$

where the summation includes finitely many terms. Considering (5.44) and (4.10), for each $n \geq 1$, $g \geq 0$, the rank n Schwinger-Dyson equation to order N^{3-2g-n} gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{g-1, n+1}(x, x, x_I) + \sum_{J \subseteq I, 0 \leq f \leq g} W_{f, |J|+1}(x, x_J) W_{g-f, n-|J|}(x, x_{I \setminus J}) \\ & + \sum_{i \in I} \oint_{C_{\hat{r}}} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{W_{g, n-1}(\xi, x_{I \setminus \{i\}})}{(x - \xi)(x_i - \xi)^2} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 2g \\ 0 \leq h}} \sum_{\substack{K \vdash [1, k] \\ J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_{[K]} = I}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq f_1, \dots, f_{[K]} \\ h+k-[K]+\sum_i f_i = g}} \oint_{C_{\hat{r}}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_{h,k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{(k-1)!(x - \xi_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{f_i, |K_i|+|J_i|}(\xi_{K_i}, x_{J_i}) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Before continuing, we introduce the following notations which are used in this article. Let $V \subset X$ be an open subset of a Riemann surface X . We denote by \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O}^* , \mathcal{M} , Ω , and \mathcal{Q} , the sheaves on X defined by

- $\mathcal{O}(V)$ = holomorphic functions on V
- $\mathcal{O}^*(V)$ = multiplicative group of nonzero holomorphic functions on V
- $\mathcal{M}(V)$ = meromorphic functions on V
- $\Omega(V)$ = holomorphic 1-forms on V
- $\mathcal{Q}(V)$ = meromorphic 1-forms on V ,

respectively.

6 Spectral curve

We start by analyzing the rank one Schwinger-Dyson equation to leading order in N , i.e. the Equation (5.45) for $n = 1$ and $g = 0$, given by

$$(W_{0,1}(x))^2 + \sum_{k=1}^2 \oint_{C_{\Gamma}} \left[\prod_{r=1}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{\partial_{\xi_1} T_{0,k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)}{x - \xi_1} \prod_{r=1}^k W_{0,1}(\xi_r) = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

Recall that

$$T_{0,1}(\xi) = -2t\mathcal{V}(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^2 + \sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}}} \frac{t_\ell^{(0)}}{\ell} \xi^\ell, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mathcal{V}(\xi)$ is given by (2.7), and

$$T_{0,2}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}} \frac{t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \left(\xi^{\ell_1} \eta^{\ell_2} + \xi^{\ell_2} \eta^{\ell_1} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

We fix a simply-connected open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Gamma \subset U$. Consider the following integral operator, called the *master operator* [4],

$$\mathcal{O}f(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\Gamma} R(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d\eta, \quad \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}(U \setminus \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U), \quad (6.4)$$

with the kernel

$$R(\xi, \eta) = \partial_\xi T_{0,2}(\xi, \eta). \quad (6.5)$$

We rewrite (6.1) in the following form

$$(W_{0,1}(x))^2 + \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{Q(\xi)}{x - \xi} W_{0,1}(\xi) = 0, \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$Q(\xi) = (\partial_\xi T_{0,1}(\xi)) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,1}(\xi). \quad (6.7)$$

Since $W_{0,1}(x) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)$, using (4.21) and (4.20), we have

$$\oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \xi^\ell W_{0,1}(\xi) = \mathfrak{m}_\ell = \oint_{\xi=\infty} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \xi^\ell W_{0,1}(\xi) = Q_{0;\ell}, \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell = \int s^\ell \varphi(s) ds, \quad \ell \geq 1, \quad (6.9)$$

denotes the moments of the large- N spectral distribution $\mu = \varphi(s) ds$, and $Q_{0;\ell}$ is given by (4.19). Thus, the polynomial $Q(\xi)$ can be expressed in terms of \mathfrak{m}_ℓ 's in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi) = & -\xi + \sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}}} t_\ell^{(0)} \xi^{\ell-1} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}} t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)} \left[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\ell_2}}{\ell_2} \xi^{\ell_1-1} + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\ell_1}}{\ell_1} \xi^{\ell_2-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Considering $W_{0,1}(\xi) = O(1/\xi)$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, we rewrite the contour integral in (6.6) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{Q(\xi)}{x - \xi} W_{0,1}(\xi) &= \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{Q(x) - (Q(x) - Q(\xi))}{x - \xi} W_{0,1}(\xi) \\ &= Q(x) W_{0,1}(x) - \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \Delta[Q](x, \xi) W_{0,1}(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$\Delta[Q](x, \xi) = \frac{Q(x) - Q(\xi)}{x - \xi} \quad (6.12)$$

denotes the *noncommutative derivative*, aka “finite difference quotient”, of $Q(\xi)$. The polynomial

$$P(x) = - \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \Delta[Q](x, \xi) W_{0,1}(\xi) \quad (6.13)$$

has the following expression in terms of \mathbf{m}_ℓ ’s:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= 1 - \sum_{\ell \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{disk}}} \mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-2} \mathbf{m}_{\ell-2-n} x^n \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}} \mathbf{t}_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)} \left[\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\ell_2}}{\ell_2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\ell_1-2} \mathbf{m}_{\ell_1-2-n} x^n \right) + \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\ell_1}}{\ell_1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\ell_2-2} \mathbf{m}_{\ell_2-2-n} x^n \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Therefore, we have proved:

Proposition 6.1. *For the random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$ with the distribution $d\rho = e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD$, the Stieltjes transform $W_{0,1}(x)$ of the large- N spectral distribution $\mu = \varphi(s) ds$ of the model satisfies the following quadratic algebraic equation*

$$y^2 + Q(x)y + P(x) = 0, \quad (6.15)$$

where the polynomials $Q(x)$ and $P(x)$ are given by (6.10) and (6.14), respectively. The coefficients of $Q(x)$ and $P(x)$ depend on the Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$, and the moments \mathbf{m}_ℓ of the large- N spectral distribution μ .

Let the interval $\Gamma = [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$, and the open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\Gamma \subset U$, be the same as the above-mentioned ones. We recall the *Joukowski map* $x : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$x(z) = \frac{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}}{4} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

Denote by \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{D} the unit circle and the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} , respectively. The preimage $x^{-1}(U \setminus \Gamma)$ of $U \setminus \Gamma$ under the Joukowski map x has two connected components $V^e \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $V^i \subset \mathbb{D}$, whose

common boundary is the unit circle \mathbb{T} . The exterior neighborhood V^e is mapped to the interior neighborhood V^i under $\iota : z \mapsto 1/z$.




Figure 1: Illustration of the Joukowski map in the case where the boundary of U is an ellipse with the foci $x = \mathfrak{a}$ and $x = \mathfrak{b}$. The open neighborhoods U , V^e , and V^i are colored green, brown and yellow, respectively.

By Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 4.2, the function $W_{0,1}(x) \in \mathcal{O}(U \setminus \Gamma)$ can be expressed in the following way

$$2W_{0,1}(x) = -Q(x) + M(x)\sqrt{(x - \mathfrak{a})(x - \mathfrak{b})}, \quad (6.17)$$

where $M(x) \in \mathcal{O}^*(U)$, and $\Gamma = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the support of the large- N spectral distribution μ . Let $W_{0,1}(x(z))$ be the pullback of $W_{0,1}(x) \in \mathcal{O}(U \setminus \Gamma)$ under the biholomorphism $x|_{V^e} : V^e \rightarrow U \setminus \Gamma$. Since

$$\sqrt{(x(z) - \mathfrak{a})(x(z) - \mathfrak{b})} = \frac{\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a}}{4} \left(z - \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad (6.18)$$

considering (6.17), the function $W_{0,1}(x(z))$ has an analytic continuation, denoted by $W_{0,1}(x(z)) \in \mathcal{O}(V)$, to $V = x^{-1}(U) = V^e \cup V^i \cup \mathbb{T}$.

Consider the open neighborhood $\Upsilon = \mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{V^i}$ of the point $z = 0$. The Riemann surface

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \Upsilon, \quad (6.19)$$

which is homeomorphic to a disk, is called the *spectral curve* of the model. Let

$$x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma), \quad (6.20)$$

be the restriction of the Joukowski map to Σ . The map $x|_V : V \subset \Sigma \rightarrow U$ is a two-sheeted ramified

covering map with the ramification points

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{z = 1, z = -1\}, \quad (6.21)$$

and the branch points $x = \mathfrak{a}$, $x = \mathfrak{b}$. In addition, the spectral curve Σ is equipped with a *local* biholomorphic involution

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : V &\rightarrow V \\ z &\mapsto 1/z, \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

which satisfies $x \circ \iota = x$.

Using the Schwinger-Dyson equations (5.45) recursively, it can be shown [19] that each $W_{g,n}(x, x_I)$ for fixed $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, initially defined as a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$, has a meromorphic continuation $W_{g,n}(x(z_1), x_I) \in \mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ to Σ . By doing the same process for the other arguments x_i , $i \in I$, of $W_{g,n}(x(z_1), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, one gets a meromorphic function $W_{g,n}(x(z_1), \dots, x(z_n))$ on Σ^n .

Let $K_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the canonical line bundle, i.e. the holomorphic cotangent bundle, on the spectral curve Σ . Denote by $\pi_i : \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma$ the projection map onto the i -th component. Let

$$K_\Sigma^{\boxtimes n} := (\pi_1^* K_\Sigma) \otimes \dots \otimes (\pi_n^* K_\Sigma) \quad (6.23)$$

be the n -times external tensor product of K_Σ , where $\pi_i^* K_\Sigma$ denotes the pullback of K_Σ under π_i . The sections of the holomorphic line bundle $K_\Sigma^{\boxtimes n} \rightarrow \Sigma^n$ are referred to as the *differentials of degree n* over Σ^n . A differential of degree n is called *symmetric* if it is invariant under the natural action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n on the line bundle $K_\Sigma^{\boxtimes n} \rightarrow \Sigma^n$.

In the theory of (blobbed) topological recursion [20, 6, 4], one constructs meromorphic symmetric differentials

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= W_{g,n}(x(z_1), \dots, x(z_n)) dx(z_1) dx(z_2) \dots dx(z_n) \\ &+ \delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,2} \hat{B}_0(z_1, z_2) \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

of degree n from the meromorphic functions $W_{g,n}(x(z_1), \dots, x(z_n))$, where $dx(z_i)$ denotes the pullback $\pi_i^*(dx)$ of the 1-form dx under $\pi_i : \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma$. In (6.24), the bidifferential, i.e. differential of degree two,

$$\hat{B}_0(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dx(z_1) dx(z_2)}{[x(z_1) - x(z_2)]^2} \quad (6.25)$$

is the pullback of the *fundamental symmetric bidifferential of the second kind with biresidue 1* over

$\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$,¹⁸ i.e.

$$B_0(x_1, x_2) = \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{(x_1 - x_2)^2}, \quad (6.26)$$

under the map $(x, x) : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. A couple (g, n) is called *stable* if $2 - 2g - n < 0$, i.e. $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$.

Before continuing, we introduce several operators in the following which are used in our investigation of the differentials $\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Denote by \mathcal{P}_+ and \mathcal{P}_- the orthogonal idempotents corresponding to the involution $\iota : V \rightarrow V$, given by

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} + \iota^*), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_- = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} - \iota^*), \quad (6.27)$$

respectively, where ι^* denotes the pullback under ι . Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\pm} = 2\mathcal{P}_{\pm}. \quad (6.28)$$

The domain of the operators \mathcal{P}_{\pm} can be $\mathcal{M}(V)$ or $\mathcal{Q}(V)$, depending on the context which they are used. For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, consider the closed counter-clockwise-oriented contour

$$\gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 + \epsilon\} \subset V^e. \quad (6.29)$$

Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{Q}(V)$ the subspace of meromorphic 1-forms on $V \subset \Sigma$ which do not have poles on γ . Denote by $\Omega_{\text{inv}}(V)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\text{inv}}(V)$) the subspace of holomorphic 1-forms (resp. functions) on $V \subset \Sigma$ which are invariant under the involution ι^* . Let $T_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$, $z, \zeta \in V$, be the pullback of $T_{0,2}(\xi, \eta)$ under the map $(x, x) : V \times V \rightarrow U \times U$. Consider the following integral operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \hat{R}(z, \zeta) \phi(\zeta), \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}} : \hat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{inv}}(V), \quad (6.30)$$

with the kernel

$$\hat{R}(z, \zeta) = d_z T_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) \in \Gamma(V \times V, \Omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}), \quad (6.31)$$

where d_z denotes the exterior derivative operator acting on the first argument. The operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is

¹⁸For a Torelli marked closed Riemann surface X of genus $g \geq 0$, the fundamental symmetric bidifferential $B(p, q)$ of the second kind with biresidue 1 over X^2 (also referred to as the *Bergman kernel*, in some references) is uniquely characterized by the following conditions (see, e.g., [22], [28] and [27] for details):

- $B \in H^0(X^2, K_X^{\boxtimes 2}(2\Delta))^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$, and $\text{Bires}|_{\Delta} B = 1$, where $\Delta \subset X^2$ denotes the diagonal divisor.
- For each $p \in X$, the 1-form $B(p, \cdot)$ has vanishing a_i -periods, where $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$ is the symplectic basis of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ specifying the Torelli making of X .

closely related to the master operator \mathcal{O} in the sense that, for each fixed $z_I \in \Sigma^{n-1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}W_{g,n}(x(z), x_I) dx = \hat{\mathcal{O}}\omega_{g,n}(z, z_I), \quad (6.32)$$

where $\mathcal{O}W_{g,n}(x(z), \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{inv}}(V)$ denotes the pullback of $\mathcal{O}W_{g,n}(x, \cdot)$ under $x : V \rightarrow U$.

7 Fundamental bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta)$

As the next step, we investigate the *large- N spectral covariance* $W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2)$ of the model. Fix $\zeta \in \Sigma \setminus \overline{V^i}$. Denote by $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$, $z \in \Sigma$, the meromorphic continuation of the pullback of $W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2)$ under the biholomorphism $x|_{V^e} : V^e \rightarrow U \setminus \Gamma$ to Σ . By definition of $W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2)$, the possible singularities of the function $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$ can only occur in $\Re \cup V^i \subset \Sigma$. To investigate poles of $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$, we consider the rank two Schwinger-Dyson equation to leading order in N , i.e. the Equation (5.45) for $(g, n) = (0, 2)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2W_{0,1}(x_1)W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2) + \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{W_{0,1}(\xi)}{(x_1 - \xi)(x_2 - \xi)^2} \\ & + \oint_{C_\Gamma} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{x_1 - \xi} \left([\partial_\xi T_{0,1}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,1}(\xi)] W_{0,2}(\xi, x_2) + [\mathcal{O}W_{0,2}(\xi, x_2)] W_{0,1}(\xi) \right) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

for $x_1 \in U \setminus \Gamma$, and fixed $x_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. We rewrite (7.1) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & - [2W_{0,1}(x_1) + \partial_{x_1} T_{0,1}(x_1) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,1}(x_1)] W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2) \\ & = [\mathcal{O}W_{0,2}(x_1, x_2)] W_{0,1}(x_1) + \partial_{x_2} \left(\frac{W_{0,1}(x_1) - W_{0,1}(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \right) + P_{0,2}(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where $P_{0,2}(x_1, \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. By (6.17), the function

$$2W_{0,1}(x(z)) + \partial_x T_{0,1}(x(z)) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,1}(x(z)) \quad (7.3)$$

has a simple zero at the ramification points \Re . Therefore, considering (7.2), $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$ has a simple pole at \Re .

By considering (7.2) as $x_1 \rightarrow s \pm i\epsilon$, $s \in \Gamma^o$, it can be shown [4] that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [W_{0,2}(s + i\epsilon, x_2) + W_{0,2}(s - i\epsilon, x_2)] + \mathcal{O}W_{0,2}(s, x_2) + \frac{1}{(s - x_2)^2} = 0, \quad \forall s \in \Gamma^o. \quad (7.4)$$

Using the *identity theorem for holomorphic functions*, and the *Riemann's removable singularities*

theorem, we deduce from (7.4) that

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_+ W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) + \mathcal{O} W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) + \frac{1}{[x(z) - x(\zeta)]^2} = 0 \quad (7.5)$$

for all $z \in V \subset \Sigma$. Considering (7.5), we get the following equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_+ \tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) + \hat{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) + \hat{B}_0(z, \zeta) = 0, \quad (7.6)$$

for the bidifferential

$$\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) dx(z) dx(\zeta), \quad (7.7)$$

where $\hat{B}_0(z, \zeta)$ is given by (6.25).

Consider the function

$$F_\zeta(z) = W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) x'(z) x'(\zeta), \quad (7.8)$$

where $x'(z) \equiv \partial_z x(z)$. We have

$$\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = F_\zeta(z) dz d\zeta. \quad (7.9)$$

In the remaining part of this section, we consider the following set of assumptions, and derive an explicit expression for $F_\zeta(z)$.

Hypothesis 7.1.

- (i) For each fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the function $F_\zeta(z)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole \mathbb{CP}^1 ;
- (ii) The support Γ of the large- N spectral distribution μ of the model is of the form $\Gamma = [-\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$.¹⁹

To get an explicit expression for the meromorphic function $F_\zeta(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, we find the principal part of the germ of $F_\zeta(z)$ at its poles on \mathbb{CP}^1 , and then analyze the corresponding *Mittag-Leffler problem*. More precisely, we consider the following long exact sequence of Čech cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (7.10)$$

It is well known that

$$H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

Therefore, given a section $\tilde{f} \in H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O})$, there exists a meromorphic function $f \in H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{M})$, unique up to a constant, whose local singular behavior is given by \tilde{f} .

The meromorphic function $F_\zeta(z)$ does not have any poles in $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ because the simple zeroes of $x'(z)$ at the ramification points \mathfrak{R} cancels out the simple poles of $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$. We use (7.6)

¹⁹Our approach for analyzing the function $F_\zeta(z)$ has a straightforward extension to the general case of $\Gamma = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$. However, the computational part becomes more cumbersome.

to analyze the possible poles of $F_\zeta(z)$ in \mathbb{D} . Rewrite the function $T_{0,2}(\xi, \eta)$, given by (6.3), in the following form

$$T_{0,2}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \xi^k \left(\sum_{m=1}^{d-k} \nu_{k,m} \eta^m \right), \quad (7.12)$$

where each $\nu_{k,m}$ is a linear combination of the Boltzmann weights $t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}$, $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}$. We have

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{z^2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} b_k(\zeta) \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^{k-1} dz d\zeta, \quad (7.13)$$

where, for each $1 \leq k \leq d-1$,

$$b_k(\zeta) = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^{d-k} \nu_{k,m} (\mathfrak{b}/2)^{k+m} \oint_{\gamma} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau}\right)^m F_\zeta(\tau) d\tau. \quad (7.14)$$

Considering (7.6) and (7.13), we get the following equation satisfied by $F_\zeta(z)$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$F_\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} F_\zeta(\iota(z)) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} b_k(\zeta) \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^{k-1} \right] - \frac{x'(z) x'(\zeta)}{[x(z) - x(\zeta)]^2}. \quad (7.15)$$

We recall the following basic fact from the theory of *projective connections* on Riemann surfaces (see, e.g., [28]). Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open neighborhood of a point $p \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be a biholomorphism on D . Consider the holomorphic function $E_f(v, w)$ on $D \times D$ given by

$$E_f(v, w) = \frac{f'(v) f'(w)}{[f(v) - f(w)]^2}, \quad v, w \in D. \quad (7.16)$$

Let $\hat{v} = v - p$, and $\hat{w} = w - p$. By expanding $E_f(v, w)$ as a series in \hat{v} and \hat{w} , one gets

$$\frac{f'(v) f'(w)}{[f(v) - f(w)]^2} = \frac{1}{(v - w)^2} + \frac{1}{6} (Sf)(p) + H(\hat{v}, \hat{w}), \quad (7.17)$$

as $\hat{v}, \hat{w} \rightarrow 0$, where

$$(Sf)(p) = \frac{f'''(p)}{f'(p)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''(p)}{f'(p)} \right)^2 \quad (7.18)$$

is called the *Schwarzian derivative* of f , and $H(\hat{v}, \hat{w})$ is a sum of terms in \hat{v} and \hat{w} of strictly positive degree.

Considering (7.15), the function $F_\zeta(z)$ has a pole of order two at $z = \iota(\zeta)$. Using (7.17), we have

$$-\frac{x'(z) x'(\zeta)}{[x(z) - x(\zeta)]^2} = -\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x'(\iota(\zeta))} \cdot \frac{x'(z) x'(\iota(\zeta))}{[x(z) - x(\iota(\zeta))]^2} = -\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x'(\iota(\zeta))} \left(\frac{1}{[z - \iota(\zeta)]^2} + O(1) \right), \quad (7.19)$$

as $z \rightarrow \iota(\zeta)$. Thus, the principal part of the germ of $F_\zeta(z)$ at $z = \iota(\zeta)$ is given by

$$Q_1(z, \zeta) = -\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x'(\iota(\zeta))} \cdot \frac{1}{[z - \iota(\zeta)]^2} = \frac{1}{(\zeta z - 1)^2}. \quad (7.20)$$

In addition, by (7.15), the function $F_\zeta(z)$ has a pole at $z = 0$. Since $W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta))$ has a zero of order 2 at $z = \infty$, the term $F_\zeta(\iota(z))/z^2$, in (7.15), is regular at $z = 0$. Therefore, the principal part of the germ of $F_\zeta(z)$ at $z = 0$ is the same as the principal part of the Laurent polynomial

$$-\left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} b_k(\zeta) \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^{k-1}\right], \quad (7.21)$$

and is given by

$$Q_2(z, \zeta) = -\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{b_k(\zeta)}{z^{k+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} c_{k,r} z^{2r}, \quad (7.22)$$

where

$$c_{k,r} = \frac{2r - k}{k} \binom{k}{r}. \quad (7.23)$$

By the Mittag-Leffler theorem, we have

$$F_\zeta(z) = Q_1(z, \zeta) + Q_2(z, \zeta) + c(\zeta), \quad c(\zeta) \in H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}). \quad (7.24)$$

Since the function $F_\zeta(z)$ has a zero of order two at $z = \infty$, the constant function $c(\zeta)$ should be equal to zero. To get an explicit expression for $F_\zeta(z)$ in terms of z, ζ , it suffices to find $b_k(\zeta)$'s, as a function of ζ , explicitly. Note that each $b_k(\zeta)$ is a linear combination of the Fourier coefficients

$$a_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{F_\zeta(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (7.25)$$

of the restriction of $F_\zeta(z)$ to γ . Considering the degree of the Laurent polynomial $Q_2(z, \zeta)$, it suffices to find only $x_i = a_{-i-1}(\zeta)$, $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, the identity

$$a_{-i-1}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma [Q_1(z, \zeta) + Q_2(z, \zeta)] z^i dz \quad (7.26)$$

leads to a linear equation of the following form satisfied by the x_i 's:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} C_{ij} x_j = \frac{i}{\zeta^{i+1}}, \quad (7.27)$$

where the coefficients C_{ij} depend only on \mathfrak{b} , and $t_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}, (\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}$.

We assume that the given values to the Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_\ell^{(0)}$ (or, equivalently, the formal parameters α_n , $3 \leq n \leq d$) of the model are such that the above-mentioned matrix (C_{ij}) is invertible. Hence, we get the explicit expression of

$$F(z, \zeta) = Q_1(z, \zeta) + Q_2(z, \zeta) \quad (7.28)$$

in terms of z, ζ , which depends on the support $\Gamma = [-\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}]$ of the large- N spectral distribution μ , and the Boltzmann weights $\mathbf{t}_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}$, $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}$. Since the function

$$W_{0,2}(x(z), x(\zeta)) x'(z) x'(\zeta) \quad (7.29)$$

is symmetric on its initial domain of definition $(\Sigma \setminus \overline{V^i}) \times (\Sigma \setminus \overline{V^i})$, the function $F(z, \zeta)$, given by (7.28), gives, indeed, the presumed meromorphic continuation of (7.29) to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$.²⁰ From now on, we consider the restriction of $F(z, \zeta)$ to $\Sigma \times \Sigma$.

The bidifferential

$$\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = F(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta \quad (7.30)$$

over $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ has only a pole of order 2 at

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \{(p, \iota(p)) \mid p \in V \subset \Sigma\} \subset \Sigma \times \Sigma. \quad (7.31)$$

Considering (7.20), the singular behavior of $\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta)$ at $\tilde{\Delta}$ is as follows:

$$\tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = -\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x'(\iota(\zeta))} \left(\frac{1}{[z - \iota(\zeta)]^2} + O(1) \right) dz d\zeta, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \iota(\zeta). \quad (7.32)$$

In addition, the polar divisor of the bidifferential

$$\hat{B}_0(z, \zeta) = \frac{dx(z) dx(\zeta)}{[x(z) - x(\zeta)]^2} \quad (7.33)$$

over $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ is given by $2\Delta + 2\tilde{\Delta}$, where

$$\Delta = \{(p, p) \mid p \in \Sigma\} \subset \Sigma \times \Sigma \quad (7.34)$$

denotes the diagonal divisor. The singular behavior of $\hat{B}_0(z, \zeta)$ at Δ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ is given by

$$\hat{B}_0(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{1}{[z - \zeta]^2} + O(1) \right) dz d\zeta, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \zeta, \quad (7.35)$$

²⁰For the classical formal 1-Hermitian matrix models, in the one-cut regime, the meromorphic continuation of (7.29) to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ is given by $Q_1(z, \zeta)$, and it is universal in the sense that it does not depend on the formal parameters of the model (see [19], Sec. 3.2.1).

and

$$\hat{B}_0(z, \zeta) = \frac{\iota^*(d\zeta)}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{[z - \iota(\zeta)]^2} + O(1) \right) dz d\zeta, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \iota(\zeta), \quad (7.36)$$

respectively. Therefore, the symmetric bidifferential

$$\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = \tilde{\omega}_{0,2}(z, \zeta) + \hat{B}_0(z, \zeta) \quad (7.37)$$

has only a pole of order 2 at Δ , and its singular behavior is given by

$$\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{1}{[z - \zeta]^2} + O(1) \right) dz d\zeta, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \zeta. \quad (7.38)$$

Succinctly,

$$\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) \in H^0(\Sigma^2, K_{\Sigma}^{\boxtimes 2}(2\Delta))^{\mathfrak{S}_2}, \quad (7.39)$$

and

$$\text{Bires}|_{\Delta} \omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = 1. \quad (7.40)$$

8 Local Cauchy kernel

Let \tilde{V} be the simply-connected domain which one gets by cutting the neighborhood $V \subset \Sigma$ along a radial line $\{z = re^{i\theta} \mid r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ fixed } \theta\}$. Fix $p_0 \in \tilde{V}$. Considering (7.39) and (7.40), one gets a *local Cauchy kernel*

$$G(z, \zeta) = \int_{p_0}^{\zeta} \omega_{0,2}(z, \tau) \quad (8.1)$$

by integrating the 1-form $\omega_{0,2}(\cdot, \tau)$ on \tilde{V} [6]. We have

$$G(z, \zeta) \in \Gamma(\Sigma \times V, \Omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta)), \quad (8.2)$$

and

$$G(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{1}{z - \zeta} + O(1) \right) dz, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \zeta. \quad (8.3)$$

Denote by $[\phi]_p \in \mathcal{Q}_p/\Omega_p$ the image of the germ of a meromorphic 1-form $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ at a point $p \in \Sigma$ under the projection map $\pi_p : \mathcal{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_p/\Omega_p$. Denote the set of poles of a meromorphic 1-form $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ by $\mathfrak{P}(\phi)$. Let $\tilde{F} \subset \mathcal{Q}(V)$ be the subspace of meromorphic 1-forms which have finitely many poles on $V \subset \Sigma$. Consider the operator $\mathcal{K} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ given by

$$\mathcal{K}\phi(z) = \sum_{p \in V} \text{Res}_{\zeta=p} G(z, \zeta) \phi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p \in V} \oint_{|\zeta-p|=\epsilon} G(z, \zeta) \phi(\zeta). \quad (8.4)$$

Note that, for each $\phi \in \tilde{F}$, a point $p \in V$ contributes to the summation in (8.4) iff $p \in \mathfrak{P}(\phi)$. In

addition, by (8.3), we have

$$[\mathcal{K}\phi]_p = [\phi]_p, \quad \forall p \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{K}\phi) = \mathfrak{P}(\phi). \quad (8.5)$$

We use the same notation \mathcal{K} to denote the operator $r_{\Sigma,V} \mathcal{K} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$, where $r_{\Sigma,V} : \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V)$ is the restriction map. By (8.5), we have

$$(\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{K})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}) \subset \Omega(V). \quad (8.6)$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{K} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ is an idempotent operator, and we have the decomposition

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = \mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}) \oplus (\mathbb{1} - \mathcal{K})(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}). \quad (8.7)$$

Consider the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}\phi(z) = \sum_{p \in V} \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta=p} G(z, \iota(\zeta)) \phi(\zeta). \quad (8.8)$$

The following simple lemma will be used several times later.

Lemma 8.1. *The operator $\mathcal{K} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ can be decomposed as*

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \mathcal{P}_+ + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K} - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \mathcal{P}_-, \quad (8.9)$$

where the orthogonal idempotents $\mathcal{P}_{\pm} : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\pm}$ are given by (6.27).

Proof. We rewrite the operator \mathcal{K} in the following form

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\mathcal{K} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) + (\mathcal{K} - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \right] [\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-]. \quad (8.10)$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K} \iota^*$, we have

$$(\mathcal{K} \pm \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \mathcal{P}_{\mp} = 0. \quad (8.11)$$

■

In the remaining part of this section, we try to explain some elementary aspects of the blobbed topological recursion formula, which will be discussed in the next section, in a simpler setup. Consider the operator

$$\mathcal{T} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_+ + \hat{\mathcal{O}}, \quad \mathcal{T} : \hat{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V), \quad (8.12)$$

where the operators $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_+$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ are given by (6.28) and (6.30), respectively. Let $P \subset V$ be a fixed finite set of points in $V \subset \Sigma$ such that $P \cap \gamma = \emptyset$. Suppose we are given a set of germs $s_i \in \mathcal{Q}_{p_i}/\Omega_{p_i}$,

at the points $p_i \in P$, $i = 1, \dots, |P|$, and an ι -invariant holomorphic 1-form $\psi \in \Omega_{\text{inv}}(V)$. Denote by $E \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma)$ the subspace of holomorphic 1-forms $\tilde{\eta}$ on Σ whose restriction to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies the homogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{T}\tilde{\eta} = 0, \quad \tilde{\eta} \in E. \quad (8.13)$$

Let $A \subset \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ be the set of meromorphic 1-forms ϕ on Σ whose restriction to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies the inhomogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{T}\phi = \psi, \quad \phi \in A, \quad (8.14)$$

and their singularities satisfy

$$\mathfrak{P}(\phi) = P, \quad (8.15)$$

and

$$[\phi]_{p_i} = s_i \in \mathcal{Q}_{p_i}/\Omega_{p_i}, \quad \forall p_i \in P. \quad (8.16)$$

The set $A \subset \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ is an affine space over the vector space E . In the following, we investigate the space A .

The operator \mathcal{K} maps the space A to the meromorphic 1-form $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$, given by

$$\phi_0(z) = \sum_{p_i \in P} \text{Res}_{\zeta=p_i} G(z, \zeta) \tilde{s}_i(\zeta), \quad (8.17)$$

where each $\tilde{s}_i \in \mathcal{Q}_{p_i}$ is a representative of $s_i \in \mathcal{Q}_{p_i}/\Omega_{p_i}$, $\forall p_i \in P$. Using (8.14), we get

$$\mathcal{P}_+ \phi \in \Omega_{\text{inv}}(V), \quad \forall \phi \in A. \quad (8.18)$$

Thus, by Lemma 8.1, we have

$$\phi_0 = \mathcal{K}\phi = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K} - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \mathcal{P}_- \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in A. \quad (8.19)$$

Proposition 8.2. *The restriction of the 1-form $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = 0. \quad (8.20)$$

Proof. Considering (7.6) and (7.37), since $\Omega_{\text{inv}}(V) \subset \ker(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) = \hat{B}_0(z, \zeta), \quad (8.21)$$

for each fixed $\zeta \in \tilde{V}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{T}G(z, \zeta) = \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta), \quad (8.22)$$

where

$$\hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) = \frac{dx(z)}{x(z) - x(\zeta)} \quad (8.23)$$

is the pullback of the canonical Cauchy kernel $\frac{dx}{x-y}$ over \mathbb{CP}^1 under the map $(x, x) : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. Consider the operator $\mathcal{K}_0 : \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$ given by

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \varphi(z) = \sum_{p \in V} \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta=p} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \varphi(\zeta). \quad (8.24)$$

By (8.22), We have

$$\mathcal{TK} = \mathcal{K}_0 \quad (8.25)$$

on the subspace $\mathbf{F} = \tilde{\mathbf{F}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{F}} \subset \mathcal{Q}(V)$ of meromorphic 1-forms which have finitely many poles on $V \subset \Sigma$, and their poles are not on the contour γ .

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = r_{\Sigma, V}(\mathbf{A})$. Considering (8.19) and (8.25), it suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \subset \ker(\mathcal{K}_0). \quad (8.26)$$

By decomposing the operator \mathcal{K}_0 in the same way as in Lemma 8.1, using (8.18), we get

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \phi = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K}_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0) \mathcal{P}_- \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}. \quad (8.27)$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{K}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0$ because $\hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) = \hat{G}_0(z, \iota(\zeta))$. Thus, we get (8.26). ■

Let

$$\mathbf{A}_h = (\mathbf{1} - \mathcal{K})(\mathbf{A}) \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma) \quad (8.28)$$

be the image of \mathbf{A} under the operator $\mathbf{1} - \mathcal{K}$. Considering Proposition 8.2, the space \mathbf{A}_h consists of holomorphic 1-forms η on Σ whose restriction to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{T}\eta = \psi, \quad \eta \in \mathbf{A}_h. \quad (8.29)$$

Therefore, \mathbf{A}_h is an affine subspace of $H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma)$ over the vector space \mathbf{E} .

Lemma 8.3. *The holomorphic 1-form*

$$\eta_0(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} G(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) \quad (8.30)$$

is an element of the affine subspace $\mathbf{A}_h \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma)$,²¹ where the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ of the spectral curve

²¹We assume that $G(\cdot, \zeta)$ and $\psi(\zeta)$ have a continuous extension to $\bar{V} \subset \Sigma$.

Σ is assumed to have the induced orientation from Σ , i.e. it is clock-wise oriented.

Proof. By (8.22), the restriction of η_0 to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{T}\eta_0(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta). \quad (8.31)$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\iota(\partial\Sigma)} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) \\ &= \text{Res}_{\zeta=z} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) + \text{Res}_{\zeta=\iota(z)} \hat{G}_0(z, \zeta) \psi(\zeta) \\ &= -2\psi(z) \end{aligned} \quad (8.32)$$

for each $z \in V \subset \Sigma$. Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{T}\eta_0 = \psi. \quad (8.33)$$

■

Lemma 8.4. *In general, the subspace $E \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma)$ is non-trivial, and its dimension depends on the given values to the Boltzmann weights $\mathfrak{t}_\ell^{(0)}$ (or, equivalently, the formal parameters α_n , $3 \leq n \leq d$) of the model.*

Proof. For simplicity, we give a proof in the case where the support Γ of the large- N spectral distribution μ of the model is of the form $\Gamma = [-\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider a holomorphic 1-form $\tilde{\eta} = f(z) dz \in E$. We have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{z^n}, \quad (8.34)$$

where

$$a_{-n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) z^{n-1} dz, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (8.35)$$

are the Fourier coefficients of the restriction of f to γ . Since

$$\mathcal{T}\tilde{\eta} = 0, \quad \text{on } V \subset \Sigma, \quad (8.36)$$

considering (7.12), we get

$$f(z) - \frac{1}{z^2} f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{z^2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} b_k \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)^{k-1} = 0, \quad (8.37)$$

on $V \subset \Sigma$, where, for each $1 \leq k \leq d-1$,

$$b_k = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^{d-k} \nu_{k,m} (\mathfrak{b}/2)^{k+m} \oint_{\gamma} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^m f(\tau) d\tau. \quad (8.38)$$

By substituting (8.34) into (8.37), we get $d-1$ linear equations of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} C_{ij} x_j = 0, \quad (8.39)$$

satisfied by $x_i = a_{-i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, where the coefficients C_{ij} depend only on \mathfrak{b} , and $\mathfrak{t}_{\ell_1, \ell_2}^{(0)}$, $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{cylinder}}$. Thus, the dimension of the subspace $E \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_{\Sigma})$ equals the dimension of the kernel of the matrix (C_{ij}) . ■

Considering Proposition 8.2, Lemma 8.3 and Lemma 8.4, in general, a 1-form $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written as

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \eta_0 + \tilde{\eta} \quad (8.40)$$

for some $\tilde{\eta} \in E$. From now on, we consider the following assumption:

Hypothesis 8.1. *The given values to the Boltzmann weights $\mathfrak{t}_{\ell}^{(0)}$ (or, equivalently, the formal parameters α_n , $3 \leq n \leq d$) of the model are such that the subspace $E \subset H^0(\Sigma, K_{\Sigma})$ of global holomorphic 1-forms $\tilde{\eta}$, whose restriction to $V \subset \Sigma$ satisfies the homogeneous equation*

$$\mathcal{T}\tilde{\eta} = 0, \quad (8.41)$$

is trivial.

Therefore, a 1-form $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(\Sigma)$, satisfying (8.14)-(8.16), is uniquely given by

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \eta_0, \quad (8.42)$$

where the 1-forms ϕ_0 and η_0 are defined by (8.17) and (8.30), respectively.

9 Blobbed topological recursion formula

In this section we show that all the stable coefficients $W_{g,n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the large N expansion of the correlators of our model can be computed recursively using the blobbed topological recursion formula [4]. In the following, without further explicit mention, a couple (g, n) is assumed to be stable, i.e. $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$.

Let $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)$ be a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ with a jump discontinuity on Γ . We denote by $\delta f(s)$ and $\sigma f(s)$ the functions given by

$$\delta f(s) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [f(s + i\epsilon) - f(s - i\epsilon)], \quad s \in \Gamma^o \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad (9.1)$$

and

$$\sigma f(s) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [f(s + i\epsilon) + f(s - i\epsilon)], \quad s \in \Gamma^o \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad (9.2)$$

respectively. By considering the rank n Schwinger-Dyson equation to order N^{3-2g-n} , given by (5.45), as $x \rightarrow s \pm i\epsilon$, $s \in \Gamma^o$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta W_{0,1}(s) (\sigma W_{g,n}(s, x_I) + \mathcal{O}W_{g,n}(s, x_I) + \partial_s V_{g,n}(s; x_I)) \\ & + \delta W_{g,n}(s, x_I) (\sigma W_{0,1}(s) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,1}(s) + \partial_s T_{0,1}(s)) \\ & + \sum_{i \in I} \delta W_{g,n-1}(s, x_{I \setminus \{i\}}) \left(\sigma W_{0,2}(s, x_i) + \mathcal{O}W_{0,2}(s, x_i) + \frac{1}{(s - x_i)^2} \right) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I, 0 \leq f \leq g \\ (J,f) \neq (\emptyset, 0), (I,g), \\ (I \setminus \{i\}, g)}} \delta W_{f, |J|+1}(s, x_J) (\sigma W_{g-f, n-|J|}(s, x_{I \setminus J}) + \mathcal{O}W_{g-f, n-|J|}(s, x_{I \setminus J}) + \partial_s V_{g-f, n-|J|}(s; x_{I \setminus J})) \\ & + \delta_{s,2} (\sigma_{s,1} W_{g-1, n+1}(s, s, x_I) + \mathcal{O}W_{g-1, n+1}(s, s, x_I) + \partial_s V_{g-1, n+1}(s; s, x_I)) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

where $\delta_{s,2}$ (resp. $\sigma_{s,1}$) means that the operator δ (resp. σ) is acting on the second (resp. first) argument. In (9.3), the functions $V_{g,n}(x; x_I)$, called the *potentials for higher topologies*²² [4], are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{g,n}(x; x_I) &= \delta_{g,2} \delta_{n,1} T_{2,1}(x) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq 2g \\ 0 < h}} \sum_{\substack{K \vdash [2,k] \\ 0 \leq f_1, \dots, f_{[K]} \\ h + (k-1) - [K] + \sum_i f_i = g \\ J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_{[K]} = I}} \oint_{C_\Gamma} \left[\prod_{r=2}^k \frac{d\xi_r}{2\pi i} \right] \frac{T_{h,k}(x, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)}{(k-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{[K]} W_{f_i, |K_i| + |J_i|}(\xi_{K_i}, x_{J_i}). \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I)$ be the differential of degree $n-1$ over Σ^n given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I) = V_{g,n}(x(z); x(z_I)) \prod_{i \in I} dx(z_i). \quad (9.5)$$

²²We consider a slightly modified version of Equation (4.13) in [4] to get (9.4).

Consider the differential

$$d_z \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I) = [\partial_{x(z)} V_{g,n}(x(z); x(z_I))] dx(z) \prod_{i \in I} dx(z_i) \quad (9.6)$$

of degree n over Σ^n . From now on, we consider a *fixed* $z_I \in \Sigma^{n-1}$. The restriction of the exact 1-form

$$d_z \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I) = [\partial_{x(z)} V_{g,n}(x(z); x(z_I))] dx(z) \quad (9.7)$$

to $V \subset \Sigma$ is in $\Omega_{\text{inv}}(V)$. Using (9.3), by induction on $2g + n - 2$, it can be shown [4] that each 1-form $\omega_{g,n}(z, z_I)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{T} \omega_{g,n}(z, z_I) = -d_z \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I) \quad (9.8)$$

on $V \subset \Sigma$.

By recasting the Schwinger-Dyson equations, one can show [4] that

$$\omega_{g-1, n+1}(z, \iota(z), z_I) + \sum_{J \subseteq I, 0 \leq f \leq g} \omega_{f, |J|+1}(z, z_J) \omega_{g-f, n-|J|}(\iota(z), z_{I \setminus J}) = \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}(z; z_I), \quad (9.9)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}(z; z_I)$ is a *local* holomorphic quadratic differential, i.e. a local section of $K_{\Sigma}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Sigma$ over $V \subset \Sigma$, with double zeros at the ramification points \mathfrak{R} . One can rewrite (9.9) in the following form

$$\mathcal{P}_- \omega_{g,n}(z, z_I) = \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_- \omega_{0,1}(z)} [\mathcal{E}_{g,n}(z, \iota(z); z_I) + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{g,n}(z; z_I)], \quad (9.10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{g,n}(z, \iota(z); z_I) = \omega_{g-1, n+1}(z, \iota(z), z_I) + \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I, 0 \leq f \leq g \\ (J, f) \neq (\emptyset, 0), (I, g)}} \omega_{f, |J|+1}(z, z_J) \omega_{g-f, n-|J|}(\iota(z), z_{I \setminus J}), \quad (9.11)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{g,n}(z; z_I) = \mathcal{P}_+ \omega_{g,n}(z, z_I) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_+ \omega_{0,1}(z) - \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}(z; z_I). \quad (9.12)$$

Considering (6.17), the zeroes of the 1-form $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_- \omega_{0,1}(z)$ in $V \subset \Sigma$ occur only at the ramification points \mathfrak{R} , and their order is exactly two. Since the quadratic differential $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{g,n}(z; z_I)$ has double zeroes at \mathfrak{R} , the 1-form

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_- \omega_{0,1}(z)} \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{g,n}(z; z_I) \quad (9.13)$$

is holomorphic on V . Therefore, the singularities of $\omega_{g,n}(z, z_I)$ in V is the same as the 1-form

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_- \omega_{0,1}(z)} \mathcal{E}_{g,n}(z, \iota(z); z_I). \quad (9.14)$$

Using (9.10), by induction on $2g + n - 2$, it can be shown that the poles of the 1-form $\omega_{g,n}(z, z_I)$ occur only at the ramification points \mathfrak{R} .

Considering (9.10) and (8.19), we can use the local Cauchy kernel $G(z, \zeta)$ to construct a meromorphic 1-form

$$\tilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z, z_I) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K} - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \left[\frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_- \omega_{0,1}(z)} \mathcal{E}_{g,n}(z, \iota(z); z_I) \right] \quad (9.15)$$

such that

$$\Phi_{g,n}(z; z_I) = \omega_{g,n}(z, z_I) - \tilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z, z_I) \quad (9.16)$$

is a holomorphic 1-form on Σ , satisfying

$$\mathcal{T}\Phi_{g,n}(z; z_I) = -d_z \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(z; z_I), \quad \text{on } V \subset \Sigma. \quad (9.17)$$

The 1-form $\tilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z, z_I)$ can be expressed in terms of the *recursion kernel* (see [20], [6])

$$K(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\int_{\iota(\zeta)}^{\zeta} \omega_{0,2}(z, \tau)}{\omega_{0,1}(\zeta) - \omega_{0,1}(\iota(\zeta))} \in \Gamma(\Sigma \times V, \Omega \boxtimes \Omega^{-1}) \quad (9.18)$$

in the following form

$$\tilde{\omega}_{g,n}(z, z_I) = \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{R}} \text{Res}_{\zeta=p} K(z, \zeta) \mathcal{E}_{g,n}(\zeta, \iota(\zeta); z_I). \quad (9.19)$$

Considering Lemma 8.3 and Hypothesis 8.1, the 1-form $\Phi_{g,n}(z; z_I)$ is uniquely given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{g,n}(z; z_I) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} G(z, \zeta) d_\zeta \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(\zeta; z_I) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(\zeta; z_I). \end{aligned} \quad (9.20)$$

Note that, for each stable (g, n) , the right-hand side of (9.19) and (9.20) involves only $\omega_{g',n'}$ with $2g' + n' - 2 < 2g + n - 2$. Therefore, we have:

Theorem 9.1. *For random matrix geometries of type $(1, 0)$ with the distribution $d\rho = e^{-\mathcal{S}(D)} dD$, all the stable $\omega_{g,n}$, $2g + n - 2 > 0$, can be computed recursively, using the blobbed topological recursion formula given by*

$$\omega_{g,n}(z, z_I) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta) \mathcal{V}_{g,n}(\zeta; z_I) + \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{R}} \text{Res}_{\zeta=p} K(z, \zeta) \mathcal{E}_{g,n}(\zeta, \iota(\zeta); z_I). \quad (9.21)$$

The initial data for the recursion relation (9.21) is the 1-form $\omega_{0,1}(z)$ and the fundamental bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}(z, \zeta)$.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Gaëtan Borot for illuminating discussions and sharing his insights at an early stage of this work.

References

- [1] G. W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, and O. Zeitouni. *An introduction to random matrices*, volume 118 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [2] J. W. Barrett. Matrix geometries and fuzzy spaces as finite spectral triples. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56(8):082301, 25, 2015.
- [3] J. W. Barrett and L. Glaser. Monte Carlo simulations of random non-commutative geometries. *J. Phys. A*, 49(24):245001, 27, 2016.
- [4] G. Borot. Formal multidimensional integrals, stuffed maps, and topological recursion. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D*, 1(2):225–264, 2014.
- [5] G. Borot, J. Bouttier, and E. Guitter. More on the $O(n)$ model on random maps via nested loops: loops with bending energy. *J. Phys. A*, 45(27):275206, 32, 2012.
- [6] G. Borot, B. Eynard, and N. Orantin. Abstract loop equations, topological recursion and new applications. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 9(1):51–187, 2015.
- [7] G. Borot and A. Guionnet. Asymptotic expansion of β matrix models in the one-cut regime. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 317(2):447–483, 2013.
- [8] G. Borot, A. Guionnet, and K. K. Kozłowski. Large- N asymptotic expansion for mean field models with Coulomb gas interaction. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):10451–10524, 2015.
- [9] G. Borot and S. Shadrin. Blobbed topological recursion: properties and applications. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 162(1):39–87, 2017.
- [10] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber. Planar diagrams. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 59(1):35–51, 1978.
- [11] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes. The spectral action principle. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 186(3):731–750, 1997.
- [12] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli. Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 11(6):991–1089, 2007.

- [13] A. Connes. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(2):207–220, 1989.
- [14] A. Connes. Geometry from the spectral point of view. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 34(3):203–238, 1995.
- [15] A. Connes. Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 182(1):155–176, 1996.
- [16] A. Connes. Noncommutative geometry and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (11):081, 19, 2006.
- [17] A. Connes. On the spectral characterization of manifolds. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(1):1–82, 2013.
- [18] A. Connes and M. Marcolli. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*, volume 55 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008.
- [19] B. Eynard. *Counting surfaces*, volume 70 of *Progress in Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016. CRM Aisenstadt chair lectures.
- [20] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 1(2):347–452, 2007.
- [21] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Topological recursion in enumerative geometry and random matrices. *J. Phys. A*, 42(29):293001, 117, 2009.
- [22] J. D. Fay. *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 352. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [23] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [24] M. Marcolli. *Noncommutative cosmology*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [25] A. A. Migdal. Loop Equations and $1/N$ Expansion. *Phys. Rept.*, 102:199–290, 1983.
- [26] G. 't Hooft. A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B72:461, 1974. [,337(1973)].
- [27] L. A. Takhtajan. Free bosons and tau-functions for compact Riemann surfaces and closed smooth Jordan curves. Current correlation functions. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 56(3):181–228, 2001. EuroConférence Moshé Flato 2000, Part III (Dijon).

- [28] A. N. Tyurin. On periods of quadratic differentials. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 33(6):169–221, 1978.