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Abstract

It is a longstanding conjecture that for a finite group $G$, the exponent of the second homology group $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})$ divides the exponent of $G$. In this paper, we prove this conjecture for $p$-groups of class at most $p$, finite nilpotent groups of odd exponent and of nilpotency class 5, $p$-central metabelian $p$-groups, and groups considered by L.E. Wilson in [34]. Moreover, we improve several bounds given by various authors. We achieve most of our results using an induction argument.
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1. Introduction

The Schur multiplier of a group $G$, denoted by $M(G)$ is the second homology group of $G$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e $M(G) = H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})$. A longstanding conjecture attributed to I. Schur says that for a finite group $G$,

$$\exp(M(G)) | \exp(G).$$

(1)

To prove (1), it is enough to restrict ourselves to $p$-groups using a standard argument given in Theorem 4, Chapter IX of [31]. A. Lubotzky and A. Mann
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showed that (1) holds for powerful $p$-groups ([16]), M. R. Jones in [14] proved that (1) holds for groups of class 2, P. Moravec showed that the conjecture holds for groups of nilpotency class at most 3, groups of nilpotency class 4 and of odd order, potent $p$-groups, metabelian $p$-groups of exponent $p$, $p$-groups of class at most $p−2$ ([22], [23], [24], and [25]) and some other classes of groups. The general validity of (1) was disproved by A. J. Bayes, J. Kautsky and J. W. Wamsley in [3]. Their counterexample involved a 2-group of order $2^{68}$ with $\exp(G) = 4$ and $\exp(M(G)) = 8$. Nevertheless for finite groups of odd exponent, this conjecture remains open till date. This problem has remained open even for finite $p$-groups of class 5 having odd exponent. The purpose of this paper is to prove (1) for finite $p$-groups of class at most $p$ and finite $p$-groups of class 5 with odd exponent. Moreover we also prove the above mentioned results of [16], [22], [23], [24] and [25] for odd primes and hence proving all these results using a common technique and bringing them under one umbrella. We briefly describe the organization of the paper by listing the main results according to their sections.

In [30], I. Schur proves that if $Z(G)$ has finite index in $G$, then the commutator subgroup $\gamma_2(G)$ is finite. In the next Theorem, we generalize this classical theorem of Schur for a $p$-group $G$ of class at most $p + 1$. We use this generalization to prove the conjecture for $p$-groups of class at most $p$.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class $p + 1$. If $G$ is $p^n$-central, then $\exp(\gamma_2(G)) \mid p^n$.

In [24], the author shows that (1) holds for $p$-groups of class less than or equal to $p − 2$. Authors of [21] prove the same for groups of class less than or equal to $p − 1$. In the next Theorem, we generalize both the above results by proving

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a finite $p$-group. If the nilpotency class of $G$ is at most $p$, then $\exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G)$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G)$.

In [26], the author proves that if $G$ is a group of nilpotency class 5, then $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^2$. In the next Theorem, we improve this bound and in fact prove the conjecture for groups with odd exponent.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group of nilpotency class 5. If $p$ is odd, then $\exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G)$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G)$. 
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In Section 5, we prove two main theorems which we list below. The second condition in the next Theorem generalizes the definition of powerful 2-groups for odd primes and were considered by L. E. Wilson in [34], and the first condition includes the class of groups considered by D. Arganbright in [2], and it also includes the class of potent \(p\)-groups considered by J. Gonzalez-Sanchez and A. Jaikin-Zapirain in [12]. Thus as a corollary of the next Theorem, we obtain the well-known result that (1) holds for powerful \(p\)-groups ([16]) and potent \(p\)-groups ([25]).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime and \(G\) be a finite \(p\)-group satisfying either of the conditions below,

1. \(\gamma_m(G) \subset G^p\) for some \(m\) with \(2 \leq m \leq p - 1\).
2. \(\gamma_p(G) \subset G^{p^2}\).

Then \(exp(G \wedge G) \mid exp(G)\). In particular, \(exp(M(G)) \mid exp(G)\).

In [29], the author has shown that proving the conjecture for regular \(p\)-groups is equivalent to proving it for groups with exponent \(p\). As a corollary to the next Theorem, we obtain the same.

**Theorem 5.6.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. \(exp(G \wedge G) \mid exp(G)\) for all regular \(p\)-groups \(G\).
2. \(exp(G \wedge G) \mid exp(G)\) for all groups \(G\) of exponent \(p\).

In section 6, we give bounds on the exponent of \(M(G)\) that depend on nilpotency class. G. Ellis in [10] showed that if \(G\) is a group with nilpotency class \(c\), then \(exp(M(G)) \mid (exp(G))^\lceil \frac{c+1}{2} \rceil\). P. Moravec in [22] improved this bound by showing that \(exp(M(G)) \mid (exp(G))^{2(\lceil \log_2 c \rceil)}\). In the next Theorem, we improve the bounds given in [10] and [22].

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \(G\) be a finite group with nilpotency class \(c > 1\) and set \(n = \lceil \log_3 (\frac{c+1}{2}) \rceil\). If \(exp(G)\) is odd, then \(exp(G \wedge G) \mid (exp(G))^n\). In particular, \(exp(M(G)) \mid (exp(G))^n\).

In Theorem 1.1 of [29], N. Sambonet improved all the bounds obtained by various authors by proving that \(exp(M(G)) \mid (exp(G))^m\), where \(m = \lceil \log_p c \rceil + 1\). We improve the bound given in [29] by proving,
Theorem 6.5. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a finite $p$-group of nilpotency class $c \geq p$. Then $\exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G)^n$, where $n = 1 + \lceil \log_{p-1} \left( \frac{c+1}{p+1} \right) \rceil$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^n$.

For a solvable $p$-group of derived length $d$, the author of [28] proves that if $p$ is odd, then $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^d$, and if $p = 2$, then $\exp(M(G)) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d$. Using our techniques, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem A of [28], which is one of their main results.

Theorem 7.3. Let $G$ be a solvable group of derived length $d$.

(i) If $\exp(G)$ is odd, then $\exp(G \otimes G) \mid (\exp(G))^d$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^d$.

(ii) If $\exp(G)$ is even, then $\exp(G \otimes G) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d$.

2. Preparatory Results

R. Brown and J.-L. Loday introduced the nonabelian tensor product $G \otimes H$ for a pair of groups $G$ and $H$ in [5] and [6] in the context of an application in homotopy theory, extending the ideas of J.H.C. Whitehead in [33]. A special case, the nonabelian tensor square, already appeared in the work of R.K. Dennis in [7]. The nonabelian tensor product of groups is defined for a pair of groups that act on each other provided the actions satisfy the compatibility conditions of Definition 2.1 below. Note that we write conjugation on the left, so $^g g' = gg'g^{-1}$ for $g, g' \in G$ and $^g g' g^{-1} = [g, g']$ for the commutator of $g$ and $g'$. Moreover, our commutators are right normed, i.e $[a, b, c] = [a, [b, c]]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ and $H$ be groups that act on themselves by conjugation and each of which acts on the other. The mutual actions are said to be compatible if

\[ ^h g h' = h g h^{-1} \text{ and } ^g h' = g h^{-1} g' \text{ for all } g, g' \in G \text{ and } h, h' \in H. \quad (2.1.1) \]

Definition 2.2. Let $G$ be a group that acts on itself by conjugation, then the nonabelian tensor square $G \otimes G$ is the group generated by the symbols $g \otimes h$ for $g, h \in G$ with relations

\[ gg' \otimes h = (^g g' \otimes ^g h)(g \otimes h), \quad (2.2.1) \]
\[
g \otimes hh' = (g \otimes h)(h \otimes h'), \tag{2.2.2}
\]
for all \(g, g', h, h' \in G\).

There exists a homomorphism \(\kappa : G \otimes G \to G'\) sending \(g \otimes h\) to \([g, h]\). Let \(\nabla(G)\) denote the subgroup of \(G \otimes G\) generated by the elements \(x \otimes x\) for \(x \in G\). The exterior square of \(G\) is defined as \(\nabla(G) = (G \otimes G)/\nabla(G)\). We get an induced homomorphism \(G \otimes G \to G'\), which we also denote as \(\kappa\). We set \(M(G)\) as the kernel of this induced homomorphism, it has been shown in [20] that \(M(G) \cong H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})\), the second homology group of \(G\).

We can find the following results in [4] and Proposition 3 of [32].

**Proposition 2.3.** (i) There are homomorphisms of groups \(\lambda : G \otimes H \to G\), \(\lambda' : G \otimes H \to H\) such that
\[
\lambda(g \otimes h) = ghg^{-1}, \quad \lambda'(g \otimes h) = ghh^{-1}.
\]
(ii) The crossed module rules hold for \(\lambda\) and \(\lambda'\), that is,
\[
\lambda(t) \otimes h = t^h, \quad g \otimes \lambda'(t) = \lambda(t)^g, \quad t t_1 t^{-1} = \lambda(t) t_1,
\]
for all \(t, t_1 \in G \otimes H, g \in G\) (and similarly for \(\lambda'\)).
(iii) \(\lambda(t) \otimes h = t^h t^{-1}\), \(g \otimes \lambda'(t) = g^t t^{-1}\), and thus \(\lambda(t) \otimes \lambda'(t_1) = [t, t_1]\) for all \(t, t_1 \in G \otimes H, g \in G, h \in H\). Hence \(G\) acts trivially on \(\text{ker } \lambda'\) and \(H\) acts trivially on \(\text{ker } \lambda\).

In particular, the following relations hold for \(g, g_1 \in G\) and \(h, h_1 \in H\):

(iv) \[
g(g^{-1} \otimes h) = (g \otimes h)^{-1} = h(g \otimes h^{-1}). \tag{2.3.1}
\]
(v) \[
(g \otimes h)(g_1 \otimes h_1)(g \otimes h)^{-1} = ([g, h] g_1 \otimes [g, h] h_1). \tag{2.3.2}
\]
(vi) \[
[g, h] \otimes h_1 = (g \otimes h)^{h_1}\otimes (g \otimes h)^{-1}. \tag{2.3.3}
\]
(vii) \[
g_1 \otimes [g, h] = g_1 (g \otimes h) (g \otimes h)^{-1}. \tag{2.3.4}
\]
(viii) \[
[g \otimes h, g_1 \otimes h_1] = [g, h] \otimes [g_1, h_1]. \tag{2.3.5}
\]
Lemma 2.5. Let \( N \subseteq G \) and \( [n,n,g] = 1 \) for all \( g \in G, n \in N \). In \([10]\), G. Ellis proves that for all integers \( t \geq 2 \), \( n^t \otimes g = (n \otimes g)^t(n \otimes [n,g]^{(i)}) \). In the next lemma, we will generalize this identity.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( N, M \subseteq G \). If \( n \otimes [n,n,m] = 1 \) and \( n \otimes m, n \otimes [n,m] \) commute for all \( n \in N, m \in M \), then \( n^t \otimes m = (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i)}(n \otimes m)^t = (n^{(i)} \otimes [n,m])(n \otimes m)^t \), for every \( t \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** We first prove that for every \( t \), \( n^t \otimes m = (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i)}(n \otimes m)^t \). Note that \( n^2 \otimes m = n(n \otimes m)(n \otimes m) \) and using (2.3.4), we obtain \( n(n \otimes m) = (n \otimes [n,m])(n \otimes m) \). Thus \( n^2 \otimes m = (n \otimes [n,m])(n \otimes m)^2 \), and now we proceed by induction. Let \( t > 2 \) and assume the statement for \( t - 1 \). We have \( n^t \otimes m = n(n^{t-1} \otimes m)(n \otimes m) = n(n \otimes [n,m])^{(i-1)} n(n \otimes m)^{t-1}(n \otimes m) \).

Since \( n \otimes [n,n,m] = 1 \), applying (2.3.4) yields \( n(n \otimes [n,m]) = n \otimes [n,m] \). Thus we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    n^t \otimes m &= (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i-1)}((n \otimes [n,m])(n \otimes m))^{t-1}(n \otimes m) \\
&= (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i-1)}(n \otimes [n,m])^{t-1}(n \otimes m)^{t-1}(n \otimes m) \\
&= (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i)}(n \otimes m)^t,
\end{align*}
\]

which completes the inductive step. Since \( n(n \otimes [n,m]) = n \otimes [n,m] \), it follows that \( n^{(i)} \otimes [n,m] = (n \otimes [n,m])^{(i)} \), which in turn yields \( n^t \otimes m = (n^{(i)} \otimes [n,m])(n \otimes m)^t \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( N, M \) be normal subgroups of a group \( G \). If \( N \) is abelian, then the following holds:

(i) For all \( n_i \in N, m_i \in M, i = 1,2 \) and for all \( t \geq 2 \),

\[
((n_1 \otimes m_1)(n_2 \otimes m_2))^t = ([n_2, m_2] \otimes [n_1, m_1])^{(i)}(n_1 \otimes m_1)^t(n_2 \otimes m_2)^t \]

\[
= ([n_2, m_2]^{(i)} \otimes [n_1, m_1])(n_1 \otimes m_1)^t(n_2 \otimes m_2)^t.
\]

(ii) If \( \exp(N) \) is odd, then \( \exp(N \otimes M) \mid \exp(N) \).

(iii) If \( \exp(N) \) is even, then \( \exp(N \otimes M) \mid 2 \exp(N) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \exp(N) = e \).
(i) Since $N$ is abelian, it follows from [8] that the nilpotency class of $N \otimes M$ is at most 2. Hence,

$$((n_1 \otimes m_1)(n_2 \otimes m_2))^t = [(n_2 \otimes m_2), (n_1 \otimes m_1)]^t(n_1 \otimes m_1)^t(n_2 \otimes m_2)^t.$$

As $N$ is abelian $[n_2, m_2][n_2, m_2] = [n_2, m_2][n_1, m_1]$, yielding $[n_2, m_2]^t = [n_2, m_2]^t(n_2 \otimes m_2)^t = (n_2, m_2)(n_1 \otimes m_1)(n_1 \otimes m_1)^t(n_2 \otimes m_2)^t$.

(ii) Because $N$ is abelian, we have $[n, n, m] = 1$. Hence $n \otimes [n, n, m] = 1$ and $[(n \otimes m), (n \otimes [n, m])] = [n, m] \otimes [n, n, m] = 1$. Thus by Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $n^e_1 \otimes m_1 = (n_1^{(2^e)} \otimes [n_1, m_1])(n_1 \otimes m_1)^e$. Since $e$ is odd, it follows that $e \mid (\frac{2^e}{2})$, whence $(n_1 \otimes m_1)^e = 1$. By (i), we have that $((n_1 \otimes m_1)(n_2 \otimes m_2))^e = ([n_2, m_2]^{(2^e)} \otimes [n_1, m_1])((n_1 \otimes m_1)^e(n_2 \otimes m_2)^e = 1$, which proves $exp(N \otimes M) \mid e$.

(iii) By Lemma 2.4, we obtain $n_1^{2^e} \otimes m_1 = (n_1^{(2^e)} \otimes [n_1, m_1])(n_1 \otimes m_1)^{2^e}$. Since $e \mid (\frac{2^e}{2})$, it follows that $(n_1 \otimes m_1)^{2^e} = 1$. By (i) we obtain $((n_1 \otimes m_1)(n_2 \otimes m_2))^{2^e} = ([n_2, m_2]^{(2^e)} \otimes [n_1, m_1])((n_1 \otimes m_1)^{2^e}(n_2 \otimes m_2)^{2^e}$, which proves $exp(N \otimes M) \mid 2 \exp(N)$.

\[\square\]

In [27] and [11], the authors give an isomorphism between the nonabelian tensor square of $G$ and the subgroup $[G, G^\phi]$ of $\eta(G)$. We use this isomorphism in the proof of the next lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 2.6.} Let $G$ be a nilpotent group of class $c$ and set $m = \lceil \frac{c+1}{3} \rceil$. If $\gamma_m(G)$ has odd exponent, then $exp(im(\gamma_m(G) \otimes G))) \mid exp(\gamma_m(G))$.

\textbf{Proof.} Let $exp(\gamma_m(G)) = e$. Consider the isomorphism $\psi : G \otimes G \to [G, G^\phi]$ defined by $\psi(g \otimes h) = [g, h^\phi]$, where $G^\phi$ is an isomorphic copy of $G$. Recall that $[G, G^\phi]$ is a subgroup of $\eta(G)$. By Theorem A of [27], $\eta(G)$ is a group of nilpotency class at most $c+1$. Note that for $n \in \gamma_m(G), g \in G$, $\psi(n \otimes [n, n, g]) \in \gamma_{3m+1}(\eta(G))$. Since $3m+1 \geq c + 2$, $\gamma_{3m+1}(\eta(G)) \cap \gamma_{c+2}(\eta(G)) = 1$. Thus $n \otimes [n, n, g] = 1$. Similarly $\psi([n, g] \otimes [n, n, g]) \subset \gamma_{3m+2}(\eta(G))$, giving $[(n \otimes g), (n \otimes [n, g])] = [n, g] \otimes [n, n, g] = 1$. Thus by Lemma 2.4.
Thus \( e \mid \binom{n}{e} \), whence \((n \otimes g)^e = 1\). Moreover for \( n_1, n_2 \in \gamma_m(G)\) and \( g_1, g_2 \in G\), we have \( \psi(\gamma_3(\langle n_1 \otimes g_1, n_2 \otimes g_2 \rangle)) \subset \gamma_{3m+3}(\eta(G)) = 1\). But \( 3m + 3 \geq c + 2\), so \( \gamma_{3m+3}(\eta(G)) = 1\). Hence \( \langle n_1 \otimes g_1, n_2 \otimes g_2 \rangle \) is a group of class at most 2. Thus \( ((n_1 \otimes g_1)(n_2 \otimes g_2))^e = ([n_2, g_2] \otimes [n_1, g_1])^e(n_1 \otimes g_1)^e(n_2 \otimes g_2)^e = 1\), proving the result. 

In the next lemma, we collect some commutator identities.

**Lemma 2.7.**

(i) Let \( G \) be a group and \( g_i, h_i \in G \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then

\[
[gg_i, h] = \eta[g_i, h][g, h] = [g, g_i, h][g_i, h][g, h], \quad (2.7.1)
\]

\[
[g, hh_i] = [g, h]^i[h, h_i] = [g, h][h, g, h_i][g, h_i]. \quad (2.7.2)
\]

(ii) Let \( G \) be a group of nilpotency class \( c \), \( x \in \gamma_i(G) \), \( y \in \gamma_j(G) \) and \( z \in \gamma_k(G) \). If \( i + j + k \geq c + 1 \), then

\[
x[y, z] = [y, z]. \quad (2.7.3)
\]

(iii) Let \( G \) be a group of nilpotency class \( c \), \( x \in \gamma_i(G) \), \( y \in \gamma_j(G) \), \( z \in \gamma_k(G) \) and \( u \in \gamma_l(G) \). If \( i + j + k + l \geq c + 1 \), then

\[
[x, y][z, u] = [z, u][x, y] \quad (2.7.4)
\]

**Proof.** Identities in (i) can be found in any standard book on group theory and (ii), (iii) follows from the identities \( ab = [a, b]ba \), \( ab = [a, b]ba \) respectively. 

The following lemma might be standard, we include it here for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( G \) be a group of nilpotency class \( c > 1 \), \( r \) be a positive integer and \([\ldots]\) be a commutator of weight \( r + 1 \). If \( a \in \gamma_{n_1}(G) \), \( b \in \gamma_{n_2}(G) \) and \( g_i \in \gamma_{m_i}(G) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), satisfies \( n_1 + n_2 + m_1 + \cdots + m_r \geq c + 1 \), then the following holds:

(i) \([ab, g_r, \ldots, g_1] = [a, g_r, \ldots, g_1][b, g_r, \ldots, g_1]\).

(ii) \([g_r, \ldots, g_1, ab] = [g_r, \ldots, g_1, a][g_r, \ldots, g_1, b]\).
(iii) \([g_r, \ldots g_{i+1}, ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]\) = \([g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, a, g_i, \ldots, g_1][g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, b, g_i, \ldots, g_1]\)
few 1 \leq i < r.

Proof. We prove (i), (ii) and (iii) by induction on \(r\).

(i) Let \(r = 1\), then (2.7.1) yields \([ab, g_1] = [a, b, g_1][b, g_1][a, g_1] = [a, g_1][b, g_1]\). Let \(r > 1\), and \([ab, g_r, \ldots, g_1]\) be of the form \([[ab, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1]\). Then applying (2.7.1) to \([ab, g_r]\) in \([ab, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1]\), and using induction hypothesis we obtain

\[
[[ab, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1] = [[a, b, g_r][b, g_r][a, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1] = [[a, b, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1] [[b, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1] [[a, g_r], g_{r-1}, \ldots, g_1].
\]

The first term vanishes and the remaining terms commute by (2.7.4), yielding the required result. In case \(g_r\) is not next to a right bracket, then observe that there exist a \(j \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}\) such that \([ab, g_r, \ldots, g_j] \in [[ab, g_r, \ldots, g_j], \ldots, g_1]\) can be written as \([ab, h_t, \ldots, h_1], h_k \in G, t < r - (j - 1)\), giving the result we seek by induction hypothesis.

(ii) Now using (2.7.2), the proof follows mutatis mutandis the proof of (i).

(iii) Note that \([g_2, ab, g_1]\) can be bracketed only in 2 ways, either \([g_2, [ab, g_1]]\) or \([[[g_2, ab], g_1]]\). Expanding \([ab, g_1]\) in \([g_2, [ab, g_1]]\) by (2.7.1), then using (i) we obtain

\[
[g_2, [ab, g_1]] = [g_2, [a, b, g_1][b, g_1][a, g_1]] = [g_2, [a, b, g_1]][g_2, [b, g_1]][g_2, [a, g_1]].
\]

The first term vanishes and \([g_2, [b, g_1]], [g_2, [a, g_1]]\) commute by (2.7.3) yielding \([g_2, [ab, g_1]] = [g_2, [a, g_1]][g_2, [b, g_1]]\). Similarly we get

\[
[[g_2, ab], g_1] = [[g_2, a][a, g_2, b][g_2, b], g_1] \text{ (by (2.7.2))} = [[g_2, a], g_1][[a, g_2, b], g_1][[g_2, b], g_1] \text{ (by (ii))}.
\]

The second term vanishes yielding \([[g_2, ab], g_1] = [[g_2, a], g_1][[g_2, b], g_1]\). Let \(r > 2\), we proceed by considering the following cases:

(a) Let a left bracket be next to \(ab\), and \([g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]\) be of the form \([g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, [ab, g_i], \ldots, g_1]\). Then expanding \([ab, g_i]\) in \([g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, [ab, g_i], \ldots, g_1]\) by (2.7.1), we obtain \([g_r, \ldots, g_{i+1}, [ab, g_i], \ldots, g_1] = \]
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Let $G$ be a nilpotent group of class $c$ and \(\text{Lemma 2.10.}\) 

Let $G$ be a group of nilpotency class $c$ \(\text{Corollary 2.9.}\) 

\[ g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1} \cdot [a, b, g_i][b, g_i][a, g_i] \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1. \]  
If $i > 1$, then induction hypothesis yields 

\[ g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1} \cdot [a, b, g_i][b, g_i][a, g_i] \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1 = [g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1} \cdot [a, b, g_i][b, g_i][a, g_i] \cdot \ldots \cdot g_1]. \]

Moreover if $i = 1$, we obtain the same expression using \(\text{(ii)}\). Now the first term vanishes, and the other terms commute by \(\text{(2.7.1)}\) giving the result. Suppose $[g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1}, ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]$ is not of the form $g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1} \cdot [ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]$, then there exist $j \in \{1, \ldots, i - 1\}$ such that $[ab, g_i, \ldots, g_j]$ in $[g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1}, [ab, g_i, \ldots, g_j], \ldots, g_1]$ can be written as $[ab, h_t, \ldots, h_1]$, $h_k \in G$, $t < i - (j - 1)$, and the result follows by induction hypothesis.

\(b)\) Let a right bracket be next to $ab$, and $[g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1}, ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]$ be of the form $[g_{r+1} \cdot g_{i+1}, ab, g_i, \ldots, g_1]$. Then expanding $[g_{r+1}, ab]$ in $[g_r, [g_{r+1}, ab], g_i, \ldots, g_1]$ by \(\text{(2.7.2)}\), we have $[g_r, [g_{r+1}, ab], g_i, \ldots, g_1] = [g_r, [g_{r+1}, a] [ab, g_i], g_i, \ldots, g_1]$. If $i = r - 1$, then using \(\text{(i)}\) and if $i < r - 1$, then by induction hypothesis, we obtain 

\[ [g_r, [g_{r+1}, a] [ab, g_i], g_i, \ldots, g_1] = [g_r, [g_{r+1}, a], g_i, \ldots, g_1] \]

\[ [g_r, [g_{r+1}, b], g_i, \ldots, g_1] [g_r, [g_{r+1}, b], g_i, \ldots, g_1]. \]

The second term vanishes giving us the required result. Otherwise there exist $j \in \{i + 2, \ldots, r\}$ such that $[g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_{r+1}, ab]$ in $[g_r, [g_{r+1}, \ldots, g_{j+1}, ab], g_i, \ldots, g_1]$ can be written as $[h_t, \ldots, h_1, ab]$, $h_k \in G$, $t < j - i$, which yields the result by induction hypothesis.

\(\square\)

\textbf{Corollary 2.9.} Let $G$ be a group of nilpotency class $c > 1$, and $[\ldots]$ be a commutator of weight $c$. Then the map $[\ldots]: G \times G \times \cdots \times G \rightarrow \gamma_c(G)$ given by $(g_k, \ldots, g_1) \mapsto [g_k, \ldots, g_1]$ is multiplicative in each coordinate.

\textbf{Lemma 2.10.} Let $G$ be a nilpotent group of class $c$ and $a \in \gamma_i(G)$, $b \in \gamma_j(G)$.

\(i)\) If $2i + 3j \geq c + 1$, then $[b^n, a] = \prod_{t=n}^{2t} [b, a]^{(t)} [b, a]^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $i + rj \geq c + 1$ and $2i + 3j \geq c + 1$, then $[b^n, a] = \prod_{t=r-1}^{2t} [b, a]^{(t)} [b, a]^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. 
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(ii) If $3i + 2j \geq c + 1$, then $[b, a^n] = \prod_{i=n-1}^{1} [a, b, a]^{(i+1)}[b, a]^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $ri + j \geq c + 1$ and $3i + 2j \geq c + 1$, then $[b, a^n] = \prod_{i=n-2}^{1} [a, b, a]^{(i+1)}[b, a]^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) If $i + 3j \geq c + 1$ and the order of $b$ is $n$ modulo the center, then the order of $[b, a]$ is at most $n$ if $n$ is odd, and at most $2n$ if $n$ is even.

(iv) If $3i + j \geq c + 1$ and the order of $a$ is $n$ modulo the center, then the order of $[b, a]$ is at most $n$ if $n$ is odd, and at most $2n$ if $n$ is even.

Proof. The first two parts can be proved using the commutator identities in Lemma 2.7 and by induction on $n$. To prove (iii) we set $m = n$ in case $n$ is odd and $m = 2n$ in case $n$ is even. Using (i), we have $1 = [b^m, a] = [b, b, a]^{\binom{m}{2}}[b, a]^m$. Applying Lemma 2.8 yields $[b^{\binom{m}{2}}, b, a] = [b, b, a]^{\binom{m}{2}}$. Since $n \mid \binom{m}{2}$, we obtain $[b^{\binom{m}{2}}, b, a] = 1$, proving $[b, a]^m = 1$. Similarly (iv) can be proven using (ii).

In the next Theorem, we recall the collection formula given in Theorem 12.3.1 of [13].

**Theorem 2.11.** We may collect the product $(x_1 x_2 \ldots x_j)^n$ in the form $(x_1 x_2 \ldots x_j)^n = x_1^n x_2^n \ldots x_j^n C_{j+1}^{e_{j+1}} \ldots C_k R_1 R_2 \ldots R_a$, where $C_{j+1}, \ldots C_k$ are the basic commutators on $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j$ in order, and $R_1, \ldots, R_a$ are basic commutators later than $C_i$ in the ordering. For $j + 1 \leq i \leq k$, the exponent $e_i$ is of the form $e_i = a_1 n + a_2 n^{(2)} + \cdots + a_m n^{(m)}$, where $m$ is the weight of $C_i$, the $a$’s are non-negative integers and do not depend on $n$ but only on $C_j$. Here $n^{(l)} = \binom{n}{l} \mod {l}$. 

Let $\mathcal{A}(\{a, b\})$ denote the set of all basic commutators of $a, b$. Set $\mathcal{A}_i(\{a, b\}) = \{C \in \mathcal{A}(\{a, b\}) : w(C) \leq i\}$, in which $w(C)$ denote the weight of $C$ in $a, b$. We restate Theorem 2.11 in the following way.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let $G$ be a group, $a, b \in G$ and $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(ab)^n = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{A}_i(\{a, b\})} C^{f_C(n)} a^n b^n \mod \gamma_i(\langle a, b \rangle)$. For $C \in \mathcal{A}(\{a, b\})$, $f_C(n) = a_1 n + a_2 n^{(2)} + \cdots + a_r n^{(r)}$, where $r_C$ is the largest $r$ with $a_r \neq 0$ and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r$ are non-negative integers depending only on $C$.

The following remark can be found in Lemma 3.2.5 of [19].

**Remark 2.13.** When applying the commutator collection process to $(ab)^n$, if $C_i = [b, a]$, then $e_i = \binom{n}{i+1}$. 
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Remark 2.14. Since we follow left notations, $[b, ta]$ in Remark 2.13 corresponds to the commutator $[b, a]$.

The next lemma can be found in [19].

Lemma 2.15. Let $G$ be a regular $p$-group and $n$ be a positive integer. Then the following holds:

(i) For all $a, b \in G$, the following are equivalent: $[b, a]^p = 1; [b, a^p] = 1; [b^p, a] = 1$.

(ii) For all $a \in G$, any commutator $C$ of weight at least 1 in $a$ has order at most the order of a modulo the center.

(iii) For all $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r \in G$, the order of the product $g_1g_2\ldots g_r$ is at most the maximum of the orders of $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r$.

(iv) For all $a, b \in G$, $a^p = b^p$ if and only if $(ab^{-1})^p = 1$.

3. On a Theorem of Schur and solving the Conjecture for $p$-groups of class at most $p$

For an odd prime $p$, the conjecture was proved for $p$-groups of class at most $p - 2$ by the author of [24] and for $p$-groups of class at most $p - 1$ by authors of [21]. We prove this result for $p$-groups of class at most $p$, by showing that the exponent of the commutator subgroup of a Schur cover of $G$ divides exponent of $G$. We refer the reader to [15] for an account on central extensions and Schur covers. In this section we also prove the generalization of the theorem by I. Schur ([30]) mentioned in the introduction.

A group $G$ is said to be $n$-central if exponent of $G/Z(G)$ divides $n$ and $G$ is said to be $n$-abelian if for all $a, b \in G$, $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$. In [22], the author has proved that regular $p$-groups have zero exponential rank. Thus for a regular $p$-group $G$, $p^n$-central implies $p^n$-abelian, which can also be seen using Lemma 3.1. Moreover Lemma 3.2 for the case $n = 1$ was proved by A. Mann in Lemma 9 of [17]. The next two lemmas follow from Lemma 2.15. We include them for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a regular $p$-group and $a, b \in G$. If $[b, a^p] = 1$, then $(ab)^p = a^p b^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. 
Proof. Since $G$ is regular $(ab)^{p^n} = a^{p^n} b^{p^n} s^{p^n}$, where $s \in \gamma_2(a, b)$. Using Lemma 2.15(i), we get $[b, a]^{p^n} = 1$. Note that $\gamma_2(a, b) = \langle \{q[b, a] : g \in (a, b) \} \rangle$. Hence by Lemma 2.15(iii), we obtain $s^{p^n} = 1$ completing the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class $p$ and $a, b \in G$. Then the following are equivalent: $[b, a]^{p^n} = 1; [b, a^{p^n}] = 1; [b^{p^n}, a] = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By symmetry it is enough to show $[b, a]^{p^n} = 1$ if and only if $[b, a^{p^n}] = 1$. We have $[b, a^{p^n}] = ba^{p^n}b^{-1}(a^{-1})^{p^n} = (b^{0}a^{p^n})(a^{-1})^{p^n}$ and $[b, a^{p^n}] = (b^{a^{-1}}a^{-1})^{p^n}$. Note that the group $\langle b^{a}, a \rangle = \langle [b, a], a \rangle$ has nilpotency class $\leq p - 1$. Hence using Lemma 2.15(iv), we obtain $(b^{0}a^{p^n})(a^{-1})^{p^n} = 1$ if and only if $(b^{a^{-1}}a^{-1})^{p^n} = 1$. Thus $[b, a^{p^n}] = 1$ if and only if $[b, a^{p^n}] = 1$.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class $p$. Then $\exp(\gamma_2(G)) | \exp(G / Z(G))$.

Corollary 3.3 follows from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class at most $p - 1$. Then $\exp(M(G)) | \exp(G)$.

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.3 to a Schur cover of $G$ yields the proof.

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.15(ii) for groups of class $p$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class $p$. Then for all $a \in G$, any commutator $C$ of weight at least 1 in $a$ has order at most the order of $a$ modulo the center.

Proof. Let the order of $a$ modulo the center be $p^n$, $n \geq 1$. If $C = [g_1, a]$ or $C = [a, g_2]$, $g_1, g_2 \in G$, then applying Lemma 3.2 yields $C^{p^n} = 1$. We proceed by induction on the weight of $C$. Let $w(C) > 2$ and $C = [C_2, C_1]$, where both $C_1, C_2$ are having lesser weight than $C$. If either $C_1$ or $C_2$ is $a$, then using Lemma 3.2 we obtain $C^{p^n} = 1$. Otherwise, suppose $C_1$ has weight $\geq 1$ in $a$. Then by induction hypothesis, $C_1^{p^n} = 1$ and thus $[C_2, C_1^{p^n}] = 1$. Hence Lemma 3.2 implies that $C^{p^n} = 1$.

Observe that if $G$ is a group of nilpotency class 3, then for every $a, b \in G$ $[b, a^n] = [a, b, a]^{(2)}[b, a]^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So Lemma 3.6 clearly holds for the case $p = 2$. 
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Lemma 3.6. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose $G$ is a $p$-group and $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ has nilpotency class at most $p+1$ for some $a, b \in G$. If $[b, a^n] = 1$, then $[\ p^{-1}a, [h, a]](^{p^n}r)[h, a]p^n = 1$ for all $h \in H$.

Proof. Since $H = \langle a, b \rangle$, $a^n \in Z(H)$. So $1 = [h, a^n] = hap^n h^{-1} a^{-p^n} = (ha)^{p^n}a^{-p^n} = ([h, a]a)^{p^n}a^{-p^n}$. Note that the group $\langle [h, a], a \rangle$ has class $\leq p$. Applying Lemma 2.12 to $([h, a], a)$, we have

$$1 = \prod_{C \in A_p([\{h, a\}])} C^{f_C(p^n)}[h, a]^{p^n}. \quad (3.6.1)$$

Note that Lemma 3.5 implies that $C^{p^n} = 1$ for every $C \in A([\{h, a\}, a])$. Consider a $C \in A_p([\{h, a\}, a])$ and let $n_1, n_2$ be weights of $C$ in $[h, a], a$ respectively. Then $n_1 + n_2 \leq p$ and since $C \in \gamma_2 n_1 + n_2(H)$, $2n_1 + n_2 \leq p + 1$. From Lemma 2.12 recall that $r_C \leq n_1 + n_2$. So if $n_1 + n_2 < p$, then $r_C < p$. Moreover if $n_1 + n_2 = p$, then $2n_1 + n_2 \leq p + 1$ yielding $n_1 = 1$ and $n_2 = p - 1$. Therefore $r_C < p$ for every $C \in A_p([\{h, a\}, a])$, hence $p^n | f_C(p^n)$, except for $C = [\ p^{-1}a, [h, a]]$. Also $f_{[\ p^{-1}a, [h, a]]}(p^n) = (p^n)$ by Remark 2.14. Thus (3.6.1) gives the result we seek.  

Definition 3.7. Define $\alpha_m(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m-1} < n} \binom{n}{i_{m-2}} \cdots \binom{i_2}{i_1}$, where $1 < m \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.8. For $2 < m \leq n$, $\alpha_m(n) = \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \alpha_{m-1}(k)$.

Proof. Evaluating the sum on the right

$$\sum_{k=m-1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \alpha_{m-1}(k) = \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \times \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m-2} < k} \binom{k}{i_{m-2}} \binom{i_{m-2}}{i_{m-3}} \cdots \binom{i_2}{i_1}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m-2} < n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{i_{m-2}} \cdots \binom{i_2}{i_1}$$

$$= \alpha_m(n).$$

If $G$ is a 2-group of class 3 and $\exp(G/Z(G)) = 2^n$, then $[b, a^2] = [a, b, a]^{(2^n)}[b, a]^2 = 1$ for all $a, b \in G$. Since $a, b \in G$ are arbitrary, replacing $a$ with $ab$ in $[a, b, a]^{(2^n)}[b, a]^2 = 1$ yields $[ab, b, ab]^{(2^n)}[a, ab]^2 = 1$.
\[ [a, b, a]^{2^n} [b, b, a]^{2^n} = 1. \] Thus we obtain \([b, b, a]^{2^n} = 1.\] Moreover \([b, b, a] = [b, a, b]^{-1},\] yielding \([b, a, b]^{2^n} = 1.\] Now interchanging the roles of \(a\) and \(b\) gives \([a, b, a]^{2^n} = 1.\] Thus we obtain \([b, a]^{2n} = 1,\] and hence \(\text{exp}(\gamma_2(G)) \mid 2n.\) In Theorem 3.9 we ignore the case \(p = 2\) since the conjecture was proved for groups of class 2 in [14].

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime and \(G\) be a \(p\)-group of nilpotency class \(p+1.\) If \(G\) is \(p^n\)-central, then \(\text{exp}(\gamma_2(G)) \mid p^n.\)

**Proof.** Note that \(\gamma_p(\gamma_2(G)) \subset \gamma_{2p}(G) \subset \gamma_{p+2}(G) = 1.\) Thus \(\gamma_2(G)\) is \(p^n\)-abelian by Lemma 3.1. Hence it is enough to show \([b, a]^{p^n} = 1\) for every \(a, b \in G.\) Let \(a, b \in G,\) applying Lemma 3.6 we have

\[ [p^{-1}a, [b, a]]^{(p^n)} [b, a]^{p^n} = 1. \] (3.9.1)

In the remaining proof we proceed to show \([p^{-1}a, [b, a]]^{(p^n)} = 1.\) Towards that end, since \(a, b \in G\) are arbitrary, we keep replacing \(a\) with \(ab\) starting from (3.9.1). Let \(S = \{[x_{p^{-1}}, x_{p^{-2}}, \ldots, x_1, [b, a]] : x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p^{-1}} \in \{a, b\}\},\) where the commutators in \(S\) are right normed. For \(1 \leq i \leq p - 1,\) define \(\sigma_i : S \rightarrow \{a, b\}\) as \(\sigma_i([x_{p^{-1}}, x_{p^{-2}}, \ldots, x_1, [b, a]]) = x_i.\) For \(C \in S,\) define \(S_C = \{D \in S : \forall 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, \, \text{if} \, \sigma_i(C) = b, \, \text{then} \, \sigma_i(D) = b\}.\) Observe that all the elements of \(S\) commute with one another. Then using Corollary 2.9 replacing \(a\) in \(C\) with \(ab\) gives \(\prod_{D \in S_C} D.\) For \(0 \leq r \leq p - 1,\) let \(S_r = \{C \in S : \sigma_i(C) = b \text{ for exactly } r \sigma_i, \, 1 \leq i \leq p - 1\}\) and \(E_r = \prod_{C \in S_r} C.\) Consider \(C \in S_r\) and \(D \in S_t.\) Note that \(D \in S_C\) implies \(t \geq r.\) Moreover for every \(D \in S_t,\) the number of \(C \in S_r\) having \(D \in S_C\) is \(\binom{t}{r}.\) Thus replacing \(a\) with \(ab\) in \(E_r\) gives \(\prod_{C \in S_r, \, (D \in S_C)} (\prod_{D \in S_C} D) = \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} E_r^{(t)}.\) Now we replace \(a\) in (3.9.1) with \(ab\) and observe that \([p^{-1}a, [b, a]] = E_0\) and \([b, ab] = [b, a].\) After this replacement (3.9.1) becomes

\[ \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} E_r^{(p^n)} [b, a]^{p^n} = 1. \] (3.9.2)

Comparing (3.9.1) with (3.9.2) yields

\[ \prod_{r=1}^{p-1} E_r^{(p^n)} = 1. \] (3.9.3)
By replacing $a$ in (3.9.3) with $ab$, we obtain
\[ E_{p-1} \left( \prod_{r=1}^{p-2} \left( \prod_{t=r}^{p-1} E_t(i) \right) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.4)

By comparing (3.9.3) and (3.9.4), we obtain
\[ \prod_{r=1}^{p-2} \left( \prod_{t=r+1}^{p-1} E_t(i) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.5)

Now rearranging \[ \prod_{r=1}^{p-2} \left( \prod_{t=r+1}^{p-1} E_t(i) \right) \] as
\[ \prod_{r=1}^{p-1} \left( E_{r+1}(i) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.6)

Observe that \[ \sum_{t=1}^{\ell} (i) = \alpha_2(t), \] and hence (3.9.5) becomes \[ \prod_{t=2}^{p-1} \left( E_t \alpha_2(t) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.7)

Now comparison of (3.9.7) and (3.9.8) gives
\[ \prod_{k=m}^{p-1} \left( E_k \alpha_{m-1}(k) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.8)

By replacing $a$ with $ab$ in (3.9.7), we get
\[ \left( E_{p-1} \right) \prod_{k=m}^{p-1} \left( \prod_{j=k}^{p-1} E_j(i) \right) = 1. \] (3.9.9)

This proves the claim. Setting $m = p - 1$ in (3.9.6) yields \[ E_p^{\alpha_{p-1}(p-1)} = 1. \]

Note that $\alpha_{p-1}(p-1) = (p-1)!$ is relatively prime to $p$, so
\[ E_p^{(n_p)} = 1. \quad (3.9.10) \]

We have \( E_{p-1} = [p-1b, [b, a]] \), and interchanging \( a \) and \( b \) in (3.9.10) gives \( [p-1a, [a, b]]^{(n_p)} = 1. \) Since \( [p-1a, [a, b]]^{(n_p)} = [p-1a, [a, b]]^{-1} \), we obtain \( [p-1a, [b, a]]^{(n_p)} = 1 \), proving the theorem. \( \square \)

Keeping the notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we give an illustration of Theorem 3.9 by taking the special case \( p = 5 \).

**Example 3.10.**

\[ E_0 = [4a, [b, a]], \]
\[ E_1 = [b, a, a, a, [b, a]][a, b, a, [b, a]][a, a, b, [b, a]], \]
\[ E_2 = [b, a, a, a, [b, a]][a, b, a, [b, a]][a, b, a, [b, a]] \]
\[ [a, b, a, [b, a]][a, b, a, [b, a]], \]
\[ E_3 = [b, a, a, a, [b, a]][a, b, a, [b, a]][a, a, b, [b, a]], \]
\[ E_4 = [4b, [b, a]]. \]

Replacing \( a \) in \( E_0 \) with \( ab \) gives \( E_0 E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4 \). Similarly replacing \( a \) in \( E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 \) with \( ab \) gives \( E_1 E_2^2 E_3^3 E_4^4, E_2 E_3^3 E_4^6, E_3 E_4^4, E_4 \) respectively. By (3.9.1) we have

\[ E_0^{(n_0)} [b, a]^{5n} = 1. \quad (3.10.1) \]

Replacement of \( a \) in (3.10.1) with \( ab \) gives

\[ (E_0 E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4)^{(n_0)} [b, a]^{5n} = 1. \quad (3.10.2) \]

Comparing (3.10.1) and (3.10.2) yields

\[ (E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4)^{(n_0)} = 1. \quad (3.10.3) \]

Again replacement of \( a \) in (3.10.3) with \( ab \) gives

\[ ((E_1 E_2^2 E_3^3 E_4^4)(E_2 E_3^3 E_4^6)(E_3 E_4^4))(n_0) = 1. \quad (3.10.4) \]

By comparing (3.10.3) and (3.10.4), we obtain \( ((E_2^2 E_3^3 E_4^4)(E_3^3 E_4^6))(n_0) = 1. \) Thus

\[ (E_2^2 E_3^3 E_4^{14})(n_0) = 1. \quad (3.10.5) \]
Again replacement of $a$ in (3.10.5) with $ab$ yields,

\[
((E_2 E_3^3 E_4^6)^2(E_3 E_4^4)^6 E_4^{14})^{(5^n)} = 1. \tag{3.10.6}
\]

Now by comparing (3.10.5) and (3.10.6) we get

\[
((E_3^6 E_4^6)^2(E_4^4)^6)^{5^n} = 1. \tag{3.10.7}
\]

After replacing $a$ in (3.10.7) with $ab$, (3.10.7) becomes

\[
((E_3 E_4^4)^6 E_4^{36})^{5^n} = 1. \tag{3.10.8}
\]

Finally comparing (3.10.7) and (3.10.8) yields

\[
(E_4^{24})^{5^n} = 1. \tag{3.10.9}
\]

The values of $\alpha_m(n)$ for $1 \leq m < n \leq 4$ are listed below:

- $\alpha_2(2) = \binom{2}{1} = 2, \quad \alpha_2(3) = \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} = 6, \quad \alpha_2(4) = \binom{4}{1} + \binom{4}{2} + \binom{4}{3} = 14,$
- $\alpha_3(3) = \binom{3}{2} \binom{2}{1} = 6, \quad \alpha_3(4) = \binom{4}{2} \binom{2}{1} + \binom{4}{3} \binom{3}{1} + \binom{4}{3} \binom{3}{2} = 36,$
- $\alpha_4(4) = \binom{4}{3} \binom{3}{2} \binom{2}{1} = 24.$

From (3.10.5), (3.10.7) and (3.10.9), we can see that $\prod_{k=m}^4 (E_k^{\alpha_m(k)})^{(5^n)} = 1$ for $m = 2, 3, 4$.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a finite $p$-group. If the nilpotency class of $G$ is at most $p$, then $\exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G)$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G)$.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be a Schur cover for $G$. Since $H$ is a central extension of $G$, the nilpotency class of $H$ is at most $p + 1$. Thus by Theorem 3.9, $\exp(\gamma_2(H)) \mid \exp(H / Z(H)) \mid \exp(G)$. The theorem now follows by noting that $G \wedge G \cong \gamma_2(H)$. \qed
4. Validity of the Conjecture for $p$-groups of nilpotency class at most 5 and of odd exponent.

The author of [26] has listed basic commutators in $a, b$ of weight at most 6 and their respective powers arising in the collection formula. The same collection formula, when we follow left notations is given in (i) of the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. (i) Let $G$ be a group of nilpotency class 5, $a, b \in G$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

\[
(ab)^n = [[b, a], a, b, a]^6(b_3) + 18(b_4) + 12(b_5) [[b, a], b, b, a]^{(b_3)} + 7(b_4) + 6(b_5) [b, b, b, b, a]^{(b_3)} + 2(b_4) [b, b, b, b, a]^{(b_3)} a^n b^n.
\]

(ii) Let $G$ be a group of nilpotency class 6, $a, b \in G$. Suppose $a \in \gamma_2(G)$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

\[
(ab)^n = [b, b, b, b, a]^{(b_3)} [a, b, b, a]^{(b_4)} + 2(b_5) [b, b, b, a]^{(b_3)} [b, b, b, a]^{(b_4)} a^n b^n.
\]

(iii) Let $G$ be a group of nilpotency class 6, $a, b \in G$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

\[
[b, a^n] = [a, a, a, b, a]^{(b_3)} [[b, a], a, b, b, a]^{(b_4)} + 3(b_5) [a, a, a, a, b, a]^{(b_3)}
\]

\[
[[b, a], a, b, a]^{(b_4)} + 2(b_5) [a, a, a, a, b, a]^{(b_3)} [b, a]^{(b_2)} [b, a]^{(b_2)} b^n.
\]

Proof. Note that (ii) follows from (i) and for proving (iii), we begin by writing $[b, a^n]$ as $([b, a]a)^n a^{-n}$. Now applying (ii) to $([b, a]a)^n$ yields (iii). □

Lemma 4.2. Let $G$ be a 3-group of class 6 and $a, b \in G$. If $n > 1$ and the order of a modulo the center is $3^n$, then

(i) $[a^3, a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3^n-2} = 1$.

(ii) $[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3^n-2} = 1$.

(iii) $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3^n-1} = 1$. 
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Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a 3-group of class 6, $a, b \in G$ and $c \in \gamma_2(G)$.

Proof. (i) Applying Lemma 2.8 twice yields $[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = ([a^3, a, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = [a^3, a, a^3, b, a^3] = 1.

(ii) Expanding $[b, a^3]$ in $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]$ by Lemma 4.1(iii), and then using Lemma 2.10(i) yields $[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{(3n-1)}[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{(3n-1)}[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1$. Hence it is clear from (i) and (ii) that $[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1.

(iii) We have $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3] = 1$. Now expanding $([a^3]^{3n-1}, a^3, b, a^3$ by Lemma 2.10(i) gives $[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{(3n-1)}[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{(3n-1)}[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1$. Now the result follows from (i), (ii) and (iii).

(iv) Expanding the left most $[b, a^3]$ in $[b, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]$ by Lemma 4.1(iii), and then applying Lemma 2.8 yields $[b, a^3, a^3, b, a^3] = ([a, b, a], a^3, b, a^3) = ([b, a], a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1$, by Lemma 2.10(iv), $[a, b, a], a^3, b, a^3$ and $[b, a], a^3, b, a^3$ have orders at most $3n-1$. Now (2.7.4) yields $[b, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1.

(vi) Applying Lemma 2.10(i) to $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]$ gives $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3] = [a, a, a, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-1} = 1$, by Lemma 2.10(iv), $[a, a, a, b, a^3]$ and $[a, a^3, b, a^3]$ have orders at most $3n-1$. Further using Lemma 2.8 we have $[a, a, a, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = ([a, a, a, a, a, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = [a^3, a, a, a, b, a] = 1$. Hence (2.7.4) yields $[a^3, a, a, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = 1.

(vii) Since $[a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = 1$, Lemma 2.10(iv) gives $[b, a^3, a^3, b, a^3]^{3n-2} = 1$. □

Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a 3-group of class 6, $a, b \in G$ and $c \in \gamma_2(G)$. 
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(i) If $a^{3n} \in Z(G)$, then $[b, a^3]^{3^n-1} = 1$.

(ii) If $a^{3n} \in Z(G)$ and $c^{3^n-1} = 1$, then $([b, a^3]c)^{3^n-1} = 1$.

Proof. (i) Since $a^{3n} \in Z(G)$, applying Lemma 4.1(iii) to $[b, (a^3)^{3^n-1}]$ yields

$$1 = [a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3][[b, a^3], a^3, a^3, b, a^3](3^n-1)+3(3^n-1)$$
$$[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3](3^n-1)[b, a^3](3^n-1)$$
$$[a^3, a^3, a^3, b, a^3](3^n-1)[a^3, b, a^3](3^n-1)[b, a^3]^{3^n-1}.$$

Now by Lemma 4.2 we obtain that $[b, a^3]^{3^n-1} = 1$.

(ii) Expanding $([b, a^3]c)^{3^n-1}$ by Lemma 4.1(ii) gives

$$([b, a^3]c)^{3^n-1} = [[b, a^3], c, b, a^3](3^n-1)+2(3^n-1)$$
$$[c, c, b, a^3](3^n-1)[c, b, a^3](3^n-1)[b, a^3]^{3^n-1}c^{3^n-1}.$$

Since $[b, a^3]^{3^n-1} = 1$, Lemma 2.10(iv) yields $[c, b, a^3]^{3^n-1} = 1$. Now by applying Lemma 2.8 we have that $[[b, a^3], c, b, a^3]^{3^n-2} = ([[b, a], c, b, a^3]^{3^n-2} = [b, a]c^{3^n-1}, b, a^3] = 1$, and similarly we have $[c, c, b, a^3]^{3^n-2} = ([c, c, b, a]^{3^n-2} = [c^{3^n-1}, c, b, a] = 1$. Therefore $([b, a^3]c)^{3^n-1} = 1$.

Recall that if $G$ has nilpotency class 5, then $\eta(G)$ will have nilpotency class at most 6. In the proof of next Lemma we use the isomorphism of $G \otimes G$ with the subgroup $[G, G^\phi]$ of $\eta(G)$.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $G$ be a 3-group of class less than or equal to 5 and exponent $3^n$. Then the exponent of the image of $G^3 \wedge G$ in $G \wedge G$ divides $3^{n-1}$.

Proof. Let $g, h, a_i, b_i \in G$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consider the isomorphism $\psi : G \otimes G \to [G, G^\phi]$ defined by $\psi(g \otimes h) = [g, h^\phi]$, where $G^\phi$ is an isomorphic copy of $G$. Applying Theorem 4.3, we obtain that $[a_i^3, b_i^\phi]^{3^n-1} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $([a_i^3, b_i^\phi][a_i^3, b_i^\phi])^{3^n-1} = 1$. Thus $(a_i^3 \wedge b_i)^{3^n-1} = 1$ and $((a_i^3 \wedge b_1)(a_i^3 \wedge b_2))^{3^n-1} = 1$, proving the result we seek.

The following Lemma can be found in [4] which is used for the proof of the main theorem of this section.
Lemma 4.5. Let \( N, M \) be normal subgroups of a group \( G \). If \( M \subset N \), then we have the exact sequence \( M \wedge G \to N \wedge G \to \frac{N}{M} \wedge \frac{G}{M} \to 1 \).

Now we come to the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.6. Let \( G \) be a finite p-group of nilpotency class 5. If \( p \) is odd, then \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G) \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G) \).

Proof. The claim holds when \( p \geq 5 \) by Theorem 3.1. Now we proceed to prove for \( p = 3 \). Consider the exact sequence \( G^3 \wedge G \to G \wedge G \to \frac{G}{G^3} \wedge \frac{G}{G^3} \to 1 \). Thus \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(im(G^3 \wedge G)) \exp(\frac{G}{G^3} \wedge \frac{G}{G^3}) \). Note that \( \exp(\frac{G}{G^3}) = 3 \) and hence \( \exp(\frac{G}{G^3} \wedge \frac{G}{G^3}) \mid 3 \) (cf. [22]). By Lemma 4.4, we have \( \exp(im(G^3 \wedge G)) \mid 3^{n-1} \) and the result follows.

5. Regular groups, Powerful groups and groups with power-commutator structure

In this section, we prove that \( \exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G) \) for powerful p-groups, the class of groups considered by D. Arganbright in [2], which includes the class of potent p-groups, and the class of groups considered by L.E. Wilson in [34], which includes p-groups of class at most \( p - 1 \).

Recall that a p-group \( G \) is said to be powerful if \( \gamma_2(G) \subset G^p \), when \( p \) is odd and \( \gamma_2(G) \subset G^4 \), for \( p = 2 \). The next lemma can be found in [19].

Lemma 5.1. Let \( G \) be a finite p-group. If \( G \) is powerful, then the subgroup \( G^p \) of \( G \) is the set of all pth powers of elements of \( G \) and \( G^p \) is powerful.

In [18], the authors prove the conjecture for powerful groups. The authors of [18] prove that if \( N \) is powerfully embedded in \( G \), then the \( \exp(M(G, N)) \mid \exp(N) \). In the theorem below, we generalize both these results.

Theorem 5.2. Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( N \) be a normal subgroup of a finite group \( G \). If \( N \) is a powerful p-group, then \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid \exp(N) \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G, N)) \mid \exp(N) \).

Proof. Let \( \exp(N) = p^e \). We will proceed by induction on \( e \). If \( \exp(N) = p \), then \( \gamma_2(N) \subset N^p = 1 \). So by Lemma 2.3 (ii), \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid \exp(N) \). Now let \( e > 1 \). Using Lemma 4.5, consider the exact sequence \( N^p \wedge G \to N \wedge G \to \frac{N}{N^p} \wedge \frac{G}{N^p} \to 1 \). Then \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid \exp(im(N^p \wedge G)) \exp(\frac{N}{N^p} \wedge \frac{G}{N^p}) \). By Lemma 5.1, \( N^p \) is powerful and \( \exp(N^p) = p^{e-1} \). Thus by induction hypothesis, we
have \( \exp(N^p \land G) \mid \exp(N^p) \), and hence \( \exp(im(N^p \land G)) \mid \exp(N^p) \). Note that \( \frac{N}{N^p} \) is a powerful group of exponent \( p \), and we have showed above that the theorem holds for powerful groups of exponent \( p \). Therefore \( \exp(\frac{N}{N^p} \land \frac{G}{N^p}) \mid p \), whence the result.

**Definition 5.3.** Let \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group.

(i) We say \( G \) satisfies condition (1) if \( \gamma_m(G) \subseteq G^p \) for some \( m = 2, 3, \ldots, p - 1 \), and it is said to be a potent \( p \)-group if \( m = p - 1 \).

(ii) We say \( G \) satisfies condition (2) if \( \gamma_p(G) \subseteq G^{p^2} \).

The next Theorem can be found in [19], [12] and [34].

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group.

(i) If \( G \) regular, then \( G^p \) is the set of all \( p \)th powers of elements of \( G \) and \( G^p \) is powerful.

(ii) If \( G \) satisfies condition (1), then \( G^p \) is the set of all \( p \)th powers of elements of \( G \) and \( G^p \) is powerful.

(iii) If \( G \) satisfies condition (2), \( G^p \) is the set of all \( p \)th powers of elements of \( G \) and \( G^p \) is powerful.

Groups satisfying condition (1) were studied by D. E. Arganbright in [2]. This class includes the class of powerful \( p \)-groups for \( p \) odd and potent \( p \)-groups. The groups satisfying condition (2) were studied by L. E. Wilson in [34]. In the theorem below, we show that the conjecture is true for all these classes of groups. The first part of the Theorem below can be found in [25], but we give a different proof here. Since the proofs of both the parts of the next theorem are similar, we only prove the second part.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group satisfying either of the conditions below,

(i) \( \gamma_m(G) \subseteq G^p \) for some \( m \) with \( 2 \leq m \leq p - 1 \).

(ii) \( \gamma_p(G) \subseteq G^{p^2} \).

Then \( \exp(G \land G) \mid \exp(G) \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G) \).
Proof. \( (ii) \) Let \( \exp(G) = p^n \). If \( n = 2 \), then \( \gamma_p(G) \subset G^{p^2} = 1 \). Therefore by Theorem 3.11 \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G) \). For \( n > 2 \), consider the exact sequence \( G^p \wedge G \to G \wedge G \to G^{G^p} \wedge G^{G^p} \to 1 \), which implies \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(\text{im}(G^p \wedge G)) \exp(G^{G^p} \wedge G^{G^p}) \). By Theorem 5.4, \( G^p \) is powerful and \( \exp(G^p) = p^{n-1} \). By Theorem 5.2 \( \exp(G^p \wedge G) \mid p^{n-1} \), and hence \( \exp(\text{im}(G^p \wedge G)) \mid p^{n-1} \). Since \( \gamma_p(G) \subset G^{p^2} \subset G^p \), the group \( G^{G^p} \) has nilpotency class \( \leq p-1 \). Now applying Theorem 3.11, we obtain \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid p \), and hence the result.

In the next Theorem, we show that to prove the conjecture for regular \( p \)-groups, it is enough to prove it for groups of exponent \( p \). We prove it more generally for the exterior square.

**Theorem 5.6.** The following statements are equivalent:

(i) \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G) \) for all regular \( p \)-groups \( G \).

(ii) \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(G) \) for all groups \( G \) of exponent \( p \).

**Proof.** Since groups of exponent \( p \) are regular, one direction of the proof is trivial. To see the other direction, let \( G \) be a regular \( p \)-group. Suppose \( \exp(G) = p^n \), \( n > 1 \). Consider the exact sequence \( G^p \wedge G \to G \wedge G \to G^{G^p} \wedge G^{G^p} \to 1 \), which implies \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(\text{im}(G^p \wedge G)) \exp(G^{G^p} \wedge G^{G^p}) \). By Theorem 5.4 \( G^p \) is powerful and \( \exp(G^p) = p^{n-1} \). Hence Theorem 5.2 yields \( \exp(G^p \wedge G) \mid p^{n-1} \), whence \( \exp(\text{im}(G^p \wedge G)) \mid p^{n-1} \). Since \( G^{G^p} \) has exponent \( p \), our hypothesis implies that \( \exp(G^{G^p} \wedge G^{G^p}) \mid p \). Therefore \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid p^n \).

\( \square \)

6. Bounds depending on the nilpotency class

In [9], G. Ellis proves that if the nilpotency class of \( G \) is \( c \), then \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^{\lceil \frac{c}{2} \rceil} \). In [22], P. Moravec improves this bound by showing that \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^2 [\log_2 c] \). In the next Theorem, we improve both these bounds. The case \( c = 1 \) has been excluded as the conjecture is known to be true in that case.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( G \) be a finite group with nilpotency class \( c > 1 \) and set \( n = \lceil \log_3(\frac{c+1}{2}) \rceil \). If \( \exp(G) \) is odd, then \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid (\exp(G))^n \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^n \).
Furthermore, he has given an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N} \). Theorem 3.11, we have \( \exp G.Ellis has proved the existence of a covering pair for a pair of groups \((G, N)\). Now we proceed to prove it for \((G, N, -)\)-nilpotency class at most \( p \). We know from \([9]\) that \( N \). The proof is by induction on \( c \). Consider the exact sequence, \( \gamma_m(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow \gamma_{m}(G) \rightarrow 1 \). Thus \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G) \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \mid \exp(G) \). Now \( \frac{G}{\gamma_m(G)} \) is a group of nilpotency class \( m-1 \). Since \( c+1 \leq (3^n \times 2) \), \( \frac{c+1}{3} \leq (3^{n-1} \times 2) \) giving \( m \leq (3^{n-1} \times 2) \). Now applying induction hypothesis to the group \( \frac{G}{\gamma_m(G)} \), we obtain \( \exp(\frac{G}{\gamma_m(G)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_m(G)}) \mid (\exp(G))^{n-1} \), which proves the theorem.

By the previous theorem \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^2 \) for a group \( G \) with odd exponent and nilpotency class \( c \) satisfying \( 6 \leq c \leq 17 \). Moreover, if \( G \) is a \( p \)-group with \( p \geq c \), then \( \exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G) \). In the following theorem, we show that if \( p+1 \leq c \leq 3p+2 \), then \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^2 \).

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group of nilpotency class \( c \). If \( m := \left\lceil \frac{c+1}{3} \right\rceil \leq p+1 \), then \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^2 \).

**Proof.** Consider the exact sequence, \( \gamma_m(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow \gamma_{m}(G) \rightarrow 1 \). Thus we have \( \exp(G \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G) \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G) \wedge G) \). Now applying Lemma 2.6 yields \( \exp(\gamma_m(G) \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \). Further by Theorem 3.11 we have \( \exp(\gamma_m(G) \wedge G) \mid \exp(\gamma_m(G)) \), and the result follows.

The next lemma is crucially used in the proof of theorem 6.4. In [9], G.Ellis has proved the existence of a covering pair for a pair of groups \((G, N)\). Furthermore, he has given an isomorphism between \([N^*, G] \) and \( N \wedge G \), where \( N^* \) is a covering pair of the pair \((G, N)\). We use this isomorphism in the following theorem.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-group. If \( N \leq G \) of nilpotency class at most \( p-2 \), then \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid \exp(N) \).

**Proof.** Consider a projective relative central extension \( \delta : N^* \rightarrow G \) associated with the pair \((G, N)\). Therefore \( \delta(N^*) = N \) and \( G \) acts trivially on \( \ker(\delta) \). We know from [3] that \([N^*, G] \cong N \wedge G \). Since \( N \) is of class atmost \( p-2 \),
$N^*$ is of class at most $p - 1$. Set $\exp(N) = t$ and since $N^*$ is $t$-central, it is $t$-abelian. Therefore it suffices to prove that $(n^{g_{n-1}})^t = 1$ for $n \in N^*$ and $g \in G$. Towards that end,

$$(n^{g_{n-1}})^t = n^t (g_{n-1})^t,$$

since $N^*$ is $t$-abelian

$$= n^t g(n^{-t})$$

$$= 1,$$

since $n^t \in \ker(\delta)$.

Hence the result. \qed

**Theorem 6.5.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $N$ be a normal subgroup of a finite $p$-group $G$. If the nilpotency class of $N$ is $c$, then $\exp(N \wedge G) | (\exp(N))^n$, where $n = \lceil \log_{p-1}(c+1) \rceil$.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on $c$. If $c \leq p - 2$, then by Lemma 6.3 $\exp(N \wedge G) | \exp(N)$. Let $c > p - 2$ and set $m = \lceil \frac{c+1}{p-1} \rceil$. By Lemma 4.3, we have an exact sequence $\gamma_m(N) \wedge G \to N \wedge G \to \frac{N}{\gamma_m(N)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_m(N)} \to 1$ yielding $\exp(N \wedge G) | \exp(\im(\gamma_m(N) \wedge G)) \exp(\frac{N}{\gamma_m(N)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_m(N)})$. Since $m(p-1) \geq c+1$, we get $\gamma_{p-1}(\gamma_m(N)) = 1$. Now using Lemma 6.3, we obtain $\exp(\gamma_m(N) \wedge G) | \exp(\gamma_m(N))$, and hence $\exp(\im(\gamma_m(N) \wedge G)) | \exp(N)$. Since $c+1 \leq (p-1)^n$, we have $\frac{c+1}{p-1} \leq (p-1)^{-n}$, and hence $m \leq (p-1)^{-n-1}$. Now by induction hypothesis, $\exp(\frac{N}{\gamma_m(N)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_m(N)}) | (\exp(\frac{N}{\gamma_m(N)}))^n$. Therefore $\exp(N \wedge G) | (\exp(N))^n$.

For a finite $p$-group $G$ with $p$ odd, the author of [29] proves that $\exp(M(G)) | (\exp(G))^m$, where $m = \lceil \log_{p-1} c \rceil + 1$. We improve his bound in the next theorem.

**Theorem 6.5.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be a finite $p$-group of nilpotency class $c \geq p$. Then $\exp(G \wedge G) | \exp(G)^n$, where $n = 1 + \lceil \log_{p-1}(\frac{c+1}{p+1}) \rceil$. In particular, $\exp(M(G)) | (\exp(G))^n$.

**Proof.** For $c = p$, we have $\exp(G \wedge G) | \exp(G)$ by Theorem 3.11. Let $c > p$, and consider the exact sequence $\gamma_{p+1}(G) \wedge G \to G \wedge G \to \frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)} \to 1$, which yields $\exp(G \wedge G) | \exp(\im(\gamma_{p+1}(G) \wedge G)) \exp(\frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)})$. By Theorem 3.11, $\exp(\frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)} \wedge \frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)}) | \exp(\frac{G}{\gamma_{p+1}(G)})$. Let $k$ be the nilpotency class of $\gamma_{p+1}(G)$. Since $\lceil \frac{c+1}{p+1} \rceil (p+1) \geq c+1$, we obtain $k+1 \leq \lceil \frac{c+1}{p+1} \rceil$. Observe that $\frac{c+1}{p+1} \leq (p-1)^{-n}$ gives $k+1 \leq (p-1)^{-n-1}$. Now applying Theorem 6.4, we get $\exp(\gamma_{p+1}(G) \wedge G) | (\exp(\gamma_{p+1}(G)))^{n-1}$. Hence $\exp(\im(\gamma_{p+1}(G) \wedge G)) | (\exp(G))^{n-1}$, and the result follows. \qed
7. Bounds depending on the derived length

In [22], the author proved that the conjecture is true for metabelian $p$-groups of exponent $p$. In the theorem below, we prove it for $p$-central metabelian $p$-groups.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let $G$ be a $p$-central metabelian $p$-group. Then $\exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G)$.

**Proof.** For groups of exponent $p$, the theorem holds by [22]. Now we consider groups of exponent $p^n$ with $n > 1$. Since $G$ is $p$-central, we have the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G^p \cap G & \longrightarrow & G \cap G \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \gamma_2(G) \\
\end{array}
\]

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the natural commutator maps.

Now Snake Lemma yields the exact sequence $\ker(\alpha) \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow 1$. Since $\ker(\beta) \leq \frac{G}{G^p}$, we have $\exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(im(G^p \cap G)) \exp(M(\frac{G}{G^p}))$. Observe that $\exp(im(G^p \cap G)) \mid p^{n-1}$ because $G$ is $p$-central. Furthermore $\frac{G}{G^p}$ being a metabelian $p$-group of exponent $p$, $\exp(M(\frac{G}{G^p})) \mid p$, and the result follows.

The following Lemma can be deduced from Lemma 3 and the proof of Proposition 5 in [10].

**Lemma 7.2.** Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of a group $G$. If $N \subset \gamma_2(G)$, then the sequence $N \otimes G \rightarrow G \otimes G \rightarrow \frac{G}{N} \otimes \frac{G}{N} \rightarrow 1$ is exact.

In [22], the author showed that if $d$ is the derived length of $G$, then $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^{2(d-1)}$. The author of [28] improved this bound for $p$-groups by proving that $\exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^d$ when $p$ is odd, and $\exp(M(G)) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d$, when $p = 2$. Using our techniques, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem A of [28].

**Theorem 7.3.** Let $G$ be a solvable group of derived length $d$. 
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(i) If \( \exp(G) \) is odd, then \( \exp(G \otimes G) \mid (\exp(G))^d \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid (\exp(G))^d \).

(ii) If \( \exp(G) \) is even, then \( \exp(G \otimes G) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G)) \mid 2^{d-1}(\exp(G))^d \).

**Proof.** The proof proceeds by induction on \( d \). When \( d = 1 \), \( G \) is abelian and hence \( \exp(G \otimes G) \mid \exp(G) \). Let \( d > 1 \) and \( G^{(d-1)} \) denote the \( d \)th term of the derived series. Now consider the exact sequence \( G^{(d-1)} \otimes G \to G \otimes G \to G^{(d-1)} \otimes G \to 1 \) obtained from Lemma 7.2. Thus we have \( \exp(G \otimes G) \mid \exp(\text{im}(G^{(d-1)} \otimes G)) \exp(\frac{G}{G^{(d-1)}} \otimes \frac{G}{G^{(d-1)}}) \).

(i) Let \( \exp(G) \) be odd. Since \( G^{(d-1)} \) is abelian, Lemma 2.5(ii) implies \( \exp(G^{(d-1)} \otimes G) \mid \exp(G^{(d-1)}) \). Now induction hypothesis yields \( \exp(\frac{G}{G^{(d-1)}} \otimes \frac{G}{G^{(d-1)}})^{d-1}, \) the result follows.

(ii) Now using Lemma 2.5(iii), the proof follows mutatis mutandis the proof of (i).

\[ \square \]

In the next lemma, we consider \( N \wedge G \) instead of \( G \otimes G \).

**Lemma 7.4.** Let \( N \trianglelefteq G \). Suppose \( N \) is solvable of derived length \( d \).

(i) If \( \exp(N) \) is odd, then \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid (\exp(N))^d \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G, N)) \mid (\exp(N))^d \).

(ii) If \( \exp(N) \) is even, then \( \exp(N \wedge G) \mid 2^d(\exp(N))^d \). In particular, \( \exp(M(G, N)) \mid 2^d(\exp(N))^d \).

**Proof.** We prove the Lemma by induction on \( d \). For \( d = 1 \), the theorem follows from Lemma 2.5(ii) and (iii). Let \( d > 1 \), and consider the exact sequence \( N^{(d-1)} \wedge G \to N \wedge G \to \frac{N}{N^{(d-1)}} \wedge \frac{G}{G^{(d-1)}} \to 1 \) obtained from Lemma 4.5. Now the proof follows mutatis mutandis the proof of Theorem 7.3.

\[ \square \]

In the following table, we list and compare the values of \( m \) for which \( \exp(M(G)) \mid \exp(G)^m \), obtained by G. Ellis, P. Moravec and Theorem 6.1 of this paper.
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Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G. Ellis 10</th>
<th>P. Moravec 22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$\lceil \frac{c}{2} \rceil$</td>
<td>$2 \lfloor \log_2 c \rfloor$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P. Moravec improves the bound given by G. Ellis for $c > 11$. It can be seen that the bound obtained in Theorem 6.1 improves the other bounds. In the following table, we consider $p$-groups of nilpotency class $c$ and exponent $p^n$. The bounds $p^m$, where $\exp(M(G)) \mid p^m$, obtained by P. Moravec, N. Sambonet and Theorem 6.5 are listed.

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P. Moravec 22</th>
<th>N. Sambonet 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $k$ is defined in [22].
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