Let $\mathbb{Q}^*$ denote the multiplicative group of positive rationals and, for fixed integers $a > 0$, $A > 0$, $b$, $B$ that satisfy $\Delta = aB - Ab \neq 0$, $\Gamma$ its subgroup generated by all but finitely many of the fractions $(an + b)/(An + B)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

In the present paper the authors review their recent publication [2], c.f. arXiv:1602.03263 (2016), in which harmonic analysis on $\mathbb{Q}^*$ determines the quotient group $\mathbb{Q}^*/\Gamma$, in particular the positive rationals $r$ that have a product representation

$$r = \prod_{n_0 < n \leq k} \left( \frac{an + b}{An + B} \right)^{\varepsilon_n}, \quad \varepsilon_n = 0, \pm 1,$$

the integers $n$ to exceed a given bound, $n_0$, terms in the product with $\varepsilon_n = 0$ understood to have the value 1.

We correct a number of misprints, draw attention to sharpenings implicit in the text and give a detailed account of a corresponding non-intuitive simultaneous, i.e. two dimensional, product representation. A further representation illustrates consistency of the results with those of the first author’s 1985 Grundlehren volume [1].

A major waystation is the following result.

[2] Theorem 2. Let integers $a > 0$, $A > 0$, $b$, $B$ satisfy $\Delta = aB - Ab \neq 0$. Set $\delta = 6(a, A)(aA)^2\Delta^3$.

If a completely multiplicative complex-valued function $g$ satisfies

$$g \left( \frac{an + b}{An + B} \right) = c \neq 0$$

on all but finitely many positive integers $n$, then there is a Dirichlet character (mod $\delta$) with which $g$ coincides on all primes that do not divide $\delta$.

In the notation of the Constraints section of [2], define $\alpha = (a, b)$, $\beta = (A, B)$, $a_1 = a\alpha^{-1}$, $b_1 = b\beta^{-1}$, $A_1 = A\beta^{-1}$, $B_1 = B\beta^{-1}$, $\Delta_1 = a_1B_1 - A_1b_1$, so that $\Delta = \alpha\beta\Delta_1$. We begin by showing that the value of $\delta$ in [2] Theorem 2 may be reduced to $6\Delta_1$.

Step 4 of the treatment in [2] employs the following result.
Lemma 6. Let the integers $u_j > 0$, $v_j$, $(u_j, v_j) = 1$, $j = 1, 2$, satisfy $\Delta_1 = u_1v_2 - u_2v_1 \neq 0$. Assume that the primitive Dirichlet character $\chi_D$ satisfies

$$\chi_D \left( \frac{u_1k + v_1}{u_2k + v_2} \right) = c \neq 0,$$

for all $k$ such that $(u_jk + v_j, D) = 1$, $j = 1, 2$, and that there exists a $k_0$ for which this holds, hence a class $k_0 \pmod{D}$.

Then $D \mid 6(u_1, u_2)\Delta_1$.

The argument for this lemma reduces to the case that $D$ is a prime-power, $p^t$, and from the hypothesis derives one of three outcomes:

(i) $p^t \mid (u_1, u_2)$;
(ii) $p^t \mid (u_1v_2 - u_2v_1)$ if $p \geq 3$;
(iii) $p^{t-1} \mid (u_1v_2 - u_2v_1)$ if $p = 2$ or $3$.

The first of these outcomes implies the second, and the factor $(u_1, u_2)$ in the statement of [2] Lemma 6 is superfluous.

Note that in the proof of [2] Lemma 4, the assertion on page 927 that: we may set all but one $g(p) = 0$ should read with $g(p) = 1$; or $h(p) = 0$.

The subsection Determination of $G$: practical matters of [2], Section 3, introduces the sums

$$\eta(\beta, \gamma) = \ell^{-\alpha} \sum_{u \pmod{\ell^\alpha + \max(\beta, \gamma)}} \chi \left( \frac{a_1u + b_1}{\ell^\beta} \right) \overline{\chi} \left( \frac{A_1u + B_1}{\ell^\gamma} \right),$$

where $\ell$ is a prime, $\ell^\alpha \parallel \delta$, $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character $\pmod{\ell^\alpha}$, it is understood that $\ell^\beta \mid (a_1u + b_1)$, $\ell^\gamma \mid (A_1u + B_1)$, and $\ell^{\min(\beta, \gamma)} \mid \Delta_1$.

It is noted, [2] p. 936, that a necessary condition for $\chi$ to be a candidate for the character $g$ on $\mathbb{Q}^*/\Gamma$ that is under consideration is that $|\eta(\beta, \gamma)|$ should have the value of the sum $\eta(\beta, \gamma)$ formed with $\chi$ a principal character. The following simple result shows that this forces the non-zero summands in $|\eta(\beta, \gamma)|$ to have the same value.

Lemma. If $c_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$ lie in the complex unit disc and satisfy $\left| \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \right| = k$, then the $c_j$ lie on the unit circle and are equal.

Proof. For some real $\theta$, $\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j = ke^{i\theta}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 - \text{Re} c_j e^{-i\theta}) = 0$ and the summands are non-negative.

Consideration of the case $\eta(0, 0)$ shows that any Dirichlet character $\pmod{\ell^\alpha}$ candidate for a character on $\mathbb{Q}^*/\Gamma$ will have a constant value on the reduced fractions $(a_1u + b_1)/(A_1u + B_1)$, $u \pmod{l^\alpha}$ and after the updated [2] Lemma 6, satisfy $\ell^\alpha \mid 6\Delta_1$.

Remark. A closer reading of [2] Lemma 6 shows that either $\ell^\alpha \mid A_1$, or $p \leq 3$, $p \nmid u_1u_2$ and $p^{t-1} \mid \Delta_1$. 
The improvement from $\delta = 6(a, A)(aA)^2\Delta^3$ to $\delta = 6\Delta_1$ in [2] Theorem 2 follows at once.

Reduction of simultaneous product representations

For integers $a > 0$, $A > 0$, $b$, $B$ that satisfy $\Delta = aB - Ab \neq 0$, let $(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ denote the direct product of two copies of $\mathbb{Q}^*$, and $\Gamma$ its subgroup generated by the elements $(an+b) \otimes (An+B)$, $n$ an integer exceeding a given $n_0$.

A character on the quotient group $(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2/\Gamma$ extends to a pair of completely multiplicative functions $g_j$, $j = 1, 2$, on $\mathbb{Q}^*$ that satisfy $g_1(an+b)g_2(An+B) = 1$, $n > n_0$; c.f. Elliott [1], Chapter 15.

The reduction argument given in [2] Section 5 has a misprint in the last line; a correct version may be found in Elliott [1], Chapter 19.

We begin with

$$g_1(an+b)g_2(An+B) = c_1 \neq 0, \quad n > n_0,$$

where the coefficients $a$, $b$, $A$, $B$ as positive.

Replacing $n$ by $bBn$,

$$g_1(aBn+1)g_2(ABn+1) = c_2 \neq 0.$$

Replacing $n$ by $(aB+1)n+1$

$$g_1(aBn+1)g_2(AB[(aB+1)n+1]+1) = c_3.$$

Eliminating between these relations,

$$g_2(AB(aB+1)n+Ab+1) \overline{\mathbf{g}}_2(ABn+1) = c_4 \neq 0.$$

Note that the corresponding discriminant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} Ab(aB+1) & Ab+1 \\ Ab & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the value $Ab\Delta$. Any Dirichlet character that represents $g_2$ in the manner of [2] Theorem 2 will be to a modulus $\delta$ that divides $6Ab\Delta$.

The requirement that $b$, $B$ be positive may be obviated as follows.

Replacing the variable $n$ by $n+k$ for a positive integer $k$ moves the coefficient quartet $a, b, A, B$ to $a$, $ak+b$, $A$, $Ak+B$. This does not affect that values of $(a, b)$, $(A, B)$, or the discriminant. For all sufficiently large $k$, $\delta | 6A(aB+1).$ Consecutive values of $k$ show that $\delta | 6Aa\Delta$; choosing $k$ to be a multiple of $\delta$, that $\delta | 6Ab\Delta$. Hence $\delta | 6A(a, b)\Delta$.

In the notation of Constraints $g_2$ is essentially represented by a Dirichlet character to a modulus dividing $6A_1\Delta_1$.

Likewise a Dirichlet character representing $g_1$ will be to a modulus dividing $6a_1\Delta_1$.

Remark. If $g_j$ is a character on the whole group of rationals and $aA < 0$, we may employ $g_j(-1)$ to replace $a, A$ by $-a, -A$, as necessary. The outcome of the above argument is then formally the same.
We give an example.

**Theorem.** There are simultaneous representations

\[ a = \prod_{n_0 < n \leq k} (5n + 1)^{\varepsilon_n}, \quad b = \prod_{n_0 < n \leq k} (5n - 1)^{\varepsilon_n}, \quad \varepsilon_n = 0, \pm 1 \]

of positive integers \(a, b\) if and only if \(a \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, (ab)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5^2}\).

In particular, there are infinitely many simultaneous representations

\[ 26 = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (5n_j + 1)^{\varepsilon_j}, \quad 26 = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (5n_j - 1)^{\varepsilon_j} \]

with \(\varepsilon_j = \pm 1\), the integers \(n_j\) exceeding \(n_0\).

In this case the general character

\[ g_1 \otimes g_2 : (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 / \Gamma \rightarrow \text{unit circle,} \]

coincides on the primes not dividing 30 with a pair of Dirichlet characters to a modulus dividing 300. We may follow in outline the argument given in the two practical sections of [2], the pair \(g(an + b), \overline{g}(An + B)\) there replaced by \(g_1(an + b), g_2(An + B)\); in effect reduce ourselves to the consideration of

\[ \chi(5n + 1) \overline{\chi}(5n - 1) = c \neq 0 \]

where \(\chi, \overline{\chi}\) are possibly distinct Dirichlet characters to each of the moduli \(2^2, 3, 5^2\). We consider these cases in turn.

**Modulus 3.** Choose \(t\) to satisfy \(5t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\) and set \(n = t + 3k\). Corresponding to \(\eta(0, 1)\) with \(\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, \gamma = 1\), we see that

\[ \chi(2) \overline{\chi}(5k + 3^{-1}(5t - 1)) \]

has a constant value when no zero. Summing over \(k \pmod{3}\) shows this not to be tenable unless \(\overline{\chi}\) is principal.

Likewise, \(\chi\) is principal.

**Modulus 2^2.** Choose \(t\) to satisfy \(5t \equiv 1 \pmod{2^3}\) and set \(n = t + 2^3k\). Corresponding to \(\eta(1, 3)\) with \(\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \gamma = 3\), we see that

\[ \chi(2) \overline{\chi}(5k + 2^{-3}(5t - 1)) \]

has a constant value when not zero. Summing over \(k \pmod{2^2}\) shows this to be untenable unless \(\overline{\chi}\) is principal.

Likewise \(\chi\) is principal.

**Remark.** The closer reading of [2] Lemma 6 slightly simplifies these cases.
At this stage, for suitable characters $\chi, \widetilde{\chi}$ to the modulus $5^2$, $g_1\chi \otimes g_2\widetilde{\chi}$ has a constant value on the elements $(5n + 1) \otimes (5n - 1)$ defining $\Gamma$, and is 1 on all primes save possibly $p = 2, 3$; by the argument at the end of [2] Lemma 5, on those also.

Modulus $5^2$. This case is perhaps the most interesting.

If $w$ is a generator of the Dirichlet character group (mod $5^2$), there are representations $\chi = w^u$, $\widetilde{\chi} = w^v$ for positive integers $u, v$. The hypothesis that $\chi(5n + 1)\widetilde{\chi}(5n - 1)$ has a constant value becomes that when not zero

$$w((5n + 1)^u(5n - 1)^v)$$

has a constant value. Since

$$(5n + 1)^u(5n - 1)^v \equiv (-1)^v[1 + 5(u - v)n] \operatorname{mod} 5^2,$$

for a suitable non-zero constant $c_0$,

$$\chi(5n + 1)\widetilde{\chi}(5n - 1) = c_0 w(5(u - v)n + 1).$$

The character $w$ is certainly primitive and for a suitable non-zero gaussian sum $\theta$ has a representation

$$w(s) = \theta^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{5^2} \overline{w}(r) \exp(2\pi i 5^{-2}rs).$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{5^2} w(5(u - v)n + 1) = \theta^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{5^2} \overline{w}(r) \exp(2\pi i 5^{-2}r) \sum_{n=1}^{5^2} \exp(2\pi i 5^{-1}r(u - v)n).$$

The summand $\overline{w}(r) = 0$ unless $5 \nmid r$, in which case the inner sum over $n$ is zero unless $5 \mid (u - v)$.

The characters $\chi, \widetilde{\chi}$ differ multiplicatively by a character (mod 5).

Without loss of generality we assume $\chi = \widetilde{\chi} \chi_5$ to be such a representation. Then

$$1 = g_1(5n + 1)g_2(5n - 1) = \widetilde{\chi}(5n + 1)\widetilde{\chi}(5n - 1) = \widetilde{\chi}((5n)^2 - 1);$$

$\widetilde{\chi}(-1) = 1$. The character $\widetilde{\chi}$ has order dividing 10; it is the square of an arbitrary character (mod $5^2$).

Conversely, such a pair $g_1 = \widetilde{\chi}\chi_5$, $g_2 = \widetilde{\chi}$ satisfies the requirement $g_1(5n + 1)g_2(5n - 1) = 1$.

This determines the dual group of $(\mathbb{Q}^* )^2/\Gamma$.

The pair $a \otimes b$ belongs to the principal class of $(\mathbb{Q}^* )^2/\Gamma$ if and only if for all pairs $\chi_5 \otimes \chi$ with $\chi$ of order dividing 10, $\chi((ab)) \chi_5(a) = 1$.

Since $\chi_5$ may be any character (mod 5), with $\chi$ principal $a \equiv 1 \operatorname{mod} 5$ is required.

Then $\chi(ab) = 1$ for $\chi (\operatorname{mod} 5^2)$ that satisfy $\chi(-1) = 1; \chi((ab)^2) = 1$ for all $\chi (\operatorname{mod} 5^2)$; $(ab)^2 \equiv 1 \operatorname{mod} 5^2$.
In this section we note that any prime \( p \geq 5 \) dividing the parameter \( \delta \) that occurs in Theorem 2 necessarily divides \( (a_1, A_1) \), hence \( (a, A) \).

If such a prime satisfies \( p^r \| \delta \), then \( p^r \mid \Delta_1 \). Since any Dirichlet character to a prime-power modulus will induce a character to a modulus that is a higher power of that same prime, without loss of generality we may assume that \( p^r \| \Delta_1 \).

If now \( p \nmid a_1 \) and \( p^{2r} \mid (a_1n + b_1) \), then \( p^r \) divides \( A_1(a_1n + b_1) + \Delta_1 \), i.e. \( a_1(A_1n + B_1) \), hence \( A_1n + B_1 \). The terms in the sum \( p^r \eta(2r, r) \) are well defined and when non-zero have a constant value. This remains valid even if the underlying Dirichlet character \( \chi \pmod{p^r} \) is no longer primitive.

Setting \( n = t + p^{2r}k \), where \( a_1t + b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}} \), the corresponding summands become

\[
\chi (p^{-2r}(a_1t + b_1) + a_1k) \overline{\chi}(p^{-r}(A_1t + B_1)) .
\]

Note that \( p^{r+1} \nmid (A_1t + B_1) \), otherwise \( p^{r+1} \mid \Delta_1 \).

Summing over a complete residue class system \( k \pmod{p^r} \) we see that \( \chi \) must be principal.

With emphasis on \( \overline{\chi} \) we may draw the same conclusion if \( p \nmid A_1 \).

A slight modification of the argument shows the conclusion also to be valid if \( p = 3 \) unless \( 3\|\delta \) and \( 3 \nmid a_1A_1\Delta_1 \).

Suppose now that \( p^r \| \delta \) and the character \( \pmod{p^r} \) component of the representing Dirichlet character \( \pmod{\delta} \) is principal. Set \( \delta_0 = p^{-r}\delta \). If \( ((a_1s + b_1)(A_1s + B_1), \delta_0) = 1 \), then

\[
g \left( \frac{a_1\delta_0n + a_1s + b_1}{A_1\delta_0n + A_1s + B_1} \right) = \chi_{\delta_0} \left( \frac{a_1s + b_1}{A_1s + B_1} \right)
\]

provided the numerator and denominator of the argument of \( g \) are not divisible by \( p \). In particular, c.f. [2] Lemma 4, second part, \( g\overline{\chi}_{\delta_0}(p) = 1 \) so long as \( p \nmid (a_1, A_1) \).

Without loss of generality we may assume that any prime greater than 3 that does not divide \( (a_1, A_1) \) also does not divide \( \delta \).

Save for the possible exception noted above, this also holds in the case \( p = 3 \).

In the corresponding simultaneous representation via \( (a_1n + b_1) \otimes (A_1n + B_1) \) and employing a pair of Dirichlet characters \( \chi_\delta \otimes \chi_\gamma \), \( \delta \mid 6a_1\Delta_1 \) and has prime factors exceeding 3 only if they divide \( a_1, \gamma \mid 6a_1\Delta_1 \) and has prime factors exceeding 3 only if they divide \( A_1, \Delta_1 = a_1B_1 - A_1b_1 \), as before.

In the example \( a_1 = 3, b_1 = 1, A_1 = 5, B_1 = 2 \) this permits only that \( \chi_\delta \) may be any Dirichlet character \( \pmod{3} \), \( \chi_\gamma \) the principal character \( \pmod{5} \). Given \( n_0 \), there is a simultaneous representation

\[
a = \prod_{n_0 < n \leq k} (3n + 1)^{\varepsilon_n}, \quad b = \prod_{n_0 < n \leq k} (5n + 2)^{\varepsilon_n}, \quad \varepsilon = 0, \pm 1,
\]

if and only if \( a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, (b, 5) = 1 \).

This accords with the results of [1], Chapter 19.
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