Weird scaling for 2-D avalanches: Curing the faceting, and scaling in the lower critical dimension
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The non-equilibrium random-field Ising model is well studied, yet there are outstanding questions. In two dimensions, power law scaling approaches fail and the critical disorder is difficult to pin down. Additionally, the presence of faceting on the square lattice creates avalanches that are lattice dependent at small scales. We propose two methods which we find solve these issues. First, we perform large scale simulations on a Voronoi lattice to mitigate the effects of faceting. Secondly, the invariant arguments of the universal scaling functions necessary to perform scaling collapses can be directly determined using our recent normal form theory of the Renormalization Group. This method has proven useful in cleanly capturing the complex behavior which occurs in both the lower and upper critical dimensions of systems and here captures the 2D NE-RFIM behavior well. The obtained scaling collapses span over a range of a factor of ten in the disorder and a factor of $10^4$ in avalanche cutoff. They are consistent with a critical disorder at zero and with a lower critical dimension for the model equal to two.

We study the avalanche size distribution in the two-dimensional nucleated non-equilibrium random-field Ising model (NE-RFIM), simulated on a Voronoi lattice to bypass faceting, and analyzed using the scaling predictions of the nonlinear renormalization-group flows predicted for the lower critical dimension. We find excellent agreement over a large critical region, addressing several outstanding issues in the field.

The NE-RFIM is perhaps the best-understood model of crackling noise [1], exhibiting power-law distributions of avalanche sizes at a critical disorder $r_c$ representing the standard deviation of the strength of the random field at each site. The model transitions from a ‘down-spin’ state to an ‘up-spin’ state as an external field $H$ increases. Above the critical disorder $r_c$, this transition is composed of avalanches of spins of size limited by a typical cutoff $\Sigma_+(r)$: below the critical disorder a finite fraction of the spins flip in a single event, with precursors and aftershock sizes limited by $\Sigma_-(r)$. This model, albeit simple, contains the necessary ingredients to describe hysteretic and avalanche behaviors in a diverse set of systems. Barkhausen noise in magnets [2] decision making in socio-economics [3], absorption and desorption in superfluids [4, 5] as well as the effects of nematicity in high $T_c$ superconductors [6, 7] can each be understood in terms of ‘crackling noise’ naturally described by the NE-RFIM.

Although the NE-RFIM itself has been around in various forms since the 1970s [8], there are still a number of current questions and issues:

- Is it in the same universality class as the equilibrium RFIM model [10]? It has long been debated whether the equilibrium and non-equilibrium versions of the model are in the same universality class. This question of universality has been approached in a number of ways which have suggested the same class for the two models [11–16]. Recent work in $6 - \epsilon$ expansions indicate that the two models are in different universality classes [10]. Our findings pretty clearly imply they are also different in two dimensions.

- Is the lower critical dimension (LCD) two, or is power law scaling sufficient to capture the behavior in $D = 2$? The equilibrium RFIM has been shown to have a LCD equal to two [17], and the same is believed to be true for the front-propagation variant of the NE-RFIM [18]. For the nucleated model we study here, some suggest that the LCD is two [19, 20], others suggest that power-laws are indeed able to capture the behavior and no crossover occurs in 2D [21, 22], and some suggest that a lower critical dimension does not exist for this model [23–26]. Here, we derive the expected non-power-law scaling in the LCD from a nonlinear renormalization-group analysis, and find excellent agreement with the data presuming an LCD of two, while power-law scaling fails to capture the behavior.

- Is the value of the critical disorder in $D = 2$ zero, or positive? In the nucleated model, the critical disorder appears to decrease with dimension, going from $5.96 \pm 0.02$ in 5D to $2.16 \pm 0.03$ in 3D [24]. This behavior in conjunction with the observation that for both the equilibrium and front-propagation problems, $r_c$ is found...
to be zero [18] suggests that \( r_c \) may be quite small. Early work on the nucleated model, presuming power law scaling [20] [28] [29], yielded positive \( r_c = 0.75 \pm 0.03 \) [28], but more recent work on larger systems finds a smaller \( r_c = 0.54 \pm 0.02 \) [21] [22] collapsing over a small range \( r \in [0.64, 0.70] \). Our non-power-law scaling form would predict that power-law fits at a given system size should succeed in small ranges of disorder, but that larger system sizes will yield lower and lower predicted critical disorders. Our results are compatible with a critical disorder of zero, directly (random field strength \( r_c = 0 \)) or perhaps more naturally in conjunction with some random bond disorder [30] X.B] (so \( r_c < 0 \)).

Scaling collapses (e.g. Figs [1] and [2]) are the gold standard for identifying universal scaling behavior at critical points. Commonly used in simulations and experiments, the scaling form for a function of two variables usually becomes a power law times a universal function of the ratio of two power laws – a result which follows from linearizing the renormalization-group (RG) flows. The LCD, however, is precisely the dimension at which one of the eigenvalues of the RG flow vanishes and the nonlinear terms become crucial to the behavior. Recently, Raju et al. [27] analyzed non-linearities in renormalization group flows using normal form theory drawn from the dynamical systems community. In the cases for which power laws work well, the dynamics are governed by a hyperbolic fixed point which can be linearized by a change of variables, leading to traditional scaling predictions. Our simulations indicate that the LCD for the NE-RFIM is poised at a transcritical bifurcation in the RG flow. By considering the form the flow equations should take, we are able to provide concrete non-power-law invariant scaling variables which enable collapse of our data over a range of a factor of ten in the disorder. This success, and the enormous critical region, suggests that using the appropriate invariant scaling variables can be effective for analyzing experiments and simulations systems at their LCD (like the XY model), despite exponentially growing correlation lengths. (Similar analyses have been done for the 4-state Potts model [32] and the XY model [33], except that their invariant scaling variables include only their predicted leading log corrections.)

In addition to the application of our normal form theory of the Renormalization Group, another key component to the success of our collapses is an approach to dealing with the faceting. Running simulations on a square lattice leads to distortions in the shape of the distributions of interest due to lattice effects as the critical point is approached. Long, unnaturally straight avalanche boundaries for small disorder arise which serve to effectively decrease the simulation size [30] sec. X.A]. To combat this, we run our simulations on a Voronoi lattice [30]. Although this introduces some intrinsic disorder [30] sec. X.B], we find the Voronoi lattice to be effective in combating faceting effects, enabling clean collapses over a range of a factor of ten in the disorder, a significantly larger range than the current available collapses which use data in a range \( \approx 10\% \).

The model considered is an avalanche model [30] with nearest neighbor coupling \( J \) and a randomized bias \( r \) under the influence of an adiabatically increasing field \( h \). Avalanche size is denoted \( s \). Following the convention of Bray and Moore [17] for the equilibrium model, we define a parameter \( w \) which corresponds to the ratio of the disorder \( r \) over the coupling \( J \) and determine its RG flow equation through symmetry considerations. In principle, there are an infinite series of terms. Using only analytic changes of variables, however, it is possible to remove all terms of \( O(4) \) or higher without removing any universal behavior [30] sec. III]. The final form of the flow equation for \( w \) is given by

\[
dw/d\ell = w^2 + Bw^3, \tag{1}\]

which corresponds to the normal form of a transcritical bifurcation [34]. We may directly solve for the correlation length \( \xi \sim (1/w + B)^{-\beta} \exp(1/w) \) in the normal form variables [30] sec. V.

Next consider the flow equations for \( s \) and \( h \). The eigenvalues for these are given by \( \lambda_s = d_f \) and \( \lambda_h \) respectively where \( d_f \) denotes the fractal dimension. In each case, the zero eigenvalue of \( w \) gives rise to cross terms between \( w \) and \( s \) and \( h \) and \( w \). Again, in principle, we have an infinite number of possible terms but most all terms may be removed with a polynomial change of variables. The flow equations for \( s \) and \( h \) are hence given by

\[
\begin{align*}
ds/d\ell &= -d_fs - Csw, \\
dh/d\ell &= \lambda_h h + Fhw
\end{align*} \tag{2}
\]

where in higher dimensions \( d_f = 1/\sigma \nu \) and \( \lambda_h = \beta \delta/\nu \). In two dimensions, the individual exponents \( \sigma \to 0 \) and \( \nu \) and \( \beta \delta \to \infty \), keeping the combinations we use finite. The coefficients \( B, C, \) and \( F \) are universal. Just as the linear terms at ordinary (hyperbolic) fixed points yield universal critical exponents, these terms control universal dependences of physical behavior with changes in the control parameters. Note that, while they cannot be set to zero by a coordinate change, they may have universal values equal to zero, especially in special cases like the lower critical dimension.

The appropriate scaling variables to collapse the data can be directly calculated from the flow equations [30] sec. VI]. The invariant scaling combination obtained takes the form \( s/\Sigma(w) \) where \( \Sigma(w) \) is a nonlinear function of \( w \). We allow for an undetermined scale factor \( \Sigma_s \). The resulting form is given by

\[
\Sigma(w) = \Sigma_s(B + 1/w)^{-Bd_f + C} \exp(d_f/w), \tag{3}\]

Likewise for \( h \), we obtain:

\[
\eta(w) = \eta_s(B + 1/w)^{B\lambda_h - F} \exp(-\lambda_h/w), \tag{4}\]
The best-fit parameters, with error estimates given by
the standard deviation of these measurements, are given
in the NF column of Table I. Even larger uncertainties,
estimated in the last column, arise from excellent fits that
test various conjectures about the parameters.

Note that the best fit value of \( r_c \) is found to be less
than zero. There are several possible explanations for
this. One, \( r_c < 0 \) could indicate the Voronoi lattice used
introduces an amount of intrinsic disorder [30, sec. X].
This is certainly plausible as random bond and random
field disorder are expected to belong to the same universality
class [28, 35]. Alternatively, constraining \( r_c = 0 \) we
obtain a comparable fit by including an alternative
form, differing from \( \Sigma(w) \) and by analytic
corrections to scaling (expected for the larger disorders
considered) [30, sec. VI.C]. In either case, the results are
consistent with \( r_c = 0 \).

As a test of our finding that the 2D NE-RFIM corre-
sponds to a transcritical bifurcation, we may compare the
fits obtained to those using different underlying assump-
tions. In particular, it is straightforward to calculate \( \Sigma \)
and \( \eta \) assuming a hyperbolic fixed point (corresponding
to power law scaling) and a pitchfork bifurcation [30,
sec. VI.D]. For each of these cases we can perform a fit to
the values of \( \Sigma(w) \) and \( \eta(w) \) extracted from the collapse.
The comparison of these fits are shown in Figure 3.

It is particularly illuminating to consider the behavior
of \( 1/\log \Sigma(w) \). For a transcritical bifurcation, the
exponential divergence (ignoring \( B \) and \( C \) in Equation 3 gives
\( 1/\log \Sigma(w) \sim \eta(w) \)). Hence, if the behavior corresponds
to a transcritical bifurcation, we would expect a plot of
\( 1/\log \Sigma \) to scale linearly with the disorder. A compari-
on of the linear fit to \( 1/\log \Sigma \), along with the plots of
\( 1/\log \Sigma \) for the best fits with a power law and pitchfork
form are shown in Figure 4. The results clearly support
a transcritical bifurcation, perhaps with \( r_c < 0 \) [30,
sec. X.B], and challenge the alternative power law and
pitchfork assumptions.

Simulation data of the 2D non-equilibrium random-
field Ising model on a lattice which suppresses faceting
is explained well by the presence of a transcritical bi-

\[ \frac{(h - h_{\text{max}})}{\eta(w)} \text{ is invariant under the RG, and} \]

\( \eta_s \) is another scale factor.

First consider the area weighted size distribution
\( A(s|w) \). In analogy with three dimensions, we take
\( A(s|w) = s^{-1} v_s^2 A(v_s^w) \) where \( v_s \) is the scaling variable and
the prefactor of \( s^{-1} \) arises from normalization constraints
with \( v_s = s/\Sigma(w) \) from Equation 3. The avalanche size
distribution also depends on an unknown universal scaling
function, \( A \). To perform scaling collapses via a fit,
we assume a form for this equation [30, sec. VII]. The
associated collapse is shown in Figure 1.

Likewise, in analogy with three dimensions, we ob-
tain \( dM/dh(h|w) = \eta(w)^{-1} dM/dh(v_h) \) where \( v_h = (h - h_{\text{max}})/\eta(w) \) is the invariant scaling variable and a
functional form was chosen for \( dM/dh \) [30, sec. VI.VII].
The associated collapse is shown in Figure 2. Through
performing the scaling collapses we are provided with val-
ues of \( \Sigma \) and \( \eta \) for each value of disorder, \( r \). Using the
nonlinear scaling forms for each of these we may then
extract values for the associated parameters. An uncon-
strained fit yields a fractal dimension larger than two, the
dimension of the system, which is unphysical. The 2D
avalanches we consider appear compact. This suggests
that the fractal dimension should be given by \( d_f = 2 \)
and that the maximum avalanche size should scale as
the square of the correlation length. For this reason, we
expect also that \( \Sigma(w) \sim \xi^2 \) and set \( C = 0 \). Imposing
these constraints, the fits obtained are able to describe
the data well, as shown in Figure 3.
TABLE I: Table of the parameter values determined through a joint fit of $\Sigma(w)$ and $\eta(w)$. $NF$ corresponds to the transcritical form and $NF_{alt}$ to the alternative transcritical form described in [30, sec. VI.C]. $NF_0$ corresponds to the transcritical form with $r_c = 0$ and $NF_{Harris}$ to $\lambda_h = 1$, the Harris criteria. To compute the error bars, we performed the collapses and subsequent fits of the nonlinear forms using subsets of the disorders for which we have data [11 out of 13 points]. The errors given are the standard deviation of the values determined in this way. Values in bold were fixed in the corresponding fit. (Nonuniversal parameters in [30, Table S1 & S2].)

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
& NF & NF_0 & NF_{alt} & NF_{Harris} & Conjecture \\
\hline
r_c & -0.46 \pm 0.06 & 0 & 0 & -0.46 \pm 0.06 & [-0.5, 0.0] \\
\lambda_h & 0.52 \pm 0.07 & 0.24 \pm 0.08 & 0.70 \pm 0.05 & 1 & 1 \\
B & -0.15 \pm 0.01 & 0.039 \pm 0.007 & -0.76 \pm 0.14 & -0.25 \pm 0.03 & [-0.8, 0.0] \\
F & 1.33 \pm 0.12 & 2.02 \pm 0.13 & 0.45 \pm 0.04 & 0.45 \pm 0.06 & [0.0, 0.5] \\
C & d_f & 1.76 \pm 0.28 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

FIG. 3: Comparison of the best fit of $\Sigma(w)$ and $\eta(w)$ derived with different functional forms of $\frac{dw}{dt}$. We have $w = (r - r_c)/s_s$ such that $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma(w)$ and $\eta(r) = \eta(w)$. 'NF' corresponds to $\Sigma$ and $\eta$ derived from the transcritical normal form, 'Power Law' the hyperbolic (power law) form and 'Pitchfork' the pitchfork form.

FIG. 4: Comparison of $1/\log \Sigma(w)$ for the best fit of $\Sigma(w)$ derived with different functional forms of $\frac{dw}{dt}$. We have $w = (r - r_c)/s_s$ such that $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma(w)$. 'NF' corresponds to $\Sigma$ derived from the transcritical normal form, 'NF_{alt}' to an alternative normal form [30, sec. VI.C] constraining $r_c = 0$, 'Power Law' the hyperbolic (power law) form and 'Pitchfork' the pitchfork form.
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[34] The traditional transcritical bifurcation normal form $\frac{dw}{d\ell} = w^2$ is derived using the implicit function theorem, but involves changes of variables that alter critical properties in singular ways. Eq. 1 is the simplest form that can be reached by successive polynomial changes of variables.