

Application of BCFW-recursion relations and the Feynman-tree theorem to the four gluon amplitude with all plus helicities

M. Maniatis*

Centro de Ciencias Exactas, UBB, Casilla 447, Chillán, Chile

Recently it has been shown that in gauge theories amplitudes to any perturbation order can be obtained by glueing together simple three-point on-shell amplitudes. These three-point amplitudes in turn are fixed by locality and Lorentz invariance. This factorization into three-point on-shell amplitudes follows from the BCFW recursion relations and the Feynman-tree theorem. In an explicit example, that is, the four-gluon amplitude with all plus helicities, we illustrate the method. In conventional calculation this amplitude corresponds to one-loop box diagrams.

1. INTRODUCTION

A milestone in the understanding of scattering amplitudes are the BCFW recursion relations [1, 2]: by analytic continuation of the external momenta, tree amplitudes factorize into elementary building blocks of three-point amplitudes in any gauge theory or in gravity. All external particles as well as inner lines are kept on-shell and gauge-invariance is respected by all subdiagrams. An impressive example are the n -gluon scattering tree amplitudes which require in calculations in conventional Feynman diagrams for instance for $n = 5$ the computation of 25 diagrams, for $n = 6$ the number of diagrams increases to 220. In the maximal helicity violation (MHV) case, that is, with two external gluons i and j carrying minus helicity and all others plus helicity, the amplitude is notably simple,

$$A_n(1^+, \dots, i^-, \dots, j^-, \dots, n^+) = \frac{\langle ij \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle}, \quad (1.1)$$

as first conjectured by Parke and Taylor [3]. With the help of the BCFW recursion relations the amplitude (1.1) for arbitrary n can be easily proven by complete induction; see for instance [4]. However, even that the BCFW recursion relations are very powerful, they are limited to tree diagrams which of course form an unphysical subset of diagrams to a certain perturbation order.

A lot of effort has been spent to extend the recursion relations beyond tree level, especially to the one loop order: it has been shown that any amplitude at the one-loop order of a gauge theory can be written as a sum over a finite basis of scalar integrals $I_m^{(i)}$ with rational coefficients $c_m^{(i)}$ only depending on the outer momenta, with $m = 2, 3, \dots, D$, and D denoting the number of dimensions [5–9],

$$A^{1\text{-loop}} = \sum_i c_D^{(i)} I_D^{(i)} + \sum_j c_{D-1}^{(j)} I_{D-1}^{(j)} + \dots + \sum_k c_2^{(k)} I_2^{(k)} + \mathcal{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

and \mathcal{R} denoting a rational term of the kinematic variables. Obviously, the rational term does not have any branch cuts. In the one-loop case a basis of scalar integrals is known. Applying cuts on both sides of (1.2) the left-hand side represents products of tree amplitudes and can be calculated. On the right-hand side the cut contributions can be determined from the known expressions for the scalar integrals. In particular, only those scalar integrals contribute which have the appropriate propagators. In this way the coefficients $c_m^{(i)}$ can be determined, that is, together with the known scalar integrals, the amplitude. The cuts considered are a generalization of the unitary Cutkosky cuts [10], which correspond to Feynman diagrams cut into two separate parts. Generalized cuts denote diagrams where all possible propagator lines are cut, not necessarily splitting the diagram into two parts. Unitary cuts provide the discontinuities of a diagram but since the rational part in (1.2) of the amplitude has no discontinuities in four space-time dimensions, this part is not accessible by unitary cuts. However, in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills (SYM) models it has been shown that also the rational parts can be deduced by unitary cuts [5, 7, 11, 12]. This comes from the fact that in these supersymmetric theories the rational parts appear always together with logarithms and polylogarithms carrying discontinuities. Another interesting observation is that also in non-supersymmetric theories the rational part can be determined by treating the momenta not in 4, but in general D dimensions [13–15].

*E-mail: maniatis8@gmail.com

The generalized cut methods have been applied, for instance, to the one-loop multi-gluon amplitudes [16–18]. Let us mention in this context the application of single cuts in D dimensions, calculating the all plus helicity four and five gluon amplitudes at one loop order [19]. New approaches to perform the double cuts have been revealed in [20] based on Stokes’ theorem. In [21] it has been shown that in SYM theories one-loop amplitudes with arbitrary helicities of the external particles can be derived from the corresponding maximally helicity-violating amplitudes. With respect to single cuts new techniques have been developed in [22].

R. Feynman has shown decades ago that loops may be opened recursively by the application of generalized cuts [23, 24]. Opening the loops means that propagators are replaced by on-shell pairs of particles antiparticles in the forward limit. The loop integrations are turned into phase-space integrations. The Feynman-tree theorem recursively opens all loops: any loop is expressed in terms of generalized cut diagrams which reduce the loop order about at least one unit. Iterative application opens all loops and expresses the original Feynman diagram as a sum of tree diagrams.

Many new aspects of the Feynman-tree theorem in multi-loop diagrams have been revealed [25–28]. In particular, it has been shown that only a subset of possible generalized cuts contribute in multi-loop diagrams.

Recently it has been shown [29–31] that the application of the Feynman-tree theorem followed by the BCFW recursion allows to factorize amplitudes at any loop order in terms of elementary three-point amplitudes in gauge theories. In general, an n -loop Feynman diagram is turned into a set of tree diagrams after n iteration steps of the Feynman-tree theorem. Eventually, arriving at a form with all loops opened, the tree amplitudes can be factorized by the BCFW recursion relations. In particular, the method is not limited to a certain perturbation order and gauge invariance is respected by all subamplitudes.

The application of the Feynman-tree theorem followed by the BCFW recursion relations can be reversed and the amplitudes be constructed by glueing together elementary on-shell three-point amplitudes. Following the BCFW recursion relations, the outer momenta have to be deformed, that is, analytically continued, in order to keep internal lines on-shell without violating momentum conservation. To a certain perturbation order, all possible tree-diagrams have to be considered. Following the Feynman-tree theorem, in this process particle-antiparticle pairs in the forward limit have to be taken into account. These pairs are unobservable but contribute in general to the corresponding perturbation order. Over the phase space of the unobservable particle pairs in the forward limit has to be integrated. The singularities originating from the particles in the forward limit can be regularized dimensionally.

Since the three-point scattering amplitudes follow, apart from a coupling constant, from locality and little-group scaling, this means that scattering amplitudes eventually result from these first principles along with unitarity. Moreover, every single contributing amplitude is manifestly gauge invariant. Let us note that in a gauge theory like QCD the four-gluon vertex has not to be considered separately, since it follows automatically from glueing together three-point on-shell amplitudes.

We shall illustrate the method in an explicit example, the one-loop four gluon, all plus helicity amplitude. We show how we can express this loop amplitude in terms of three-point on-shell amplitudes glued together. This amplitude is an excellent frame to study the methods, since it is rather simple but reveals the main steps of the calculation.

2. GLUEING TOGETHER ON-SHELL SUBAMPLITUDES

We want to consider the all plus helicity four gluon amplitude $A_4(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+)$. In conventional Feynman diagram calculation to lowest order this amplitude follows from a one-loop diagram. For a complex scalar (s) circulating in the loop, this amplitude reads [13, 32],

$$A_4^{s,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{2-\epsilon}} \frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} I_4 \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= -i(4\pi)^{2-\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{-2\epsilon}\mu}{(2\pi)^{-2\epsilon}} \mu^4 \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(l_1^2 - \mu^2)(l_2^2 - \mu^2)(l_3^2 - \mu^2)(l_4^2 - \mu^2)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The propagator momenta in the loop are given by

$$l_1 = l, \quad l_2 = l - p_1, \quad l_3 = l - p_1 - p_2, \quad l_4 = l - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 = l + p_4. \quad (2.3)$$

With respect to the Weyl spinors we follow the notation of the review [4]. We shall now show how to decompose this 1-loop four-point Feynman diagram into basic building blocks of A_3 on-shell subamplitudes. The inversion of this procedure is then exactly the process of glueing together elementary A_3 on-shell amplitudes.

First, let us note that the all plus helicity tree amplitude for n gluons vanishes,

$$A_n(1^+, 2^+, \dots, n^+) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This can be seen for instance from the factorization via the BCFW-recursion relations eventually into three-point amplitudes of the kind $A_3(1^+, 2^+, 3^+)$ which vanish since all gluons carry plus helicities. Due to momentum conservation the only non-vanishing three-point on-shell amplitudes are the maximally helicity violating (MHV) or anti-MHV amplitudes with complex momenta.

Therefore, non-vanishing four-gluon amplitudes can only arise beyond tree level. Further, since there is no counter term available, which would be proportional to the tree amplitude, there can not appear divergences at the one-loop order. The logarithms, respectively polylogarithms appear with the singularities. Therefore, we expect the one-loop amplitude to be a rational expression in the kinematic variables.

Applying supersymmetric Ward identities it has been shown [14] that in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM, the relation (2.4) not only holds at tree level, but to all orders in perturbation theory. For instance, in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM the one-loop gluon amplitude consists in one contribution with a gluon (g , spin 1) in the loop, besides four Weyl fermions (f , spin 1/2), as well as three complex scalars (s , spin 0),

$$A_n^{\mathcal{N}=4,1\text{-loop}} = A_n^{g,1\text{-loop}} + 4A_n^{f,1\text{-loop}} + 3A_n^{s,1\text{-loop}}. \quad (2.5)$$

In contrast, in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM we have in the loop to consider one gluon paired with one Weyl fermion,

$$A_n^{\mathcal{N}=1,1\text{-loop}} = A_n^{g,1\text{-loop}} + A_n^{f,1\text{-loop}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Since the four-gluon amplitudes vanish in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM, that is, $A_4^{\mathcal{N}=4,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = 0$, respectively $A_4^{\mathcal{N}=1,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = 0$, we arrive at the relations [14]

$$A_4^{g,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = -A_4^{f,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = A_4^{s,1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+). \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore it suffices to focus on the calculation of the amplitude corresponding to a complex scalar (s) in the loop. Considering this loop diagram we will encounter as elementary building blocks the gluon-scalar-scalar on-shell three-point amplitude. This amplitude is, apart from a coupling constant, fixed by little group scaling and from locality. For simplicity we set the coupling constant to one. Depending on the helicity of the gluon these elementary three-point amplitudes read; see for instance [33, 34]

$$A_3(1, 2^+, 3) = \frac{\langle q|1|2\rangle}{\langle q|2\rangle}, \quad A_3(1, 2^-, 3) = -\frac{\langle 2|1|q\rangle}{[q|2]} \quad (2.8)$$

with complex momenta 1 and 3 for the scalars and the gluon with momentum 2 with plus helicity, and q an arbitrary linearly independent null vector. As we will see later with respect to the BCFW recursion relations, it is convenient to choose this vector q to be the shifted momentum of the opposite side of the factorized amplitude.

We shall now show how the conventional Feynman diagram can be factorized into the elementary three-point amplitudes (2.8). We start considering the 1-loop box integral as a conventional Feynman diagram and in a first step we apply the Feynman-tree theorem [23, 24]. The Feynman-tree theorem, valid at any loop order and for arbitrary dimensions D , reads, considering a loop of a Feynman diagram with loop momentum l ,

$$0 = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} N(l) \prod_i \left\{ G_F^{(i)}(l - p_1 - \dots - p_{i-1}) - 2\pi \delta^{(+)}((l - p_1 - \dots - p_{i-1})^2 - \mu_i^2) \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

where $G_F^{(i)}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu_i^2 + i\epsilon}$ is the i 'th propagator in the loop and $N(l)$ is the numerator depending on the details of the model. From the expansion of the product on the right-hand side of (2.9) we see that we can express the original amplitude in terms of all different generalized cut diagrams. The generalized cuts are defined by the replacements of the propagators by -2π times the $\delta^{(+)}$ distributions,

$$\frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \rightarrow -2\pi \delta^{(+)}(p^2 - \mu^2), \quad (2.10)$$

where, as usual, $\delta^{(+)}(p) = \theta(p_0)\delta(p)$. The cut, that is, the $\delta^{(+)}$ distribution puts the momentum originating from the propagator on-shell. Iteratively we can open all the loops and archive a set of cut diagrams which are all tree diagrams.

Applying the Feynman-tree theorem (2.9) to the one-loop four-gluon amplitude (2.1) we get by one iteration step four single-cut diagrams, six double-cut diagrams, four triple-cut diagrams and one quadruple-cut diagram. However, since the outer particles are on-shell, the quadruple cut and all triple-cuts vanish immediately since they isolate at least one three-point amplitude with real momenta. From the six double-cut diagrams only two survive as well as all four single cut diagrams. The double-cut diagrams which do not leave any isolated on-shell, and therefore vanishing, A_3 amplitude, are the horizontal and the vertical cut of the diagram. All not immediately vanishing single and double cuts which arise from the Feynman-tree theorem are shown in Fig. 1.

Since we are applying this theorem to a 1-loop Feynman diagram, there is only one recursion step needed in order to get tree diagrams. In general, a n -loop Feynman diagram requires n recursion steps in order to open all loops. The number of cut diagrams for a loop with i propagators is $2^i - 1$. In general, only a subset of these diagrams contributes, respectively, there are simple relations among these diagrams, as we will explicitly see in the amplitude considered here. Note, that the double cuts appearing on the right-hand side of Fig. 1 correspond to the usual unitarity cuts, where the whole diagram is split into two parts.

We see that all four single-cut diagrams are related by changing cyclically $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$. Similar, the two double-cut diagrams, that is unitarity cut diagrams, are related by exchanging $2 \leftrightarrow 4$. This, in terms of the Mandelstam invariants, $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ and $t = (p_2 + p_3)^2$, corresponds to $s \leftrightarrow t$.




FIG. 1: The Feynman box diagram and its decomposition in terms of generalized cut diagrams. All other cut diagrams vanish because they isolate a vanishing on-shell subamplitude A_3 with real momenta.

We work in the four-dimensional helicity scheme [32], that is, the external momenta are kept four dimensional while the loop momenta are treated in D dimensions. As has been shown, the D -dimensional momentum can be split into its four l and $(-2\epsilon) \mu$ components, that is, $l \rightarrow l + \mu$. The integration measure can therefore be replaced by $d^D l \rightarrow d^{-2\epsilon} \mu d^4 l$ and the propagators in the amplitudes transform as $(l - p)^2 \rightarrow (l - p)^2 - \mu^2$. We get a four dimensional loop integration with an artificial mass parameter μ .

Let us start considering the two double-cut diagrams. As we have argued above, from the six double-cut diagrams we have four which vanish immediately since they isolate an on-shell three-point amplitude A_3 , vanishing for real momenta. The remaining two are shown in Fig.1 on the right-hand side with the indicated unitarity cuts, that is, in our case double cuts. As mentioned before, the two double-cut diagrams are related by exchanges of outer momenta. We calculate therefore only one of them, that is, the diagram with the momenta l_1 and l_3 cut as shown in the next-to-last diagram in Fig. 1 and get

$$A_{4,\text{cut}13}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \int \frac{d^{-2\epsilon} \mu}{(2\pi)^{-2\epsilon}} \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} (-2\pi) \delta^{(+)}(l_1^2 - \mu^2) (-2\pi) \delta^{(+)}(l_3^2 - \mu^2) A_4(-l_1, 1^+, 2^+, l_3) A_4(-l_3, 3^+, 4^+, l_1). \quad (2.11)$$

Since we want to decompose the amplitude into its elementary A_3 building blocks it remains to factorize the four-point amplitudes which appear in the integrand. In fact, these amplitudes are tree amplitudes and therefore we can apply the BCFW recursion relations. We consider a general four point-amplitude of two gluons and two scalars, where the two gluons carry plus helicities, that is, $A_4(1, 2^+, 3^+, 4)$. We apply a $[2, 3]$ shift yielding with the propagator momentum $P = p_1 + p_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_4(1, 2^+, 3^+, 4) &= A_3(\hat{2}^+, 1, -\hat{P}) \frac{1}{P^2 - \mu^2} A_3(\hat{P}, \hat{3}^+, 4) \\ &= - \frac{\langle \hat{3} | \hat{P} | \hat{2} \rangle}{\langle \hat{3} \hat{2} \rangle} \frac{1}{P^2 - \mu^2} \frac{\langle \hat{2} | 4 | \hat{3} \rangle}{\langle \hat{2} \hat{3} \rangle} = \frac{\mu^2 [23]}{\langle 23 \rangle (P^2 - \mu^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where we used cyclicity of the amplitudes and have plugged in the elementary 3-point amplitude (2.8) with convenient reference vectors.

We insert the factorization (2.12) into (2.11) and get

$$A_{4,\text{cut}13}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \int \frac{d^{-2\epsilon} \mu}{(2\pi)^{-2\epsilon}} \mu^4 \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(-2\pi)\delta^{(+)}(l_1^2 - \mu^2)(-2\pi)\delta^{(+)}(l_3^2 - \mu^2)}{(l_2^2 - \mu^2)(l_4^2 - \mu^2)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Writing the loop integral in terms of a double integral with a 4-dimensional delta distribution,

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} = \int \frac{d^4 l_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 l_3}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - l_1 - l_3) \quad (2.14)$$

and performing the integrations over the energy components of l_1 and l_3 with the help of the $\delta^{(+)}$ distributions, this amplitude corresponds to a phase-space integral over two unobservable pairs of particles. On the other hand, from the Cutkosky cutting rules this phase space integral equals twice the imaginary part of the corresponding box integral. However, we know that the imaginary parts can only originate from discontinuities of logarithms and polylogarithms. Since the scalar box integral has to be a rational function we conclude that this integral vanishes.

The t channel double cut follows from exchanging momenta $2 \leftrightarrow 4$. Considering the kinematic factor we find from momentum conservation $[12] = \langle 41 \rangle [12] / \langle 41 \rangle = -\langle 41 | 2 \rangle / \langle 41 \rangle = \langle 4 | 3 | 2 \rangle / \langle 41 \rangle = -\langle 43 \rangle [32] / \langle 41 \rangle$ and similar we have $[34] = -\langle 21 \rangle [14] / \langle 23 \rangle$, that is, we have cyclic invariance of the kinematic factor,

$$\frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} = \frac{[23][41]}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}. \quad (2.15)$$

From this symmetry we find that the two double-cut integrals are equal, that is, both vanish.

We now want to compute the single-cut diagram with the cut applied to the propagator l_1 between the gluons 1 and 4 as shown in the first term on the right-hand side of the equation in Fig. 1. All other single-cut diagrams follow from cyclic permutations of the outer momenta. The diagram with a single cut of the l_1 propagator reads

$$A_{4,\text{cut}1}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \int \frac{d^{-2\epsilon} \mu}{(2\pi)^{-2\epsilon}} \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} (-2\pi)\delta^{(+)}(l_1^2 - \mu^2) A_6(-l_1, 1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, l_1). \quad (2.16)$$

The tree amplitude in the integrand is a six-point amplitude with one unobservable particle-antiparticle pair of complex scalars besides four gluons. The two complex scalars are in the forward limit. We proceed decomposing this six-point amplitude applying the BCFW recursion relations. Since the propagators appear with the mass parameter μ we have to apply the recursion relations adopted to the massive case [33]. We follow the calculation performed in [33], where we here have the case of the two complex scalars in the forward limit. Applying the BCFW recursion relations, we have to sum over all possible factorizations, corresponding to each propagator in turn on-shell. We choose a $[1, 2\rangle$ shift with only one non-vanishing contribution as shown in Fig. 2. All other single cuts leave both shifted momenta on one side of the BCFW factorization and therefore vanish. We get

$$A_6(-l_1, 1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, l_1) = A_3(-l_1, \hat{1}^+, \hat{l}_2) \frac{1}{l_2^2 - \mu^2} A_5(-\hat{l}_2, \hat{2}^+, 3^+, 4^+, l_1). \quad (2.17)$$

The $[1, 2\rangle$ shift reads explicitly

$$|\hat{1}\rangle = |1\rangle + z|2\rangle, \quad |\hat{2}\rangle = |2\rangle, \quad \langle \hat{2} | = \langle 2 | - z\langle 1 |, \quad \langle \hat{1} | = \langle 1 |, \quad (2.18)$$

where the complex number z is given by

$$z = \frac{l_2^2 - \mu^2}{\langle 2 | l_2 | 1 \rangle}. \quad (2.19)$$

One of the amplitudes coming from the BCFW recursion (2.17) is already an elementary three-point amplitude A_3 . In a second recursion step we factorize the five-point amplitude $A_5(1, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, 5)$, where we choose conveniently again a shift of the two neighboring gluons, that is, a $[2, 3\rangle$ shift:

$$A_5(1, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, 5) = A_3(1, \hat{2}^+, \hat{P}) \frac{1}{P^2 - \mu^2} A_4(-\hat{P}, \hat{3}^+, 4^+, 5). \quad (2.20)$$




FIG. 2: The single cut of the box diagram and its factorization. For a $[1, 2]$ shift all other cut diagrams vanish because they leave both shifted momenta on one side of the propagator.

With another BCFW iteration step to factorize the four-point amplitude with two complex scalars (2.12) into three-point amplitudes we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_5(1, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, 5) &= \mu^2 \frac{\langle \hat{3} | 1 \hat{2} \rangle}{\langle \hat{3} \hat{2} \rangle} \frac{1}{P^2 - \mu^2} \frac{1}{(p_4 + p_5)^2 - \mu^2} \frac{[\hat{3} 4]}{\langle \hat{3} 4 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\mu^2}{[(p_1 + p_2)^2 - \mu^2][(p_5 + p_4)^2 - \mu^2]} \frac{[42] \langle 21 \rangle [12] + [43] \langle 31 \rangle [12]}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

and in turn (see also [33])

$$A_6(-l_1, 1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, l_1) = \frac{\mu^4}{(l_1^2 - \mu^2)(l_2^2 - \mu^2)(l_3^2 - \mu^2)} \frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle}. \quad (2.22)$$

We now plug this result into (2.16) and eventually get

$$A_{4, \text{cut1}}^{1\text{-loop}}(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \int \frac{d^{-2\epsilon} \mu}{(2\pi)^{-2\epsilon}} \mu^4 \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(-2\pi) \delta^{(+)}(l_1^2 - \mu^2)}{(l_2^2 - \mu^2)(l_3^2 - \mu^2)(l_4^2 - \mu^2)}. \quad (2.23)$$

It remains to calculate the integral, where we conveniently go back to D dimensions,

$$I = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{-2\pi \delta^{(+)}(l_1^2)}{(l_2^2 + i\epsilon)(l_3^2 + i\epsilon)(l_4^2 + i\epsilon)}. \quad (2.24)$$

We compute this integral with the help of Schwinger parameters (see also [28] for a similar computation),

$$\frac{i}{x + i\epsilon} \rightarrow \int_0^\infty da e^{iax}, \quad 2\pi \delta(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^\infty da e^{iax}, \quad (2.25)$$

and get, by integrating over the common Schwinger parameter, over the parameter corresponding to the delta distribution, and over the momentum l in D dimensions

$$I = \frac{-i\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{2(2\pi)^D} \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{D}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 d\alpha_4 [s \alpha_3 (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - 1) - t \alpha_2 \alpha_4 - i\epsilon]^{\frac{D}{2} - 2} \quad (2.26)$$

with $s = 2p_1 p_2$ and $t = 2p_2 p_3$. With the substitution $\alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_3 \alpha_4$, integration over α_2 , followed by α_4 , expansion about $D = 4$ dimensions, and integrating eventually over α_3 we get

$$I = \frac{-i}{32\pi^2} \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{s}{s+t} + \frac{st}{(s+t)^2} \log\left(\frac{s}{-t}\right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(D-4). \quad (2.27)$$

The other single-cut diagrams follow from cyclic permutations $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$ and we see that we get two equal contributions (2.27) and two contributions exchanging $s \leftrightarrow t$. Hence, the kinematic factor exactly cancels in the sum and the final result we find for the amplitude is

$$A_4^s(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+) = \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{[12][34]}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \quad (2.28)$$

Applying the Feynman-tree theorem followed by the BCFW recursion relations we see that we can reproduce the known result (2.1).

3. DISCUSSION

We have seen explicitly that the one-loop box diagram in conventional Feynman diagram calculation can be represented in terms of generalized cut diagrams. All triple and quadruple cuts vanish immediately since they isolate at least one on-shell three-point amplitude with real momenta. Subsequently applying the BCFW recursion relations, the tree amplitudes factorize into elementary building blocks of three-point amplitudes A_3 .

Reversing the order of the calculation we can construct the amplitude by *glueing* together three-point amplitudes. The momenta can kept on-shell be their analytic continuation, that is, following the BCFW recursion relations, whenever we glue together two on-shell subamplitudes we have to *deform* the outer momenta accordingly. Conveniently this can be done by the application of a two-particle shift of two of the external momenta - one out of each subamplitude. To a certain perturbation order we have to collect all possible constructions of glued on-shell amplitudes. As we have seen in the decomposition, in many cases we encounter vanishing contributions, for instance when we isolate three-point amplitudes with all gluons carrying minus or plus helicities. To a certain perturbation order we also have to consider amplitudes with pairs of outer particles in the forward limit, that is, particle-antiparticle pairs with opposite momenta and opposite quantum numbers. These pairs are unobservable since they represent vacuum states. Therefore, these contributions appear in a quite natural way. In the conventional Feynman-diagram approach these amplitudes correspond to loop diagrams. Let us emphasize that the Feynman-tree theorem is not limited to the one-loop order, but holds to any loop order. Therefore, we can apply the method of glueing together elementary building blocks to any perturbation order.

Let us note that in general, the pairs of particles in the forward limit give rise to singularities. However, performing the calculation of the amplitudes in general D dimensions we can regularize these singularities.

Some remarks to the glueing process are in order: to a certain perturbation order we consider all contributions taking unobservable pairs of particles in the forward limit into account. In principle, we may encounter contributions, where we glue together subamplitudes which result in a loop. However this kind of loop is quite different from a loop in the conventional Feynman-diagram approach. In the amplitude approach all outer and inner lines are on-shell in contrast to Feynman diagrams. As we can see, glueing together tree diagrams to an on-shell loop diagram we cannot satisfy momentum conservation and on-shellness simultaneously and therefore these contributions vanish naturally. We conclude that by glueing together elementary amplitudes we can disregard any loops.

4. CONCLUSION

The BCFW-recursion relations factorize tree amplitude of gauge theories into elementary three-point on-shell amplitudes which form the elementary building blocks. These elementary building blocks in turn are, apart from a coupling constant, fixed by little group scaling and locality. Since we are considering color-ordered amplitudes, the complete amplitudes therefore will depend also on the gauge symmetry of the model.

If we first open the loops by iterative application of the Feynman-tree theorem and then recursively apply the BCFW recursion relations we can factorize any amplitude to any perturbation order into elementary three-point amplitudes. Reversing the recursion relations, we can construct amplitudes by glueing together on-shell three-point amplitudes. To a certain perturbation order we have to consider amplitudes with additional pairs of particles-antiparticles with opposite momenta and opposite quantum numbers, that is, particle pairs in the forward limit corresponding to unobservable vacuum states.

We have applied this method to the four-gluon amplitude with all gluons carrying plus helicities to leading order. This amplitude corresponds in conventional calculation to one-loop Feynman diagrams with scalars, fermions, and gluons in the loop. By a hidden supersymmetry all loop contributions can be related to the contribution with a complex scalar running in the loop. Glueing together three-point amplitudes A_3 to the fourth order in the coupling we have to consider up to four additional particle anti-particle pairs. However, all contributions with three or four particle-antiparticle pairs vanish, because they isolate at least one on-shell three-point amplitude with real momenta. We have seen, that in the case of all plus amplitudes, also the diagrams with two pairs in the forward limit vanish. Eventually we have computed the contributions with one particle pair in the forward limit. In an explicit calculation of these contributions, we have reproduced the known result for the amplitude. Eventually let us emphasize that this calculation of a color-ordered amplitude is based only on locality, little group scaling, that is, Lorentz invariance, and unitarity.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank D. Diáz Vázquez and P. Mastrolia for helpful discussions. The project was supported in part by the UBB project “Materia Oscura y los bosones de Higgs” with number DIUBB 193209 1/R.

-
- [1] R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, “New recursion relations for tree amplitudes of gluons,” Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 499 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.02.030 [hep-th/0412308].
- [2] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, “Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 181602 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.181602 [hep-th/0501052].
- [3] S. J. Parke and T. R. Taylor, “An Amplitude for n Gluon Scattering,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2459 (1986). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2459
- [4] H. Elvang and Y. t. Huang, “Scattering Amplitudes,” arXiv:1308.1697 [hep-th].
- [5] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, “One loop n point gauge theory amplitudes, unitarity and collinear limits,” Nucl. Phys. B **425**, 217 (1994) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90179-1 [hep-ph/9403226].
- [6] W. L. van Neerven and J. A. M. Vermaseren, “Large Loop Integrals,” Phys. Lett. **137B**, 241 (1984).
- [7] S. J. Bidder, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, D. C. Dunbar and W. B. Perkins, “One-loop gluon scattering amplitudes in theories with $N < 4$ supersymmetries,” Phys. Lett. B **612**, 75 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.02.045 [hep-th/0502028].
- [8] C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. Kunszt and P. Mastrolia, “D-dimensional unitarity cut method,” Phys. Lett. B **645**, 213 (2007) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.12.022 [hep-ph/0609191].
- [9] W. T. Giele, Z. Kunszt and K. Melnikov, “Full one-loop amplitudes from tree amplitudes,” JHEP **0804**, 049 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/049 [arXiv:0801.2237 [hep-ph]].
- [10] R. E. Cutkosky, “Singularities and discontinuities of Feynman amplitudes,” J. Math. Phys. **1**, 429 (1960). doi:10.1063/1.1703676
- [11] R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, “Generalized unitarity and one-loop amplitudes in $N=4$ super-Yang-Mills,” Nucl. Phys. B **725**, 275 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.07.014 [hep-th/0412103].
- [12] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, “Fusing gauge theory tree amplitudes into loop amplitudes,” Nucl. Phys. B **435**, 59 (1995) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)00488-Z [hep-ph/9409265].
- [13] Z. Bern and A. G. Morgan, “Massive loop amplitudes from unitarity,” Nucl. Phys. B **467**, 479 (1996) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00078-8 [hep-ph/9511336].
- [14] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, “One loop selfdual and $N=4$ superYang-Mills,” Phys. Lett. B **394**, 105 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(96)01676-0 [hep-th/9611127].
- [15] A. Brandhuber, S. McNamara, B. J. Spence and G. Travaglini, “Loop amplitudes in pure Yang-Mills from generalised unitarity,” JHEP **0510**, 011 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/10/011 [hep-th/0506068].
- [16] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, “On-shell recurrence relations for one-loop QCD amplitudes,” Phys. Rev. D **71**, 105013 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.105013 [hep-th/0501240].
- [17] Z. Bern, G. Chalmers, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, “One loop N gluon amplitudes with maximal helicity violation via collinear limits,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2134 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.2134 [hep-ph/9312333].
- [18] G. Mahlon, “Multi-gluon helicity amplitudes involving a quark loop,” Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4438 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.49.4438 [hep-ph/9312276].
- [19] E. W. Nigel Glover and C. Williams, “One-Loop Gluonic Amplitudes from Single Unitarity Cuts,” JHEP **0812**, 067 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/067 [arXiv:0810.2964 [hep-th]].
- [20] P. Mastrolia, “Double-Cut of Scattering Amplitudes and Stokes’ Theorem,” Phys. Lett. B **678**, 246 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2909 [hep-ph]].
- [21] A. Brandhuber, B. Spence and G. Travaglini, “From trees to loops and back,” JHEP **0601**, 142 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/01/142 [hep-th/0510253].
- [22] R. Britto and E. Mirabella, “Single Cut Integration,” JHEP **1101**, 135 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)135 [arXiv:1011.2344 [hep-th]].
- [23] R. P. Feynman, “Quantum theory of gravitation,” Acta Phys. Polon. **24**, 697 (1963).
- [24] R. P. Feynman, “Closed Loop And Tree Diagrams,” in Selected papers of Richard Feynman, ed. L. M. Brown (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).
- [25] S. Catani, T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss, G. Rodrigo and J. C. Winter, “From loops to trees by-passing Feynman’s theorem,” JHEP **0809**, 065 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3170 [hep-ph]].
- [26] S. Caron-Huot, “Loops and trees,” JHEP **1105**, 080 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2011)080 [arXiv:1007.3224 [hep-ph]].
- [27] I. Bierenbaum, S. Catani, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, “A Tree-Loop Duality Relation at Two Loops and Beyond,” JHEP **1010**, 073 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0194 [hep-ph]].
- [28] C. Baadsgaard, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. L. Bourjaily, S. Caron-Huot, P. H. Damgaard and B. Feng, “New Representations of the Perturbative S-Matrix,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 6, 061601 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061601 [arXiv:1509.02169 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. Maniatis, “Scattering amplitudes abandoning virtual particles,” arXiv:1511.03574 [hep-th].
- [30] M. Maniatis, “Application of the Feynman-tree theorem together with BCFW recursion relations,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. D

- 17**, 00315 (2017) doi:10.1142/S0217751X18500422 [arXiv:1609.00377 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. Maniatis and C. M. Reyes, “Scattering amplitudes from a deconstruction of Feynman diagrams,” arXiv:1605.04268 [hep-th].
- [32] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, “The Computation of loop amplitudes in gauge theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **379**, 451 (1992). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(92)90134-W
- [33] S. D. Badger, E. W. N. Glover, V. V. Khoze and P. Svrcek, “Recursion relations for gauge theory amplitudes with massive particles,” JHEP **0507**, 025 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/07/025 [hep-th/0504159].
- [34] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. C. Huang and Y. t. Huang, “Scattering Amplitudes For All Masses and Spins,” arXiv:1709.04891 [hep-th].