

Speed limit of quantum dynamics near the event horizon of black holes

Yusef Maleki¹ and Alireza Maleki²

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-4242, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

(Dated: June 27, 2019)

Quantum mechanics imposes a fundamental bound on the minimum time required for the quantum systems to evolve between two states of interest. This bound introduces a limit on the speed of the dynamical evolution of the systems, known as the quantum speed limit. We show that black holes can drastically affect the speed limit of a two-level fermionic quantum system subjected to an open quantum dynamics. As we demonstrate, the quantum speed limit can enhance at the vicinity of a black hole's event horizon in the Schwarzschild spacetime.

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the underlying dynamical structure of black holes is of vital importance for shedding a new light on fundamental issues of the black hole physics [1]. Black holes are usually considered to be captured by the general relativity; however, near the horizon of a black hole, quantum theories have significant effects on the physical events as well [2]. One important effect that emerges from the combination of quantum and relativity theories, on the event horizon of black holes, is the evaporation of black holes by emitting the so-called Hawking radiation [3]. This description has led us to a profound physical intuition, where the number of particles that an observer detects depends on the relativistic nature of the black hole. These studies brought up some contradictions and paradoxes, such as the information paradox [4–8]. Resolving these paradoxes requires a better understanding of the quantum description of the relativistic theories [1, 9–12]. Furthermore, a better insight into the quantum processes at the vicinity of the black holes may pave the way toward having a consistent picture of the entire universe [13].

Recent developments of the observational techniques on detecting black holes effects, such as LIGO gravitational wave detection [14] or the central supermassive black hole of Milky way known as the Sagittarius A* [15], are promising that more useful observational data on black holes will be accessible in the near future. Moreover, the study of analogue black holes [16, 17] or artificial black holes [18] based on various physical systems, such as acoustic black holes [19] which are created in the water tanks experiments [20, 21], atomic Bose–Einstein condensate analogy [22, 23], or ultrashort pulses produced in optical fibers [24], enables investigation of the quantum effects of the black holes in the laboratory more feasible [25–28]

Quantum mechanics imposes a fundamental bound on the maximal speed (minimal time) of the dynamical evolution of any quantum process [29]. In the simplest scenario, the time–energy uncertainty principle sets a limit on the minimal time that is required for the dynamical evolution of a system between two states of interest [30]. The so-called Quantum Speed Limit(QSL) plays a central role in understanding the fundamental dynamical nature of quantum systems [31] and in the quest for ultimate speedup in technology, with a vast span of applications from optimal control theory for various information processes [32–34] to nonequilibrium thermody-

namics [35].

We show that QSL time of an open quantum dynamics can, indeed, decrease on a Schwarzschild black hole's event horizon, indicating a speedup on QSL of the dynamical evolution of the quantum systems on a black hole's event horizon. This speedup is demonstrated for both Markovian and non-Markovian quantum dynamics.

II. DIRAC FIELD IN THE SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

We consider a Dirac field in the presence of a non-rotating spherically symmetric Schwarzschild black hole [36–38]. The Schwarzschild spacetime metric with the coordinate parameters (t, r, θ, ϕ) reads [39]

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{2M}{r})dt^2 + (1 - \frac{2M}{r})^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

where, the units $\hbar = G = c = k = 1$ here. M is the mass of the black hole, and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ is the metric of a two-sphere with unit radius. The surface with $r = 2M$ is a coordinate dependent singularity known as the horizon of the black hole, associated to the radius called the Schwarzschild radius, denoted by r_s [40].

Considering the Dirac field of mass m_D , the field equation is determined by [41–43]

$$(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_D)\psi = 0, \quad (2)$$

where γ^μ 's are the general form of the 4 by 4 Dirac matrices, which are related to the normal Dirac matrices in the Minkowski spacetime by $e_a^\mu \gamma^a$, which e_a^μ being defined as the inverse tetrad basis e_μ^a , and the metric tensor being defines as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e_\mu^a e_\nu^b$. The covariant derivation is $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$, where $\Gamma_\mu = \gamma^\alpha \gamma^b e_a^\nu e_{b\nu;\mu}$.

To consider the dynamical structure of the quantum systems subjected to the Schwarzschild black holes, we quantize the Dirac equation in Eq.(2), for the massless field studied in this work. We can express this equation in a conformally flat form by introducing the conformal distance $r^* = r + 2M \ln(\frac{r-2M}{2M})$ [39, 44]. This new coordinate is called tortoise coordinate, which is expressed by the parameters (t, r^*, θ, ϕ) . This coordinate asymptotically reaches the Minkowski coordinate as r^* goes to infinity [39]. Now, we can define the lightcone coordinate as $u = t - r^*$ and $v = t + r^*$. By solving Eq.(2), in

this coordinate, for the positive frequency (fermion particles) of the outgoing solutions we obtain [45]

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{I+} = g e^{-i\omega u}, (r > r_s), \quad (3)$$

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{II+} = g e^{i\omega u}, (r < r_s),$$

where, the labels (I, II) indicate the outside and inside of the event horizon, respectively. g is a 4-component Dirac spinor, and \mathbf{k} is the wavenumber related to the frequency ω . Now, by quantizing the Dirac field of the outgoing modes in the tortoise coordinate we obtain

$$\Psi(u, v) = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{k} (a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger} + b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} + H.c.). \quad (4)$$

the labels (+, -) on the wavefunctions refers to the positive and the negative frequency solutions of the Dirac equation corresponding to the particles and anti-particles. Here, $a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger}$, $a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}$ and $b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger}$, $b_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}$ are the creation and annihilation operators for the particles and antiparticles of the Dirac field, respectively. We also note that $\sigma \in \{I, II\}$. Eq.(4) demonstrates the Dirac field in the coordinate of an observer located in a fixed distance outside of the event horizon.

Considering an observer that freely falls into the Schwarzschild black hole, we write the metric in the form of the proper time \bar{t} and the proper distance \bar{r} and introduce the lightcone coordinate (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) such that $\bar{u} = \bar{t} - \bar{r}$ and $\bar{v} = \bar{t} + \bar{r}$. The parameters of the coordinate (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) are related to the parameters of tortoise coordinate (u, v) for $r > r_s$ (region I) by [39]

$$\bar{u} = -4M \exp\left(-\frac{u}{4M}\right), \quad \bar{v} = 4M \exp\left(\frac{v}{4M}\right). \quad (5)$$

To obtain the coordinate of the inside of the horizon (region II), we only need to change the sign of the coordinate components in the above relation, i.e., $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \mapsto (-\bar{u}, -\bar{v})$. Therefore, we can express the Schwarzschild metric in Eq.(1) in this new coordinate, which is called Kruskal coordinates[39]. If we solve the Dirac equation in Eq.(2), in this new coordinate for the positive outgoing mode, the solution could be written in terms of plane waves, $e^{-i\omega\bar{u}}$ and $e^{i\omega\bar{u}}$. Hence, as is shown in [43], using the basis in Eq.(3), we can represent the complete basis in the Kruskal coordinate as

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{I+} = e^{2M\pi\omega} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{I+} + e^{-2M\pi\omega} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{II-}, \quad (6)$$

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{II+} = e^{2M\pi\omega} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{I-} + e^{-2M\pi\omega} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{II+}.$$

By expanding the Dirac field Ψ in the Kruskal spacetime, we have

$$\Psi(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{k} [2 \cosh(4M\pi\omega)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger} + d_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma} + H.c.), \quad (7)$$

where, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger}$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}$ and $d_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma \dagger}$, $d_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}$ are the creation and the annihilation operators for particles and antiparticles of the Dirac field. Hence, we quantized the Dirac field in tortoise and Kruskal coordinates regarding the equations (4) and (7), respectively.

The creation and annihilation operators of the Dirac field in the Kruskal coordinate can be written in the basis of tortoise coordinate though Bogoliubov transformations. From this transformation, one could write the vacuum and the excited states of Kruskal coordinate in terms of tortoise coordinate as [43]

$$|0_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle^K = \zeta |0_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle^I |0_{-\mathbf{k}}\rangle^{II} + \eta |1_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle^I |1_{-\mathbf{k}}\rangle^{II}, \quad (8)$$

$$|1_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle^K = |1_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle^I |0_{-\mathbf{k}}\rangle^{II}, \quad (9)$$

where $\zeta = (e^{-\omega/T} + 1)^{-1/2}$, $\eta = (e^{\omega/T} + 1)^{-1/2}$, and $T = 1/8\pi M$ is the Hawking temperature. In our analysis, we define $\zeta := \cos(r)$ and $\eta := \sin(r)$.

III. QUANTUM SPEED LIMIT FOR MARKOVIAN AND NON-MARKOVIAN DYNAMICS NEAR THE EVENT HORIZON

The question of how fast a quantum system evolves on the event horizon of a black hole is of vital importance for understanding the physical nature of the black holes. In the quantum mechanical description, the state of a quantum system is given by the density operator ρ . We can express the initial state in the Kruskal observer frame which is locally equivalent to the Minkowski metric. If the initial state of the system is described by ρ_0 , with respect to the observer in the Minkowski spacetime, after evolution for a time interval t , the system would be in its final state ρ_t . Given the initial and the final density matrices of a system, one may ask what is the maximum speed of the evolution that the system can evolve between these two states? In the realm of open quantum dynamics, the answer to this question may not be easy, as we do not have access to all of the degrees of freedom of the environment [31]. Recently, a new method has been introduced to tackle this problem, with the notion of the QSL [31, 46]. QSL time between two density matrices of the interest can be given as [47]

$$\tau \geq \tau_{QSL} = \frac{1 - F(\rho_0, \rho_t)}{X(\tau)}. \quad (10)$$

Where $F(\rho_0, \rho_t)$ is the fidelity of the two states ρ_0 and ρ_t expressed as

$$F(\rho_0, \rho_t) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\rho_0 \rho_t)}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}(\rho_0^2) \text{Tr}(\rho_t^2)}}. \quad (11)$$

Also, $X(\tau)$ is defined as

$$X(\tau) = \frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Tr}(\dot{\rho}_t^2)}{\text{Tr}(\rho_t^2)}} dt, \quad (12)$$

where, $\dot{\rho}_t$ indicates the time derivative of the density operator ρ_t .

III.1. Quantum speed limit near the event horizon with no memory effects

Quantum systems inevitably interact with their surrounding environment and share information with the systems that they interact with. We consider a simple scenario where a two-level quantum system (a qubit) interacts with its environment. We represent the ground state of our system by $|0\rangle_S$ and the excited state of the qubit by $|1\rangle_S$. If the system is initially prepared in the excited state $|1\rangle_S$, after a while, with some probability P it may decay to the ground state $|0\rangle_S$. In this process, the environment evolves from its ground state $|0\rangle_E$ to the $|1\rangle_E$, where we have assumed that the environment is in a vacuum state. Otherwise, if the initial state of the system is the ground state, it will remain in the ground state. These processes can be expressed as [48]

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle_S \otimes |0\rangle_E &\mapsto |0\rangle_S \otimes |0\rangle_E, \\ |1\rangle_S \otimes |0\rangle_E &\mapsto \sqrt{1-P}|1\rangle_S \otimes |0\rangle_E + \sqrt{P}|0\rangle_S \otimes |1\rangle_E. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The transition probability here is time dependent and could be expressed in terms of the decay rate Γ as $\sqrt{1-P} = e^{-\Gamma t}$. This is equivalent to the dynamics of a qubit in a lossy channel, where there is no memory effects.

Since we only are interested in the dynamics of the system, we trace out the environmental degrees of freedoms. As a result, we can define the so-called Kraus matrices [49] as

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-P} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Here, M_0 describes the situation where no transition from the excited state to the ground state happens, and M_1 represents the quantum transition to the ground state. Using these matrices the density matrix of the system reads

$$\rho_K = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{00} + P\rho_{11} & \sqrt{1-P}\rho_{01} \\ \sqrt{1-P}\rho_{10} & (1-P)\rho_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is the density matrix observed by the observer in the Kruskal reference frame. To distinguish the reference frames, we put the label K to physical quantity expressed in the Kruskal reference frame, and use label T for quantities described in the tortoise coordinate.

To see how the tortoise observer interprets the evolution of the system near the horizon we can use the result of Eqs.(8) and (9). Since the tortoise observer outside of the horizon has no access to the information inside of the horizon (region II), we trace out this region to obtain the state of the system outside of the horizon. With this in mind, the density matrix of the system in the tortoise coordinate degenerates to

$$\rho_{IT} = Tr(\rho_T)_{II} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{00} \cos^2(r) & \rho_{01} \cos(r) \\ \rho_{10} \cos(r) & \rho_{11} + \sin^2(r)\rho_{00} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of generality, we take the density matrix of the two-level system, in the inertial frame, as

$$\rho_K(0) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}I + \alpha|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, \quad (15)$$




FIG. 1. Quantum speed limit time of the system versus parameter r in a pure damping channel when there is no memory effect. (a) $\Gamma = 1.5$ and (b) $\Gamma = 10$. The red, black and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively.




FIG. 2. Quantum speed limit time of the system versus decay parameter Γ , when there is no memory effect. (a) $r = 0$ and (b) $r = \pi/4$. The red, black and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively.

where, I is the 2×2 identity matrix of the Hilbert space of the system, and $|\psi\rangle$ is the pure state given by $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_S + |1\rangle_S)$. The parameter α determines the degree of the pureness of the state. For $\alpha = 0$, the state of the system reduces to a maximally mixed state, and for $\alpha = 1$, it becomes a pure state.

Given the initial state of the system and the density matrix ρ_{IT} , we can investigate the QSL of the dynamics of the system through Eq.(10). In Fig.(1) we present the QSL time of the system as a function of parameter r , using Eq.(10). Accordingly, the quantum speed limit time of the pure state decrease monotonically as r increases.

For highly mixed initial states, the QSL time slightly increases as the parameter r increases, and then it monotonically decreases by r . In all cases, the QSL time decreases remarkably for relatively large rs . This indicates that a dynamical speedup at the vicinity of a black hole is expected. Furthermore, the QSL time is always longer for the pure states, which is in agreement with the non-relativistic scenario of the dynamical speed limit.

In Fig.(2) we present the QSL time of the system as a function of the decay parameter Γ , for fixed rs . In this figure, we choose the situations when there is no gravitational effect ($r = 0$), and when the gravitational effect is the highest ($r = \pi/4$). In both cases, the QSL time starts from zero and increases until it asymptotically approaches a fixed value for large Γ s. Even though both cases exhibit similar characteristics, the QSL time approaches a smaller value when there is gravitational effect, which can be translated into the enhancement of the QSL in this case.




FIG. 3. Quantum speed limit of the system versus acceleration parameter r in the Markovian region with $\lambda = 10$. (a) $\gamma_0 = 1.5$ and (b) $\gamma_0 = 3$. The red, black and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively.




FIG. 4. Quantum speed limit of the system versus acceleration parameter r in the non-Markovian region with $\lambda = 10$. (a) $\gamma_0 = 10$, (b) $\gamma_0 = 20$, (c) $\gamma_0 = 50$ and (d) $\gamma_0 = 300$. The red, black and blue curves corresponds to $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively.

III.2. Quantum speed limit near the event horizon with memory effects

In most of the realistic scenarios, environment has some structured spectral density function where the memory effects can play a significant role in the dynamics of the system. The dynamics in this setting can be Markovian or non-Markovian [50]. We consider the qubit interacting with the environment which has an effective Lorentzian spectral density function [51]

$$J(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\gamma_0 \lambda}{(\omega_0 - \omega)^2 + \lambda^2}, \quad (16)$$

where, ω_0 denotes the frequency of the qubit, γ_0 represents the coupling strength, and λ is the spectral width.

Thus, the initial state ρ_{0K} , after a time evolution t , degenerates to ρ_{tK} , which can be expressed as [52]

$$\rho_{tK} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{00} + (1 - |G(t)|^2)\rho_{11} & G(t)^* \rho_{01} \\ G(t)\rho_{10} & |G(t)|^2 \rho_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Here, the function $G(t)$ is determined by

$$G(t) = e^{-\lambda t/2} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{dt}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{d} \sinh\left(\frac{dt}{2}\right) \right). \quad (18)$$

The parameter d is $d = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\gamma_0\lambda}$. The dynamics of the system is considered to be Markovian when $\gamma_0 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}$, and it is considered to be a non-Markovian dynamics if $\gamma_0 > \frac{\lambda}{2}$ [51].




FIG. 5. Quantum speed limit of the system as a function of γ_0 with $\lambda = 10$. (a) $r = 0$ and (b) $r = \pi/4$. The red and black curves corresponds to maximally mixed ($\alpha = 0$) and pure ($\alpha = 1$) state, respectively.

Similar to the previous scenario, to investigate the effect of the Schwarzschild black hole on the time evolution of both Markovian and non-Markovian system dynamics we consider the initial state of the system to be in Eq.(15)

$$\rho_{IT}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} (2 - |G(t)|^2) \frac{\cos(r)^2}{2} & \alpha G(t)^* \frac{\cos(r)}{2} \\ \alpha G(t) \frac{\cos(r)}{2} & |G(t)|^2 \frac{\cos(r)^2}{2} + \sin(r)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

With the density matrix at hand, we can calculate the quantum speed limit time, τ_{QSL} , for the system through Eq.(10). First, we consider the Markovian dynamics in Fig.(3). In our analysis, we choose the parameter λ to be $\lambda = 10$. For the small coupling strength γ_0 , the τ_{QSL} for the pure states is larger than that for the mixed states when the gravitational effect is not very large; however, the large gravitational effect may make the pure states to have smaller τ_{QSL} . Thus, the existence of a black hole can affect pure states dynamics more compared to the mixed states, as τ_{QSL} reduces faster in this regime (see Fig.(3)(a)). According to Fig.(3)(b), for moderately large coupling strength γ_0 , the pure state has always larger τ_{QSL} , even though τ_{QSL} may decrease due to the gravitational effect.

We consider the non-Markovian dynamics in Fig.(4), where we observe that the larger coupling strength γ_0 , results in a smaller τ_{QSL} , in general. Also, the non-Markovianity can drastically affect the QSL of the system. For large enough non-Markovianity, the QSL of the pure states can be smaller than that of the mixed states. Nonetheless, Figs.(3) and (4) show that, for high black hole temperatures, the QSL can drastically enhance even when the memory effects are pronounced in the system.

In Fig.(5), we present the quantum speed limit time of the system as a function of γ_0 for $\lambda = 10$, which captures both Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics. Here, τ_{QSL} decreases as the coupling strength increases. This also confirms that the quantum speed limit time can be shorter around the black holes and in presence of the gravity in general.

IV. CONCLUSION

Quantum mechanics sets a fundamental bound on the minimum time required for dynamical evolution between two states of a system. This bound introduces a limit on the speed of dynamical evolution of a system. We showed that QSL time of an open quantum dynamics can indeed decrease on a black hole's event horizon in the Schwarzschild spacetime, inherited from the uniform acceleration of the Dirac field. Our analysis

covers both Markovian and non-Markovian regimes and exposes the dynamical nature of QSL of quantum mechanical processes near the black hole. Our results incorporate a more generic setting of any accelerating frame due to the equivalence principle [39]. Thus, similar conclusions can be made for an accelerating frame in the flat space-time which results in the Unruh effect. Our approach utilizes techniques from quantum information and quantum optics to grasp the quantum dynamics of black holes. This may open a new arena towards a better understanding of the black holes dynamics.

-
- [1] L. Susskind, J. Lindesay, and G. Scarpetta, An introduction to black holes, information and the string revolution, *Hologr. Universe* (2014) 1-200.
 - [2] X. Calmet, B. Carr, and E. Winstanley, *Quantum black holes*. Springer, 2014.
 - [3] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Communications in mathematical physics* **43** (1975), no. 3 199-220.
 - [4] J. D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, *Physical Review D* **7** (1973), no. 8 2333.
 - [5] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, vol. 1. Cambridge university press, 1973.
 - [6] G. 't Hooft, On the quantum structure of a black hole, *Nuclear Physics B* **256** (1985) 727-745.
 - [7] L. Susskind, Black holes and the information paradox, *Scientific American* **276** (1997) 52-57.
 - [8] C. G. Callan Jr and J. M. Maldacena, D-brane approach to black hole quantum mechanics, *Nuclear Physics B* **472** (1996), no. 3 591-608.
 - [9] P. Hayden and J. Preskill, Black holes as mirrors: quantum information in random subsystems, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2007** (2007), no. 09 120.
 - [10] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, Quantum tunneling beyond semiclassical approximation, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008** (2008), no. 06 095.
 - [11] S. W. Hawking, Information preservation and weather forecasting for black holes, arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.5761 (2014).
 - [12] P. Chen, Y. C. Ong, and D.-h. Yeom, Black hole remnants and the information loss paradox, *Physics reports* **603** (2015) 1-45.
 - [13] M. Arzano, A. J. M. Medved, and E. C. Vagenas, Hawking radiation as tunneling through the quantum horizon, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2005** (2005), no. 09 037.
 - [14] C. Caprini, M. Hindmarsh, S. Huber, T. Konstandin, J. Kozaczuk, G. Nardini, G. Nardini, J. No, A. Petiteau, P. Schwaller, et al., Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger, *Physical Review Letters* **116**, 116 (2016).
 - [15] R. Schödel, T. Ott, R. Genzel, R. Hofmann, M. Lehnert, A. Eckart, N. Mouawad, T. Alexander, M. Reid, R. Lenzen, et al., A star in a 15.2-year orbit around the supermassive black hole at the centre of the milky way, *Nature* **419** (2002), no. 6908 694.
 - [16] W. G. Unruh, Sonic analogue of black holes and the effects of high frequencies on black hole evaporation, *Physical Review D* **51** (1995), no. 6 2827.
 - [17] W. Unruh, Dumb holes: analogues for black holes, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **366** (2008), no. 1877 2905-2913.
 - [18] M. Novello, M. Visser, and G. E. Volovik, *Artificial black holes*. World Scientific, 2002.
 - [19] W. G. Unruh, Experimental black-hole evaporation?, *Physical Review Letters* **46** (1981), no. 21 1351.
 - [20] G. Rousseaux, C. Mathis, P. Maissa, T. G. Philbin, and U. Leonhardt, Observation of negative-frequency waves in a water tank: a classical analogue to the hawking effect?, *New Journal of Physics* **10** (2008), no. 5 053015.
 - [21] S. Weinfurter, E. W. Tedford, M. C. Penrice, W. G. Unruh, and G. A. Lawrence, Measurement of stimulated hawking emission in an analogue system, *Physical review letters* **106** (2011), no. 2 021302.
 - [22] L. J. Garay, J. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Sonic analog of gravitational black holes in bose-einstein condensates, *Physical Review Letters* **85** (2000), no. 22 4643.
 - [23] O. Lahav, A. Itah, A. Blumkin, C. Gordon, S. Rinott, A. Zayats, and J. Steinhauer, Realization of a sonic black hole analog in a bose-einstein condensate, *Physical review letters* **105** (2010), no. 24 240401.
 - [24] F. Belgiorno, S. L. Cacciatori, M. Clerici, V. Gorini, G. Ortenzi, L. Rizzi, E. Rubino, V. G. Sala, and D. Faccio, Hawking radiation from ultrashort laser pulse filaments, *Physical review letters* **105** (2010), no. 20 203901.
 - [25] J. Steinhauer, Observation of self-amplifying hawking radiation in an analogue black-hole laser, *Nature Physics* **10** (2014), 864.
 - [26] J. Steinhauer, Observation of quantum hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole, *Nature Physics* **12** (2016), 959.
 - [27] D. Boiron, A. Fabbri, P.-É. Larré, N. Pavloff, C. I. Westbrook, and P. Zif, Quantum signature of analog hawking radiation in momentum space, *Physical review letters* **115** (2015), no. 2 025301.
 - [28] M. Visser, Acoustic black holes: horizons, ergospheres and hawking radiation, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **15** (1998), no. 6 1767.
 - [29] S. Deffner and S. Campbell, Quantum speed limits: from heisenbergs uncertainty principle to optimal quantum control, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50** (2017), no. 45 453001.
 - [30] L. Mandelstam and I. Tamm, The uncertainty relation between energy and time in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, in *Selected Papers*, pp. 115-123. Springer, 1991.
 - [31] A. Del Campo, I. Egusquiza, M. B. Plenio, and S. Huelga, Quantum speed limits in open system dynamics, *Physical review letters* **110** (2013), no. 5 050403.
 - [32] J. D. Bekenstein, Energy cost of information transfer, *Physical Review Letters* **46** (1981), no. 10 623.
 - [33] S. Lloyd, Ultimate physical limits to computation, *Nature* **406** (2000), no. 6799 1047.
 - [34] T. Caneva, M. Murphy, T. Calarco, R. Fazio, S. Montangero, V. Giovannetti, and G. E. Santoro, Optimal control at the quantum speed limit, *Physical review letters* **103** (2009), no. 24 240501.

- [35] S. Deffner and E. Lutz, Generalized clausius inequality for nonequilibrium quantum processes, *Physical review letters* **105** (2010), no. 17 170402.
- [36] D. R. Brill and J. A. Wheeler, Interaction of neutrinos and gravitational fields, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **29** (1957), no. 3 465.
- [37] D. G. Boulware, Spin-1/2 quantum field theory in schwarzschild space, *Physical Review D* **12** (1975), no. 2 350.
- [38] M. Soffel, B. Muller, and W. Greiner, Particles in a stationary spherically symmetric gravitational field, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **10** (1977), no. 4 551.
- [39] S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and geometry. An introduction to general relativity*. 2004.
- [40] A. Zee, *Einstein gravity in a nutshell*. Princeton University Press, 2013.
- [41] V. Dokuchaev and Y. N. Eroshenko, Stationary solutions of the dirac equation in the gravitational field of a charged black hole, *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **117** (2013), no. 1 72-77.
- [42] P. A. Sánchez, M. Anabitarte, and M. Bellini, Dirac equation in a de sitter expansion for massive neutrinos from modern kaluzaklein theory, *Physics Letters B* **709** (2012), no. 4-5 404-407.
- [43] J. He, S. Xu, Y. Yu, and L. Ye, Property of various correlation measures of open dirac system with hawking effect in schwarzschild space-time, *Physics Letters B* **740** (2015) 322-328.
- [44] B. Raffaelli, A scattering approach to some aspects of the schwarzschild black hole, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2013** (2013), no. 1 188.
- [45] J. Wang, Q. Pan, and J. Jing, Entanglement redistribution in the schwarzschild spacetime, *Physics Letters B* **692** (2010), no. 3 202-205.
- [46] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, Colloquium : Non-Markovian dynamics in open quantum systems, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88** (apr, 2016) 021002.
- [47] Z. Sun, J. Liu, J. Ma, and X. Wang, Quantum speed limits in open systems: Non-markovian dynamics without rotating-wave approximation, *Scientific reports* **5** (2015) 8444.
- [48] M. Le Bellac et al., *A short introduction to quantum information and quantum computation*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [49] M. A. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*, 2002.
- [50] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The theory of open quantum systems: From the qubit to qed*, in *APS Meeting Abstracts*, 2003.
- [51] B. Bellomo, R. L. Franco, and G. Compagno, Entanglement dynamics of two independent qubits in environments with and without memory, *Physical Review A* **77** (2008), no. 3 032342.
- [52] S. Deffner and E. Lutz, Quantum speed limit for non-markovian dynamics, *Physical review letters* **111** (2013), no. 1 010402.