

Thermodynamics and phase transition of rotating Hayward-de Sitter black holes

Md Sabir Ali ^{a*} and Sushant G. Ghosh^{a, b, †}

^a *Center for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi 110025, India and*

^b *Astrophysics and Cosmology Research Unit,*

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal,

Private Bag 54001, Durban 4000, South Africa

(Dated: June 28, 2019)

Abstract

We perform a comprehensive analysis of the thermodynamic properties of the rotating Hayward black holes which are characterized by their mass (M), charge g , and angular momentum (a). We evaluate the thermodynamics quantities such as the Hawking temperature, mass, the entropy, the heat capacity the black holes. The minimum of the mass occurs at $r_+ = r_+^*$, where both the heat capacity and temperature becomes vanishing. We find the critical values r_+^C where heat capacity diverges and black holes go through a thermal phase transition.

We also analyzed the horizon structure of the Hayward-de Sitter black holes to show that for each g , there exist two critical values of the mass parameter M_{cr1} and M_{cr2} which correspond to the degenerate horizons such that for $M_{\text{cr1}} < M < M_{\text{cr2}}$ we have rotating Hayward-dS black holes with three horizons, viz, the inner horizon, the event horizon and cosmological horizon. On the other hand, we present the effective thermodynamic quantities of the rotating Hayward-de Sitter black holes by combining the first laws at the two horizons. We calculated the heat capacity at constant pressure C_P , the volume expansion coefficient α , and the isothermal compressibility κ_T .

*Electronic address: alimd.sabir3@gmail.com

†Electronic address: sghosh2@jmi.ac.in, sghosh@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

The gravitational collapse of a star with sufficient mass, under some general conditions will result into a singularity—as stated by the singularity theorems due to Hawking and Ellis [1, 2]. Regular black holes have been considered, dating back to Bardeen [3], for avoiding the curvature singularity beyond the event horizon [4, 5]. Bardeen proposed the first regular model based on the ideas of Sakharov [6] and Gliner [7] who suggested that appearance of the singularities could be replaced by matter with a de Sitter core, i.e., with the equation of state $p = -\rho$. The Bardeen metric is spherically symmetric and static, which is asymptotically flat, and have regular centers, satisfying the weak energy condition and it has influences in shaping the direction of subsequent research on the existence or avoidance of singularities. Later, the Bardeen model was interpreted as an exact solution to Einstein’s field equations when coupled to a nonlinear electrodynamics [5]. It is also explained as a contrary to the possibility that avoidance of singularities may be manifested in black hole spacetimes even if we ignore the strong energy condition [4, 8]. As the weak cosmic censorship conjecture [1, 2] asserted that the singularities which appear in gravitational collapse are always surrounded by an event horizon. However, the existence of regular black holes are not regretted by this conjecture. In fact, Hawking and Ellis [1, 2], and Borde [4, 8] pointed out that Bardeen [3] model explicitly shows the impossibility of proving more general singularity theorems, if one ignores the strong energy condition on a strict basis. There has been an tremendous study on the analysis, and to find various regular black hole solutions [9–13]. But, all of them were based on original Bardeen’s idea. However, the Hayward metric [10] is also inspired by Bardeen’s idea, supported by finite density and pressures, goes off rapidly at the distant increases and treated as a cosmological constant at radius falls off very near to the origin. The formation and evaporation process of a Hayward [10] regular black hole could be explored upto a minimum size l . Furthermore, in the Hayward spacetime [10], the resulting stress-energy tensor satisfies the weak energy condition and but they may violate the strong energy condition. The static spherically symmetric Hayward metric in (t, r, θ, ϕ) has the form

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2d\Omega_2^2 \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega_2^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ and

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{r^3 + g^3}, \quad r \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

An analysis of zeros of $f(r) = 0$ reveals a critical value of mass $M = 3g/2^{5/3}$ and the radius $r_* = 2^{1/3}g$ so that $f(r)$ has a double zeros at $r = r_*$ if $M = M_*$, two zeros at $r = r_{\pm}$ if $M > M_*$ and no zero if $M < M_*$ [10]. These cases correspond respectively, to an extremal black hole with coincident horizons, a black hole with inner and event horizons, and no black hole. At large r values the Hayward spacetime with total mass M , are having the metric function as

$$f(r) \sim 1 - \frac{2M}{r}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad (3)$$

and for small r , i.e., near center it is given by

$$f(r) \sim 1 - \frac{2M}{g^3}r^2, \quad r \rightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

The spacetime (1) is regular everywhere as can be verified by its curvature invariants [14]

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{12Mg^3(r^3 - 2g^3)}{(r^3 + g^3)^3}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R = -\frac{24M}{g^3}, \\ R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{72M^2g^6(5r^6 - 3r^3g^3 + 2g^6)}{(r^3 + g^3)^6}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} = \frac{144M^2}{g^6}, \\ R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \frac{48M^2(r^{12} - 4r^9g^3 + 18r^6g^6 - 2r^3g^9 + 2g^{12})}{(r^3 + g^3)^6}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{96M^2}{g^6}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ do not diverge but rather are bounded and finite. A study of thermal behaviors and their evaporation process for the Hayward black holes has been extensively analyzed [15]. Thermal fluctuations on the thermodynamic has been investigated [16] by modifying the Hayward black holes. Recently, the Z. Y. Fan [17], invoking the non-linear electrodynamics to find the exact solution of Hayward-anti de Sitter black holes.

But, the issues that non-rotating black hole cannot be tested by observations, as black hole spin is important in any astrophysical process and hence it is necessary to find the rotating counterpart of these regular metrics and thereafter a lot of regular rotating black holes were investigated [18–21]. Also, as per no-hair theorem—the stationary axially symmetric black hole candidates are characterized by their mass (M), the rotation parameter (a), and the charge (Q), but still they lack direct observation and actual nature of them are not yet verified. This open an area of active research for studying various properties for black holes that

are regular modification to the Kerr black holes. In this direction, the nature of rotating Hayward black holes can be tested as astrophysical black hole candidates, such as Cygnus $X - 1$, using their deviation parameters [22–25].

In recent years, the study of black holes thermodynamics has attracted attention due to their many interesting and exciting features, including Hawking radiation, black hole entropy and so on. The study of the black hole thermodynamics is much more exciting as it may provide a possible way to deepen our understanding of quantum gravity. More specifically, the idea of including the cosmological constant Λ in the first law of black hole mechanics provide us a consistent way to study the phase space thermodynamics of the (anti)-dS spaces. As the black holes in dS spaces usually comprises of a black hole event horizon and a cosmological event horizon. These horizons emits thermal radiation with different temperatures. Hence the black holes in dS spaces are thermodynamically unstable. There has been continued interest in the study of the thermodynamic properties of dS space as the universe in its current phase is undergoing through an accelerated expansion. The cosmological constant is found to correspond the fluctuating vacuum energy and is generally considered as a possible candidate for accelerating expansion. For the time being, the presently accelerated universe will eventually evolve into another dS phase. As the separate study of the horizons thermodynamics of the dS black holes makes the system thermodynamically unstable we shall have the relation between the thermodynamic quantities on the two horizons which may give rise to an effective way to investigate the thermodynamics quantities in dS spacetime. Based on this logic, many works have been proposed to analyze the the critical behavior of the effective thermodynamic quantities to show that when considering the relation between the two horizons in dS spacetime there exists a phase transition and critical phenomena similar to the ones in a van der Waals liquid-gas system. In our paper, we shall investigate the similar phenomenon for the rotating Hayward-dS black holes and find its thermodynamic quantities. The role of the nonlinear parameter g present in the theory will be emphasized in both analytical and numerical ways.

The paper is arranged as follows. We first review the rotating regular Hayward spacetimes in Sec. II and also discuss the thermodynamics. We also review the rotating regular Hayward-dS spacetimes in Sec. III and also study the horizon structure. In Sec. IV we calculate the effective thermodynamic quantities in axially symmetric rotating Hayward-dS black holes. Finally, the paper is briefly concluded in Sec. V.

II. ROTATING HAYWARD BLACK HOLES

The rotating Hayward metric belongs to non-Kerr family, which in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates has same form as that of Kerr metric with mass m replaced by some mass function \tilde{m} which contains the nonlinear parameter g . The Hayward metric for rotating regular black holes characterized by three parameters: the mass M , the spin parameter a , and the deformation parameter g , which in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) coordinates can be written as

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2\tilde{m}(r)}{\Sigma} \right) dt^2 - \frac{4a\tilde{m}(r)r \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} dt d\phi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2a^2\tilde{m}(r)r \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \right) d\phi^2 \quad (6)$$

where, $\tilde{m}(r)$ is related to black hole mass M via

$$\tilde{m}(r) = M \left(\frac{r^3}{r^3 + g^3} \right), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= r^2 + a^2 - 2\tilde{m}(r)r, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a is the black hole spin parameter. The parameter g is the magnetic monopole charge arising from the nonlinear electrodynamics, and measures the potential deviation from the Kerr metric ($g = 0$). The rotating regular Hayward black hole metric (6) is independent of t , ϕ , which implies that it admits two Killing vectors given by $\eta^\mu = \delta_t^\mu$ and $\xi^\mu = \delta_\phi^\mu$. The horizons of rotating regular Hayward black holes are solution of

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta^\nu, \xi_\mu)^2 - (\eta^\mu \eta_\mu)(\xi^\mu \xi_\mu) &= g_{t\phi}^2 - g_{tt}g_{\phi\phi} = 0, \\ \text{or } \Delta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It turns out that for a given value of the parameter a , there exists an extremal value g_E for which Eq. (9) admits double root corresponding to the extremal black holes, while for $g < g_E$, the equation (9) can admit two possible roots (r_\pm) corresponding to inner (r_-) and outer (r_+) horizon. Thus considering specific values of the parameters g and a the $\Delta = 0$ has two different cases (i) the behavior similar to the Kerr black holes with two horizons and (ii) two equal horizons corresponding to the extremal horizons.

A. Thermodynamics

Next, we move on to discuss the thermodynamics of the rotating regular Hayward black holes on the black hole event horizon. The mass of the rotating black holes in terms of the event horizon radius r_+ is obtained by equating $\Delta(r_+) = 0$. The Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass of the rotating Hayward black holes reads

$$M_+ = \frac{(r_+^2 + a^2)(r_+^3 + g^3)}{2r_+^4}. \quad (10)$$

The Killing field χ^μ associated with the Killing vectors is expressed as $\chi^\mu = \xi^\mu + \Omega\eta^\mu$ [27]. Here Ω is the angular velocity at the black hole event horizon which can be obtained with the requirement that χ^μ is a null generator of the event horizon of the black holes i.e. $\chi^\mu\chi_\mu = 0$, which leads to

$$g_{tt} + 2\Omega g_{t\phi} + \Omega^2 g_{\phi\phi} = 0. \quad (11)$$

The Ω is obtained from Eq. (11) as

$$\Omega = -\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}}\right)^2 - \frac{g_{tt}}{g_{\phi\phi}}} = -\omega \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{g_{tt}}{g_{\phi\phi}}}, \quad (12)$$

with

$$\omega = -\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}} = \frac{-a[\Sigma - (\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta)]}{\Sigma^2 + a^2 \sin^2 \theta [2\Sigma - (\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta)^2]}, \quad (13)$$

Using (13) into (12), we obtain

$$\Omega = \omega \pm \frac{\Sigma \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta [(a^2 \sin^2 \theta + \Sigma)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta]}. \quad (14)$$

On the event horizon, $\Delta = 0$, and the angular velocity reduces to

$$\Omega_+ = \omega|_{r=r_+} = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}. \quad (15)$$

The surface gravity $\kappa = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\chi_\nu\nabla^\mu\chi^\nu}$ [27] of the rotating regular Hayward at the event horizon yields, we have

$$\kappa = \frac{\Delta'(r_+)}{2(r_+^2 + a^2)} \quad (16)$$




FIG. 1: Plot showing the behavior of $T_+ M$ vs r_+ / M for rotating Hayward black hole.

where $(')$ denotes differentiation with respect to r . κ is related to the Hawking temperature of the black hole, via $T_+ = \kappa/2\pi$ which for the rotating regular Hayward black hole metric (6) is given by

$$T_+ = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+ (r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3)} [3r_+^3 (r_+^2 + a^2) + 2r_+^2 (r_+^3 + g^3) - 4 (r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3)] \quad (17)$$

In the Schwarzschild case ($a=g=0$), $T_+ \propto r_+^{-1}$, the temperature of the black hole increases as the horizon radius decreases and diverges when the horizon radius shrinks to zero. However, when $g = 0$, Eq. (17) simplifies to give Kerr black holes temperature

$$T_+^{Kerr} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+} \left(\frac{r_+^2 - a^2}{r_+^2 + a^2} \right), \quad (18)$$

The Hayward black holes similar to the Kerr black holes, the temperature (17) does not diverge but shows a finite peak at short distances comparable to the Planck scale [15]. The peak shifts to the right and smaller values with increasing the charge g . Fig. 1 shows the temperature of the rotating regular Hayward and Kerr black holes grows to a maximum T_+^C , at $r_+ = r_+^C$ and $M_+ = M_+^C$ and then drops down to zero at $r_+ = r_+^*$ and $M_+ = M_+^*$,

corresponding to the extremal temperature $T_+^* = 0$. Consequently, the evaporation process is split into two important branches, the right branch $r_+^C < r_+ < \infty$ called the early stage of the evaporation process and the left branch $r_+^* < r_+ < r_+^C$ called the quantum cooling evaporation process [15].

	$a = 0.65$				$a = 0.85$				$a = 0.95$			
	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$
r_+^C	1.3426	1.3742	1.4469	1.5569	1.7522	1.7714	1.8193	1.8994	1.9575	1.9730	2.0125	2.08096
M_+^C	0.8289	0.8434	0.8772	0.9296	1.0825	1.0912	1.1132	1.1505	1.2094	1.2165	1.2345	1.2662
T_+^C	0.0366	0.0361	0.0351	0.0334	0.0281	0.0279	0.0275	0.0266	0.0251	0.025	0.0247	0.0242

TABLE I: The tabulated values of the critical radius r_+^C , the critical mass M_+^C and the critical temperature T_+^C for different values of the charge parameter g and rotation parameter a .

	$a = 0.65$				$a = 0.85$				$a = 0.95$			
	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$
r_+^*	0.6568	0.6967	0.7698	0.8607	0.8540	0.8801	0.9356	1.0132	0.9532	0.9747	1.0229	1.0936
M_+^*	0.6523	0.6669	0.6983	0.7436	0.8513	0.8604	0.8820	0.9162	0.9511	0.9585	0.9766	1.0063

TABLE II: The tabulated values of the extremal horizon r_+^* and the extremal mass M_+^* for different values of the charge parameter g and rotation parameter a .

The first law for the rotating regular Hayward black holes, considering the magnetic monopole charge g as a variable quantity conjugate to the corresponding magnetic potential can be written as

$$dM_+ = T_+ dS_+ + \Omega_+ dJ + \Psi_+ dg \quad (19)$$

where Ψ_+ is the potential conjugate to charge g . The temperature, angular velocity and the magnetic potential can be obtained through the relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_+ &= \left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial S_+} \right)_{J,g} = \left[\left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial r_+} \right) \left(\frac{\partial r_+}{\partial S} \right) \right]_{J,g} \\ \Omega_+ &= \left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial J} \right)_{S,g} = \left[\left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial r_+} \right) \left(\frac{\partial r_+}{\partial J} \right) \right]_{S,g} \\ \Psi_+ &= \left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial g} \right)_{S,J} = \left[\left(\frac{\partial M_+}{\partial r_+} \right) \left(\frac{\partial r_+}{\partial g} \right) \right]_{S,J} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The entropy of the rotating regular Hayward black holes, using Eqs. (19) and (10), for constant g , leads

$$S_+ = \pi \left(r_+^2 + a^2 - \frac{2g^3}{r_+} \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{3r_+^2} \right) \right) \quad (21)$$

Obviously, for $g \neq 0$, the entropy of the rotating Hayward black hole no longer obeys the Bekenstein area formula. In the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (21) reduces to

$$S_+ = \pi (r_+^2 - 2g^2), \quad (22)$$

which is the entropy of the Hayward's black holes [28, 29]. When $a = g = 0$, we have $S_+ = \pi r_+^2$, which is the entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole and satisfies the Bekenstein's area formula, i.e., $S_+ = A/4$, where A is the area of the event horizon given as $A = 4\pi r_+^2$.

The heat capacity determines the local thermal stability of a black hole. For the Schwarzschild black hole, the heat capacity is always negative which continuously losing its energy through Hawking radiation [30] and hence the Schwarzschild black hole is thermodynamically unstable. The spherically symmetric Hayward black holes the heat capacity has a phase transition has been discussed [15, 31] at some horizon radius such that there exists a discontinuity in the heat capacity. The black holes are unstable to thermal radiation if it has negative heat capacity and for positive heat capacity the black holes are stable to thermal radiation. The heat capacity of the black hole is defined as

$$C_+ = \frac{\partial M}{\partial T_+} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial r_+} \frac{\partial r_+}{\partial T_+}, \quad (23)$$

Using the Eqs. (10) and (17), the heat capacity for the rotating regular Hayward black holes

reads

$$C_+ = -\frac{2\pi \left[(r_+^2 + a^2)^2 (r_+^3 + g^3)^2 (r_+^5 - (a^2 r_+ + 2g^3) r_+^2 - 4g^3 a^2) \right]}{r_+^3 [r_+^{10} - (4a^2 r_+^2 + a^4 + 10g^3) r_+^6 - 2g^3 (16a^2 r_+ + g^3) r_+^4 - 2g^3 a^2 (7a^2 r_+ + 5g^3) r_+^2 - 4a^4 g^6]}, \quad (24)$$

Fig. 2 shows that the rotating regular Hayward black holes is stable in the region $r_+^* < r_+ < r_+^C$, where r_+^C is the critical horizon radius where the heat capacity diverges, and the corresponding temperature becomes maximum while the black holes is thermodynamically unstable in the range $r_+^C < r_+ < \infty$. When $a = g = 0$, the heat capacity (24) becomes $C_+ = -2\pi r_+^2$, that of the Schwarzschild black hole. The heat capacity for $g = 0$, reduces to the expression of Kerr black hole. When $r_+ = r_+^*$, both the heat capacity and temperature approach to zero.

There exists extremal mass of the rotating Hayward black holes corresponding to the extremal configuration of the black holes. We note that the temperature of the black holes vanishes at r_+^* , where r_+^* corresponding to the extremal value of the black hole horizons. When $r_+ < r_+^*$, the temperature corresponds to the negative value and is not physically significant. The minimum mass corresponding to the extremal horizon radius r_+^* is known as the remnant mass. The size and the mass of the remnant increase with the increase in the charge parameter g and the rotation parameter a (see Table. I).

III. THE ROTATING HAYWARD-DS BLACK HOLES AND ITS HORIZON STRUCTURE

Now we discuss the four-dimensional rotating regular Hayward black holes belonging to the non-Kerr family in the asymptotically dS spacetimes. The rotating regular Hayward-dS black holes metric in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) reads

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta_r}{\Sigma} \left(dt - \frac{a \sin^2 \theta}{\Xi} d\phi \right)^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_r} dr^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta_\theta} d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta_\theta \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \left(a dt - \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Xi} d\phi \right)^2, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Xi = 1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2, \quad (26)$$

$$\Delta_r = (r^2 + a^2) \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \right) - 2\tilde{m}(r)r, \quad \Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad (27)$$




FIG. 2: Plot showing the behavior of C_+ vs r_+ for rotating Hayward black hole.

where $\tilde{m}(r)$ is the mass function for rotating regular Hayward-dS black holes given in Eq. (10). Λ is the positive cosmological constant which is treated as a variable. We have seen in the previous section, the rotating regular Hayward black holes admit two horizons, viz, the Cauchy and event horizons depending upon the values of the parameters a and g . An analysis of the horizons of the rotating regular Hayward black holes has been extensively discussed [26]. In this section, we briefly discuss the horizon structures of the rotating Hayward-dS black holes. The addition of the positive cosmological constant Λ for different values of the mass parameter M , the nonlinear parameter g , and the rotation parameter a , we have three distinct horizon (c.f. Fig. 3) namely, the innermost Cauchy horizon r_- , the outer horizon r_+ , the outermost cosmological horizon r_C , such that $r_- < r_+ < r_C$. There exist two extremal conditions corresponding to two critical masses M_{cr1} and M_{cr2} . In the mass range $M_{\text{cr1}} < M < M_{\text{cr2}}$, we have three distinct horizons, while $M = M_{\text{cr1}}$ and $M = M_{\text{cr2}}$, correspond, respectively, to the degenerate Cauchy and event horizons when $r_- = r_+ = r_+^E$ and the degenerate event and cosmological horizons when $r_+ = r_C = r_C^E$. On the other hand, when $M < M_{\text{cr1}}$, there exists cosmological horizon only, and hence it puts a lower

bound on the mass parameter of the black holes [33]. This minimal configuration therefore corresponds to the mass of a remnant [33]. The configuration with the critical mass $M_{\text{cr}2}$ corresponds to a regular modification to the Narai-type solution. In the following we study the thermodynamic properties related to the black hole event horizon and the cosmological horizon and find the effective thermodynamic quantities relating the two horizons.

IV. THE EFFECTIVE THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES OF ROTATING REGULAR HAYWARD-DS BLACK HOLES

In this section, we derive the thermodynamic quantities associated with rotating regular Hayward-dS black holes in terms of the event horizon and cosmological horizons. The black hole event horizon and cosmological horizon, respectively, satisfy the equations $\Delta_r(r_+) = 0$ and $\Delta_r(r_C) = 0$ [34–36]. So, solving them simultaneously, one can obtain

$$a^2 = \frac{r_+^2 r_C \left[r_+^2 \left[r_+ \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_+^2}{3} \right) - r_C \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_C^2}{3} \right) \right] + g^3 \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_+^2}{3} \right) \right]}{\left[r_+^4 \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_C^2}{3} \right) - r_+^3 r_C \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_+^2}{3} \right) - g^3 r_C \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r_+^2}{3} \right) \right]}, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2m &= \frac{(r_+^3 + g^3)(r_C^3 + g^3)(r_C^2 - r_+^2)(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r_+^2)(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r_C^2)}{r_+^3 r_C^3 (r_+ (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r_C^2) - r_C (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r_+^2)) + g^3 (r_C^2 - r_+^2)(r_C^2 + r_+^2 (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r_+^2))} \\ &= \frac{(r_+^2 + a^2)(r_C^2 + a^2)(r_+^3 + g^3)(r_C^3 + g^3)(r_+ + r_C)}{2r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ + r_C^2) - a^2 (g^3 (r_+ + r_C) - r_+^2 r_C^2))}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\Xi = \frac{r_+^3 r_C^3 [r_+ r_C (r_+^2 + r_+ r_C + r_C^2 + 2a^2) - a^4] - a^2 g^3 (r_+ + r_C) (a^2 (r_+^2 + r_C^2) + 2r_+^2 r_C^2)}{r_+^2 r_C^2 [r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ r_C + r_C^2 + a^2) - a^2 g^3 (r_+ + r_C) - r_C^3 (r_+ + r_C)]}, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\Lambda}{3} = \frac{r_+^3 r_C^3 (r_+ r_C - a^2) - g^3 (r_+ + r_C) (r_+^2 r_C^2 + a^2 (r_+^2 + r_C^2))}{r_+^2 r_C^2 [r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ r_C + r_C^2 + a^2) - a^2 g^3 (r_+ + r_C)]} \quad (31)$$

which for $g = 0$ and $a = 0$, respectively, reduce to the expressions of the Kerr-dS black holes [34] and Hayward-dS black holes [32]. The Hawking temperatures of the event horizon and cosmological horizon, respectively, are given by $T_+ = \kappa_+/2\pi$, $T_C = -\kappa_C/2\pi$, where κ_+ and $-\kappa_C$ are surface gravities of the two horizons with $\kappa_C > 0$ and $\kappa_+ \geq \kappa_C$. The Hawking temperatures for the rotating regular Hayward dS black holes are given by

$$T_+ = \frac{r_+ \left[(r_+^6 + 2g^3 r_+^3 - m (4g^3 r_+^2 + r_+^5)) - (2r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3) \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right]}{2\pi (r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3)}, \quad (32)$$




FIG. 3: The plot of Δ_r vs r for rotating Hayward-dS black hole for different values of the parameters a and g at a fixed Λ .

$$T_C = \frac{r_C \left[(r_C^6 + 2g^3 r_C^3 - m(4g^3 r_C^2 + r_C^5)) - (2r_C^2 + a^2)(r_C^3 + g^3) \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right]}{2\pi (r_C^2 + a^2)(r_C^3 + g^3)}, \quad (33)$$

where m and Λ are given in Eqs. (28) and (31). One can see from Eqs. (32) and (33), that when $g = 0$, then it reduces to of the temperature expressions of Kerr-dS black holes [34], and for $a = 0$, one can get the temperatures of the Hayward-dS black holes [32]. The Bekestein-Hawking entropy associated with the two horizons, respectively, read [34, 35]

$$S_+ = \frac{\pi (r_+^2 + a^2)}{\Xi}, \quad S_C = \frac{\pi (r_C^2 + a^2)}{\Xi}. \quad (34)$$

As mentioned in [37], the entropies of the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon are different but the mass, the angular momentum etc. have the same values irrespective of the horizons but with the opposite signatures. The angular velocities of the event and cosmological horizons read

$$\tilde{\Omega}_+ = \frac{a\Xi}{r_+^2 + a^2}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}_C = \frac{a\Xi}{r_C^2 + a^2}. \quad (35)$$

At the asymptotic infinity the angular momentum and the angular velocity read

$$J = \frac{a m}{\Xi^2} = \frac{a}{2\Xi^2} \frac{(r_+^2 + a^2)(r_C^2 + a^2)(r_+^3 + g^3)(r_C^3 + g^3)(r_+ + r_C)}{r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ + r_C^2) - a^2 (g^3 (r_+ + r_C) - r_+^2 r_C^2))}, \quad \Omega_\infty = \frac{\Lambda}{3} a. \quad (36)$$

The angular momentum (36), will have the form of the Kerr-dS black holes when one take $g = 0$. The thermodynamics quantities of the event and cosmological horizon are related via the first law black hole thermodynamics system [34–36]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta M &= T_+ \delta S_+ + \Omega_+ \delta J + V_+ \delta P, \\ \delta M &= -T_C \delta S_C + \Omega_C \delta J + V_+ \delta P, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_+ &= \tilde{\Omega}_+ - \Omega_\infty \\ &= \frac{a(1 - \Lambda r_+^2/3)}{r_+^2 + a^2} \\ &= \frac{a r_+^2 (g + r_C)(r_+ + r_C)(a^2 + r_C^2)(g^2 - g r_C + r_C^2)}{r_C^2 (r_+^2 + a^2) [r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ r_C + r_C^2 + a^2) - a^2 g^3 (r_+ + r_C)]}, \\ \Omega_C &= \tilde{\Omega}_C - \Omega_\infty \\ &= \frac{a(1 - \Lambda r_C^2/3)}{r_C^2 + a^2} \\ &= \frac{a r_C^2 (g + r_+)(r_+ + r_C)(a^2 + r_+^2)(g^2 - g r_+ + r_+^2)}{r_+^2 (r_C^2 + a^2) [r_+^2 r_C^2 (r_+^2 + r_+ r_C + r_C^2 + a^2) - a^2 g^3 (r_+ + r_C)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The thermodynamics volumes relating the black hole horizon and cosmological horizons, respectively, read

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &= \frac{2\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)}{3r_+\Xi} \left(2r_+^2 + a^2 + \frac{\Lambda a}{3} a^2 r_+^2 \right) \\ V_C &= \frac{2\pi(r_C^2 + a^2)}{3r_+\Xi} \left(2r_C^2 + a^2 + \frac{\Lambda a}{3} a^2 r_C^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where, cosmological constant Λ is related to the conjugate pressure via

$$\Lambda = -8\pi P. \quad (40)$$

In the slow rotation limit $a \ll r_+$ [34], we have

$$V = V_C - V_+ \approx \frac{4\pi}{3} (r_C^3 - r_+^3) \quad (41)$$

For a fixed a , and from Eq. (25) we obtain

$$dr_+ \approx \frac{r_+ dM}{(r_+^2 + g^2) \kappa_+} + \frac{r_+^2 d\Lambda}{6\kappa_+}, \quad dr_C = \frac{r_C dM}{(r_C^2 + g^2) \kappa_C} + \frac{r_C^2 d\Lambda}{6\kappa_C}, \quad (42)$$

Substituting Eq. (42) into Eq. (41), we get

$$dV \approx 4\pi \left(\frac{r_C}{\kappa_C} - \frac{r_+}{\kappa_+} \right) dM + \frac{2\pi}{3} \left(\frac{r_C^4}{\kappa_C} - \frac{r_+^4}{\kappa_+} \right) d\Lambda. \quad (43)$$

A. Effective thermodynamic quantities of rotating Hayward-dS black holes

As is obtained in the previous section the temperatures at the black hole event horizon and cosmological horizon are not equal. Thus, the rotating regular Hayward-dS system cannot acquire thermal equilibrium. However, the three variables *viz.* M , a , and Λ connecting the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon are interlinked with the Hawking temperatures of the two horizons. Therefore, it is expected that thermodynamic quantities at each of the two horizons are related to other. In this respect, when we study the thermodynamical aspects of rotating regular Hayward-dS spacetime we must incorporate the thermodynamics of the two horizons in an effective way. However, there are two special cases for the rotating regular Hayward-dS black hole, namely, the Nariai black hole and the lukewarm black holes [35, 38–42] where temperatures at both the horizons are same. When the black hole event horizon and cosmological event horizon coincide apparently, they

have the same temperature and correspond to the Narai solutions [43]. A study of the dS spacetime reveals the rate of emitting particles containing the energy ω as

$$\Gamma = \exp(\Delta S_C) \exp(\Delta S_+) = \exp(\Delta S_C + \Delta S_+) \quad (44)$$

where ΔS_+ and ΔS_C are the difference in the entropies corresponding to the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon respectively after the dS black holes emitted particles with energy ω . Thus the rate of emission of the radiating particles can be thought of as the product of the rate of particles emitted from the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon. Therefore the cumulative effect of the entropies of the event and cosmological horizon contribute to the effective entropy of the dS spacetime as

$$S = S_+ + S_C. \quad (45)$$

Utilizing Eq. (37) into Eq. (45), we have

$$dS = 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_+} + \frac{1}{\kappa_C} \right) dM - 2\pi \left(\frac{\Omega_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{\Omega_C}{\kappa_C} \right) dJ - 2\pi \left(\frac{\Psi_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{\Psi_C}{\kappa_C} \right) dg + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{V_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{V_C}{\kappa_C} \right) d\Lambda \quad (46)$$

For simplicity we consider the case with constant deviation parameter g . So Eq. (46) reduces to the form

$$dS = 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_+} + \frac{1}{\kappa_C} \right) dM - 2\pi \left(\frac{\Omega_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{\Omega_C}{\kappa_C} \right) dJ + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{V_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{V_C}{\kappa_C} \right) d\Lambda. \quad (47)$$

Utilizing Eqs. (43) and (46) we can obtain

$$dM = T_{eff} dS + P_{eff} dV + \Psi_{eff} dg + \Omega_{eff} dJ \quad (48)$$

and the corresponding Smarr relation [44–50] reads

$$M = 2T_{eff} S + \Phi_{eff} g - 2P_{eff} V + \Omega_{eff} J \quad (49)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{eff} &= \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \alpha_1}, & \Omega_{eff} &= \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_4}{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \alpha_1}, \\ P_{eff} &= \frac{\alpha_5}{\alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \alpha_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 4\pi \left(\frac{r_C}{\kappa_C} - \frac{r_+}{\kappa_+} \right), & \alpha_2 &= \frac{2\pi}{3} \left(\frac{r_C^4}{\kappa_C} - \frac{r_+^4}{\kappa_+} \right), \\ \alpha_3 &= 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_C} + \frac{1}{\kappa_+} \right), & \alpha_4 &= 2\pi \left(\frac{\Omega_C}{\kappa_C} + \frac{\Omega_+}{\kappa_+} \right), \\ \alpha_5 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{V_+}{\kappa_+} + \frac{V_C}{\kappa_C} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\kappa_+ = \frac{r_+ \left[(r_+^6 + 2g^3 r_+^3 - m(x, r_C, g) (4g^3 r_+^2 + r_+^5)) - (2r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3) \frac{\Lambda(x, r_C, g)}{3} \right]}{(r_+^2 + a^2) (r_+^3 + g^3)}, \quad (52)$$

$$\kappa_C = \frac{r_C \left[(r_C^6 + 2g^3 r_C^3 - m(x, r_C, g) (4g^3 r_C^2 + r_C^5)) - (2r_C^2 + a^2) (r_C^3 + g^3) \frac{\Lambda(x, r_C, g)}{3} \right]}{(r_C^2 + a^2) (r_C^3 + g^3)}, \quad (53)$$

where $m(x, r_C, g)$ and $\Lambda(x, r_C, g)$ are expressed as

$$m(x, r_C, g) = \frac{(x+1)(a^2 + r_C^2)(g^3 + r_C^3)(a^2 + r_C^2 x^2)(g^3 + r_C^3 x^3)}{2r_C^4 x^2 (r_C^5 x^2 (x^2 + x + 1) - a^2 (g^3 (x+1) - r_C^3 x^2))} \quad (54)$$

$$\Lambda(x, r_C, g) = -\frac{3(a^2 (g^3 (x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) + r_C^3 x^3) + r_C^2 x^2 (g^3 (x+1) - r_C^3 x^2))}{r_C^2 x^2 (r_C^5 x^2 (x^2 + x + 1) - a^2 (g^3 (x+1) - r_C^3 x^2))} \quad (55)$$

Substituting of Eqs. (52) and (51) into Eq. (50), we obtain the thermodynamic quantities of the Hayward-dS black hole,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{eff} &= \frac{2(r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) \kappa_+ \kappa_C}{4\pi (r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) (\kappa_+ + \kappa_C) - 3(r_C \kappa_+ - r_+ \kappa_C) (V_C \kappa_+ + V_+ \kappa_C)} \\ &\approx \frac{(r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C)}{2\pi (r_C^2 - r_+^2) (r_C^2 + r_+^2 + r_C r_+)} = \frac{(\kappa_+ - x^4 \kappa_C)}{2\pi (1 - x^2) (1 + x + x^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{eff} &= \frac{4\pi (r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) (\Omega_+ \kappa_C + \Omega_C \kappa_+)}{4\pi (r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) (\kappa_+ + \kappa_C) - 3(r_C \kappa_+ - r_+ \kappa_C) (V_C \kappa_+ + V_+ \kappa_C)} \\ &\approx \frac{(r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) (\Omega_+ \kappa_C + \Omega_C \kappa_+)}{(r_C^2 - r_+^2) (r_C^2 + r_+^2 + r_C r_+) \kappa_+ \kappa_C} = \frac{(\kappa_+ - x^4 \kappa_C) (\Omega_+ \kappa_C + \Omega_C \kappa_+)}{2\pi (1 - x^2) (1 + x + x^2) \kappa_+ \kappa_C} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{eff} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{3(V_C \kappa_+ + V_+ \kappa_C) \kappa_+ \kappa_C}{4\pi (r_C^4 \kappa_+ - r_+^4 \kappa_C) (\kappa_+ + \kappa_C) - 3(r_C \kappa_+ - r_+ \kappa_C) (V_C \kappa_+ + V_+ \kappa_C)} \right] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{(r_+^3 \kappa_C + r_C^3 \kappa_+)}{(r_C^2 - r_+^2) (r_C^2 + r_+^2 + r_C r_+)} \right] = \frac{1}{4\pi r_C} \left[\frac{(x^3 \kappa_C + \kappa_+)}{(1 - x^2) (1 + x + x^2)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where, κ_+ and κ_C are given in Eqs. (52) and (53) respectively. As the limiting case when $x = 1$, i.e., when $r_+ = r_C$, we have $T_+ = T_C = 0$ but their surface areas are not zero indicating that they have nonzero entropy. The total entropy then reads $S = S_+ + S_C = 2S_+ = 2S_C$. In the limit $x \rightarrow 1$, the effective pressure P_{eff} of the rotating regular Hayward dS spacetimes approaches to zero but the temperature approaches the steady state value.




FIG. 4: Plot showing the behavior of T_{eff} vs x for rotating Hayward-dS black hole.




FIG. 5: Plot showing the behavior of P_{eff} vs x for rotating Hayward-dS black hole.




FIG. 6: Plot showing the behavior of C_P vs x for rotating Hayward-dS black hole.

B. Phase transition of rotating regular Hayward-dS black holes

Next, we calculate the heat capacity at constant pressure C_P , the volume expansion coefficient α , and the isothermal compressibility κ_T of the rotating Hayward-dS black holes. The C_P , α , and κ_T , respectively, read

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_P &= T_{eff} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T_{eff}} \right)_{P_{eff}} \\
 &= T_{eff} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}}{\left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}} \right], \quad (59)
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the critical values of the quantity $x=x^c$, the effective pressure $P_{eff}=P_{eff}^c$ and the effective temperature $T_{eff}=T_{eff}^c$ and study the critical behavior in the extended phase space. It is expected that the critical points in the phase space appeared as a diverging point of the heat capacity. Hence, one can equate the denominator of the heat capacity to zero and find the critical points. The critical points of the heat capacity is denoted as $x = x^c$. This critical point corresponds to the maximum of the temperature and pressure profiles

and denoted, respectively, as T_{eff}^c and P_{eff}^c . The values x^c , T_{eff}^c , and P_{eff}^c of the critical points corresponding to $r_C = 10$ are shown in the Table below.

	$a = 0.0$				$a = 0.3$				$a = 0.95$			
	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$	$g = 0.1$	$g = 0.2$	$g = 0.3$	$g = 0.4$
x^c	0.0215	0.0427	0.0637	0.0843	0.0619	0.0717	0.08623	0.1027	0.1779	0.1795	0.1834	0.1901
T_{eff}^c	0.0418	0.0272	0.01827	0.0135	0.0115	0.0103	0.0087	0.0072	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029
P_{eff}^c	0.0209	0.0136	0.0091	0.0067	0.0057	0.0051	0.0043	0.0036	0.00149	0.00148	0.00145	0.0014

TABLE III: The tabulated values of the critical ratio x^c , the critical temperature T_{eff}^c and the critical pressure P_{eff}^c for different values of the charge parameter g and rotation parameter a .

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T_{eff}} \right)_{P_{eff}} \\
&= \frac{1}{V} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}}{\left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}} \right], \tag{60}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P_{eff}} \right)_{T_{eff}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{V} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}}{\left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C} \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x - \left(\frac{\partial T_{eff}}{\partial r_C} \right)_x \left(\frac{\partial P_{eff}}{\partial x} \right)_{r_C}} \right]. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

We comment on the local thermal stability of the black holes determined at constant pressure. The specific heat at constant pressure P is given by Eq. (59). It is shown in the Fig. 6 that the heat capacity consists of three regions. This behavior of C_P can be related to P relative the critical values P_{eff}^c given in Table.III. When $P > P_{eff}^c$, there is no singular points for C_P . The three consists of the small black holes for smaller values of x , the intermediate region and the larger x corresponds to the large black holes.




FIG. 7: Plot showing the behavior of β vs x for rotating Hayward-dS black hole.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have calculated the thermodynamic quantities such as the Hawking temperature and heat capacity of the rotating Hayward regular black holes to study its thermal behavior. The rotating regular black hole leaves behind it a thermodynamically stable double-horizon remnant, $M = M_+^C$, corresponding to the minimal mass configuration. The black holes emit radiation when heated to the Hawking temperature. The Eq. (17) contains the Kerr ($g = 0$), the Hayward ($a = 0$) and Schwarzschild ($g = a = 0$) temperatures as special cases. The temperature does not diverge but shows a finite peak except for the $g = a = 0$ case. The behavior of the temperature as a function of the event horizon radius is depicted in Fig. 1 for different values of the charge g , and the rotation parameter a . The figure suggests that the regular black holes ($g \neq 0$) are colder than the singular black holes ($g = 0$). The Schwarzschild-Tangherlini temperature, i.e., $T_+ = 1/2\pi r_+$ shows the divergent behavior as $r_+ \rightarrow 0$. The temperature of the black hole becomes maximum at the point $r_+ = r_+^C$, where the heat capacity diverges (see the Table. I) and thereby testifying a quan-




FIG. 8: Plot showing the behavior of α vs x for rotating Hayward-dS black hole.

tum cooling and a second-order phase transition during evaporation [33, 51].

Next, we have extensively studied the horizon structure and horizon-mass diagram of the black hole solutions in the asymptotically dS spacetimes. In the range of mass parameter $M_{\text{cr1}} < M < M_{\text{cr2}}$, it describes a regular cosmological black holes. The horizon-radius diagram describes the distinct features of the spacetimes geometry where all the three horizons, namely the Cauchy horizon r_- , the event horizon r_+ , and the cosmological horizon r_C are shown for different values of the charge g , and the rotation parameter a .

Finally, we have presented the globally thermodynamic properties including thermodynamic stability and phase transition of rotating Hayward-dS black hole. It must be emphasized that we have considered the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon as two thermodynamical systems and studied the effective thermodynamics of them. However, the temperatures on the two horizons are different. Thus, the two horizons cannot be in thermodynamic equilibrium, except for the Nariai or lukewarm case, i.e, for $x = 1$. As the two horizons share the same factors g , a , and Λ , we can have some relations connecting the horizons. Proceeding along this line, we have calculated the globally effective thermodynam-

ics quantities such as the effective temperature, the heat capacity at constant pressure, the isothermal compressibility and the volume expansion coefficient to deal with the dS black holes.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, Proc. R. Soc. A **314**, 529 (1970).
 - [2] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space and Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973).
 - [3] J. Bardeen, in *Proceedings of GR5* (Tiflis, U.S.S.R., 1968).
 - [4] A. Borde, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3692 (1994).
 - [5] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Phys. Lett. B **464**, 25 (1999).
 - [6] A. D. Sakharov, JETP, **22**, 241 (1966).
 - [7] E. B. Gliner, JETP, **22**, 378 (1966).
 - [8] A. Borde, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7615 (1997).
 - [9] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5056 (1998).
 - [10] S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 031103 (2006).
 - [11] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064034 (2009).
 - [12] K. A. Bronnikov, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 044005 (2001).
 - [13] J. P. S. Lemos and V. T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124005 (2011).
 - [14] C. Bambi and L. Modesto, Phys. Lett. B **721**, 329 (2013)
 - [15] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim, and Y. J. Park, Phys. Lett. B **656**, 221 (2007).
 - [16] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, and U. Debnath, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 145 (2016).
 - [17] Z. Y. Fan, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 266 (2017).
 - [18] S. G. Ghosh, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 532 (2015).
 - [19] B. Toshmatov, B. Ahmedov, A. Abdujabbarov, and Z. Stuchlík, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 104017 (2014).
 - [20] M. Amir and S. G. Ghosh, J. High Energy Physics **1507**, 015 (2015).
 - [21] S. G. Ghosh and S. D. Maharaj, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 7 (2015).
 - [22] C. Bambi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26**, 2453 (2011).
 - [23] C. Bambi, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 59 (2014).
 - [24] C. Bambi, Z. Cao, and L. Modesto, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 064006 (2017).

- [25] U. Debnath, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 129 (2015).
- [26] S. G. Ghosh and M. Amir, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 553 (2015).
- [27] L. Modesto and P. Nicolini, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 104035 (2010).
- [28] R. Banerjee, S. K. Modak and D. Roychowdhury, *J. High Energy Physics* **10** (2012) 125.
R. Banerjee and D. Roychowdhury, *J. High Energy Physics* **11** (2011) 004.
- [29] J. Man, H. Cheng. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46** (2014) 1660.
- [30] D. J. Gross, M. J. Perry, and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 330.
- [31] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim, and Y. J. Park, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **41**, 1051 (2009).
- [32] C. H. Nam, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 418 (2018).
- [33] I. Dymnikova and M. Korpusik, *Phys. Lett. B* **685**, 12 (2010).
- [34] X. Guo, H. Li, L. Zhang, and R. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 084009 (2015).
- [35] D. Kubiznak and F. Simovic, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **33**, 245001 (2016).
- [36] L. C. Zhang, M. S. Ma, H. H. Zhao, and R. Zhao, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3052 (2014).
- [37] Y. Sekiwa, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 084009 (2006).
- [38] B. P. Dolan, D. Kastor, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and J. Traschen, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 104017 (2013).
- [39] K. Hajian, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **48**, 114 (2016).
- [40] J. McInerney, G. Satishchandran, and J. Traschen, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **33**, 105007 (2016).
- [41] S. Bhattacharya and A. Lahiri, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2673 (2013).
- [42] T. Pappas, P. Kanti, and N. Pappas, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 024035 (2016).
- [43] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 6312 (1996).
- [44] P. Cheng, S. W. Wei, and Y. X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 024025 (2016).
- [45] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola, and D. Klemm, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **17**, 399(2000).
M. Hanneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Phys. Lett. B* **143**, 145(1984); C. Teitelboim, *Phys. Lett. B* **158**, 293(1985); E. A. Larranga Rubio, arXiv:0711.0012; S. Wang, arXiv:gr-qc/0606109.
- [46] Brian P. Dolan, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 127503(2011).
- [47] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and Z. Sherkatghanad, *Galaxies* 2014, 89-159.
- [48] J. X. Mo and W. B. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084057 (2014).
- [49] B. P. Dolan, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **28**, 235017 (2011).
- [50] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann, and D. Kubiznak, *J. High Energy Physics* **1211**, 110 (2012).

[51] I. Dymnikova *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **5**, 529 (1996).