Deep neural network for Wannier function centers
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We introduce a deep neural network (DNN) model that assigns the position of the centers of the
electronic charge in the snapshots of a molecular dynamics trajectory. The electronic centers are
uniquely specified by the unitary transformation that maps the occupied eigenstates onto maxi-
mally localized Wannier functions. In combination with deep potential molecular dynamics, a DNN
approach to model the atomic potential energy surface at the
\textit{ab initio}\ density functional level of
theory, the scheme makes possible to predict the dielectric properties of insulators for samples and
trajectories inaccessible to direct \textit{ab initio} simulation, without loss of accuracy. As an example,
we report calculations of the infrared absorption spectra of light and heavy water at a dispersion
inclusive hybrid functional level of theory, finding good agreement with experiment. Extensions to
other spectroscopies, like Raman and sum frequency generation, are discussed.

PACS numbers:

In the last decade we have witnessed a large develop-
ment of machine learning (ML) schemes representing the
potential energy surface (PES) of many-atom systems [1–
11]. These approaches are trained with first-principle
data, typically from electronic density functional theory
(DFT) [12], and may boost \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics
(AIMD) [13–14] by greatly extending the accessible range of
the simulations without loss of accuracy. The reduc-
tion of statistical errors resulting from larger and longer
simulations leads to accurate predictions of static and
dynamic properties. In addition, it becomes possible to
study rare events, such as, e.g., crystal nucleation, with
enhanced sampling methods in simulations of \textit{ab initio}
quality [15], instead of relying on numerically efficient,
but less accurate, empirical force-fields.

In this context, ML approaches have focused mostly on
representing the PES as a function of the nuclear coor-
dinates. Electronic properties, accessible in AIMD, have
only rarely been considered [4,16–20]. Important exam-
pies are the polarization and/or the polarizability needed
to model infrared (IR), Raman, and sum frequency gener-
ation spectra of insulators. A general formalism for the
polarization utilizes maximally localized Wannier func-
tions (MLWFs) [21,22], i.e. electronic orbitals with min-
imal spatial spread generated by a unitary transforma-
tion of the occupied subspace within DFT. By mapping
the electron density onto point charges, located at the
centers (WFCs) of the MLWF density distributions, the
polarization can be defined as the dipole moment of the
system of electronic and nuclear point charges. For finite
systems, i.e. molecules or clusters, this is the observ-
able dipole moment, but for infinite periodic systems the
dipole moment is defined modulo a quantum [23], and
the polarization is not an observable physical property.
For both finite and extended systems, however, the po-
larization correlation functions are physically meaningful.
and serve to describe linear response to an external perturbation.

Here we construct a deep neural network (DNN) model to represent the dependence of the WFCs on the molecular environment. The scheme, called deep WFC (DWFC), is an end-to-end model, in the sense that it does not use any ad hoc construction to go from the input atomic to the output WFC coordinates. Furthermore, the model is size extensive, preserves the symmetry of the system, and yields a polarization that varies continuously with the atomic coordinates.

In this paper, we specialize to liquid water, where the WFCs can be uniquely associated to the individual molecules, but it would be straightforward to extend the model to systems in which the WFCs can only be associated to atoms. This would be the case when water ions, such as hydronium (H$_3$O$^+$) and hydroxide (OH$^-$), are present in solution (see e.g. Ref. [24]). In these situations, interconversion of neutral molecules and ions accompanies proton transfer events, and the identity of a molecular species in an MD trajectory is not well defined. Yet, the WFCs remain unambiguously associated to the oxygen atoms.

For any insulating atomic configuration the WFCs are specified by a unitary transformation of the ground-state Kohn-Sham orbitals. We focus here on the valence electrons and use pseudopotentials to describe their interaction with the nuclei plus frozen core electrons. As expected from the principle of nearsightedness of the electronic matter [25, 26], we find that in liquid water the WFCs of a molecule depend only on the configuration of that molecule and its neighbors.

We validate the methodology by showing that DWFC and AIMD predict essentially the same molecular dipoles and WFC-atom pair correlations. For spectral calculations we combine DWFC with deep potential molecular dynamics (DPMD), an efficient ML scheme to generate nuclear trajectories of ab initio quality [9, 10]. DWFC locates the WFCs in the DPMD snapshots, giving access to the evolution of the polarization and its time correlation functions. We demonstrate this feature by calculating the IR spectra of liquid H$_2$O and D$_2$O at a hybrid functional level of theory with greatly reduced statistical errors compared to direct AIMD simulations.

In the DWFC scheme for neat water, we assign 2 hydrogens (H) and 4 WFCs to each oxygen (O) site $i$. Let $\mathcal{N}^{(i)}_{r_c} = \{j, r_{ij} < r_c\}$ be the index set of the atoms $j$ neighboring oxygen $i$ within a cutoff radius $r_c$. Atom $j$ can be either O or H. Our goal is to construct a map from the coordinates of atoms $i$ and $j$ to 12 numbers, the coordinates of 4 WFCs. The symmetry constraints here are slightly different from those considered for the PES within DPMD [9, 10]. The PES is invariant under translation, rotation, and identical particle permutation, while the WFCs are equivariant, i.e., they translate and rotate when the atomic positions are translated and rotated, guaranteeing a continuous evolution of the molecular dipoles. For this purpose we associate a local frame to each oxygen atom, $O_i$. In the local frame we sort the set $\mathcal{N}^{(i)}_{r_c}$ in ascending order of distance from $O_i$ and specify a corresponding order for the WFCs. As shown in Fig. 1, the $i$-th local frame is defined by oxygen $O_i$ and its two nearest hydrogens, H$_{1i}$ and H$_{2i}$. The ordered local coordinate information is encoded in $D_{ij} = \{1/R_{ij}, x_{ij}/R_{ij}^2, y_{ij}/R_{ij}^2, z_{ij}/R_{ij}^2\}$, wherein $x_{ij} = x_j - x_i$, $y_{ij} = y_j - y_i$, $z_{ij} = z_j - z_i$, in terms of the Cartesian coordinates of atoms $i$ and $j$ in the local frame, $R_{ij} = \sqrt{x_{ij}^2 + y_{ij}^2 + z_{ij}^2}$, and the factor $1/R_{ij}$ reduces the weight of the more distant atoms. The set $D_i \equiv \{D_{ij}, j \in \mathcal{N}^{(i)}_{r_c}\}$ is the input of a DNN, which returns 12 numbers in output through multiple hidden layers, in each one of which the data undergo a linear transformation followed by the action of a non-linear activation function. In the final step

![FIG. 1: (Color online) Scheme of the DWFC model for WFCs in liquid water. (a) Local frame and local generalized coordinates. Atom $i$ is a generic oxygen atom and atom $j \in \mathcal{N}^{(i)}_{r_c}$ is a generic neighbor of atom $i$. $(e_x, e_y, e_z)$ defines the local frame of atom $i$. The oxygen $i$ and the two hydrogens H$_{1i}$ and H$_{2i}$ belong to the same water molecule. We use H$_{1i}$ to denote the hydrogen that is closer to oxygen $i$. $e_z$ is along the O$_i$-H$_{1i}$ bond. $e_x$ is perpendicular to the plane of the water molecule. $e_y$ is the cross product of $e_x$ and $e_z$. $(e_x, e_y, e_z)$ forms a local rotation matrix $U_i$ that maps the coordinates of $j$ in the laboratory frame to those in the local frame. $(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij})$ are the Cartesian components of the vector $R_{ij}$ of length $R_{ij}$ in the local frame. The neural network input $D_{ij} = \{1/R_{ij}, x_{ij}/R_{ij}^2, y_{ij}/R_{ij}^2, z_{ij}/R_{ij}^2\}$ contains full coordinate information of atom $j$. We first sort the neighbors of atom $i$ according to their chemical species, e.g. oxygen and then hydrogens. Within each species we sort the atoms in ascending order of distances $R_{ij}$. $D_i$ denotes the sorted set of input data for site $i$. (b) Locations of the 4 WFCs, $W_{ia}(a = 1, 4)$. The DNN takes the set $D_i$ in input and gives the set $\tilde{W}_i = \{W_{ia}, a = 1, 4\}$ in output. The order of the four WFCs is fixed in the local frame. (c) Using the coordinates of site $i$ and the rotation matrix $U_i$, the coordinates of the WFCs are transformed to the laboratory frame.]

from the last hidden layer to the ordered WFC positions $W_i = \{W_{i1}, W_{i2}, W_{i3}, W_{i4}\}$, only the linear transformation is applied. We call $f^\gamma$ the map $D_i \mapsto W_i$, where $\gamma$ are the parameters of the linear transformations. We use the hyperbolic tangent for the activation function and adopt 4 hidden layers with decreasing number of nodes, i.e., 200, 100, 50, and 20 nodes, respectively, from the innermost to the outermost layer.

To apply our protocol we first identify and then order equivariantly the four WFCs associated to $O_1$. The first task is accomplished by selecting the WFCs whose distance from $O_1$ is less than 0.8 Å. The second task is accomplished by identifying $\{W_{i1}, W_{i2}, W_{i3}, W_{i4}\}$ as in Fig. 1(b). In the local frame, $W_{i1}$ and $W_{i2}$ lie approximately along the $e_z$ axis perpendicular to the molecular plane of $O_1$, $H_{i1}$, and $H_{i2}$. $W_{i1}$ and $W_{i2}$ are lone pair centers. $W_{i3}$ and $W_{i4}$, which lie approximately along the two bonding O-H directions, are bond pair centers. To establish a unique order we assign $W_{i1}$ (W_{i2}) to the positive (negative) $e_z$ direction, and assign $W_{i3}$ ($W_{i4}$) to the $O_1$-$H_{i1}$ ($O_1$-$H_{i2}$) bond. In this way $f^\gamma$ is a well-defined one-to-one map in the $i$-th environment. The parameters $\gamma$ are determined by training, i.e., an optimization process that minimizes a loss function, which is here the mean square difference between the DWFC and the calculated WFC distributions. The Adam stochastic gradient descent method [27] is adopted for the optimization.

To construct the DPMD and DWFC models for liquid water, we used data from a path-integral (PI) AIMD simulation of 64 H$_2$O molecules with periodic boundary conditions [25]. The electronic structure within DFT was calculated with the dispersion inclusive hybrid functional PBE0-TS [29, 30] as in Ref. [31]. The training data were taken from an equilibrated PI-AIMD trajectory lasting 8 ps at isothermal-isobaric conditions with $P = 1$ bar and $T = 300$ K. The calculated equilibrium density was 1.01 g/cm$^3$ (1.00 g/cm$^3$ in experiment). The integration of the equations of motion for the Feynman paths was made with the DeePMD-kit [36] using the smooth version of the model [11] to eliminate the small discontinuities associated to sharp cutoff and permutations in the original model [10]. The smooth version makes possible to generate the long microcanonical trajectories needed to evaluate classical time correlation functions with small statistical errors.

To train the DWFC model we used 90% of the snapshots from the PI-AIMD trajectory, leaving the rest for testing. In addition, we used DWFC to predict the WFC evolution along an independent classical AIMD trajectory (with the same DFT approximation) at $T = 330$ K and a simulation box fixed at the experimental density at room temperature [31]. In our model the only adjustable parameter is the cutoff radius $r_c$. We found that the error decreases with increasing $r_c$ and converges to a small finite value when $r_c$ is large enough to include the first shell of neighboring molecules. With our adopted choice, i.e. $r_c = 4.5$ Å, the root mean square error (RMSE) in the predicted WFC positions is 0.0033 (0.0049) Å in our classical (PI) AIMD trajectories. As in Ref. [37] we define the dipole moment of the $i$-th molecule in terms of the atom and WFC positions in the laboratory frame by $\mu_i = e(6R_{O_1} + R_{H_{i1}} + R_{H_{i2}} - 2\sum_{a=1}^{4}R_{Wa})$, where $e$ denotes the electronic charge. The RMSE of the predicted dipole moments is 0.032 (0.038) Debye, approximately 1% of the average value, in the classical (PI) trajectories. Since only PI-AIMD trajectories were used for training, the good performance for the classical trajectory at $T = 330$ K indicates some transferability of the model to different thermodynamic conditions.

Next, we use DWFC to access the WFC evolution over long DPMD trajectories. We report in Fig. 2 the atom-WFC and dipole distributions for quantal (PI-DPMD) and classical (DPMD) 1-ns-long trajectories, at the thermodynamic conditions used to train and test the DWFC model. A comparison with two corresponding, but much shorter, AIMD trajectories shows excellent agreement in the calculated WFC distributions.

Finally, we illustrate the usefulness of the DPMD+DWFC scheme by calculating the IR absorption spectra of liquid H$_2$O and D$_2$O for a cell with 512 molecules under periodic boundary conditions. We use two microcanonical trajectories lasting 500 ps each, for H$_2$O and D$_2$O, corresponding to an average temperature of $\sim$300 K at the equilibrium density of the PI-AIMD simulation at $T = 300$ K. The frequency dependent absorption coefficient per unit length, $\alpha(\omega)$, is given by the Fourier transform of the time correlation function of the polarization $M = \sum_i \mu_i$, according to:

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{2\pi\omega^2\beta}{3eVn(\omega)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{-i\omega t} \langle M(0) \cdot M(t) \rangle,$$

where $n(\omega)$ is the refractive index, which is a constant taken from experiment within the relevant frequency range, $V$ is the volume, $\beta = 1/k_BT$ is the inverse temperature, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann factor. The calculated spectra are reported in Fig. 3 together with the corresponding experimental observations. As a further check, we calculated the variance of the spectra predicted by DNN models that differ among themselves only in the initialization of the network parameters $\gamma$. Due to the highly non-linear dependence of $f^\gamma$ on $\gamma$, different initializations result in multiple local minima in the landscape of the loss function, originating an ensemble of minimizing DNNs. The variance of the predictions within this ensemble is an intrinsic property of a DNN model and
FIG. 2: (Color online) Distribution functions associated to the WFCs on quantum, i.e., PI, (red) and classical (blue) trajectories: (a) O-WFC pair correlation function \( g_{O-WFC}(r) \); (b) H-WFC pair correlation function \( g_{H-WFC}(r) \); (c) probability distribution of the magnitude for the molecular dipole \( \mu \). Solid (dashed) lines refer to DWFC (AIMD) (see text).

FIG. 3: (Color online) IR absorption spectra of H\(_2\)O (upper panel) and D\(_2\)O (lower panel). The continuous lines report DPMD+DWFC calculations (512 molecules, 0.5 ns trajectories). The variance of the spectra estimated from the DNN ensemble (see text) is smaller than the line width. The dashed lines report experimental data for H\(_2\)O at 298 K (Ref. [38]) and for D\(_2\)O at 295 K (Ref. [39]).

The small differences between theory and experiment should be attributed to the adopted DFT approximation and the use of a classical instead of a quantum autocorrelation function in Eq. 1. Ref. [43] showed that the hybrid functional PBE0 yields a substantially better IR spectrum than semilocal functionals like PBE [44]. Our simulation used PBE0 with dispersion corrections for a better description of the liquid structure [31]. Quantum fluctuations affect static and dynamic response of water, but while static correlations can be evaluated without approximation with path integral simulations, dynamic correlations require integrals of complex functions, whose evaluation is a major challenge for statistical simulation methods. Recently, quantum IR correlations have been calculated for water using approximate methods like ring polymer [45] and centroid [45, 46] MD, indicating that quantum corrections tend to red shift the classical spectral features. Further studies of these issues will be facilitated by methods like DPMD and DWFC, which greatly improve the statistical quality of \textit{ab initio} simulations.

In summary, DWFC is a powerful tool to parametrize
the dependence of the electronic charge centers on the atomic environment. Our approach could be extended to deal with other electronic properties, such as, e.g., the molecular polarizability tensors \( \alpha^i_{\delta \sigma} = \frac{\partial \mu^i_{\delta \sigma}}{\partial E^\sigma_{\delta \sigma}} \), where \( \mu^i_{\delta \sigma} \) is the \( \delta \)-Cartesian component of the dipole \( \mu^i \) and \( E^\sigma_{\delta \sigma} \) is the \( \sigma \)-component of a uniform electric field. A DNN could be constructed to represent the dependence of \( \alpha^i_{\delta \sigma} \) on the \( i \)-th molecular environment \( \{ \mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_{j \in N^c_i} \} \) specified by a cutoff radius \( r_c \), like what we have done for the WFCs. In this way we could compute Raman \cite{47} and sum frequency generation spectra \cite{48,49}. Access to the combined evolution of the nuclear coordinates and the associated polarization in simulations of large systems over long time scales should also open the way to MD studies of ferroelectric phase transitions without relying on effective Hamiltonian models \cite{50,51,52}. Finally, we recall that most ML schemes \cite{1,2,8,11}, including DPMD, represent the PES by a sum of atomic terms \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{\delta \sigma}^{i} \). The work of L. Z, X. W., W. E, and R.C. was conducted at the Center “Chemistry in Solution and at Interfaces” (CSI) funded by the DOE Award DE-SC001934. The work of L. Z and W. E was partially supported by a gift from iFlytek to Princeton University and by ONR grant N00014-13-1-0338. The work of H.W. was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under grants 2016YFB0201200 and 2016YFB0201203. We are grateful for computing time at the Terascale Infrastructure for Groundbreaking Research in Science and Engineering (TIGRESS) of Princeton University.
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