

Dynamics in wormhole spacetimes: a Jacobi metric approach

Marcos Argañaraz^a and Óscar Lasso Andino^b

^a*Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Instituto de Física Enrique Gaviola, CONICET, (5000) Córdoba, Argentina.*

^b*Escuela de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Las Américas, C/. José Queri, C.P. 170504, Quito, Ecuador*

Abstract

This paper deals with the study of motion of particles in different wormhole geometries. Using the Jacobi metric approach we study the geodesic motion on the Morris-Thorne wormhole with different types of redshift functions. We also study geodesic motion in dynamic wormholes by a modification of the metric using the Eisenhart-Duval lift. We solve the 2-dimensional Kepler problem in a wormhole background. Using the Gaussian curvature (depending on energy) we provide a classification of trajectories. We show that the flare-out condition is related with the Gaussian curvature.

^aE-mail: marcos.arganaraz [at] unc.edu.ar

^bE-mail: oscar.lasso [at] udla.edu.ec

1 Introduction

In 1935 Einstein and Rosen introduced the idea of wormholes. However, it was not until Morris and Thorne published their article [1] that the study of wormholes exploded. These wormholes were supposed to be traversable but only if we accept the existence of certain type of matter, what they called "exotic" matter. This kind of matter violates the energy conditions and therefore it is considered unphysical[2][3]. There has been a lot of effort in building wormholes sustained by normal matter. For instance in [4] it is proposed that the existence of an extra dimension is responsible for the violation of the null energy condition. In [5] it is shown that the wormhole can be traversable in spacetimes with torsion. See also [9, 10, 6]. Recently, a novel traversable wormhole solution has been found. This solution is asymptotically locally AdS and it is a solution to General Relativity with a cosmological constant[8]. There are also studies of wormholes in the context of the Noncommutative geometry, which seems to be a promising branch in the wormhole community [11, 12, 13, 16]. In [7] they found a traversable wormhole in an alternative theory of gravity called mimetic gravity, closely related to noncommutative geometry theories. This kind of wormhole, in GR, become an Ellis-Bronnikov wormhole type.

Recently, it has been discovered that certain kind of long traversable wormholes are allowed [14]. These long wormholes, that connect two different regions of spacetime, allow to go through them, but it is faster to go from one side to the other through the ambient space.¹ The existence of wormholes is under debate. In cite [15] it is discussed the possible existence of wormholes in the galactic halo region, while in [17] the wave forms of the echoes of static and stationary, traversable wormholes are studied. They studied the quasinormal spectrum of perturbations in some wormhole spacetimes, and how the echoes coming from the signal connected to such spectrum can be reconstructed from a primary signal.

An important aspect related to traversability is the geodesic motion of point particles. What we are usually looking for are geodesics capable of tunnelling through the wormhole throat. In [27] the authors study the geodesic configuration of three different types of wormhole solutions: The Minkowski, the Schwarzschild, and the Reissner–Nordstrom-like wormholes. The most important conclusion is that these wormhole spacetimes allow geodesically complete paths, and therefore they can be traversed.

There are different approaches for studying wormhole geodesics. In [26] the author studied the null and timelike geodesics using the typical way, namely solving the geodesic equation, and writing his results in terms Jacobian elliptic and elliptic integral functions. The analytical findings make it possible to find a geodesic connecting any two distant events in the wormhole spacetime. In [25] an study of null and timelike geodesics in the background of wormhole geometries is presented. Dealing with static and dynamic spherically symmetric wormholes they find null geodesics and photon spheres.

However, if we want to study wormholes in the context of a purely geometric theory, such as string theory, we need to use a different approach. In this article we want to

¹ These objects are not allowed in classical physics. The authors are able to build a wormhole taking into account quantum effects.

use a much more geometric way of studying the geodesic motion in wormhole geometries, giving support to the already known results but also bringing new insights from an completely different view. We want to study geodesic motion using the Jacobi metric approach [18, 20, 21]. This approach will allow us to study, for example, the Kepler problem, in a more easy way (sometimes the only one). Moreover, the Jacobi metric approach is a much more geometrical way of studying geodesics, in the future it will help us to study geometrical aspects of the wormhole traversability, and linked to this the model for extracting information of a black hole.

On the Jacobi approach there is a fixed energy restriction. The Lagrangian from which the equations of motion are obtained has to be restricted. This Lagrangian is going to be dependent on the Jacobi metric which involves the particle energy in an explicit way.

The energy becomes an additional parameter such as the rest mass of the particle, although the motion is still geodesic in the Jacobi metric space. Associated with the Jacobi metric is the Gaussian curvature, which will let us to classify the trajectories. Thus, trajectories can be classified in the following way: positive curvature corresponds to elliptic orbits, negative curvature corresponds to hyperbolic curvature and zero curvature corresponds to parabolic orbits.

Wormhole geometries have not been studied using this approach, and we hope that the geometric properties such as the flare-out condition can be reinterpreted in a more profound or an alternative way.

In section 2 we present a brief review of the Jacobi metric formalism. We describe the Jacobi metric and its properties. In section 3 we apply the Jacobi metric approach to static wormhole geometries, we work for different type of redshift functions and solve the Kepler problem in the simplest spacetime. In section 4 we study the dynamic wormhole using the Eisenhart-Duval lift. In section 5 we present the discussion and final comments. Finally, in the 6 we present the calculated Jacobi metric for different wormhole spacetimes.

2 The Jacobi metric and the Marpetuis principle

Here we provide an introduction to the Jacobi metric approach. We will use this approach to study the dynamics of different types of wormholes.

Given a n -dimensional Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(q_i, \dot{q}_i)$, where q_i is a generalized coordinate, the extremals of its correspondent action $S = \int \mathcal{L}(q_i, \dot{q}_i)$ are also the extremals of a reduced action in a $(n - 1)$ - dimensional phase space. This phase space is a level set of the Hamiltonian $H(q_i, p_i) = E$, where p_i is the conjugated momenta of q_i .

In general, let us define a Hamiltonian in a Riemannian manifold with metric g_{ij}

$$H(q, p) = g^{ij}(q)p_i p_j + V(q). \quad (2.1)$$

In a fixed energy submanifold the trajectories of H will be the same trajectories as the ones for an alternative Hamiltonian given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{g^{ij}(q)}{E - V(q)} p_i p_j, \quad (2.2)$$

together with the transformation

$$d\bar{t} = (E - V(q))dt. \quad (2.3)$$

The transformation given in (2.2) and (2.3) is a Jacobi transformation. This new Hamiltonian has properties that are attractive, for instance, it gives geodesic motion, allowing to study dynamic problems as a geodesic motion. It also let us to classify al trajectories using the Gauss curvature induced by the Jacobi metric

Let us consider a Lagrangian of the form

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j - V(x), \quad (2.4)$$

where m_{ij} is a mass matrix depending on space coordinates. The constrained motion ($H(q_i, p_i) = E$) of a particle is described by the geodesics of a rescaled metric

$$J_{ij}dx^i dx^j = 2(E - V)m_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \quad (2.5)$$

According to the Marpetuis principle, the trajectories of the system described by the constancy of (2.4) are the geodesics of the metric (2.5). This fact has profound geometrical implications. The metric (2.5) is called the Jacobi metric.

The Jacobi metric formalism has been used by Gibbons [18] to study the dynamics of a massive particle in a Schwarzschild spacetime. The free motion of a static particle in a static spacetime can be described by a energy dependent metric, which turns out to be a Riemannian metric on the spatial sections, in clear analogy to the classical case. The Kepler problem has been studied in [19]. Recently, the motion of massive particles in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime have been studied in [22]. For a good introduction see [24] The previous reasoning can be extended to Lorentzian geometries, where the temporal part is going to behave as the potential in the equation (2.4). We start with static spacetimes. Thus, let us consider a Lorentzian metric written as

$$ds^2 = -V^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.6)$$

where $V = V(r)$ is a continuous function of r . The action for a massive particle becomes

$$S = -m \int dt \sqrt{V^2 - g_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}, \quad (2.7)$$

where, as usual, $\dot{x}^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$. The canonical momentum is

$$p_i = \frac{m\dot{x}^i}{\sqrt{V^2 - g_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j}}, \quad (2.8)$$

which leads to the hamiltonian

$$H = \sqrt{V^2 g^{ij}p_i p_j + m^2 V^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Using $p_i = \partial_i S$ we can write the Hamilton-Jacobi equation as

$$\sqrt{V^2 g^{ij} \partial_i S \partial_j S + m^2 V^2} = E. \quad (2.10)$$

Defining $f_{ij} = V^{-2} g_{ij}$ (the Fermat metric) the eq.(2.10) can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{E^2 - m^2 V^2} f^{ij} \partial_i S \partial_j S = 1, \quad (2.11)$$

Equation (2.11) is the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the geodesics of the Jacobi metric J_{ij} given by

$$J_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (E^2 - m^2 V^2) V^{-2} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \quad (2.12)$$

For massless particles ($m = 0$), the Jacobi metric becomes the Fermat metric up to a factor of E^2 , and therefore the geodesics do not depend upon E . In the massive case ($m \neq 0$) the dependence of the geodesics upon the energy E will appear, see [18] for more details. Throughout the article we will compare, whenever possible, the results from the Jacobi metric approach with the results obtained using the conventional approach. There is a similar result in the second-order variational calculus. In [23] it is shown that the Morse theory associated to the dynamical problem is the Morse theory associated to the Jacobi metric. This is a result that have not been exploited, specially in the field of wormholes.

3 Static wormhole geometries and the Jacobi metric

There are different ansatz for metrics describing wormhole geometries. The simplest one is the Morris-Thorne one:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Phi(r)$ is the redshift function, and $b(r)$ is the shape function. It describes a horizonless, spherically symmetric spacetime [1]. The metric (3.1) is a solution of the Einstein equations.

The radial coordinate r has a minimum value, it decreases from $+\infty$ to $b(r_o) = r_o$, and then, it goes from r_o to ∞ . The throat of the wormhole is located at r_o . The g_{rr} component of the metric diverges in the throat. Moreover, the proper distance $l(r)$ is required to be finite everywhere.² In order to ensure the traversability of the wormhole it is required that $g_{tt} \neq 0$, implying that $\Phi(r)$ must be finite. See [3] for more details.

Using (2.12) it is straightforward to find the Jacobi metric corresponding to (3.1), it is given by

$$J_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)}) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) e^{2\Phi(r)}} + \frac{r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2}{e^{2\Phi(r)}} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

²The proper distance is defined by $l(r) \pm \int_{r_o}^r \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)$. It decreases from $+\infty$ to 0 (in the throat), and then, it decreases from 0 to $-\infty$

The Jacobi metric (3.2) is defined in the spatial sections of the original metric (3.1)³ For studying geodesics, and without loss of generality -because of spherical symmetry- we can consider the sections of the metric when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then the equation (3.2) becomes

$$ds^2 = (E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)}) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) e^{2\Phi(r)}} + \frac{r^2 d\phi^2}{e^{2\Phi(r)}} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

The Clairaut constant corresponds physically to the angular momentum, which is constant, thus

$$l = (E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)}) r^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$(E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)}) \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) e^{2\Phi(r)}} \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{r^2}{e^{2\Phi(r)}} \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right)^2 \right) = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) we find

$$\frac{(E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)})^2}{e^{4\Phi}} \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{E^2}{e^{2\Phi}} - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

Making the change of variable

$$d\tau = \frac{m e^{2\Phi}}{E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi}} ds \quad (3.8)$$

we get the known result, (see eq.(36) in [25]):

$$m^2 \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{E^2}{e^{2\Phi}} - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

It is common to set $u = \frac{1}{r}$, and therefore, u will satisfy the Binet's equation

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{E}^2}{e^{2\Phi(1/u)}} - 1 - h^2 u^2 \right) (1 - b(1/u)u), \quad (3.10)$$

where we have set $\tilde{E} = \frac{E}{m}$ and $h = \frac{l}{m}$. We have found a first integral depending on the Φ and b functions. We will take the shape function ⁴

$$b(r) = b_o^n r^{1-n}, \quad n > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

³ The quantity in the first bracket of (3.2) is the conformal factor and the Fermat metric is

$$F_{ij} = \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) e^{2\Phi(r)}} + \frac{r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2}{e^{2\Phi(r)}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

⁴The case $n = 2$ is the Morris-Thorne wormhole

which in terms of the variable u is

$$b(u) = b_o^n u^{n-1}. \quad (3.12)$$

The equation (3.10) transforms to

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{E}^2}{e^{2\Phi(\frac{1}{u})}} - 1 - h^2 u^2 \right) (1 - b_o^n u^n). \quad (3.13)$$

After some massage we arrive to

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = b_o^n \left(u^{n+2} - \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{E}^2}{e^{2\Phi}} - 1 \right) u^n - \frac{u^2}{b_o^n} + \frac{1}{h^2 b_o^n} \left(\frac{\tilde{E}}{e^{2\Phi}} - 1 \right) \right). \quad (3.14)$$

The previous equation is a first integral of motion, it is one of our important results. However, if we want to solve the equation we have to provide a redshift function Φ . As a first example we take $\Phi = 0$, later on we will take a less trivial redshift function.

3.1 The simplest redshift function: $e^{2\Phi} = 1$

Here we study the dynamics of the wormhole with the redshift function given by $e^\Phi = 1$. Thus, the equation (3.14) becomes

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = b_o^n \left(u^{n+2} - C u^n - \frac{u^2}{b_o^n} + \frac{C}{b_o^n} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

where we have set $C = \frac{\tilde{E}^2 - 1}{h^2}$ and $h = \frac{l}{m}$. Now we study the circular orbits for a particle of mass m .

3.1.1 Circular orbits

If we define $f(u) = b_o^n \left(u^{n+2} - C u^n - \frac{u^2}{b_o^n} + \frac{C}{b_o^n} \right)$ we can study the existence of circular orbits. We require that $f(u)$ and $f'(u)$ become zero at some point ($u = u_c$). Hence, we set

$$f(u) = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$f'(u) = b_o^n \left((n+2)u^{n+1} - nC u^{n-1} - \frac{2u}{b_o^n} \right) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Using (3.16) and (3.17) we find that for a circular orbit, the energy per unit mass \tilde{E} and the momentum per unit mass h have to satisfy

$$\frac{\tilde{E}^2 - 1}{h^2} = \frac{1}{b_o^2} \quad (3.18)$$

Contrary to what happens in the Schwarzschild and Reissner- Nördstrom black hole cases we have that $\frac{\tilde{E}^2-1}{h^2}$ is independent of u , and therefore we will have only one circular orbit at the throat. Indeed, from equation (3.17) we find

$$C = \frac{u_c^2}{n} \left(2 + n - \frac{2}{b_o^n u_c^n} \right). \quad (3.19)$$

After replacing (3.19) in (3.16) we obtain

$$b_o^n u_c^n - 1 = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

which clearly implies that $r_c = b_o$. The existence of circular orbits for a given \tilde{E} and \tilde{h} only depends on the factor b_o of the shape function.

On the other hand, we will have the smallest stable orbit at the inflection point of $f(u)$ i.e. $f''(u) = 0$. In general, a stable orbit will be reached when

$$f''(u) = b_o^n \left((n+2)(n+1)u_c^n - C(n-1)nu_c^{n-2} - \frac{2}{b_o^n} \right) > 0. \quad (3.21)$$

In the wormhole geometries (3.1), the only circular orbit will satisfy

$$f''(u = u_c, C = \frac{1}{b_o^n}) = 4n > 0. \quad (3.22)$$

From the previous equation we conclude that the only stable circular orbit is located at the throat.⁵

Using (3.19) in (3.15) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{b_o^n u_c^n} (b_o^n u^n - 1) (2u_c^n + b_o^n u_c^n (nu^2 - (2+n)u_c^2)) \quad (3.23)$$

The previous equation can be solved for different values of n . In the case of the Morris-Thorne wormhole ($n = 2$) we obtain an exact solution, and it is given by

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{Q_c + b_o^2 u^2}{(Q_c + b_o^2 u^2)Q_c}} sn(b_o u, K) \quad (3.24)$$

whre $Q_c = 1 - 2b_o^2 u_c^2$, with the modulus of the elliptic function ⁶ sn given by $K = \frac{1}{2b_o^2 u_c^2 - 1}$. Let us take a closer look to the particular case of the Morris-Thorne wormhole.

⁵If there would be more stable orbits, it is useful to calculate the inner most stable circular orbit, located at $f''(u) = 0$. In our case of study there is only one orbit, and therefore it does not make any sense to look for the smallest radius of a stable orbit.

⁶The first elliptic Jacobi function sn is defined as the inverse of the $F_k(x)$ function given by $F_k(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dr}{\sqrt{(1-r^2)(1-k^2 r^2)}}$

• **Bound states for The Morris-Thorne wormhole (n=2)**

The equation (3.15) can be solved for any n . Nevertheless, it is going to be really difficult to solve it for higher n ($n > 3$). We take $n = 2$, the Morris-Thorne wormholes, then eq. (3.15) becomes

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = b_o^2 \left(u^4 - \left(C + \frac{1}{b_o^2} \right) u^2 + \frac{C}{b_o^2} \right). \quad (3.25)$$

The integral of (3.25) is

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = b_o^2 \left(u^4 - \left(C + \frac{1}{b_o^2} \right) u^2 + \frac{C}{b_o^2} \right) = b_o^2 (u - \alpha)(u - \beta)(u - \gamma)(u - \delta), \quad (3.26)$$

with

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 0 \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_o^2} + C \right) = (\beta + \alpha)(\delta + \gamma) + \gamma\delta + \alpha\beta \quad (3.27)$$

$$\alpha\beta\gamma\delta = \frac{C}{b_o^2} \quad \alpha\beta\delta + \alpha\beta\gamma + \beta\gamma\delta + \alpha\gamma\delta = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

It can be easily seen that the constants are given by

$$\alpha = -\sqrt{C}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{b_o}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{b_o}, \quad \delta = \sqrt{C}. \quad (3.29)$$

The solution of (3.26) can be written as a function of the Jacobi' elliptic functions, assuming⁷

$$\alpha > \beta \geq u \geq \gamma > \delta > 0, \quad (3.30)$$

the equation (3.26) can be written

$$\frac{du}{\sqrt{(u - \alpha)(u - \beta)(u - \gamma)(u - \delta)}} = b_o^2 d\phi, \quad (3.31)$$

hence, the solution is given in the expression

$$\frac{(\alpha - \delta)(\beta - u)}{(\beta - \delta)(\alpha - u)} = sn^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega \phi \right), \quad (3.32)$$

where $\omega = (\beta - \gamma)(\alpha - \delta)$ and the modulus of the Jacobi function sn given by

$$k = \frac{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \delta)}{(\beta - \gamma)(\alpha - \delta)}. \quad (3.33)$$

⁷We take $\alpha > \beta \geq u \geq \gamma > \delta > 0$ as one of the possible cases where u can be located. If we chose a different order we are going to have different results, specially because of the limits of integration.

From (3.32) we obtain

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\beta-\delta}{\alpha-\delta}\right) sn^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right) \alpha - \beta}{\left(\frac{\beta-\delta}{\alpha-\delta}\right) sn^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right) - 1} = u, \quad (3.34)$$

then

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\beta-\delta}{\alpha-\delta}\right) sn^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right) \alpha - \beta}{cn^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right)} = u. \quad (3.35)$$

Finally

$$u = \beta nc^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right) - \alpha \left(\frac{\beta-\delta}{\alpha-\delta}\right) sc^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega\phi\right), \quad (3.36)$$

where we have used

$$nc = \frac{1}{cn} \quad sc = \frac{sn}{cn}. \quad (3.37)$$

Using the properties of the elliptic functions, relation (3.36) can be written

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{L}(1 + enc^2), \quad (3.38)$$

where

$$L = \left(\frac{\alpha-\delta}{\beta-\delta}\right) \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha} \quad e = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha-\delta}{\beta-\delta}\right) - 1. \quad (3.39)$$

Note that L can be interpreted as the semi-latus rectum and e as the eccentricity. The equation (3.38) has a very simple structure. We have found the equation (3.25) directly by using the Jacobi metric approach. This is one of the advantages of the present method compared with the traditional one. Now, let see how we can solve the equation (3.25) directly.

3.2 The classical approach: Weierstrass functions

The eq. (3.25) can be solved by the use of Weierstrass functions. The right hand side has at least a real root α , then with the change of variable $s = u - \tilde{\beta}$ the eq (3.25) transforms to

$$(s')^2 = Ps^4 + Qs^3 + Rs^2 + Ts, \quad (3.40)$$

with

$$P = b_o^2 \quad (3.41)$$

$$Q = 4b_o^2\tilde{\beta} \quad (3.42)$$

$$R = b_o^2 \left(6\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(C + \frac{1}{b_o^2}\right)\right) \quad (3.43)$$

$$T = b_o^2 \left(4\tilde{\beta}^3 - 2\left(C + \frac{2\tilde{\beta}}{b_o^2}\right)\right) \quad (3.44)$$

We make the substitution $\psi = \frac{4y}{T} - \frac{R}{3T}$ into the eq. (3.40) and we get

$$(y')^2 = 4y^3 - g_2y - g_3, \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$g_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \left(QT - \frac{2}{3}R^3 + \frac{1}{3}R^2 \right) \quad (3.46)$$

$$g_3 = -PT^2 - \frac{2R^3}{27} \quad (3.47)$$

then, the solution for (3.9) is

$$\frac{1}{r(\phi)} = \beta + \frac{T}{4\mathcal{P}(\phi + \zeta_o)}, \quad (3.48)$$

where \mathcal{P} represents the Weierstrass elliptic function, and ζ_o is a complex parameter. A similar result, with another approach was found in [26].

The orbits for massive particles are given by

$$\frac{1}{r} = -\sqrt{C} + b_o^2 \left(5C + \frac{1}{b_o^2} \right) \frac{1}{4\mathcal{P}(\phi + \zeta_o)}. \quad (3.49)$$

3.3 The Gauss curvature and the Kepler problem

We want to see how the geometric properties, such as Gauss curvature are related to the wormhole properties, specially with the flare-out condition. We are going to calculate the gauss curvature of the metric given in (3.4). This metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = f(r)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{g(r)^2} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right), \quad (3.50)$$

where

$$f(r)^2 = (E^2 - m^2 e^{2\Phi(r)}), \quad g(r)^2 = 1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}. \quad (3.51)$$

If we take

$$e^r = \frac{f}{g} dr, \quad e^\phi = fr d\phi, \quad (3.52)$$

and using the Cartan structural equation we have

$$\omega_r^\phi = (fr)' \frac{g}{f^2 r} d\phi \Rightarrow d\omega_r^\phi = \left((fr)'' \frac{g}{f} + (fr)' \left(\frac{g}{f} \right)' \right) \frac{g}{f^2 r} dr \wedge d\phi, \quad (3.53)$$

hence, the Gaussian curvature is

$$K_G = - \left((fr)'' \frac{g}{f} + (fr)' \left(\frac{g}{f} \right)' \right) \frac{g}{f^2 r}. \quad (3.54)$$

Replacing (3.51) in (3.54), and in the case of $e^{2\Phi(r)} = 1$ we obtain

$$K_G = \frac{(rb' - b)}{2r^3(E^2 - m^2)} \quad (3.55)$$

Clearly, the factor that determines the sign of the Gauss curvature is $(b'r - b)$, which turns out to be the flare-out condition of the wormhole, therefore, it has to be positive, meaning that the Gauss curvature has to be positive. This is a very interesting relationship, it implies that the only possibility⁸ for the Jacobi metric is to have a positive intrinsic curvature, it will lead us to a definite sign when studying the Kepler problem. Moreover, it is showing that traversability is related to the way in how the surface of constant energy is embedded in spacetime.

In [28] the flare-out condition was generalized, they define the throat as a two-dimensional minimal surface taken in any constant-time slice, then three types of flare-out conditions are defined using normal Gaussian coordinates⁹. The Gauss curvature (3.55) is positive everywhere $E^2 > m^2$. Therefore, for this particular zone all points are elliptic points. Since the flare-out condition is coordinate dependent we have to be careful with the result. In our case, equation (3.55) shows a relationship between an intrinsic quantity, such as the Gauss curvature, and the flare-out condition, which in general grounds is related to the surface embedding in a higher dimensional space. In this context, it is important to note that we are calculating the gauss curvature of the Jacobi metric, corresponding to (3.4), which is a two dimensional metric (because we are taking surfaces of constant energy, and because of spherical symmetry) and therefore the trace of the Ricci tensor is the Gaussian curvature. In the following section we study briefly the Kepler problem. There should be a relationship between the flare-out condition and the type of trajectories.

3.3.1 The Kepler problem

One of the advantages of the Jacobi metric formalism is that it allows the geometrization of the dynamics. We are going to study the Kepler problem for the static wormhole. In order to do so, we consider a radial potential $U(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}$ and assume spherical symmetry. Therefore, we are entitled to work with the spatial part of the metric, and because of angular momentum conservation we only consider two dimensions, the radial r and the angular ϕ . The Jacobi metric is given by [19]

$$ds_K^2 = 2(E - U(r)) \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right), \quad (3.56)$$

⁸This is the only possibility if want ordinary matter sustaining the wormhole.

⁹Taking \vec{n} the vector normal to the surface. K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature, it will depend on how the surface is embedded in the ambient space. The authors make three definitions of flare-out conditions, the strong condition which requires that at a point x the trace satisfies $K = 0$ and everywhere $\frac{\partial K}{\partial \vec{n}} \leq 0$. Having the strict inequality at the point x . The weak condition is satisfied if $K = 0$ and $\int \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \vec{n}} d^2x < 0$. Finally, the simple flare-out condition, which requires $K = 0$ and $\frac{\partial K}{\partial \vec{n}} \leq 0$ everywhere.

and, as before, we can write the previous metric in the form of (3.50), where

$$f(r)^2 = 2(E - U(r)), \quad g(r)^2 = 1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}. \quad (3.57)$$

Then, using equation (3.54), and taking $e^{2\Phi} = 1$ we can find a general expression for the Gaussian curvature

$$K_G = \frac{(4E^2r^2 + 2\alpha^2 + 6Er\alpha)(rb' - b) - 4Er\alpha(r - b)}{16r^2(Er + \alpha)^3} \quad (3.58)$$

We were not able to write this curvature as a function of the flare-out condition only. Therefore, we can not define the global sign of the curvature, it will depend on how big is the positive factor $4Er\alpha(r - b)$ compared with the other factor in the numerator. In particular, when $E > 0$ and $b < r$ together with flare-out condition being negative we have that the Gauss curvature is negative, and therefore, the trajectories are going to be elliptic. For the case of the Morris-Thorne wormholes¹⁰ ($n = 2$) it can be seen that (3.58) do not have a global sign, it will depend on the sign of E . If $E > 0$ then (3.58) is negative, but if $E < 0$ then we have two options: If $|b_o| > \sqrt{\frac{Er^3\alpha}{2E^2r^2 + 2Er\alpha + \alpha^2}}$ the Gauss curvature is negative otherwise it is positive.

As we have seen, the Kepler problem can be solved in this kind of static spaces. However, since the flare-out condition is coordinate dependent, in order to make a deep analysis we recommend to study the covariant formulation of the flare-out condition and its relationship with the Gaussian curvature, which as far as we know, it is not studied in the literature.

3.3.2 Another redshift function

As we have seen, we can find the Jacobi metric straightforwardly. Depending on the redshift function the calculation is going to increase in difficulty, see Appendix 6 for different cases. Here we present a brief example, with a non-zero redshift function.

We take the redshift function $e^{2\Phi} = (1 - b(u)u) + \epsilon(u)$, where ϵ is a continuous function with vanishing contribution far from the throat. The respective Jacobi metric, after setting $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, is written as

$$ds^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r))}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)} \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right). \quad (3.59)$$

In this particular case the Clairut constant is given by

$$l = \frac{E^2 - m^2(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r))}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)} r^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right), \quad (3.60)$$

¹⁰The Morris-Thorne wormholes with shape function $b(r) = b_o^n r^{1-n}$ do not satisfy the flare-out condition. Indeed $rb'(r) - b = -\frac{2b_o^2}{r}$

hence

$$\frac{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{b}{r}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} = \frac{E^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)} - m^2. \quad (3.61)$$

Taking

$$d\tau = \frac{m \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)\right)}{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)\right)} ds, \quad (3.62)$$

we recover (see eq.(44) in [25])

$$\frac{m^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \epsilon(r)} - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2}. \quad (3.63)$$

Then, we can start the analysis of bounded and unbounded orbits. For the classical analysis we refer the reader to [25]. Instead of doing that, we are going to study dynamical wormholes. In this particular case we have to modify the metric in order to use a Jacobi metric approach.

4 Dynamic wormhole geometries: the Eisenhart-Duval lift

In this section we are going to consider a dynamic wormhole. Some of the coefficients of the wormhole metric will depend on time, and therefore we cannot use the Jacobi formalism directly. We have to adapt the technique for finding the Jacobi metric. Usually, in time dependent systems, the energy is not conserved, and therefore the systems become dissipative. The usual Jacobi method¹¹ projects the geodesics to a constant energy hypersurface. When the metric is dynamic we do not have a surface where we can project. However, there is a way to circumvent this problem, we can use the Eisenhart-Duval lift [20]. This lift helps us to embed non-relativistic theories into a Lorentzian geometry. This method allows us to geometrize classical systems by building a dynamically equivalent system but in higher dimensional Lorentzian space. For a good introduction see [29, 30].

Let us consider the following time dependent wormhole metric

$$ds^2 = -c^2 e^{2\Phi} dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

As before $e^{2\Phi}$ is the redshift function and $b(r)$ is the shape form. The function $a(t)$ is a continuous function of time. We modify the metric (4.1) by introducing a dummy variable

¹¹We call usual method to the time-independent one.

σ in the following way

$$ds^2 = -c^2 e^{2\Phi} dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right] + 2cdtd\sigma. \quad (4.2)$$

The corresponding line element Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}(r, \dot{r}, t) = \frac{m}{2} (-c^2 V^2(r, t) \dot{t}^2 + 2c\dot{t} - g_{ij}(r, t) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j), \quad (4.3)$$

where $V^2(r, t) = -e^{2\Phi}$. The Jacobi metric is

$$ds^2 = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right). \quad (4.4)$$

where q is a conserved quantity and $p_\sigma = qc = cte$.

Taking $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we got

$$ds^2 = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence,

$$1 = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) a^2(t) \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right)^2 \right). \quad (4.6)$$

In this case the Clairaut constant is

$$l = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) a^2(t) r^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right)^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Using (4.7) and (4.6) we find

$$(2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) \left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) \left(2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2 - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2}\right). \quad (4.8)$$

Then, with the change of parameter

$$ds = \frac{1}{m} (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2) d\tau, \quad (4.9)$$

we obtain

$$m^2 \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) \left(2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - m^2 c^2 - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2}\right). \quad (4.10)$$

We can see that $p_\sigma = qc$ by taking the derivative with respect to $\dot{\sigma}$ in the Lagrangian (4.3). Similarly we can find

$$p_t = c^2 e^{2\Phi(r)} q - \frac{mc\dot{\sigma}}{2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, equation (4.8) becomes

$$m^2 \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \left(e^{2\Phi(r)} q^2 c^2 - mcq^2 \dot{\sigma} - m^2 c^2 - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2} \right). \quad (4.12)$$

The previous equation will give as a result geodesics in 5 dimensions, we project to four dimensions by taking¹² $\dot{\sigma} = 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \left(e^{2\Phi} q^2 c^2 - c^2 - \frac{l^2}{m^2 a^2(t) r^2} \right). \quad (4.13)$$

4.1 The null case

In the null case we take $m = 0$, then the Jacobi metric becomes, after setting $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$J_{ij} dx^i dx^j = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t)) a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right)} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right). \quad (4.14)$$

The Clairut constant becomes

$$l = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t)) a^2(t) r^2 \dot{\phi}. \quad (4.15)$$

Proceeding as usual we obtain

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \left(2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t) - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2} \right), \quad (4.16)$$

provided that

$$ds = (2qp_t - q^2 c^2 V^2(r, t)) d\lambda. \quad (4.17)$$

Using $p_t = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{t}}$ we can find p_t , and therefore,

$$\left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \left(e^{2\Phi} q^2 c^2 - cq\dot{\sigma} - c^2 - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2} \right). \quad (4.18)$$

After taking $\dot{\sigma} = 0$ we obtain

$$\left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \left(e^{2\Phi} t^2 c^2 - c^2 - \frac{l^2}{a^2(t)r^2} \right). \quad (4.19)$$

¹²It will imply that $p_\sigma = -cmt \neq cte$.

We can find an equation for \dot{t} . Using the Lagrangian formalism we find a general expression

$$\frac{\ddot{t}}{\dot{t}} + 2\frac{d\Phi}{dr}\dot{r} + \delta\frac{\dot{a}(t)e^{-2\Phi}}{a(t)c^2\dot{t}^2} + \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

where $(\delta = -1)$ for temporal case, $(\delta = 0)$ for the null case, and $(\delta = 1)$ for the spatial case. This equation can be solved easily for the null case, but for the temporal case we need an extra assumption $\Phi = 0$. These results represent a generalization of the cases studied in [25]. Thus, for the null case we have

$$\frac{\ddot{t}}{\dot{t}} + 2\frac{d\Phi}{dr}\dot{r} + \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

The previous equation can be expressed¹³

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} (\ln(\dot{t}) + \ln(a(t)) + 2\Phi(r)) = 0, \quad (4.23)$$

This equation is difficult to solve, a numerical approach will work provided the function Φ . Finally we get

$$\dot{r}_{null}^2 = \frac{1}{a^4(t)} \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) \left(E^2 e^{-2\Phi} - \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right). \quad (4.24)$$

Comparing (4.24) with eq. (78) in [25] we can see that there is an extra factor in our equation, the factor corresponding to the redshift equation. Our result is more general and it includes the three possible cases for δ .

As we can see, the Jacobi method is a powerful tool for finding geodesic equations. Moreover, it provides us with a deep geometrical intuition. We can completely geometrize the dynamics. In the following section we calculate the Gaussian curvature.

4.2 The Gaussian curvature

We want to calculate the Gaussian curvature, in this particular case, setting

$$f^2 = (2qp_t - c^2q^2V(r, t) - c^2m^2)a^2(t), \quad g^2(r) = \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right), \quad (4.25)$$

and taking taking $\Phi = 0$ in equation 3.50 we obtain¹⁴

$$K_G = \frac{b'(r)r - b(r)}{2m^2c^2(\dot{t}^2 - 1)a^2(t)r^3} \quad (4.27)$$

¹³What we can obtain analytically is

$$\dot{t} = \frac{E}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}. \quad (4.22)$$

¹⁴This result can also be written

$$K_G = -\frac{b'(r)r - b(r)}{2(-c^2m^2 - c^2q^2 + 2qp_t)a^2(t)r^3} \quad (4.26)$$

. this Gaussian curvature will depend on the sign of $-m^2 - q^2 + 2qp_t$.

This Gaussian curvature is very similar to (3.55). The flare-out has to be positive and the only condition defining the sign of the Gaussian curvature is $\dot{t}^2 > 1$ where \dot{t} is a solution of 4.20. Similarly, the Kepler problem can be studied and we can see that the flare-out condition plays a crucial role.

5 Discussion and final remarks

We have studied the dynamics of wormholes using the Jacobi metric approach. Until now, every study of dynamics related to wormholes have been done by the usual techniques, namely, solving the geodesic equation. The Jacobi metric approach let us geometrize the dynamics, and allows to study trajectories in a Riemannian manifold as if it were geodesics of a Lorentzian manifold. In particular, the study of wormholes was eye opening, specially regarding the properties of the spacetime at the throat of the wormhole. We found the Jacobi metric, and the equation of motion for different types of wormholes. In the case of the Morris-Thorne wormhole we have studied circular stable orbits. Moreover, using Jacobi elliptic functions we were able to find a simple equation (eq. 3.38) for describing the orbits.

We have shown that the flare-out condition is in direct relationship with the Gaussian curvature of the Jacobi metric, restricting the sign of the Gaussian curvature. We have argued about the possibility of relating the covariant formulation of the flare-out condition with the Jacobi metric and its Gaussian curvature. We need a more profound study about this aspect. We have to remember that the flare-out condition can be related with the matter sustaining the wormholes, and therefore the Gaussian curvature can be related too. However, we know that the flare-out condition is coordinate dependent, therefore we need to make a covariant approach, see [28] for a covariant definition of the flare-out condition. We know that a restricted variational principle in an arbitrary metric and constant particle energy is completely equivalent to a variational principle, which now is unrestricted, but defined in the Jacobi metric, which involves the particle energy in an explicit way. Therefore, the paths of a given constant energy are still geodesics of the Jacobi metric. On this context, and knowing that the geodesics equation can be obtained using the classical Hamilton-Jacobi method with the Jacobi metric, the Jacobi approach has similar limitations as the classical method. However, it has more advantages in other aspects, one prominent example is the solution of the Kepler problem. We have briefly studied the problem in our wormhole metric, but we have discovered, again, that the flare-out condition determines the sign, and therefore the type, of geometries allowed. Finally, it will be useful to study stationary wormholes, in similar way that stationary black hole metrics have been studied in [31].

6 Appendix

6.1 Exponential metric

An interesting example of a wormhole is the exponential metric. This metric was known to be horizonless, however, it was not until the reference [32] appeared that it started to be considered as a wormhole. This metric has nice features, for example, it is a traversable wormhole, with time slowed down on the other side of throat. The innermost stable circular orbits and unstable photon orbits exist and are a little bit shifted from where they would be located in Schwarzschild spacetime. For more details see [32]. The exponential metric is a wormhole given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{-\frac{2m}{r}} dt^2 + e^{\frac{2m}{r}} \{dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2)\}. \quad (6.1)$$

Using the same procedures as in previous sections we can calculate the Jacobi metric:

$$ds^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}}{e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}} e^{\frac{2m}{r}} \{dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)\}. \quad (6.2)$$

Taking $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have

$$ds^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}}{e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}} e^{\frac{2m}{r}} (dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2). \quad (6.3)$$

The Clairut constant is

$$l = \left(E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}\right) e^{\frac{4m}{r}} r^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right) = cte. \quad (6.4)$$

Using (6.4) in (6.3) we get

$$\left(E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}\right)^2 e^{\frac{4m}{r}} \left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 = E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}} - \frac{l^2}{r^2}. \quad (6.5)$$

We recover the usual expression [32]

$$m^2 e^{\frac{4m}{r}} \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}} - \frac{l^2}{r^2}, \quad (6.6)$$

provided that

$$d\tau = \frac{m e^{\frac{2m}{r}}}{E^2 - m^2 e^{-\frac{2m}{r}}} ds. \quad (6.7)$$

As we can easily see from the previous calculations we can proceed with a similar study as the one carried in this paper but for the exponential metric or for almost any other wormhole metric.

6.2 Darmour-Solodukin wormholes

The Darmour-Solodukin wormhole is a modification of the Schwarzschild metric in order to make it horizonless. Usually thought as a good candidate for cosmological observations, the "black hole foils" are objects that mimic some aspects of black holes, while lacking some of their defining features, such as the horizon [33]. The Darmour-Solodukin wormhole metric is

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2). \quad (6.8)$$

The Jacobi metric is then

$$ds^2 = \frac{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda \right)}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right)} \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right), \quad (6.9)$$

where we have set $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, the Clairut constant is

$$l = \frac{\left(E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right) \right) r^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right)} \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right). \quad (6.10)$$

Hence

$$\frac{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right)}{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)} \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = E^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right) \left(m + \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right), \quad (6.11)$$

if we make

$$d\tau = \frac{m \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right)}{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \lambda^2 \right)} ds. \quad (6.12)$$

We are ready for studying charged wormholes. The dynamics is going to be more complicated than their uncharged counterparts, but we can apply the same procedure without any problem.

6.3 Scalar charged wormhole

Charged wormholes can be found by introducing matter to a static wormhole which is already sustained by exotic matter. The charges play the role of the additional matter. In [34] the author introduced the charged wormholes. In particular he works with scalar charged wormholes, whose metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{r^2} \right)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2), \quad (6.13)$$

and the shape function $b(r)$ is restricted to be

$$b = b_o \frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1} r^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \quad \beta < 1/2. \quad (6.14)$$

The Jacobi metric is

$$ds^2 = (E^2 - m^2) \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{r^2}\right)} + r^2 d\phi^2 \right). \quad (6.15)$$

where we have set $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The Clairut constant is

$$l = (E^2 - m^2)r^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

Using (6.15) and (6.16) we found

$$\frac{(E^2 - m^2)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} = E^2 - m^2. \quad (6.17)$$

Setting

$$d\tau = \frac{m}{E^2 - m^2} ds, \quad (6.18)$$

we recover the expected results

$$\frac{m^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b}{r} + \frac{\alpha}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = E^2 - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2}. \quad (6.19)$$

In the same article [34] the author introduced electrically charged wormholes, changing the scalar charge α to the electrical charge Q , we obtain a similar metric as (6.13).

6.4 Modified charged wormhole

There is a way to generalize even more the charged wormhole. The new generalization is called modified charged wormhole [35] and its metric is given by

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2), \quad (6.20)$$

where $R(r)$ is any positive function of r , and when $R(r) = 0$ we recover the electrically charged wormhole given in [34].

The Jacobi metric for the modified charged wormholes is

$$J_{ij} = \frac{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)}{\left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)} \left(\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)} + r^2 d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 \right). \quad (6.21)$$

The Clairut constant is

$$l = \frac{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right) r^2}{\left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds}\right). \quad (6.22)$$

Then, using (6.21) and (6.22) we obtain

$$\frac{\left(E^2 - m^2 \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)\right)^2}{\left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{b}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{\left(1 + r + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2}. \quad (6.23)$$

which after setting

$$d\tau = \frac{m \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)}{E^2 - m^2 \left(1 + R(r) + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} ds. \quad (6.24)$$

becomes

$$\frac{m^2}{\left(1 - \frac{b}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{\left(1 + R + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}\right)} - m^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2}. \quad (6.25)$$

References

- [1] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, “Wormholes in space-time and their use for interstellar travel: A tool for teaching general relativity,” *Am. J. Phys.* **56** (1988) 395.
- [2] P. Martin-Moruno and M. Visser, “Semiclassical energy conditions for quantum vacuum states,” *JHEP* **1309** (2013) 050, arXiv:[1306.2076](#).
- [3] F. S. N. Lobo, “Wormholes, Warp Drives and Energy Conditions,” *Fundam. Theor. Phys.* **189** (2017)
- [4] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, “Traversable wormholes sustained by an extra spatial dimension,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.6, 064041, arXiv: [1809.01993](#)[gr-qc].
- [5] F. Hammad, É. Massé and P. Labelle, “Revisiting wormhole energy conditions in Riemann-Cartan spacetimes and under Weyl transformations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.12, 124010, arXiv:[1812.05318](#)[gr-qc].
- [6] R. Garattini, “Self sustained traversable wormholes and the equation of state,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 1189, arXiv:[gr-qc/0701019](#).
- [7] R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani, S. Vagnozzi and S. Zerbini, “Static spherically symmetric solutions in mimetic gravity: rotation curves and wormholes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) no.12, 125005, arXiv:[1510.02284](#)[gr-qc].
- [8] A. Anabalón and J. Oliva, “Four-dimensional Traversable Wormholes and Bouncing Cosmologies in Vacuum,” *JHEP* **1904** (2019) 106, arXiv:[1811.03497](#)[hep-th].
- [9] B. J. Barros and F. S. N. Lobo, “Wormhole geometries supported by three-form fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.4, 044012, arXiv:[1806.10488](#)[gr-qc].
- [10] P. Bhar, F. Rahaman, T. Manna and A. Banerjee, “Wormhole supported by dark energy admitting conformal motion,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) no.12, 708, arXiv:[1612.04669](#)[gr-qc].
- [11] F. Rahaman, I. Karar, S. Karmakar and S. Ray, “Wormhole inspired by non-commutative geometry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **746** (2015) 73, arXiv:[1406.3045](#) [gr-qc].
- [12] E. M. C. Abreu and N. Sasaki, “Noncommutative Wormholes and the Energy Conditions,” arXiv:[1207.7130](#) [hep-th].
- [13] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, “A note on macroscopic wormholes in noncommutative geometry,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **89** (2013) 401, arXiv:[1301.0088](#) [gr-qc].
- [14] J. Maldacena, A. Milekhin and F. Popov, “Traversable wormholes in four dimensions,” arXiv: [1807.04726](#)[hep-th].

- [15] F. Rahaman, P. K. F. Kuhfittig, S. Ray and N. Islam, “Possible existence of wormholes in the galactic halo region,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2750, arXiv:[1307.1237](#) [[gr-qc](#)].
- [16] R. Garattini and F. S. N. Lobo, “Self-sustained traversable wormholes in noncommutative geometry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **671** (2009) 146, arXiv:[0811.0919](#) [[gr-qc](#)].
- [17] P. Bueno, P. A. Cano, F. Goelen, T. Hertog and B. Vercknocke, “Echoes of Kerr-like wormholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) no.2, 024040, arXiv:[1711.00391](#) [[gr-qc](#)].
- [18] G. W. Gibbons, “The Jacobi-metric for timelike geodesics in static spacetimes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) no.2, 025004 arXiv:[1508.06755](#)
- [19] S. Chanda, G. W. Gibbons and P. Guha, “Jacobi–Maupertuis metric and Kepler equation,” *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **14** (2017) no.07, 1730002, arXiv:[1612.07395](#) [[math-ph](#)].
- [20] S. Chanda, G. W. Gibbons and P. Guha, “Jacobi-Maupertuis-Eisenhart metric and geodesic flows,” *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017) no.3, 032503 arXiv:[1612.00375](#).
- [21] A. V. Tsiganov, “The Maupertuis Principle and Canonical Transformations of the Extended Phase Space”, *J. Nonlinear. Math. Phys.* **8** (2001) no.1, 157-182
- [22] P. Das, R. Sk and S. Ghosh, “Motion of charged particle in Reissner–Nordström spacetime: a Jacobi-metric approach,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) no.11, 735 arXiv:[1609.04577](#).
- [23] A. Alonso Izquierdo, M. A. Gonzalez Leon, J. Mateos Guilarte and M. de la Torre Mayado, “Jacobi metric and Morse theory of dynamical systems,” ariv:[math-ph/0212017](#).
- [24] M. Szydłowski, Geometry of spaces with the Jacobi metric, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **37**, 346 (1996).
- [25] A. Mishra and S. Chakraborty, “On the trajectories of null and timelike geodesics in different wormhole geometries,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.5, 374, arXiv:[1710.06791](#).
- [26] T. Muller, “Exact geometric optics in a Morris-Thorne wormhole spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 044043.
- [27] G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and A. Sanchez-Puente, “Geodesic completeness in a wormhole spacetime with horizons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) no.4, 044047, arXiv:[1508.03272](#).
- [28] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, “Geometric structure of the generic static traversable wormhole throat,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 4745, arXiv:[gr-qc/9704082](#).

- [29] M. Cariglia and F. K. Alves, “The Eisenhart lift: a didactical introduction of modern geometrical concepts from Hamiltonian dynamics,” *Eur. J. Phys.* **36** (2015) no.2, 025018, arXiv:[1503.07802](#)
- [30] K. Finn, S. Karamitsos and A. Pilaftsis, “Eisenhart lift for field theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.1, 016015, arXiv:[1806.02431](#)
- [31] S. Chanda, G. W. Gibbons, P. Guha, P. Maraner and M. C. Werner, “Jacobi-Maupertuis Randers-Finsler metric for curved spaces and the gravitational magnetoelectric effect,” arXiv:[1903.11805](#) [gr-qc].
- [32] P. Boonserm, T. Ngampitipan, A. Simpson and M. Visser, “Exponential metric represents a traversable wormhole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.8, 084048, arXiv:[1805.03781](#)[gr-qc].
- [33] T. Damour and S. N. Solodukhin, “Wormholes as black hole foils,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 024016, arXiv: [0704.2667](#)[gr-qc].
- [34] S. W. Kim and H. Lee, “Exact solutions of a charged wormhole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 064014, arXiv:[gr-qc/0102077](#).
- [35] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, “On the feasibility of charged wormholes,” *Central Eur. J. Phys.* **9** (2011) 1144, arXiv:[1104.4662](#)[gr-qc].