

5d Superconformal Field Theories and Graphs

Fabio Apruzzi,¹ Craig Lawrie,² Ling Lin,² Sakura Schäfer-Nameki,¹ and Yi-Nan Wang¹

¹*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, United Kingdom*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*

We propose a graph-theoretic description to determine and characterize 5d superconformal field theories (SCFTs) that arise as circle reductions of 6d $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SCFTs. Each 5d SCFT is captured by a graph, called a Combined Fiber Diagram (CFD). Transitions between CFDs encode mass deformations that trigger flows between SCFTs. In this way, the complete set of descendants of a given 6d theory is obtained from a single, marginal, CFD. The graphs encode key physical information like the superconformal flavor symmetry and BPS states. As we demonstrate for the 5d descendants of 6d minimal (E_6, E_6) and (D_k, D_k) conformal matter (for any k), our proposal not only reproduces known results, but also makes predictions in particular for thus far unknown flavor symmetry enhancements.

I. INTRODUCTION

5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs are intrinsically non-perturbative quantum field theories. At low energies these can have effective descriptions in terms of weakly coupled gauge theories, which allows one to probe certain aspects of the SCFTs. However, due to their strongly coupled nature, a more complete understanding of 5d SCFTs presents a challenge that necessitates methods beyond those of ordinary field theory, thus motivating a string-theoretic approach. This crucially incorporates an interpolation between the infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) fixed point. 5d theories have been engineered in string theory by (p, q) -fivebrane webs [1], or M-theory on non-compact Calabi–Yau threefolds with canonical singularities [2, 3]. In the latter approach, there is a particularly elegant correspondence between geometry and physics, whereby the resolution of the singularity may be identified with an renormalization group (RG)-flow from the UV fixed point to an effective IR description.

The case we wish to make here is that string theory does not only provide examples, but lays out a framework to map out the full landscape of 5d SCFTs, including a characterization of their most salient properties. For example, singularities in the M-theory realization, where complex surfaces have collapsed to points, can correspond to SCFTs. In the smooth phase, when these surfaces have finite volume, their geometry determines the low-energy gauge theory descriptions for the SCFT, if one exists. Complex curves inside these surfaces determine the spectrum of matter hypermultiplets charged under the gauge algebra, as well as additional non-perturbative states. As one approaches the UV fixed point by collapsing the surfaces to a point, these states become part of the BPS spectrum of the SCFT.

Recent progress in identifying M-theory geometries related to 5d SCFTs has been made in [4–10]. The approach in this letter is fundamentally different, as it intrinsically captures some of the strongly coupled physics and gives a surprisingly efficient way of characterizing and mapping out the landscape of 5d SCFTs.

We define a graph, associated to each 5d SCFT, the

combined fiber diagram (CFD), which succinctly encodes the key properties of the geometry. Each such graph corresponds to an equivalence class of surface configurations inside a Calabi–Yau threefold, whose singular limit defines the same SCFT. This framework also captures UV dualities amongst distinct gauge theories. The vertices of each graph correspond to curves, which are contained within the surfaces, and give rise to BPS states in the UV.

Flows between two UV fixed points are encoded in transitions between CFDs. These are reflected in geometric transitions that modify the curve configuration on the surfaces, such that their collapse generates a different singularity. The graph theoretic description gives an efficient method to map out all SCFTs that can be obtained by mass deformations starting from a given CFD (and thus SCFT).

An intrinsically strongly coupled characteristic of a 5d SCFT is its flavor symmetry, which generally is larger than that of its low-energy description [11]. Determining this enhanced flavor symmetry is notoriously difficult. While techniques such as the superconformal index require an effective gauge theory description to extract these symmetries [12], these approaches are not applicable for examples without such an IR description. On the other hand, the CFD manifestly encodes the Dynkin diagram for the superconformal flavor symmetry in terms of a marked subgraph. The CFD-transitions correspond to precise rules how vertices are removed and marked or unmarked. Finally, by using the graph structure of the CFD, we can compute the representations of BPS states under the flavor symmetry.

Our approach is rooted in the duality between M- and F-theory on a singular, elliptically fibered Calabi–Yau threefold, Y . F-theory on Y determines a 6d $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ SCFT, with flavor symmetry G_{6d} , whose S^1 -reduction with holonomies in the 6d flavor symmetry yields 5d SCFTs realized as M-theory on different geometric limits of Y . In these limits, we can manifestly track the unbroken subgroup of G_{6d} that constitutes the flavor symmetry [7] and the BPS spectrum [13] in 5d. We develop the geometric foundation of this approach in the companion

paper [14]. In a second companion paper [15], the focus is the gauge theory description on the Coulomb branch of 5d SCFTs, using the methods developed in [16], which complements the CFD approach in cases with an effective gauge theory description.

II. SCFTS FROM GRAPHS

A collection of compact complex surfaces inside a Calabi–Yau threefold defines, under suitable assumptions [3, 4, 6, 17], a 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT. While a precise knowledge of the surface geometries is required to determine an IR description, the SCFT limit is insensitive to many of the details of this geometry. It is this reduced set of properties, upon which the SCFT depends, that we encode in the *Combined Fiber Diagram* (CFD): a graph whose vertices are complex curves, C_i , inside the collection of surfaces, $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=1}^N S_k$, and the number of edges connecting two vertices C_i and C_j is given by the intersection number $m_{i,j} = C_i \cdot C_j$. The integer N is the rank of the 5d SCFT. Each vertex has labels (n_i, g_i) , the self-intersection number of C_i inside \mathcal{S} and the genus of C_i (if $g_i = 0$ the label is omitted). A detailed derivation of the CFDs from the geometry is subject of the companion paper [14].

Vertices with $(n_i, g_i) = (-2, 0)$ will be marked (colored) and define a subgraph, which corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of the non-abelian part of the flavor group of the 5d SCFT, G_F .¹ The rank of G_F is known, as discussed anon, and from this one can determine the abelian factors in G_F . Vertices with $(n_i, g_i) = (-1, 0)$ encode possible mass deformations.

Given a CFD a new, *descendant CFD*, and thereby 5d SCFT, can be constructed by a *(CFD-)transition*: remove a vertex C_i with $(n_i, g_i) = (-1, 0)$ and update the CFD data as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} n'_j &= n_j + m_{i,j}^2 \\ g'_j &= g_j + \frac{m_{i,j}^2 - m_{i,j}}{2} \\ m'_{j,k} &= m_{j,k} + m_{i,j}m_{i,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $j, k \neq i$. A marked vertex for which n_j changes becomes unmarked after the transition. Geometrically, a transition is the collapse of a curve C_i in \mathcal{S} . In the SCFT, this corresponds to a mass deformation and subsequent RG-flow to the descendant SCFT. Such a transition is not reversible, which reflects the nature of RG-flows, where one cannot flow “backwards” without knowing the correct decoupled degrees of freedom.

There are natural candidate starting points to construct descendant SCFTs, the so-called marginal theo-

ries, whose UV fixed points are 6d $(1, 0)$ SCFTs. We define associated *marginal or top CFDs*, which have marked vertices forming *affine* Dynkin diagrams. Such theories and their CFDs provide the starting point, from which our transition rules (1) can generate all descendant CFDs/SCFTs.

For marginal theories, the rank of the flavor symmetry is $1 + \text{rank}(G_{6d})$. With each transition, i.e. mass deformation, the flavor rank drops by one, thus the superconformal flavor symmetry algebra is fully determined.


In the present letter, we consider marginal theories originating from 6d (G_1, G_2) conformal matter (CM) theories [18]. The marginal CFD contains the affine Dynkin diagram of \widehat{G}_{6d} as a marked subgraph, in addition to unmarked vertices with $(n_i, g_i) = (-1, 0)$.

III. RANK ONE THEORIES

In the following, we illustrate how CFD-transitions realize RG-flows between all the Seiberg and Morrison–Seiberg theories [2, 11]. This results in an alternative derivation of all rank one 5d SCFTs. The marginal theory is associated to the rank one E-string theory and has CFD, where the green nodes are the marked $(-2, 0)$ vertices,


(2)


Applying a CFD-transition to this marginal CFD describes the theory that is related by mass deformation and RG-flow. The first transition yields


(3)

which is a CFD for a 5d SCFT with E_8 flavor symmetry. This is in fact the UV fixed point of the $SU(2)$ theory with $N_F = 7$ fundamental flavors. The complete tree of descendant CFDs is comprised of ten rank one 5d SCFTs with $G_F = E_{N_F+1}$, as shown in figure 1. This is in agreement with the flavor enhancement in [2, 11], including the distinction between E_1 and \tilde{E}_1 , as well as capturing the so-called “ E_0 theory”, which lacks a gauge description.

IV. 5D SCFTS FROM (D_K, D_K) CM

Next we consider examples of arbitrary rank, descending from 6d (D_k, D_k) minimal conformal matter (CM) theory on S^1 , whose marginal CFD is


(4)

The marked (green) (-2) -vertices form a \widehat{D}_{2k} affine Dynkin diagram and $G_{6d} = D_{2k}$. There are $(k+2)^2 - 3$

¹ We discuss here only the simply-laced case and defer the more general case to [14].




FIG. 1. CFD-transition tree for rank one 5d SCFTs including the superconformal flavor symmetries G_F .

descendant CFDs/SCFTs, which are shown in figure 2, including the strongly coupled flavor symmetry and spin 0 BPS states. In the supplementary material² we explicitly show all descendants for (D_9, D_9) .

Three dual gauge theory descriptions for the marginal theory are known

$$\begin{aligned} SU(k-2)_0 + 2k\mathbf{F}, \quad Sp(k-3) + 2k\mathbf{F}, \\ [4\mathbf{F}] - SU(2)^{k-3} - [4\mathbf{F}], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $SU(2)^{k-3}$ is the linear quiver with $(k-3)$ $SU(2)$ gauge nodes connected by bifundamental hypermultiplets; the factors without flavors have $\theta = 0$ [19, 20]. Giving mass to the flavors, populates a subtree in figure 2 of descendants that have a gauge theory description.

Any of the $SU(k-2)$ gauge theory descriptions is specified by the number m of fundamental hypermultiplets and the Chern-Simons level, κ . Decoupling a flavor hypermultiplet shifts κ by $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ [11]. Moreover, $SU(k-2)_\kappa$ is dual to $SU(k-2)_{-\kappa}$. Overall, there are $k(k+2)$ 5d

SCFTs with this weakly coupled gauge theory description.

The CFDs predict the following superconformal flavor symmetries for theories that have an $SU(k-2)_\kappa + m\mathbf{F}$ gauge theory description:

κ	SCFT Flavor Symmetry G_F
$k - \frac{m}{2} :$	$\begin{cases} SO(4k) & m = 2k - 1 \\ SO(4k-4) \times SU(2) & m = 2k - 2 \\ SO(2m) \times U(1) & m = 0, \dots, 2k - 3 \end{cases}$
$k - 1 - \frac{m}{2} :$	$\begin{cases} SU(2k) & m = 2k - 2 \\ SU(2k-2) \times SU(2) & m = 2k - 3 \\ SU(m+1) \times U(1) & m = 0, \dots, 2k - 4 \end{cases}$
$k - 2 - \frac{m}{2} :$	$\begin{cases} SU(2k-4) \times SU(2)^2 & m = 2k - 4 \\ U(m) \times SU(2) & m = 0, \dots, 2k - 5 \end{cases} \quad (6)$

These flavor symmetries agree with those recently obtained by independent methods in [20–22].

By decoupling stepwise the $2k$ fundamental hypermultiplets from the marginal $Sp(k-3)$ theory in (5), we get $(2k+1)$ descendants, where the lowest two have $Sp(k-3)_0$ or $Sp(k-3)_\pi$, and no flavors; $2k$ have a dual $SU(k-2)$ gauge description. There is thus a unique theory with only an $Sp(k-3)_0$ gauge theory description, whose classical and superconformal flavor symmetry is $U(1)$.

For any k , there are six SCFTs, which have only an effective gauge theory description in terms of the quivers

$$\begin{aligned} SU(2)_0^{k-4} - SU(2) - [m\mathbf{F}], \quad m = 1, \dots, 4 \\ SU(2)_0^{k-4} - SU(2)_\theta, \quad \theta = 0, \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The superconformal flavor symmetries are

$$\begin{aligned} m = 4 : & \quad SO(4k-6) \\ m = 3 : & \quad SU(2k-3) \\ m = 2 : & \quad SU(2k-5) \times SU(2) \\ m = 1 : & \quad SU(2k-6) \times U(1) \\ m = 0, \theta = 0 : & \quad SU(2k-6) \\ m = 0, \theta = \pi : & \quad SU(2k-7) \times U(1). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Our approach using CFDs does not only determine these flavor symmetries much more efficiently and purely combinatorially than approaches using a gauge theory description, we can even determine the flavor symmetry in cases when such a description is entirely absent, i.e., the SCFT is isolated and does not have a weakly coupled description. In the present case, there are $2k-6$ SCFTs that do not have any known gauge theory description, but we can determine their superconformal flavor symmetry

$$U(2k-7-i), \quad i = 0, \dots, 2k-7. \quad (9)$$

These CFDs and their associated geometries [14] are evidence that such non-trivial 5d UV fixed points exist; these have been observed for rank two, i.e. $k = 5$, in [6, 14, 23].

² The supplementary material is available here.





FIG. 2. CFDs for all 5d SCFTs descending from 6d (D_k, D_k) CM. Each box contains the 5d strongly coupled flavor symmetry, G_F , and the G_F representations of the spin 0 BPS states (right upper corner). In cases when there is a weakly coupled gauge theory description, this is noted at the bottom of each box. Connecting lines between boxes indicate transitions.

V. 5D SCFTS FROM (E_6, E_6) CM

Another class of higher rank theories, that have thus far not been studied in generality, are the SCFTs descending from the (E_6, E_6) minimal CM theory, which are rank five. The marginal CFD is



CFD-transitions applied to this yield 207 descendant CFDs/SCFTs, which are attached in the supplementary Mathematica notebook. This predicts a large class of new 5d SCFTs. The only known weakly coupled description of the marginal theory is the quiver [18]

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [2] \\
 | \\
 SU(2) \\
 | \\
 [2] - SU(2) - SU(3)_0 - SU(2) - [2].
 \end{array} \quad (11)$$

Decoupling the flavor hypermultiplets of each $SU(2)$, step-by-step, yields descendants with quiver descriptions. As a shorthand, we denote these by a triple (q_1, q_2, q_3) , where the q_i is either the number of fundamentals under, or the theta angle of, each of the three $SU(2)$ factors in the quiver. For these quivers we find the following superconformal flavor symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}) &: E_6 \times E_6 \\
 (0, 2\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}), (\pi, 2\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}) &: E_6 \times SU(6) \\
 (1\mathbf{F}, 1\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}) &: SO(10)^2 \times U(1) \\
 (0, 1\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}), (\pi, 1\mathbf{F}, 2\mathbf{F}) &: SO(10) \times SU(5) \times U(1) \\
 (1\mathbf{F}, 1\mathbf{F}, 1\mathbf{F}) &: SO(8)^2 \times U(1)^2 \\
 (0, 0, 2\mathbf{F}), (\pi, \pi, 2\mathbf{F}) &: SO(10) \times SU(4) \times U(1) \\
 (0, \pi, 2\mathbf{F}) &: SU(5)^2 \times U(1) \\
 (0, 1\mathbf{F}, 1\mathbf{F}), (\pi, 1\mathbf{F}, 1\mathbf{F}) &: SO(8) \times SU(4) \times U(1)^2 \\
 (0, 0, 1\mathbf{F}), (\pi, \pi, 1\mathbf{F}) &: SO(8) \times SU(3) \times U(1)^2 \\
 (0, \pi, 1\mathbf{F}) &: SU(4)^2 \times U(1)^2 \\
 (0, 0, 0), (\pi, \pi, \pi) &: SO(8) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2 \\
 (0, 0, \pi), (\pi, \pi, 0) &: SU(4) \times SU(3) \times U(1)^2.
 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This populates only a small subtree of 12 elements in the CFD tree. It is notable that the CFDs are sensitive to the number of independent discrete parameters, e.g., they capture dualities between theories with different theta angles [24, 25]. It would be interesting to determine the gauge theory descriptions, where they exist, for the remaining 195 CFD/SCFTs.

VI. BPS STATES

BPS states, Φ_C , of 5d gauge theories arise in M-theory from wrapped M2-branes on holomorphic curves C in S . We consider curves C with genus $g(C) = 0$ here, where Φ_C transform under the 5d massive little group $SO(4)$ as [26, 27]

$$R_n = \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \oplus 2 \left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right), \quad (13)$$

where n is the dimension of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_C of C . We will compute states with $n = 0$ and refer to them as ‘spin 0’.

In the language of CFDs, the curve C is a non-negative linear combination of the curves (i.e., vertices) shown in the CFD. The genus and self-intersection number is determined by recursively applying

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C_1 + C_2)^2 &= C_1^2 + C_2^2 + 2C_1 \cdot C_2 \\
 g(C_1 + C_2) &= g(C_1) + g(C_2) + C_1 \cdot C_2 - 1.
 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We enumerate the $g = 0$, spin 0 BPS states in terms of curves with $C \cdot C = -1$. We write

$$C = \sum_i q_i C_i, \quad (15)$$

where C_i are the nodes in the CFD, and $q_i \geq 0$, which are constrained by the genus and self-intersection number of C . Each curve is associated to a weight of a representation of the flavor symmetry, where the highest weights under the non-abelian subalgebra, H_F , are determined through the intersection numbers between C and the marked curves, F_i , in the CFD, by requiring

$$C \cdot F_i \geq 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, \text{rk}(H_F)). \quad (16)$$

The charges under the abelian subalgebra are determined through the intersection with specific combinations of unmarked vertices orthogonal to H_F , the $U(1)$ generators.

Applying this to the Seiberg theories reproduces the spin 0 BPS states in [28]. For the (D_k, D_k) descendants, the spin 0 states are listed in figure 2, which are predictions for BPS states in 5d strongly coupled SCFTs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank J. Distler, J. J. Heckman, N. Mekareeya, A. Tomasiello, G. Zafrir and in particular M. Weidner for discussions. The work of FA, SSN, YNW is supported by the ERC Consolidator Grant 682608 ‘‘Higgs bundles: Supersymmetric Gauge Theories and Geometry (HIGGSBNDL)’’. CL is supported by NSF CAREER grant PHY-1756996; LL is supported by DOE Award DE-SC0013528Y. FA and CL thank the 2019 Pollica summer workshop, where part of this work was completed. YNW thanks the Aspen Center for Physics, which is

supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1607611, where part of this work was finished. SSN thanks the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Milano Bicocca University and Kavli IPMU hospitality during the completion of this work. YNW was also partially

supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation at the Aspen Center for Physics. The authors thank the 2019 String-Phenomenology Conference and CERN for hospitality during the final stages of this work.

-
- [1] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, and B. Kol, JHEP **01**, 002 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9710116 [hep-th].
 - [2] D. R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. **B483**, 229 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9609070 [hep-th].
 - [3] K. A. Intriligator, D. R. Morrison, and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. **B497**, 56 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9702198 [hep-th].
 - [4] P. Jefferson, H.-C. Kim, C. Vafa, and G. Zafrir, (2017), arXiv:1705.05836 [hep-th].
 - [5] M. Del Zotto, J. J. Heckman, and D. R. Morrison, JHEP **09**, 147 (2017), arXiv:1703.02981 [hep-th].
 - [6] P. Jefferson, S. Katz, H.-C. Kim, and C. Vafa, JHEP **04**, 103 (2018), arXiv:1801.04036 [hep-th].
 - [7] F. Apruzzi, L. Lin, and C. Mayrhofer, JHEP **05**, 187 (2019), arXiv:1811.12400 [hep-th].
 - [8] L. Bhardwaj and P. Jefferson, (2018), arXiv:1811.10616 [hep-th].
 - [9] L. Bhardwaj and P. Jefferson, (2018), arXiv:1809.01650 [hep-th].
 - [10] C. Closset, M. Del Zotto, and V. Saxena, SciPost Phys. **6**, 052 (2019), arXiv:1812.10451 [hep-th].
 - [11] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B388**, 753 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9608111 [hep-th].
 - [12] H.-C. Kim, S.-S. Kim, and K. Lee, JHEP **10**, 142 (2012), arXiv:1206.6781 [hep-th].
 - [13] J. Tian and Y.-N. Wang, (2018), arXiv:1811.02837 [hep-th].
 - [14] F. Apruzzi, C. Lawrie, L. Lin, S. Schafer-Nameki, and Y.-N. Wang, (2019), Part I – To appear.
 - [15] F. Apruzzi, C. Lawrie, L. Lin, S. Schafer-Nameki, and Y.-N. Wang, (2019), Part II – To appear.
 - [16] H. Hayashi, C. Lawrie, D. R. Morrison, and S. Schafer-Nameki, JHEP **05**, 048 (2014), arXiv:1402.2653 [hep-th].
 - [17] D. Xie and S.-T. Yau, JHEP **06**, 134 (2017), arXiv:1704.00799 [hep-th].
 - [18] M. Del Zotto, J. J. Heckman, A. Tomasiello, and C. Vafa, JHEP **02**, 054 (2015), arXiv:1407.6359 [hep-th].
 - [19] O. Bergman, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, and G. Zafrir, JHEP **03**, 112 (2014), arXiv:1311.4199 [hep-th].
 - [20] H. Hayashi, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee, M. Taki, and F. Yagi, JHEP **08**, 097 (2015), arXiv:1505.04439 [hep-th].
 - [21] G. Ferlito, A. Hanany, N. Mekareeya, and G. Zafrir, JHEP **07**, 061 (2018), arXiv:1712.06604 [hep-th].
 - [22] S. Cabrera, A. Hanany, and F. Yagi, JHEP **01**, 068 (2019), arXiv:1810.01379 [hep-th].
 - [23] H. Hayashi, S.-S. Kim, K. Lee, and F. Yagi, JHEP **12**, 016 (2018), arXiv:1806.10569 [hep-th].
 - [24] G. Zafrir, JHEP **12**, 116 (2014), arXiv:1408.4040 [hep-th].
 - [25] K. Yonekura, JHEP **07**, 167 (2015), arXiv:1505.04743 [hep-th].
 - [26] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B471**, 195 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9603150 [hep-th].
 - [27] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9812127 [hep-th].
 - [28] M.-X. Huang, A. Klemm, and M. Poretschkin, JHEP **11**, 112 (2013), arXiv:1308.0619 [hep-th].