Direct probe of the interior of a meson
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The nature of hadrons is one of the most fundamental mysteries of physics. It is generally agreed that they are made of “colored” quarks, which move nearly free at short scales but are confined inside hadrons by strong interactions at large distances. Because of confinement, quarks are never directly observable and, experimentally, their properties can be tested only indirectly, via high energy collisions. Here we show that superinsulating films realize a complete, one-color model system of hadron physics with Cooper pairs playing the role of quarks. We report measurements on highly controlled NbTiN films that provide a window into the interior of “Cooper pair mesons” and present the first direct evidence of asymptotic freedom, 't Hooft’s dual superconductivity confinement mechanism, and magnetic monopoles.

Quark interactions are described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), a non-Abelian gauge theory. A salient feature of QCD is asymptotic freedom, the weakening of the interaction coupling strength at short distances (ultraviolet (UV) limit). At large distances (infrared (IR) limit), the quarks are thought to be confined within hadrons, which are physical observable excitations, by the QCD strings. Quarks themselves cannot be extracted from hadrons and be seen in isolation. The mechanism for the transition from weak quark interactions in the UV regime to confinement and strings in the IR regime remains an open issue.

't Hooft put forth an appealing confinement mechanism, the dual superconductivity where the condensate of magnetic monopoles constricts the chromoelectric field into thin flux tubes binding quarks into mesons. He coined the term “superinsulator”, as opposite to superconductor, for the confined quark matter with infinite (chromo)-electric resistance. Polyakov showed that this confinement mechanism occurs also in Abelian gauge theories, provided they are compact and hence support topological excitations, magnetic monopoles that are instantons in 2D and solitons in 3D. The fact that compact QED in the confinement regime maps onto a confining string theory, makes it a perfect model for 't Hooft’s dual superconductivity mechanism.

Remarkably, Abelian confinement emerged in a condensed matter realization of superinsulators, predicted first for Josephson junction arrays (JJA) in and rediscovered in films experiencing the superconductor-insulator transition (SIT). These electric superinsulators constitute a new state of matter with infinite resistance at finite temperatures due to electric strings binding Cooper pairs into “electric mesons”. Transport measurements revealed superinsulation in titanium nitride (TiN) films, niobium titanium nitride (NbTiN) films, and, albeit under a different name, InO films. The long-distance field theory of superinsulators is exactly Polyakov’s compact QED.

Confinement by strong interactions prevents a direct view on quarks despite that they move nearly free at the small scales. Here we investigate superinsulators, which allow for a direct observation of the interior of electric mesons made of Cooper pairs by standard transport measurements. We reveal the transition from the confined to the asymptotic free Cooper pair motion upon decreasing the distance between electrodes, modelling the observation scale. Using the compact QED mapping we demonstrate the electric Meissner effect and calculate the I-V-characteristics in the confinement regime. Comparing our experimental results with theoretical predictions yields the string tension and constitutes the first ever “look inside a meson,” directly confirming asymptotic freedom and 't Hooft’s confinement mechanism by magnetic monopoles.

The SIT in thin superconducting films is described by an effective long-distance action with a dimensionless tuning parameter driving the system across the SIT at . Compact QED with the effective coupling constant

arises as the induced electromagnetic action in the superinsulation phase. Here is the fine structure constant, is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter, and is the dielectric permittivity of the film. The exact form of the function is irrelevant for our consideration. Pure gauge compact QED in 2D is not renormalizable. How-
ever, coupling the action to dynamical matter results in a non-trivial fixed point\textsuperscript{12,13,19}. The same occurs in our case: compact QED is induced by an underlying matter dynamics from which it inherits the corresponding fixed point structure encoded in $g$. One can show\textsuperscript{17,20} that $1/g$, and hence also the effective coupling $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$, has a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) (see\textsuperscript{23} for a review) UV fixed point at $1/g_c$. As a result, the effective coupling flows to small values in the UV limit, and the induced compact QED becomes asymptotically free (the theory is actually asymptotically safe, since the critical point is at the finite value of the coupling different from zero but we will use the more familiar term for simplicity’s sake here).

In the confinement regime, the excitations of dynamical compact QED are strings\textsuperscript{28}, either closed, describing pure gauge excitations (the analogues of glueballs in QCD\textsuperscript{23}) or open, representing Cooper pairs – anti-Cooper pair dipoles (the analogue of mesons in QCD). The energy to create the former is $\Delta_{0} = m^2v^2$, with $m$ being the gauge-field mass and $v = c/\sqrt{\epsilon_m}$ ($\mu \approx 1$ is the magnetic permeability) being the light velocity in the medium. Open strings have the typical length $d_{s} = O(\sqrt{\hbar v/\sigma})$ and the gap of the order $O(\hbar v/\sigma)$, where $\sigma$ is the string tension. The width of strings is determined by the screening length $\lambda_{\text{sc}} = \hbar/m_{s}v$. Both $\Delta_{0}$ and the string tension $\sigma$ are expressed via the ultraviolet (UV) cutoff $\Lambda_0 = \hbar v/r_0$, with $r_0 \approx \xi$ the superconducting coherence length, as functions of the electron charge $e^2$, the monopole fugacity $z$ and $\epsilon_{c,\text{eff}}$.

\[
m \propto \frac{\hbar}{v r_0} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{eff}}} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{4e_{\text{eff}}^2} \right), \quad \sigma = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^2 v^2}{4r_0} m \propto \frac{\hbar v}{r_0} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{4e_{\text{eff}}^2} \right),
\]

This transition belongs in the BKT universality class and occurs via instanton condensation.\textsuperscript{10} According to Eq.\textsuperscript{11}

\[
k_{B}T_{\text{dec}} = 8a = 8\frac{f(\kappa)}{g} \mathcal{E}_{c}
\]

where $\mathcal{E}_{c} = 4e^2/2\pi\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{0}r_0$ is the characteristic bare Coulomb energy of the Cooper pair and $a$ is defined by Eq.\textsuperscript{4}.

We calculate the current as $I \propto 2en_{l}V$, where $n_{l}$ is the equilibrium density of free charges. For the external field $E_{\text{ext}} < E_{c1} \equiv \sigma/2e$ the maximum of the potential lies always at the distance $L \equiv \epsilon_{0}/\sigma$ corresponding to the sample size and, thus, the current is simply proportional to the number of charges activated over the barrier $(\sigma - 2eE_{\text{ext}})L$,

\[
I \propto V \exp \left( -\frac{\sigma(\kappa) L}{k_{B}T} \right), \quad V < V_{c1} = \frac{\sigma(\kappa) L}{2e}.
\]

which, in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, implies an infinite resistance.

For $E_{c1} < E_{\text{ext}}$, the potential has a maximum at $r = r^* \equiv \epsilon_{0}/\sigma$ determined by the equation $K_{1}(r^*/\lambda_{\text{sc}}) = (2eE_{\text{ext}} - \sigma)\lambda_{\text{sc}}/a$. Two distinct regimes become possible. The first is realized in small samples such that

\[
K_{1}(L/\lambda_{\text{sc}}) > 4\lambda_{\text{sc}} \frac{\Delta V}{d_{s}V_{c1}},
\]

where $\Delta V = V - V_{c1}$, and we have used $d_{s} = \hbar v/k_{B}T_{\text{dec}}$. Then the potential for $\lambda_{\text{sc}} < r < L$ is essentially flat, implying the asymptotic free regime, where charges effectively do not interact, and we expect thus a metallic saturation of the resistance at the lowest temperatures. The ratio $d_{s}/\lambda_{\text{sc}} > 1$ but not typically extremely large.\textsuperscript{11} Also, the function $K_{1}(x) \approx \exp(\sqrt{x})/\sqrt{x}$ at $x \gg 1$. Thus, the typical sample size for which this metallic behavior

\[
z(mv/\hbar)^3 \text{ plays the role of the superfluid density in superconductivity.}
\]

We start the derivation of the $I(V)$ response with writing down the compact QED potential for interaction between Cooper pairs

\[
U(r) = \sigma(T)r - \frac{e^{2}\pi}{24r} + a\ln \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{sc}}}{r_{0}} \right) - K_{0} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda_{\text{sc}}} \right),
\]

where the second term is the so-called Lüscher term\textsuperscript{20}. The third term is the screened 2D Coulomb potential ($K_{0}$ is the MacDonald function) that reduces to $a\ln(r/r_{0})$ at $r \ll \lambda_{\text{sc}}$ and decays exponentially at $r \gg \lambda_{\text{sc}}$. At $r > r_{0}$, the Lüscher term is negligible, so that $U(r_{0}) \approx 0$. Near the SIT, the strength of the Coulomb potential becomes\textsuperscript{11}

\[
a = \frac{4e^{2}}{2\pi\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{0}}(f(\kappa)/g).
\]

In the samples with sizes $\lambda_{\text{sc}} < L < d_{s}$, Cooper pairs feel neither the string tension nor the Coulomb interaction screened on the scale $\lambda_{\text{sc}}$. As we show below this is the asymptotically free regime.

To relate superinsulating parameters to observable quantities, note that strings of length $d_{s}$ have energy $\sqrt{\hbar v r}$. Hence the energy to form a string chain spanning the entire system is $2eV_{c1} = \sigma L$. Accordingly, one can introduce the lower critical electric field for first string penetration the superinsulating sample

\[
E_{c1} = \frac{\sigma}{2e},
\]

which corresponds to the lower critical field $B_{c1}$ in superconductors. Thus, at $E > E_{c1}$ the mixed state of a superinsulator forms. Next, we introduce the deconfinement temperature $T_{\text{dec}}$ that marks the transition between linearly bound charges and unbound charges at $T > T_{\text{dec}}$. 

\[
\text{m} \propto \frac{\hbar}{v r_{0}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{eff}}} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{4e_{\text{eff}}^2} \right), \quad \sigma = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^2 v^2}{4r_0} m \propto \frac{\hbar v}{r_0} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{4e_{\text{eff}}^2} \right),
\]
emerges is $O(d_s)$, although it can become larger if measurements are taken just above $V_{c1}$. In the limit opposite to (8), the total energy $U_{\text{ext}}$ of the charge-anticharge pair following from (3) is

$$U_{\text{ext}} = a \ln(r/r_0) - Fr,$$

(9)

where $F = 2eE_{\text{ext}} - \sigma$ is the effective force pulling the charge-anticharge pair apart. The saddle point $r^*$ of this potential, controlling the activated current, is $r^* = a/F$, so that the energy barrier is $U^* \equiv U_{\text{ext}}(r^*) = a \ln(a/Fr_0) - 1$. In equilibrium, the ionization rate $\mathcal{R} \propto \exp(-U^*/k_BT)$ and the recombination rate, $\mathcal{R}_r$, of the $\pm$ charges, are equal. Since $\mathcal{R}_r \propto n_+ n_- = n_0^2$, then with logarithmic accuracy $n_0 = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}} \propto \exp(-U^*/2k_BT) \propto (Fr_0/a)^{a/(2kn_0T)}$, and Eq. (6) yields

$$I \propto (V - \sigma L/2e)^{1+T_{\text{dec}}/16T}, \quad V_{c1} < V < V_{c2} \equiv L/2e \left[ \frac{k_BT_{\text{dec}}}{2.718r_0} \right],$$

(10)

where the upper critical voltage $V = V_{c2} \equiv V_{c2}$ is the voltage where the energy barrier $U^*$ vanishes, the $I$-$V$ curve experiences a jump and the system switches from superinsulation into a normal insulating state. In the field interval such that $V_{c1} < V < V_{c2}$, the superinsulator is in the mixed state, where an ensemble of electric strings penetrates the system. This is the analogue to the mixed, or Abrikosov state in superconductors.

The measurements are taken on a polycrystalline 10 nm thin NbTiN film obtained by atomic layer deposition (ALD) at temperature 350°C. The temperature dependencies of the resistances are measured on a sample patterned by photolithography into the Hall bar with the width 50 μm, see inset in Fig. 1a. The chosen geometry enables measurements on bridges of different lengths. The experiment is carried out in a $^3$He/$^4$He dilution refrigerator. The resistance is measured by two-terminal circuit under the low-frequency, $f \sim 1$ Hz, ac voltage, $V \sim 100 \mu$V, in the linear regime as verified by the direct measurements of the films’ $I$-$V$s.

The Arrhenius plots of the resistances per square $R_\square(T)$ versus inverse temperature $1/T$ for bridges of different lengths of the same NbTiN film are shown in Fig. 1a. At $T > 0.8$ K, $R_\square(T)$ all curves collapse on top of each other. For temperatures below $T_{\text{dec}} = 400$ mK the long bridges show the hyperactivated behaviours characteristic of superinsulators. The smallest bridge 0.2 mm short, however, clearly shows a metallic-like saturation at very low temperatures. Using the measured critical temperature of 400 mK, the known dielectric constant $\varepsilon = 800$, and the coherence length $r_0 = \xi = 10$ nm in NbTiN one can estimate the string size as...
d_s \simeq \hbar c / k_B T_{\text{dec}}, \text{ obtaining } d_s \simeq 0.13 \text{ mm in a remarkable quantitative agreement with the experimental result shown in Fig. 1a.}

Displayed in Fig. 1b, are the same resistive curves plotted as G = 1/R vs. temperature. Fitting them by the standard BKT critical formula 

\[ G = G_0 \exp(-\text{const}/\sqrt{T/T_{\text{dec}} - 1}), \]

yields indeed \( T_{\text{dec}} \approx 400 \text{ mK}. \) Deviations from the BKT criticality at lowest temperatures in Fig. 1b,c indicate the point where the typical size of the dissolving dipole matches the size of the finite electrostatic screening length \( \lambda \) which appears in the normal insulator due to screening effect mediated by the free charges (at the BKT transition \( \lambda \to \infty \), and as a practical matter, the perfect criticality would have been observed for \( \lambda > 10^{-5} \)).

Following \(^{23}\) and recalling that \( G \propto n_f \), where \( n_f \) is the density of free charge carriers, one obtains \( \lambda \) using it as an adjusting parameter to fit the curves in Fig. 1. When doing that, one has to account for the image forces in the Poisson equation that lead to \( \lambda = \beta_L L \), where \( \beta_L \) is a numerical coefficient that serves as an adjusting parameter and \( L \) is the length of the bridge. The result in the inset in Fig. 1b perfectly confirms that \( \lambda \propto L \).

Figure 2 presents the threshold behaviors of the \( I-V \) curves corresponding to bridges of different length for two samples having the resistances \( R_{\text{1}} = 0.2 \) and \( 2 \text{ M}\Omega \), respectively at \( T = 2 \text{ K} \). The current jumps span a range of a few orders of magnitude and become less sharp upon shortening the distance between electrodes. The threshold voltage \( V_{c2} \) exhibits a linear dependence upon \( L \), see insets in Fig. 2, implying that the threshold electric field \( E_{c2} = V_{c2}/L \) is independent on the distance between electrodes in full accordance with \(^{10} \). For the low-resistance sample, shown in figure 2a, the string tension can be estimated from \( d_s \approx 0.13 \text{ mm as } \sigma \approx 4.15 \times 10^{-2} \text{ J/m} = 26 \text{ meV/m}, \) which leads to a contribution \( \sigma/2e = 1.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ V/mm} \) to the slope. This is negligible with respect to the Coulomb contribution (second term in \(^{10} \)), which amounts to a predicted slope of 0.63 V/mm, again in remarkable quantitative agreement with the measured slope of 0.78 V/mm. The \( I-V \) characteristics below the threshold, measured at 50 mK, are linear, which agrees reasonably well with the theoretically predicted power-law exponent \( 1 + (T_{\text{dec}}/16T) = 1 + (400 \text{ mK}/16 \times 50 \text{ mK}) = 1.5 \).

The \( I-V \) characteristics of the high-resistance sample are shown in Fig. 2b. The three largest bridges exhibit two-kink \( I-V \) curves. The low-voltage kinks are identified as \( V_{c1} \), and the upper ones represent \( V_{c2} \). The two smallest bridges do not resolve \( V_{c1} \). The linear dependence \( V_{c2} \propto L \) of the bridge length \( L \) has the slope 2.1 V/mm, see the upper inset. Three available values of \( V_{c1} \) are apparently not sufficient for conclusive evidence of linearity, see lower inset in Fig. 2b. Let us however assume that \( V_{c1} \propto L \) in accordance with \(^{4} \). Then the mean square deviation estimate for the approximating straight line (shown in red) would give 1.02 V/mm for the slope. Making use Eq. 10, one would obtain the slope 2.1-1.02=1.1 characterizing the pure Coulomb contribution. From Eq. 10, we then estimate the deconfinement temperature \( T_{\text{dec}} \approx 692 \text{ mK} \) for this sample, yielding the exponent \( 1 + (T_{\text{dec}}/16T) = 1 + (692 \text{ mK}/16 \times 50 \text{ mK}) = 1.86 \) for the \( I-V \) characteristics in the mixed state, \( V_{c1} < V < V_{c2} \). Comparing this value with the measured ones for the three largest bridges, 1.6, 1.8 and 2, respectively, we conclude that the assumption \( V_{c1} \propto L \) results in a fair quantitative agreement between the predicted and measured exponents. Thus, the observation of \( V_{c1} \) is the first ever direct measurement of Polyakov’s string tension.

Now we turn to the electric Meissner effect, another spectacular manifestation of Cooper pair confinement. The action of the Abelian confining string, in its local for-
nulation, is induced by the antisymmetric tensor gauge field of the second kind. The vector current of point-like particles is replaced by the tensor current $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$ of strings (Greek letters denote space-time indices, Latin letters stand for spatial indices, and we use natural units $c=\hbar=1$). Accordingly, the vector potential $A_\mu$, related to the electromagnetic field via $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and coupling to the particle vector current, is replaced by the fundamental field tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ coupled directly to the string tensor current $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$. The equations for this field see Methods

$$
(\Box + m^2) F^{\mu\nu} = 2\Lambda^2 \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu},
$$
with $m = \Lambda/c$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon}/4$, can be viewed as the dual London equations. In a superinsulator with the light speed $v$ they become see Methods.

$$
(\Box + (mv)^2) F^{\mu\nu} = 2(\Lambda v^2)^2 \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \Box \equiv \partial_\mu \partial_\nu - v^2 \partial_\nu \partial_\mu,
$$
where $\mathcal{J}^{0i} = v \mathcal{J}^{0i}, \mathcal{J}^{ij} = (1/v) \mathcal{J}^{ij}$, and time derivatives and factors $F^{0i}$ in (12) must be substituted by $(1/v) \partial_0$ and $(1/v) F^{0i}$, respectively. Equation (13) implies the electric Meissner effect for applied voltages below a critical value $V_c$ where strings do not penetrate the sample. In the static situation with no strings, Eq. (13) reduces to

$$
(\nabla^2 - (mv)^2) F^{0i} = 0.
$$
For a superinsulator occupying the $z > 0$ half-space and a uniform electric field $E_{\text{ext}}$ applied in the $x$ direction with boundary condition $F^{0i}(z=0) = E_{\text{ext}}$ one finds

$$
F^{0i}(z) = E_{\text{ext}} \exp(-z/\lambda_{el}), \quad z > 0,
$$
where $\lambda_{el}=1/(\nu m)$ is the electric analogue of the London penetration length. Hence, in a bulk superinsulator in the electric Meissner state, the static dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_{el}=0$.

To describe the electric Meissner effect in terms of magnetic monopoles, let us recall that the electrodynamics of the dual charge-monopole ensemble is governed by the Maxwell-Dirac equations

$$
\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^{\nu}_q, \quad \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = j^{\nu}_m,
$$
where $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = (1/2)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\alpha\beta}$ is the dual electromagnetic field tensor ($\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the totally antisymmetric tensor), $j^{\nu}_q$ is the charge current and $j^{\nu}_m$ is the magnetic monopole current. Consider an infinite superinsulating slab harboring an ensemble of vortices aligned along the $z$ axis perpendicular to the slab surfaces, with their magnetic monopole endpoints. The applied dc electric field $E \equiv (E_x, 0, 0)$ generates a magnetic monopole current, according to the dual Ampère law $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j^{\nu}_m$, circulating around the slab in the $\pm y$-direction, which in turn, induces a shielding electric field opposite to the applied one. Since, in the condensate, monopole motion is dissipationless, the entire external applied electric field is screened. Hence the electric Meissner effect.

The above consideration captures the physics of bulk superinsulators, but the case of thin films is more subtle. The screening length $\lambda_{el} \lesssim d_s \simeq \sqrt{\nu/\sigma}$ increases with temperature and diverges at the deconfinement transition. For TiN, $d_s \simeq 60 \mu m$. In typical experiments on TiN and NbTiN films, where the film thickness $d = 3-20 \text{ nm} \ll \lambda_{el}$, the concept of circular monopole currents does not apply literally, since the physical size of the “bulk” monopoles exceeds the film thickness. In this 2D case, monopoles become instantons, describing tunneling events at which vortex “particles” appear and disappear in the condensate.
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**APPENDIX**

A. Electric London equations

The tensor current describing the motion of strings replaces the vector current encoding the motion of point particles. Correspondingly, the vector potential $A_\mu$ related to the original electromagnetic field strength via $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is replaced by a fundamental tensor field $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, coupled to the tensor current $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$. The associated field strength is given by the three-tensor $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu \mathcal{F}_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$. The Euclidean action for electric and magnetic fields in the superinsulating vortex condensate acquires the form (we use natural units $c = 1, \hbar = 1$)

$$
S = \int d^4x \frac{1}{12\Lambda^2} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H_{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu},
$$
(17)
where $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \sqrt{z}/4$, with $\Lambda_0 = 1/r_0$ the UV cutoff and $z$ the magnetic monopole quantum fugacity. Varying with respect $F_{\mu\nu}$ yields the equations of motion

$$\partial_{\mu} H^{\mu\alpha\beta} + m^2 F^{\alpha\beta} = 2\Lambda^2 J^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (18)$$

which, in turn, reduce to Eqs. (11), (12) of the main text.

For the sake of transparency, we now show how they reduce to the usual Maxwell equations in the limit of vanishing monopole fugacity, $z \to 0$, when the vortex condensate disappears. In this limit we have $\Lambda \to 0$ and finiteness of the partition function $Z = \int D F_{\mu\nu} \exp(-S)$ requires that the 3-tensor field strength vanishes, $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$. This is generically the case for “pure gauge configurations” $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} = F_{\mu\nu}$ so that, in this limit, the partition function of classical Maxwell electrodynamics is recovered,

$$Z \to \int DA_{\mu} \exp \left( - \int d^4x \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i A_{\mu} j_{\mu} \right), \quad (19)$$

with the current $j^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} J^{\mu\nu}$ describing the now free charges at the end of the original strings. This shows what is the relation between electrodynamics within the vortex condensate and the familiar Maxwell theory. The latter is recovered as a “pure gauge” version of the former.

**B. Electric field equations in materials with the light velocity $v = c/\sqrt{\mu}$**

The above “electric London equations” hold if the speed of light is $c = 1$ in our natural units, which is reflected in the Lorentz invariance of the action (17) and the Lorentz covariance of the equations of motion (11) of the main text. For real materials, however, this is surely not the case. The (Euclidean) action for electromagnetic fields in a linear material is given by

$$S_{\text{linear}} = \frac{1}{2e^2} \int dt \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{v} E^2 + v B^2 \right), \quad (20)$$

where $v = 1/\sqrt{\mu}$ is the light velocity in the material, expressed in terms of the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon$ and the magnetic permeability $\mu$. Due to $v < 1$, the symmetry of this action is now restricted to non-relativistic Galilean invariance. Correspondingly, the Galilean-invariant action for a superinsulating thin film of this material when vortices condense is

$$S = \int dt \int d^3x \frac{1}{12v^2\Delta^2} \left( v H_{ijkl} H_{ijkl} + \frac{3}{v} H_{0ij} H_{0ij} \right) + \frac{1}{4e^2} \left( v F_{ij} F_{ij} + 2 \frac{1}{v} F_{0i} F_{0i} \right) + i \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}, \quad (21)$$

where $v$ is the light velocity expressed in terms of material parameters of the normal insulating state. The equations of motion (11) and (12) are modified to

$$\left( \Box + (mv^2)^2 \right) F^{\mu\nu} = 2 \Lambda^2 J^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Box = \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} - v^2 \nabla^2, \quad (22)$$

where $\Delta_{\text{SI}} = mv^2$ represents the energy gap of the superinsulator, $J^{0i} = v J^{0i}$, $J^{ij} = (1/v) J^{ij}$, and every time derivative and factor $F^{0i}$ in the gauge condition, Eq. (12) of the main text, must be substituted by $(1/v) \partial_{0i}$ and $(1/v) F^{0i}$, respectively.

**C. Sample preparation**

The sample growths were carried out in a custom-made viscous flow ALD reactor in the self limiting regime. A constant flow of ultrahigh-purity nitrogen (UHP, 99.999%, Airgas) at ~350 sccm with a pressure of ~1.1 Torr was maintained by mass flow controllers. An inert gas purifier (Entegris GateKeeper) was used to further purify the N$_2$ gas by reducing the contamination level of H$_2$, CO, and CO$_2$ to less than 1 ppb and O$_2$ and H$_2$O to less than 100 ppt. The thermal ALD growth of the AlN/NbTiN multilayer was performed using alternating exposures to the following gaseous reactants with the corresponding total ALD cycles 240 to produce the film thicknesses 10 nm as measured in situ by X-ray reflectivity (XRR). The chemical composition measured by X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy (XPS) and Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) show consistently for the AlN layer 5.5±0.3% of Cl impurities and a Al/N ratio of 1 ± 0.05, whereas for the NbTiN films 3 ± 0.3% of Cl.
impurities, a Nb/Ti ratio of 2.3 ± 0.03 and a (Nb+Ti)/N ratio of 1 ± 0.03. The material densities measured by RBS and XRR are 2.5 ± 0.01 g/cm³ in AlN and 6 ± 0.05 g/cm³ in the NbTiN. After deposition films were stored at room conditions in ten years.

D. Samples parameters and measurements

The sample parameters are as follows: the diffusion constant $D \sim 0.25 cm^2 s^{-1}$, the superconducting coherence length $\xi(0) \sim 5 nm$, the density of states as $n \sim 4 \times 10^{21} cm^{-3}$. NbTiN film was patterned using photolithography and plasma etching into resistivity bars 50 µm wide and with 100, 250, and 100 µm separation between additional perpendicular bars. On this additional bars were making gold contacts. The chosen design allows for two-probe resistivity measurements of regions with different length (2.4, 1.34, 0.55, 0.44, 0.32, 0.2 µm). Measurements of the temperature dependencies of the resistance were carried out in $^3$He/$^4$He dilution refrigerator Triton400. Two-probe technique was used with the 100 µV and 1 Hz. The chosen values of applied voltage guaranteed that the resistance was obtained in the linear response regime by the direct measurements of current-voltage characteristics. AC measurements were performed using SR830 lock-in amplifier, dc measurements were performed using nanovoltmeter Agilent 34420, current was transformed into voltage with SR830 lock-in amplifier, surements of current-voltage characteristics. Ac measurements were performed using SR570 low-noise current preamplifier with filtration system.

