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Abstract. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $F$ a finite field of characteristic $p$ and let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous Galois representation. Denote by $\bar{\chi}$ the mod $p$ cyclotomic character. We assume that the local representation $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is flat and irreducible and $\det \bar{\rho} = \bar{\chi}$. The celebrated theorem of Khare and Wintenberger asserts that if $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies some natural conditions, there exists a normalized Hecke-eigenform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ and a prime $p|\mathfrak{p}$ in its field of Fourier coefficients such that the associated $p$-adic representation $\rho_{f,p}$ lifts $\bar{\rho}$. In this manuscript we prove a refined lifting theorem. Let $\iota_p$ be the inclusion of the field of Fourier coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ of $f$ into $\mathbb{Q}(f)_p$ and let $v_p$ be a $p$-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$. We show that for any choice of $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exists a cuspidal Hecke eigenform $f$ of weight 2 over $\Gamma_1(N)$ for $(N,p) = 1$ and a prime $p|\mathfrak{p}$ such that $v_p(\iota_p(a_p)) = \lambda$ and the residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ lifts to $\rho_{f,p}$. Thus all slopes $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ are realized as we vary over all Galois representations associated to cuspidal Hecke eigenforms which lift $\bar{\rho}$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the Main Result. Let $p$ be an odd rational prime and $F$ a finite field of characteristic $p$. Let $v_p$ be a $p$-adic valuation on the algebraic closure $\mathbb{Q}_p$ normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$. Let $f$ be a normalized Hecke eigenform and let $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ denote the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of $f$ and $\mathcal{O}_f \subset \mathbb{Q}(f)$ its ring of integers. Choose a prime $p|\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ and denote by $v_p : \mathbb{Q}(f) \to \mathbb{Q}(f)_p$ the corresponding inclusion. Letting $\mathcal{O}_{f,p}$ denote the $p$-adic completion of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_f$, the $p$-adic Galois representation attached to $f$ is denoted by

$$\rho_{f,p} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{f,p}).$$

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be an odd absolutely irreducible Galois representation which is unramified at all but finitely many primes. The theorem of Khare and Wintenberger (previously referred to as Serre’s conjecture) asserts that $\bar{\rho}$ lifts to a modular $p$-adic Galois representation $\rho_{f,p}$ for a normalized Hecke eigenform $f$ and a prime $p|\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$. We examine the case when $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is flat and irreducible. In this case, an eigenform $f$ for which $\rho_{f,p}$ lifts $\bar{\rho}$ is supersingular at $p$, i.e. $v_p(\iota_p(a_p)) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

Let $\chi$ denote the $p$-adic cyclotomic character and $\bar{\chi}$ denote its reduction and let $\omega_2$ be a choice of fundamental character of level 2.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a Galois representation for which

1. $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified outside a set of finitely many primes $S$,
(2) the local representation $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Qp}}$ is flat and irreducible,
(3) $\det \bar{\rho} = \bar{\chi}$,
and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

There exists an eigenform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ such that $(N, p) = 1$ and for a certain $p|\rho$ in $O_f$

(1) the residue field $k(p) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ and the ramification index $e(p|p) = 1$ and consequently,

$O_{f,p} \subseteq W(\mathbb{F})$.
(2) With respect to the embedding $\iota_p : \mathbb{Q}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(f)_p$,

$v_p(\iota_p(a_p)) = \lambda$.
(3) There is a finite set of primes $X$ disjoint from $S$ such that the Galois representation $\rho_{f,p}$ is unramified outside $S \cup X$.
(4) The characteristic zero representation $\rho_{f,p}$ lifts $\bar{\rho}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{Q,S\cup X} & \longrightarrow & G_{Q,S} \\
\rho_{f,p} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\rho} \\
\rho_{f,p} & \longrightarrow & GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})) \\
\end{array}
$$

We are led to define the notion of the slope of any flat deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Qp}}$. This notion for local Galois representations is an adaptation of the global notion of the slope of the $p$-th Fourier coefficient of a normalized Hecke eigencuspsform giving rise to a deformation of $\bar{\rho}$. The key idea is that one may modify the local deformation functor of flat deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Qp}}$ in such a way that an analogue of the method of Ramakrishna can still produce geometric lifts with this additional prescribed local condition. Using the method of [KLR05], we may lift $\bar{\rho}$ a number of steps so that when restricted to $G_{Qp}$ it has slope-$\lambda$. The slope-$\lambda$ deformation condition has the property that at this stage it is preserved by action of the entire tangent space of the functor of flat-deformations. Consequently, at this stage the global deformation problem becomes balanced and it becomes possible to lift it to characteristic zero by applying the classical method due to Ramakrishna which involves annihilating the associated dual Selmer group of the deformation problem. The global deformation comes from a normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform $f$. The field of Fourier coefficients of $f$ and the set of primes of ramification can be expected to be really large for any choice of $\lambda$.

There has been some interest in understanding the variation in the slope of the Fourier coefficient $a_p(f)$ for cuspidal Hecke eigenforms lifting a fixed residual Galois representation from the point of view of the $p$-adic Langlands program. The reader may contrast the global lifting theorem proved in this manuscript with the local results of Berger-Li-Zhu (see [BLZ04]), Buzzard-Gee (see [BG09] and [BG13]) and Ganguli-Ghate (see [GG15]) who classify the local representation $\bar{\rho}_{f|G_{Qp}}$ as $f$ ranges over all cuspidal Hecke eigenforms $f$ of weight $k \geq 2$ such that the slope of the Fourier coefficient $a_p(f)$ lies in various sub-intervals of $(0, \infty)$. 
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1.2. A Sketch of the Approach via Deformation Theory. We now provide a slightly more detailed sketch of the strategy involved. We lift our residual Galois representation to $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F}))$ such that

1. $\rho$ is unramified at all but finitely many primes,
2. $\det \rho = \chi$,
3. $\rho |_{G_{\mathbb{Q}p}}$ is flat and irreducible,
4. $\rho |_{G_{\mathbb{Q}p}}$ satisfies a certain deformation condition which will have the effect of prescribing the valuation of the $p$-th Fourier coefficient of a normalized cuspidal eigenform which whose associated Galois representation may satisfy this condition.

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, at the prime $p$, we use a variation of the Ramakrishna’s flat deformation functor from [Ram93]. If $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ is a normalized eigenform and $p | p$ is a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,p} = \bar{\rho}$ and $\rho_{f,p}$ satisfies this local deformation condition at $p$, then $v_p(\iota_p(a_p)) = \lambda$. Let $C$ be the category of Noetherian coefficient rings over $W(\mathbb{F})$, for $R \in C$, its maximal ideal is denoted by $m_R$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote Ramakrishna’s flat deformation functor defined so that $\mathcal{F}(R)$ consists the deformations $\bar{\rho}$ of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}p}}$ to $R$ such that $\bar{\rho}$ is flat and $\det \bar{\rho} = \chi$. It is a consequence of a theorem of Ramakrishna that $\mathcal{F}$ is represented by $R = W(\mathbb{F})[[X]]$ (see [FM95, Theorem B2]).

The functor of points of $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^1 = W(\mathbb{F})[[Y]]$ when evaluated at $R \in C$ with maximal ideal $m_R$ is

$$\hat{\mathbb{A}}^1(R) = \text{Hom}_C(W(\mathbb{F})[[Y]], R) \simeq m_R.$$  

The strategy employed in this manuscript involves a number of steps. There is a subfunctor $\mathcal{F}^\lambda$ of $\mathcal{F} \times_{\text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F})} \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$ for which $\mathcal{F}^\lambda(R)$ consists of pairs $(\rho, U) \in \mathcal{F}(R) \times m_R$ with a prescribed slope relation. Let $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ be the first and second projections of $\text{Spec} \mathcal{R} \times_{\text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F})} \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$, we show that $\mathcal{F}^\lambda$ is representable by a closed subscheme of $X_{\mathcal{F}^\lambda}$ and that $\pi_2$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_2 : X_{\mathcal{F}^\lambda} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{A}}^1$. At a prime $v \in S \setminus \{p\}$ the local deformation condition $C_v$ is the condition described in Proposition 1 of [Ram02]. The projection $\pi_1$ induces a natural transformation $\pi_1 : \mathcal{F}^\lambda \to \mathcal{F}$. Let $C^\lambda_p$ be the local condition at $p$ prescribed by

$$C^\lambda_p(R) := \text{image}\{\pi_1(R) : \mathcal{F}^\lambda(R) \to \mathcal{F}(R)\}.$$  

We show that $C^\lambda_p$ defines a liftable subfunctor of $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, the slope relation implies that if $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ is a normalized eigenform and $p | p$ is a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,p} = \bar{\rho}$ and satisfies $\mathcal{F}^\lambda$ then $v_p(\iota_p(a_p)) = \lambda$. For a finite length ring $R \in C$ the precise
definition of the slope of a deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}}$ involves the analysis of the Fontaine-Laffaille module associated to it.

We apply the method in [KLR05] to lift $\bar{\rho}$ up to

$$\rho_{\lambda+2} : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(W(F)/p^{\lambda+2})$$

after which the Ramakrishna method is employed to produce a finite set of auxiliary primes and a characteristic zero weight 2 deformation

$$\rho : G_{Q,S\cup X} \to \text{GL}_2(W(F))$$

subject to the local conditions $C_v$. Since $\rho|_{G_{Q_p}} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{p}^\lambda$ this deformation is in particular flat and supersingular.

The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for absolutely irreducible $G_\mathbb{Q}$-representations is fully established in [Kis09] for all but one exception in the ordinary case. In particular, rank 2 super singular representations which satisfy the conditions of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture are modular and consequently $\rho$ coincides with a family of representations with slope $\lambda$ and varying weight whose points of classical weight coincide with representations arising from cusp forms of slope $\lambda$.

The methods in this manuscript do not as of yet carry over to non-integral $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. The Ramakrishna method as originally conceived applies to produce deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ to $\rho : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is the valuation ring of an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** I am very grateful to my advisor Ravi Ramakrishna for introducing me to his Galois-theoretic lifting method and for some helpful suggestions. I would also like to thank Brian Hwang, Aftab Pande and Stefan Patrikis for some fruitful conversations.

2. Notation

In this section we summarize some basic notation in this manuscript.

- The prime $p$ is an odd prime and $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field of characteristic $p$.
- The $p$-adic valuation $v_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ is normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$.
- The completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ w.r.t the valuation $v_p$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}_p$. We simply denote the extension of the valuation to $\mathbb{C}_p$ by $v_p$ which takes values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The valuation ring is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$.
- The ring of Witt vectors with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ is denoted by $W(\mathbb{F})$. The field $\mathbb{K}$ is the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}$.
- Let $f$ be a normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform, the field of Fourier coefficients of $f$ is the extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the Fourier coefficients of the $q$-expansion of $f$ and is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(f)$. This is a number field. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_f$ and its completion at a finite prime $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{f,v}$.
- The residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. The adjoint representation $\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$ is the $\mathbb{F}[G_\mathbb{Q}]$ module of trace zero matrices

$$\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & -a \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$
where \( g \in G\mathbb{Q} \) acts through conjugation via \( \bar{\rho} \)
\[
g \cdot X = \bar{\rho}(g)X\bar{\rho}(g)^{-1}.
\]

- Associated to a normalized Hecke-eigenform \( f \) and a prime \( \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p} \) of the field of Fourier coefficients \( \mathbb{Q}(f) \) is the associated \( \mathfrak{p} \)-adic Galois representation
\[
\rho_{f,\mathfrak{p}} : G\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(O_{f,\mathfrak{p}}).
\]
- Let \( K = W(\mathbb{F})[1/\mathfrak{p}] \) and let \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \) denote the Frobenius automorphism of \( K \).

\[\text{Rep}_K^f(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})\] is the category of finite dimensional continuous \( G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \) representations over \( K \).

\[\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^f(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})\] is the category of finite length \( W(\mathbb{F})[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}] \)-modules.

- Let \( C \) be the category of finitely generated noetherian local \( W(\mathbb{F}) \) algebras \( R \) with maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m} \) and a prescribed mod \( \mathfrak{m} \) isomorphism \( R/\mathfrak{m} \sim \mathbb{F} \). We shall refer to \( C \) as the category of coefficient rings over \( W(\mathbb{F}) \). A map \( f : (R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1) \to (R_2, \mathfrak{m}_2) \) in \( C \) is a map of local rings compatible with reduction isomorphisms. Let \( \mathcal{C}^f \) be the full subcategory of finite length algebras.

- Let \( Z \) be a finite set of primes containing \( S \) and \( M \) an \( \mathbb{F}[G_{\mathbb{Q},Z}] \)-module, for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \), the \( \Pi^i \)-group is the kernel of the restriction map
\[
\Pi^i_Z(M) := \ker\{H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q},Z}, M) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in Z} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, M)\}.
\]

- The functor of crystalline weight 2 deformations of \( \bar{\rho} \) is a functor
\[
\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Sets}
\]
on \( \mathcal{C} \) by \( \mathcal{F}(R) \) consists of all deformations \( \rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GL}_2(R) \) of \( \bar{\rho} \) that are crystalline and with determinant \( \det \rho = \chi \).

3. Recollections on the Fontaine-Laffaille Functor

In this section, we review some properties of the Fontaine-Laffaille functor and make preparations to describe a fixed slope subfunctor of the functor of flat deformations of \( \bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \). The standard references for this section are [FL82] and [Pat06].

Let \( K = W(\mathbb{F})[1/\mathfrak{p}] \) and \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \) the Frobenius element and let \( \mathbb{C}_p \) denote the completion of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) with respect to the valuation \( v_p \). We choose a distinguished element
\[
\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i) \in O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p} := \lim_{\mathit{x} \to \mathit{p}} O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/\mathit{p}
\]
for which \( \varepsilon_0 \neq 1 \) is a \( \mathfrak{p} \)-th root of unity. For \( x \in O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p} \), let \([x]\) denote the Teichmüller lift of \( x \) in \( W(O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \). The continuous Galois-equivariant ring homomorphism \( \theta : W(O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \to O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p} \), defined by \( \theta (\sum_i [x^{(i)}]p^i) := \sum_i x^{(i)}_0 p^i \) is open and surjective, the kernel of which is a principal ideal generated by a special element \( \xi \in W(O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \) with some key properties stated in [BC09] Proposition 4.4.3. Let \( A_{\text{cris}} \) denote the divided power envelope of \( W(O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \) with respect to the ideal \( \ker \theta \), in other words, \( A_{\text{cris}} = W(O^0_{\mathbb{C}_p})[\xi^m/m!]_{m \geq 1} \).
The $p$-adic completion $B^{+}_{\text{cris}}$ is a local ring with residue field $\mathbb{C}_p$, and its valuation and the crystalline period ring $B_{\text{cris}}$ is then defined to be the ring obtained on inverting the period $t := \log|\varepsilon| \in A_{\text{cris}}$. The period ring $B_{\text{cris}} := B^{+}_{\text{cris}}[1/t]$ has an induced Galois stable filtration of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ vector spaces given by $F^iB_{\text{cris}} := t^iA_{\text{cris}}$.

**Definition 3.1.** A filtered $\varphi$-module $M$ is a $K$ vector space equipped with a semilinear bijective map $\varphi$ with respect to $\sigma$ and a decreasing filtration $\{F_i M\}$. For this filtration, $F_i M = M$ for $i \ll 0$ and $F_i M = 0$ for $i \gg 0$.

Fontaine’s crystalline period functor $D_{\text{cris}}$ from $\text{Rep}_f K(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ to the category of finitely filtered $\varphi$-modules is defined as follows, for $V \in \text{Rep}_f K(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$

$$D_{\text{cris}}(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$$

with filtration

$$F^i D_{\text{cris}}(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} F^i B_{\text{cris}})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}.$$ 

The representation $V$ is crystalline if

$$\dim_K V = \dim_K D_{\text{cris}}(V).$$

A filtered $\varphi$-module $V$ is weakly-admissible if and only if there exists a $W(\mathbb{F})$-lattice $M \subset V$ with induced filtration $F^i M = M \cap F^i V$ for which

1. $\varphi(F^i M) \subseteq p^i M$
2. $\sum_i p^{-i} \varphi(F^i M) = M$.

Theorem A of [CF00] asserts that to a crystalline representation $\rho$, the $\varphi$-module $D_{\text{cris}}(V_\rho)$ is weakly-admissible and all weakly-admissible $\varphi$-modules arise this way.

**Definition 3.2.** A filtered Dieudonné $W(\mathbb{F})$-module also known as a Fontaine-Laffaille Module it is furnished with a decreasing, exhaustive, separated filtration of $W(\mathbb{F})$-submodules $\{F^i M\}$ and for each integer $i$ a $\sigma$-semilinear map

$$\varphi^i = \varphi^i_M : F^i M \to M$$

such that

1. the following compatibility relation is satisfied $\varphi^{i+1} = p \varphi^i$,
2. $\sum_i \varphi^i(F^i M) = M$.

Denote by $\varphi = \varphi^0 : M \to M$.

A map $f : (M, \varphi^i_M) \to (N, \varphi^i_N)$ of Fontaine-Laffaille modules is a $W(\mathbb{F})$-module map such that $f(F^i M) \subseteq F^i N$ and $\varphi^i_N \circ f_{|F^i M} = f \circ \varphi^i_M$.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $\text{MF}^f_{\text{tor}}$ denote the category of finite length Fontaine-Laffaille modules $M$ with morphisms satisfying the conditions alluded to above. For $a < b$ let $\text{MF}^f_{\text{tor},[a,b]}$ let the full subcategory of $\text{MF}^f_{\text{tor}}$ whose underlying modules $M$ satisfy $F^a M = M$ and $F^b M = 0$. 
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Let \( \text{Rep}^f(G_{Q_p}) \) is the category of continuous \( W(\mathbb{F})[G_{Q_p}] \) modules that are finite-length \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules. Fontaine and Laffaille in [FL82] showed that \( \text{MF}^f_{\text{tor}} \) and \( \text{MF}^{[a,b]}_{\text{tor}} \) are abelian categories and defined a contravariant functor
\[
U_S : \text{MF}^{[0,p]}_{\text{tor}} \to \text{Rep}^f(G_{Q_p}).
\]

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( M \in \text{MF}^{[a,b]}_{\text{tor}} \), its dual \( M^* \in \text{MF}^{[1-b,1-a]}_{\text{tor}} \) is the module
\[
M^* = \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(M, K/W(\mathbb{F}))
\]
with filtration
\[
F^i(M^*) = \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(M/F^{1-i}M, K/W(\mathbb{F})).
\]
We proceed to describe the maps \( \varphi^i_{M^*} : F^i(M^*) \to M^* \). Let \( f \in F^i(M^*) \) and \( m \in M \). Since \( M = \sum_j \varphi_M(F^jM) \), the element \( m \) may be represented as a sum \( m = \sum_j \varphi_M(m_j) \).

To prescribe \( \varphi^i_{M^*}(f)(m) \) it suffices to define \( \varphi^i_{M^*}(f)(\varphi_M(m_j)) \). These are taken as follows
\[
\varphi^i_{M^*}(f)(\varphi_M(m_j)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j > -i; \\ f(p^{-i-j}m_j) & \text{for } j \leq -i. \end{cases}
\]

The reader may check that the maps \( \varphi^i_{M^*} \) are well defined. We now describe Tate-twists.

**Definition 3.5.** For an object \( M \in \text{MF}^{[a,b]}_{\text{tor}} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), the \( m \)-fold Tate twist of \( M \) is the module \( M(m) \in \text{MF}^{[a+m,b+m]}_{\text{tor}} \) whose underlying module is \( M \) and \( F^i(M(m)) = F^{i-m}(M) \) and \( \varphi^i_M = \varphi^i_{M(m)} \).

We proceed to describe the covariant adaptations of the Fontaine-Laffaille functor which satisfy a suitable compatibility relation with the tensor product. This makes these functors more suitable to work with.

**Definition 3.6.** We let
\[
T : \text{MF}^{[2-p,1]}_{\text{tor}} \to \text{Rep}^f(G_{Q_p})
\]
defined by \( T(M) = U_S(M^*) \). We define
\[
T_1 : \text{MF}^{[3-p,2]}_{\text{tor}} \to \text{Rep}^f(G_{Q_p})
\]
by \( T_1(M) := U_S(M^*(1)) \).

We now collect a few facts about the functors \( T \) and \( T_1 \).

**Fact 3.7.** The functor \( T \) is full and faithful and as a consequence, so is \( T_1 \).

**Fact 3.8.** For each object \( M \in \text{MF}^{[2-p,1]}_{\text{tor}} \),
\[
\text{length}_{W(\mathbb{F})} M = \text{length}_{W(\mathbb{F})} T(M) = \text{length}_{W(\mathbb{F})} T_1(M).
\]

Let \( C \) be the category of finitely generated noetherian local \( W(\mathbb{F}) \)-algebras \( R \) with maximal ideal \( m \) and a prescribed mod \( m \) isomorphism \( R/m \cong \mathbb{F} \) and refer to \( C \) as the category of coefficient rings over \( W(\mathbb{F}) \). A map \( f : (R_1, m_1) \to (R_2, m_2) \) in \( C \) is a map of local rings compatible with reduction isomorphisms. Let \( C^f \) be the full subcategory of finite-length algebras.
Definition 3.9. For $R \in \mathcal{C}$, a deformation $\rho : G_{Q} \to GL_{2}(R)$ of $\bar{\rho}$ is said to be crystalline if for every finite-length quotient $R'$ of $R$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the pushforward $\rho \otimes_{R} R'$ lies in the essential image of $T$.

The residual representation $\bar{\rho} : G_{Q_{p}} \to Aut_{F_{p}}(V_{\bar{\rho}})$ is flat, and consequently, there exists $M_{0} \in MF_{tor}^{[0,2]}$ such that $T_{1}(M_{0}) \simeq V_{\bar{\rho}}$. This is shown for instance in [Ram93].

Definition 3.10. Define the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Sets}$$

on $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{F}(R)$ consists of all deformations $\rho : G_{Q} \to GL_{2}(R)$ of $\bar{\rho}$ that are flat and with determinant $\det \rho = \chi$.

The restriction of this functor to $\mathcal{C}^{f}$ has the following description: an object of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ for $R \in \mathcal{C}^{f}$ can be identified with $T_{1}(M)$ for $M \in MF_{tor}^{f}$. In fact, $M \in MF_{tor}^{f,[0,2]}$ and as $T_{1}$ is full and faithful, the $R$ action on the free $R$-module $T_{1}(M)$ carries over to a faithful $R$ action on $M$. In greater detail, if $r \in R$, the endomorphism induced by multiplication by $r$ on $T_{1}(M)$ is an $R[G_{Q_{p}}]$-module endomorphism. Also since $T_{1}$ is full and faithful, this multiplication by $r$ endomorphism coincides with an endomorphism

$$T_{1}^{-1}(r) : M \to M$$

in $MF_{tor}^{[2-p,1]}$, i.e.

- $T_{1}^{-1}(r) : M \to M$ is a $W(F)$-module endomorphism
- $T_{1}^{-1}(r)(M^{i}) \subseteq M^{i}$
- $\varphi^{i} \circ T_{1}^{-1}(r)|_{M^{i}} = T_{1}^{-1}(r) \circ \varphi^{i}$.

Denote $\varphi^{0}$ by $\varphi$ and $W(F)$-algebra of $\varphi$ equivariant $W(F)$-module homomorphisms of $M$ by $\text{End}_{\varphi}(M)$. As a consequence of the faithfulness of $T_{1}$, we obtain a ring homomorphism

$$T_{1}^{-1} : R \to \text{End}_{\varphi}(M)$$

taking $r \in R$ to the endomorphism $T_{1}^{-1}(r)$. We summarize these observations by saying that $M$ is an $R$-module in the category $MF_{tor}^{f}$. In particular, it is an $R[\varphi]$-module and that the $R$ action on $M$ is uniquely determined by that on $T_{1}(M)$ and preserves the filtration on $M$. In fact the module $M$ can be canonically identified with a free $R$-module of rank 2. The following result follows from Lemma 4.2 in [Pat06] where the analogous result for the functor $T$ is stated. That the same implication is true for $T_{1}$ is because $T_{1}(M) = T(M(-1))$.

Proposition 3.11. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}^{f}$ and $M \in MF_{tor}^{[0,2]}$ with $T_{1}(M) \in \mathcal{F}(R)$. With respect to the $R$-module structure on $M$ induced from the $R$-module structure on the rank 2 free $R$-module $T_{1}(M)$, $M$ is also a free $R$-module of rank 2 and $\varphi : M \to M$ is an $R$ linear endomorphism.

Definition 3.12. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}^{f}$ and $M \in MF_{tor}^{[0,2]}$ with $T_{1}(M) \in \mathcal{F}(R)$. Note that by Proposition 3.11 the $R$-module $M$ is free of rank 2. The $R$-linear operator $\varphi := \varphi^{0}$ thus may be described by a $2 \times 2$ matrix with entries in $R$. The trace of $\varphi$ is the sum of
the diagonal elements of this matrix. We denote the trace by \( \text{tr}\varphi|_M \), or sometimes to ease notation when working with Galois representations we use \( \text{tr}\varphi|_{T_1(M)} \) interchangeably.

**Fact 3.13.** Let \( R \in \mathcal{C}^f \), we use \( R \) to refer to an object of \( \text{MF}^{f}_{\text{tor}} \) which is concentrated in degree zero and \( \varphi^0 \) is the identity. Then \( T(R) = R \) with trivial Galois action. Let \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(R) \), we denote by \( R(\alpha) \) the object concentrated at 0 and with \( \varphi^0 = \alpha \). Then \( T(R(\alpha)) \) is the \( R \)-module of rank 1 with unramified \( G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \)-action by \( \alpha^{-1} \) (see Lemma 4.6 [Pat06]).

**Definition 3.14.** Let \( R \in \mathcal{C}^f \) and \( A, B \in \text{MF}^{f}_{\text{tor}} \) be \( R \)-modules such that the \( R \)-module action is compatible with the \( W(\mathbb{F}) \)-action, the filtrations and \( \varphi^j \)-maps. The tensor product \( A \otimes_R B \) has a natural filtration \( F^m(A \otimes_R B) = \bigoplus_{i+j=m} F^i(A) \otimes_R F^j(B) \) and \( \varphi^m_{A \otimes_R B} = \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \varphi_A^i \otimes_R \varphi_B^j \).

**Lemma 3.15.** Let \( A \in \text{MF}^{f}_{\text{tor}}^{[0,2]} \) and \( B \in \text{MF}^{f}_{\text{tor}}^{[0,1]} \) and \( R \in \mathcal{C}^f \) such that \( T_1(A) \) and \( T(B) \) have the structure of \( R[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}] \)-modules compatible with their \( W(\mathbb{F})[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}] \)-module structure. Then, there is an isomorphism of \( R[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}] \)-modules \( T_1(A) \otimes_R T(B) \cong T_1(A \otimes_R B) \).

**Proof.** This statement follows from Lemma 4.3 of [Pat06].

Let \( g : R_1 \to R_2 \) be a map of coefficient rings in \( \mathcal{C}^f \) and \( T_1(M_1) \in \mathcal{F}(R_1) \). The push-forward of \( T_1(M_1) \) to \( \mathcal{F}(R_2) \) is \( g_*T_1(M_1) := T_1(M_1) \otimes_{R_1} R_2 \). It follows from Lemma 3.15 that there is an \( R_2[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}] \)-module isomorphism \( T_1(M_1) \otimes_{R_1} R_2 \cong T_1(M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R_2) \) and as a result, we may identify \( g_*T_1(M_1) \) with \( T_1(M_2) \) where \( M_2 := M_1 \otimes_{R_1} R_2 \). The following relation comes as a consequence of this identification.

**Proposition 3.16.** With respect to notation introduced above, for \( \varrho \in \mathcal{F}(R_1) \), the following relation holds

\[
\text{tr}\varphi|_{g\ast\varrho} = g(\text{tr}\varphi|_\varrho).
\]

4. The Fixed-Slope Functor

We recall that \( K = W(\mathbb{F})[1/p] \) with Frobenius \( \sigma \) and \( N > 0 \) coprime to \( p \). Let \( f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N)) \) be a normalized eigenform with nebentype character \( \psi \). Let \( \mathfrak{p}|p \) in the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients \( \mathbb{Q}(f) \) of \( f \). The \( \mathfrak{p} \)-adic Galois representation \( \rho_{f,\mathfrak{p}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{f,\mathfrak{p}}) \) where \( \mathcal{O}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \) is the valuation ring of \( \mathbb{Q}(f) \) with residue field \( k(\mathfrak{p}) \). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

1. \( \rho_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \) is supersingular, i.e. \( f \) is supersingular at \( \mathfrak{p} \),
2. \( \mathbb{Q}(f) \) embeds in \( K \), or in other words, the residue field \( k(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \) and the ramification index of \( e(\mathfrak{p}|p) = 1 \),
3. \( \rho_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \) lifts \( \overline{\rho} \).

In addition to these conditions, since \( M \) is coprime to \( p \) it follows that \( \rho_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \) satisfies the flat deformation condition. We have not made any assumptions on the slope of \( \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_p(f)) \)
except that it is positive. Let $V_f$ denote the 2-dimensional $p$-adic $G_{Q_p}$-representation induced by $f$. This representation is flat and in particular, crystalline and hence,

$$\dim_K D_{cris}(V_f) = \dim_K V_f = 2.$$  

The following theorem is alluded to in [BG09] and follows from the main theorem of [Sai97].

**Theorem 4.1.** The characteristic polynomial of $\varphi$ on the 2-dimensional $K$ vector space $D_{cris}(V_f)$ is

$$ch(X) = X^2 - \iota_p(a_p)X + \psi(p)p^{k-1}.$$  

In order to describe a fixed slope deformation condition we proceed to describe the notion of the slope of any flat deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}}$ to any Artinian coefficient ring $R$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GL_2(R) & \longrightarrow & GL_2(F) \\
\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}} & \longrightarrow & GL_2(W(F)).
\end{array}$$

The following result gives us control on the $p$-adic valuation of $\iota_p(a_p(f))$ via torsion Fontaine-Laffaille theory.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $\rho : G_Q \rightarrow GL_2(W(F)/p^n)$ be a flat deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ such that there exists a cuspidal Hecke eigenform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(M))$ of level $M$ coprime to $p$ such that $\rho_{f,p}$ lifts $\rho$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GL_2(W(F)) & \longrightarrow & GL_2(W(F)/p^n) \\
\rho_{f,p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G_{Q_p} & \longrightarrow & GL_2(W(F)/p^n).
\end{array}$$

Then $\text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho} = \iota_p(a_p(f)) \mod p^n$.

**Proof.** Let $V_f = V_{f,p}$ be the underlying vector space on which $G_{Q_p}$ acts via $\rho_{f,p}$ and let $L \simeq W(F) \oplus W(F)$ be the Galois stable submodule of $V_f$. The module $M := \lim_{\leftarrow n} T^{-1}(L/p^nL)$ is equipped with a $\varphi$-action which is by definition the inverse limit of the $\varphi^0$-actions on $T^{-1}(L/p^nL)$ for $n \geq 1$. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that $M$ is a free module of rank 2 over $W(F)$. Following [Hat18] we denote by $\hat{T}_{cris}^*(M) := \text{Hom}_{W(F)}(M, A_{cris})$ with its induced $G_{Q_p}$-action. If we show that there is a $G_{Q_p}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$L \otimes W(F) K \simeq \text{Hom}_{W(F)}(\hat{T}_{cris}^*(M), W(F)(1)) \otimes W(F) K$$

it follows from Theorem 2.11 of [Hat18] that there is a $\varphi$-equivariant isomorphism $D_{cris}(V_f) \simeq M \otimes W(F) K$. In greater detail, letting $V := \hat{T}_{cris}^*(M) \otimes W(F) K$, Theorem 2.11 of [Hat18]
states that $D_{cris}(V^*) \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K$ as $\varphi$-modules. On the other hand, it would follow from 4.1 that

$$V^* = \text{Hom}_K(V, K(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\hat{T}_{cris}(\mathcal{M}), W(\mathbb{F})(1)) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K$$

$$\simeq L \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K \simeq V_f.$$

The statement of the proposition follows from this and Theorem 4.1, and all that remains to be proved is the isomorphism 4.1.

Following [Hat18] $U_S \simeq T_{cris}^*$ where $T_{cris}^*$ is prescribed by

$$T_{cris}^*(M) = \text{Hom}_{MF}(M, \lim_{\rightarrow} A_{cris}/p^n),$$

and thus,

$$T_1(M) = \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(T_{cris}^*(M), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1)).$$

We now proceed to show 4.1. We observe that

$$\text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\hat{T}_{cris}(\mathcal{M}), W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$= \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris}), W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris}) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K/W(\mathbb{F}), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris})/p^n, K/W(\mathbb{F})(1)).$$

On the other hand,

$$L \simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} T_1(T_1^{-1}(L_m))$$

$$\simeq \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\text{Hom}_{MF}(T_1^{-1}(L_m), \lim_{\rightarrow} A_{cris}/p^n), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(T_1^{-1}(L_m), \lim_{\rightarrow} A_{cris}/p^n), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}/p^m, \lim_{\rightarrow} A_{cris}/p^n), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1))$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris}/p^m), K/W(\mathbb{F})(1)).$$

The $G_{Q_p}$-equivariant inclusion

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris})/p^n \hookrightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{MF}(\mathcal{M}, A_{cris}/p^n)$$

induces a $G_{Q_p}$-equivariant surjection

$$L \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\hat{T}_{cris}(\mathcal{M}), W(\mathbb{F})(1)) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K \simeq V^*.$$

On the other hand, we observe that

$$\dim_K L \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K = \text{rank}_{W(\mathbb{F})} L = 2.$$
and
\[ \dim_K V^* \geq \dim_K \text{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*) = \dim_K \mathcal{M} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} K = 2, \]
and thus this surjection must be an isomorphism. \( \square \)

**Definition 4.3.** For \( R \in \mathcal{C}_f \) with maximal ideal \( m_R \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) we define
\[ \mathcal{F}^\lambda(R) \subseteq (\mathcal{F} \times \hat{A}^1)(R) = \mathcal{F}(R) \times m_R \]
the set of pairs \( (\rho, U) \) such that \( \rho \) lifts \( \bar{\rho}_{|G_{Q_p}} \)
\[ \text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho} = p^\lambda(1 + U). \]
That \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) is a functor follows from equation 3.1.

We recall that it is a consequence of a theorem of Ramakrishna that \( \mathcal{F} \) is pro-represented by \( \mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[X]] \) (see [FM95 Theorem B2]). The functor \( \mathcal{F} \times \text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F}) \hat{A}^1 \) is represented by the fibered product
\[ \text{Spec} \mathcal{R} \times \text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F}) \hat{A}^1 \simeq \text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F})[[X, Y]]. \]
Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be an ideal in \( \mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[X]] \) such that \( \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I} \) is Artinian. Let \( \rho_R \) be the universal representation representing \( \mathcal{F} \) and let \( \rho_{R/I} \) be the associated mod \( \mathcal{I} \). Let \( \mathcal{I}' \) be an ideal which contains \( \mathcal{I} \), then by equation 3.1
\[ \text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho_{R/I'}} = \text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho_{R/I}} \mod \mathcal{I}', \]
let \( \Phi(X) \in \mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[X]] \) be the limit over ideals \( \mathcal{I} \) for which \( \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I} \) is Artinian
\[ \Phi(X) := \lim_{\mathcal{I}} \text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho_{R/I}}. \]

The functor \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) is represented by
\[ X_{\mathcal{F}^\lambda} = \text{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^\lambda = \text{Spec} \left( \frac{W(\mathbb{F})[[X, Y]]}{(\Phi(X) - p^\lambda(1 + Y))} \right). \]
Let \( m_\mathcal{R} \) be the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[X]], \) we observe that
\[ \text{tr}\varphi|_{\bar{\rho}} = \Phi(X) \mod m_\mathcal{R}. \]
It follows from the theorem of Khare and Wintenberger that \( \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_{g,q} \) where \( g \) is a cuspidal Hecke eigenform and \( q | p \) is a prime in the field of Fourier coefficients \( \mathbb{Q}(g) \). Since \( \bar{\rho}_{|G_{Q_p}} \) is supersingular at \( q \), i.e. \( p | q(a_p(g)) \). It follows from Proposition 4.2 that the trace of the residual representation \( \text{tr}\varphi|_{\bar{\rho}} = 0 \) and as a consequence \( \Phi(X) \in m_\mathcal{R}. \) The projection to the second factor is a natural transformation \( \pi_2 : \mathcal{F} \times \text{Spec}W(\mathbb{F}) \hat{A}^1 \rightarrow \hat{A}^1 \) and restricts to a natural transformation \( \pi_2 : \mathcal{F}^\lambda \rightarrow \hat{A}^1 \) which induces the map of \( W(\mathbb{F}) \)-algebras
\[ \pi_2^* : W(\mathbb{F})[[Y]] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{R}}^\lambda = \frac{W(\mathbb{F})[[X, Y]]}{(\Phi(X) - p^\lambda(1 + Y))} \]
for which \( \pi_2^*(Y) = Y \mod (\Phi(X) - p^\lambda(1 + Y))). \)
Proposition 4.4. The map $\pi^*_2$ is an isomorphism of $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebras and thus $F^\lambda$ is representable by a power series ring in one variable over $W(\mathbb{F})$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.2 the trace of the residual representation $\text{tr}\varphi|_{\bar{\rho}} = 0$ and as a consequence $\Phi(X) \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. The map $\pi^*_2$ is an isomorphism provided the coefficient of $X$ in the power series expansion of $\Phi(X)$ is a unit. In greater detail, suppose that the coefficient of $X$ in the power series expansion of $\Phi(X)$ is a unit, then, $\pi^*_2$ is injective since $\Phi(X) \neq 0$. On the other hand since the coefficient of $X$ is a unit, we may write $X$ as a power series with coefficients in $Y$. In other words, $X$ will lie in the image of $\pi^*_2$ and therefore the $\pi^*_2$ will be surjective as well.

We proceed to prove that the coefficient of $X$ is a unit. Let $M_0 \in M^f_{L,tor}[0,2]$ be such that $T_1(M_0) \simeq \bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. Choose a basis $\{c, k\}$ of $M_0$ such that $k$ spans $F^1M_0$. The Fontaine-Laffaille module $M_0$ is characterized by a single matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{F})$ where

$$\varphi^0(c) = ac + bk \text{ and } \varphi^1(k) = ce + dk.$$ 

The relation $\varphi^0|_{F^1M_0} = \rho \varphi^1 = 0$ implies that $\varphi^0(f) = 0$. The trace $a = \text{tr}\varphi|_{\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}} = 0$ since $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is supersingular. Let $\bar{M}_0$ be a Fontaine-Laffaille module of dimension 4 over $\mathbb{F}$ provided with the structure of a free $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]$-module which we proceed to describe. We let $\bar{M}_0 = \mathbb{F}[\epsilon] \cdot \bar{e} \oplus \mathbb{F}[\epsilon] \cdot \bar{k}$ with filtration and $\varphi^i$ defined as follows:

- $F^0\bar{M}_0 := \bar{M}_0$, $F^1\bar{M}_0 := \mathbb{F}[\epsilon] \cdot \bar{e}$ and $F^2\bar{M}_0 := 0$,
- the maps $\varphi^j$ for $j = 0, 1$ are $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]$-module maps, which similar to $M_0$ is characterized by a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$ where

$$\varphi^0(e) = \bar{a} \cdot \bar{e} + \bar{b} \cdot \bar{k} \text{ and } \varphi^1(k) = \bar{c} \cdot \bar{e} + \bar{d} \cdot \bar{k}.$$ 

We further assume that $\bar{r}$ lifts $r$ for $r \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, then the map $Q : \bar{M}_0 \to M_0$ mapping $\bar{e} \mapsto e$ and $\bar{f} \mapsto f$ is a map of Fontaine-Laffaille modules since $\varphi^j \circ Q = Q \circ \varphi^j$ for $j = 0, 1$.

It follows from previous discussions that $T_1(\bar{M}_0)$ is a rank 2 Galois representation $\varrho$ which lifts $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$.

We observe that $\text{tr}\varphi|_{\epsilon} = a \in \mathbb{F}[\epsilon]$ lifts $a = 0$. The element $\bar{a}$ is thus in fact invariant in the isomorphism class of $\bar{M}_0$. We simply specify $\bar{M}_0$ by choosing the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$ such
that $\tilde{a} \neq 0$, clearly such a choice can be made. The Galois representation $\varrho$ coincides with a $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra homomorphism $H_\varrho : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{F}[e]$ such that

$$H_\varrho(\Phi(X)) = \Phi(H_\varrho(X)) = \tilde{a} \neq 0.$$ 

This implies that the coefficient of $X$ in the expansion of $\Phi(X)$ is a unit from which the statement of the Proposition follows. □

5. The Local Deformation Condition at $p$

Throughout this section we fix a choice of $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $C_\lambda^p$ be the sub-functor of $\mathcal{F}$ prescribed by

$$C_\lambda^p := \text{image}\{F^\lambda \to \mathcal{F}\},$$

and thus

$$C_\lambda^p(R) = \{\rho \in \mathcal{F}(R) \mid \text{there exists } U \in \mathfrak{m}_R \text{ such that } \text{tr} \varphi|_p = p^\lambda(1 + U)\}.$$ 

We shall prescribe the deformation condition $C_\lambda^p$ in applying the Ramakrishna method of lifting the global representation $\bar{\rho}$. We observe that if $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$ is an eigenform with field of Fourier coefficients $K_f$ and $p|p$ is a prime of $K_f$ such that the ramification index $e(p|p) = 1$, then if the associated representation $\varrho = \rho_{f,p}$ is a characteristic zero deformation of $\bar{\rho}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F} & \to & \text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})) \\
\varrho & \downarrow & \\
\bar{\rho} & \to & \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}).
\end{array}$$

such that $\varrho|_{G_\mathbb{Q}} \in C_\lambda^p$, then it follows that $\iota_p(a_p) = \text{tr} \varphi|_p = p^\lambda(1 + U)$ for some $U \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for which $p|U$ and consequently, the slope of $a_p$ is $\lambda$.

We shall show that $\bar{\rho}$ lifts to a geometric representation $\rho$, it follows from the results of Kisin [Kis09] that such a representation $\rho$ is modular, i.e. there exists an eigenform $f$ and a prime $p|p$ in $K_f$ for which $e(p|p) = 1$ such that $\rho = \rho_{f,p}$. We proceed to provide a brief outline of the lifting strategy which is an adaptation of that in [Ram02].

There are several steps in the lifting construction:

1. we show that the local deformation condition $C_\lambda^p$ is liftable and that there is a one dimensional space $N_\lambda^p \subset H^1(G_p, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ such that for any $N > \lambda$, deformation $\varrho$
of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}}$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^N) \\
\downarrow \\
\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\varrho} 
\begin{array}{c}
G_{Q_p} \\
\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}} \\
\text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^N)
\end{array}
$$

and $X \in N_p^\lambda$, the twist

$$
(\text{Id} + p^{N-1}X)\varrho \in C_p^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^N).
$$

(2) At primes $l \in S/\{p\}$ we specify local liftable deformation conditions (see [Ram02]) $C_l$ with tangent spaces $N_l \subseteq H^1(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ for which

$$
\dim N_l = \dim H^0(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}).
$$

At a prime $l \not\in S$ which is a nice prime, we may choose the local deformation condition for $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_l}}$ denoted by $C_l$ and a tangent space $N_l$ specified in [Ram02], we recall that

$$
\dim N_l = \dim H^0(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}).
$$

(3) We show that for a certain finite set of primes $X$ containing $S$, such that $X/S$ consists of nice primes defined in loc. cit., one may exhibit a lift of $\bar{\rho}$ to a mod $p^{\lambda+2}$ representation $\rho_{\lambda+2}$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{\lambda+2}) \\
\downarrow \\
\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\rho_{\lambda+2}} 
\begin{array}{c}
G_{Q,X} \\
\bar{\rho} \\
\text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{\lambda+2})
\end{array}
$$

such that for $l \in X$, $\rho_{\lambda+2}|_{G_{Q_l}} \in C_l$.

(4) We lift $\rho_{\lambda+2}$ to a geometric representation one step at a time. Suppose that $\rho_m$ is a lift of $\rho_{\lambda+2}$ for $m \geq \lambda + 2$ which

- is unramified at all primes $l \not\in X$,
- for $l \in X$, the local representation $\rho_m|_{G_{Q_l}} \in C_l$,
we lift $\rho_m$ to $\rho_{m+1}$ such that $\rho_{m+1}$ satisfies the same conditions

$$\GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{m+1}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{m+1}} \GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \xrightarrow{\rho_m} \GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{\lambda+2}).$$

This can be done for any $m$ for an enlarged choice of primes $X$, the argument goes back to Ramakrishna. The key step involves showing that a set of nice primes $X/S$ may be chosen so that the associated dual Selmer group

$$H^1_{N'}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \Ad^0 \bar{\rho}^*) := \ker \{ H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \Ad^0 \bar{\rho}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \bigoplus_l H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \Ad^0 \bar{\rho}^*)/\mathcal{N}_l \}$$

is zero. As a result of the local deformation conditions being large enough, i.e. the dimensions of $\mathcal{N}_l$ for $l \in X$ being as specified, it follows that we are in a balanced setting, i.e. the dimensions of the Selmer and dual Selmer groups match

$$\dim H^1_{N'}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \Ad^0 \bar{\rho}^*) = \dim H^1_{X'}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \Ad^0 \bar{\rho}^*).$$

We show that the Selmer group can be made to vanish on choosing the appropriate auxiliary primes $X/S$.

To complete the proof of the main theorem only steps 1 and 3 require some argument, we refer to [Ram02] for a complete description of the steps 2 and 4. In this section we lay out some of the properties which $C_\lambda^\ast$ satisfies and fully establish step 1. In the next section we shall do so for step 3.

**Definition 5.1.** We say that a sub-functor $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is a deformation condition if the following conditions 1 to 3 are satisfied, and that $\mathcal{F}'$ is a liftable deformation condition if condition 4 is also satisfied:

1. $\mathcal{F}'(\mathbb{F}) = \bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$.
2. Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be in $\mathcal{C}$, $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{F}'(R_1)$ and $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{F}'(R_2)$. Let $I_1$ be an ideal in $R_1$ and $I_2$ an ideal in $R_2$ such that there is an isomorphism $\alpha : R_1/I_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} R_2/I_2$ satisfying $\alpha(\rho_1 \mod I_1) = \rho_2 \mod I_2$.

Let $R_3$ be the fibred product

$$R_3 = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid \alpha(r_1 \mod I_1) = r_2 \mod I_2\}$$

and $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ the induced $R_3$-representation, then $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \in \mathcal{F}'(R_3)$.

3. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}$ with maximal ideal $m_R$. If $\rho \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{F}'(R/m^n_R)$ for all $n > 0$ it follows that $\rho \in \mathcal{F}'(R)$. In other words, the functor $\mathcal{F}'$ is continuous.

4. Let $R \in \mathcal{C}$ and $I$ an ideal such that $I.m_R = 0$. Then for $\rho \in \mathcal{F}'(R/I)$ there exists $\rho' \in \mathcal{F}'(R)$ such that $\rho = \rho' \mod I$. 


Proposition 5.2. The subfunctor $\mathcal{C}^\lambda_p$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is a liftable deformation condition.

Proof. We proceed to check the conditions of Definition 5.1.

Condition $\text{(1)}$ requires that $\mathcal{C}^\lambda_p(F) = \bar{\rho}_{G_{Q_p}}$, is shown by only observing that $\mathcal{C}^\lambda_p(F)$ is nonempty. The condition requiring $\text{tr}\varphi = p^\lambda(1 + U)$ is clearly satisfied since $\text{tr}\varphi = 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ and hence $p^\lambda(1 + U) = 0$ as well.

We next check condition $\text{(2)}$. Let $R_j$ and $I_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\alpha : R_1/I_2 \rightarrow R_2/I_2$ be as in condition $\text{(2)}$. Suppose that $\rho_j \in \mathcal{C}^\lambda_p(R_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$\alpha(\rho_1 \mod I_1) = \rho_2 \mod I_2$.

By assumption there exist $U_j \in m_{R_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that

$\text{tr}\varphi_{|\rho_j} = p^\lambda(1 + U_j)$.

Let $\bar{U}_j$ denote the reduction of $U_j$ modulo $\text{Ann}_{R_j}(p^\lambda)$ in $R_j/\text{Ann}_{R_j}(p^\lambda)$. Let $R_3$ be the fibred product

$R_3 = \{(r_1, r_2) | \alpha(r_1 \mod I_1) = r_2 \mod I_2\}$

and $\rho_3$ the induced $R_3$-representation. We have that $\text{tr}\varphi_{|\rho_3} = p^\lambda(1 + U_1, 1 + U_2) \in R_3$. Since $p^\lambda(1 + U_1, 1 + U_2) \in R_3$ see that $(\bar{U}_1, \bar{U}_2) \in R_3/\text{Ann}_{R_3}(p^\lambda)$ where we identify

$R_3/\text{Ann}_{R_3}(p^\lambda) = \{(r_1, r_2) \in R_1/\text{Ann}_{R_1}(p^\lambda) \times R_2/\text{Ann}_{R_2}(p^\lambda) | \alpha(r_1 \mod (pR_1 + I_1)) = r_2 \mod (pR_2 + I_2)\}$.

We choose a lift $(\bar{U}_1, \bar{U}_2) \in R_3/p^\lambda R_3$ to $V = (V_1, V_2) \in R_3$. We observe that for $j = 1, 2$ since $V_j - U_j \in \text{Ann}_{R_j}(p^\lambda)$,

$p^\lambda(1 + V_j) = p^\lambda(1 + U_j)$

and we conclude that

$\text{tr}\varphi_{|\rho_3} = p^\lambda(1 + V)$

and consequently $\rho_3 \in \mathcal{C}^\lambda_p(R_3)$.

Condition $\text{(3)}$ is an immediate consequence of $\mathcal{F}$ being a deformation condition. By Proposition 4.4 it follows that the functor $\mathcal{F}^\lambda \simeq \hat{A}^1$ and thus it follows that condition $\text{(3)}$ is satisfied. \hfill $\square$

Proposition 5.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda \subseteq H^1(G_{Q_p}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ be the one-dimensional tangent space to the flat deformation functor (which is independent of $\lambda$). The space $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda$ stabilizes mod $p^m$ lifts of $\bar{\rho}_{G_{Q_p}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_p^\lambda$ for $m > \lambda + 1$. In other words, for $m > \lambda + 1$, $X \in \mathcal{N}_p^\lambda$ and $\varrho_m \in \mathcal{C}_p^\lambda(W(F)/p^m)$, the twist

$(\text{Id} + p^{m-1}X)\varrho_m \in \mathcal{C}_p^\lambda(W(F)/p^m)$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda$ is the subspace of $H^1(G_{Q_p}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ that constitutes the tangent space to the functor of flat deformations (cf. [Ram02]) and that $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda$ is one-dimensional and is independent of $\lambda$. The $p$ deformations $\varrho_i = (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}X_i)\varrho_m$ as $X_i$ ranges over $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda$ as
\[ i = 1, \ldots, p \text{ are flat deformations of } \varrho_{m-1} = \varrho_m \mod p^{m-1}. \text{ For ease of notation, we denote by } \varrho' := \varrho_m \mod p^{m-1} \text{ as is depicted below} \]

\[ GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \]

\[ \varrho_m \]

\[ G_{\varrho_p} \xrightarrow{\varrho'} GL_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{m-\lambda-1}). \]

Since \( \varrho_m \in C^\lambda_p \), there exists \( U \in W(\mathbb{F})/p^m \) such that \( \text{tr } \varphi|_{\varrho_m} = p^\lambda(1 + U) \). Setting \( U' = U \mod p^{m-\lambda-1} \), we observe that \( (\varrho_m, U) \) is a lift of \((\varrho', U')\).

We choose \( U_1, \ldots, U_p \in W(\mathbb{F})/p^m \) such that \( U_j \equiv U \mod p^{m-\lambda-1} \) and such that they are all distinct modulo \( p^{m-\lambda} \). Since \( U_j \equiv U \mod p^{m-\lambda-1} \) it follows that

\[ p^\lambda(1 + U_j) \equiv p^\lambda(1 + U) \mod p^{m-1}. \]

On the other hand, since \( U_j \) are distinct modulo \( p^{m-\lambda} \) it follows that \( p^\lambda(1 + U_j) \) are distinct modulo \( p^m \). Let \( \tilde{U}_j = U_j \mod p^{m-1} \), the pair \( (\varrho_{m-1}, \tilde{U}_j) \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{m-1}) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, p \). The functor \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \simeq \hat{A}^1 \) and thus in particular, there exists a lift \((\tilde{\varrho}^{(j)}, Q_j) \in F^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \) of \( (\varrho_{m-1}, \tilde{U}_j) \).

We observe that \( \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \in C_p^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \) since \( (\tilde{\varrho}^{(j)}, Q_j) \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \) and the set of deformations \( \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \) are deformations of \( \varrho_{m-1} \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, p \). We show that \( \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \) are distinct deformations. The result will follow from this since it shall follow that

\[ \{ \varrho^{(j)} \mid j = 1, \ldots, p \} = \{ \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \mid j = 1, \ldots, p \} \subseteq C_p^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \]

and therefore, \( \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \in C_p^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \). For \( X \in N^\lambda_p = \{ X_1, \ldots, X_p \} \) we have that \( X = X_k \) for some choice of \( k \) and the twist \((\text{Id} + p^{m-1}X)\varrho_m = \varrho^{(k)} \in C_p^\lambda(W(\mathbb{F})/p^m) \).

Therefore, to conclude the proof, we proceed to show that for \( i \neq j \), the deformations of \( \varrho_{m-1} \) are not strictly equivalent deformations, \( \varrho^{(i)} \not\simeq \varrho^{(j)} \). Since \( \lambda \geq 1 \) and \( Q_j \equiv U_j \mod p^{m-1} \) (they are both lifts of \( \tilde{U}_j \)) it follows that

\[ \text{tr } \varphi|_{\varrho^{(j)}} = p^\lambda(1 + Q_j) = p^\lambda(1 + U_j) \]

and likewise,

\[ \text{tr } \varphi|_{\varrho^{(i)}} = p^\lambda(1 + Q_i) = p^\lambda(1 + U_i). \]

Since \( U_i \not\equiv U_j \mod p^{m-\lambda} \) it follows that

\[ \text{tr } \varphi|_{\varrho^{(i)}} = p^\lambda(1 + U_i) \not\equiv p^\lambda(1 + U_j) = \text{tr } \varphi|_{\varrho^{(j)}} \]

and therefore, \( \tilde{\varrho}^{(i)} \not\simeq \tilde{\varrho}^{(j)} \). The proof is now complete. \( \square \)
6. Lifting to mod $p^{\lambda+2}$

In this section we show that $\bar{\rho}$ may be lifted a mod $p^{\lambda+2}$ representation $\rho_{\lambda+2}$

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \rho_{\lambda+2} \\
\Psi & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{GL}_2 \left( \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F})/p^{\lambda+2} \right)
\end{array}
\]

Definition 6.1. A prime $l$ is said to be a nice prime if

1. $l \not\equiv \pm 1 \mod p$,
2. $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at $l$,
3. $\bar{\rho}(\sigma_l)$ has eigenvalues whose ratio equals $l$.

At a nice prime $l$, there is a smooth deformation condition $C_l$ for which we refer to as Ramakrishna deformations. They were introduced in [Ram02]. A deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G\mathbb{Q}_l}$ is said to be nice if it lies in $C_l$. The tangent space of $C_l$ at a nice prime $l$ is denoted by $N_l$.

We enlarge the set of primes $S$ so that the $\mathbb{II}$-groups $\mathbb{III}_1^J(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ and $\mathbb{III}_2^J(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ are both trivial. For further details, the reader may refer to loc. cit. At every prime $l \in S$ we prescribe a smooth local deformation condition $\mathcal{C}_l$ with tangent space $\mathcal{N}_l$ such that $\dim \mathcal{N}_l = \dim H^0(G\mathbb{Q}_l, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ as in loc. cit. At the prime $p$ we let $\mathcal{C}_p^\lambda$ be the deformation condition and $\mathcal{N}_p^\lambda \subseteq H^1(G\mathbb{Q}_p, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ the one-dimensional space of Proposition 5.3.

Suppose that $m \leq \lambda$ and $\rho_m$ is a mod $p^m$ lift of $\bar{\rho}$ which is unramified outside a finite set. We seek to replace $\rho_m$ by a twist $\rho'_m = (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}Z)\rho_m$ by a global cohomology class $Z$ which does lift to some $\rho_{m+1}$ as depicted

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{GL}_2 \left( \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F})/p^{m+1} \right)
\end{array}
\]

Furthermore we seek to do so so that $\rho_{m+1}$ like $\rho_m$ is ramified outside finitely many primes.

Assume that $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $X_m$ is a finite set of primes containing the primes above $p$, the set of primes $S$ and the set of primes at which $\rho_m$ is ramified. Let $Z_m$ be a finite set of nice primes disjoint from $X_m$ chosen so that a twist of $\rho_m$ lifts to $\rho_{m+1}$ such that $\rho_{m+1}$ is unramified outside $X_{m+1} := Z_m \cup X_m$. For ease of notation we replace $\rho_m$
by this twist,

\[
\begin{CD}
\rho_{m+1} @>>> \text{GL}_2(W(F)/p^{m+1}) \\
\rho_{m} @>>> \text{GL}_2(W(F)/p^{m}).
\end{CD}
\]

We may arrive at a collection of nice primes \( Z_m \) by applying the method of Khare, Larsen and Ramakrishna from [KLR05].

At each prime \( l \in X_n \) there is a choice of a local cohomology class \( z_l \in H^1(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \) such that the twist \( (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}z_l)\rho_m \in C_l \). Thus we arrive at a tuple

\[
(z_l)_{l \in X_m} \in \bigoplus_{l \in X_m} H^1(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}).
\]

If \((z_l)_l\) is the image of a global cohomology class \( Z \in H^1(G_{Q,X_m}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \) then we may simply replace \( \rho_m \) by its twist by \( Z \),

\[
(\text{Id} + p^{m-1}Z)\rho_m.
\]

Since the local representations

\[
(\text{Id} + p^{m-1}Z)\rho_{m|G_{Q_l}} \in C_l
\]

and \( C_l \) is a liftable deformation condition, the obstruction to lifting \( \rho_m \) lies in \( \text{III}_{X_m}^2(\text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \) which we have arranged to be trivial. Therefore, if such a global cohomology class exists, a twist of \( \rho_m \) by this global cohomology class lifts to \( \rho_{m+1} \).

We make preparations to complete the argument in the case when there does not exist such a global cohomology class \( Z \).

**Proposition 6.2.** There exists a finite choice of primes \( X_{m+1} \) containing \( X_m \) such that on replacing \( \rho_m \) by its twist w.r.t a cohomology class \( f \in H^1(G_{Q,X_{m+1}}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \), the twist \( (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}f)\rho_m \) lifts to \( \rho_{m+1} \) which is unramified at all primes outside \( X_{m+1} \). As a consequence, \( \bar{\rho} \) lifts to \( \rho_{\lambda+2} \) which is unramified outside a finite set of primes \( X = X_{\lambda+2} \).

**Proof.** Let \( Q \) be a finite set of primes as in Lemma 8 of [KLR05] for which the restriction map

\[
H^1(G_{Q,X_{m}|Q}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow (\bigoplus_{l \in X_m} H^1(G_{Q_l}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho})) \oplus (\bigoplus_{q \in Q} H_{nr}^1(G_{Q_q}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}))
\]

is an isomorphism. Therefore, there exists a cohomology class \( h \in H^1(G_{Q,X_{m}|Q}, \text{Ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \) such that \( h|_{G_{Q_l}} = z_l \) and such that \( (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}h)\rho_m \) is nice at all primes \( q \in Q \). By Corollary 11 of [KLR05] there exists a finite set of \( \rho_m \)-nice primes \( V \) disjoint from \( X_m \cup Q \) such that there exists a cohomology class \( g \) such that

- \( (\text{Id} + p^{m-1}(g + h))\rho_m \) is unramified outside \( X_m \cup Q \cup V \)
- is unobstructed at all primes in \( X_m \cup Q \cup V \).
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We set $X_{m+1} = X_m \cup Q \cup V$, since $\text{III}^2_{X_{m+1}}(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 0$, and there are no local obstructions to lifting $\rho_m$, the statement of the Proposition follows.

\textbf{Proof. (of Theorem 1.1)}

Let $X$ be a finite set of primes for which $\bar{\rho}$ lifts to $\rho_{\lambda+2}$ such that the $\rho_{\lambda+2}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \in \mathcal{C}_l$ for $l \in X$. The Selmer condition $\{\mathcal{N}_l\}_{l \in X}$ is balanced, i.e.

$$\dim H^1_{X}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = \dim H^1_{X}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}^*).$$

It is shown for instance in [Ram02] that in this situation, on enlarging $X$ by a finite set of nice primes, the Selmer group and hence the dual Selmer group

$${H}^1_{X}(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}^*) = 0.$$ 

Consequently, from the Poitou Tate long exact sequence, we arrive at an isomorphism

\begin{equation}
H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q},S \cup X}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \cong \bigoplus_{l \in X} H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})/\mathcal{N}_l.
\end{equation}

Let $\rho_m$ be a lift of $\rho_{\lambda+2}$ for $m \geq \lambda + 2$. We lift $\rho_m$ to $\rho_{m+1}$ by twisting $\rho_m$ by a global cohomology class $f \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$. In greater detail, for $l \in X$, there exists a class $z_l + \mathcal{N}_l \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})/\mathcal{N}_l$ such that for any representative $z_l \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ of this class, the twist $(\text{Id} + p^{m-1} z_l)(\rho_m|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}}) \in \mathcal{C}_l$. By the isomorphism (6.1) there is a global cohomology class $Z \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q},X}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ such that for all $l \in X$, $Z|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} = z_l$. We replace $\rho_m$ by its twist by $Z$, we observe that

$$((\text{Id} + p^{m-1} Z)\rho_m)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \in \mathcal{C}_l$$

for all $l \in X$ and hence is unobstructed at all primes $l \in X$. Since $\text{III}^2_{X}(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 0$ it follows that $\rho_m$ does lift to $\rho_{m+1}$ where $\rho_{m+1}$ is unramified outside $X$. Once again replacing $\rho_{m+1}$ by its twist by a suitable global cohomology class, we may assume further that $\rho_{m+1}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \in \mathcal{C}_l$ at all primes $l \in X$. The main theorem therefore follows as $\rho_{\lambda+2}$ therefore lifts to a characteristic zero representation $\rho$ for which $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \in \mathcal{C}_p$ and thus in particular, $tr \varphi|_p \in W(F)$ such that $\nu_p(tr \varphi|_p) = \lambda$.

The representation $\rho$ satisfies the conditions of Fontaine and Mazur and thus by [Kis09] there is a supersingular normalized eigenform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ and a prime $p|\rho$ of the field of Fourier coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ of $f$ for which $e(p|\rho) = 1$, such that the associated Galois representation $\rho_{f,p} \simeq \rho$. In particular, $\nu_p(a_p) = tr \varphi|_p$ and as a consequence, $\nu_p(tr_p(a_p)) = \lambda$. Since $\rho_{f,p}$ is flat when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ it follows that $(\mathcal{N}, p) = 1$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.
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