Multiparameter universality and directional nonuniversality of exact anisotropic critical correlation functions of the two-dimensional Ising universality class

Volker Dohm
Institute for Theoretical Physics, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

(Dated: 09 July 2019)

We prove the validity of multiparameter universality for the exact critical bulk correlation functions of the anisotropic square-lattice and triangular-lattice Ising models on the basis of the exact scaling structure of the correlation function of the two-dimensional anisotropic scalar $\varphi^4$ model. The correlation functions exhibit a directional nonuniversality due to principal axes whose orientation depends on microscopic details. In particular we determine the exact anisotropy matrices governing the bulk and finite-size critical behavior of the $\varphi^4$ and Ising models.

The concept of bulk universality classes plays a fundamental role in the theory of critical phenomena \cite{Bray90}. They are characterized by the spatial dimension $d$ and the symmetry of the ordered state which we assume here to be $O(\pi)$ symmetric with an $n$-component order parameter. Within a given $(d, n)$ universality class, critical exponents and scaling functions are independent of microscopic details, such as the couplings of (short-range) interactions or the lattice structure. It was asserted that, once the universal quantities of a universality class are given, two-scale-factor universality \cite{Brezin76,Bray90} implies that the two-parameter universal quantities of a universality class are the critical correlation functions of the two-dimensional Ising universality class as the two-dimensional Ising model \cite{Pelissetto03}. We introduce angular-dependent correlation lengths which permits us to determine the principal axes via an extremum criterion and to derive the exact anisotropy matrices. This leads to a proof of multiparameter universality for both types of Ising models with three or four nonuniversal parameters for the square-lattice or triangular-lattice model, respectively. However, the correlation functions exhibit a directional nonuniversality due to the principal axes whose orientation depends on microscopic details such as the coupling constants and the lattice structure. This dependence is different for Ising and $\varphi^4$ models within the same universality class. Our results are expected to make an impact on scaling theories for $G(x, t)$ of real anisotropic systems such as magnetic materials \cite{Dohm16}, superconductors \cite{Talapin18}, alloys \cite{Dohm18}, and solids with structural phase transitions \cite{Michel18}, where angular-dependent correlation functions are measurable quantities. Our anisotropy matrix also enters the finite-size effects of confined anisotropic systems \cite{Dohm18}.

It is necessary to first reformulate the standard scaling form of $G_{\lambda \sigma}(x, t)$ for isotropic systems. In the limit of large $|x|$ and large $\xi_{\pm}(t) = \xi_{0\pm}(t)|^{-\eta}$ at fixed $|x|/\xi_{0\pm} > 0$ the scaling form for $n = 1$ and $2 \leq d < 4$ reads \cite{Pelissetto03,Bray90}

$$G_{\lambda \sigma}(x, t) = D_{\lambda \sigma}^{0}|x|^{-d+2-\eta} \Phi_{\pm}(|x|/\xi_{0\pm}), \quad (1)$$

with the universal scaling function $\Phi_{\pm}(y)$ above (+) and below (−) $T_{c}$ and the nonuniversal amplitudes $D_{\lambda \sigma}^{0}, \xi_{0\pm}$ which $\xi_{0\pm}/\xi_{0-}$ is universal but $D_{\lambda \sigma}^{0}$ still contains a universal part. We employ the "true" (exponential) correlation lengths $\xi_{\pm}$ which are defined by the exponential decay $\sim \exp (-|x|/\xi_{\pm})$ of $\Phi_{\pm}$ for large $|x|/\xi_{\pm}$ and which are universally related to the second-moment correlation lengths \cite{Pelissetto03}. The exact sum rule \cite{Pelissetto03} $\chi_{\lambda \sigma}(t) = \int d^4 x \, G_{\lambda \sigma}(x, t)$ yields the susceptibility

$$\chi_{\lambda \sigma}(t) = D_{\lambda \sigma}^{0} \left[ \xi_{\pm}(t) \right]^{-2-\eta} \Phi_{\pm} = \Gamma_{\lambda \sigma}^{0} |t|^{-\gamma}, \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi_{\pm} = 2\pi^{-d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds s^{1-\gamma} \Phi_{\pm}(s), \quad (3)$$

with $2 - \gamma = \gamma/\nu$ and the universal constant $\Phi_{\pm}$. This implies $D_{\lambda \sigma}^{0} = \Gamma_{\lambda \sigma}^{0} (\xi_{0+})^{-2+\eta}/\Phi_{\pm}$, thus $G_{\lambda \sigma}^{0}$ can be
uniquely divided into universal and nonuniversal parts
\[ G_\pm(x, t) = \Psi_\pm((x/\xi)\phi^4), \]
\[ \Psi_\pm(y) = \Phi_\pm(y)/\bar{\Phi}_+, \]
with two nonuniversal amplitudes \( \xi_{\pm} \) and the universal scaling function \( \Psi_\pm(y) \). At \( T_c \), it is related to the universal constants \( 2, \pi, 19, Q_3 \) and \( Q_3 \) by
\[ \Psi_+(0) = \Psi_-(0) = \hat{Q}_3 = \frac{2d(\rightarrow)\eta(\rightarrow + \eta/2)}{(4\pi)^d/2}(2 - \eta/2) Q_3. \]

Our only assumption is the validity of two-scale-factor universality for isotropic systems which implies that \( \Psi_\pm(y) \) is the same for isotropic Ising and \( \phi^4 \) models for \( d = 2, n = 1 \), with \( \eta = 1/4, \gamma = 7/4, \nu = 1, \xi_{\pm} = 2 \).

We first consider the anisotropic scalar \( \phi^4 \) model on \( \hat{N} \) lattice points \( x_i \equiv (x_{i1}, x_{i2}) \) of a square lattice with lattice spacing \( \hbar \) and finite-range interactions \( K_{ij} \). The Hamiltonian divided by \( k_B T \) and the bulk correlation function are defined by
\[ H = \hat{a}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}} \left( \frac{t_0}{2} \phi_i^2 + u_0 \phi_i^4 \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\hat{N}} \frac{K_{ij}}{2} (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2, \]
\[ G_{\pm}(x_i - x_j, t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left\{ < \phi_i \phi_j > - < \phi >^2 \right\}, \]
where \( < \phi >^2 = \lim_{|x_i - x_j| \to \infty} < \phi_i \phi_j > \). The large-distance anisotropy is described by the anisotropy matrix
\[ \mathbf{A} = (A_{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ A_{\alpha\beta} = \lim_{\hat{N} \to \infty} \hat{N}^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\hat{N}} (x_{i\alpha} - x_{j\alpha})(x_{i\beta} - x_{j\beta})K_{ij}, \]
with \( \det \mathbf{A} > 0, a > 0, b > 0 \).

It has been shown recently \( 9 \) that \( G_{\pm}(x, t) \) has the asymptotic scaling form
\[ G_{\pm}(x, t) = \Gamma_+ (\xi_{\pm}^{(1)} - 7/4) \Psi_{\pm} \left( \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}}{\xi_{\pm}(t)} \right)^{7/4} \]
with \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}/(\det \mathbf{A})^{1/2} \) where \( \Psi_{\pm} \) is the same scaling function as that in \( 4 \) for isotropic systems (\( \mathbf{A} = 1 \)).

We have obtained \( 10 \) from Eq. (5.61) of \( 9 \) by employing the sum rule for the susceptibility of the anisotropic system \( \chi^{(1)}(t) = \int d^d x G_+(x, t) = \Gamma_+ t^{-7/4} \) which yields \( D_1 = \Gamma_+ (\xi_{\pm}^{(1)} - 7/4)/\bar{\Phi}_+ \).

The geometric mean of the principal correlation lengths \( \xi_{\pm}^{(1)}(t) = \xi_{\pm}^{(2)}(t) \) where the principal directions are defined by the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}_\alpha \) determined by \( \mathbf{Ae}_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2 \).

The eigenvalues \( \lambda_\alpha \) determine the amplitudes \( \xi_{\pm}^{(\alpha)}(t) = \lambda_\alpha^{1/2}\xi_{\pm} \) where \( \xi_{\pm}^{(\alpha)} \) is the correlation length of the isotropic system obtained after a shear transformation that consists of a rotation and a rescaling in the \( e^{(\alpha)} \) directions \( 11 \).

Contours of constant correlations are ellipses determined by \( \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \text{const} \) whose eccentricity and orientation are characterized by
\[ q = (\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{1/2} = \xi_{\pm}^{(1)}(t)/\xi_{\pm}^{(2)}(t), \]
and by the angle \( \Omega \) determining the principal axes, i.e.,
\[ e^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega \\ \sin \Omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \Omega \\ \cos \Omega \end{pmatrix}. \]

For \( a \neq b \) we define
\[ \lambda_1 = \frac{a + b}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{a - b}{2}, \quad w = \frac{1 + 4e^2}{(a - b)^2} \geq 1, \]
\[ \tan \Omega = \frac{[b - a + (a - b)w]}{(2c)}, \]
and for \( a = b, c \neq 0 \)
\[ \lambda_1 = a + c, \quad \lambda_2 = a - c, \quad \Omega = \pi/4. \]

From \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \) with the rotation and rescaling matrices \( \mathbf{U} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} c_\Omega & s_\Omega \\ -s_\Omega & c_\Omega \end{array} \right) \) and \( \mathbf{A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{array} \right) \) we obtain
\[ \mathbf{A}(q, \Omega) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} q c_\Omega^2 + q^{-1} s_\Omega^2 & q c_\Omega s_\Omega \\ q c_\Omega s_\Omega & q s_\Omega^2 + q^{-1} c_\Omega^2 \end{array} \right), \]
with the abbreviations \( c_\Omega \equiv \cos \Omega, s_\Omega \equiv \sin \Omega \). Using polar coordinates (Fig. 1) \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \) we define the angular-dependent correlation length \( \xi_{\pm}(t, \theta, q, \Omega) \) by
\[ (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x})^{1/2}/\xi_{\pm}(t) = r/\xi_{\pm}(t, \theta, q, \Omega) \]
which yields the exact reformulation of \( 10 \)
\[ G_{\pm}(x, t) = \Gamma_+ (\xi_{\pm}^{(1)} - 7/4) \Psi_{\pm} \left( \frac{r}{\xi_{\pm}(t, \theta, q, \Omega)} \right) \]
where the directional dependence is described by
\[ \xi_{\pm}(t, \theta, q, \Omega) = \xi_{\pm}(t)/f(\theta, q, \Omega), \]
\[ f(\theta, q, \Omega) = [\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \Omega - \cos^2 \theta] \]
\[ + (\cos^2 \Omega + \sin^2 \Omega) \sin^2 \theta \]
\[ + (q - 1) \cos \Omega \sin \Omega \sin(2\theta)]^{1/2} \]
\[ = [\sin^2 \theta(1 - \Omega) + \cos^2 \theta(1 - \Omega)]^{1/2}. \]

In the limit \( c \to 0 \) at fixed \( a - b \neq 0 \) a "rectangular" anisotropy is obtained with \( \lambda_1 = a, \lambda_2 = b, q = (a/b)^{1/2} = \xi_{\pm}^{(1)}/\xi_{\pm}^{(2)}, \Omega = 0, \) and
\[ f(\theta, q, 0) \equiv f_{rec}(\theta, q) = (q - 1) \cos^2 \theta + q \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}. \]

For \( q \neq 1 \) the requirement \( \partial \xi(\pm, \theta, q, \Omega)/\partial \theta = 0 \) yields \( \sin[2(\theta - \Omega)] = 0 \) implying that \( \xi_{\pm} \) has extrema at \( \theta^{(1)} = \Omega \) and \( \theta^{(2)} = \Omega + \pi/2 \) defining the two principal directions.
In contrast to $G_{\pm}^0(x, t)$, $G_{\pm}^0(x, t)$ depends on four independent nonuniversal parameters $\Gamma_+, \xi_0, q, \Omega$ which violates two-scale-factor universality. Unlike $q$, the angle $\Omega(a, b, c)$ cannot be parameterized in terms of $\xi_0(\pm)$ but depends on the lattice structure and the microscopic couplings $K_{i,j}$ through $a, b, c$. Thus $\hat{A}$ depends not only on bulk correlation lengths through $q$ but also on other microscopic details through $\Omega$. The parametrization of (21)-(23) is valid in the unrestricted range $0 < q < \infty$ above, at, and below $T_c$ [20]. The same matrix $\hat{A}$ also enters the finite-size critical behavior [3]. These results derived for a model on a square lattice remain valid more generally for interactions $K_{i,j}$ on two-dimensional Bravais lattices [1].

The hypothesis of multiparameter universality [3] predicts that the critical correlation functions of all anisotropic Ising models with short-range interactions can be expressed in the same form as (21)-(23) with the same universal functions $\Psi_\pm$ and $f$, but with up to four different nonuniversal parameters. We shall show that this is indeed valid for Ising models with the Hamiltonian [11, 12, 21]

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j,k} [-E_1 \sigma_{j,k}\sigma_{j,k+1} - E_2 \sigma_{j,k}\sigma_{j+1,k} - E_3 \sigma_{j,k}\sigma_{j+1,k+1}]$$

(25)

where $\sigma_{j,k} = \pm 1$ are spin variables on a square lattice (with the lattice spacing $a = 1$) with horizontal, vertical, and diagonal couplings $E_1 > 0, E_2 > 0, E_3$ (Fig.2). The exact correlation function $<\sigma_{0,0}\sigma_{M,N}>$ was calculated for $E_3 = 0$ in [11] and for positive and negative $E_3 \neq 0$ in [12], resulting in the scaling form

$$<\sigma_{0,0}\sigma_{M,N}> = R^{-1/4} F_+ (R/\xi_+^0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$$

(26)

with a nonuniversal scaling function $F_+$, a distance $R(E_1, E_2, E_3)$, and $\xi_+^0 = \xi_{0+}^0 (E_1, E_2, E_3) |t|^{-1}$. The exact amplitude $C_{0+}(E_1, E_2, E_3)$ of the susceptibility was also calculated. So far the universality properties of (26) have not been analyzed in the literature [11, 12, 22]. In particular, the principal axes and principal correlation lengths of the triangular-lattice model ($E_3 \neq 0$) are as yet unknown, and only a conjecture exists for the correlation lengths in the direction of the bonds [22]. We shall analyze these three cases.

We first consider the isotropic case $E_3 = 0$, $E_1 = E_2 = E > 0$ for $T \geq T_c$ where $R(E, E, 0) = (M^2 + N^2)^{1/2}$ and $\xi_{0+}^0 (E, E, 0) = (4\beta_s, E)^{-1} = [2\ln(1 + 2^{1/2})]^{-1}$ with $\beta_s = (k_B T_c)^{-1}$, $\sinh 2\beta_s E = 1$. The amplitude $C_{0+}(E, E, 0)$ is obtained from Eqs. (2.46a) and (2.48S) of [11] as

$$C_{0+}(E, E, 0) \equiv \Gamma_+^e = 2^{19/8} \pi [\xi_{0+}^1 (E, E, 0)]^{7/4} p_+ ,$$

(27)

$$p_+ = C_{0+}(E, E, 0)/D = 0.1592846958...$$

(28)

where the constant $p_+$ is expressed analytically in terms of a Painlevé function of the third kind and its numerical value follows from Eq. (2.52S) of [11]. According to [11] we reformulate (26) for $T \geq T_c$ as

$$<\sigma_{0,0}\sigma_{M,N}> \equiv \Gamma_+^e (\xi_{0+}^0)^{-7/4} \Psi_+ (R/\xi_+^1),$$

(29)

$$\Psi_+ (y) = (2^{19/8} \pi p_+)^{-1} F_+ (y, E, E, 0)$$

(30)

where $F_+ (y, E, E, 0) \equiv F_+ (y)$ is independent of $E$ and is given analytically by Eq. (2.39) of [11] with $\sinh 2\beta_s E_1 + \sinh 2\beta_s E_2 = 2\sinh 2\beta_s E = 2$. According to two-scale-factor universality the function $\Psi_+ (y)$ [30] identifies the exact universal scaling function for $T \geq T_c$ of all systems in the subclass of isotropic systems in the $(d = 2, n = 1)$ universality class. Unlike $F_+ (0)$ of [11] and unlike the amplitudes $A_n$ and $A(1)$ defined in chapter XVII of [22], $\Psi_+(0) \equiv \tilde{Q}_3$ is a universal amplitude. Its exact numerical value is $\tilde{Q}_3 = 0.270969...$ where we have used Eq. (5.10S) of [11]. This implies $Q_3 = 0.414131...$.

Now we turn to the case of a "rectangular" anisotropy $E_1 \neq E_2, E_3 = 0$ for $T \geq T_{cE}$ where the condition of criticality is $S_1S_2 = 1$ with $S_3 = \sinh 2\beta_s E_0, \alpha = 1, 2$ [11]. Using $x_1 = N = r \cos \theta, x_2 = M = r \sin \theta$ we derive
from Eqs. (2.6) and (2.8) of \[11\]
\[R(E_1, E_2, 0) = \left[q^{rec}(q^{rec})^{-1}N^2\right]^{1/2} \] (31)
\[= r f_{rec}(\theta, q^{rec}), \] (32)
\[q^{rec} = \xi^{(1)rec}/\xi^{(2)rec} = (S_1/S_2)^{1/2}, \] (33)
\[\xi^{(3)rec} = S_1^{1/2} (2\beta E_1/S_1^{1/2} + 2\beta E_2/S_2^{1/2})^{-1}. \] (34)
where \(\xi^{(3)rec}\) are the correlation-length amplitudes in the principal directions (1, 0) and (0, 1) corresponding to \(\Omega^{rec} = 0\) and where \(f_{rec}(\theta, q^{rec})\) is the same function as defined in \(23\), with \(q\) replaced by \(q^{rec}\). From Eq. (2.8) of \(11\) we derive
\[\xi^{(1)}_{0+}(E_1, E_2, 0) = [2\beta E_1C_1 + E_2C_2]^{-1} \times (S_1 + S_2)^{1/2} \equiv \xi^{rec} \] (35)
where \(C_\alpha\) is defined in \(24\). This identifies \(\xi^{rec}\) as the geometric mean \(\xi^{rec}(t) = \xi^{rec}(t)^{-1}\) of the principal correlation lengths \(33\). From Eq. (2.39) of \(11\) we obtain
\[F_+ (y, E_1, E_2, 0) = \|\left[q^{rec} + (q^{rec})^{-1}\right]^{1/2} F_+ (y, E, E, 0) \] (36)
and from Eqs. (2.46a) and (2.48) of \(11\) we obtain the exact amplitude \(C^{rec}_0 \equiv C_{0+}(E_1, E_2, 0)\) in the form
\[C^{rec}_0 = 2^{9/4} \pi \beta^{7/4} \xi^{rec} C^{rec}_0 \] \(\xi^{rec}(t) = \xi^{rec}(t)^{-1}\) (37)
Together with \(33\) this leads to the reformulation of the asymptotic result of \(11\) for \(T \geq T^{rec}\)
\[< \sigma_0, \sigma_{MN}, \sigma^{rec}, \xi^{rec} > \] \(\xi^{rec}(t) = \xi^{rec}(t)^{-1}\) (38)
\[= C^{rec}_0 \xi^{rec}(t) \] \(\xi^{rec}(t) = \xi^{rec}(t)^{-1}\) (39)
\[\xi^{rec}(t, \theta) = \xi^{rec}(t)/f(\theta, q^{rec} = 0), \] (40)
with the angular-dependent correlation length \(\xi^{rec}(t, \theta)\).

We proceed to the case of a “triangular” anisotropy \(12, 21\) with \(E_3 \neq 0\) and the condition of criticality
\[\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_3 + \hat{S}_3 \hat{S}_1 = 1 \] (41)
with \(\hat{S}_\alpha = \sinh 2\beta \xi^{tr} E_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \) \(21\). We first determine the angle \(\Omega^{tr}\) describing the orientation of the principal axes. For \(T \geq T^{tr}\) the angular dependence of the distance \(R(E_1, E_2, E_3) = R_{tr}(\theta) = f_{tr}(\theta)\) of Eq. (11) of \(12\) is given by
\[f_{tr}(\theta) = \left[\left(\hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_3\right) \sin^2 \theta + (\hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3) \cos^2 \theta \right]^{1/2}. \] (42)
\[\xi^{(1)tr}(E_1, E_2, 0) = \xi^{(2)tr}(E_1, E_2, 0) \equiv \xi^{tr}, \] (43)
\[\xi^{tr}(t, \theta) = \xi^{tr}(t)/f_{tr}(\theta). \] (44)
The requirement \(\partial \xi^{tr}(t, \theta)/\partial \theta = 0\) yields \(\theta = \Omega^{tr}\) with
\[\tan 2\Omega^{tr} = 2 \frac{\hat{S}_1^2 - \hat{S}_2^2}{\hat{S}_1^2 - \hat{S}_2^2} = \frac{2(1 - \hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2)}{\hat{S}_1^2 - \hat{S}_2^2} \] (45)
\[\Omega^{tr} = \pi/4 \] for \(E_1 = E_2, \) (46)
implying that \(\xi^{tr}(t, \theta)\) has extrema at \(\theta^{(1)} = \Omega^{tr}\) and \(\theta^{(2)} = \Omega^{tr} + \pi/2\) defining the two principal directions. Clearly \(\Omega^{tr}(E_1, E_2, E_3)\) is a nonuniversal quantity that depends on microscopic details and differs from \(\Omega\), \(17\), of the \(\varphi^4\) model even if the \(\varphi^4\) and Ising models have the same couplings on the same lattices. This is due to the nonuniversal difference between a fixed-length spin model and a soft-spin model. From \(12-26\) we determine the ratio \(q^{tr} = \xi^{(1)tr}/\xi^{(2)tr} \) of the amplitudes of the principal correlation lengths
\[q^{tr}(E_1, E_2, E_3) = \frac{\xi^{tr}(t, \Omega^{tr})}{\xi^{tr}(t, \Omega^{tr} + \pi/2)} = \frac{f_{tr}(\Omega^{tr} + \pi/2)}{f_{tr}(\Omega^{tr})} \] (47)
where now the \(\pm\) sign in front of the square root term means \(E_1 > E_2 (+) \) and \(E_1 < E_2 (-) \), respectively, and
\[q^{tr}(E, E, E) = \frac{f_{tr}(3\pi/4)}{f_{tr}(\pi/4)} = \frac{1}{\sinh 2\beta \xi^{tr} E} \] (48)
for \(E_1 = E_2 = E > 0\). From \(15-18\) we derive
\[q^{tr} \sin^2 \Omega^{tr} + q^{tr-1} \sin^2 \Omega^{tr} = \hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_3, \] (49)
\[q^{tr} \sin^2 \Omega^{tr} + q^{tr-1} \cos^2 \Omega^{tr} = \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3, \] (50)
\[(q^{tr-1} - q^{tr}) \cos \Omega^{tr} \sin \Omega^{tr} = -\hat{S}_3, \] (51)
for both \(E_1 \geq E_2 \) and \(E_1 \leq E_2 \). Together with \(12\) these equations prove the validity of the identification \(f_{tr}(\theta) = f(\theta, q^{tr}, \Omega^{tr})\) in the unrestricted range \(25\) \(0 < q^{tr} < \infty\) above, at, and below \(T^{tr}\) where \(f\) is indeed the same function \(23\) as derived within the \(\varphi^4\) theory. This complements the determination of the angular dependence and of the anisotropy matrix \(\Omega^{tr} = \hat{A}(q^{tr}, \Omega^{tr})\) for the triangular-lattice Ising model \(23\) where \(\hat{A}\) is the same matrix as in \(19\) for the \(\varphi^4\) model, in exact agreement with multiparameter universality. Our results for rectangular anisotropy are recovered from \(11-15\) in the limit \(E_3 \rightarrow 0\).

We mention two earlier conjectures. (i) From \(42\) we derive
\[\kappa_1 = \frac{\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_3}{2^{1/2}} + \frac{\hat{S}_1}{2} = \frac{\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2}{2} \] (52)
\[\kappa_2 = \frac{\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2 \hat{S}_3}{2^{1/2}} + \frac{\hat{S}_1}{2} = \frac{\hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2}{2}, \] (53)
where $\xi_{(\text{diag})tr}^{(t)}$, $\xi_{(\text{hor})tr}^{(t)}$, and $\xi_{(\text{vert})tr}^{(t)}$ denote the correlation lengths in the $(1,1)$, $(0,0)$, and $(0,1)$ directions and the factor $2^{1/2}$ accounts for the diagonal lattice spacing. This confirms the conjecture in Eq. (2.6) of [14]. The ratio (15) used in [14] was based on a conjecture [23] and is derived here directly from the exact result (12).

In the remaining analysis of the amplitudes $C_{tr}^{(t)}$ and $\xi_{0+}^{(t)}$ we confine ourselves to $E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = E > 0$ where

$$\Omega^{(t)}(E, E, E) = \pi/4, \quad (54)$$

$$q^{(t)}(E, E, E) = 1/\sinh 2t C = 3^{1/2}, \quad (55)$$

$$f_t(\theta) = f(\theta, 3^{1/2}, \pi/4) = 3^{-1/4}(2 - \sin 2\theta)^{1/2}. \quad (56)$$

By expanding Eq. (10) of [12] around $T_{cr}$ to leading order in $t = (T - T_{cr})/T_{cr} > 0$ we determine the amplitudes of the mean correlation length $\xi_{tr}^{(t)}(t) = \xi_{0+}^{(t)}t^{-1}$ and of the principal correlation lengths $\xi_{0+}^{(t)}$ as

$$\tilde{\xi}_{0+}^{(t)} = [\xi_{0+}^{(t)}(\xi_{0+}^{(t)})^{1/2}] = 3^{-1/4} \frac{2(2 - 3^{1/2})}{3^{1/2}(3^{1/2} - 1) \ln 3} \quad (57)$$

$$= 3^{-1/4}{(\xi_{0+}^{(t)})}^{1/2} = 3^{1/4}\xi_{0+}^{(t)} \quad (58)$$

From Eqs. (12) and (14)-(16) of [12] we obtain

$$F_{+}(y, E, E, E) = 2^{1/3}3^{-3/16}F_{+}(y, E, E, 0), \quad (59)$$

$$C_{tr}^{(t)} = 2^{2/3}3^{-3/16}\pi p_{+}(\xi_{0+}^{(t)})^{7/4}. \quad (60)$$

Together with [21] this leads to the exact reformulation of the asymptotic result of [12] for $T \geq T_{cr}$

$$<\sigma_{0,0}^{(t)} >_{tr} = \frac{C_{tr}^{(t)}(\xi_{tr}^{(t)})^{-7/4}}{[x \cdot (\vec{A}^{(t)})^{-1}x]}^{1/8} \frac{\Psi_{+}(x \cdot (\vec{A}^{(t)})^{-1}x)}{\xi_{tr}^{(t)}(t)}, \quad (61)$$

$$\xi_{tr}^{(t)}(\theta, t) = \frac{\xi_{tr}^{(t)}(t)}{f(\theta, q^{(t)}, \Omega^{(t)})}. \quad (62)$$

with the same universal functions $\Psi_{+}$ and $f$ as in [21] and [23] and the same matrix $\vec{A}^{(t)} = \vec{A}(q^{(t)}, \Omega^{(t)})$ as in (19), with the four nonuniversal parameters $C_{tr}^{(t)}, \xi_{0+}^{(t)}, q^{(t)}, \Omega^{(t)}$ given in (60), (57), (55), (54), respectively, thus proving the validity of multiparameter universality. An extension of (57)-(59) to the general case $E_1 \neq E_2, E_3 \neq 0$ including the region $T < T_{cr}$ will be considered elsewhere.

To summarize, we have determined the exact anisotropy matrix $\vec{A}$ for the square-lattice and triangular-lattice Ising model and have confirmed the validity of multiparameter universality for the exact correlation functions of these Ising models [11, 12] for $T > T_{cr}$, thereby answering the longstanding question [11] as to the universality properties of these models. The case $T < T_{cr}$ is similar as will be shown elsewhere. It is reassuring that the leading scaling part of the detailed expressions for $<\sigma_{0,0}^{(t)} >_{\mathcal{M},N}$ presented in [11, 12] can be condensed into the same compact universal forms (55) and (61) as the exact result (11) for the anisotropic $\varphi^4$ model, with two universal functions $\Psi_{+}$ and $f$. This provides support for our confidence in the validity of multiparameter universality for the large class of weakly anisotropic systems within the $(d, n)$ universality classes of critical behavior. Nonuniversality enters through the anisotropy matrix and the mean correlation length. The anisotropy matrix is temperature-independent and is applicable above, at, and below $T_{cr}$ in bulk and confined systems [11]. As an appropriate parametrization we have employed the ratio $q$ of the principal correlation lengths and the angle $\Omega$ determining the principal directions. Both parameters are nonuniversal microscopic quantities. While for $\varphi^4$ models the angle $\Omega$ is known explicitly according to (10) and (17) for arbitrary short-range interactions $K_{ij}$ on Bravais lattices, this is not generally the case for Ising models. We agree with the assertion [27] that, apart from the Ising models [11, 12] analyzed in this paper, the principal directions “generically depend in an unknown way on the anisotropic interactions.” Since the principal directions enter the angular dependence of correlation functions in a crucial way the unknown dependence of $\Omega$ on microscopic details introduces a significant nonuniversality into the correlation functions of weakly anisotropic systems, in contrast to isotropic systems of the same universality class. This underscores the necessity of distinguishing subclasses of isotropic and anisotropic systems within a given $(d, n)$ universality class. The latter are less universal than the former and require significantly more nonuniversal input in order to achieve quantitative predictions. This statement applies also to finite-size effects in these systems. This sheds new light on the general belief that the asymptotic critical behavior of systems with short-range interactions is largely independent of microscopic details [1, 8].

[20] A less appropriate parametrization in terms of the largest and smallest eigenvalues \( \lambda_\geq = (a+b)/2 + \tilde{w} \) and \( \lambda_\leq = (a+b)/2 - \tilde{w} \), \( \tilde{w} = [(a-b)^2/4 + c^2]^{1/2} > 0 \) in the restricted range \( 0 < q_\leq = \lambda_\leq/\lambda_\geq \leq 1 \) introduces discontinuities of the orientation of the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}_\geq \) and \( \mathbf{e}_\leq \), i.e. of the angle \( \Omega_\geq \), when \( a - b \) changes sign at fixed \( c = 0 \) or when \( c \) changes sign at fixed \( a = b \).
[25] Based on phenomenological assumptions, a less appropriate parametrization of the anisotropy matrix of the Ising model in terms of the ratio \( r_{Is} = \xi_{Is}^</\xi_{Is}^> \) of the smallest and largest principal correlation lengths \( \xi_{Is}^< \) and \( \xi_{Is}^> \) and of the corresponding angle \( \Omega_{Is}^< \) was suggested in [26] with an unknown dependence of \( r_{Is} \) and \( \Omega_{Is}^< \) on the couplings \( E_i \). The restriction to the range \( 0 < r_{Is} \leq 1 \) introduces discontinuities of the angle \( \Omega_{Is}^< \) as a function of \( E_i \).