Particles in turbulent separated flow over a bump: effect of the Stokes number and lift force
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Particle-laden turbulent flow that separates due to a bump inside a channel is simulated to analyse the effects of the Stokes number and the lift force on the particle spatial distribution. The fluid friction Reynolds number is approximately 900 over the bump, the highest achieved for similar computational domains. A range of particle Stokes numbers are considered, each simulated with and without the lift force in the particle dynamic equation. When the lift force is included a significant difference in the particle concentration, in the order of thousands, is observed in comparison with cases where the lift force is omitted. The greatest deviation is in regions of high vorticity, particularly at the walls and in the shear layer but results show that the concentration also changes in the bulk of the flow away from the walls. The particle behaviour changes drastically when the Stokes number is varied. As the Stokes number increases, particles bypass the recirculating region that is formed after the bump and their redistribution is mostly affected by the strong shear layer. Particles segregate at the walls and particularly accumulate in secondary recirculating regions behind the bump. At higher Stokes numbers, the particles create reflection layers of high concentration due to their inertia as they are diverted by the bump. The fluid flow is less influential and this enables the particles to enter the recirculating region by rebounding off walls and create a focus of high particle concentration.

I. INTRODUCTION

Turbulent flows laden with particles are common in natural phenomena and engineering applications. The understanding of turbulence and multiphase flows is considered a challenge in both experiments and numerical simulations. As for single-phase flow simulations, different formulations for the carrier phase in multiphase flows can be used such as direct numerical simulation (DNS), the method used here, large-eddy simulation (LES), or Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS). To these possible descriptions of turbulent flow, Lagrangian methods can be used to couple particles and fluid at different levels, i.e. one-way, two-way or four-way coupling regime. In the one-way coupling the particle volume fraction and mass loading are low, the particles are transported by the carrier phase which is not modified. For higher mass loading and small volume fraction, two-way coupling considers the flow modulation due to the particles. In four-way coupling, collisions and hydrodynamic interaction between particles are significant.

In simulations involving a large number of particle smaller than the Kolmogorov scale, a suitable numerical approach is the Lagrangian point-particle method. In such mixed Eulerian-Lagrangian method, the continuous phase is described in a Eulerian framework whilst the dispersed phase by a Lagrangian approach solving the dynamic equation for each particle. Particles may be considered to be spherical or, requiring more complex modelling, non-spherical particles may be considered.

The study of particle-laden turbulent flow has been of interest for decades by considering isotropic turbulence and homogeneous shear turbulence and cases where the flow is confined by solid boundaries to investigate, for example, particle behaviour in turbulent boundary layers or in Couette flow. Various studies are conducted in wall-bounded flows and they include confined flows such as pipe flows and channels, sometimes with the addition of roughness on the surface of the channel’s walls to modulate the flow and hence the particle dynamics. The study of particle-laden fluid jets is also active due to their vast use in engineering and their occurrence in nature. Some studies focus, for example, on the effect of the Stokes number on particle behaviour in turbulent jets, both experimentally and numerically.

In many applications though, the geometry involved is more complex than these standard domains. For example, microparticles are used in inhalable drug delivery systems since they provide a non-invasive treatment and localised delivery method. Some authors discuss their use in the treatment of lung cancer whilst other show how microparticles can be used to deliver embedded nanocrystals to the lungs. These applications call for numerical simulations to study microparticle behaviour in realistic models of human airways together with the effect of different breathing conditions. Another health related example is the obstruction in blood vessels due to atherosclerosis which is nowadays also studied with the aid of computational modelling.

A geometrical change may be intentional, for example, to enhance mixing of fluid and particles or to separate particles from fluid for filtration. Specific geometries can be used for the preferential separation of particles when populations of particles with different characteristics are present in a carrier...
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phase. In Refs.\[41,42\] the authors study particulate dispersion and mixing through DNS in a serpentine channel by considering a large range of particle Stokes numbers. The authors observe high concentrations of particles near the surface of the outer wall and show how the heaviest particles reflect from the wall to form reflection layers whilst the lighter particles concentrate in the streaks at the wall. A recent study\[43\] also observes particle reflections in the outer bend of turbulent curved pipe flow laden with micro-sized inertial particles. The authors document the modification of particle axial and wall-normal velocities and the increase in particle turbulent kinetic energy. Other studies\[44,45\] show the importance of geometry for particle-capture mechanisms in branching junctions by comparing experiments and numerical simulations. The authors show that the capture is dependent on vortex breakdown, a result of the creation and evolution of recirculating regions in the system and a crucial factor that determines whether particle accumulation is maximised or eliminated.

The aim of the present paper is to study the microparticles behaviour in a turbulent channel flow with bump at one of the walls by means of a Lagrangian point-particle method in an incompressible flow simulated using DNS. The bump makes the flow separate, creating a strong shear layer and recirculating region\[46–48\]. The configuration is nonetheless still accessible to classical statistical tools and turbulence theory for the detailed study of turbulence dynamics\[49,50\]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first simulation of such a configuration laden with particles at a friction Reynolds number of 900 over the bump. A wide range of populations ranging from almost normal and spanwise coordinates respectively and $h_0$ is half the nominal channel height, see figure 1. Periodic boundary conditions are enforced in both $x$ and $z$ directions, whilst at the walls no-slip conditions are applied. The bottom wall contains a bump that is described by the easily reproducible and differentiable equation (especially important for particle rebound) $y = a(1 + \cos((2\pi/c)(x - b)))$ where $a = 0.25$, $b = 3$, $c = 2$ and $x$ ranges from 2 to 4. The periodicity in the streamwise direction avoids artificial inflow/outflow boundary conditions and the period is chosen as large as possible, within computational limitations, to allow the analysis of an almost isolated bump, with definite flow reattachment and negligible streamwise correlation. The incoming flow accelerates at the channel restriction and a recirculating region forms behind the bump, starting downstream of the bump tip. An intense shear layer separates the recirculating region from the outer flow. Downstream of the bump, the flow re-attaches completely. The turbulence dynamics for such flows over a bump is discussed in detail in Refs.\[51,52\].

Direct numerical simulation (DNS) is used to solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $t$ is the time, $p$ is the hydrodynamic pressure and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity. Nek5000\[53\] which is based on the spectral element method (SEM)\[54\] is used to solve both the flow domain and the dispersed phase. The implemented algorithm for the computation of the particle dynamics is deeply described in subsection II B. The simulations are carried out at bulk Reynolds number $Re_0 = h_0 U_b / \nu = 10000$, where $U_b$ is the bulk velocity. All length scales are made dimensionless with the nominal channel half-height $h_0$, time with $h_0 / U_b$ and pressure with $\rho U_b^2$. The maximum friction Reynolds number, achieved close to the bump tip, is $Re_x = 900$, defined as $Re_x = u_\tau h_0 / \nu$, where the friction velocity is $u_\tau = \sqrt{\tau_w / \rho}$, $\tau_w$ is the local mean shear stress and $\rho$ is the constant fluid density. The simulation has been performed with about 400 million grid point on 32768 cores using approximately 30 million core hours, using spectral elements of order of $N = 11$. In this case the grid spacing, $\Delta x^+ = 6.5$, $\Delta z^+ = 7.0$ and $\Delta y^+_{\text{min/max}} = 9.5/0.9$, is adequate for the high fidelity description of all the flow scales. For detailed discussion about the resolution the reader can refer to section 2 of Ref. Mollicone et al.\[52\]. Approximately 500 statistically uncorrelated fields, separated by a time interval of $\Delta t_{\text{stat}} = 6$, have been collected for each simulation in order to obtain properly converging statistics. Defining the ‘flow-through time’, $t_{ft}$, as the time needed for a turbulent structure to travel all along the channel length, the simulation time is $T_{\text{sim}} = 3000 \approx 115 t_{ft}$, which makes sure that statistics converge.

II. SIMULATION SETUP

A. Fluid phase

The computational domain has dimensions $(L_x \times L_y \times L_z) = (26 \times 2 \times 2\pi) \times h_0$, where $x$, $y$, and $z$ are the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise coordinates respectively and $h_0$ is half the nominal channel height, see figure 1. Periodic boundary conditions are enforced in both $x$ and $z$ directions, whilst at the walls no-slip conditions are applied. The bottom wall contains a bump that is described by the easily reproducible and differentiable equation (especially important for particle rebound) $y = a(1 + \cos((2\pi/c)(x - b)))$ where $a = 0.25$, $b = 3$, $c = 2$ and $x$ ranges from 2 to 4. The periodicity in the streamwise direction avoids artificial inflow/outflow boundary conditions and the period is chosen as large as possible, within computational limitations, to allow the analysis of an almost isolated bump, with definite flow reattachment and negligible streamwise correlation. The incoming flow accelerates at the channel restriction and a recirculating region forms behind the bump, starting downstream of the bump tip. An intense shear layer separates the recirculating region from the outer flow. Downstream of the bump, the flow re-attaches completely. The turbulence dynamics for such flows over a bump is discussed in detail in Refs.\[51,52\].

Direct numerical simulation (DNS) is used to solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $t$ is the time, $p$ is the hydrodynamic pressure and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity. Nek5000\[53\] which is based on the spectral element method (SEM)\[54\] is used to solve both the flow domain and the dispersed phase. The implemented algorithm for the computation of the particle dynamics is deeply described in subsection II B. The simulations are carried out at bulk Reynolds number $Re_0 = h_0 U_b / \nu = 10000$, where $U_b$ is the bulk velocity. All length scales are made dimensionless with the nominal channel half-height $h_0$, time with $h_0 / U_b$ and pressure with $\rho U_b^2$. The maximum friction Reynolds number, achieved close to the bump tip, is $Re_x = 900$, defined as $Re_x = u_\tau h_0 / \nu$, where the friction velocity is $u_\tau = \sqrt{\tau_w / \rho}$, $\tau_w$ is the local mean shear stress and $\rho$ is the constant fluid density. The simulation has been performed with about 400 million grid point on 32768 cores using approximately 30 million core hours, using spectral elements of order of $N = 11$. In this case the grid spacing, $\Delta x^+ = 6.5$, $\Delta z^+ = 7.0$ and $\Delta y^+_{\text{min/max}} = 9.5/0.9$, is adequate for the high fidelity description of all the flow scales. For detailed discussion about the resolution the reader can refer to section 2 of Ref. Mollicone et al.\[52\]. Approximately 500 statistically uncorrelated fields, separated by a time interval of $\Delta t_{\text{stat}} = 6$, have been collected for each simulation in order to obtain properly converging statistics. Defining the ‘flow-through time’, $t_{ft}$, as the time needed for a turbulent structure to travel all along the channel length, the simulation time is $T_{\text{sim}} = 3000 \approx 115 t_{ft}$, which makes sure that statistics converge.

B. Solid phase

The solid phase is composed of spherical particles with radius smaller than the dissipative scale, the wall unit in our case. In a dilute suspension at low mass loading, the turbulence modulation due to the particles, the inter-particle collisions and the hydrodynamic interactions can be neglected. Under these conditions, the one-way coupling regime can be assumed and the Newton equation is forced by the Stokes drag together with the lift force which we intentionally include or omit to investigate its effect, namely

$$\frac{dx_p}{dt} = v_p \quad \frac{dv_p}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_p} \left( u_p |_{v_p} - v_p \right) + \beta \left( u_p |_{v_p} - v_p \right) \times \xi |_{v_p}$$

(2)

where $x_p$ is the particle position, $v_p$ is the particle velocity, $u_p$ is the fluid velocity at the particle position and $\tau_p =$
\((\rho_p/\rho_f) \left( d_p^2/18v \right)\) is the particle relaxation time, \(\rho_p/\rho_f = 1500\) is the particle to fluid density ratio, \(d_p\) is the particle diameter, the coefficient of the lift force is \(\beta = 1/\left(2(\rho_p/\rho_f)\right)\) and \(\zeta\) is the vorticity. The particles elastically bounce at the wall, where in correspondence of the bump the exact bouncing direction is evaluated by the analytical function of the wall profile. The dynamics of the particle in the one-way coupling regime is well described by the Stokes number, i.e. the ratio between the particle relaxation time and the fluid characteristic time scale. Two different Stokes numbers are defined, the references one, \(St_0 = \tau_p U_b/h_0\), and the viscous Stokes number, \(St_v = \tau_p u_t^2/v\). The two are related through the Reynolds number, \(St_0 = St_v Re_0/Re^2\).

Equations (2) are evolved for each single particle with a fourth order Adams-Bashforth method in time. A spectral interpolation, intrinsic to the Nek5000 code, is employed to evaluate the fluid variables at the particle position. Twenty different populations are evolved: ten different Stokes numbers \(St_0 = [0.1,1,2,5,10,50,100,200,400,600]\) each with and without the lift term. Ten million of particle are considered in the simulation. The huge number of particles is employed to obtain an adequate statistical convergence, since each single particle does not feel the presence of the other and does not modify the carrier flow. The effect of gravity is negligible for the particle population considered in the present study. In fact, the dimensionless terminal velocity, \(V_t = \tau_p g\), can be expressed in terms of the parameters as \(V_t/U_b = St_+ Re_0/(Fr_0 Re_f)^2\) where \(Fr_0 = U_b/\sqrt{gh_0}\) is the bulk Froude number. For the heaviest population at \(St_+ = 600\), it turns out that the ratio \(V_t/U_b\) is of the order of \(10^{-2}\).

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows instantaneous snapshots of the particles coloured with their instantaneous streamwise velocity for \(St_+ = [1,50,600]\). The whole computational domain is shown in the left panels whilst the area around the bump, in a view orthogonal to the \(x\)-\(y\) plane, is shown in the right panels. Unless otherwise stated, figures show the particles that have been evolved with the lift term included in equation (2). At low Stokes number, the particles distribute themselves evenly throughout the domain and have velocities comparable to the fluid velocity. The low particle velocity inside the recirculating region behind the bump contrasts the high particle velocity at the centre of the channel. On the other hand, at \(St_+ = 50\), the number of particles in the recirculating region is negligible. The particles move towards the upper part of the channel as they are projected upwards as they hit the bump. At higher Stokes number, the particles have a ballistic motion and after hitting the bump continue to bounce off the upper wall and therefore manage to enter the recirculating region. Particles that do not hit the bump continue straight in their trajectory, as shown by the particles above \(y = 0.5\) behind the bump.

The particle behaviour is discussed in detail by considering the mean particle concentration, \(C(x,y) = \langle n(x,y,z,t)/V(x,y,z) \rangle / \langle N_T/V_T \rangle\), where \(n(x,y,z,t)\) is the instantaneous local number of particles in a cell at \((x,y,z)\) position, \(V(x,y,z)\) is the corresponding cell volume, \(N_T\) is the total number of particles and \(V_T\) is the total domain volume. The angular brackets denote averaging in the homogeneous spanwise direction, \(z\), and in time. The normalisation by \(N_T/V_T\) represents the homogeneous particle concentration, i.e. the concentration value that would be obtained at any point if all the particles were equally distributed in all the domain. The data sets are taken after the system is statistically stationary and at time intervals larger than the correlation time. Six out of the total ten particle populations that have been simulated, \(St_+ = [1,2,5,10,50,600]\), will be presented since the populations that exhibit similar behaviour are omitted. The population at \(St_+ = 0.1\) is omitted since particles act as tracers and fill the whole domain homogeneously with no effect of the bump and only a slight accumulation at the walls. Figures 2 and 3 show the mean particle concentration as a coloured contour plot, with the latter zoomed on the region around the bump since it is the area of interest.

At \(St_+ = 1\), some particles segregate towards the channel walls whilst a homogenous concentration, indicated by the green colour, is present in most of the domain. The concentration increases at the bump wall, particularly in three locations: before the bump, just after the tip of the bump and after the bump. These locations coincide with three small recirculating bubbles that form in the fluid, see the zero velocity isoline in figure 3(a), that capture the particles. Figure 4 shows line plots of mean particle concentration at these locations, specifically at \(x = 2.0,3.1,4.0\). \(C = 1\) away from the walls for all three \(x\) positions, confirming the homogeneous distribution. The concentration increases to the order of 10 at the top wall and order 100 at the bottom wall, both before and after the bump, panels (a) and (c). In the latter, the particles manage to enter the recirculating region and the concentration only decreases slightly...
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FIG. 2. Mean particle concentration in the \((x,y)\) plane for \(St_+ = [1,2,5,50,200,600]\) in panels (a) to (f) respectively.

with respect to \(C = 1\) up to \(y \approx 0.6\). A more pronounced decrease, even though the particles are still clearly present, can be seen further behind the bump, but still in the recirculating region, shown by the cyan colour in figure 3(a). Just after the tip of the bump, the particles are affected by the shear layer forming in the fluid. Figure 2(b) shows the turbulent kinetic energy production \(\Pi = \langle u'_i u'_j \rangle (\partial \langle u_i \rangle / \partial x_j)\), where angular brackets and apices indicate the mean and the fluctuations, respectively. The high value of \(\Pi\) indicates the location and intensity of the shear layer. Figure 3 reports the wall normal profiles of the particle concentration at different streamwise position, in particular panel (b) refers to a streamwise position that traverses the shear layer. The plot shows the accumulation of particles at the bump wall, \(y \approx 0.49\), up to \(y \approx 0.53\). These are the particles that move up the bump wall and towards the tip, since they follow the fluid which is recirculating under the shear layer behind the bump, see the negative particle velocity in figure 1(b) and the region of negative fluid velocity in figure 3(a). As the shear layer is encountered, the concentration slightly drops below \(C = 1\) that is reached when moving further away from the shear layer and towards the center of the channel. For particles having this Stokes number, the effect of the lift is negligible.

At \(St_+ = 2\), see figure 2(b) and the zoom in figure 3(b), the particle behaviour is similar to the one for \(St_+ = 1\), except for the significant decrease in concentration away from the walls which is compensated by an increase at the walls. The concentration also decreases in the stream above the shear layer.
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FIG. 5. Panel (a): Mean streamwise velocity \( \langle u_x \rangle \). Panel (b): Mean turbulent kinetic energy production \( \Pi \). Black solid isoline shows \( \langle u_x \rangle = 0 \).

FIG. 6. Mean particle concentration for particles having \( St = 5 \) at \( x = [2.0, 3.25, 4.0] \) in panels (a) to (c) respectively. Blue circles and red squares show the particles evolved with and without the lift force respectively.

with respect to the previous Stokes number. The inertia of the particles increases with Stokes number and consequently less particles are capable of entering the recirculating region. The increased particle segregation towards the wall persists downstream of the bump where \( C \approx 0.5 \), and it is more intense than the lower Stokes number case.

When the Stokes number is increased to \( St = 5 \), figures 2(c) and 3(c), the particles segregate more towards the walls and have a low concentration (dark blue) in the rest of the domain. The main recirculating region behind the bump contains no particles (purple colour) except for concentrations of particles in the two, small, secondary recirculating bubbles at the walls (discussed for \( St = 1 \)). A high concentration of particles, in the order of a thousand times the homogeneous value, is present before the bump, at the wall (\( x \approx 2 \)). The concentration is high along the bump wall (left side) up to the bump’s tip, after which the particles are projected towards the centre of the channel by the flow and transported downstream. Figure 6 shows the mean particle concentration at \( x = [2.0, 3.25, 4.0] \). In general, a slight difference in concentration appears when the lift term is included or not, except for an evident deviation from the two values in the shear layer, see panel (b) at \( y \approx 0.55 \). The strong vorticity present in the shear layer, which influences the lift, is a direct responsible for this discrepancy. Panel (c) shows that the concentration is low in the recirculating region, \( y \leq 0.6 \), but nonetheless high at both walls, even if the bottom wall is underneath a region containing no particles. The particles must therefore reach this region from the edge of the recirculation where the flow reattaches and they are transported towards the left along the wall by reverse (upstream) flow.

At higher Stokes numbers, the particles’ inertia becomes dominant and therefore their response to the flow is minimal. Figures 2(d), (e) and (f), together with the corresponding panels in figure 3, show the concentration for \( St = [50, 200, 600] \). After hitting the bump and being projected upwards, the particles proceed towards the upper wall. At \( St = 50 \), the stream of particles still bends downwards towards the centre of the channel, since the mean flow has some effect on them since the characteristic time of the mean flow is comparable with the particle relaxation time which in turn is sensibly larger than the fluctuation characteristic time. At \( St = 200 \), the stream starts resembling a straight line and the rebound of particles at the upper wall starts to show. Once they rebound, \( x \approx 3.1 \), the flow re-directs them in the streamwise direction and the particles do not enter the recirculating region from above. This is not the case for the highest Stokes number, \( St = 600 \), since the particles are almost entirely inertial and, after bouncing off the top wall, proceed into the recirculating region and create a focused region of high concentration at \( x \approx 4 \) close to the bottom wall. The focusing is possible due to the particles hitting the rounded shape of the bump on its right-hand side. The particles then spread out back into the channel, depending on the angle at which they hit the bump which is a consequence of the angle at which they would have bounced off the top wall from the stream coming from the left-hand side of bump. As they initially hit the bump, the particles create a reflection layer, a term coined by [25], which resembles a shockwave since the population of particles act as a compressible phase. The particles segregate towards the upper part of the channel for these higher Stokes numbers. Apart from the particles that are projected upwards by the bump, another contribution to this segregation is due to the particles that travel at \( y > 0.5 \) and therefore continue in their trajectory without hitting the bump, with only a minimal effect of the turbulent fluctuations that are not able to re-distribute them into all the domain as with the particles at lower Stokes numbers.

Figure 7 shows to what extent the particle stream is affected by the mean flow or the turbulent flow at these higher Stokes numbers. Panels (a) and (b) show \( St = 200 \) and \( St = 600 \) respectively with the colour contour representing the mean particle concentration for the fully turbulent DNS simulation as in panels (c) and (f) in figure 3. The white iso-surface shows the particle concentration of a separate simulation when there is no coupling, i.e. the particle acceleration is zero and only the rebound from the solid walls is considered. Note that the white iso-contours are identical in both panels since the dynamics is independent of the Stokes number (no fluid interaction). The particles are given an initial streamwise velocity
and the ones travelling at $y < 0.5$ hit the bump and create a well-defined reflection layer that bounces off the top wall and then the right-hand side of the bump ($x \approx 3.3$). Concentration of particles close to the wall at $x \approx 4$ is still observed due to the other particles that bounce off the top wall, reflect along the back of the bump ($3.5 < x < 4.0$) and focus in this small region. The black iso-surface shows the particle concentration when they are coupled with only the mean flow (no fluctuations) obtained from the turbulent simulation. At $St_+ = 200$, the black iso-contour departs from the white one both after the particles are deflected by the bump and, most notably, after they bounce off the top wall, disappearing well above the recirculating region. This shows that the mean flow still affects the particles by changing the fluid direction and stops them from entering the recirculation. On the other hand, at $St_+ = 600$, the effect of the mean flow is less pronounced. After the deflection by the bump, the three contours are almost superimposed. After bouncing off the top wall the black iso-contour is slightly deflected but now manages to enter the recirculating region. The particles bounce off the bump wall and focus at $x \approx 4$, superimposing the white and coloured contours. The turbulent fluctuations therefore play an important role by deviating the particle stream or dispersing it. Nonetheless, in high Stokes number cases, considering only the mean flow for particle transport, the qualitative behaviour is well predicted.

The lift force plays a crucial role in determining the particle concentration at $St_+ = 50$, see figure 8(a). Away from the walls, $y > 0.5$, the lift is approximately half the one for particles with the lift and therefore the lift cannot be considered to be negligible, see panels (a) and (b) for plots taken at $x = 2.0$ and $x = 2.5$ respectively. This concentration difference is balanced by a surprising change in concentration at the walls. Panel (c) shows the detail in very close proximity of the wall at $x = 2.0$ as an example. The concentration for the particles with the lift force is hundreds of times lower than those with no lift force. Even though this only occurs in a small region close to the wall, the significant quantitative difference together with the importance of particle segregation at the wall confirms the importance of the lift force. This difference can be again attributed to vorticity which is strong in this region due to the presence of the bounding wall. The particles migrating towards the walls experience a negative slip velocity with respect to the fluid, $u_p - v_p < 0$ and a negative fluid vorticity at the bottom wall (positive at the top wall), producing a net lift force towards the center of the channel, which prevents the particle wall segregation.

The number of particles at the bottom wall under the recirculating region ($x \approx 4$) also decreases significantly compared to the lower Stokes numbers, see figure 8(a). The reverse flow on the right of the recirculating region is not able to capture as many particles as previously seen for the lower Stokes numbers since the particles’ inertia is now higher. When the Stokes increases to $St_+ = 200$, no particles are captured and the concentration at $y < 0.5$ is practically zero. On the other hand, at $St_+ = 600$, there is a concentration peak inside recirculating region due to the particles bouncing off the upper wall and focusing in this region, as discussed previously. The difference in particle concentration with and without the lift force disappears away from the walls as the Stokes number is increased but still persists at the top wall where particles with lift force have significantly less concentration.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

The effects of Stokes number and lift force have been analysed for particle-laden turbulent flow that separates due to the presence of a bump in a channel-like domain. A strong shear layer and a recirculating region are formed behind the bump, both affecting the particle dynamics. The Reynolds number is relatively high when considering similar geometries and the present multi-phase configuration has, to the best of our knowledge, never been simulated before.

A vast range of Stokes numbers are considered, simulated both with and without the lift force in the particle dynamic equation. The conclusion is that the lift force must not be neglected, since there are drastic changes in particle concentra-
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FIG. 9. Mean particle concentration at $x = 4$ for particles having $St^+ = [50, 200, 600]$ in panels (a) to (c) respectively. Blue circles and red squares show the particles evolved with and without the lift force respectively.

The particles behave as tracers at low Stokes numbers and closely follow the fluid phase. As the Stokes number is increased, the particles tend to segregate at the walls and do not enter the recirculating region behind the bump. Some particles are captured by the recirculation, forced upstream as they move close to the wall and transported back downstream as they encounter the strong shear layer formed by the bump. Secondary recirculating regions, one before the bump and two inside the primary recirculating region, manage to capture the particles. At the highest Stokes numbers, the particles’ inertia is high and their ballistic nature makes them bounce off the bump, top and bottom walls, creating reflection layers as they are only slightly affected by the fluid flow. This enables the particles to enter the recirculating region by bouncing off the back of the bump and creating a focused spot of high particle concentration.

Understanding particle-laden flows in presence of features such as a bump is important for engineering applications that concern geometries relatively more complex than classical flows such as straight pipes or planar channels. Such features may be intentional (such as for filtration or separation of particles) or unintentional (such as defects) and it is essential to comprehend how particles, that may have different Stokes numbers, behave in such particle-laden flows.
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