An operator derivation of the Feynman-Vernon theory, with applications to the generating function of bath energy changes and to anharmonic baths
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We present a derivation of the Feynman-Vernon approach to open quantum systems in the language of super-operators. We show that this gives a new and more direct derivation of the generating function of energy changes in a bath, or baths. This generating function is given by a Feynman-Vernon-like influence functional, with only time shifts in some of the kernels. We further show that the approach can be extended to anharmonic baths by an expansion in cumulants. Every non-zero cumulant of certain environment correlation functions thus gives a kernel in a higher-order term in the Feynman-Vernon action.
I. INTRODUCTION

When a quantum system interacts with an environment (open quantum system or OQS), the state of the system is influenced by the environment in a fundamental manner. Decoherence, for example, is a consequence of quantum entanglement between the system and the environment [1]. The understanding of effects on the system induced by the environments is essential to the quantum information technology [2] and quantum thermodynamics [3]. A direct inclusion of the environment in a first principle investigation is usually not practically feasible. On the other hand, the structure and details of a large environment can only partially be reflected in the dynamics of the system. In the super-operator approach going back to Nakajima and Zwanzig [4, 5] one starts from equations of motion (von Neumann-Liouville equations) of the total density matrix of the system and the environment, and projects that to an effective dynamics for the system density matrix [6–8]. In the alternative approach of Feynman and Vernon the influence of an environment on the system is expressed in terms of a influence functional [9]. The development of the reduced density matrix of the system is then given by a double path integral, where the influence functional couples the two paths. If used exactly, both approaches mathematically specify completely positive dynamic maps describing the evolution of the system. Once these are obtained, the system dynamics can be investigated without the knowledge of environment dynamics [7, 8].

Even after one has found a closed form expression of a dynamical map or the influence functional, calculating the time-evolution of the system using them is technically challenging. Tractable methods using various approximations have been developed. Quantum master equations (Lindblad equations) [10, 11] based on the Born-Markovian approximation are the most popular, and can be derived in both approaches [7, 12, 13]. Non-Markovian methods are also developed [14–16]. For the “spin-boson” problem of one two-state system (a qubit) interacting with a bosonic bath the noninteracting blip approximation (NIBA) was developed [17], and shown to be equivalent to relaxation after a polaron transform [18, 19]. Many exact numerical algorithms have also been developed, such as the hierarchical equation of motion (HEOM) [15, 20], the quasi-adiabatic propagator path integral (QuAPI) [21], and the Stochastic Liouvillian algorithm [22].

The thermodynamics of an OQS describes how a quantum system exchanges energy, particles and other quantities with one or several reservoirs. While in Quantum Markov dynamics the energy interchanged with a reservoir, which we call heat, can be expressed in terms of Lindblad operators acting on the system density matrix [23], in general that is not so. Nevertheless, it has recently been shown by several groups that the generating function of heat can be computed by the path integral technique in a Feynman-Vernon-like approach [24, 27]. In this formulation appears a new influence functional depending on the generating function parameters. However, the terms in this new influence functional are in fact the same as in Feynman-Vernon, with only a time shift in the argument in some of the kernels [25]. We will in this work derive the same result in the super-operator formalism where it emerges in a straight-forward manner without cancellations in intermediate steps of the calculation.

We will also show that the super-operator approach can be extended beyond ideal Bose gas. Cumulants of specific bath correlation functions (to be discussed below) then enter as kernels in higher-order order terms in the Feynman-Vernon action. For instance, a non-zero third-order bath correlation function gives the kernel of a third-order term in the Feynman-Vernon action. This result appears more difficult to obtain in the path integral formulation.

Several of the results in this paper can be found in the literature, but not in the context of current concerns in quantum thermodynamics, and/or not in a form easily assimilated in different communities. The super-operator expression for evolution operator of the reduced density matrix of the system (Eq. [9] below) is for instance given (in the Schrödinger picture) as Eq. (3.508) on page 187 in the monograph of Breuer and Petruccione [7]. Two recent contributions that use a similar plus/minus (left/right) representation of the super-operator as we do are [29] and [30]; the latter paper also extends the analysis beyond harmonic baths. Time shifts in kernels describing a statistics of heat appear in the theory of heat transport through a Josephson junction developed in [31], though in a particular setting, and for a partially classical model.

We present the equivalence of super-operator and Feynman-Vernon approach in a coherent manner through the generating function of heat as an example, and the extension to anharmonic baths. The paper is organized as follows. Section [I] contains a brief summary of the path integral and super-operator approaches to the development of the system density matrix, and Section [II] extends the discussion to generating function of heat. Section [IV] contains a systematic and general derivation of the super-operator approach, and Section [V] the extension to anharmonic baths. Section [VI] sums up and discussed the results. Appendix [A] defines the super-operator time-ordering used in the main body of the paper, and Appendix [B] contains the details of the pair correlation functions in harmonic baths. Appendix [C] shows the third- and fourth-order kernels in high-order influence functional that result from non-zero third-order and fourth-order cumulants in the bath.
II. THEORY OF OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS: A BRIEF SUMMARY

Consider a system S in contact with an environment B. Their Hamiltonians are denoted as $H_s$ and $H_B$, respectively and they are coupled through an interaction Hamiltonian $V_{SB}$. The whole system is assumed to be initially in a product state $\rho(t_i) = \rho_s(t_i) \otimes \rho_B(t_i)$ and evolves by a unitary transformation:

$$\rho(t) = U(t; t_i)\rho(t_i)U^\dagger(t; t_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\rho$ is the density operator of the whole system and $U(t; t_i) = e^{-iH(t-t_i)}$ is a usual time-evolution operator with the total Hamiltonian $H = H_s + H_B + V_{SB}$. Units of time are chosen such that $\hbar = 1$. The state of the system is defined as a reduced density $\rho_s = \text{tr}_B \rho(t)$ where $\text{tr}_B$ traces out the degrees of freedom of the environments. The theory of OQS seeks a completely positive operation $\mathcal{M}(t; t_i)$ (dynamical map, or quantum map) defined by \[11\]

$$\rho_s(t) = \text{tr}_B\{U(t; t_i)\rho(t_i)U^\dagger(t; t_i)\} = \mathcal{M}(t; t_i)\rho_s(t_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Alternatively, a quantum map can be considered as the given, and then one of the many possible couplings to an environment giving rise to same map after tracing out the environment is called an environmental representation of that map \[32\].

In either case, once the map is obtained, we can evaluate any quantity associated with the system. For example the transition probability from an initial pure state of the system $|i\rangle$ to a final pure state of the system $|f\rangle$ is given by

$$P_{if} = \text{tr}_s \{|f\rangle\langle f| \mathcal{M}(t_f, t_i) (|i\rangle\langle i|)\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In the path integral approach the dynamical map \[2\] is written in coordinate basis as

$$\rho_s(Q_f, \tilde{Q}_f; t) = \int \int dQ_i d\tilde{Q}_i \mathcal{M}(Q_f, \tilde{Q}_f; Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i; t_f, t_i)\rho_s(Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i, t_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$ are coordinates of the system at the initial time $t_i$ and final time $t_f$. Feynman and Vernon wrote $\mathcal{M}$ in a path integral form

$$\mathcal{M}(Q_f, \tilde{Q}_f; Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i; t_f, t_i) = \int \int \mathcal{D}Q \mathcal{D}\tilde{Q} e^{i\mathcal{S}[Q]} F(Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i) e^{-i\mathcal{S}[\tilde{Q}]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\mathcal{S}[Q]$ is the classical action of a system trajectory without interactions with the environment, and $Q(t)$ and $\tilde{Q}(t)$ are forward and time-reversed trajectories. The effects of the environment are fully included in the influence functional $F[Q, Q'; t_f, t_i]$ \[9\] \[33\]. General properties of influence functionals were discussed in \[9\], and we will return to those below.

Exact expressions can be obtained when the functional integrals over the environment variables can be done in closed form. In practice this means that integrals have to be Gaussian, which is the case when the environment is a Bose gas with Hamiltonian $H_B = \sum_k \left[p_k^2/2m_k + m_k\omega_k^2q_k^2/2\right]$ initially in Gibbs states $\rho_B(t_i) = e^{-\beta H_B}/Z_0$, and the coupling takes a bilinear form $V_{SB} = X_s \otimes (\sum_k c_k q_k)$ where $X_s$ is an operator of the system, and $c_k$ is the coupling strength. Such an environment is called a harmonic bath at inverse temperature $\beta$. We will later discuss the case when the environment consists of two or more harmonic baths, each with its own temperature. As already discussed in \[9\], the coupling constants $c_k$ may depend on $t_i$. While formally that only leads to a redefinition of the system operator $X_s$, in practice it is convenient to assume that $c_k$ vanishes at the very beginning and at the very end of the process \([34]\); as otherwise boundary terms lead to antinomies, well-known in the literature since some time \([35, 36]\).

Under the above assumptions the influence functional can be written in exponential form $F[Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i] = e^{i\Phi[Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i]}$ where

$$i\Phi[Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i] = i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds' \left[ (Q(s) - \tilde{Q}(s))(Q(s') + \tilde{Q}(s')) + \kappa_i(s-s') \right]$$

$$- \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds' \left[ (Q(s) - \tilde{Q}(s))(Q(s') - \tilde{Q}(s')) + \kappa_r(s-s') \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\Phi$ is known as the influence action or Feynman-Vernon action, and $\kappa_r(\tau)$ and $\kappa_i(\tau)$ are known as dissipation and noise kernel. These functions are

$$\kappa_i(\tau) = \sum_k \frac{c_k^2}{2m_k\omega_k} \sin \omega_k \tau \quad \kappa_r(\tau) = \sum_k \frac{c_k^2}{2m_k\omega_k} \coth \left( \frac{\omega_k\beta}{2} \right) \cos \omega_k \tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
In the super-operator approach one instead directly evaluates Eq. (1). Rewriting Eq. (1) using the Liouville operator \( \mathcal{L}(t) \bullet = -i [V_{ab}(t), \bullet] \) in the interaction picture, the map (in the interaction picture) can be written as

\[
\mathcal{M}(t_f, t_i) = \text{tr}_a \left\{ \mathcal{T} \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(s) \, ds \right] (I \otimes \rho_b(t_i)) \right\}
\]  

(8)

where \( \mathcal{T} \) is time-ordering super-operator which chronologically orders the super-operators (see Appendix A). The symbol \( \bullet \) in above and in the following is the “slot” on which the super-operator acts, and represents any operator, including density operator. Using Wick’s theorem, we find the map \( \mathcal{M}(t_f, t_i) = \mathcal{T} e^{i \Phi(t_f, t_i)} \) with super-operator

\[
i \Phi(t_f, t_i) = i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' \left[ (\mathcal{X}_s^+(s) + \mathcal{X}_s^-(s)) (\mathcal{X}_{s'}^+(s') - \mathcal{X}_{s'}^-(s')) \kappa_i(s - s') \right]
\]

\[- \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' (\mathcal{X}_s^+(s) + \mathcal{X}_s^-(s)) (\mathcal{X}_{s'}^+(s') + \mathcal{X}_{s'}^-(s')) \kappa_r(s - s') \]

(9)

where \( \mathcal{X}_s^+(t) = X_s(t) \) and \( \mathcal{X}_s^-(t) = -X_s(t) \) The two super-operators together can be expressed with commutator and anti-commutator as \( (\mathcal{X}_s^+ \pm \mathcal{X}_s^-) \bullet = [X_s, \bullet]_{\pm} \). The kernels \( \kappa_r \) and \( \kappa_i \) in (9) and (6) are the same as those in Eq. (6), and will be shown to be the equilibrium pair coorelation functions of the ideal Bose gas.

While the two methods use different mathematical objects, one with paths \( Q(t) \) and \( \tilde{Q}(t) \), and the other with super-operators \( \mathcal{X}^+_s(t) \) and \( \mathcal{X}^-_s(t) \), Eqs. (6) and (9) clearly show similarity. They are essentially the same if two quantities are replaced as \( Q(t) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}^+_s(t) \) and \( \tilde{Q}(t) \leftrightarrow -\mathcal{X}^-_s(t) \).

In both approaches, the degrees of freedom of the environment are all integrated out analytically. However, the path integral for the system coordinate still remains in Eq. (5). For the operator approach, we must apply the super-operator \( \mathcal{T} e^{i \Phi(t_f, t_i)} \) to the initial density. Neither operation is trivial but certain approximations or numerical methods have been developed [15]

Extension of these methods to a system interacting with multiple environments is straight-forward if the environments do not interact between themselves. Indeed, General property of influence functionals 2 of Feynman and Vernon states that “If a number of [environments] act on [the system] and if \( F_k \) is the influence of the \( k \)th [environment] alone, then the total influence of all [the environments] is given by the product of the individual influences” [9]. In the super-operator approach the same statement follows from the observation that if the Liouville operator is a sum, say \( \mathcal{L}(t) \bullet = -i[V_{ab}(t), \bullet] - i[V_{bc}(t), \bullet] \), and if the environment operators in \( V_{ab}(t) \) and \( V_{bc}(t) \) commute and act on parts of the environment that start in a product state (different baths), then the time ordering of environment operators in \( \mathcal{T} \) can be done separately.

III. GENERATING FUNCTION OF HEAT

Once the dynamical map is found, we know the state of the system precisely. However, the information on the state of environments is completely buried in the map. If we want to investigate any quantity associated with the environment, the knowledge of the system density alone is not enough. In order to make our story concrete, we consider a system interacting with a hot and a cold bath. Their Hamiltonians are denoted as \( H_h \) and \( H_c \), respectively, and the interaction Hamiltonians between the system and the baths are \( V_{sb} \) and \( V_{sc} \). The initial state of the whole system is assumed to be a product state \( \rho(t_i) = \rho_s(t_i) \otimes \rho_h(t_i) \otimes \rho_c(t_i) \) and the baths are at thermal equilibrium

\[
\rho_{\ell}(t_i) = \frac{1}{Z_{\ell}} \sum_n |E_{\ell}(n) \rangle \langle E_{\ell}(n)|, \quad \ell = h, c
\]

(10)

where \( Z_{\ell} \) is a partition function. \( E_{\ell}(n) \) and \( |E_{\ell}(n) \rangle \) are eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenket of \( H_{\ell} \). The system is initially in an arbitrary state \( \rho_s(t_i) = \sum_i \mu_i |i \rangle \langle i| \) where \( \mu_i \) and \( |i \rangle \) are eigenvalues and eigenkets of the density.

Now we want know the change in the energy of the cold bath, \( \Delta E_c \), over time period \( t_f - t_i \). The probability distribution of \( \Delta E_c \) may be written as

\[
P(\Delta E_c) = \sum_f \sum_i \mu_i P_f(\Delta E_c)
\]

(11)

where

\[
P_f(\Delta E_c; t_f) = \sum_{m,n,m',n'} | \langle f, m', n' | U(t_f, t_i) | i, m, n \rangle |^2 \delta(\Delta E_c - E_c(n') + E_c(n)) \frac{e^{-\beta_c E_c(n)} e^{-\beta_h E_h(n)} Z_h}{Z_c}
\]

(12)
\(\mu_i\) and \(|i\rangle\) are the eigenvalue and eigenket of \(\rho_s(t_i)\) and the final states \(|f\rangle\) can be any basis set.

The generating function of heat is the Fourier transform of parameter \(\nu\) of the probability distribution \(P(\Delta E_c; t_f)\) with respect to variable \(\Delta E_c\). One finds

\[
G(\nu; t_f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(q, t_f) e^{i\nu q} dq = \text{tr}_s \Gamma(\nu; t_f)
\]

where

\[
\Gamma(\nu, t_f) = \text{tr}_n \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu H_c} U(t_f, t_i) e^{-i\nu H_c} \rho(0) U^\dagger(t_f, t_i) \right\} .
\]

is an operator in the Hilbert space of the system. Direct comparison of Eqs (6) and (14) shows that \(\Gamma(\nu, t)\) is quite similar to \(\rho_s(t)\). In fact, when \(\nu = 0\), they coincide. The only difference is that one of the time evolution operators in Eq. (14) is rotated by \(e^{i\nu H_c}\).

The resemblance suggests that the generating function can be computed with the methods developed for OQS. Following the procedure discussed in the previous section, we first write \(\Gamma\) with a map as

\[
\Gamma(\nu, t_f) = \mathcal{M}(\nu; t_f, t_i) \rho_s(t_i).
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{M}(\nu; t_f, t_i) = \text{tr}_n \text{tr}_c \left\{ \left( I_s \otimes I_n \otimes e^{i\nu H_c} \right) \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L(s) \, ds \right] \left( I_s \otimes \rho_n(t_i) \otimes e^{-i\nu H_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right) \right\}
\]

\[
= \text{tr}_n \left\{ \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L_n(s) \, ds \right] I_s \otimes \rho_n(t_i) \right\} \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu H_c} \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L_c(s) \, ds \right] I_s \otimes e^{-i\nu H_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right\} .
\]

We generally assume that the system interacts separately with the hot and the cold bath, and thus the Liouville operator is split to two parts, \(L_x = -i[V_{ix}(t), \cdot], \ x = c, h\). If the system parts of the two operators (in the interaction picture) always commute the expression further factorizes into the product of the traces over the two baths separately.

The energy change associated with a particular transition from \(|i\rangle\) to \(|f\rangle\) can be expressed like the transition probability \(\mathcal{M}\):

\[
G_{if}(\nu, t) = \text{tr}_s \{ |f\rangle\langle f| \mathcal{M}(\nu; t_f, t_0) \langle i| \langle i| \}
\]

In order to use the path integral approach, we express Eq. (15) in the coordinate representation in the same way as Eq. (4),

\[
\Gamma(\nu; Q_f, \tilde{Q}_f; t_f, t_i) = \int \text{d}Q_i \text{d}\tilde{Q}_i \mathcal{M}(\nu; Q_f, \tilde{Q}_f; Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i; t_f, t_i) \rho_s(Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i, t_i).
\]

The map has been derived using the path integral for the baths of ideal Bose gases and expressed with a new influence functional \(F[\nu; Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i] = e^{i\Phi_n[Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i]} + i\Phi_h[\nu; Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i]\). For the hot bath, \(\Phi_n\) remains exactly the same as Eq. (6) but for the cold bath, \(\Phi_c\) is slightly changed to

\[
i\Phi_c[\nu; Q, \tilde{Q}; t_f, t_i] = -\int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' \left[ \kappa(r)(s') + \tilde{Q}(s') \tilde{Q}'(s') \right] + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' \left[ \kappa(r)(s') - \tilde{Q}(s') \tilde{Q}'(s') \right] + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} Q(s) \tilde{Q}(s') \left[ \kappa(r)(s' + \nu) + i\kappa_i(s' - \nu) \right].
\]

When \(\nu = 0\), Eq. (16) is back to Eq. (5). The time shift \(\nu\) in the cross correlation between forward and backward trajectories contains all information about \(\Delta E_c\). In the path integral approach (16) emerges from rather complicated intermediate results after cancellations and using properties of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions.
Based on the correspondence between the path integral method and the super operator method, we expect that the map defined in Eq. (16) is given by

$$i\Phi_c(\nu; t_f, t_i) = -\int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds' \left[ X^+(s)X^-(s') + X^-(s)X^+(s') \right] \kappa_r(s-s')$$

$$+ i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' \left[ X^+(s)X^-(s') - X^-(s)X^+(s') \right] \kappa_i(s-s')$$

$$- \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} ds' X^+(s)X^-(s') \left[ \kappa_r(s-s' + \nu) + i\kappa_i(s-s' + \nu) \right]$$  (13)

$$\Phi_b(t_f; t_i)$$ remains the same as Eq. (9). In the next section, we derive Eq. (15), and show that it appears more directly in the super-operator approach.

**IV. SUPER-OPERATOR APPROACH FOR THE GENERATING FUNCTION**

We derive Eq. (15) by evaluating the super-operator expression of map

$$\mathcal{M}_c(\nu; t_f, t_i) = \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu H_c} \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}_c(s) \, ds \right] I_s \otimes e^{-iH_b} \rho_c(t_i) \right\}.$$  (13)

For simplicity we have assumed that the system parts of the interaction Hamiltonians commute, which allow us to focus on the trace over the cold bath.

First we rewrite $H_b$ with creation and annihilation operators, $a_k^\dagger$ and $a_k$:

$$H_b = \sum_k \omega_k a_k^\dagger a_k$$  (13)

and the interaction Hamiltonian $V_c = X_s \otimes Y_c$ with

$$Y_c = \sum_k c_k^r (a_k^\dagger + a_k)$$  (13)

where $c_k^r = c_k / \sqrt{2m_\kappa}$ is coupling strength. The system part of the coupling $X_s$ is arbitrary.

Using the interaction picture, the Liouville super-operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_c(t) \bullet = -i [X_s(t) \otimes Y_b(t), \bullet] = -i \sum_{d=\pm} \left\{ X_d^c(t) \otimes Y_d^c(t) \right\} \bullet$$  (13)

where $X_s(t) = e^{iH_b(t-t_i)} X_s e^{-iH_b(t-t_i)}$ and $e^{iH_b(t-t_i)} Y_b e^{-iH_b(t-t_i)}$ and for mathematical convenience, we introduced the following super-operators

$$X_s^+ \bullet = X_s \bullet, \quad X_s^- \bullet = - \bullet X_s, \quad Y_c^+ \bullet = Y_c \bullet, \quad Y_c^- \bullet = \bullet Y_c.$$  (13)

Expanding the exponential function in Eq. (IV)

$$\mathcal{M}_c(\nu; t_f, t_i) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_n \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu H_c} \prod_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(t) \left( I_s \otimes e^{-iH_b} \rho_c(t_i) \right) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_n \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_n \sum_{d_1} \cdots \sum_{d_n} \prod_{t_i}^{t_f} \left( X_d^c(t_1) \cdots X_d^c(t_n) \right)$$

$$\times C^{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(\nu; t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$  (12)

where multi-time correlation functions of the environment are defined as

$$C^{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(t_1, \cdots, t_n) = \text{tr}_c \left[ \prod_{t_i}^{t_f} \left\{ e^{i\nu H_c} Y_{c_1}^{d_1}(t_1) \cdots Y_{c_n}^{d_n}(t_n) e^{-iH_b} \right\} \rho_c(t_1) \right]$$

$$= \left( \prod_{t_i}^{t_f} e^{i\nu H_c} Y_{c_1}^{d_1}(t_1) e^{-iH_b} \right) \left[ e^{i\nu H_c} Y_{c_1}^{d_1}(t_1) e^{-iH_b} \cdots e^{i\nu H_c} Y_{c_n}^{d_n}(t_n) e^{-iH_b} \right]_{t_i}$$  (12)
where \( \langle \cdots \rangle_t \) indicates expectation value \( \text{tr}_C \{ \cdots \rho_C(t) \} \). Since \( H_C \) is quadratic in \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \), all odd order correlation functions vanish. For the even order terms, we apply the Wick’s theorem for operators \( e^{i \nu H_C} a^{d1} (t) e^{-i \nu H_C} \)

\[
C^{d_1, \cdots, d_{2n}} (t_1, \cdots, t_{2n}) = \sum_{\text{all possible pairing}} \prod_{\text{all pairs}} C^{d_j, d_k} (t_j, t_k) \tag{12}
\]

where \( \sum_{\text{all pairing}} \) indicates the sum of all possible combinations of pairs. The map is now expressed with the pair correlation functions as

\[
\mathcal{M}_C (\nu; t_f, t_i) = \frac{T}{n!} \sum_{\text{all \( \nu \) combinations}} \left[ \int dt_1 \int dt_2 \sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \lambda^{d_1} (t_1) \lambda^{d_2} (t_2) C^{d_1, d_2} (\nu; t_1, t_2) \right]^n
\]

\[
= \mathcal{T} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_1 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt_2 \sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \lambda^{d_1} (t_1) \lambda^{d_2} (t_2) C^{d_1, d_2} (\nu; t_1, t_2) \right] \tag{13}
\]

The four pair correlation functions \( C^{++}, C^{+-}, C^{-+}, \) and \( C^{--} \) can be expressed with the standard pair correlation function \( \kappa (\tau) \) as shown in Fig. 1 (See Appendix 14) The correlation functions between the two times on the same branch are

\[
C^{++} (t_1, t_2) = \kappa_{+} (\tau) - i \text{sign} (\tau) \kappa_{i} (\tau), \quad C^{--} (t_1, t_2) = \kappa_{-} (\tau) + i \text{sign} (\tau) \kappa_{i} (\tau) \tag{14}
\]

where \( \tau = t_1 - t_2 \). The cross correlation functions are

\[
C^{+-} (t_1, t_2) = \kappa_{+} (\tau + \nu) + i \kappa_{i} (\tau + \nu) \quad C^{-+} (t_1, t_2) = \kappa_{-} (\tau - \nu) - i \kappa_{i} (\tau - \nu) \tag{14}
\]

Substituting these correlation functions into (12) we obtain Eq. (15). Note that only the difference between the map for \( \rho \) derived and discussed in Section 14 and the map for the generating function is the cross correlations.

V. ANHARMONIC BATHS AND CLUSTER EXPANSIONS

A second advantage of the super-operator formulation is in the derivation of corrections to the Feynman-Vernon theory. The starting point is then the dynamical map (7) with the multi-time correlation functions of the environment (15), but without assuming Wick’s theorem. The outcome will be that multi-time cumulants of the environment (discussed below) translate into kernels of higher-than-quadratic contributions to the Feynman-Vernon action.

For ordinary operator correlation functions, successive orders of cumulants are defined inductively as

\[
G_1 (t_1) = C (t_1)
\]

\[
G_2 (t_1, t_2) = C (t_1, t_2) - G_1 (t_1) G_1 (t_2)
\]

\[
G_3 (t_1, t_2, t_3) = C (t_1, t_2, t_3) - G_1 (t_1) G_1 (t_2) G_1 (t_3) - G_1 (t_1) G_2 (t_2, t_3) - G_1 (t_1) G_1 (t_2) G_2 (t_1, t_3) + G_1 (t_2) G_2 (t_1, t_3) - G_1 (t_3) G_2 (t_1, t_2)
\]

\[\vdots\]
Owing to the time-ordering super-operator $\mathcal{T}$ and indexes $d_j, C^{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ defined in Eq. (13) behaves like an ordinary multi-time correlation function and the relations (9) hold. Hence,

$$C^{d_1, \ldots, d_N}(t_1, \ldots, t_N) = \sum_{\text{all possible groupings}} \prod_{\text{groups of one time}} C_1^{d_1}(t_1) \prod_{\text{groups of two times}} G_2^{d_1, d_2}(t_2, t_3) \cdots$$

(10)

where $N$ can be even or odd. The first order cumulant $(G_1^d)$ can be set to zero by a shift. The first non-trivial cumulant is then

$$G_4^{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = C^{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) - C^{d_1, d_2}(t_1, t_2)C^{d_3, d_4}(t_3, t_4) - C^{d_1, d_3}(t_1, t_3)C^{d_2, d_4}(t_2, t_4)$$

(10)

where we have retained the super-operator notation on the right-hand side. For a bath that satisfies Wick’s theorem, this cumulant and all others beyond $G_2^{d_1, d_2}$ vanish.

The second step is to count the number of groupings in (10) with $n_1$ groups of one element, $n_2$ groups of two elements (pairs), $n_3$ groups of three elements, etc. There are $\frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!n_3!\cdots}$ such groupings. The correlation functions appear inside the time integral and index sums in (14) and the indices and time variables can therefore be renamed in any way. Each grouping of the same type (same $n_1, n_2, \ldots$) hence contributes the same, and the quantum map can be summed in an analogous way to Section IV.

Introducing $Q(t)$ as the coordinate representation of $X^+_\alpha(t)$ and $\tilde{Q}(t)$ the coordinate representation of $X^-_\alpha(t)$ one can show that the contribution to the Feynman-Vernon action from $n$ number of $X$ and $m$ number of $Y$ is

$$S^{(0,m)} = (-i)^n (i)^m \int_{t_0}^t ds_1 \int_{s_0}^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t du_1 \int_{t_0}^{u_1} du_2 \cdots Q(s_1)Q(s_2)\cdots Q(s_n)$$

(10)

$$\dot{Q}(u_1)\dot{Q}(u_2)\cdots \dot{Q}(u_m)G_{n+m}(u_m, \ldots, u_1, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$$

where the last term is the cumulant of the operator correlation function with the times ordered as required in the super-operator cumulant. One can further sum all contributions of the same order and express them in terms of time-ordered sums $\zeta_+(t) = Q(t) + \tilde{Q}(t)$ and differences $\zeta_-(t) = Q(t) - \tilde{Q}(t)$. The most important general result one can find this way is for the largest time, the dependence in only through the difference $\zeta_-(t)$ as also follows from Feynman and Vernon’s General property of influence functionals 5 9. Ultimately this is a consequence of the super-operator correlation function $C^{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ being independent of the symbol connected to the largest time. Otherwise the general expressions are somewhat unwieldy, and we will here only quote the result to third order

$$\sum_{n+m=3} S^{(n,m)} = \frac{i}{4} \int_{t_0}^t ds \zeta_-(s)$$

$$\times \int_{t_0}^s du \int_{t_0}^u dv \left( \zeta_+(u)\zeta_+(v)A + \zeta_+(u)\zeta_-(v)B + \zeta_-(u)\zeta_+(v)C + \zeta_-(u)\zeta_-(v)D \right)$$

(10)

where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are combinations of third order bath correlation functions given in Appendix C.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have compared the path integral and super-operator approaches to the theory of open quantum system (OQS). We have pointed out that both approaches lead to equivalent descriptions of a system interacting with one or several harmonic oscillator baths, but that the routes to the result are qualitatively different. In the super-operator approach the kernels in the description are found to be certain pair correlation functions of the bath (or baths), and the main assumption is Wick’s theorem, reducing any correlation function to sums of products of pair correlation functions. In the path integral approach, the result on the hand follow from integrating over the initial and final points of the propagator of an harmonic oscillator (one of the degrees of freedom of the bath) acted upon by a linear drive (a linear interaction with the system), and after a fair amount of cancellation.

We have here shown that same holds for the generating function of heat: both approaches give the same result, but the super-operator approach is more direct. In particular, the fact that the generating function of heat can be expressed with the same kernels as for the system density matrix (Feynman-Vernon theory), with only a time shift in the terms mixing the forward and time-revered paths, follows in a more straight-forward manner in the super-operator approach.
We have also shown that the super-operator approach extends in a natural way to interactions with environments where Wick’s theorem does not hold. Cumulants of correlation functions of the environment, which vanish when Wick’s theorem holds, hence translate to kernels in higher-order terms in the Feynman-Vernon action. In the text we have discussed that the resulting higher-order theory of the influence functional satisfies the general properties stated by Feynman and Vernon. Considerations of when the higher-order terms are comparable or more important than the Feynman-Vernon terms are left for future work.

We end by summarize the assumptions that go and do not go into the higher-order theory. First, we assume that the system and the environment start out in a product state. Second, we assume that it is possible to write the system-environment interaction as $V = \sum_k X^k_s \otimes B_k^b$, where $X^k_s$ and $B_k^b$ are operators on respectively the system and the environment, and where all the $B_k^b$ commute. Third, we assume that the initial state of the environment is a product state compatible with the interaction. By the latter we mean that if the full environment Hilbert space factorizes as as $\rho = \rho_1^s \otimes \rho_2^b \cdots$ where $\rho_i^s$ is a unit trace positive Hermitian operator on $H_i^s$, then the initial environment density matrix factorizes as as $\rho_0 = \rho_1^s \otimes \rho_2^b \cdots$ where $\rho_0^s$ is a unit trace positive Hermitian operator on $H_0^s$. One class of models that fulfill the above is when the system interacts with one or several baths which start out independent, and which do not interact between themselves. In the other direction, in each bath the environmental degrees of freedom can be either Bosonic or Fermionic (or both), and the Hamiltonians can be arbitrary. The initial state of each bath does not even have to be in equilibrium. We suspect that such a general-looking result will find applications also outside the current realm of theory of open quantum system.
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Appendix A: Unitary time evolution of a density operator and time-ordering super-operator

We consider first unitary time-evolution of a ket $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and a bra $\langle\psi(t)|$ under a Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + V$ where $H_0$ is an unperturbed Hamiltonian and $V$ a perturbation. Using the interaction picture $V(t) = e^{iH_0t}Ve^{-iH_0t}$ the time evolution of the ket and bra can be expressed with a time evolution operator.

$$
|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t, t_i) |\psi(t_i)\rangle, \quad \langle\psi(t)| = \langle\psi(t_i)| U^\dagger(t, t_i)
$$

where the forward and backward evolution operators are defined by

$$
U(t, t_i) = \hat{T} \exp \left[ -i \int_{t_i}^t V(s) \, ds \right]
$$

$$
U^\dagger(t, t_i) = \hat{T}^\dagger \exp \left[ i \int_{t_i}^t V(s) \, ds \right]
$$

where $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{T}^\dagger$ are chronological and anti-chronological time ordering operator.

The evolution of a density operator involves both forward and backward evolution operators as

$$
\rho(t) = U(t, t_i) \rho(t_i) U^\dagger(t, t_i).
$$

Managing the order of operators is a bit complicated due to the presence of two evolutions. There is a simpler expression using the time line shown in Fig. 2. We note that the evolution of the density operator is determined by the Liouville-von Neumann equation

$$
\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{L}(t) \rho(t)
$$

where the Liouville super-operator is defined by $\mathcal{L}(t) = -i[V(t), \cdot]$. Then,

$$
\rho(t) = \hat{T} \exp \left[ \int_{t_i}^t \mathcal{L}(s) \, ds \right] \rho(t_i)
$$
FIG. 2. Time evolution of density operator, the operators $\tilde{V}(s)$ are ordered along the time line from $t$ to $t_i$ (anti-chronological order) and then from $t_i$ to $t$ (chronological order). This particular diagram shows the case of $V(s_2)V(s_1)\rho(t_i)V(s'_1)V(s'_2)$.

where the time-ordering super-operator $\mathcal{T}$ orders super-operators such as $\mathcal{L}(t)$ chronologically. It automatically orders regular operators along the time line shown in Fig. 2 As an example, consider $t_1 > t_2$,

$$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}(t_2)\mathcal{L}(t_1)\rho(t_i) = \mathcal{L}(t_1)\mathcal{L}(t_2)\rho(t_i) = V(t_1)V(t_2)\rho(t_i) - V(t_1)\rho(t_i)V(t_2) - V(t_2)\rho(t_i)V(t_1) + \rho(t_i)V(t_2)V(t_1)$$

which automatically orders $V(t)$ chronologically if it is on the left of $\rho(t_i)$ and anti-chronologically on the right.

Appendix B: Pair correlation functions

Now, we evaluate the four pair correlation functions $C^{++}$, $C^{+-}$, $C^{-+}$, and $C^{--}$ and express them with an ordinary correlation function

$$C(t_1, t_2) = \langle Y_c(t_1)Y_c(t_2)\rho_c(t_i) \rangle = \kappa_r(t_1 - t_2) - i\kappa_i(t_1 - t_2)$$

where $\kappa_r(\tau)$ and $\kappa_i(\tau)$ are shown in Eq. [7].

For the diagonal ones, we find the exactly the same correlation functions as those in the influential function as follows:

$$C^{++}(t_1, t_2) = \text{tr}_c \left\{ \mathcal{T} e^{i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} Y_c^+(t_1) Y_c^+(t_2) e^{-i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} Y_c(t_1) Y_c(t_2) e^{-i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right\} & t_1 > t_2 \\ \text{tr}_c \left\{ e^{i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} Y_c(t_2) Y_c(t_1) e^{-i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right\} & t_1 < t_2 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle Y_c(t_1)Y_c(t_2) \rangle = C(t_1, t_2) & t_1 > t_2 \\ \langle Y_c(t_2)Y_c(t_1) \rangle = C^*(t_1, t_2) & t_1 < t_2 \end{cases}$$

$$C^{--}(t_1, t_2) = \text{tr}_c \left\{ \mathcal{T} e^{i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} Y_c^{<}(t_1) Y_c^{<}(t_2) e^{-i\nu \mathcal{H}_c} \rho_c(t_i) \right\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \text{tr}_c \left\{ \rho_c(t_1) Y_c(t_2) Y_c(t_1) \right\} & t_1 > t_2 \\ \text{tr}_c \left\{ \rho_c(t_2) Y_c(t_1) Y_c(t_2) \right\} & t_1 < t_2 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle Y_c(t_2)Y_c(t_1) \rangle = C(t_1, t_2)^* & t_1 > t_2 \\ \langle Y_c(t_1)Y_c(t_2) \rangle = C(t_1, t_2) & t_1 < t_2 \end{cases}$$

where we used $[e^{i\nu \mathcal{H}_c}, \rho_c(t_i)] = 0$. A standard correlation function $C(t_1, t_2) = \langle Y_b(t_1)Y_b(t_2) \rangle$ and its complex conjugate $C^*(t_1, t_2) = \langle Y_b(t_2)Y_b(t_1) \rangle$ are used in the final expression. Notice that these two correlation functions are exactly the same as ones in the influence functional.
However, the off-diagonal ones are different.

\[
C^+(t_1, t_2) = \text{tr}_c \left\{ N \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(-i)^N}{N!} \sum_{n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + \ldots = N} \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_1 \ldots \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_N \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{N!}{n_1!n_2!(2!)^{n_2}n_3!(3!)^{n_3} \ldots} \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_1 \ldots \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_N \]

\[
\sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \ldots \sum_{d_N} \{ \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \ldots \chi^{d_N}_s(t_N) \} \prod_{i} G_{1}^{d_1}(t_i) \prod_{j} G_{2}^{d_2}(t_i, t_j) \ldots
\]

\[
= \mathcal{F} \exp \left( \frac{(-i)^2}{2!} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt_2 \sum_{d_1, d_2} G_{2}^{d_1, d_2}(t_1, t_2) \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \chi^{d_2}_s(t_2)
\right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \frac{(-i)^3}{3!} \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_2 \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_3 \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3} G_{3}^{d_1, d_2, d_3}(t_1, t_2, t_3) \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \chi^{d_2}_s(t_2) \chi^{d_3}_s(t_3) \right) \]  

\[ \text{(B-4)} \]

where time on the chronological branch shifts by \( \nu \).

**Appendix C: Time-ordered cumulant expansion**

The starting point is an expansion analogous to [12] but using the cumulant expansion [10] instead of Wick’s theorem. Both even and odd terms may appear. We can consider interchanges within one group, say

\[
G_{3}^{d_1, d_2, d_3}(t_1, t_2, t_3)G_{3}^{d_4, d_5, d_6}(t_4, t_5, t_6)
\]

with \( G_{3}^{d_1, d_2, d_3}(t_1, t_2, t_4)G_{3}^{d_4, d_5, d_6}(t_3, t_5, t_6) \), which will contribute the same. This means that the quantum map can now be simplified to

\[
\mathcal{M}(t_f; t_0) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(-i)^N}{N!} \sum_{n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + \ldots = N} \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_1 \ldots \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_N \right)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{d_1} \sum_{d_2} \ldots \sum_{d_N} \{ \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \ldots \chi^{d_N}_s(t_N) \} \prod_{i} G_{1}^{d_1}(t_i) \prod_{j} G_{2}^{d_2}(t_i, t_j) \ldots
\]

\[
= \mathcal{F} \exp \left( \frac{(-i)^2}{2!} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt_2 \sum_{d_1, d_2} G_{2}^{d_1, d_2}(t_1, t_2) \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \chi^{d_2}_s(t_2)
\right)
\]

\[
+ \left. \frac{(-i)^3}{3!} \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_2 \int_{t_1}^{t_f} dt_3 \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3} G_{3}^{d_1, d_2, d_3}(t_1, t_2, t_3) \chi^{d_1}_s(t_1) \chi^{d_2}_s(t_2) \chi^{d_3}_s(t_3) \right) \]  

\[ \text{(C-3)} \]

In the last equation we have used that the operators have zero mean, \( G_1 = 0 \). The second order term is the standard Feynman-Vernon expansion as evaluated above.

The third term can be evaluated as follows. The cumulants with the same indexes \( G^{++}_3 \) and \( G^{--}_3 \) remain the same when the times are permuted. This can be done in 3! different ways. If only two of the indexes \( d_i \) and \( d_j \) are the same, e.g., \( G^{++}_3 \), give a factor two if permuted, and this can be done in three different ways. Furthermore \( G^{++}_3(t_1, t_2, t_3) \) equals \( C(t_3, t_1, t_2) \) if \( t_1 > t_2 \) and \( C(t_3, t_2, t_1) \) if \( t_1 < t_2 \) where \( C \) is the operator correlation function, and similarly for the other cases. Introducing for convenience \( Q_t \) the coordinate representation of \( \chi^{+}_s(t) \) and \( \tilde{Q}_t \), the coordinate representation of \( \chi^{-}_s(t) \), and \( S^{(n,m)} \) for the terms with \( n \) number of \( Q \) and \( m \) number of \( \tilde{Q} \), the sum of all terms to third order is thus

\[
\sum_{n+m=3} S^{(n,m)} = i \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_1}^{t_s} du \int_{t_1}^{u} dv Q(s)Q(u)Q(v)C(s, u, v) - \tilde{Q}(s)\tilde{Q}(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(v, u, s) \right)
\]

\[
+ i \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_1}^{t_s} du \int_{t_1}^{u} dv (\tilde{Q}(s)\tilde{Q}(u)Q(v)C(u, s, v) - Q(s)Q(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(v, s, u) \right) \]  

\[ \text{(C-3)} \]

A similar argument can be made for a term of order \( N \). There are \( \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} \) ways to select \( n \) indexes to be +, and \( N - n \) indexes to be −. By the time ordering the cumulant \( G_N \) give the same if the times in the two groups are
permuted within themselves which can be done in \( n! \times (N-n)! \) ways. The contribution from \( n \) forward paths (\( Q \)) and \( m \) backward paths (\( \tilde{Q} \)) is thus \( n \) time-ordered and \( m \) reverse time-ordered integrals multiplying the corresponding correlation function, which is \( (11) \) in main text.

Equ. \( (C-2) \) can be analyzed further by considering in the mixed terms the three ranges of \( v \): less than \( u \); between \( u \) and \( s \), and larger than \( s \). Renaming the variables so that times are always ordered \( s > u > v \) this gives

\[
\sum_{n+m=3} S^{(n,m)} = i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \int_{t_i}^{s} du \int_{t_i}^{u} dv \left( Q(s)Q(u)Q(v)C(s,u,v) - Q(s)Q(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(s,u,v) \right.
\]

\[
- Q(s)\tilde{Q}(u)Q(v)C(u,s,v) + \tilde{Q}(s)Q(u)Q(v)C(u,s,v) + \tilde{Q}(s)\tilde{Q}(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(v,u,s) \left. \right) \quad (C-4)
\]

Collecting terms with the same last entries one sees that this is

\[
\sum_{n+m=3} S^{(n,m)} = i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \left( Q(s) - \tilde{Q}(s) \right) \int_{t_i}^{s} du \int_{t_i}^{u} dv \left( Q(u)Q(v)C(s,u,v) - Q(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(s,u,v) - \tilde{Q}(u)Q(v)C(u,s,v) + \tilde{Q}(u)\tilde{Q}(v)C(u,s,v) \right) \quad (C-4)
\]

The third-order terms hence satisfy the general property of the Feynman-Vernon action that if \( Q(s) = \tilde{Q}(s) \) for all \( s \) greater than \( \tau \), then the action does not depend on \( Q(s) \) or \( \tilde{Q}(s) \) for \( s > \tau \). This conclusion also holds more generally: starting from \( (11) \) in main text one can first insert \( u_1 \) in any of the intervals \([t_f; s_1], [s_1; s_2], \ldots, [s_n; t_i]\), then \( u_2 \) in the same interval as \( u_1 \) or any one further down the list, and so on. Each such insertion can be identified by a sequence \( Z_{\tau_1}, Z_{\tau_2}, \ldots, Z_{\tau_N} \) where each symbol is \( Q \) or \( \tilde{Q} \), and the times are ordered \( \tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_N \). Consider now two cases that only differ by the symbol \( Z_{\tau_1} \). The first case has \( n \) symbols \( Q \) and \( N-n \) symbols \( \tilde{Q} \) \((0 < n \leq N)\), and arises from inserting \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{N-n})\) in \([s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n]\) such that \( u_1 \) falls in one of the intervals \([s_1; s_2], \ldots, [s_n; t_0]\). The second case has on the other hand \( n-1 \) symbols \( Q \) and \( N-n+1 \) symbols \( \tilde{Q} \) \((0 \leq n-1 < N)\) and arises from inserting \((u', u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{N-n})\) in \([s_2, \ldots, s_n]\) such that \( u' = s_1 \) and \( u_1, u_2, \ldots \) fall as in the first case. The corresponding cumulant is in both cases \( G_N(u_{N-n}, u_1, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) \) which does not depend on the symbol of the largest time \((u' = s_1)\). Each such combination is therefore proportional to \( Q(s_1) - \tilde{Q}(s_1) \).

If written in terms of the \( \zeta_+(s) = Q(s) + \tilde{Q}(s) \) and \( \zeta_-(s) = Q(s) - \tilde{Q}(s) \), Eq. \( (C-3) \) can further be expressed as

\[
\sum_{n+m=3} S^{(n,m)} = i \frac{1}{4} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \zeta_-(s) \int_{t_i}^{s} du \int_{t_i}^{u} dv \left( \zeta_+(u)\zeta_+(v)A + \zeta_+(u)\zeta_-(v)B + \zeta_-(u)\zeta_+(v)C + \zeta_-(u)\zeta_-(v)D \right) \quad (C-4)
\]

where the combined amplitudes can be written out as

\[
A = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)Y_B(v) - Y_B(u)\rho Y_B(v) - Y_B(v)\rho Y_B(u) + \rho Y_B(u)Y_B(v) \right) \right] \quad (C-3)
\]

\[
B = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)Y_B(v)\rho + Y_B(u)\rho Y_B(v) - Y_B(v)\rho Y_B(u) - \rho Y_B(u)Y_B(v) \right) \right] \quad (C-2)
\]

\[
C = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)Y_B(v)\rho - Y_B(u)\rho Y_B(v) + Y_B(v)\rho Y_B(u) - \rho Y_B(u)Y_B(v) \right) \right] \quad (C-1)
\]

\[
D = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)Y_B(v) + Y_B(u)\rho Y_B(v) + Y_B(v)\rho Y_B(u) + \rho Y_B(u)Y_B(v) \right) \right] \quad (C0)
\]

By comparison, the standard Feynman-Vernon action can be written in a similar way as

\[
\sum_{n+m=2} S^{(n,m)} = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \zeta_-(s) \int_{t_i}^{s} du \left( \zeta_+(u)A' + \zeta_-(u)B' \right) \quad (C1)
\]

where

\[
A' = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)\rho - \rho Y_B(u) \right) \right] \quad (C2)
\]

\[
B' = \text{tr}_B \left[ Y_B(s) \left( Y_B(u)\rho + \rho Y_B(u) \right) \right] \quad (C3)
\]
The contributions from the fourth order cumulants are analogously found to be

$$
\sum_{n+m=4} S^{(n,m)} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \left( Q(s) - \bar{Q}(s) \right) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} du \int_{u}^{w} dv \int_{t_i}^{u} dw \left( Q(u)Q(v)Q(w)G_4(s, u, v, w) - Q(u)\bar{Q}(v)Q(w)G_4(s, u, v, w) - Q(u)Q(v)\bar{Q}(w)G_4(s, u, v, w) + Q(u)Q(v)Q(w)\bar{Q}(s)G_4(s, u, v, w) \right)
$$

which can be re-written

$$
\sum_{n+m=4} S^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{8} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \zeta_-(s) \int_{t_i}^{t_f} du \int_{u}^{t_0} dv \int_{t_0}^{v} dw \sum_{pqr=\pm} \zeta_p(s)\zeta_q(v)\zeta_r(w)A_{pqrs}(s, u, v, w) \tag{C0}
$$

and

$$
A_{++} = G_4(s, u, v, w) - G_4(s, u, w, v) - G_4(v, u, w, s) - G_4(v, u, s, w) + G_4(s, u, v, w) + G_4(s, u, w, v) + G_4(v, u, w, s) + G_4(v, u, s, w) + G_4(s, v, w, u) + G_4(s, v, u, w) + G_4(u, v, w, s) + G_4(u, v, s, w) + G_4(u, s, v, w) + G_4(u, s, w, v) + G_4(s, v, w, u) + G_4(s, w, v, u) + G_4(v, s, w, u) + G_4(v, w, s, u) + G_4(w, s, u, v) + G_4(w, s, v, u) + G_4(w, u, s, v) + G_4(w, u, v, s) + G_4(w, v, u, s) + G_4(w, v, s, u) + G_4(u, s, v, w) + G_4(u, s, w, v) + G_4(u, w, s, v) + G_4(u, w, v, s) + G_4(v, s, u, w) + G_4(v, s, w, u) + G_4(v, w, s, u) + G_4(v, w, u, s) + G_4(s, v, u, w) + G_4(s, v, w, u) + G_4(s, w, u, v) + G_4(s, w, v, u) + G_4(u, v, s, w) + G_4(u, v, w, s) + G_4(u, w, v, s) + G_4(u, w, s, v) + G_4(v, u, s, w) + G_4(v, u, w, s) + G_4(v, w, s, u) + G_4(v, w, u, s) + G_4(s, u, v, w) + G_4(s, u, w, v) + G_4(s, v, u, w) + G_4(s, v, w, u) + G_4(u, s, v, w) + G_4(u, s, w, v) + G_4(u, v, s, w) + G_4(u, v, w, s) + G_4(v, u, s, w) + G_4(v, u, w, s) + G_4(v, s, u, w) + G_4(v, s, w, u) + G_4(s, u, v, w) + G_4(s, u, w, v) + G_4(s, v, u, w) + G_4(s, v, w, u) + G_4(u, s, v, w) + G_4(u, s, w, v) + G_4(u, v, s, w) + G_4(u, v, w, s) + G_4(v, u, s, w) + G_4(v, u, w, s) + G_4(v, s, u, w) + G_4(v, s, w, u) + G_4(s, u, v, w) + G_4(s, u, w, v)
$$