CHARACTERIZING GIRY ALGEBRAS AS COSEPARABLE SUPER CONVEX SPACES

KIRK STURTZ

Abstract. We investigate the category of EilenbergMoore algebras for the Giry monad defined on the category of measurable spaces using super convex spaces. The category of super convex spaces has a subcategory consisting of the one point extension of the real line, and the truncated Yoneda embedding arising from the full subcategory with that one object is full, although it is not faithful. By restricting to those super convex spaces which are coseparable by the one point extension of the real line, $\mathbb{R}_{\infty}$, the truncated Yoneda embedding is full and faithful. This permits the construction of a barycenter map used to factorize the Giry monad, and obtain an equivalence of categories.
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1. Introduction

The category of Giry-algebras, $\mathcal{G}$-algebras, arising from the Giry monad $(\mathcal{G}, \eta, \mu)$ defined on the category of measurable spaces, $\text{Meas}$, is fundamental to the understanding of probability theory, as the monad $\mathcal{G}$ captures the algebraic content of probability measures. Yet, the characterization of Giry algebras by a category equivalent to that obtained from the Eilenberg-Moore factorization, has yet to be addressed. Doberkat\cite{5,6} has given a characterization for the monad of probability measures on Polish spaces with continuous maps. The algebras on these Polish spaces are referred to as $\mathcal{P}$-algebras. The shortcoming of $\mathcal{P}$-algebras is that there are no algebras on discrete Polish spaces due to a lack of continuity associated with discrete spaces. Such spaces, $A$, when viewed as discrete convex spaces are defined by the property that for every pair of elements $a_1, a_2 \in A$, and for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the affine sum $(1-\alpha)a_1 + \alpha a_2$, is either $a_1$ or $a_2$. This inability to treat discrete
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and continuous (geometric) spaces in a uniform manner is a serious drawback in applications where discrete and continuous processes arise. Indeed, the simplest case of a \( G \)-algebra is given by a measurable map \( G(2) \to 2 \) which the theory of \( \mathcal{P} \)-algebras cannot address.

In this article, we show, under the hypothesis that no measurable cardinals exists, that a subcategory of the category of super convex spaces, \( \text{SCvx} \), is equivalent to the category of \( G \)-algebras. In the physics literature, super convex spaces are also referred to as strongly convex spaces. The category \( \text{SCvx} \) is defined analogously to that of convex spaces, \( \text{Cvx} \), with the additional property that one requires countable affine sums, and the maps in \( \text{SCvx} \) must preserve these countable affine sums. It is the basic property of “countability” which links the two categories, \( \text{SCvx} \) and \( \text{Meas} \).

The assumption that no measurable cardinals exist is necessary because the definition of the category of \( G \)-algebras is descriptive in nature, not constructive, and makes no claim as with respect to their existence other than the free algebras which arise using the multiplication of the monad. (This is true of all algebras by their definition, which characterizes the objects as maps satisfying some commutative diagrams.) Indeed, given any arbitrary measurable space \( X \) there is no way to prove there exists any \( G \)-algebra \( G : X \to hX \). Obviously, if one assumes a \( G \)-algebra exists one obtains a super convex space “free of assumptions” about the nonexistence of measurable cardinals. But most \( G \)-algebras which are not free also require the hypothesis that no measurable cardinals exist. The reader should bear in mind that the existence of measurable cardinals cannot be proven within the axiomatic framework of ZFC, and an additional axiom is required to assume their existence. On the other hand, by dropping the power set axiom, it is possible to entertain the idea that one can have an axiom which says no measurable cardinals exist.

2. The category of coseparable super convex spaces

Let \( \mathbb{N} \) denotes the set of natural numbers, and let \( \Omega \) denote the set of all countable partitions of one,

\[
\Omega = \{ \alpha = \{ \alpha_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \in [0, 1] \},
\]

where “\( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1 \)” is shorthand notation for the limit condition, \( \lim_{N \to \infty} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \} = 1 \).

A super convex space \( A \) is a set \( A \) together with a “structural” map

\[
\Omega \xrightarrow{st_A} \text{Set}(\mathbb{N}, A) \\
\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} A^\mathbb{N} \\
\{ a_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\sum} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i
\]

which satisfies the following two properties:

\( (i) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i a_i = a_j \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), and all \( \{ a_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in A^\mathbb{N} \), and

\( (ii) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j a_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \beta_j) a_j \) for all \( \alpha, \beta \in \Omega \).
A morphism from a super convex space $A$ to a super convex space $B$ is a set map $A \xrightarrow{m} B$ making the following Set-diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & A \\
\downarrow{m} & & \downarrow{m} \\
B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & B \\
\end{array}
\]

commute, where $A^N \xrightarrow{m^N} B^N$ is defined componentwise. Thus, a set map between super convex spaces, $A \xrightarrow{m} B$, is a morphism in $\text{SCvx}$ if and only if it preserves countable affine sums. Super convex spaces form a category, with composition of morphisms being the set-theoretical one.

The convex space $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, with the natural convex space structure, has a one point extension yielding the convex space $\mathbb{R}_\infty = (-\infty, \infty]$, specified on (finite) affine sums by the property, for all $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and all $r \in (0,1]$, that $(1-r)u + r\infty = \infty$.

The object $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ can also be viewed as a super convex space specified, for all $u_i \in \mathbb{R}_\infty$ and all countable partitions of one, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, by

\[
\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i u_i = \begin{cases} 
\lim_{N \to \infty} \{ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i u_i \} & \text{provided the limit exist} \\
\infty & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The object, $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, is a coseparator in $\text{Cvx}$.[3] But there are no coseparators for $\text{SCvx}$.[4] It is instructive to see why there are no coseparators for $\text{SCvx}$. The argument given here follows that of Börger and Kemp[4], except that we will view everything as specified with respect to super convex spaces rather than positively convex spaces. By [3, Lemma 1.2] one can view it as a positively convex space if one desires.

Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ = \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, and let $J$ be any set. Define the product $\mathbb{R}^J_+ = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}_+^j$, which we view as the set of all functions $J \to \mathbb{R}^+_\infty$. This product space is a super convex space, with the super convex space structure defined pointwise. We can define a congruence relation on $\mathbb{R}^J_+$ by $f \sim g$ if and only if $f = g$ or there exists an indices $j,k \in J$ such that $f(j) = \infty = g(k)$, i.e., $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on the product space which is compatible with the super convex space structure. We can identify $\mathbb{R}^J_+ / \sim$ with $S^J_\infty \overset{def}{=} \mathbb{R}^J_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ in a canonical way.

Let $J \xrightarrow{T} \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ be the constant function with value one. The proof of the following lemma is elementary but tedious, and hence we refer the interested reader to the paper by Börger and Kemp.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $J$ be an infinite set and $C$ a super convex space with cardinality $|C| < |J|$. Then every countably affine map $S^J \xrightarrow{f} C$ satisfies the property that $f(T) = f(\infty)$.

From this result, it is immediately clear that there cannot be any cogenerator for $\text{SCvx}$ because the two points, $\top, \infty \in S^J$ cannot be distinguished by any countably affine map to a super convex space $C$ satisfying the stated condition. (And
that condition can always be made by choosing $J$ sufficient large.) This result implies that, double dualizing into $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, that by choosing $|\mathbb{R}_\infty| < |J|$, that the two evaluation maps

$$
\mathbb{R}_{\infty} S_J \xrightarrow{e_1 T} \mathbb{R}_{\infty}.$$

are equal. Subsequently, when we endow a super convex space with the initial $\sigma$-algebra generated by all the countably affine maps into $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, the above lemma will imply that, for the super convex space $S_J$, that the two points $T$ and $\infty$ cannot be separated by any measurable set. A similar condition also occurs in $\text{Meas}$ when using the Giry monad. If $X$ is a measurable set and $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that there is no measurable set $U \in \Sigma_X$ such that $x_1 \in U$ and $x_2 \notin U$, then $\eta_X(x_1) = \delta_{x_1} = \delta_{x_2} = \eta_X(x_2)$, (equivalently, $e v_{x_1} = e v_{x_2}$) so that two distinct points, yield the same probability measure on $X$.

To be able to construct an equivalence with the category $\mathcal{G}$-algebras it is necessary to restrict our consideration to the subcategory of $\text{SCvx}$ consisting of those super convex spaces $A$ which are coseparable by $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, meaning that given any two points $a_1, a_2 \in A$ there exists a countably affine map $m$ such that $m(a_1) \neq m(a_2)$. We denote this subcategory by $\text{SCvx}^\text{ep}$.

Note that if $A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty$ is an affine map, and the cardinality condition $|A| \leq |\mathbb{R}_\infty|$ is satisfied, then it has an extension to a countably affine map $\hat{m}$ defined, for all partitions of one and all sequence in $A$, by

$$
\hat{m}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i) = \limsup_N \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i m(a_i) \}
$$

whenever $\limsup_N \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i m(a_i) \} = \liminf_N \{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i m(a_i) \}$ is finite, and is $\infty$ if the limit does not exist or $m(a_i) = \infty$ for some index $i$ with $\alpha_i > 0$. Of course, this situation, the fact that $\text{Cvx}$ is coseparable by $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ allows us to conclude that $A$, viewed as a super convex space, is coseparable by $\mathbb{R}_\infty$. For applications, using the subcategory $\text{SCvx}^\text{ep}$ imposes no restriction on the models we wish to construct.

The symmetric monoidal closed category structure. Just as $\text{Cvx}$ is a symmetric monoidal closed category (SMCC) under the tensor product $\otimes$, which satisfies, for all finite partitions of one, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$, and any two convex spaces $A$ and $B$, the property

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(a_i \otimes b) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i a_i) \otimes b \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(a \otimes b_i) = a \otimes (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i b_i),
$$

so too, $\text{SCvx}$ is a SMCC under the tensor product $\otimes$, which satisfies, for all countable partitions of one, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$, and any two super convex spaces $A$ and $B$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i(a_i \otimes b) = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i a_i) \otimes b \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i(a \otimes b_i) = a \otimes (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i b_i).
$$

This tensor product satisfies the property that given any countable bi-affine map from a product space $A \times B$ to a superconvex space $C$, say $A \times B \xrightarrow{m} C$, that there
exists a unique countably affine map $\hat{m}$ making the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & A \otimes B \\
m & \swarrow & \searrow \hat{m} \\
C & & 
\end{array}
$$

commute. Here $\iota$ is the canonical map given by $\iota \otimes (a, b) = a \otimes b$. Just as with $\mathbf{Cvx}$, the SMCC structure then follows from the usual adjunction $\otimes \dashv \mathbf{Cvx}(-, \_)$, with the counit of this adjunction being the evaluation map.

Since $\mathbf{SCvx}$ is a SMCC, we will use the shorthand notation $\mathbb{R}^A = \mathbf{SCvx}(A, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^\infty = \mathbf{SCvx}(\mathbb{R}_\infty, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$.

3. The Space of Probability Measures as a Super Convex Spaces

Given any measurable space $X$, the set of all measurable functions on $X$ has a natural super convex space structure

$$
\Omega \xrightarrow{\text{st}_G X} \mathbf{Set}((G X)^N, G X)
$$

$$
\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{st}_G X} \left( (G X)^N \right)_{\text{st}_G X} G X
$$

$$
\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\text{st}_G X} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i
$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i$ is defined component wise on the $\sigma$-algebra of $X$, which we denote $\Sigma_X$, i.e., for all $U \in \Sigma_X$, $(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i)(U) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i(U)$.

Now suppose we are given a $G$-algebra $G(X) \xrightarrow{h} X$. Using the natural structural map $\text{st}_G X$ on $G X$ we obtain a structural map $\text{st}_X$ on the underlying space of the measurable space $X$ by taking the composite map

$$
\Omega \xrightarrow{\text{st}_G X} \mathbf{Set}((G X)^N, G X)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\text{st}_X} \mathbf{Set}(\eta_X^N, h)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\text{st}_X} \mathbf{Set}(X^N, X)
$$

$$
\left. \alpha_X \right\}_{\text{set}_X} \left( \{x_i\}_{i \in N} \right)_{\text{set}_X} \left( \{x_i\}_{i \in N} \right)_{\text{set}_X} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = \left( h \circ \alpha_{G X} \circ \eta_X^N \right) \left( \{x_i\}_{i \in N} \right)_{\text{set}_X} = h \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \right).
$$

where $\mathbf{Set}(\eta_X^N, h)(\alpha_{G X}) = h \circ \alpha_{G X} \circ \eta_X^N$, and where $\eta_X^N(\{x_i\}_{i \in N}) = \{\delta_{x_i}\}_{i \in N}$. This structural map $\text{st}_X$ satisfies the two required properties, as specified in equation $[\text{I}]$, thereby making the underlying set of the measurable space $X$ a super convex space. We denote this super convex space, induced by the $G$-algebra $h$, by $X_h$.

This construction defines a functor $G$-algebras $\Phi : \mathbf{SCvx} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{SCvx}$ defined by

$$
\Phi : \text{ob} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
G(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & G(Y) \\
h \downarrow & \swarrow & h \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & Y
\end{array}
$$

where the map of $G$-algebras $f$ is a countably affine map between the induced super convex spaces $X_h$ and $Y_k$ because, for all countable partitions of one, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, we
have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = f(h(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta x_i)) = k(G(f)(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta f(x_i))) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i f(x_i).
\]

What we require is a functor \( SCvx^{sep} \to G\)-algebras which makes the two categories equivalent.

4. Restriction of probability measures on coseparable super convex spaces

To say a subcategory \( C \) of \( SCvx^{sep} \) is codense is equivalent to saying that the contravariant truncated Yoneda mapping \( SCvx^{sep} \to \text{Set}^C \) is full and faithful. Isbell\[7\], using the truncated Yoneda mapping, used the terminology of “right adequate” rather than a codense subcategory. While we use the standard terminology of “codense”, we prefer to think of this concept as defined in terms of the truncated Yoneda mapping, as opposed to defining it in terms of a limit of a functor, e.g., see MacLane\[9, p242\]. This perspective is useful because our problem, as well as many others, reduces to the question of whether a “generalized point” of an object \( A \), meaning a natural transformation \( P \in \text{Nat}(\text{Hom}(A, \cdot), \text{Hom}(1, \cdot)) \), corresponds to a point \( a \in A \), i.e., whether \( \gamma^{\text{sep}}(1 \to a) = P \).

Let \( SCvx^{sep}_{R^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^A, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \) denote the subset of \( SCvx^{sep}(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \) satisfying the condition
\[
J(g \circ m) = g(J(m)) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}^A, \forall g \in \mathbb{R}^\infty.
\]
The elements \( J \in SCvx^{sep}_{R^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^A, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \) are referred to as \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized elements of \( A \)[8, Def. 8.19] An \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized element of \( A \) is precisely a natural transformation,
\[
J \in \text{Set}^C(\text{SCvx}(A, \cdot), \text{SCvx}(1, \cdot)),
\]
evaluated at the single component \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \), and the condition above is the naturality requirement. Because the set of maps \( \mathbb{R}_R^\infty \) consist of (1) the translations maps, \( u \mapsto u + c \) for any \( c \in \mathbb{R}_\infty \), (2) scaling maps \( u \mapsto \lambda u \) for any \( \lambda \in [0, \infty) \), and (3) a reflection map, sending \( u \mapsto -u \) for all \( u \in \mathbb{R} \), and mapping \( \infty \mapsto \infty \), it follows that the naturality condition on a \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized element \( J \) of \( A \) satisfies the three properties (1) \( J(\mathfrak{t}) = c \) for all constant maps \( \mathfrak{t} \), (2) \( J(\lambda m) = \lambda J(m) \) for all \( \lambda \in [0, \infty) \), and (3) for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \) such that \( J(m) \) is finite it follows that \( J(-m) = -J(m) \).

The relationship between \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized points of a coseparable super convex space and the Giry monad \( G \) follows from

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( X \) be any measurable space and let \( \mathbb{R}^X_\infty \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Meas}(X, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \) denote the super convex space obtained from the pointwise definition on the measurable functions. The map \( G(X) \overset{\phi_X}{\to} SCvx^{sep}_{R^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^X_\infty, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \), specified by \( \phi_X(P)(\chi_U) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \chi_U) \) for \( f \in (\mathbb{R}^\infty)^*_0 \) and \( \chi_U \in \mathbb{R}^X_\infty \), is a \( G \)-algebra.
Lemma 4.2. If \( A \) is any coseparable super convex space then \( \mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) \) is a coseparable super convex space.

Proof. For every measurable space \( X \), \( \mathcal{G}(X) \) is coseparable by \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \), and hence \( \mathcal{G}(\Sigma A) \) is coseparable by \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \). Since \( \mathcal{P} \) is the functor \( \mathcal{G} \), but viewed as a functor into \( \text{SCvx}^{sep}(\mathbb{R}_\infty, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \) the result follows.

Characterizing Girard Algebras as Coseparable Super Convex Spaces
The set of generating maps for the $\sigma$-algebra on the set $\operatorname{Meas}(\Sigma A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$, consisting of all the countably affine maps $\mathbb{R}_\infty^A$, gives an inclusion of super convex spaces

$$\operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}(A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \xhookrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Meas}(\Sigma A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$$

where $\operatorname{Meas}(\Sigma A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$ has the pointwise super convex space structure induced by $\mathbb{R}_\infty$. This inclusion gives rise to the restriction mapping

$$\left| _A \right| : \operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^A, \mathbb{R}_\infty).$$

Combining the restriction mapping $\left| _A \right|$ with the $\operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$-isomorphism $\phi_{\Sigma A}$ of Lemma 4.1, it follows that every $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point $\hat{P}$ of $A$ arises from some probability measure $P \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma A)$, obtained by the restriction of the domain of $P$ to the countably affine maps $\operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}(A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$,

$$\left| _A \right| \left( m \right) = m(a),$$

where $\mathbb{R}_\infty^A$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point of $A$. If such a point exists then it is unique because $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ coseparates $A$. The condition on the existence of such an element $a$ requires that for every $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point $\hat{P}$ of $A$ arises from some probability measure $P \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma A)$, obtained by the restriction of the domain of $P$ to the countably affine maps $\operatorname{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}(A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)$.

5. The Image of a Countably Affine Map

Let $A$ be a co-separable super convex space, and let $J$ be an $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point of $A$. To prove that the restricted Yoneda mapping is a full functor, it is necessary that we show that there exists a point $a \in A$ such that $J(m) = m(a)$ holds for all $m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A$. We view this requirement as the problem of finding a point $a \in A$, such that $\operatorname{SCvx}$-diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overset{a}{\longrightarrow} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
J(m) & \overset{J}{\longrightarrow} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}_\infty & \overset{m}{\longleftarrow} & \mathbb{R}_\infty
\end{array}$$

commutes for all $m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A$. The arrow $J$ is intended to denote that $J$ is a natural transformation.
In discussing the image of a super convex space $A$ under a countably affine map $m$, we note that the image is necessarily a subspace of the super convex space $\mathbb{R}_\infty$. The image of $m$ consists of an interval plus the possibility that the image also contains the point $\infty$. When referring to an interval we include the possibility that it is a degenerate interval, $[u,u]$, consisting of a single point, which occurs, for example, whenever $m$ is a constant function.

**Lemma 5.1.** If $A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty$ is any countably affine map satisfying the property that

$$\text{for all } a \in A, u \leq m(a) \leq v, \text{ where } u, v \in \mathbb{R}$$

then every $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point $J$ of $A$ satisfies the property $u \leq J(m) \leq v$. Thus $J(m) \in \text{Image}(m)$.

**Proof.** The condition is equivalent to saying the function $m$ lies between the two constant functions, $\underline{\pi} \leq m \leq \overline{\pi}$. Since every probability measure $P$ on $\Sigma A$ satisfies the property that

$$u = \int_A \underline{\pi} \, dP \leq \int_A m \, dP \leq \int_A \overline{\pi} \, dP = v$$

where we have used the property that $m$ is a measurable function under the functor $\Sigma$. Thus the restriction of any probability measure on $\Sigma A$ to a countably affine map $m$ satisfies this same property. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose $A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty$ is any countably affine map and that the image of $m$ is an interval, $(u,v)$, with $u$ and $v$ finite. Then every $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point $J$ of $A$ satisfies the property $u < J(m) < v$. In other words, $J(m) \in \text{Image}(m)$.

**Proof.** By the preceding lemma it follows that $J(m) \in [u,v]$. Let us show that $J(m) = u$ is not possible. Since $J$ is an $\mathbb{R}_\infty$-generalized point of $A$ it satisfies $J(g \circ m) = g(J(m))$ for all $g \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^R$, and therefore knowing $J(g \circ m)$ for some invertible map $g \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^R$ tells us what $J(m)$ is. Hence let us translate the interval $(u,v)$ to $(0,v-u)$ and scale by the positive value $\lambda = \frac{v-u}{v}$ so that, without loss of generality we can view the image as the open interval $(0,1)$. We now proceed to show that $J(\lambda m + (v-u)) = 0$ is impossible, which therefore implies that $J(m) = u$ is not possible. Let $\hat{m} = \lambda m + (v-u)$ refer to the translated and scaled map.

Observe that $\hat{m}$, being a countably affine map, is used to generate the $\sigma$-algebra on $A$, and hence for every measurable subset $E_{n,k}$ of $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ it follows that $\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,k})$ is measurable under the functor $\Sigma$, and that the measurable function $\hat{m}$ can be written as a pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions, $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, which are (pointwise) monotonically increasing, $\psi_n(x) \leq \psi_{n+1}(x)$, and of the form

$$\psi_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \frac{k}{2^n} \chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,k})} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \frac{k}{2^n} (\chi_{E_{n,k}} \circ \hat{m})$$

where

$$E_{n,k} = \{ u \in \mathbb{R} \mid \frac{k}{2^n} \leq u < \frac{k+1}{2^n} \} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1.$$
Since $J$ is a countably affine map on $\Sigma A$, it follows that
\[
J(m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J(\psi_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} J(\chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,k})}) \right\}.
\]

Suppose, to obtain a contradiction, that $J(m) = 0$. Note that $J(m) = \lim_n J(\psi_n) = J(\lim_n \psi_n)$, where the second equality follows from the monotone convergence theorem. The hypothesis $J(\hat{m}) = 0$ implies that $\lim_n J(\chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,0})}) = 1$. But $\lim_n \hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,0}) = \emptyset$, and since $J = \phi_{\Sigma A}^{-1}(J)$ is a probability measure it follows that $J(\lim_n \hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,0})) = 0$, which is equivalent to saying that $J(\lim_n \chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,0})}) = 0$. (The function $\phi_{\Sigma A}$ refers to the isomorphism of Lemma 4.1.) Hence it cannot be the case that $\lim_n J(\chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,0})}) = 1$, which in turns implies that $J(\hat{m}) = 0$ is impossible.

A similar argument as that given above, using the fact $\lim_n \hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,2^n-1}) = \emptyset$, shows that $J(\hat{m}) = 1$ is also impossible. \hfill \qed

Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 show that if the image of $m$ is a bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$ then $J(m) \in \text{Image}(m)$. We now consider the possibility that the image of $m$ is an unbounded interval.

**Lemma 5.3.** If $A$ is a coseparable super convex space and $A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty$ is a countably affine map then the image of $m$ does not contain an unbounded interval unless it also contains the image point $\infty$.

**Proof.** To obtain a contradiction, suppose that the image of $m$ is the half-open interval $[u, \infty)$, and does not include the point $\infty$ as an image point. Take a sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of points in the interval $[u, \infty)$, say $v_i = i2^i + u$, which has the limit of $\infty$. The preimage of each point, $m^{-1}(v_i)$ yields a point $a_i$ in $A$, using the axiom of choice if necessary, from which we can obtain a sequence in $A$. Take a countable partition of one given by $\{\frac{1}{2^i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Thus we have a countable affine sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} a_i$, which, by the hypothesis that $A$ is a coseparable super convex space, specifies a point $a_\ast \in A$, with $m(a_\ast) = \omega < \infty$. Since $m$ is a countably affine map, it follows that
\[
\omega = m(a_\ast) = m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} a_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} m(a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} (i2^i + u) = \infty
\]
which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude the image of $m$ cannot be of the form $[u, \infty)$.

The argument that $m$ cannot be one an unbounded interval, such as $(-\infty, v)$, is essentially identical in form to the preceding argument. \hfill \qed

From Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 it follows that if the image of $m$ includes an unbounded interval, then there also exists an $a \in A$ such that $m(a) = \infty$. The property $J(m) \in \text{Image}(m)$ therefore holds, with the possibility that $J(m) = \infty$. Lastly, consider the possibility that the image of $m$ is a bounded interval plus the point $\infty$.

\footnote{Recall that $J(cm) = cJ(m)$ for any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence even though the coefficients may not sum to one, the property $J(\sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \frac{k}{2^n} \chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,k})}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n} \frac{k}{2^n} J(\chi_{\hat{m}^{-1}(E_{n,k})})$ still holds.}
Lemma 5.4. If the image of a countably affine map \( A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) is a bounded interval plus the point \( \infty \), then for every \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point \( \mathbb{R}^A \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) it follows that \( J(m) \) lies in the image of \( m \).

Proof. Since every \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point of \( A \) is the restriction of a probability measure on the measurable space \( \Sigma A \), we have that \( m^{-1}(\infty) \) is a measurable set, as is its complement, and \( A \) is the disjoint union of these two sets. If \( J(\chi_{m^{-1}(\infty)}) > 0 \) then \( J(m) = \infty \) since the measurable function \( m \) can be written as a sequence of simple measurable functions which converge pointwise to \( m \), and each such simple function \( \psi_n \) necessarily contains the term \( \infty \chi_{m^{-1}(\infty)} \) (or \( n\chi_{m^{-1}(\infty)} \) if one uses truncation in specifying the simple characteristic functions). Since \( \lim_n J(\psi_n) = \infty \) it follows that \( J(m) = \infty \). On the other hand, if \( J(\chi_{m^{-1}(\infty)}) = 0 \) then it follows using the argument in Lemma 5.6 that \( J(m) \in (u, v) \). By translating and scaling we obtain a countably affine map \( \hat{m} \) such that the image of \( A \) under \( \hat{m} \) is \( \text{Im}(\hat{m}) = (0, 1) \cup \{\infty\} \). Applying the countably affine map \( J \) to the simple functions \( \psi_n \) we conclude that \( J(\hat{m}) \in (0, 1) \) which in turn then implies that \( J(m) \in (u, v) \). \( \square \)

Combining the four preceding lemmas, which cover all the possibilities for the image of a super convex space \( A \) under a countably affine map, we obtain

Lemma 5.5. Let \( A \) be a super convex space \( A \), and \( A \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) a countably affine map. Then for every \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point \( \mathbb{R}^A \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) it follows that \( J(m) \) lies in the image of \( m \). In other words, there exists an element \( a \in A \) such that \( J(m) = m(a) \).

Lemma 5.6. Let \( A \) be a coseparable super convex space \( A \), and \( \mathbb{R}^A \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) an \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point of \( A \). Define a function on the measurable sets of \( \Sigma A \) as

\[
\mu(S) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if and only if } J(m) \in m(S) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{R}^A \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

This function satisfies the following properties:

1. \( \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \) and \( \mu(A) = 1 \),
2. for every \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \), the set \( m^{-1}(J(m)) \) is measurable,
3. \( \mu(m^{-1}(J(m))) = 1 \), and
4. \( \mu \) is countably additive.

Proof. (1) The property \( \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \) is obvious. The property \( \mu(A) = 1 \) follows from Lemma 5.5

(2) Because \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \) and these generate the \( \sigma \)-algebra on \( A \), \( m^{-1}(u) \) is a measurable set in \( A \) for every element \( u \in \mathbb{R}_\infty \).

(3) Since \( m(m^{-1}(Jm)) = \{J(m)\} \) it follows that \( \mu(m^{-1}(J(m))) = 1 \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \).

(4) Let \( \{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \) be a countable partition of \( S \), where \( S \) and \( S_i \), for all indices \( i \), are measurable sets in \( A \). Note that \( \mu \) is monotone: if \( S_i \subset S \) and \( \mu(S_i) = 1 \) then \( \mu(S) \geq 1 \). Hence if \( \mu(S) = 0 \) then \( \mu(S_i) = 0 \) for all indices \( i \).

On the other hand, if \( \mu(S) = 1 \) then there exists at least one index \( k \) such that \( \mu(S_k) = 1 \). Since \( \mu(S) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty S_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(S_i) \) we must show that there exists at most one such index \( k \) such that, for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \), \( J(m) \in m(S_k) \). So suppose, to obtain a contradiction, that \( \mu(S_{k1}) = 1 = \mu(S_{k2}) \). For every pair of points \( (a_1, a_2) \in S_{k1} \times S_{k2} \) there exists an \( m \in \mathbb{R}^A \) such that \( m(a_1) \neq m(a_2) \),
hence it cannot be the case that \( J(m) = m(a_1) = m(a_2) \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \), i.e., \( J(m) \in m(S_{k_1}) \) and \( J(m) \in m(S_{k_2}) \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \) is impossible.

**Theorem 5.7.** Assume there are no measurable cardinals. For every coseparable super convex space \( A \) and \( J \) any \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point of \( A \), it follows that there exists a unique point \( a_* \in A \) such that, for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \), \( J(m) = ev_{a_*}(m) \). That is, \( J \) is an evaluation map at a point \( a_* \in A \), when viewed as a map \( \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) in \( \text{SCvx} \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.6 the function \( \mu \), defined on the set of measurable sets of \( A \), is a countably additive probability measure which is deterministic, i.e., is two-valued. Since there are, by hypothesis, no measurable cardinals, this means that there exists an element \( a \in A \) such that \( \mu = \delta_a \).

For every \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \), and \( U \) any measurable set in \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \), the set \( m^{-1}(U) \) is measurable in \( \Sigma_A \), and the condition \( \mu = \delta_a \) implies, using the definition of \( \mu \), that

\[
\mu(m^{-1}(U)) = \delta_a(m^{-1}(U)) = \delta_{m(a)}(U) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } J(m) \in m(m^{-1}(U)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Using Lemma 5.6 again, taking the singleton set \( U = \{ J(m) \} \) which is measurable in \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \), it follows that \( \delta_{m(a)}(\{ J(m) \}) = 1 \) so that \( m(a) = J(m) \) for every \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \). Conversely, if \( U \) is any measurable set of \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \) not containing the element \( J(m) \), then \( \delta_{m(a)}(U) = 0 \). Hence we conclude that \( J(m) = m(a) \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \), and hence \( J = ev_a \).

The uniqueness of the element \( a \) follows from the condition that \( A \) is a coseparable super convex space.

This theorem, and the underlying idea of the proof, is essentially an adaptation of part of the result [7, Theorem 2.5, pp 549-550].

**Corollary 5.8.** Assume there are no measurable cardinals. Then the contravariant truncated Yoneda map

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}} & \text{Set}^C \\
(1 \xrightarrow{a} A) & \longmapsto & \left( \text{SCvx}(A, \cdot) \xrightarrow{ev_a} \text{SCvx}(1, \cdot) \right)
\end{array}
\]

is full and faithful. Consequently the map

\[
A \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}_A} \text{SCvx}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^A, \mathbb{R}_\infty)
\]

\[
a \longmapsto \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \xrightarrow{ev_a} \mathbb{R}_\infty
\]

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** To show that \( \mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}} \) is full, suppose that \( J \) is any \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point of a super convex space \( A \). By Theorem 5.7 it follows that there exists an element \( a_* \in A \) such that, for every \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \),

\[ J(m) = ev_{a_*}(m) = \mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}|(a_*)(m). \]

Hence the restricted Yoneda functor \( \mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}} \) is full. Since \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \) is a coseparator for the given object \( A \) it immediately follows that \( \mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}} \) is faithful. Because the category
\( \mathcal{C} \) has a single object, the fact that \( \mathcal{Y}^{op} \) is full and faithful is equivalent to saying that the map \( \hat{\nu}_A \) is an isomorphism.

\[ \square \]

6. Factorizing the Giry monad

In [4] we introduced the two functors, \( P \) and \( \Sigma \). We are now able to show these functors form an adjoint pair, \( \mathcal{P} \dashv \Sigma \), and that their composite is the Giry monad.

The unit of the adjunction is the natural transformation \( \text{id}_{\text{Meas}} \Rightarrow \Sigma \circ \mathcal{P} \), at component \( X \), which sends an element to the Dirac measure at that point, \( x \mapsto \delta_x \). This coincides precisely with the unit of the Giry monad \( \mathcal{G} \).

We define the counit, \( \mathcal{P} \circ \Sigma \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{SCvx}} \), at component \( A \), as the composite of three maps, illustrated in the \( \text{SCvx}^{sep} \)-diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} & A \\
\phi_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\eta}_A^{-1} \\
\text{SCvx}^{sep}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^{\Sigma A}, \mathbb{R}_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{SCvx}^{sep}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}_\infty^{\Sigma A} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^\natural} & \mathbb{R}_\infty^A & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^\natural} & \mathbb{R}_\infty \\
| A & & | A & & | A
\end{array}
\]

The map \( \phi_A \) is that given in Lemma 4.1 which sends a probability measure \( P \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) \) to the functional \( \hat{P} \) defined on all measurable functions on \( \Sigma A \). The restriction map, \( |A_\cdot| \), arises from the inclusion mapping of one super convex space into another

\[
\text{SCvx}^{sep}(A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \xrightarrow{\iota_A} \text{Meas}(\Sigma A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \quad \text{(shorthand } \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \xrightarrow{\iota_A} \mathbb{R}_\infty^{\Sigma A} \text{)}
\]

and was given in equation (1). The map \( \hat{\eta}_A^{-1} \) sends an evaluation map \( \epsilon_A \) to \( a \).

This map \( \epsilon_A \), satisfies the property that for every probability measure \( P \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) \), we can characterize \( \epsilon_A(P) \) as the unique point in \( A \) such that

\[
(3) \quad m(\epsilon_A(P)) = \int_A m \, dP \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A,
\]

which just makes use of the fact that the restriction of the operator \( \hat{P} \) to countably affine maps \( m \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^A \) is equivalent to \( \int_A m \, d\hat{\delta}_{\epsilon_A(P)} = m(\epsilon_A(P)) \).

Equation (3) is the \textit{barycenter property} of a probability measure defined on a separable super convex space. The counit, at each component \( A \), \( \mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} A \) is called the \textit{barycenter mapping} at component \( A \).

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume there are no measurable cardinals. The map \( \mathcal{P}(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} A \) defined by \( P \mapsto a \), where the restriction of the operator \( \hat{P} \) satisfies \( \hat{P} = \epsilon_A \), specifies the components of a natural transformation.

**Proof.** Suppose \( m \in \text{SCvx}^{sep}(A, B) \). The naturality condition is \( m(\epsilon_A(P)) = \epsilon_B((Pm^{-1})) \), where \( (Pm^{-1}) \) is the pushforward probability measure on \( \Sigma B \), restricted to operate on the set

\[
\text{SCvx}^{sep}(B, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \subseteq \text{Meas}(\Sigma B, \mathbb{R}_\infty).
\]

Since \( \epsilon_B((Pm^{-1})) \) is the unique element in \( B \) satisfying the equation

\[
(P|m^{-1})(k) = \epsilon_B((Pm^{-1}))(k), \quad \text{for all } k \in \text{SCvx}^{sep}(B, \mathbb{R}_\infty),
\]

\[ \square \]
we have \( P(k \circ m) = k(\varepsilon_B(P|m^{-1})) \). Since \( k \circ m \in \text{SCvx}^{sep}(A, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \) it follows by the uniqueness of \( \varepsilon_A(P) \), that
\[
(k \circ m)(\varepsilon_A(P)) = k(\varepsilon_B(P|m^{-1})) \quad \forall k \in \text{SCvx}^{sep}(B, \mathbb{R}_\infty).
\]
It therefore follows that \( m(\varepsilon_A(P)) = \varepsilon_B((P|m^{-1})) \), thereby proving naturality. □

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume there are no measurable cardinals. The pair of functors \( P \) and \( \Sigma \) decompose the Giry monad as \( \Sigma \circ P = G \).

**Proof.** Since \( P \) is \( G \) viewed as a map into \( \text{SCvx}^{sep} \), it is only necessary to verify that the \( \sigma \)-algebra specified by the functor \( \Sigma \) coincides with the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by all the evaluation maps \( \{G(X) \xrightarrow{ev_U} \mathbb{R}_\infty\}_{U \in \Sigma X} \). This follows from the diagram in Lemma 4.1. Since \( \phi \) is a bijection between the sets, the smallest \( \sigma \)-algebra on \( G(X) \) such that all the evaluation maps \( ev_U \) are measurable corresponds to the smallest \( \sigma \)-algebra on \( \text{SCvx}^{sep}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^X, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \) such that all the evaluation maps \( ev_{\chi_U} \) are measurable. The set \( \{ev_{\chi_U}\}_{U \in \Sigma X} \) is a spanning set for the set of all countably affine maps on \( \text{SCvx}^{sep}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^X, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \). That is, any countably affine map \( \text{SCvx}^{sep}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}(\mathbb{R}_\infty^X, \mathbb{R}_\infty) \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{R}_\infty \) is an evaluation point, \( m = ev_f \), for a unique point \( f \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^X \). Since \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \) is codense in \( \text{SCvx}^{sep} \), these evaluation functions, \( ev_f \), like the measurable function \( f \), are completely determined by the characteristic functions. (The set of all measurable functions can be viewed as generated by the set of (measurable) characteristic functions.) Consequently the set \( \{ev_{\chi_U}\}_{U \in \Sigma X} \) generates the same \( \sigma \)-algebra as the set of all countably affine maps. □

**Theorem 6.3.** Assume there are no measurable cardinals. For the functors \( P \) and \( \Sigma \), with the unit specified identically to that of the Giry monad, and the counit, as specified in Lemma 6.1, it follows that \( P \dashv \Sigma \), and \((P, \Sigma, \eta, \mu)\) is a factorization of the Giry monad.

**Proof.** We verify the two triangular identities. For \( X \) any measurable space we have the commutative \( \text{SCvx}^{sep} \)-diagram,

\[
P(X) \xrightarrow{P\eta_X} P(\Sigma P(X)) \xrightarrow{id P} P(\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon P(X)} P \xrightarrow{\delta P} \mathbb{R}_\infty
\]

and for \( A \) any super convex space, we have the commutative \( \text{Meas} \)-diagram,

\[
\Sigma \circ P \xrightarrow{\eta \Sigma A} \Sigma A \xrightarrow{id \Sigma A} \Sigma A \xrightarrow{\eta \Sigma A} \Sigma \circ P \xrightarrow{\delta_a} a \xrightarrow{id a} a
\]

Combining this result with the preceding lemma shows that \((P, \Sigma, \mu, \eta)\) factorizes the Giry monad. □

7. **The equivalence of \( G \)-algebras and \( \text{SCvx}^{sep} \)**

Suppose we are given a \( G \)-algebra \( G(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} X \). As shown in [3] the measurable space \( X \) has a super convex space structure given, for all countable partitions of
one, \( \{ \alpha_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \), by
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i = h(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta x_i).
\]
We denote the super convex space space associated with \( X \) and the \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra \( h \) by \( X_h \).

If \( X \xrightarrow{f} Y \) is a morphism of \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebras,
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}f} & \mathcal{G}(Y) \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow k \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}
\]
then it follows, using the super convex space structure induced on the two sets \( X \) and \( Y \) by \( h \) and \( k \), respectively, that \( X_h \xrightarrow{f} Y_k \) is a countably affine map because, for all countable partitions of one, \( \{ \alpha_i \}_{i=1}^{\infty} \), we have
\[
f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i) = f(h(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \delta x_i)) = k(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i f(x_i)).
\]

Note that \( \Sigma X_h = (X, \Sigma X) \) because the adjunction satisfies \( (\Sigma \circ P)(X) = \mathcal{G}(X) \), and the result that the measurable sets of \( X \) are given by the preimage of \( \eta_X \), since, for all \( U \in \Sigma X \),
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}(X) & \xrightarrow{ev_U} & [0, 1] \\
\downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \chi_U \\
X & & \eta_X^{-1}(\chi_U^{-1}(1)) = U = \chi_U^{-1}(1)
\end{array}
\]
where \( \eta_X \) is the unit of the Giry monad (which coincides with the unit of the adjunction \( \mathcal{P} \vdash \Sigma \)). Thus, as the \( \sigma \)-algebras of \( \mathcal{G}(X) \) and \( (\Sigma \circ P)(X) \) coincide, so do those on \( X \) and \( \Sigma X_h \).

When viewing a \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra \( \mathcal{G}(X) \xrightarrow{h} X \) as a map in \( \mathbf{SCvx}^{sep} \), we denote them by \( \mathcal{P}(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_X} X_h \) using the induced super convex space structure on \( X \).

Now let us show that every \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra \( h \) satisfies the same defining property as the maps \( \epsilon_{X_h} \), which is the barycenter property, equation \( \Box \). Let \( P \in \mathcal{G}(X) \), so that using the functional representation of \( P \) we have the map \( \mathbb{R}^X_X \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \mathbb{R}_\infty \), which sends a measurable function \( f \mapsto \int_X f dP \). Precomposition of this map \( \hat{P} \) by the inclusion map \( \iota_{X_h} \), yields the composite map
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R}^X_X & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X_h}} & \mathbb{R}^X_X \\
\downarrow \epsilon_{X_h} & & \downarrow \epsilon_{X_h} \\
\mathbb{R}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} & \mathbb{R}_\infty
\end{array}
\]
which is an \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \)-generalized point of \( X_h \). Since \( X_h \) is a super convex space, the composite arrow is a point of \( X_h \) because \( \mathbb{R}_\infty \) is codense in \( \mathbf{SCvx}^{sep} \). The map \( h \) is that assignment, sending a probability measure \( P \mapsto h(P) \) which is the unique point such that \( \hat{P} \circ \iota = ev_{h(P)} \). That functional, \( ev_{h(P)} \), when viewed as a probability
measure is just the Dirac measure $\delta_{h(P)}$, so that the preceding diagram yields, for all $m \in \mathbb{R}^{X_h}$, that

$$m(h(P)) = ev_{h(P)}(m) = \hat{P}(\iota(m)) = \int_X m \ dP = \int_X m \delta_{h(P)}.$$ 

Thus a $G$-algebra $\mathcal{P}(X) \xrightarrow{h} X_h$ satisfies the same defining property as $\epsilon_{X_h}$, and obviously $h(\delta_x) = x = \epsilon_{X_h}(\delta_x)$. Consequently, using the adjunction $\mathcal{P} \dashv \Sigma$, it follows that the adjunct of the identity map $id_X$ (with respect to $\eta_X$), is unique,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \Sigma(\mathcal{P}(X)) \\
id_X & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\Sigma(X_h) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{X_h}} & X_h
\end{array}$$

in $\text{Meas}$

from which we conclude that $h = \epsilon_{X_h}$.

Conversely, using naturality of $\epsilon$, the $\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$-diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}\Sigma\mathcal{P}\Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathcal{P}\Sigma A}} & \mathcal{P}\Sigma A \\
\mathcal{P}\Sigma\epsilon_A & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{P}\Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} & A
\end{array}$$

commutes, and by applying the functor $\Sigma$ to this $\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$-diagram, we obtain a commutative $\text{Meas}$-diagram which proves the associativity property for a $G$-algebra. The other defining property, $\Sigma\epsilon_A \circ \eta_{\Sigma A} = id_A$, is satisfied because $\epsilon_A(\delta_a) = a$.

Thus we see that a $G$-algebra is an arrow in $\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$, $\mathcal{P}(X) \xrightarrow{h} X_h$, which specifies a partition of the super convex space $\mathcal{P}(X)$, such that the barycenter property is satisfied. Such $G$-algebras correspond precisely to the components of the counit of the adjunction $\mathcal{P} \dashv \Sigma$. Thus we have

**Theorem 7.1.** Suppose there are no measurable cardinals. Then the category $\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$ is equivalent to the category of $G$-algebras.

**Proof.** The above description can be formalized by defining a pair of functors, defined on objects by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\text{-algebras} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}} \\
h & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{X_h}} & \Sigma(\mathcal{P}(\Sigma X_h))
\end{array}$$

from which we obtain $\Psi \circ \Phi = id_{G\text{-algebras}}$. (Proving $\epsilon_{X_h} = h$ is essentially the only difficulty in recognizing the equivalence of these two categories. But, as noted, that follows, using $\mathcal{P} \dashv \Sigma$, because the adjunct of the identity map $id_X$ is unique. Formally, we should write $\Sigma\epsilon_{X_h}$ since the map is a measurable function, and we require $\Sigma$ to make it measurable.) These functions, $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, extend to a functor by defining them on arrows in the obvious way, because as we noted previously,
every morphism of $G$-algebras is a countably affine mapping, and conversely, by applying the functor $\Sigma$ to a countably affine mapping $A \xrightarrow{m} B$, it follows that it is a measurable function, and a morphism of the $G$-algebras, mapping $\epsilon_A \mapsto \epsilon_B$.

The result that $\Psi \circ \Phi = id_{SCvx}$ is trivial; $\Psi(\Phi(A)) = \Psi(\epsilon_A) = A$, and similarly on arrows.

The category $G$-algebras makes no claims about the existence of algebras (other than the free algebras obtained using the multiplication of the monad), and to prove the existence it is necessary to make an additional assumption. But that assumption is precisely the hypothesis that no measurable cardinals exist because the factorization of the Giry monad, using the Eilenberg-Moore factorization, can be viewed as the composite

$$\mathcal{F}^G = \Psi \circ \mathcal{P}$$

$$\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} SCvx^{sep} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{Meas}^G$$

$$\mathcal{U}^G = \Sigma \circ \Phi$$

$\mathcal{F}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^G$

where $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ are the two functors used in specifying the equivalence between $G$-algebras and $SCvx$, $\mathcal{F}^G(X) = (G(X), \mu_X) = (\Sigma(\mathcal{P}(X)), \Sigma(\epsilon_{\mathcal{P}(X)}))$, and $\mathcal{U}^G$ is the forgetful functor, mapping a $G$-algebra $(X, h)$ to $X$. Stated more explicitly, $G$-algebras which are not free, generally require that we assume no measurable cardinals exist because given any super convex space $A$, the $G$-algebra $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}(\Sigma A)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma \epsilon A} \Sigma A$ exists only under the hypothesis that no measurable cardinals exist.

8. The components functor

The whole point in characterizing Giry algebras as super convex spaces is that it shows us how to construct the algebras. Once we know this, we can proceed to build models for Bayesian probability within $SCvx^{sep}$, with the algebras providing a means of "measurement", i.e., we can’t measure probabilities, so the barycenter maps are used in modeling the measurement. For applications to probability, the following result is important for applications because it shows every super convex space is fibered over a discrete space. From the modelers perspective, it suggest how to build useful models.

Let $\Delta$ denote the simplicial category, whose objects are finite ordinals, $n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$, and arrows are weakly monotone functions, $n \xrightarrow{f} m$, where $f(i) \leq f(i + 1)$, for all $i = 0, \ldots, n - 2$. There is a functor

$$\Delta \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}isc} SCvx^{sep}$$

assigning to each object $n$ the super convex space with structure defined, for all $n$-partitions of one, $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$, with $a_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i = 1$, by

$$a_0 0 + a_1 1 + \ldots + a_{n-1}(n - 1) = \min_i \{i \mid \text{such that } a_i > 0\}.$$ 

Thus $\mathcal{D}isc(n)$ is a discrete super convex space.

Like any functor defined on a small category into a category with all limits, $\mathcal{D}isc$ induces a codensity monad on $SCvx^{sep}$. We call the functor underlying the
monad on $\text{SCvx}^{sep}$ the components functor, $\text{Comp}$. This functor, applied to an arbitrary super convex space $A$ is characterized by the property that if $A \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Disc}(n)$ is any arrow in $\text{SCvx}^{sep}$ then that arrow factors through the object $\text{Comp}(A)$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \text{Comp}(A) \\
\downarrow{\theta} & & \downarrow{\pi_{\theta}} \\
\text{Disc}(f) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\phi}} & \text{Disc}(m)
\end{array}
$$

which is defined as

$$
\text{Comp}(A) = \text{lim} \{ A/\text{Disc} \xrightarrow{U} \Delta \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{SCvx} \},
$$

where the category $A/\text{Disc}$ has as objects $\text{SCvx}^{sep}$ arrows from $A$ into a discrete space, and an arrow $\theta \xrightarrow{f} \phi$ is specified by a $\Delta$ arrow $f$ making the outer path in the above $\text{SCvx}$-diagram commute, $\text{Disc}(f) \circ \theta = \phi$.

**Lemma 8.1.** The space $\text{Comp}(\mathbb{R}_\infty) = 1$.

**Proof.** A countably affine maps $\mathbb{R}_\infty \xrightarrow{\gamma} 2$ necessarily sends $\infty \mapsto 0$. Also note that the affine map $\mathbb{R}_\infty \xrightarrow{\gamma} 2$ specified by $\gamma(u) = 1$ if and only if $u$ is finite is not a countably affine map since

$$
\gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}(2^i)\right) = \gamma(\infty) = 0 \neq 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i\right).
$$

Thus, if there is a nonconstant countably affine map $\mathbb{R}_\infty \xrightarrow{\gamma} 2$ it follows that $\gamma^{-1}(1) \neq \mathbb{R}$. But if $\gamma^{-1}(1) \neq 0$, then it is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}_\infty$, hence is an interval. That implies that $\gamma^{-1}(1)$ cannot contain an unbounded interval; otherwise we could take a countable affine sum with partial sums being unbounded. This implies that $\gamma$ must be a constant map; otherwise we can choose an element $u \in \gamma^{-1}(1)$ and express it as a convex sum of two elements in $\gamma^{-1}(0)$. □

The functor $\text{Disc}$ does not have a left adjoint because, for example, the discrete super convex space $[0,1]$ with the convex structure given by the infimum, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i u_i = \inf_i\{u_i | \alpha_i > 0\}$, does not have a universal arrow to $\text{Disc}$. That is, there does not exist any object $n$ in $\Delta$ for which there exists a countably affine map $[0,1] \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Disc}(n)$ which is a universal arrow from $[0,1]$ to $\text{Disc}$. However, most super convex spaces $A$ arising in practice do have a universal arrow, $A \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Disc}(R_A)$ with $R_A = \text{Comp}(A)$ being a finite discrete space.

**Example 8.2.** A toy model Define the “ordered disjoint union” of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{R}_\infty$ to consist of the elements $(r,i)$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}_\infty$ and $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$. The convex structure is this set is given by

$$
\alpha(r,i) + (1-\alpha)(s,j) = \begin{cases} 
(r,i) & \text{iff } i > j \\
(s,j) & \text{iff } j > i \\
(\alpha r + (1-\alpha)s,i) & \text{iff } i = j
\end{cases}
$$
and it extends to countably affine sums in the obvious manner, i.e., a countable affine sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i (r_i, k_i)$ is determined by the smallest index $k_i \in n$ for which $\alpha_i > 0$. A process on $A = P(\Sigma(\mathbb{R} \times n))$ is just a $\text{SCvx}^{\text{sep}}$ arrow, $P(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{\phi} P(\Sigma A)$. A noiseless measurement model is given applying the counit $\epsilon_A$ to the current state $P \in P(\Sigma A)$. A measurement “collapses” a state to a specific value $\epsilon_A(P) \in A$. The components map then tells us which orbit (state) the object we are modeling lies in. More sophisticated models can be constructed by using congruence relations on the semi-direct products.

The basic theory, using separable super convex spaces, can be generalized to have complex coefficients (probability amplitudes), and hence work with partitions of one defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| = 1$, where each $\alpha_i \in C$. The main advantage of this is that modelers can then model “interference” patterns, which are by no means limited to quantum processes.
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