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Quantum information is fragile and cannot be duplicated or amplified. Since photons do not collide with each other and hardly interact with the environment, they are the ideal carriers for quantum information and can preserve carried information intact over appreciable distances. Quantum information or wave functions is commonly encoded in photons’ polarization or spatial mode. As compared with these two kinds of photonic qubits, frequency-encoded qubits are not only more stable over long transmission distances but also more robust against birefringent materials, attracting great attention recently \[1–6\]. Quantum logic operation is a desirable add-on feature to birefringent materials, which not only reduces the output-to-input ratios or overall efficiencies less than 50\% and also may lead to additional quantum noise. Here, our low-loss FBS is made with the four-wave mixing (FWM) process based on the dual-Λ electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) scheme \[17–24\]. This EIT-based FWM process provides high optical nonlinearity, while greatly suppressing the insertion loss.

In this work, we demonstrate a FBS with tunable split ratio. A FBS with the split ratio of 0.5 or a 50/50 FBS can be employed as the frequency-mode Hadamard gate. A FBS with the split ratio of 1 can be utilized as the quantum frequency converter (QFC) in long-distance quantum communication. To date, all kinds of 50/50 FBS and QFC operating at the single-photon level had output-to-input ratios or overall efficiencies less than 50\% \[5\textsuperscript{4}–16\]. Most of these works suffered large insertion loss induced by media, which not only reduces the output-to-input ratio but also may lead to additional quantum noise. Here, our low-loss FBS is made with the four-wave mixing (FWM) process based on the dual-Λ electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) scheme \[17–24\]. This EIT-based FWM process provides high optical nonlinearity, while greatly suppressing the insertion loss. Using the transition scheme depicted in Fig. 1(a), we converted a coherent-state single photon in the 780 nm mode to another photon in the superposition of 780 nm and 795 nm modes, and demonstrated a 50/50 FBS with an output-to-input ratio of 90±4\%. Furthermore, we performed a QFC from 780 to 795 nm with light pulses of photon number less than one, and observed an output-to-input ratio of 84±4\%. Both output-to-input ratios are the best up-to-date records.

Frequency-encoded photonic qubits are not only more stable over long transmission distances but also more robust against birefringent materials. A 50/50 frequency beam splitter (FBS) is the Hadamard gate in quantum logic operation, which coherently converts a photon in one frequency or wavelength mode to another photon in the superposition of two different modes. Previous works revealed that the Hadamard gates or FBS’s operating at the single-photon level had overall efficiencies or output-to-input ratios less than 50\%. Here, our FBS is made with the four-wave mixing (FWM) process based on the dual-Λ electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) scheme. We achieved an overall efficiency of 90±4\% with coherent-state single photons, which is the best up-to-date record for 50/50 FBS. In addition, we utilized this EIT-based scheme to perform wavelength conversion with light pulses of photon number less than one. We obtained an output-to-input ratio of 84±4\%, which is not only the highest record currently achieved, but also the first experimental demonstration of preservation of the quantum state in the dual-A EIT scheme. This demonstration was revealed by the fidelity of our Hadamard gate. To measure the fidelity, we propose a novel method using Hong-Ou-Mandel interference (HOMI) for quantum process tomography. The fidelity of our Hadamard gate indicated by the HOMI’s \(g^{(2)}\) measurement is 0.99±0.01. This low-loss high-fidelity Hadamard gate or wavelength converter based on the dual-A EIT scheme can lead to useful operations or devices, such as entanglement swapping, multiplexer, etc., in long-distance quantum communication.

Quantum information is fragile and cannot be duplicated or amplified. Since photons do not collide with each other and hardly interact with the environment, they are the ideal carriers for quantum information and can preserve carried information intact over appreciable distances. Quantum information or wave functions is commonly encoded in photons’ polarization or spatial mode. As compared with these two kinds of photonic qubits, frequency-encoded qubits are not only more stable over long transmission distances but also more robust against birefringent materials, attracting great attention recently \[1–6\]. Quantum logic operation is a desirable add-on feature of photonic qubits \[4\]. Among quantum logic operations, the Hadamard gate is an essential component, and transforms state \(|0\rangle\) (or \(|1\rangle\)) to the superposition state of \(|0\rangle\) and \(|1\rangle\) of equal amplitude (or its orthogonal state). A beam splitter or polarizing beam splitter is exactly the Hadamard gate for spatial-mode or polarization qubits. In the context of frequency-encoded qubits, a frequency beam splitter (FBS) is the Hadamard gate, which coherently converts a photon in one frequency or wavelength mode to another photon in the superposition of two different frequency modes. A low-loss and high-fidelity frequency-mode Hadamard gate is crucial to the development of optical quantum computation of frequency-encoded qubits.

To test the fidelity of the photonic Hadamard gate, one should perform quantum process tomography \[25–28\]. We propose a novel method for quantum process to-
FIG. 1: Transition diagram, experimental setup, and operation of FBS. (a) Relevant energy levels and laser excitations in the experiment. |1⟩, |2⟩, |3⟩, and |4⟩ represent the states |5S_{1/2}, F = 1, m = 1⟩, |5S_{1/2}, F = 2, m = 1⟩, |5P_{3/2}, F = 2, m = 2⟩, and |5P_{3/2}, F = 2, m = 2⟩ of $^{87}$Rb atoms, respectively. The coupling ($\Omega_c$) and probe ($\Omega_p$) fields with the wavelength of 780 nm drove the transitions resonantly. The driving ($\Omega_d$) and signal ($\Omega_s$) fields with the wavelength of 795 nm drove the transitions with a detuning of $\Delta$. (b) Schema of the experimental setup. DBS: dichroic beam splitter; PP: polarizer or polarizing beam splitter with half-wave plate; PMF: polarization-maintained optical fiber; PPS: polarizing beam splitter; NBS: non-polarizing beam splitter with $T/R = 90/10$; F200-1, F175, F200-2: lenses with focal lengths of 200, 175, and 200 mm; $\lambda/4$: quarter-wave plate; BB: beam block; SPCM: single-photon counting module. (c) Illustration of 50/50 FBS. The left four diagrams depict that a 780 nm (or 795 nm) photon arriving to the input can result in either a 780 nm photon or a 795 nm photon with the equal probability at the output. The right two diagrams depict that a 780 nm and a 795 nm photons simultaneously arriving to the input can produce two photons of the same wavelength at the output due to the Hong-Ou-Mandel interference.

mography using Hong-Ou-Mandel interference (HOMI). ($^{29,33}$) The HOMI is a two-photon phenomenon, in which one two-mode wave function formed by two outputs of the Hadamard gate interferes with another. The value of normalized cross correlation function, $g^{(2)}$, in the HOMI measurement indicates that the fidelity of our Hadamard gate is 0.99±0.01. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the HOMI is used for quantum process tomography of a quantum logic gate. The high fidelity of our Hadamard gate also indicates that the single-photon quantum state is well preserved in the dual-Λ EIT scheme.

Our experiment was carried out with laser-cooled $^{87}$Rb atoms ($^{34,36}$). Figure 1(b) shows the schema of experimental setup. In the photon-atom coupling scheme as depicted in Fig. 1(a), the 780 nm probe and coupling fields form the first EIT configuration under the one-photon resonance; the 795 nm signal and driving fields form the second one with a large one-photon detuning, $\Delta$. The coupling and driving fields were strong quasi-cw light. The probe and signal fields were weak classical pulses, or coherent-state single- or few-photon pulses. Other details of the experimental system can be found in Sec. I of the Supplemental Material.

To characterize our experimental system and verify measurement outcomes, we made theoretical predictions with the optical Bloch equations (OBEs) of density-matrix operator and the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations (MSEs) of light fields, which can be found in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material. In these equations and throughout the paper, $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, $\Omega_p$, and $\Omega_s$ denote the Rabi frequencies of the coupling, driving, probe, and signal fields, $\delta$ is the two-photon detuning of the Raman transition between two ground states |1⟩ and |2⟩, $\gamma$ represents the ground-state decoherence rate, $\Gamma$ denotes the spontaneous decay rate of the excited states $|3⟩$ and $|4⟩$, which is about $2\pi\times6$ MHz in our case, and $\alpha$ is the optical depth (OD) of the medium. The measurements that determined $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, $\gamma$, and $\alpha$ in the experiment are illustrated in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material.

The split ratio is defined as the ratio of 795 nm output energy to total output energy, which is actually the photon-number ratio thorough this work to account for the energy difference between 780 nm and 795 nm photons. Tuning the split ratio of FBS can be done by varying either two-photon detuning $\delta$ or one-photon detuning $\Delta$. In this study of split ratio, only the 780 nm probe pulse of classical light was present at the input, and $\Omega_c = \Omega_d$. A part of the 780 nm input pulse was converted to the 795 nm signal pulse at the output, and the remaining became the 780 nm output pulse. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the energy transmissions of 780 nm and 795 nm output pulses as functions of the two-photon detuning $\delta$ and the one-photon detuning $\Delta$, respectively. One can see that using $\delta$ to tune the split ratio can suffer a larger loss, and using $\Delta$ is more efficient. In Fig. 2(b), the split ratio can be tuned from 1 to 0.5 or smaller with $|\Delta|/(2\pi) \geq 130$ MHz. The total energy transmission of 780 nm and 795 nm output pulses is 85% (or 88%) at the split ratio equal to 0.97 (or 0.54). A smaller split ratio results in a higher total transmission. In both figures, the apparent phenomenon of oscillation indicates that the underlying mechanism of FWM involves with the interference effect ($^{21,22}$). The theoretical predictions were calculated by numerically solving OBEs and MSEs with the experimentally-determined parameters of $\alpha$, $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, and $\gamma$ ($^{35,36}$). Consistency between the experimental data and theoretical predictions is satisfactory.

To test whether the scheme of our FBS can also work well at the single-photon level, we performed the measurements with coherent-state pulses of photon number equal to or less than 1. Two etalon filters were installed to provide the extinction ratio of 43 dB. The etalons, together with the scheme of spatial filter (see the third paragraph in Sec. I of the Supplemental Material), can ef-
effectively block the strong coupling and driving light from entering single-photon counting modules (SPCMs). Two Excelitas SPCM-AQRH-13-FC were used to detect the 780 nm and 795 nm output photons. The collection efficiencies (including the SPCM’s quantum efficiency of the 780 nm and 795 nm photons were about 0.13 and 0.12 for the data in Fig. 3(a) [0.17 and 0.12 for those in Fig. 2(b)]. We had another SPCM at the input to monitor the input photon number. All of the photo multiplier tubes used in the measurements of classical-light pulses and the SPCMs used in those of single-photon or few-photon pulses were calibrated to account for different detection efficiencies between the wavelengths or between the detectors. In each SPCM’s counting, it took 0.15 s to replenish cold atoms, switch off the MOT, perform the temporal dark-MOT, and optically pump all population to a single Zeeman state, before the input pulse was fired.

At the split ratio of 1, the FBS acts like a coherent wavelength converter transforming 780 nm photons completely into 795 nm photons. Figure 3(a) shows SPCM counts of input and output photons as functions of time.

The best fit of the data in Fig. 3(a) is consistent with the result of classical light shown by Fig. S1(c) in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material. The baseline count was mainly contributed from the leakage of strong coupling or driving fields. Using the area below the best fit but excluding the baseline count, we determined the overall conversion efficiency from the 780 nm single photons to the 795 nm single photons or the output-to-input ratio is 84±4%.

The split ratio of 0.5 can make the 50/50 FBS or Hadamard gate for frequency-encoded photonic quits. In Fig. 3(b), SPCM counts of 780 nm input photons and those of 780 nm and 795 nm output photons are plotted against time. The best fits of the data in Fig. 3(b) are consistent with the results of classical light shown by Fig. S2(a) in Sec. IV of the Supplemental Material. The comparison between Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) indicates that employing a narrower single-photon pulse can increase the amplitude-to-baseline ratio, while the output-to-input ratio was nearly intact. In our 50/50 FBS, the total transmission or ratio of total output photons to input photons is 90±4%.

We have now made the FBS which can operate with single photons. In analogy to an ordinary BS, 780 nm (or 795 nm) input photons are reflected into 795 nm (or 780 nm) output photons and transmitted into 780 nm (795 nm) output photons by our FWM-based FBS, with the split ratio defined by the ratio of reflected output photon number to total output photon number. Figure 3(c) illustrates the operation of 50/50 FBS. The next ques-
tion is whether this FBS can be suitable for quantum information processing. To answer the question, fidelity $F$ is the important issue and can be determined by the following formula [6, 37, 38]:

$$F = \frac{\text{Tr} [\hat{V}^\dagger \hat{U}]}{4T},$$

(1)

where $\hat{U}$ represents the operator of an ideal BS, $\hat{V}$ represents the operator of FWM-based FBS in the case here, $\text{Tr} [...]$ means the operation of trace, and $T$ is the total transmission or success probability of $\hat{V}$.

Considering the FBS, we define $t_1$ ($t_2$) and $r_1$ ($r_2$) as the transmission and reflection coefficients of input 1 (input 2), and $\phi_1$ ($\phi_2$) as the phase difference between the reflected and transmitted outputs. The general expression of $\hat{V}$ is given by

$$\hat{V} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & r_2 e^{i\phi_2} \\ r_1 e^{i\phi_1} & t_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

(2)

The split ratio of two inputs are determined by $r_1^2/(t_1^2 + r_1^2)$ and $r_2^2/(t_2^2 + r_2^2)$. In reality, the ground-state decoherence rate $\gamma$ in the experimental system was not negligible, making two inputs produce different split ratios and $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$. An ideal 50/50 BS must have $\phi_1 + \phi_2 = \pi$. Corresponding to $\hat{V}$ in Eq. (2) of a realistic BS with two split ratios close to 0.5, $\hat{U}$ of the ideal 50/50 BS can be written as [39, 40]

$$\hat{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i(\pi - \Delta \phi)/2} \\ e^{i(\pi + \Delta \phi)/2} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

(3)

where $\Delta \phi \equiv \phi_1 - \phi_2$. The derivation in Sec. V of the Supplemental Material shows that the fidelity of $\hat{V}$ is given by

$$F = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\bar{r}}{T} \sin \left( \frac{\phi}{2} \right),$$

(4)

where $\bar{r} = (t_1 + t_2)/2$, $r = (r_1 + r_2)/2$, $T = t_1^2 + r_1^2$, and $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$.

According to Eq. (4), one can immediately see that the phase $\phi$ approaching to $\pi$ can make a high-fidelity FBS. To determine $\phi$, we employed the Hong-Ou-Mandel interference (HOMI) [29, 33], and measured the normalized cross correlation function, $g^{(2)}$, between two outputs of the FBS. A simple example, to explain why the HOMI measurement can determine $\phi$ of Eq. (4), is illustrated in Sec. VI of the Supplemental Material. In the HOMI, it is well known that, with a 50/50 BS in the ideal condition, two Fock-state single photons results in $g^{(2)} = 0$ [29, 30], and two phase-uncorrelated coherent-state single photons results in $g^{(2)} = 0.5$ [31, 33]. Here, we sent two pulses to the two input ports of 50/50 FBS in the HOMI measurement. Each pulse consisted of a coherent-state single photon or few photons. The wavelength of one pulse was 780 nm and that of the other was 795 nm. Since the two pulses had the same mean photon number and were phase-uncorrelated, the derivation in Sec. VII of the Supplemental Material shows that $g^{(2)}$ of the two output ports is given by

$$g^{(2)} = 1 + \frac{2t_1 t_2 r_1 r_2}{(t_1^2 + r_1^2)(t_2^2 + r_2^2)} \cos \phi.$$

(5)

In Fig. 4, $g^{(2)}$ is plotted against the delay time between the two input pulses. The minimum $g^{(2)}$ is 0.53 ± 0.03 and occurs at the delay time of 200 ns. Based on the data shown in Figs. S2(a) and S2(b) of the Supplemental Material, this 200-ns delay time is expected. The two-photon event of both photons from two input ports transmitting through the FBS, and that of both photons being reflected by the FBS were nearly indistinguishable under such delay time. Right before taking the data in Fig. 4, we measured the data similar to those in Figs. S2(a) and S2(b), and found $t_1^2 = 46\%$, $r_1^2 = 46\%$, $t_2^2 = 51\%$, and $r_2^2 = 39\%$. The minimum $g^{(2)}$ and Eq. (5) result in $\cos \phi = -0.94(6)$. Finally, we use Eq. (4) and the above values of $t_1$, $r_1$, $t_2$, $r_2$, and $\phi$ to determine $F = 0.99\pm 0.01$, indicating that the FWM-based FBS possesses excellent fidelity.

In conclusion, utilizing the EIT-based FWM process we experimentally demonstrated a FBS of tunable split ratio with coherent-state single-photon pulses. At the split ratio of nearly 1, the FBS converted all of 780 nm input photons to 795 nm output photons with the
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