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A frequency beam splitter (FBS) with the split ratio of 0.5 or 1 can be used as the frequency-mode Hadamard gate (FHG) for frequency-encoded photonic qubits or as the quantum frequency converter (QFC) for frequency up or down conversion of photons. Previous works revealed that all kinds of the FHG or QFC operating at the single-photon level had overall efficiency or output-to-input ratio around 50% or less. In this work, our FHG and QFC are made with the four-wave mixing process based on the dual-Λ electromagnetically induced transparency scheme. We achieved an overall efficiency of 90±4\% in the FHG and that of 84\% in the QFC using coherent-state single photons, both of which are the best up-to-date records. To test the fidelity of the FBS, we propose a novel scheme of Hong-Ou-Mandel interference (HOMI) for quantum process tomography. The fidelity indicated by the HOMI’s $g^{(2)}$ measurement of the FHG is 0.99±0.01. Such low-loss high-fidelity FHG and QFC or FBS with the tunable split ratio can lead to useful operations or devices in long-distance quantum communication.

Quantum information or wave functions is commonly encoded in photons’ polarization or spatial mode. As compared with these two kinds of photonic qubits, frequency-encoded qubits are not only more stable over long transmission distances but also more robust against birefringent materials \cite{1,2}. Among quantum logic operations, the Hadamard gate is an essential component. A beam splitter is exactly the Hadamard gate for spatial-mode qubits. In the context of frequency-encoded photonic qubits, a frequency beam splitter (FBS) is the Hadamard gate. In this work, we demonstrate a FBS with a tunable split ratio, where the split ratio is the ratio of photon number in one output frequency mode to total output photon number. At the split ratio of 0.5, a FBS, i.e., a 50/50 FBS, can be employed as the frequency-mode Hadamard gate (FHG). At the split ratio of 1, a FBS can be utilized as the quantum frequency converter (QFC), which coherently converts a photon qubit from one frequency or wavelength to another.

To date, all kinds of FHG and QFC operating at the single-photon level had output-to-input ratios or overall efficiencies (including decay due to propagation or insertion loss in media, input coupling efficiency, frequency conversion efficiency, etc.) around 50\% or less \cite{5,10}. Most of these works suffered large insertion loss induced by media, which not only reduces the output-to-input ratio but also may lead to additional quantum noise. Here, our low-loss FBS is made with the four-wave mixing (FWM) process based on the dual-Λ electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) scheme \cite{17,24}. Using the transition scheme depicted in Fig. 1(a), we converted a coherent-state single photon in the 780 nm mode to another photon in the superposition of 780 nm and 795 nm modes, and demonstrated that the FHG has an output-to-input ratio of 90±4\%. Furthermore, we performed the QFC from 780 to 795 nm with light pulses of photon number less than one, and achieved an output-to-input ratio of 84±4\%. Both output-to-input ratios are the best up-to-date records.

To test the fidelity of a quantum device or operation, one should perform quantum process tomography \cite{25-28}. We propose a novel method for quantum process tomography using Hong-Ou-Mandel interference (HOMI). \cite{29,33}. The HOMI is a two-photon phenomenon, in which one two-mode wave function formed by the two outputs of the FBS interferes with another. In the HOMI measurement of our FHG, the value of normalized cross correlation function, $g^{(2)}$, reveals that the fidelity is 0.99±0.01. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the HOMI is used for quantum process tomography of a quantum logic gate. The result of high fidelity also indicates that the single-photon quantum state is well preserved in the dual-Λ EIT scheme. The EIT mechanism is universal and can work for various media \cite{34,38}. Hence, the high-fidelity and low loss FBS reported here can be readily applied to systems of the optical depth and decoherence rate similar to those in this work.

Our experiment was carried out with laser-cooled $^{87}\text{Rb}$ atoms \cite{39,41}. Figure 1(b) shows the schema of experimental setup. In the photon-atom coupling scheme as depicted in Fig. 1(a), the 780 nm probe and coupling fields form the first EIT configuration under the one-photon resonance; the 795 nm signal and driving fields form the second one with a large one-photon detuning, $\Delta$. The
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coupling and driving fields were strong quasi-cw light. The probe and signal fields were weak classical pulses, or coherent-state single- or few-photon pulses. Other details of the experimental system can be found in Sec. I of the Supplemental Material.

To characterize our experimental system and verify measurement outcomes, we made theoretical predictions with the optical Bloch equations (OBEs) of density-matrix operator and the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations (MSEs) of light fields, which can be found in Sec. II of the Supplemental Material. In these equations and throughout the paper, $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, $\gamma$, and $\alpha$ are the Rabi frequencies of the coupling, driving, probe, and signal fields, $\delta$ is the two-photon detuning of the Raman transition between two ground states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, $\gamma$ represents the ground-state decoherence rate, $\Gamma$ denotes the spontaneous decay rate of the excited states $|3\rangle$ and $|4\rangle$, which is about $2\pi \times 6$ MHz in our case, and $\alpha$ is the optical depth (OD) of the medium. The measurements that determined $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, $\gamma$, and $\alpha$ in the experiment are illustrated in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material.

The split ratio here is defined as the ratio of 795 nm output photon number to total output photon number under the condition that only the 780 nm photons are present at the input. Tuning the split ratio of FBS can be done by varying either two-photon detuning $\delta$ or one-photon detuning $\Delta$. In this study of split ratio, only the 780 nm probe pulse of classical light was present at the input, and $\Omega_c = \Omega_d$. A part of the 780 nm input pulse was converted to the 795 nm signal pulse at the output, and the remaining became the 780 nm output pulse. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the energy transmissions of 780 nm and 795 nm output pulses as functions of the two-photon detuning $\delta$ and the one-photon detuning $\Delta$, respectively. One can see that using $\delta$ to tune the split ratio can suffer a larger loss, and using $\Delta$ is more efficient. In Fig. 2(b), the split ratio can be tuned from 1 to 0.5 or smaller with $|\Delta|/(2\pi) \geq 130$ MHz. The total energy transmission of 780 nm and 795 nm output pulses is 85% (or 88%) at the split ratio equal to 0.97 (or 0.54). A smaller split ratio results in a higher total transmission. In both figures, the apparent phenomenon of oscillation indicates that the underlying mechanism of FWM involves with the interference effect at 21, 22. The theoretical predictions were calculated by numerically solving OBEs and MSEs with the experimentally-determined parameters of $\alpha$, $\Omega_c$, $\Omega_d$, and $\gamma$ at 20, 21. Consistency between the experimental data and theoretical predictions is satisfactory.

To test whether the scheme of our FBS can also work well at the single-photon level, we performed the measurements with coherent-state pulses of photon number equal to or less than 1. Two etalon filters were installed to provide the extinction ratio of 43 dB. The etalons, together with the scheme of spatial filter (see the third paragraph in Sec. I of the Supplemental Material), can effectively block the strong coupling and driving light from entering single-photon counting modules (SPCMs). Two Excelitas SPCM-AQRH-13-FC were used to detect the 780 nm and 795 nm output photons. The collection efficiencies (including the SPCM’s quantum efficiency) of the 780 nm and 795 nm photons were about 0.13 and 0.12 for the data in Fig. 3(a) [0.17 and 0.12 for those in Fig. 3(b)]. We had another SPCM at the input to monitor the input photon number. All of the photo multiplier tubes used in the measurements of classical-light pulses and the SPCMs used in those of single-photon or few-photon pulses were calibrated to account for different detection efficiencies between the wavelengths or between the detectors. In each SPCM’s counting, it took 0.15 s to replenish cold atoms, switch off the MOT, perform the temporal dark-MOT, and optically pump all population to a single Zeeman state, before the input pulse was fired.

At the split ratio of 1, the FBS acts like a coherent wavelength converter transforming 780 nm photons com-
FIG. 2: Tuning the split ratio of frequency beam splitter. (a) At one-photon detuning $\Delta = -2\pi \times 135 \text{ MHz}$, transmissions as functions of two-photon detuning $\delta$, where $\delta = 0$ is defined by the maximum transmission of the output signal pulse, but not by making the two-photon Raman transition of $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ resonant. (b) At $\delta = 0$, transmissions as functions of $\Delta/(2\pi)$. In (a) and (b), only the 780 nm probe pulse with the $e^{-2}$ full width of 3.0 $\mu$s was present at the input. Blue, red, and magenta circles are the experimental data of 780 nm probe and 795 nm signal output transmissions, and their total transmission, respectively. Solid lines are the theoretical predictions calculated with $\Omega_c = \Omega_d = 3.0\Omega$, $\alpha (\text{OD}) = 130$, and $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-5}\Gamma$, which were experimentally determined by the method illustrated in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material. The asymmetry between positive and negative values of $\delta$ is due to the existence of a phase mismatch in the experimental system.

pletely into 795 nm photons. Figure 3(a) shows SPCM counts of input and output photons as functions of time. The best fit of the data in Fig. 3(a) is consistent with the result of classical light shown by Fig. S1(c) in Sec. III of the Supplemental Material. The baseline count was mainly contributed from the leakage of strong coupling or driving fields. Using the area below the best fit but excluding the baseline count, we determined the overall conversion efficiency from the 780 nm single photons to the 795 nm single photons or the output-to-input ratio is $84 \pm 4\%$.

The split ratio of 0.5 can make the 50/50 FBS or Hadamard gate for frequency-encoded photonic quits. In Fig. 3(b), SPCM counts of 780 nm input photons and those of 780 nm and 795 nm output photons are plotted against time. The best fits of the data in Fig. 3(b) are consistent with the results of classical light shown by Fig. S2(a) in Sec. IV of the Supplemental Material. The comparison between Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) indicates that employing a narrower single-photon pulse can increase the amplitude-to-baseline ratio, while the output-to-input ratio was nearly intact. In our 50/50 FBS, the total transmission or ratio of total output photons to input photons is $90 \pm 4\%$.

We have now made the FBS which can operate with single photons. In analogy to an ordinary BS, 780 nm (or 795 nm) input photons are reflected into 795 nm (or 780 nm) output photons and transmitted into 780 nm (795 nm) output photons by our FWM-based FBS, with the split ratio defined by the ratio of reflected output photon number to total output photon number. Figure 3(c) illustrates the operation of 50/50 FBS. The next question is whether this FBS can be suitable for quantum information processing. To answer the question, fidelity $F$ is the important issue and can be determined by the following formula $[6, 42, 43]$:

$$F = \frac{\text{Tr} \left[ \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{U} \right]^2}{4T},$$

where $\hat{U}$ represents the operator of an ideal BS, $\hat{V}$ represents the operator of FWM-based FBS in the case here, $\text{Tr} [...]$ means the operation of trace, and $T$ is the total transmission or success probability of $\hat{V}$. Considering the FBS, we define $t_1$ ($t_2$) and $r_1$ ($r_2$) as the transmission and reflection coefficients of input 1 (input 2), and $\phi_1$ ($\phi_2$) as the phase difference between the reflected and transmitted outputs. The general expres-
Supplemental Material shows that the fidelity of $\hat{U}$, where $\Delta \phi$ is the phase of the tunable split ratio can lead to useful devices or operations, such as entanglement swapping, multiplexing, etc., in long-distance quantum communication.
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