

ℓ^1 -CONTRACTIVE MAPS ON NONCOMMUTATIVE L^p -SPACES

CHRISTIAN LE MERDY AND SAFOURA ZADEH

ABSTRACT. Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be a bounded operator between two noncommutative L^p -spaces, $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that T is ℓ^1 -bounded (resp. ℓ^1 -contractive) if $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$ extends to a bounded (resp. contractive) map from $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$ into $L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)$. We show that Yeadon's factorization theorem for L^p -isometries, $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, applies to an isometry $T: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if T is ℓ^1 -contractive. We also show that a contractive operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is automatically ℓ^1 -contractive if it satisfies one of the following two conditions: either T is 2-positive; or T is separating, that is, for any disjoint $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ (i.e. $a^*b = ab^* = 0$), the images $T(a), T(b)$ are disjoint as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be two semifinite von Neumann algebras. For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, consider the associated noncommutative L^p -spaces $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and $L^p(\mathcal{N})$. A remarkable theorem of Yeadon [26] (see Theorem 3.1 below) asserts that if $p \neq 2$ and $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is a linear isometry, then there exist a normal Jordan homomorphism $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, a positive operator B affiliated with \mathcal{N} and a partial isometry $w \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $w^*wB = B$, $J(a)$ commutes with B for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, and

$$(1) \quad T(a) = wBJ(a),$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$.

This striking factorization property is the noncommutative version of the celebrated description of isometries on classical (=commutative) L^p -spaces due to Banach [1] and Lamperti [13]. We refer to the books [3] and [4] for details on these results, complements and historical background.

The work presented in this paper was originally motivated by the following question, concerning the case $p = 2$: what are the linear isometries $T: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$ which admit a Yeadon type factorization, that is, isometries for which there exist J, B, w as above such that (1) holds true for any $a \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^2(\mathcal{M})$?

This issue leads us to introduce a new property, called ℓ^1 -boundedness, which is defined as follows. Consider the ℓ^1 -valued noncommutative L^p -space $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$ introduced by Junge [7] (see also [20] and [9]). Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be a bounded operator. We say that T is ℓ^1 -bounded if $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$ extends to a bounded map

$$T \overline{\otimes} I_{\ell^1}: L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1).$$

We further say that T is ℓ^1 -contractive if the map $T \overline{\otimes} I_{\ell^1}$ is a contraction. The main result of this paper (Theorem 4.2 below) is that an isometry $T: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$ is ℓ^1 -contractive if and only if it admits a Yeadon type factorization.

Date: January 26, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L52; Secondary: 46B04, 47B65.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative L^p -spaces, regular maps, positive maps, isometries.

To explain the relevance of Theorem 4.2 we note that ℓ^1 -boundedness is a noncommutative analogue of regularity for maps acting on commutative L^p -spaces. (We refer to [18, Chapter 1] for definitions and background on regular maps.) It follows that Theorem 4.2 is a noncommutative extension of the well-known result stating that a linear isometry between commutative L^2 -spaces is a Lamperti operator if and only if it is contractively regular, if and only if it is a subpositive contraction (see e.g [15]).

The proof of Yeadon's theorem heavily relies on the fact that for $p \neq 2$, any linear isometry $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ has the following property: if $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ are disjoint, that is $a^*b = ab^* = 0$, then $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are disjoint as well. Such maps are called separating in the present paper. We show that a bounded operator $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is separating if and only if it admits a Yeadon type factorization.

The concept of ℓ^1 -boundedness is interesting in its own sake and this paper aims at studying some of its main features. We show in particular that a contractive operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is automatically ℓ^1 -contractive either if T is separating (see Theorem 3.15) or if T is 2-positive (see Proposition 5.1).

2. NOTION OF ℓ^1 -BOUNDEDNESS AND BACKGROUND

In this section, we provide some background on noncommutative L^p -spaces and on the ℓ^1 -valued spaces $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$. Then we introduce the notions of ℓ^1 -boundedness and ℓ^1 -contractivity and establish some preliminary results.

Let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. We briefly recall the noncommutative L^p -spaces $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$ and some of their basic properties. The reader is referred to the survey [16] and references therein for details and further properties.

If $\mathcal{M} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ acts on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the elements of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ can be viewed as closed densely defined (possibly unbounded) operators on \mathcal{H} . More precisely, let \mathcal{M}' denote the commutant of \mathcal{M} in $B(\mathcal{H})$. A closed densely defined operator a is said to be affiliated with \mathcal{M} if a commutes with every unitary of \mathcal{M}' . An affiliated operator a is called measurable (with respect to $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$) if there is a positive number $\lambda > 0$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\varepsilon_{\lambda}) < \infty$, where $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = \chi_{[\lambda, \infty)}(|a|)$ is the projection associated with the indicator function of $[\lambda, \infty)$ in the Borel functional calculus of $|a|$. Then the set $L^0(\mathcal{M})$ of all measurable operators forms a $*$ -algebra (see e.g. [24, Chapter I] for a proof and also for the definitions of algebraic operations on $L^0(\mathcal{M})$). We proceed with defining $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ as a subspace of $L^0(\mathcal{M})$. First note that for any $a \in L^0(\mathcal{M})$ and any $0 < p < \infty$, the operator $|a|^p = (a^*a)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ belongs to $L^0(\mathcal{M})$. If $L^0(\mathcal{M})^+$ denotes the positive cone of $L^0(\mathcal{M})$, that is the set of all positive operators in $L^0(\mathcal{M})$, the trace $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ extends to a positive tracial functional on $L^0(\mathcal{M})^+$, taking values in $[0, \infty]$, also denoted by $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. For any $0 < p < \infty$, the noncommutative L^p -space, $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{\mathcal{M}})$, is

$$L^p(\mathcal{M}) := \{a \in L^0(\mathcal{M}) : \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(|a|^p) < \infty\}.$$

For $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, let $\|a\|_p := \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(|a|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ defines a complete norm, and for $p < 1$, a complete p -norm. We let $L^\infty(\mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{M}$, equipped with its operator norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

For any $0 < p \leq \infty$ and any $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, the adjoint operator a^* belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and $\|a^*\|_p = \|a\|_p$. Furthermore, we have that $a^*a \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $|a| \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, with

$\|a\|_p = \|a\|_p$. More generally, for any $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$, we have that $ab \in L^r(\mathcal{M})$ if $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and $b \in L^q(\mathcal{M})$, with Hölder's inequality

$$(2) \quad \|ab\|_r \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q.$$

For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$ be the conjugate number of p . Then by (2), ab belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M})$ for any $a \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and $b \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{M})$. Further the duality pairing

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(ab), \quad a \in L^p(\mathcal{M}), \quad b \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{M}),$$

yields an isometric isomorphism $L^p(\mathcal{M})^* = L^{p'}(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, we may identify $L^1(\mathcal{M})$ with the (unique) predual \mathcal{M}_* of \mathcal{M} . These duality results will be used without further reference in the paper.

We let $L^p(\mathcal{M})^+ := L^0(\mathcal{M})^+ \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$ denote the positive cone of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$. A bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ between two noncommutative L^p -spaces is called positive if it maps $L^p(\mathcal{M})^+$ into $L^p(\mathcal{N})^+$.

If $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} , and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} = tr$, the usual trace on $B(\mathcal{H})$, then the associated noncommutative L^p -space is the Schatten class $S^p(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is the commutative von Neumann algebra associated with a measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, then $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ coincides with the classical L^p -space $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

Let tr denote the usual trace on $B(\ell^2)$ and consider the von Neumann algebra tensor product $B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$, equipped with the normal semifinite faithful trace $tr \overline{\otimes} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ (see [23, Chapter V, Proposition 2.14]). Any element of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ can be regarded as an infinite matrix $(b_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$, with $b_{ij} \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$. We let $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$ denote the subspace of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ consisting of all matrices whose entries off the first column are all zero. We regard this space as a sequence space by saying that a sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$ if the infinite matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ b_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$$

represents an element of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$. Similarly, we define $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)$ as the subspace of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ consisting of all matrices whose entries off the first row are all zero.

We let E_{ij} , $i, j \geq 1$, denote the usual matrix units of $B(\ell^2)$, and regard $S^p(\ell^2) \otimes L^p(\mathcal{M})$ as a subspace of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ in the usual way. For any finitely supported sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n1} \otimes b_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)} = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{1n} \otimes a_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)} = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When $1 \leq p < \infty$, elements of $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$ and $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)$ can be approximated by finitely supported sequences, thanks to the following (easy) result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose that $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$.
- (ii) There exists a positive constant K such that for every $N \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N E_{n1} \otimes b_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)} \leq K.$$

- (iii) The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} E_{n1} \otimes b_n$ converges in $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$.

Moreover, the same result holds with ℓ_c^2 replaced by ℓ_r^2 and E_{n1} replaced by E_{1n} .

Remark 2.2. By (3) and the Cauchy convergence test, we see that a sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies the assertion (iii) of Lemma 2.1 if and only if the series $\sum_n b_n^* b_n$ converges in $L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathcal{M})$. In this case, the identity (3) holds true for $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. In [7], Junge defined $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$ as the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ for which there exist families $(u_{kn})_{k,n \geq 1}$, $(v_{kn})_{k,n \geq 1} \in L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ and a positive constant K such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{k,n=1}^N u_{kn} u_{kn}^* \right\|_p \leq K \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{k,n=1}^N v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \right\|_p \leq K$$

for any $N \geq 1$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{kn} v_{kn} = x_n$, for all $n \geq 1$. (The convergence of the series is ensured by (5) and Lemma 2.1.) He showed that this a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} = \inf \left\{ \sup_N \left\| \sum_{k,n=1}^N u_{kn} u_{kn}^* \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_N \left\| \sum_{k,n=1}^N v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all families $(u_{kn})_{k,n \geq 1}$ and $(v_{kn})_{k,n \geq 1}$ as above.

The following alternative description is well-known to specialists (and implicit in [17, pp. 537-538]). We give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$ and $\|(x_n)_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} < 1$.
- (ii) There exist sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $a_n b_n = x_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, the series $\sum_n a_n a_n^*$ and $\sum_n b_n^* b_n$ converge in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, and we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_p < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_p < 1.$$

Proof. The assertion “(ii) \Rightarrow (i)” is obvious. Conversely assume (i) and consider u_{kn}, v_{kn} in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying (5) for some $K < 1$, and such that

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{kn} v_{kn}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

We regard $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ as a subspace of $L^p(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$ by identifying any $b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ with $E_{11} \otimes b$. We set

$$u_n := (u_{kn})_{k \geq 1} \in L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n := (v_{kn})_{k \geq 1} \in L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$$

for all $n \geq 1$, that we regard as elements of $L^{2p}(B(\ell^2) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M})$. Then $x_n = u_n v_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

By polar decomposition, there exist $\varphi_n \in L^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)$ and $\psi_n \in L^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad \|\psi_n\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad u_n = |u_n^*| \varphi_n \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = \psi_n |v_n|.$$

If we let $a_n = |u_n^*|$ and $b_n = \varphi_n \psi_n |v_n|$, then we have

$$x_n = u_n v_n = |u_n^*| \varphi_n \psi_n |v_n| = a_n b_n$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Further a_n, b_n belong to $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$. Next we have

$$a_n a_n^* = |u_n^*|^2 = u_n u_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{kn} u_{kn}^*,$$

hence for any $N \geq 1$, $\|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n a_n^*\|_p \leq K$. By Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2, this implies the convergence of the series of the $a_n a_n^*$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, with $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^*\|_p \leq K$. Likewise, since $(\varphi_n \psi_n)^*(\varphi_n \psi_n) \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^* b_n &= |v_n| (\varphi_n \psi_n)^* (\varphi_n \psi_n) |v_n| \\ &\leq |v_n|^2 = v_n^* v_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{kn}^* v_{kn}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that the series of the $b_n^* b_n$ converges in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, with $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n\|_p \leq K$. This proves (ii). \square

When dealing with positive sequences, the study of the $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \ell^1)$ -norm is simple. We learnt the following result from [25].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and assume that $x_n \geq 0$ for any $n \geq 1$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$.
- (ii) The series $\sum_n x_n$ converges in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$.

Further in this case, we have

$$(6) \quad \|(x_n)_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \right\|_p.$$

Proof. It follows from (3) and (4) that for any finitely supported families $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The assertion “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)” and the inequality \geq in (6) follow at once (here we do not need any positivity assumption on the x_n).

Assume conversely that the series $\sum_n x_n$ converges in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and set $a_n = b_n = x_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the convergence of $\sum_n a_n a_n^*$ and $\sum_n b_n^* b_n$ are trivial and $x_n = a_n b_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. This implies (i), as well as the inequality \leq in (6). \square

We remark that for any $c = (c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1$ and any $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, the sequence $(c_n x)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$. Further the mapping $x \otimes c \mapsto (c_n x)_{n \geq 1}$ extends to an embedding

$$L^p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \ell^1 \subset L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$$

and with this convention, $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \ell^1$ is a dense subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$.

Let $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ denote the canonical basis of ℓ^1 . Then we let $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)$ be the direct sum $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^p(\mathcal{M})$ equipped with the norm $\|(x, y)\| = \|x \otimes e_1 + y \otimes e_2\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)}$, for any $x, y \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$.

Throughout the paper we will consider two semifinite von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} equipped with normal semifinite faithful traces $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, respectively, and we will consider various bounded operators $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Definition 2.5. We say that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is

- (i) ℓ^1 -bounded if $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$ extends to a bounded map

$$T \overline{\otimes} I_{\ell^1}: L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1).$$

In this case, the norm of $T \overline{\otimes} I_{\ell^1}$ is called the ℓ^1 -bounded norm of T and is denoted by $\|T\|_{\ell^1}$;

- (ii) ℓ^1 -contractive if it is ℓ^1 -bounded and $\|T\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$;
- (iii) ℓ_2^1 -contractive if for every $x, y \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\|(T(x), T(y))\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^1)} \leq \|(x, y)\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)}.$$

Remark 2.6. In the case $p = 1$, we note that $L^1(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1) \simeq \ell^1(L^1(\mathcal{M}))$ isometrically. This implies that any bounded operator $T: L^1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{N})$ is automatically ℓ^1 -bounded, with $\|T\|_{\ell^1} = \|T\|$.

In the rest of this section, we compare ℓ^1 -boundedness with Pisier's notion of complete regularity. Let us recall that for a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} and an operator space E , Pisier [20, Chapter 3] introduced a vector valued noncommutative L^p -space $L^p(\mathcal{M})[E]$. Let $\text{Max}(\ell^1)$ be ℓ^1 equipped with its so-called maximal operator space structure (see e.g. [19, Chapter 3]). It turns out that

$$(7) \quad L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1) \simeq L^p(\mathcal{M})[\text{Max}(\ell^1)] \quad \text{isometrically,}$$

when \mathcal{M} is hyperfinite (see [7, 9]).

Assume that the semifinite von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} are both hyperfinite. Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be a bounded operator. Following Pisier [21], T is called completely regular if there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\|T \otimes I_{M_n}: L^p(\mathcal{M})[M_n] \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})[M_n]\| \leq K.$$

In this case the least possible K is denoted by $\|T\|_{reg}$ and is called the completely regular norm of T . It is noticed in [21] that if T is completely regular, then for any operator space E , $T \otimes I_E$ (uniquely) extends to a bounded operator $T \overline{\otimes} I_E$ from $L^p(\mathcal{M})[E]$ into $L^p(\mathcal{N})[E]$, with

$$\|T \overline{\otimes} I_E: L^p(\mathcal{M})[E] \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})[E]\| \leq \|T\|_{reg}.$$

Combining this fact with (7), we obtain that if $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is completely regular, then T is ℓ^1 -bounded, with $\|T\|_{\ell^1} \leq \|T\|_{reg}$.

The next example shows that the converse is wrong.

Example 2.7. We consider the specific case $\mathcal{M} = B(\ell^2)$, and we let $T: S^p(\ell^2) \rightarrow S^p(\ell^2)$ be the transposition map. This map is ℓ^1 -contractive. This is an easy fact, which is a special case of Theorem 3.15 below. Here is a direct argument.

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be in $L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$ and let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be two sequences belonging to $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)$ and $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$, respectively, such that $x_n = a_n b_n$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then $T(x_n) = {}^t b_n {}^t a_n$ for any $n \geq 1$, $({}^t a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)$, $({}^t b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)$ and we both have

$$\|({}^t a_n)_n\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)} = \|(a_n)_n\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|({}^t b_n)_n\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_r^2)} = \|(b_n)_n\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)}.$$

The result follows at once.

Let us now prove that T is not completely regular. We need a little operator space technology, in particular we use the Haagerup tensor product \otimes_h , the operator spaces R, C and the interpolation spaces $R(\theta) = [C, R]_\theta$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, for which we refer to [19].

Let $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the canonical basis of ℓ^2 . As it is outlined in [20, Theorem 1.1 and p.20], for any operator space E , the mapping $e_i \otimes x \otimes e_j \mapsto E_{ij} \otimes x$, for $i, j \geq 1$ and $x \in E$, uniquely extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$(8) \quad S^p[E] \simeq R\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \otimes_h E \otimes_h R\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Assume that T is completely regular and let $K = \|T\|_{reg}$. Apply (8) with $E = R$. For any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(T \otimes I_R) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes e_k \otimes e_1 \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_1 \otimes e_k \otimes e_k,$$

hence

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes e_k \right\|_{R \otimes_h R(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \leq K \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes e_k \right\|_{R(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes_h R}.$$

It follows from the calculations in [20, Chapter 1] (see also [8]) that

$$R\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \otimes_h R \simeq S^{2p} \quad \text{and} \quad R \otimes_h R\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \simeq S^{(2p)'}$$

isometrically, where $(2p)'$ is the conjugate number of $2p$. Let $Q_n \in B(\ell^2)$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes e_k = Q_n$ in the above identifications. Hence we obtain that

$$\|Q_n\|_{(2p)'} \leq K \|Q_n\|_{2p}.$$

Since $\|Q_n\|_q = n^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for any $1 < q < \infty$, we obtain that $n^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} \leq K n^{\frac{1}{2p}}$, equivalently, $n \leq K n^{\frac{1}{p}}$, for any $n \geq 1$. This yields a contradiction if $p > 1$. In the case $p = 1$, the fact that T is not completely regular is obtained by applying (8) with $E = C$ instead of $E = R$.

Remark 2.8. Here we consider the commutative case. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space. For any operator space E , $L^p(L^\infty(\Omega))[E]$ coincides with the Bochner space $L^p(\Omega; E)$. Thus if $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ is another measure space and $T: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega')$ is any bounded operator, then T is completely regular (in the above sense) if and only if T is regular in the lattice sense (see [18, Chapter 1] for details and background). Moreover in this case, the completely regular norm of T coincides with its regular norm. It follows from [18, Paragraph 1.2] that T is regular if (and only if) $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$ extends to a bounded map $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$ from $L^p(\Omega; \ell^1)$ into $L^p(\Omega'; \ell^1)$ and in this case, we have $\|T \otimes I_{\ell^1}\| = \|T\|_{reg}$. Consequently,

$T: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega')$ is ℓ^1 -bounded if and only if T is regular and in this case, the ℓ^1 -bounded norm of T is equal to its regular norm.

3. DISJOINTNESS AND SEPARATING OPERATORS

In [12], Kan introduced the concept of Lamperti operators on commutative L^p -spaces, which include L^p -isometries, $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, and positive L^2 -isometries. He then proved a structural theorem for such operators. In this section we provide a noncommutative version of this result, as well as a connection with ℓ^1 -boundedness.

Let us first recall some facts related to Jordan homomorphisms that we require in this section. (We refer to [22], [5] and [11, Exercices 10.5.21-10.5.31] for general information.) A Jordan homomorphism between von Neumann algebras \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} is a linear map $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ that satisfies $J(a^2) = J(a)^2$ and $J(a^*) = J(a)^*$, for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$. We say that the Jordan homomorphism $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a Jordan monomorphism when J is one-to-one. Any Jordan homomorphism is a positive contraction and any Jordan monomorphism is an isometry.

Let $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a Jordan homomorphism and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $J(\mathcal{M})$. Let $e := J(1)$. Then e is a projection and e is the unit of \mathcal{D} . According to [22, Theorem 3.3] (see also [5, Corollary 7.4.9.]), there exist projections g and f in the center of \mathcal{D} such that

- (i) $g + f = e$;
- (ii) $a \mapsto J(a)g$ is a $*$ -homomorphism;
- (iii) $a \mapsto J(a)f$ is an anti- $*$ -homomorphism;

Let $\mathcal{N}_1 = g\mathcal{N}g$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = f\mathcal{N}f$. We let $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ and $\sigma: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2$ be defined by $\pi(a) = J(a)g$ and $\sigma(a) = J(a)f$, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \overset{\infty}{\oplus} \mathcal{D}_2$ and $J(a) = \pi(a) + \sigma(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$. We will use the suggestive notations

$$(9) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

to refer to such a central decomposition. We note that J is normal (i.e. w^* -continuous) if and only if π and σ are normal.

Assume that $\mathcal{M} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ acts on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let x be a closed densely defined operator on \mathcal{H} , affiliated with \mathcal{M} . If x is self-adjoint, with polar decomposition $x = w|x|$, we let $s(x)$ denote the projection $w^*w (= ww^*)$, called the support of x .

The following remarkable characterization of L^p -isometries, $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, is at the root of our investigations.

Theorem 3.1 (Yeadon [26]). *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, a bounded operator*

$$T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$$

is an isometry if and only if there exist a normal Jordan monomorphism $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, a partial isometry $w \in \mathcal{N}$, and a positive operator B affiliated with \mathcal{N} , which verify the following conditions:

- (a) $T(a) = wBJ(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$;
- (b) $w^*w = J(1) = s(B)$;
- (c) every spectral projection of B commutes with $J(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$;

(d) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(a) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p J(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$.

This motivates the introduction of the following concept. Since we would like to consider maps $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ that are not necessarily isometries we drop part (d) of Theorem 3.1 in our definition.

Definition 3.2. We say that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, has a “**Yeadon type factorization**” if there exist a normal Jordan homomorphism $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, a partial isometry $w \in \mathcal{N}$, and a positive operator B affiliated with \mathcal{N} , which verify the following conditions:

- (a) $T(a) = wBJ(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$;
- (b) $w^*w = J(1) = s(B)$;
- (c) every spectral projection of B commutes with $J(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 3.3. The argument in the last paragraph of the proof of [26, Theorem 2] shows that for an operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ with a Yeadon type factorization, w , B and J from Definition 3.2 are uniquely determined by T . We call (w, B, J) the Yeadon triple of the operator T .

The crucial property that allowed Yeadon to describe L^p -isometries is that they map disjoint elements to disjoint elements. This property is shared by operators other than isometries and as we show in Proposition 3.11, it characterizes operators with a Yeadon type factorization. We introduce the relevant concepts and supply a few preparatory results.

Definition 3.4. Let a and b be elements in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We say a and b are disjoint if $ab^* = a^*b = 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *The elements a and b in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ are disjoint if and only if $|a|$ and $|b|$ are disjoint and $|a^*|$ and $|b^*|$ are disjoint.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$. First we note that a and b are disjoint if and only if

$$\text{Im}(b) \subseteq \text{Im}(a)^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(a)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(b).$$

This implies that $|a|$ and $|b|$ are disjoint if and only if $\text{Ker}(a)^\perp = \text{Ker}(|a|)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(|b|) = \text{Ker}(b)$. Then $|a^*|$ and $|b^*|$ are disjoint if and only if $\text{Ker}(a^*)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(b^*)$, which is itself equivalent to $\text{Im}(b) \subseteq \text{Im}(a)^\perp$. Therefore, a and b are disjoint if and only if $|a|$ and $|b|$ are disjoint and $|a^*|$ and $|b^*|$ are disjoint. \square

Remark 3.6. Consider the special case $p = 2$ and let a, b be two positive elements in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Then a and b are disjoint if (and only if) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(ab) = 0$, that is, if and only if a and b are orthogonal in the Hilbertian sense. Indeed assume that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(ab) = 0$. Then $0 = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(ab) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}})(b^{\frac{1}{2}}a^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ and $a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the adjoint of $b^{\frac{1}{2}}a^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since the trace $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ is faithful, this implies that $a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Therefore, $ab = a^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^{\frac{1}{2}}b^{\frac{1}{2}})b^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Hence a and b are disjoint.

Definition 3.7. We say that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is separating if whenever $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ are disjoint, then $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are disjoint.

Lemma 3.8. *Any Jordan homomorphism $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is separating.*

Proof. Let $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a Jordan homomorphism and consider a decomposition $J = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$ as in (9).

Suppose that a and b are disjoint elements of \mathcal{M} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(a)^* J(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi(a)^* \pi(b) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a)^* \sigma(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi(a^* b) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(b a^*) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $J(a)J(b)^* = 0$, and therefore J is separating. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is separating on $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$, that is, $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are disjoint for any disjoint a and b in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Then T is separating.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ with $a^* b = ab^* = 0$. We let $a = v|a|$ and $b = w|b|$ be the polar decompositions of a and b , respectively. By Lemma 3.5, we have $|a||b| = 0$.

For any $n \geq 1$, let $p_n := \chi_{[-n, n]}(|a|)$ be the projection associated with the indicator function of $[-n, n]$ in the Borel functional calculus of $|a|$, and similarly let $q_n := \chi_{[-n, n]}(|b|)$. Let $a_n := ap_n$ and $b_n := bq_n$. We have

$$p_n |a| = |a| p_n \rightarrow |a| \quad \text{and} \quad q_n |b| = |b| q_n \rightarrow |b|$$

in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$. This implies that $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$.

Note that for any $n \geq 1$, a_n and b_n belong to $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Further we have

$$a_n^* b_n = p_n a^* b q_n = 0$$

and

$$a_n b_n^* = v|a| p_n q_n |b| w^* = v p_n |a| |b| q_n w^* = 0.$$

Thus a_n and b_n are disjoint.

By assumption this implies that $T(a_n)^* T(b_n) = 0$ and $T(a_n) T(b_n)^* = 0$. Passing to the limit, we deduce that $T(a)^* T(b) = 0$ and $T(a) T(b)^* = 0$. \square

From now on, we consider

$$\mathcal{E} := \{e \in \mathcal{M} : e \text{ is a projection and } \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e) < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} e \mathcal{M} e.$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $exe \rightarrow x$ in the w^* -topology of \mathcal{M} , when $e \rightarrow 1$. Further for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, $exe \rightarrow x$ in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Thus \mathcal{A} is a w^* -dense subspace of \mathcal{M} and a norm dense subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. Lemma 3.10 below is a w^* -extension result of independent interest, which will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.11.

Given any $w \in \mathcal{M}^*$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$, we let $awb \in \mathcal{M}^*$ be defined by

$$awb(x) := w(bxa), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Recall e.g. from [23, pp 126-128] the decomposition

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}_* \overset{1}{\oplus} \mathcal{M}_s^*,$$

where \mathcal{M}_s^* denotes the space of singular functionals on \mathcal{M} , and \mathcal{M}_* is the predual of \mathcal{M} , which coincides with the space of normal functionals on \mathcal{M} . It is well-known that if $w = w_n + w_s$ is the aforementioned decomposition of w , then aw_nb and aw_sb are the normal part and the singular part of awb , respectively.

Lemma 3.10. *Let Y be a dual Banach space. For any bounded operator $u: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every $e \in \mathcal{E}$, the restriction $u|_{e\mathcal{M}e}: e\mathcal{M}e \rightarrow Y$ is w^* -continuous.*
- (ii) *There exists a w^* -continuous extension $\hat{u}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow Y$ of u .*

Proof. The implication “(ii) \Rightarrow (i)” is trivial. For the converse, we assume (i).

We first consider the case when $Y = \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is such that $\alpha|_{e\mathcal{M}e}$ is w^* -continuous for each $e \in \mathcal{E}$. Using Hahn-Banach, we let $w: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded extension of α to \mathcal{M} and we consider its decomposition $w = w_n + w_s$ according to (10).

For every $e \in \mathcal{E}$, ewe is w^* -continuous. We noticed that $ewe = ew_ne + ew_se$ is the decomposition of ewe . Since ewe and ew_ne are w^* -continuous, the singular part ew_se of ewe must be zero, and consequently, $ewe = ew_ne$, for every $e \in \mathcal{E}$. This implies that the restriction of w_n to \mathcal{A} coincides with α . Thus $\hat{\alpha} = w_n$ is a w^* -continuous extension of α .

For the general case, let $v = u^*|_{Y_*}: Y_* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be the restriction of the adjoint of u to the predual of Y . Let

$$\kappa: \mathcal{M}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$$

denote the restriction map taking any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$ to $\nu|_{\mathcal{A}}$. This is an isometry (by Kaplansky’s theorem, say), whose range coincides with the space of all functionals $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which admit a w^* -continuous extension to \mathcal{M} .

For each $\eta \in Y_*$, $\eta \circ u|_{e\mathcal{M}e}$ is w^* -continuous, for every $e \in \mathcal{E}$. By our argument for the case $Y = \mathbb{C}$, this implies that $\eta \circ u \in \text{Im}(\kappa)$. This means that v is valued in $\text{Im}(\kappa)$. We can therefore consider $w = \kappa^{-1} \circ v: Y_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ and define $\hat{u} = w^*: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow Y$. By construction, \hat{u} is w^* -continuous.

We now claim that \hat{u} is an extension of u . To see this, recall that for any $\eta \in Y_*$, the functional $\kappa^{-1} \circ u^*(\eta)$ is an extension to \mathcal{M} of $u^*(\eta): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Consequently,

$$\langle \hat{u}(exe), \eta \rangle = \langle exe, w(\eta) \rangle = \langle exe, \kappa^{-1} \circ u^*(\eta) \rangle = \langle exe, u^*(\eta) \rangle = \langle u(exe), \eta \rangle$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, any $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $\eta \in Y_*$. This proves the claim. \square

Proposition 3.11. *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is separating if and only if it has a Yeadon type factorization.*

Proof. Assume that T is separating. We adapt Yeadon’s argument from [26], taking into account that our operators are no longer necessarily isometries.

For any $e \in \mathcal{E}$, let $B_e = |T(e)|$ and let $T(e) = w_e B_e$ be the polar decomposition of $T(e)$. We have

$$(11) \quad B_e w_e^* w_e = B_e = w_e^* w_e B_e.$$

Set $J(e) := w_e^* w_e = s(B_e)$. If e and f are in \mathcal{E} and $ef = 0$, then since T is separating we have

$$(12) \quad T(e)^* T(f) = T(e) T(f)^* = 0.$$

Using (12), the argument in the proof of [26, Theorem 2] shows that $J: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ extends to a linear map $J: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$(13) \quad J(a^2) = J(a)^2, \quad J(a^*) = J(a)^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \|J(a)\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_\infty$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$(14) \quad T(exe) = w_e B_e J(exe)$$

for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and

$$(15) \quad B_f J(e) = J(e) B_f = B_e, \quad \text{for any } e, f \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ with } e \leq f.$$

Note that by (13), the restriction of J to $e\mathcal{M}e$ is a Jordan homomorphism for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$. Consequently,

$$(16) \quad J(exe) = J(e)J(x)J(e)$$

for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

We now show that J admits a normal extension (still denoted by) $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. (Note that Yeadon's argument in the isometric case does not apply to our general case.) According to Lemma 3.10 it suffices to show that the restriction of J to $e\mathcal{M}e$ is normal for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$. To see this, we fix such an e and we let $(ex_i e)_i$ be a bounded net of $e\mathcal{M}e$ converging to exe in the w^* -topology of \mathcal{M} . Then $ex_i e \rightarrow exe$ in the weak topology of $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, hence $w_e^* T(ex_i e) \rightarrow w_e^* T(exe)$ in the weak topology of $L^p(\mathcal{N})$. By (14) and (11), this implies that $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(AB_e J(ex_i e)) \rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(AB_e J(exe))$ for any $A \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{N})$, where p' is the conjugate number of p . Note that by (16), the restriction of J to $e\mathcal{M}e$ is valued in $J(e)\mathcal{N}J(e)$. To deduce from the above convergence property that $J(ex_i e) \rightarrow J(exe)$ in the w^* -topology of \mathcal{N} , it therefore suffices to check that

$$\{AB_e : A \in J(e)L^{p'}(\mathcal{N})J(e)\} \quad \text{is a dense subset of } J(e)L^1(\mathcal{N})J(e).$$

This is indeed the case, since $B_e = |T(e)| \in L^p(\mathcal{N})$ and $s(B_e) = J(e)$.

We note that since J is w^* -continuous and \mathcal{A} is w^* -dense in \mathcal{M} , (16) holds true for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

We now use the increasing net \mathcal{E} and we recall that $e \rightarrow 1$ in the w^* -topology of \mathcal{M} . Since J is normal, $J(1)$ is the w^* -limit of $J(e)$. Then using (11) and (15), the same argument as in [10, Theorem 3.1] can be implemented to obtain extensions B (as supremum of the B_e) and w (as strong limit of the w_e) which satisfy properties (b) and (c) of Definition 3.2. By (15) we further have

$$(17) \quad BJ(e) = J(e)B = B_e \quad \text{and} \quad wJ(e) = w_e,$$

for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$.

We now aim at showing property (a) of Definition 3.2. For any $y \in \mathcal{M}^+ \cap L^1(\mathcal{M})$, using spectral projections, we find a sequence $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{E} such that $e_n y e_n$ is increasing to y when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since J is normal, this implies that $B^p J(e_n y e_n)$ is increasing to $B^p J(y)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, using the normality of $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, we obtain that

$$(18) \quad \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p J(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p J(e_n y e_n)).$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Consider a decomposition $J = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$ as in (9). Then we have

$$(19) \quad J(|x|^p) = \begin{pmatrix} |\pi(x)|^p & 0 \\ 0 & |\sigma(x^*)|^p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by a well-known argument (see the proof of the easy implication of [26, Theorem 2]), this implies that

$$(20) \quad \|wBJ(x)\|_p^p = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p|J(x)|^p) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^pJ(|x|^p)).$$

Using (18) with $y = |x|^p$, we deduce that for some sequence $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{E} , we have

$$\|wBJ(x)\|_p^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^pJ(e_n|x|^pe_n)).$$

Fix $e \in \mathcal{E}$. Combining (14) and (16), we have $T(exe) = w_e B_e J(x) J(e)$ from which we deduce as in (20) that

$$\|T(exe)\|_p^p = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^p|J(x)|^p).$$

Using (16) again, and (17), we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^pJ(e|x|^pe)) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^pJ(e)J(|x|^p)J(e)) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^pJ(|x|^p)).$$

Consequently, using (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^pJ(e|x|^pe)) &= \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^p|\pi(x)|^p) + \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^p|\sigma(x^*)|^p) \\ &\leq \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^p|J(x)|^p) + \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B_e^p|J(x^*)|^p) \\ &\leq \|T(exe)\|_p^p + \|T(ex^*e)\|_p^p \\ &\leq 2\|T\|^p\|x\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this with $e = e_n$ and passing to the limit, we deduce that $wBJ(x) \in L^p(\mathcal{N})$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$, with $\|wBJ(x)\|_p \leq 2\|T\|\|x\|_p$. This shows the existence of a (necessarily unique) bounded operator $T': L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ such that $T'(x) = wBJ(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$. By (14) and (17), T and T' coincide on \mathcal{A} . Since the latter is dense in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain that $T = T'$, hence property (a) of Definition 3.2 is satisfied.

For the converse suppose that $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ has a Yeadon type factorization, with Yeadon triple (w, B, J) , and let us show that T is separating. By Lemma 3.9, it is enough to show that T is separating on $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Let a and b be disjoint elements in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(a)^*T(b) &= J(a)^*Bw^*wBJ(b) && \text{by (a) in Definition 3.2} \\ &= J(a)^*B^2J(b) && \text{by (b) in Definition 3.2} \\ &= B^2J(a)^*J(b) && \text{by (c) in Definition 3.2} \\ &= 0 && \text{by Lemma 3.8.} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $T(a)T(b)^* = 0$, and hence $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are disjoint. \square

After a first version of this paper was circulated, we were informed that the ‘only if’ part of Proposition 3.11 was proved independently in [6].

We now give a series of remarks on this statement.

Remark 3.12.

(a) In Proposition 3.11, the proof that a separating map T admits a Yeadon type factorization only uses the separation property on positive elements (even on projections

with finite trace). Hence a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is separating if and only if for any $a, b \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^+$, $ab = 0$ implies that $T(a)^*T(b) = T(a)T(b)^* = 0$.

(b) Let us say that a separating map $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is 2-separating if the tensor extension $I_{S_2^p} \otimes T: L^p(M_2(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow L^p(M_2(\mathcal{N}))$ is separating. Combining Proposition 3.11 and the argument in the proof of [10, Proposition 3.2], we obtain that $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is 2-separating if and only if the Jordan homomorphism in the Yeadon factorisation of T is multiplicative. This fact was also observed in [6, Theorem 3.4].

Remark 3.13. Here we discuss the commutative case. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ be two σ -finite measure spaces. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the set of classes of \mathcal{F} modulo the null sets (i.e. $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$). We identify any element of \mathcal{F} with its class in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}'}$ similarly.

Recall that a **regular set morphism** (RSM) from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ into $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ is a map $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}'}$ satisfying the following two properties:

- (i) For any $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \varphi(E_1) \cap \varphi(E_2) = \emptyset$.
- (ii) For any sequence $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{F} , $\varphi(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \varphi(E_n)$.

Following [13], Kan [12, Theorem 4.1] showed that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega')$ is separating if and only if there exist a measurable function h and a regular set morphism φ from $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ into $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ such that for every set of finite measure E , we have

$$T(\chi_E) = h \cdot \chi_{\varphi(E)}.$$

(See [12] and [3, Chapter 3] for more on this factorization property.)

There is a well-known correspondence between RSM and normal $*$ -homomorphisms on L^∞ -spaces. Namely, let $\pi: L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$ be a normal $*$ -homomorphism. Then for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $\pi(\chi_E)$ is a projection, hence an indicator function. We may therefore define $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}'}$ by $\pi(\chi_E) = \chi_{\varphi(E)}$. It is easy to check that φ is a RSM. It turns out that any regular set morphism is of this form. Indeed let $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}'}$ be a RSM. For any $g \in L^1(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu')$, define $\nu_g: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\nu_g(E) = \int_{\varphi(E)} g(t) d\mu'(t), \quad \text{for any } E \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By (ii) and Lebesgue's theorem, ν_g is a complex measure, whose total variation is less than or equal to $\|g\|_1$. By (i), $\varphi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ hence ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Hence by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a necessarily unique $h_g \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ such that

$$\nu_g(E) = \int_E h_g(s) d\mu(s), \quad \text{for any } E \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover $\|h_g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1$. It is clear that the mapping $u: L^1(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu') \rightarrow L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ defined by $u(g) = h_g$ is linear. The above estimate shows that u is contractive. Set

$$\pi = u^*: L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \longrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mu').$$

By construction, π is w^* -continuous. Further it is easy to check that π is a $*$ -homomorphism and that $\pi(\chi_E) = \chi_{\varphi(E)}$ for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$.

We finally note that all Jordan homomorphisms on L^∞ -spaces are $*$ -homomorphisms. Thereby, through the aforementioned correspondence, Proposition 3.11 reduces to Kan's theorem in the case when \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are commutative.

Remark 3.14.

(a) In general, separating operators may not be one-to-one (contrary to isometries). We observe however that if a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is separating, with Yeadon triple (w, B, J) , then T is one-to-one if and only if J is a Jordan monomorphism.

Indeed if T is one-to-one, then $J(e) = s(|T(e)|) \neq 0$ for any $e \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$. This implies that for any pairwise disjoint non zero e_1, \dots, e_m in \mathcal{E} and any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ in \mathbb{C} , we have

$$\left\| \sum_k \alpha_k J(e_k) \right\| = \max\{|\alpha_k| : k = 1, \dots, m\} = \left\| \sum_k \alpha_k e_k \right\|.$$

Hence the restriction of J to \mathcal{E} is an isometry. This readily implies that J is an isometry, and hence J is one-to-one.

Assume conversely that J is one-to-one and let $x \in L^p(M)$ such that $T(x) = 0$. Let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x and for any integer $n \geq 1$, let $p_n = \chi_{[-n, n]}(|x|)$. Then consider $x'_n = xp_n$ and $x''_n = x(1 - p_n)$. We have $x = x'_n + x''_n$ hence $T(x'_n) + T(x''_n) = 0$. Further we have

$$x'_n {}^* x''_n = p_n |x| u {}^* u |x| (1 - p_n) = p_n |x|^2 (1 - p_n) = 0,$$

whereas $x'_n x''_n {}^* = xp_n(1 - p_n)x^* = 0$. Hence x'_n and x''_n are disjoint. Since T is separating this implies that $T(x'_n)$ and $T(x''_n)$ are disjoint. Since these elements are opposite to each other, this implies that $T(x'_n) = 0$. For any $n \geq 1$, $x'_n \in L^p(M) \cap M$ hence $T(x'_n) = wBJ(x'_n)$. Since $w {}^* w = s(B) = J(1)$, this implies that $J(x'_n) = 0$, hence $x'_n = 0$ by our assumption. Since $x'_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^p(M)$, we deduce that $x = 0$. This shows that T is one-to-one.

(b) We also observe that a separating operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ with Yeadon triple (w, B, J) is positive if and only if w is positive (if and only if w is a projection). The verification is left to the reader.

The following theorem shows that separating bounded operators are ℓ^1 -bounded. The converse does not hold true, this can be easily seen on commutative L^p -spaces (see Remark 2.8).

Theorem 3.15. *Suppose that $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is a separating bounded operator, with $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then T is ℓ^1 -bounded and $\|T\|_{\ell^1} = \|T\|$.*

Proof. We apply Proposition 3.11. We let (w, B, J) be the Yeadon triple of the operator T . Next according to (9), we let $J = \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$ be a central decomposition of J and we let e, f be the central projections such that $\pi = J(\cdot)e$ and $\sigma = J(\cdot)f$.

Let $T_1: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ and $T_2: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be defined by

$$T_1(x) = T(x)e \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(x) = T(x)f$$

for any $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Then, $T = T_1 + T_2$ and for all $u, v \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$(21) \quad \|T_1(u) + T_2(v)\|_p^p = \|T_1(u)\|_p^p + \|T_2(v)\|_p^p.$$

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)$, with $\|(x_n)\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} < 1$. By Lemma 2.3, there exist factorizations $x_n = a_n b_n$, $n \geq 1$, with $a_n, b_n \in L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_p < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_p < 1.$$

The identity (20) and its proof show that for any $a \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$\|B^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(a)\|_{2p}^{2p} = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p \pi(|a|^{2p})) \leq \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(B^p J(|a|^{2p})) = \|T(|a|^2)\|_p^p.$$

Similarly, $\|B^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(a)\|_{2p}^{2p} \leq \|T(|a|^2)\|_p^p$. Hence there exist two bounded operators

$$S_1: L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow L^{2p}(\mathcal{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2: L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow L^{2p}(\mathcal{N})$$

such that

$$S_1(a) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(a) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2(a) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(a),$$

for all a in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$. It is clear from above that for any a and b in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ we have

$$S_1(a)S_1(b) = w^* T_1(ab), \quad S_2(a)S_2(b) = w^* T_2(ba) \quad \text{and} \quad S_1(a)S_2(b) = S_2(b)S_1(a) = 0.$$

We will use this repeatedly in the rest of the proof.

For any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$T(x_n) = T(a_n b_n) = T_1(a_n b_n) + T_2(a_n b_n) = w S_1(a_n) S_1(b_n) + w S_2(b_n) S_2(a_n).$$

Hence $T(x_n) = c_n d_n$, with

$$c_n = w (S_1(a_n) + S_2(b_n)) \quad \text{and} \quad d_n = S_1(b_n) + S_2(a_n).$$

With a similar computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_n c_n^* &= w (S_1(a_n) + S_2(b_n)) (S_1(a_n^*) + S_2(b_n^*)) w^* \\ &= (T_1(a_n a_n^*) + T_2(b_n^* b_n)) w^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N \geq 1$ and set $u_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n a_n^*$ and $v_N = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n^* b_n$. Summing up we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N c_n c_n^* = (T_1(u_N) + T_2(v_N)) w^*$$

Appealing to (21), we deduce that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n c_n^* \right\|_p^p \leq \|T_1(u_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(v_N)\|_p^p.$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N d_n^* d_n \right\|_p^p \leq \|T_1(v_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(u_N)\|_p^p.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n c_n^* \right\|_p^p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N d_n^* d_n \right\|_p^p \leq (\|T_1(u_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(v_N)\|_p^p) (\|T_1(v_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(u_N)\|_p^p).$$

Let $\alpha = \|T_1(u_N)\|_p^p$ and $\beta = \|T_1(v_N)\|_p^p$. Since,

$$\|T_1(u_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(u_N)\|_p^p = \|T(u_N)\|_p^p \leq \|T\|^p \|u_N\|_p^p,$$

by (21), and $\|u_N\|_p < 1$, we have $\|T_2(u)\|_p^p \leq \|T\|^p - \alpha$. Similarly, $\|T_2(v)\|_p^p \leq \|T\|^p - \beta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|T_1(u_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(v_N)\|_p^p) (\|T_1(v_N)\|_p^p + \|T_2(u_N)\|_p^p) &\leq (\alpha + (\|T\|^p - \beta))(\beta + (\|T\|^p - \alpha)) \\ &= (\|T\|^p - (\beta - \alpha)) (\|T\|^p + (\beta - \alpha)) \\ &= (\|T\|^{2p} - (\beta - \alpha)^2) \leq \|T\|^{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n c_n^* \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N d_n^* d_n \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|T\|.$$

This implies that $(T(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)$ and that its norm in $L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)$ is less than or equal to $\|T\|$. This yields the boundedness of $T \otimes I_{\ell^1}$, as well as the equality $\|T\|_{\ell^1} = \|T\|$. \square

4. ISOMETRIES ON L^2 -SPACES WITH A YEADON TYPE FACTORIZATION

As it is outlined in Section 3, the crucial property that allowed Yeadon to describe isometries between noncommutative L^p -spaces, $p \neq 2$, is that they are separating. To show that every isometry is indeed separating he relied on the property that when $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, the equality condition in Clarkson's inequality, $\|a + b\|_p + \|a - b\|_p = 2(\|a\|_p + \|b\|_p)$, holds true if and only if a and b are disjoint. However, this equality always holds true when $p = 2$ and this is why the study of disjointness on L^2 -spaces requires a different approach. This is the purpose of Lemma 4.1 below and as a consequence, we will characterize isometries between noncommutative L^2 -spaces which admit a Yeadon type factorization.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $a, b \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Then, a and b are disjoint if and only if we have*

$$\|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)} \leq (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. First suppose that for disjoint elements $a, b \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ the polar decompositions are given by $a = u|a|$ and $b = v|b|$. Define

$$a_1 = u|a|^{1/2}, \quad a_2 = |a|^{1/2}, \quad b_1 = v|b|^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = |b|^{1/2}.$$

These elements belong to $L^4(\mathcal{M})$ and we have $a = a_1 a_2$ and $b = b_1 b_2$. Further we have

$$a_1 a_1^* + b_1 b_1^* = u|a|u^* + v|b|v^* \quad \text{and} \quad a_2^* a_2 + b_2^* b_2 = |a| + |b|.$$

Consequently,

$$\|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)}^2 \leq \|u|a|u^* + v|b|v^*\|_2 \| |a| + |b| \|_2.$$

Now, since a and b are disjoint we have that $|a||b| = 0$, by Lemma 3.5, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \| |a| + |b| \|_2^2 &= \| |a| \|_2^2 + \| |b| \|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(|a||b|) \\ &= \| |a| \|_2^2 + \| |b| \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since a and b are disjoint, we have $ua^*bv^* = 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|u|a|u^* + v|b|v^*\|_2^2 &= \|u|a|u^*\|_2^2 + \|v|b|v^*\|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u|a|u^*v|b|v^*) \\ &= \|u|a|u^*\|_2^2 + \|v|b|v^*\|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(ua^*bv^*) \\ &= \|u|a|u^*\|_2^2 + \|v|b|v^*\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \| |a| \|_2^2 + \| |b| \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)} \leq (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{1/2}$.

Conversely, suppose that $a, b \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ satisfy $\|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)} \leq (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{1/2}$. Let $(\varepsilon_k)_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $\lim_k \varepsilon_k = 0$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)} = \inf \left\{ \|uu^* + ww^*\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v^*v + z^*z\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all factorizations $a = uv$ and $b = wz$, with $u, v, w, z \in L^4(\mathcal{M})$. Thus for any $k \geq 1$, we can find $u_k, v_k, w_k, z_k \in L^4(\mathcal{M})$ such that $a = u_k v_k$, $b = w_k z_k$,

$$(22) \quad \|u_k u_k^* + w_k w_k^*\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon_k)^{\frac{1}{8}} (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and

$$(23) \quad \|v_k^* v_k + z_k^* z_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon_k)^{\frac{1}{8}} (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This implies that

$$(24) \quad \|u_k u_k^*\|_2^2 + \|w_k w_k^*\|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|u_k v_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k z_k\|_2^2)$$

and

$$(25) \quad \|v_k^* v_k\|_2^2 + \|z_k^* z_k\|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(v_k^* v_k z_k^* z_k) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|u_k v_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k z_k\|_2^2).$$

We claim that

$$(26) \quad (\|u_k v_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k z_k\|_2^2)^2 \leq (\|u_k^* u_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k^* w_k\|_2^2) (\|v_k v_k^*\|_2^2 + \|z_k z_k^*\|_2^2).$$

Indeed

$$\|u_k v_k\|_2^2 = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((u_k v_k)^*(u_k v_k)) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(v_k v_k^* u_k^* u_k) = \langle u_k^* u_k, v_k v_k^* \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$$

and similarly, $\|w_k z_k\|_2^2 = \langle w_k^* w_k, z_k z_k^* \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$. Hence (26) follows by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the Hilbertian direct sum $L^2(\mathcal{M}) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})$.

Multiplying inequalities (24) and (25) and using the fact that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(v_k^* v_k z_k^* z_k) \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$(27) \quad (\|u_k u_k^*\|_2^2 + \|w_k w_k^*\|_2^2 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*)) (\|v_k^* v_k\|_2^2 + \|z_k^* z_k\|_2^2)$$

is less than or equal to $(1 + \varepsilon_k) (\|u_k v_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k z_k\|_2^2)^2$. Now using (26) we deduce that (27) is less than or equal to

$$(1 + \varepsilon_k) (\|u_k^* u_k\|_2^2 + \|w_k^* w_k\|_2^2) (\|v_k v_k^*\|_2^2 + \|z_k z_k^*\|_2^2).$$

Now we observe that $\|u_k u_k^*\|_2^2 = \|u_k\|_4^4 = \|u_k^* u_k\|_2^2$ and similarly for w_k, v_k and z_k . Hence the above inequality reads

$$(\|u_k\|_4^4 + \|w_k\|_4^4 + 2\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon_k) (\|u_k\|_4^4 + \|w_k\|_4^4).$$

This yields

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*) \leq \varepsilon_k \left(\frac{\|u_k\|_4^4 + \|w_k\|_4^4}{2} \right).$$

It follows from (22) that $(\|u_k\|_4)_k$ and $(\|w_k\|_4)_k$ are bounded sequences. Hence we have that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Writing

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k u_k^* w_k w_k^*) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_k^* w_k w_k^* u_k) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((u_k^* w_k)(u_k^* w_k)^*) = \|u_k^* w_k\|_2^2,$$

we deduce that $\|u_k^* w_k\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We have $a^*b = v_k^*u_k^*w_kz_k$, hence

$$\|a^*b\|_1 \leq \|v_k\|_4 \|u_k^*w_k\|_2 \|z_k\|_4.$$

By (23), $(\|v_k\|_4)_k$ and $(\|z_k\|_4)_k$ are bounded sequences, hence the right hand side in the above inequality tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We deduce that $a^*b = 0$.

Finally using $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(v_k^*v_kz_k^*z_k)$ instead of $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u_ku_k^*w_kw_k^*)$, we show as well that $ab^* = 0$ and therefore, a and b are disjoint. \square

Theorem 4.2. *For a linear isometry $T: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T has a Yeadon type factorization.
- (ii) T is ℓ_2^1 -contractive.
- (iii) T is ℓ^1 -contractive.

Proof. In the light of Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 3.15, we only need to establish that if (ii) holds true, then T is separating.

Suppose that T is ℓ_2^1 -contractive. Let $a, b \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ be disjoint elements. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\|(Ta, Tb)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^1)} \leq \|(a, b)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M}; \ell_2^1)} \leq (\|a\|_2^2 + \|b\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since T is an isometry we have $\|T(a)\| = \|a\|$ and $\|T(b)\| = \|b\|$ and hence

$$\|(Ta, Tb)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{N}; \ell_2^1)} \leq (\|Ta\|_2^2 + \|Tb\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 4.1 again, this implies that Ta and Tb are disjoint. Hence T is separating. \square

Remark 4.3.

(a) As mentioned in Remark 2.8, when $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(\Omega')$ are commutative, a bounded operator $T: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega')$ is ℓ^1 -contractive if and only if T is regular, with $\|T\|_{reg} \leq 1$. Hence, Theorem 4.2 implies that in the commutative case, an isometry $T: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega')$ is separating if and only if $\|T\|_{reg} \leq 1$. This result is implicit in [15].

(b) Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, be a separating isometry, with the Yeadon triple (w, B, J) . We show in [14] that when $p = 2$ and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are hyperfinite, then J is multiplicative (equivalently, J is a $*$ -homomorphism) if and only if T is completely regular with $\|T\|_{reg} = 1$. This is an L^2 -analog of [10, Theorem 3.1] which says that for $1 \leq p \neq 2 < \infty$, J is multiplicative if and only if T is a complete isometry.

In [2], Broise showed that every bijective positive isometry between noncommutative L^2 -spaces associated with semifinite factors admits a Yeadon type factorization. Using Proposition 3.11, one can actually obtain the following more general statement.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that $T: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N})$ is a positive isometry. Then T admits a Yeadon type factorization.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ be positive elements, with $ab = 0$. They are orthogonal and isometries preserve orthogonality, hence $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are orthogonal. Since $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are positive, Remark 3.6 ensures that $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are disjoint.

By Remark 3.12 (a) and Proposition 3.11, the above shows that T admits a Yeadon type factorization. \square

5. POSITIVITY AND ℓ^1 -CONTRACTIVITY

For any $n \geq 2$, we let $S_n^p = S^p(\ell_2^n)$ and we let $S_n^p[L^p(\mathcal{M})]$ be the space $S_n^p \otimes L^p(\mathcal{M})$ equipped with the norm and the partial order coming from its identification with the space $L^p(M_n(\mathcal{M}))$, see Section 2.

We say that a bounded operator $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is n -positive if

$$I_{S_n^p} \otimes T: S_n^p[L^p(\mathcal{M})] \longrightarrow S_n^p[L^p(\mathcal{N})]$$

is positive. We say that T is completely positive if it is n -positive for all $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is a 2-positive contraction, then T is ℓ^1 -contractive.*

Proof. Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be a 2-positive contraction and let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\|(x_n)_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} < 1$. According to Lemma 2.3, we may choose sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $x_n = a_n b_n$ for any $n \geq 1$,

$$(28) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_p < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_p < 1.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$z_n := \begin{pmatrix} a_n a_n^* & a_n b_n \\ b_n^* a_n^* & b_n^* b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

in $S_2^p[L^p(\mathcal{M})]$. Then $z_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ b_n^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_n^* & b_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, hence $z_n \geq 0$. Therefore by the 2-positivity of T ,

$$(I_{S_2^p} \otimes T)(z_n) = \begin{pmatrix} T(a_n a_n^*) & T(a_n b_n) \\ T(b_n^* a_n^*) & T(b_n^* b_n) \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Consider the positive square root

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \beta_n \\ \beta_n^* & \delta_n \end{pmatrix} := ((I_{S_2^p} \otimes T)(z_n))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \delta_n$ belong to $L^{2p}(\mathcal{N})$, we have $\alpha_n \geq 0$, $\delta_n \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T(a_n a_n^*) &= \alpha_n^2 + \beta_n \beta_n^*, \\ T(b_n^* b_n) &= \beta_n^* \beta_n + \delta_n^2, \\ T(a_n b_n) &= \alpha_n \beta_n + \beta_n \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the third equation above and Junge's definition of $L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)$, we get that

$$\|(T(a_n b_n))_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \beta_n^* \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^* \beta_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n^2 \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(The convergence of the series are justified by the next lines.)

We can now apply the first two equations and (28) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T(x_n))_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(a_n a_n^*) \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(b_n^* b_n) \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| T \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right) \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| T \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right) \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|T\| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^* \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^* b_n \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T is ℓ^1 -contractive. \square

Remark 5.2.

(a) An obvious consequence of Proposition 5.1 is that if T is a completely positive contraction then it is ℓ^1 -contractive.

(b) Let \mathcal{N}^{op} be the opposite von Neumann algebra of \mathcal{N} and let $I_{op}: L^p(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N}^{op})$ denote the identity map. We say that $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is 2-copositive if the operator $I_{op} \circ T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N}^{op})$ is 2-positive. It is easy to check that

$$L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1) = L^p(\mathcal{N}^{op}, \ell^1) \quad \text{isometrically.}$$

Therefore, Proposition 5.1 implies that any contractive 2-copositive map $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ is ℓ^1 -contractive. It therefore follows that if a positive map $L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ can be written as a convex combination of a contractive 2-positive map and a contractive 2-copositive map, then it is ℓ^1 -contractive.

We do not know if any positive contraction is ℓ^1 -contractive, however we show below that positive operators are ℓ^1 -bounded.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $T: L^p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{N})$ be a bounded operator. If T is positive, then T is ℓ^1 -bounded, with $\|T\|_{\ell^1} \leq 4\|T\|$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.1, let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\|(x_n)_{n \geq 1}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M}; \ell^1)} < 1$, and let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $L^{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $x_n = a_n b_n$ for any $n \geq 1$ and (28) holds.

For any $n \geq 1$, we use the polarization identity,

$$a_n b_n = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 (-i)^k (a_n^* + i^k b_n)^* (a_n^* + i^k b_n).$$

For $0 \leq k \leq 3$ and $n \geq 1$, let $y_n^k := (a_n^* + i^k b_n)^* (a_n^* + i^k b_n)$. Then $y_n^k \geq 0$ hence $T(y_n^k) \geq 0$. This implies, by Lemma 2.4, that for any k ,

$$\|(T(y_n^k))_n\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)} = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(y_n^k) \right\|_p \leq \|T\| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n^k \right\|_p.$$

(The convergence of the series are justified by the next lines.)

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n^k \right\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n1} \otimes (a_n^* + i^k b_n) \right\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)}^2 \\ &\leq \left(\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n1} \otimes a_n^* \right\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)} + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n1} \otimes b_n \right\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_c^2)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq 4 \end{aligned}$$

by (3) and (28).

Since

$$T(x_n) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 (-i)^k T(y_n^k),$$

we deduce that

$$\|(T(x_n))_n\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \|(T(y_n^k))_n\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N}; \ell^1)} \leq 4\|T\|.$$

This shows that $\|T\|_{\ell^1} \leq 4\|T\|$. □

Acknowledgements. The first named author is supported by the French “Investissements d’Avenir” program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-15-IDEX-03). This project was carried out during a visit of the second named author at the “Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon” (LmB). She wishes to thank the LmB for hospitality and support. Finally the authors thank the referee for his/her suggestions and careful reading.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BANACH, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1955.
- [2] M. M. BROISE, *Sur les isomorphismes de certaines algèbres de von Neumann*, Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure, (4)83 (1966), 91-111.
- [3] R. J. FLEMING AND J. E. JAMISON, *Isometries on Banach spaces: function spaces*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL 129, 2003.
- [4] R. J. FLEMING AND J. E. JAMISON, *Isometries on Banach spaces: vector-valued function spaces*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL 138, 2008.
- [5] H. HANCHE-OLSEN AND E. STØRMER, *Jordan operator algebras*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 21. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [6] G. HONG, S. K. RAY AND S. WANG, *Maximal ergodic inequalities for positive operators on noncommutative L^p -spaces*, Preprint 2019 (ArXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.12967.pdf>).
- [7] M. JUNGE, *Doob’s inequality for non-commutative martingales*, J. Reine Angew. Math., Vol. 549 (2002) 149–190.
- [8] M. JUNGE, C. LE MERDY AND L. MEZRAG, *L^p -matricially normed spaces and operator space valued Schatten spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007), 2511-2534.
- [9] M. JUNGE AND Q. XU, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 20 (2007) no. 2, 385–439.
- [10] M. JUNGE AND Z. RUAN AND D. SHERMAN, *A classification for 2-isometries of noncommutative L_p -spaces*, Israel J. Math., Vol. 150 (2005) 285–314.
- [11] R. V. KADISON AND J.R. RINGROSE, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Volume II*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 16, Amer. Math. Soc. 1997.
- [12] C. H. KAN, *Ergodic properties of Lamperti operators*, Canad. J. Math., Vol. 30 (1978) no. 6, 1206–1214.
- [13] J. LAMPERTI, *On the isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 8:459-466, 1958.

- [14] C. LE MERDY AND S. ZADEH, *On factorization of separating maps on noncommutative L^p -spaces*, Preprint 2020.
- [15] V. V. PELLER, *Analogue of J. von Neumann's inequality, isometric dilation of contractions and approximation by isometries in spaces of measurable functions*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Vol. 155 (1983) issue 1, 101–145.
- [16] G. PISIER AND Q. XU, *Non-commutative L^p -spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, 1459–1517, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [17] G. PISIER, *Martingales in Banach spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [18] G. PISIER, *Complex interpolation between Hilbert, Banach and operator spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 208, 2010.
- [19] G. PISIER, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [20] G. PISIER, *Non-commutative vector valued L^p -spaces and completely p -summing maps*, Vol. 247, 1998.
- [21] G. PISIER, *Regular operators between non-commutative L_p -spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., Vol. 119 (1995) no. 2, 95–118.
- [22] E. STØRMER, *On the Jordan structure of C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 120 (1965) 438–447.
- [23] M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [24] M. TERP, *L_p -spaces associated with von Neumann algebras*. Notes, Math. Institute, Copenhagen Univ., 1981.
- [25] Q. XU, *Operator spaces and noncommutative L^p* , Lecture notes, Nankai University, 2007.
- [26] F.Y. YEADON, *Isometries of noncommutative L^p -spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., Vol. 90, 1981 (1) 41–50.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON, UNIVERSITE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, FRANCE

E-mail address: `clemerdy@univ-fcomte.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PARAÍBA, BRAZIL & FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, CANADA.

E-mail address: `jsafoora@gmail.com`