A thorough understanding of the structure of correlations in multipartite systems is essential for the success of most quantum information processing applications. The problem, however, quickly becomes non-trivial as the size of the multipartition increases. With this motivation in mind, in this paper we put forth a detailed study of genuine multipartite correlations (GMCs) in the Dicke model of superradiance. We compute all genuine $k$-partite correlations for Dicke states with arbitrary excitations and use these results to characterize the evolution of multipartite correlations during the superradiant dynamics. Non-trivial effects in the way correlations in Dicke states are distributed between the multiple parts are found, showing strong finite-size effects. We also employ the concept of weaving to classify how multipartite correlations scale with the number of particles in the system. This tool showed up useful to elucidate the contribution of each Dicke state to the emission of radiation.

1 Introduction

The ability to coherently manipulate quantum correlations in the laboratory is one of the main drives behind emerging second generation quantum technologies. As soon as one moves beyond the bipartite paradigm, however, the complexity of classifying multipartite correlations starts to increase exponentially. For instance, even three qubits can already be entangled in inequivalent ways [6, 9]. Increasing the number of qubits further makes the situation exponentially more complicated to characterize.

Among the several questions one has to address in the multipartite scenario, one of particular importance is how to define and characterize genuine multipartite correlations (GMCs). Given an $N$-partite quantum state, the GMCs of order $k \leq N$ represent the amount of information that cannot be obtained from any cluster of size smaller than $k$. For instance, the correlations in a GHZ state [14] of $N$ qubits is genuinely $N$-partite, whereas $N/2$ Bell pairs will only have bipartite correlations. In recent years there have been substantial efforts to characterize the GMCs of a variety of quantum states and scenarios [2, 3, 10–13, 15, 17, 19–21, 24, 26, 28, 31].

In particular, Girolami et. al. [13] recently introduced a measure for (quantum plus classical) GMCs of order $k \leq N$ based on the general framework of distance-based information-theoretic quantifiers (c.f. Ref. [22]). Their measure satisfies the postulates put forth in Ref. [4], that are expected to hold for any valid measure of genuine multipartite correlations. It also satisfies the criteria of monotonicity under local operations expected for systems invariant under subsystems permutations. Moreover, the measure is based on the quantum relative entropy and thus has the advantage of being computationally more feasible.

Calculations of GMCs of order $k$ usually require accessing all possible partitions of a state, which quickly becomes prohibitive as the number of components increases. For this reason, studies on multipartite correlations have been restricted mostly to few-body systems or highly symmetric states, such as GHZ-like [13, 25] or Dicke states [18, 24]. The calculations in this case simplify dramatically due to permutation invariance.

Dicke states, in fact, offer a nice example of how multipartite correlations may affect relevant physical processes in controlled quantum systems. Superradiance is a coherent radiative phenomenon resulting from atomic cooperativeness, where the atomic ensemble spontaneously emits radiation in a shorter amount of time [7, 16].
Dicke states are known to be highly entangled [5, 23]. During the superradiant emission, however, all Dicke states are incoherently mixed, so that the entanglement between any two partitions is null [27, 29, 30] and the remaining correlations are either classical or discord-like [8]. Beyond that, to the best of our knowledge, practically nothing else is known about genuine correlations patterns in Dicke superradiance.

In this work, we address how genuine $k$-partite correlations behave during the superradiant emission. For this, we employ the framework developed in Ref. [13] to study first GMCs in pure Dicke states with an arbitrary number of excitations and then use these results to study the evolution of GMCs during the superradiant emission dynamics. In addition to the set of all $k$-partite correlations, we also use the concept of weaving [25] to quantify how the correlations scale with different system sizes.

This paper is divided as follows. The GMCs formalism of Ref. [13] is reviewed in Sec. 2 and then applied to the set of Dicke states in Sec. 3. Then, in Sec. 4 we move on to describe time evolution of GMCs during the superradiant dynamics. Conclusions are summarized in Sec. 5. Finally, in Appendix A we provide expressions for the partial trace of a general Dicke state, as well as an incoherent mixture of Dicke states and its von Neumann entropy.

## 2 Quantifying genuine multipartite correlations

In this section, we briefly review the measure of GMCs and weaving introduced in Ref. [13]. The measure can be defined for any distance quantifier, but it is simplified significantly if one uses the quantum relative entropy, as will be done here. We consider a finite dimensional $N$-partite quantum system described by a certain density matrix $\rho_N$ and let $P_k$ denote the set of all marginalizations of $\rho_N$ having clusters of at most size $k$; viz., $P_k = \{\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \rho_{k_i}, \sum_{i=1}^m k_i = N, k = \max k_i\}$. The total amount GMCs which have order higher than $k$ is then defined as the smallest distance between $\rho_N$ and $P_k$:

$$S^{k\to N}(\rho_N) := \min_{\sigma_N \in P_k} S(\rho_N||\sigma_N),$$

where $S(\rho||\sigma) = \text{tr}(\rho \ln \rho - \rho \ln \sigma)$ is the quantum relative entropy. Particularly important, are the total correlations, which is the distance between $\rho_N$ and the maximally marginalized state [22]:

$$T(\rho_N) := S^{1 \to N}(\rho_N).$$

This quantity measures the total amount of correlations present in the global state, which is lost if one only has access to the reduced states of each part.

From $S^{k\to N}$ one may then define the genuine $k$-partite correlations as those which are present in a $k$-partition but absent in a $(k-1)$-partition, i.e.,

$$S^k(\rho_N) := S^{k-1\to N}(\rho_N) - S^{k\to N}(\rho_N).$$

This quantity measures the amount of correlations which are genuinely of order $k$.

In this paper we shall restrict to permutationally invariant subsystems. In this case, the $k$-partite state in $P_k$ which minimizes $S(\rho_N||\sigma_N)$ is

$$\sigma_N = \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\lfloor N/k \rfloor} \rho_k\right) \otimes \rho_{N \mod k},$$

where $\rho_k = \text{Tr}_{N-k} \rho_N$ is the reduced matrix of a cluster of $k$ parts. Writing $S(\rho_N||\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \rho_{k_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^m S(\rho_{k_i}) - S(\rho_N)$, the GMCs of order higher than $k$ simplify to

$$S^{k\to N}(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=2}^N \omega_k S^k(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Omega_k S^{k\to N}(\rho_N),$$

which is much more tractable from a computational point of view.

In order to rank GMCs through a single index, the authors in Ref. [13] also introduced the concept of weaving, as the weighted sum of genuine correlations, which is much more tractable from a computational point of view.

$$W_S(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=2}^N \omega_k S^k(\rho_N) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Omega_k S^{k\to N}(\rho_N),$$

where $\omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Omega_i$ and $\omega_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The choice of the weights determines the meaning of the weaving measure. For example, the total correlations can be measured with $\omega_k = 1$, $\forall k$, and the genuine $l$-partite correlations with $\omega_k = \delta_{kl}$, $\forall k$. To quantify how the GMCs scale with the system size $N$ one may use $\omega_k = k-1$ or $\Omega_k = 1$, $\forall k$. We also mention in passing that Weaving is always contractive under local operations and partial traces, as it is a sum of contractive quantities.
The Dicke states $|N, n_e\rangle$, representing $n_e$ out of $N$ qubits in the excited state, are defined as

$$|N, n_e\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N_{n_e})}} \sum_i P_i (|1\rangle^{\otimes n_e} |0\rangle^{\otimes (N-n_e)})$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $(N_{n_e})$ is the binomial coefficient and the sum is over all possible permutations $P_i$. The $N+1$ Dicke states span only the symmetric subspace of the full Hilbert space of $N$ qubits. In general, they are highly entangled [5, 23], except for $|N, 0\rangle$ and $|N, N\rangle$.

For the Dicke states (7) it is possible to find closed-form expressions for $S^{k\rightarrow N}$ (5) and $S^k$ (3). The calculations are given in appendix A and the results are plotted in Fig. (1). We present both $S^{k\rightarrow N}$ and $S^k$ vs. $k$ for $N = 1000$ particles and $n_e = 1, 5, 50$, and 500 excitations. As the states $|N, n_e\rangle$ and $|N, N-n_e\rangle$ have the same amount of correlations, so it suffices to consider $n_e \leq N/2$.

We see in Fig. (1) that both GMCs increase with the number of excitations $n_e$, with $|N, N/2\rangle$ having the largest correlations overall. The quantity $S^{k\rightarrow N}$ in Fig. (1a) is seen to be monotonically decreasing with $k$, how it should be, since it represents the total distance between the $N$-body state and a $k$-partition. For small values of $k$ its decrease is smooth. But as $k$ becomes comparable with $N$, abrupt jumps are observed. These sudden changes in the value of the GMCs can be due to the floor function $\lfloor k/N \rfloor$ for $k$ in the same order of magnitude of $N$. On the other hand, $S^k$ [Eq. (3)] measures the genuine $k$-partite correlations and therefore does not have to be monotonic. As seen in Fig. (1b), the largest GMCs occur for $k = 2$. For small $k$, $S^k$ decreases smoothly, whereas for $k \geq 50$, finite size effects cause $S^k$ to fluctuate considerably.

The weaving (6) (with $\omega_k = k - 1$) is shown in Fig. (2) as a function of $N$. We consider two scenarios. In the first one, we analyze $W(N)$ for a fixed number of excitations, ($n_e = 1, 2, 5$, and 10). In the other one, we analyze $W(N)$ when $n_e$ scales with $N$ as $n_e = N/10$, $N/5$, $N/3$, and $N/2$. In both cases, the weaving behaves almost linear in the Log-Log scale, but in Fig. (2b) the weaving increases faster than in Fig. (2a). This result is in agreement with the fact that the genuine correlations in Dicke states increase with the number of excitations $n_e$, but limited to the maximum value of correlations determined by the state with $n_e = N/2$, as explained above. In Sec. 4.3 we return to this subject and analyze carefully how weaving scales for some Dicke states.
4 Dicke Superradiance

4.1 Superradiant dynamics

Having characterized the GMCs of pure Dicke states, we now move on to analyze how the GMCs evolve during a superradiant dynamics. We consider a system of \(N\) identical two-level atoms with transition frequency \(\omega\) between the ground \(|0\rangle\) and excited \(|1\rangle\) states. The atoms are assumed to interact indirectly through the electromagnetic vacuum, whose fluctuations cause them to decay and emit radiation. According to this model, if initially all atoms in the sample are in the excited state, the state of the system for every time \(t\) can be written as

\[
\rho_N(t) = \sum_{n_e=0}^{N} P_{n_e}(t) |N, n_e\rangle \langle N, n_e|, \tag{8}
\]

with \(P_{n_e}(t)\) being the population in each Dicke state over time. These populations evolve according to

\[
\frac{\partial P_{n_e}(t)}{\partial t} = \nu_{n_e+1} P_{n_e+1}(t) - \nu_{n_e} P_{n_e}(t), \tag{9}
\]

where \(\nu_{n_e} = 2\gamma_{n_e}(N - n_e + 1)\) and \(\gamma\) is the atomic spontaneous decay rate. The evolution of \(P_{n_e}(t)\) in a typical Dicke superradiant process is shown in Fig. 3. Here and henceforth, we always assume that the system is initially prepared with \(P_{n_e=N}(0) = 1\).

The temporal signature of the superradiant emission is the radiated power \(P\), which is given by \([1]\)

\[
P(t) = 2\gamma \omega \sum_{n_e=0}^{N} n_e (1 + N - n_e) P_{n_e}(t). \tag{10}
\]

The radiated power is shown in Fig. 3 in a green dashed line. It achieves its maximum value around the time \(t_P^{\text{max}} = (N\gamma)^{-1}\). This reflects the fact that the cooperative effect of the atoms in superradiance allows the releasing of great amounts of radiation energy in a short span of time, making the intensity of the radiated power proportional to \(N^2\) rather than \(N\), as one would expect if the atoms were radiating incoherently.

4.2 GMCs in Dicke Superradiance

We now move on to study the time evolution for the GMCs during the superradiant dynamics. We begin with small system sizes and present in Fig. 4 the GMCs for the superradiant system in the case \(N = 7\). The vertical black dashed and solid lines represent the time in which the radiated power \(t_P^{\text{max}}\) and the GMCs \(t_C^{\text{max}}\) achieve their maximum values.

![Figure 3: Population \(P_{n_e}\) of each Dicke state (blue solid lines) as a function of \(\gamma t\) during a superradiant emission for \(N = 50\) atoms. The green dashed line displays the radiated power \([\text{Eq. (10)}]\) in normalized units (to fit the plot). The vertical red dashed line represents the time of maximum radiated power, \(t_P^{\text{max}}\).](image)

![Figure 4: The GMCs (a) \(S^{k\rightarrow N}\) and (b) \(S^k\) during the superradiant dynamics with \(N = 7\). The vertical black dashed and solid lines represent the time in which the radiated power \(t_P^{\text{max}}\) and the GMCs \(t_C^{\text{max}}\) achieve their maximum values.](image)
is stronger.

Figure (4a) shows that the GMCs of order higher than $k$ decrease as the size of the greatest partition increase, a behavior already presented by Dicke states, see Fig. (1a). The GMCs $S^k$ in Fig. (4b) are seen to contribute in a way which is out of order in $k$. In this case of $N = 7$ the partitions with stronger genuine correlations are in decreasing order 2, 7, 3, 4, 6, and 5. Although the GMCs of order $k = 2$ dominate over other partitions, the genuine correlation between all atoms ($k = 7$) plays a significant role, even more than tripartite correlations, for instance. Similarly, GMCs between $k = 6$ atoms is stronger than between $k = 5$ atoms. These rather unintuitive results are a consequence of strong finite size effects.

Figure 5: (a) Dimensionless time $\gamma t$ where the genuine multipartite correlations reach their maxima values $t_C^{\max}$ behaves similarly to the time in which the radiated power achieves its maximum value $t_P^{\max}$, i.e., both times are inversely proportional to the number of atoms $N$ in the sample. (b) The parametric plot of $t_P^{\max}(N)$ versus $t_C^{\max}(N)$ shows that as $N$ increases these two times become equivalents.

From Fig. (4a) it is possible to observe that the time in which the GMCs reach their maxima values, $t_C^{\max}$, occurs after the time of maximum radiated power $t_P^{\max}$. The mismatch between them is a finite size effect and vanishes when $N \to \infty$, as shown in Fig. 5. We find that $t_C^{\max}$ points out the temporal behavior of the superradiance phenomenon. Moreover, analogously to $t_P^{\max}$, one sees in Fig. (5a) that $t_C^{\max} \propto N^{-1}$. In order to explore more deeply the similarity between these two times, in Fig. (5b) we present the parametric plot $t_C^{\max}(N) \times t_P^{\max}(N)$, which shows that for larger values of $N$, i.e., smaller times, the time of maximum radiated power and the time of maxima correlations are equivalents.

Another important issue is to comprehend the contribution of each Dicke state to the amount of correlations generated during the emission of radiation. For this purpose we plot in Fig. (6) the GMCs for 1000 atoms in two Dicke states, $|1000, 500\rangle$ and $|1000, 1\rangle$, and in the superradiant state at the moment in which the correlations are maxima, $\rho_{1000}(t_C^{\max})$. We have chosen these two Dicke states provided that they bound the amount of correlations present in all Dicke states, as already shown in Fig. 1. The results of $S^{\rightarrow N}$ and $S^k$ in Figs. (6a) and (6b), respectively, show that almost all GMCs at $t_C^{\max}$ come from the most correlated Dicke state $|1000, 500\rangle$. However, increasing the size of the greatest partition $k$, the GMCs of the superradiant state become smaller than the GMCs of the Dicke state $|N, N/2\rangle$. We highlight that this result was obtained for 1000 atoms in the sample. In what follows, we use the concept of weaving to analyze if these behaviors will remain for other values of $N$.

### 4.3 Weaving for Dicke Superradiance

We now move on to analyze the weaving during the superradiant dynamics. As weaving is the sum of all GMCs of order higher than $k$ and
\[ \rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C}) \]

for all Dicke states are comprised in the region delimited by the Dicke states \(|N, 1\rangle\) and \(|N, N/2\rangle\), we see in Fig. 7 that the weaving for all Dicke states follows the same restriction, being comprised between the yellow (\(|N, 1\rangle\)) and red dots (\(|N, N/2\rangle\)). However, the weaving for the Dicke superradiant state at the time of maxima correlations \(\rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C})\) is not restricted to the same interval. The state \(|N, 1\rangle\) has the smallest amount of correlations and it scales slower for all \(N\).

In the opposite side, the state \(|N, N/2\rangle\) presents the greatest weaving value when compared to all Dicke states for every \(N\) and also to the superradiant state up to \(N = 300\). At this point, the weaving of both states overlap and from this point forward the GMCs scale faster for the superradiant state. The three last points in Fig. 7 for \(N = 1000\) correspond to the sum of all points of the respective curves in Fig. (6a). Extending this analysis to other values of \(W_{S}(N)\) in Fig. 7, it is possible to infer that for \(N < 300\), \(S^{k\rightarrow N}(N)\) for the superradiant state \(\rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C})\) is smaller in average than \(S^{k\rightarrow N}(N)\) for the state \(|N, N/2\rangle\). Indeed, for for small values of \(N\), around 10, \(S^{k\rightarrow N}(N)\) for the state \(\rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C})\) approaches to that one for the state \(|N, 1\rangle\), and consequently, the weaving behaves similarly.

It is possible to conclude from this analysis that the contribution of the GMCs from each Dicke state to the GMCs of the superradiant state \(\rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C})\) depends on the number of atoms in the sample. In other words, the GMCs in small superradiant samples are predominantly due to the Dicke state \(|N, 1\rangle\). As the size of the sample is increased, the contribution of other Dicke states become more relevant to the superradiance until the number of excitation \(n_{e} = N/2\).

To complete the analysis above, we study the asymptotic behavior of the scalability of the GMCs. This can be done through the fit of the data from \(N = 100\) to 1000 in Fig. 7. For large values of \(N\), the weaving for the Dicke state \(|N, 1\rangle\) is almost linear \(N^{1.004}\), which is quite similar to the one presented in Ref. [13]. For the state \(|N, N/2\rangle\) the weaving scales as \(N^{1.287}\), while for the superradiant state at the time of maxima correlations \(\rho_{N}(t_{\text{max}}^{C})\) scales as \(N^{1.375}\).

5 Conclusions

We computed the genuine \(k\)-partite correlations for Dicke states with an arbitrary number of excitations and extended it to the superradiant state. As a result, we found that genuine \(k\)-partite correlations for the superradiant state are not monotonic in the partition size \(k\) and present strong finite size effects. We also observed that the time in which the genuine multipartite correlations reach a maximum, \(t_{\text{max}}^{P}\), is right after the time of maximum emission of radiation, \(t_{\text{max}}^{C}\). However, as the size of the system increases, both times tend to be the same.

To understand the contribution of each Dicke state to the genuine correlations of the superradiant state, we employed the concept of weaving. Applying this framework, we verified that there is a relation between the sample size and the contribution of each Dicke state. For small samples, Dicke states with less excitations contribute more than the most correlated Dicke state \(|N, N/2\rangle\). Otherwise, for large samples, the correlations coming from the most correlated Dicke state are dominant. Therefore, weaving proved to be a good tool for analyzing physical systems since it was able to elucidate the contribution of each Dicke state to the emission of radiation in the phenomenon of superradiance.
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The reduce density matrix of an arbitrary Dicke state $|N, n_e\rangle$ in Eq. (7) is readily found to be

$$\text{Tr}_{N-k}(|N, n_e\rangle \langle N, n_e|) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_e} \binom{k}{i} \binom{N-k}{n_e-i} |k, i\rangle \langle k, i|,$$

where $|k, i\rangle$ is a Dicke state of $k$ particles and $i$ excitations. The binomial $\binom{n}{m}$ is defined to be zero whenever $m$ does not belong in the interval $n \geq m \geq 0$, which can happen in the equation above, for example, when $k$ is smaller than $n_e$. As the Dicke states form an orthonormal basis for the subspace of completely symmetric states, the GMCs of order higher than $k$, Eq. (5), can be written as

$$S^{k \rightarrow N}(|N, n_e\rangle) = - \left[ \frac{N}{k} \right] \sum_{i=0}^{k} h \left( \frac{(-1)^{k-i}}{N \choose n_e-i} \right) \delta_{N \mod k, 0} - 1 \sum_{i=0}^{N \mod k, 0} h \left( \frac{(-1)^{N-k}}{N \choose n_e-i} \right),$$

with $h(x) = x \log(x)$.

The same reasoning applies to statistical mixtures of Dicke states, as in Eq. (8). The reduced density matrix for the Dicke superradiant state is

$$\rho_k(t) = \sum_{j_e=0}^{k} \sum_{l_e=0}^{N-k} \left( \sum_{l_e=0}^{N-k} \binom{N-k}{j_e} \binom{N-k}{l_e} \right) P_{j_e+l_e}(t) |k, j_e\rangle \langle k, j_e|.$$

Therefore, the GMCs of order higher than $k$ for superradiance are

$$S^{k \rightarrow N}(\rho_N) = - \left[ \frac{N}{k} \right] \sum_{j_e=0}^{k} h \left( \sum_{l_e=0}^{N-k} \binom{N-k}{j_e} \binom{N-k}{l_e} \right) P_{j_e+l_e}(t) \delta_{N \mod k, 0} - 1 \sum_{j_e=0}^{N \mod k, 0} h \left( \sum_{l_e=0}^{N \mod k, 0} \binom{N}{j_e} \binom{N}{l_e} \right) P_{j_e+l_e}(t).$$

Albeit cumbersome, these formulas can readily be computed numerically.
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