The superior role of the Gilbert damping on the signal-to-noise ratio in heat-assisted magnetic recording
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In magnetic recording the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is a good indicator for the quality of written bits. However, a priori it is not clear which parameters have the strongest influence on the SNR. In this work, we investigate the role of the Gilbert damping on the SNR. Pure hard magnetic bits with two different grain sizes \( d_1 = 5 \text{ nm} \) and \( d_2 = 7 \text{ nm} \) are considered and simulations of heat-assisted magnetic recording (HAMR) are performed with the atomistic simulation program VAMPIRE. The simulations display that the SNR saturates for damping constants larger or equal than 0.1. Additionally, we can show that the Gilbert damping together with the bit length have a major effect on the SNR whereas other write head and material parameters only have a minor relevance on the SNR.

The next generation recording technology to increase the areal storage density of hard drives beyond 1.5 Tb/in\(^2\) is heat-assisted magnetic recording (HAMR)\(^{1-6}\). Higher areal storage densities (ADs) require smaller recording grains. These grains need to have high anisotropy to be thermally stable. HAMR uses a heat pulse to locally enhance the temperature of the high anisotropy recording medium beyond the Curie temperature. Due to the heating, the coercivity of the grain drops and it can be written with the available head fields. After the grain is written, the medium is cooled and the information is safely stored. A good indicator for the quality of the written bits is the so-called signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) which gives the power of the signal over the power of the noise\(^{7-11}\). To achieve high areal storage densities, recording materials that yield high SNR values even at small grain sizes are needed. However, a priori it is not clear which parameters have the strongest influence on the SNR.

In this work, we first investigate the effect of a varying damping constant on the SNR. Damping constants between \( \alpha = 0.01 \) and \( \alpha = 0.5 \) are considered. Additionally, the influence of the bit length on the SNR that was already studied by Slanovc et al\(^{12}\) is recapitulated. With this it is possible to explain an SNR decrease about 9 dB, which results when changing the material and writing parameters in the HAMR simulations from those used in former simulations\(^{11,12}\) to those according to the Advanced Storage Technology Consortium (ASTC)\(^{12}\) with the damping constant and the bit length only.

HAMR simulations with the atomistic simulation program VAMPIRE\(^{13}\) are performed for cylindrical recording grains with two different diameters \( d_1 = 5 \text{ nm} \) and \( d_2 = 7 \text{ nm} \) and a height \( h = 8 \text{ nm} \). One grain can be interpreted as one grain of a state-of-the-art granular recording medium or one island of a patterned media design for ultra high density recording. A simple cubic crystal structure is used. The exchange interaction \( J_{ij} \) and the effective lattice parameter \( a \) are adjusted so that the simulations lead to the experimentally obtained saturation magnetization and Curie temperature\(^{13,15}\). In the simulations, only nearest neighbor exchange interactions between the atoms are included. A continuous laser pulse with Gaussian shape and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 60 nm is assumed in the simulations. The temperature profile of the heat pulse is given by

\[
T(x, y, t) = (T_{\text{write}} - T_{\text{min}}) e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}} + T_{\text{min}}
\]

(1)

with

\[
\sigma = \frac{\text{FWHM}}{\sqrt{8 \ln(2)}}
\]

(3)

and

\[
T_{\text{peak}}(y) = (T_{\text{write}} - T_{\text{min}}) e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}.
\]

(4)

\( v = 15 \text{ m/s} \) is the speed of the write head. \( x \) and \( y \) label the down-track and the off-track position of the grain, respectively. In our simulations both the down-track position \( x \) and the off-track position \( y \) are variable. The ambient and thus minimum temperature of all simulations is \( T_{\text{min}} = 300 \text{ K} \). The applied field is modeled as a trapezoidal field with a field duration of 0.57 ns and a field rise and decay time of 0.1 ns. The field strength is assumed to be \(+0.8 \text{ T}\) and \(-0.8 \text{ T}\) in \( z\)-direction. Initially, the magnetization of each grain points in \( +z\)-direction. The trapezoidal field tries to switch the magnetization of the grain from \(+z\)-direction to \(-z\)-direction. At the end of every simulation, it is evaluated if the bit has switched or not.

The material and write head parameters for pure FePt like hard magnetic recording material according to the Advanced Storage Technology Consortium (ASTC)\(^{12}\) are used and shown Table I.\(^{13}\)

In the simulations, the switching probability of a recording grain at various down-track positions \( x \) at a
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peak temperature $T_{\text{peak}} = T_c + 60 \text{ K}$ is calculated, yielding a down-track probability function $P(x)$. In order to determine the SNR, it is necessary to compute the down-track jitter $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ and the maximal switching probability $P_{\text{max}}$ for the considered set of material and write head parameters, see Table I. To do so, the switching probability curves are fitted with a Gaussian cumulative distribution function

$$\Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \text{erf}(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2})) \cdot P_{\text{max}}$$

where the mean value $\mu$, the standard deviation $\sigma$ and the mean maximum switching probability $P_{\text{max}} \in [0, 1]$ are the fitting parameters. The standard deviation $\sigma$, which determines the steepness of the transition function, is a measure for the transition jitter and thus for the achievable maximum areal grain density of a recording medium. The fitting parameter $P_{\text{max}}$ is a measure for the average switching probability at the bit center. Note, that the calculated jitter values $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ only consider the down-track contribution of the write jitter. The so-called $\sigma$—parameter is given by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{down}}^2 + \sigma_g^2}$$

where $\sigma_g$ is a grain-size-dependent jitter contribution for pure hard magnetic grains and $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ are varied and fixed with a coarse-grained LLN model for grain diameters $d = 5 \text{ nm}$ and $d = 7 \text{ nm}$. They are fixed for comparability reasons. $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ and $P_{\text{max}}$ are varied and switching probability phase diagrams are computed for each $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ and $P_{\text{max}}$ combination. The resulting phase diagrams are mapped onto a granular recording medium where the switching probability of the grain corresponds to its position. The writing process is repeated for 50 different randomly initialized granular media. The SNR can then be computed from the read-back process with the help of a SNR calculator provided by SEAGATE. Where the reader width is 30.13 nm and the reader resolution in down-track direction is 13.26 nm. Repeating this procedure for $\sigma_{\text{down}} - P_{\text{max}}$—combinations in a certain range gives a phase diagram where the SNR is shown depending on $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ and $P_{\text{max}}$. From this phase diagram, the SNR can be interpolated for the $\sigma_{\text{down}}$ and $P_{\text{max}}$ values achieved during the simulations. The resulting SNR value is given in dB (SNR$_{\text{dB}}$). In the following, the SNR$_{\text{dB}}$ is simply called SNR unless it is explicitly noted different.

First, the damping constant is investigated in more detail. The damping constant is varied from $\alpha = 0.01$ to $\alpha = 0.5$ for two different grain sizes $d_1 = 5 \text{ nm}$ and $d_2 = 7 \text{ nm}$. All other parameters are taken from Table I. The bit length in the simulations is 10.2 nm and the track width is 44.34 nm. The down-track jitter curves are computed at $T_{\text{peak}} = 760 \text{ K}$ and fitted with eq. (5). In Figure II the SNR over the damping constants for both grain sizes is visible. The results show that an increase of the damping constant from $\alpha = 0.01$ to $\alpha = 0.02$ already improves the SNR significantly for both grain sizes. For $d_1 = 5 \text{ nm}$ damping constants larger or equal than 0.1 lead to the best SNR values. A grain with $d_2 = 7 \text{ nm}$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ already leads to good results. Surprisingly, increasing the damping constant beyond 0.1 does

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curie temp. $T_c$ [K]</th>
<th>Damping $\alpha$</th>
<th>Uniaxial anisotropy $k_u$ [J/\text{link}]</th>
<th>$J_{ij}$ [J/\text{link}]</th>
<th>$\mu_s$ [\mu\text{B}]</th>
<th>$v$ [m/s]</th>
<th>field duration (ld) [ns]</th>
<th>FWHM [\text{nm}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>693.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$9.124 \times 10^{-23}$</td>
<td>$6.72 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table I.** Material and write head parameters of a FePt like hard magnetic granular recording medium according to the Advanced Storage Technology Consortium (ASTC).
FIG. 1. Resulting SNR for various damping constants $\alpha$ for grains with two different diameters $d_1 = 5$ nm and $d_2 = 7$ nm.

not show any further improvement, the SNR saturates.

The influence of the bit length on the SNR was already studied by Slanovc et al. In this work, the following calculation is important. For the SNR calculations a bit length $b_1 = 10.2$ nm is assumed since this is the bit length resulting from the ASTC parameters. The track width in the simulations is again 44.34 nm. However, the bit length can change due to a variation of the write head parameters (field duration and head velocity). Therefore, the bit length for the former parameters is 22 nm. Unfortunately, the writing process in the SNR calculations cannot be simulated for bit lengths above 12 nm. Thus, a different approach is needed to qualitatively investigate the influence of the bit length. For the SNR with $\text{SNR}_\text{dB} = 10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR})$, there holds

$$\text{SNR} \propto \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{T_{50}}{b} \right) \left( \frac{W}{S} \right)$$ (9)

with the bit length $b$ and the read-back pulse width $T_{50}$ which is proportional to the reader resolution in down-track direction. The ratio $T_{50}/b$ is called user bit density and is usually kept constant. Further, the reader width $W$ and the grain size $S$ are constant. Since the aim is to qualitatively describe the SNR for a bit length $b_2$ from SNR calculations with a bit length $b_1$, the $a$-parameter $a$ is also assumed to be constant. The $\text{SNR}_\text{dB}$ for a different bit length $b_2$ can then be calculated by

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) - \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) = 10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR}(b_2)) - 10 \log_{10}(\text{SNR}(b_1)) = 10 \log_{10}(b_2^2) - 10 \log_{10}(b_1^2) = 20 \log_{10}\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1}\right)$$ (10)

since all other parameters are the same for both bit lengths. Thus, one can compute the $\text{SNR}_\text{dB}$ value for a varied bit length $b_2$ via the $\text{SNR}_\text{dB}$ of the bit length $b_1$ by

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) = \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) + 6.85 \text{ dB.}$$ (12)

Combined, this shows that the difference in the SNR can be attributed entirely to the damping and the bit length enhancement. The other write head and material parameters that were changed in the simulations have only minor relevance on the SNR compared to the damping constant and the bit length.

To conclude, we investigated how the damping constant affects the SNR. The damping constant was varied

FIG. 2. SNR over bit length for a grain with $d = 5$ nm. The black solid curve is computed by eq. (11) for a fixed initial bit length $b_1 = 10.2$ nm. The SNR values over the bit length are taken from Slanovc et al.

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) = \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) + 20 \log_{10}\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1}\right).$$ (11)

In Figure 2, the good qualitative agreement between the actual SNR values for a grain with diameter $d = 5$ nm taken from Slanovc et al. and eq. (11) for $b_1 = 10$ nm can be seen.

The simulations with write head and material parameters according to the ASTC are compared to simulations with parameters used in former works. These former parameters are summarized in Table III. Comparing the SNR values of both parameter sets shows that for $d_1 = 5$ nm the SNR is about 9 dB larger for the former used parameters than for the ASTC parameters and for $d_2 = 7$ nm it is $\sim 7.4$ dB larger. The question is if the damping and bit length variation can fully explain this deviation.

Increasing the damping constant from $\alpha = 0.02$ to $\alpha = 0.1$, yields about $+2.5$ dB for $d_1 = 5$ nm and $+0.57$ dB for $d_2 = 7$ nm. Additionally, with the calculations from eq. (11), one can show that by changing the bit length from $b_1 = 10$ nm to $b_2 = 22$ nm there holds

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) = \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) + 6.85 \text{ dB.}$$ (12)

The question is if the damping and bit length variation can fully explain this deviation.

Increasing the damping constant from $\alpha = 0.02$ to $\alpha = 0.1$, yields about $+2.5$ dB for $d_1 = 5$ nm and $+0.57$ dB for $d_2 = 7$ nm. Additionally, with the calculations from eq. (11), one can show that by changing the bit length from $b_1 = 10$ nm to $b_2 = 22$ nm there holds

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) = \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) + 6.85 \text{ dB.}$$ (12)

The question is if the damping and bit length variation can fully explain this deviation.

Increasing the damping constant from $\alpha = 0.02$ to $\alpha = 0.1$, yields about $+2.5$ dB for $d_1 = 5$ nm and $+0.57$ dB for $d_2 = 7$ nm. Additionally, with the calculations from eq. (11), one can show that by changing the bit length from $b_1 = 10$ nm to $b_2 = 22$ nm there holds

$$\text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_2) = \text{SNR}_\text{dB}(b_1) + 6.85 \text{ dB.}$$ (12)
### Table III. Material and write head parameters of a FePt like hard magnetic granular recording medium that were used in former work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter set</th>
<th>diameter [nm]</th>
<th>Temp$_{peak}$ [K]</th>
<th>bitlength [nm]</th>
<th>$P_{max}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{down}$ [nm]</th>
<th>SNR [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>15.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters of former work</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>24.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>14.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters of former work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>21.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV. Resulting $P_{max}$, $\sigma_{down}$ and SNR values for the simulations with ASTC parameters and those used in former simulations.

between $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\alpha = 0.5$ for two different grain sizes $d_1 = 5$ nm and $d_2 = 7$ nm and the SNR was again determined. One can see that for $d_1 = 5$ nm, $\alpha \geq 0.1$ yield the highest SNR values. Moreover, an increase of the damping constant beyond 0.1 does not improve the SNR further. For $d_2 = 7$ nm, the behavior is the same. In practice, the damping constant of FePt might be increased by enhancing the Pt concentration. Another option would be to use a high/low $T_c$ bilayer structure and increase the damping of the soft magnetic layer by doping with transition metals.

Furthermore, we recapitulated the influence of the bit length on the SNR that was former studied by Slanovc et al. The overall goal was to explain the decrease of the SNR by about 9 dB and 7.4 dB for $d_1 = 5$ nm and $d_2 = 7$ nm, respectively, when changing from recording parameters used in former simulation to the new ASTC parameter. Indeed, together with the bit length variation, the SNR variation could be fully attributed to the damping enhancement. The other changed parameters like the atomistic spin moment, the system height, the exchange interaction and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) have only a minor relevance compared to the influence of the damping $\alpha$ and the bit length. In fact, the variation of the bit length gave the largest SNR change. However, the bit length is a fixed parameter in today’s recording applications and should not be increased but only made smaller in order to increase the areal storage density of hard disk drives. Since an increase of the bit length is not realistic, the variation of the material parameters, especially the increase of the damping constant, is a more promising way to improve the SNR.
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