Abstract. Given a family $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs and a positive integer $k$, a graph $G$ is called vertex $k$-fault-tolerant with respect to $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $k$-FT($\mathcal{H}$), if $G - S$ contains some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ as a subgraph, for every $S \subset V(G)$ with $|S| \leq k$. Vertex-fault-tolerance has been introduced by Hayes [A graph model for fault-tolerant computing systems, IEEE Transactions on Computers, C-25 (1976), pp. 875-884.], and has been studied in view of potential applications in the design of interconnection networks operating correctly in the presence of faults. We define the Fault-Tolerant Complete Matching (FTCM) Problem in bipartite graphs of order $(n, m)$: to design a bipartite $G = (U, V; E)$, with $|U| = n$, $|V| = m$, $n > m > 1$, that has a FTCM, and the tuple $(\Delta_U, \Delta_V)$, where $\Delta_U$ and $\Delta_V$ are the maximum degree in $U$ and $V$, respectively, is lexicographically minimum. $G$ has a FTCM if deleting at most $n - m$ vertices from $U$ creates $G'$ that has a complete matching, i.e., a matching of size $m$. We show that if $m(n - m + 1)/n$ is integer, solutions of the FTCM Problem can be found among $(a, b)$-regular bipartite graphs of order $(n, m)$, with $a = m(n - m + 1)/n$, and $b = n - m + 1$. If $a = m - 1$ then all $(a, b)$-regular bipartite graphs of order $(n, m)$ have a FTCM, and for $a < m - 1$, it is not the case. We characterize the values of $n$, $m$, $a$, and $b$ that admit an $(a, b)$-regular bipartite graph of order $(n, m)$, with $b = n - m + 1$, and give a simple construction that creates such a graph with a FTCM whenever possible. Our techniques are based on Hall’s marriage theorem, elementary number theory, linear Diophantine equations, properties of integer functions and congruences, and equations involving them.

1. Introduction

1.1. Design of fault tolerant networks. Let $\Pi$ be a graph property that is preserved by adding edges to a graph. In many problems of graph theory, fault tolerance of a graph (network) with respect to $\Pi$ is studied. That means we want a graph $G$ to have the property $\Pi$ even after a certain number of vertices or edges is removed from $G$. We will call such graphs fault-tolerant (with respect to $\Pi$).

The topic has a direct application as a graph theoretical model of computer or communication networks operating correctly in the presence of faults. In this model, vertices represent processors and edges represent connections between processors. It is required for a network to maintain the prescribed architecture even if some processors fail.

Given a family $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs and a positive integer $k$, a graph $G$ is called vertex $k$-fault-tolerant with respect to $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $k$-FT($\mathcal{H}$), if $G - S$ contains some $H \in \mathcal{H}$ as a subgraph, for every...
S ⊆ V(G) with |S| ≤ k. Note that in the literature, k-FT(H) graphs are also called (H, k)-vertex stable graphs. For a singleton H = {H} we write shortly k-FT(H) instead of k-FT({H}). Clearly, K_{q+k} is k-FT(H) for every q-vertex graph H. So, there is a need to somehow measure the efficiency of k-FT(H) graphs.

One possibility is to consider k-FT(H) graphs having both small number of spare nodes and small maximum degree (to allow scalability of a network). Many papers concern the case when H = {P_2}, i.e. H is a path on q vertices. Bruck, Cypher and Ho [3] constructed k-FT(P_q) graphs of order q+k^2 and maximum degree 4. Zhang’s [27, 28] constructions have q+O(k log^2 k) vertices and maximum degree O(1), and q + O(k log k) vertices and maximum degree O(log k), respectively. Alon and Chung [2] gave, for k = Ω(q), a construction having q + O(k) vertices and maximum degree O(1). Finally, Yamada and Ueno [23] constructed k-FT(P_q) graphs with q + O(k) vertices and maximum degree 3.

A different quality measure of k-FT(H) graphs was suggested by Hayes [17]: a k-FT(H) graph G is called optimal if G has |V(H)| + k vertices and the smallest number of edges among all k-FT(H) graphs on |V(H)| + k vertices. A construction, having q + k vertices and maximum degree O(kΔ(H)), was given by Ajtai, Alon, Bruck, Cypher, Ho, Naor and Szemerédi [1].

Yet another quality measure has been introduced by Ueno et al. [22], and independently by Dudek et al. in [11], where the authors were interested in k-FT(H) graphs having as few edges as possible (disregarding the number of vertices). This topic has been widely studied [8, 9, 12, 13, 23, 24, 26]. Such a situation may occur in sensor nets, where sensors are much cheaper than the connections between them, and so their cost may be omitted.

1.2. Vertex-fault tolerant design in bipartite graphs. Our work is part of the line of research described above. For a motivation of the particular problem we study, let us present a potential context of application.

Consider a network of m sensing nodes and n relay nodes. Sensing nodes need to transmit their readings through the relay nodes, using a pre-established infrastructure of links. For each relay node, only one direct connection with a sensing node can be active at any time. Relay nodes are faulty, but at any given time at most n – m of them may be unavailable, leaving the other m relay nodes ready for transmission.

We want to establish a topology of links between sensing and relay nodes, a bipartite graph, to guarantee that under any fault scenario (with at least m relay nodes active), the m sensing nodes can transmit their data through distinct relay nodes.

Such an infrastructure needs to have at least (n – m + 1)m links. Indeed, suppose that the total number of links is smaller. Then at least one sensing node v is connected to at most (n – m) distinct relay nodes. And there is a fault scenario where precisely the relay nodes linked with v are inactive, in which case v cannot transmit its data.

We want to study topologies where not only the total number of links is low, but also the maximum number of links per node is small (both on the relay and sensing nodes sides).

Let us formulate the problem in the language of graph theory. For the ease of reading, we will keep throughout the paper the semantics of the variables we define.

We will work with a bipartite graph G = (U, V; E), with |U| = n, |V| = m, and |E| = e. We will say that G is of order (n, m) and size e. For any U' ⊆ U, let us use the notation H = G[U', V] to denote the subgraph induced by (U', V) in G and recall that H = (U', V; F) is a bipartite graph with U' and V as its corresponding color classes, and uv ∈ F iff uv ∈ E and u ∈ U'.
Let us use $\Delta_U$ and $\Delta_V$ to denote the maximum degree of a vertex in $U$ and $V$, respectively. Similarly, let $\delta_U$ and $\delta_V$ denote the respective minimum degrees.

We say that $G$ is balanced if $n = m$, and unbalanced otherwise. We say that $G$ is biregular if the degrees of the vertices in both color classes are constant, and irregular otherwise. If $\delta_U = \Delta_U = a$ and $\delta_V = \Delta_V = b$, then we say that $G$ is $(a,b)$-regular.

Given two sets $A$ and $B$, with $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$, a subset of edges $M$, $M \subseteq E$, is a matching from $A$ to $B$ if for every $e$, $e \in M$, we have $e = uv$, with $u \in A$ and $v \in B$, and for any $e, f \in M$, there is $e \cap f = \emptyset$. We say that the edges in $M$ are independent. If $|M| = |A|$, then $M$ is a complete matching from $A$ to $B$. In other words, in a complete matching $M$, each vertex in $A$ is incident with precisely one edge from $M$. A complete matching from $A$ to $B$ is called perfect if $|A| = |B|$. If there is a perfect matching from $A$ to $B$, with $A = U$ and $B = V$, then we say that $G$ has a perfect matching.

We can now define the property and the design problem that we will study in this paper.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $G = (U, V; E)$ be a bipartite graph with color classes $U$ and $V$, such that $|U| = n$, $|V| = m$. We will say that the graph $G$ has a FTCM if for every subset $U'$, $U' \subseteq U$, $|U'| = m$, the induced subgraph $H := G[U', V]$ has a perfect matching.

Notice that if $m = 1$, then the graph $K_{n,1}$ is the only bipartite graph of order $(n, 1)$ that has a FTCM.

If $n = m$, then the graph $G = (U, V; E)$, where $|U| = n$, $|V| = m$, and $E$ is a perfect matching from $U$ to $V$ has a FTCM. Moreover, $G$ is minimum with respect to the lexicographical order of $(\Delta_U, \Delta_V)$ among all bipartite graphs of order $(m, m)$ that have a FTCM. Our design problem can be seen as a generalization of the perfect matching construction to unbalanced bipartite graphs, with $n > m > 1$.

**Definition 1.2.** Given positive integer values $n, m$ such that $n > m > 1$, the a FTCM-design problem for $(n, m)$ is to find a bipartite graph $G = (U, V; E)$ of order $(n, m)$ that has a FTCM, and is lexicographically minimum with respect to $(\Delta_U, \Delta_V)$.

1.3. Related work. Design of fault-tolerant bipartite graphs has potential applications in the design of flexible processes, where there are $n$ different request types and $m$ servers that should process them (see, for example, the work of Chou et al. [7] for a review of the topic).

From this point of view, our problem could model systems that work in a multi-period setting, where an initial compatibility infrastructure has to be installed (a bipartite graph between request types and servers) and then, at any time period, there are at most $m$ different types of requests that have to be served. Moreover, our restriction of assigning a distinct server to each request type would correspond to systems where intra-period changes of set-up are not viable, for example, due to a high set-up cost.

Nevertheless, the relation of our model with the process flexibility literature is still remote, since the process flexibility community has so far focused on systems where a server can process different kinds of compatible requests within the same time period. Moreover, only recently unbalanced systems, i.e., with $n > m$, have started to be considered (see, Deng et al. [10] and Henao et al. [18] for examples).

A related line of research is not to design the smallest fault tolerant graphs (in terms of any metric, like the ones given above), but to analyze the level of fault tolerance assured by prescribed topologies [6, 19]. This topic is of particular interest for algorithm design in high performance computing. Supercomputers are comprised of many processing nodes (with some
local memory) that use an interconnection network to communicate during the execution of distributed algorithms. An algorithm delegates computational tasks to different nodes, and uses some logical topology for its message passing. This logical topology has to be somehow embedded in the interconnection network provided by the supercomputer. So it is of practical interest to study if the message passing topologies most common in algorithm design (like cycles, and trees of certain types) can still be embedded in interconnection topologies provided by supercomputers (often similar to hypercubes) when the system presents some faults [6].

The problem we study is loosely related to the work of Assadi and Bernstein on graph sparsification for the edge-fault tolerant approximate maximum matching problem [4]. They offer a polynomial time algorithm that, given any graph \( G = (V, E) \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and \( f \geq 0 \), computes a subgraph \( H = (V, E') \) of \( G \) such that for any set of edges \( F \), \( |F| = f \), the maximum matching in \( (V, E \setminus F) \) is at most \( 3/2 + \varepsilon \) times larger than the maximum matching in \( (V, E' \setminus F) \). Moreover, \( H \) has \( O(f + n) \) edges. To our knowledge, this is the only non-trivial algorithm known for edge-fault tolerant approximate maximum matching sparsification, and there are no algorithms for the vertex-fault tolerant version. We believe that our results might bring some insights valuable for this kind of challenges.

A problem that is closely related to ours was presented by Perarnau and Petridis [20]. The authors studied the existence of perfect matchings in induced balanced subgraphs of random biregular bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 1.3 [20].** Let \( k \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) be arbitrarily large, and \( b \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and suppose that \( kn, kb \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), with \( kb \leq n \).

Furthermore, let \( U \) and \( V \) be sets of size respectively \( n \) and \( kn \), and \( G \) be a \((kb, b)\)-regular bipartite graph \( G = (U, V; E) \). Take subsets \( A \subset U \) and \( B \subset V \) of size \( kb \) and define \( H := G[A, B] \) to be the subgraph induced in \( G \) by vertex set \((A, B)\). Then

1. No perfect matching exists in \( H \) with high probability when
   \[ \frac{kb^2}{n} - \log(kb) \xrightarrow{b \to \infty} -\infty \] or when \( b \) is a constant.

2. A perfect matching exists in \( H \) with high probability when
   \[ \frac{kb^2}{n} - \log(kb) \xrightarrow{b \to \infty} \infty. \]

Notice that their result is on balanced subgraphs of order \((kb, kb)\), and our work is on subgraphs of order \((kn, kn)\), in graphs where \( n > kn > 1 \) and \( b = n(1 - k) + 1 \). So, in our setting, \( kn > kb \).

In the rest of the paper we will focus on graphs \( G = (U, V; E) \), where \( |U| = n \), \( |V| = m \), \( |E| = e \), and \( n > m > 1 \).

### 2. Existence and construction of biregular graphs

In this section we present some constructions of biregular bipartite graphs that will be useful in the following sections. Let us start with a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( n, m, a, b \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \) and \( an = bm \). Then there exist positive coprime integers \( x, y \) such that \( n = x \gcd(m, n) \), \( m = y \gcd(m, n) \), \( a = y \gcd(a, b) \), \( b = x \gcd(a, b) \).
Proof. Let \( n = n' \text{gcd}(n,m) \), \( m = m' \text{gcd}(n,m) \), \( a = a' \text{gcd}(a,b) \), \( b = b' \text{gcd}(a,b) \). Since \( na = bm \), we have \( \frac{m}{n} = \frac{a}{b} \) and so \( \frac{m'}{n'} = \frac{a'}{b'} \). Since both are irreducible fractions, there is \( x := n' = b' \) and \( y := m' = a' \), and they are coprime. So we have \( n = x \text{gcd}(n,m) \), \( b = x \text{gcd}(a,b) \), \( m = y \text{gcd}(n,m) \), \( a = y \text{gcd}(a,b) \).

For a positive integer \( o \) let \( [o] = \{0, 1, \ldots, o-1\} \). We will show now that for any positive integers \( a, b, m, n \) such that \( an = bm \) there exists a bipartite graph \( G \) of order \((n,m)\) which is \((a,b)\)-regular.

Construction 2.2. Let \( n, m, a, b \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \) and \( an = bm \). Let \( c = \text{gcd}(m,n) \) and \( d = \text{gcd}(a,b) \). Let \( G' \) be a \( d \)-regular bipartite graph having color classes \( U' = \{u_i \mid i \in [c]\} \) and \( V' = \{v_j \mid j \in [c]\} \) such that \( E(G') = \{u_iv_{i+\delta} \mod c \mid i \in [c], \delta \in [d]\} \).

We will construct now the graph \( G \) by “blowing up” each vertex \( u_i \) into \( x = b/d = n/c \) vertices \( u_i\alpha \), \( \alpha \in [x] \), whereas each vertex \( v_j \) into \( y = a/d = m/c \geq 1 \) vertices \( v_{j,\beta} \), \( \beta \in [y] \). Each edge from \( G' \) will be substituted now by the corresponding complete bipartite graph \( K_{x,y} \).

It is easy to check that, by this construction, we obtain the graph \( G = (U, V; E) \), with \( U = \{u_i \mid i \in [n]\}, V = \{v_j \mid j \in [m]\} \), \( E = \{(u_i, v_{i+(x+j)} \mod m) \mid x \in [n], \alpha \in [a], j = \lfloor \frac{x}{y}\rfloor \} \), which is an \((a,b)\)-regular graph of order \((n,m)\).

Let us present a similar construction, that also connects each vertex from \( U \) with an interval of a consecutive vertices from \( V \), only that now the first vertex is chosen in a slightly different way. Let us start with some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Let \( \alpha \in [c] \). If \( \left( \frac{\alpha x}{y} \right) \mod m = j \), then \( \left( \frac{(\alpha + x)\alpha}{y} \right) \mod m = j + a\gamma \).

Proof. \( \left( \frac{\alpha x}{y} \right) \mod m = \alpha y + \left( \frac{\alpha y}{y} \right) m = \alpha y + j \).

Lemma 2.4. Let \( x, y, j, c, n = cx, m = cy \) be positive integers, with \( y < x \) and \( j \in [m] \). Then the number of integer solutions to \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = j \mod m \) with respect to \( i \), with \( i \in [n] \), is equal to \( \left[ j\frac{y}{x} \right] - \left( j-1\right)\frac{y}{x} \). Moreover:

- \( \left[ j\frac{y}{x} \right] - \left( j-1\right)\frac{y}{x} = \left[ r\frac{y}{x} \right] - \left( r-1\right)\frac{y}{x} \), where \( r = j \mod y \).
- For any interval of consecutive \( y \) values of \( j \), for \( (x \mod y) \) of them, there are \( \left[ \frac{x}{y} \right] \) solutions and, for the remaining \( (y-x \mod y) \), there are \( \left[ \frac{x}{y} \right] \) solutions.
- In general, the number of solutions is \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] \) for \( (n \mod m) \), and \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] \) for \( (m - n \mod m) \) values of \( j \in [m] \).

Proof. We have \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = \left[ \frac{(m-1)y}{x} \right] = \left[ \frac{cy-y}{x} \right] = \frac{cy-ym}{x} = \frac{cy}{x} \). So \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] \mod m = j \) means \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = j \mod m \). If \( j = 0 \), then we have \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = 0 \) or \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = m \).

First, consider the case \( j > 0 \). It means that \( (j-1) < \frac{y}{x} \) and \( \frac{y}{x} \leq j \). So the equation is satisfied iff \( i \) belongs to the interval \( \left( (j-1)\frac{y}{x}, j\frac{y}{x} \right) \). The number of integers it contains is equal to \( \left[ j\frac{y}{x} \right] - \left( j-1\right)\frac{y}{x} \) (for example, see Chapter 3 of the book by Graham, Knuth and Patashnik [16]). And they all belong to \( [n] \).

Now, let \( j = 0 \). The only solution to \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = 0 \) with \( i \in [n] \) is \( i = 0 \). On the other hand, \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = m \), without additional restrictions on \( i \), is satisfied by all integers inside the interval \( \left( (m-1)\frac{y}{x}, m\frac{y}{x} \right) \). The only one of them not contained in \( [n] \) is \( m\frac{y}{x} = cy\frac{y}{x} = cx = n \). So the number of integer solutions to \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] \mod m = 0 \) with \( i \in [n] \) is equal to the number of integer solutions of \( \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right] = m \).
Let us analyze the behavior of the number of solutions to \([\lfloor \frac{y}{x} \rfloor] = j\) with respect to the residue of \(j \mod y\). Let \(j = ly + r\) for some \(0 \leq r < y\) and integer \(l\). Then \([\lfloor \frac{ly+r}{x} \rfloor] - [\lfloor \frac{ly+x-r}{x} \rfloor] = [\frac{r}{x}] - [(r-1)\frac{y}{x}]\).

Let us consider now \(r \in [y]\) and suppose that \([i\frac{y}{x}] = r\), then \(i \in [x]\) by Lemma 2.3. If \(x = qy + p\) for \(p \in [y]\), then the number of integer solutions to \([i\frac{y}{x}] = r\) with respect to \(i\), with \(i \in [qy + p]\), is equal to either \(q = [\frac{r}{y}]\) or \(q + 1 = [\frac{r}{y}]\). Because \(i \in [x]\), the number of solutions is \([\frac{r}{y}]\) for \(p = x \mod y\) values of \(r \in [y]\). For the other \((y-x \mod y)\) values of \(r\), there are \(\lfloor \frac{r}{y} \rfloor\) solutions. Since the number of solutions depends only on \(j \mod y\), the second bullet holds.

Finally, the number of solutions is \([\frac{r}{y}]\) for \(c \cdot (x \mod y) = cx \mod cy = n \mod m\) values of \(f \in [m]\).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \(x, y, d, a = dy, b = dx, j, c, n = cx, m = cy, \) be positive integers, with \(y < x\), \(d < c\), and \(j \in [m]\). Then the number of integers \(i\), with \(i \in [n]\), such that \([\frac{iy}{x}] (mod m) = j\) with \(j \in \{l-(a-1),\ldots,l\}\) (mod \(m\)) for some integer \(l, l \in [m]\), is equal to \(b\).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.4, the number of solutions to \([\frac{iy}{x}] (mod m) = j\) depends only on the residue \(j \mod y\). On the other hand, by the conditions of the Lemma, there is \(a+y \leq m\). So, without loss of generality, we may assume that \(m-1 \geq l \geq m-y\) and \(l-(a-1) \geq 0\).

By Lemma 2.4, number of integers \(i\), as stated in the thesis, is equal to \(\sum_{j=l-(a-1)}^{l}[\frac{iy}{x}] - [\lfloor \frac{(j-1)y}{x} \rfloor]\). By the telescopic property, it is equal to \([\frac{ly}{x}] - ([l-a)y]_x) = [\frac{lx}{y}] - [\frac{ly}{y}] + dx = dx = b\).

**Construction 2.6.** Let \(n, m, a, b\) be positive integers such that \(1 < m < n\) and \(an = bm\). Then the graph \(G = (U, V; E)\), with \(U = \{u_i \mid i \in [n]\}\), \(V = \{v_j \mid j \in [m]\}\), \(E = \{(u_i, v_{(j+a)} (mod m)) \mid i \in [n], a \in [a], j = [\frac{y}{x}]\}\) is an \((a, b)\)-regular graph of order \((n, m)\).

It is easy to see that \(G\) is of order \((n, m)\) and \(\delta_U = \Delta_U = a\). Let us show that we also have \(\delta_V = \Delta_V = b\). Notice that any vertex \(v_j \in V\) is adjacent to all vertices \(u_i\) such that \([\frac{iy}{x}] = j\) with \(j \in \{l-(a-1),\ldots,l\}\) (mod \(m\)). So, by Lemma 2.5, \(d(v_j) = b\).

3. Biregular graphs with \(b = n - m + 1\)

Recall that a bipartite graph \(G\) with a FTGM needs to have \(\delta_U \geq n - m + 1\) and \(e \geq (n - m + 1)m\). In this section we will focus on biregular graphs where both hold with equality. In particular, we will work with positive integer values \(n, m\), such that \(n > m > 1\), \(a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}\) is integer, and \(b = n - m + 1\). Let us define the following functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
a(m, n) &= \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \\
b(m, n) &= n - m + 1 \\
c(m, n) &= \text{gcd}(n, m) \\
d(m, n) &= \text{gcd}(\frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}, n - m + 1) \\
x(m, n) &= \frac{n}{\text{gcd}(m, m)} \\
y(m, n) &= \frac{m}{\text{gcd}(n, m)}
\end{align*}
\]

For the ease of reading, whenever it does not lead to confusion, we will use a simplified notation that omits the arguments of the corresponding functions. So we will write as if these
were just some parameters describing our constructions: $a = \frac{nb}{n}$, $b = n - m + 1$, $c = \gcd(n, m)$, $d = \gcd(a, b)$, $x = \frac{n}{c} = \frac{b}{a}$, $y = \frac{m}{c} = \frac{a}{b}$.

Sometimes it will be useful to rewrite the other variables in terms of $x$, $y$ and $d$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $n, m$ be positive integers such that $1 < m < n$, and $\frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}$ is integer. Then the following hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
a &= yd \\
b &= xd \\
c &= \frac{xd-1}{x-y} \\
m &= \frac{y(xd-1)}{x-y} \\
n &= \frac{x}{x-y}
\end{align*}
$$

Rewriting in terms of $x$, $y$, $c$ we get the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $n, m$ be positive integers such that $1 < m < n$, and $\frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}$ is integer. Then the following hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
d &= c(x-y)+1 \\
a &= yc(x-y)+1 \\
b &= c(x-y)+1 \\
m &= yc \\
n &= xc
\end{align*}
$$

The following lemma introduces another parameter, $p$, that proves useful in the analysis of biregular graphs with $b = n - m + 1$, and describes some related properties.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $n, m$ be positive integers such that $1 < m < n$, and $\frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}$ is integer. Let $p = c - d$. There is $p = \frac{m-1}{x} = \frac{cy-1}{x-y} = \frac{yd-1}{x-y} = \frac{a-1}{y} = \frac{w-a}{y}$. Moreover $p > 0$, $c > d > 0$, $x > y > 0$, $n > m > 2$, $b > a > 1$, $n - x > b$, $m - y > a$, $n - c > m > c$, $b - d > a > d$.

**Proof.** The first part follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 by simple rewriting of the terms. For the second part, first notice that by our choice of variables, $p$ is integer. Then, since $p = \frac{m-1}{x}$, it must be non-negative. Finally, $p = 0$ would imply $m = 1$ - a contradiction with $m > 1$. Since $p = \frac{m-1}{x}$, $p > 1$, $x - y > 1$, we have $a > 2$. Since $m - 1 = px$, and $x > 2$, there is $m > 3$. □

In the following, we will use properties of the Bézout’s Identity (a detailed treatment can be found, for example, in [3]).

**Theorem 3.4 (Bézout’s Identity).** Let $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be integers, $\alpha$, $\beta$ nonzero. Consider the linear Diophantine equation

$$\alpha \phi + \beta \psi = \gamma.$$

(1) The equation is solvable for $\phi$ and $\psi$ in integers iff $\gamma’ = \gcd(\alpha, \beta)$ divides $\gamma$.

(2) If $(\phi_0, \psi_0)$ is a particular solution, then every integer solution is of the form:

$$\phi = \phi_0 + l\frac{\beta}{\gamma}, \psi = \psi_0 - l\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$$

where $l$ is an integer.

(3) If $\gamma = \gcd(\alpha, \beta)$ and $|\alpha|$ or $|\beta|$ is different from 1, then a particular solution $(\phi_0, \psi_0)$ can be found such that $|\phi_0| < |\beta|$ and $|\psi_0| < |\alpha|$, as the coefficients obtained in the Extended Euclidean Algorithm.
Given a linear Diophantine equation like in Theorem 3.4, we will say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are Bézout’s coefficients for $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $n, m$ be positive integers such that $1 < m < n$, and $\frac{n(n-m+1)}{2}$ is integer. Then the identities $dx + (-c)(x - y) = 1$, $cy + (-p)x = 1$ hold and the following pairs of parameters are coprime: $(x, y)$, $(x, x - y)$, $(y, x - y)$, $(c, d)$, $(c, p)$, $(d, p)$, $(d, x - y)$, $(c, x)$, $(p, y)$.

**Proof.** The identities follow from rewriting of the relations presented in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Coprimality follows from the identities and Theorem 3.4.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any integers $x, y$ with $0 < y < x$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, there exist infinitely many pairs of positive integers $(c, d)$ such that there exists an $(a, b)$-regular graph $G$ of order $(n, m)$, where $n = xc$, $m = yc$, $a = yd$, $b = xd$. All such $(c_l, d_l)$ pairs can be computed as $(d_l, c_l) = (d_0 + l(x - y), c_0 + lx)$ for any positive integer $l$, where $d_0$ and $(-c_0)$ are Bézout coefficients for $x$ and $(x - y)$.

**Proof.** Such a graph $G$ exists whenever $c = \frac{xd - 1}{x - y}$ is a positive integer. Notice that this equality is equivalent to $1 = dx - c(x - y)$. By Theorem 3.4, $d$ and $(-c)$ are Bézout coefficients for $x$ and $(x - y)$. Notice that, since $x > 1$, the Extended Euclidean Algorithm will give us Bézout’s coefficients $d_E$, $(-c_E)$ such that $|d_E| < x - y$ and $|(-c_E)| < x$.

If both $d_E$ and $c_E$ are positive, since $x > x - y$, we have $d_E < c_E$ and $d_E - (x - y) < 0$. So we can set $d_0 = d_E$ and $c_0 = c_E$, and all the other solutions can be constructed as $d_l = d_0 + l(x - y)$ and $c_l = c_0 + lx$ for any positive integer $l$.

If either $d_E$ or $c_E$ is not positive, then we get such $d_0$ and $c_0$ by adding $(x - y)$ and $x$ to $d_E$ and $c_E$, respectively. Indeed, since $|d_E| < x - y$, there is $d_E + x - y > 0$, and the analogue holds for $c_E$.

The existence of the corresponding $(a, b)$-regular graph of order $(n, m)$ follows from Construction 2.2.

Notice that all coprime pairs $(x, y)$ can be generated in a very efficient way, using the concept of the Stern-Brocot tree. Indeed, with adequate data structures, it is possible to generate unique coprime pairs, using constant time per pair. See, for example, Chapter 4 of [16] for details.

With a similar reasoning, we can obtain the following result based on $c$ and $d$.

**Proposition 3.7.** For any integers $c, d$ with $0 < d < c$ and $\gcd(c, d) = 1$, there exist infinitely many pairs of positive integers $(x, y)$ such that there exists an $(a, b)$-regular graph $G$ of order $(n, m)$, where $n = xc$, $m = yc$, $a = yd$, $b = xd$. All such $(x_l, y_l)$ pairs are coprime and can be computed as $(x_l, y_l) = (x_0 + lc, z_0 + ld)$ for any positive integer $l$, where $x_0$ and $(-z_0)$ are Bézout coefficients for $d$ and $c$.

Now, let us analyze constructions based on the value of $m$.

**Proposition 3.8.** Consider an integer $m$, $m \geq 3$. Let $cy$ be any positive integer factorization of $m$ with $c \geq 2$, and let $px$ be any positive integer factorization of $m - 1$, with $c > p$ and $x > y$. Then there exists an $(a, b)$-regular graph $G$ of order $(n, m)$, with $m = cy$, $n = cx$, $d = c - p$, $a = yd$, and $b = xd$.

**Proof.** First, notice that there always exists at least one such a pair of factorizations, namely $c = m$, $y = 1$, $p = 1$, and $x = m - 1$.

We have $m = cy$ and $m - 1 = px$, with positive integers $c, y, p, x$. Since $cy - px = 1$, we have that $(x, y)$ are coprime, and so are $(c, p)$. Moreover, there is $dx - c(x - y) = cx - px - cx + cy = cy - px = 1$, and $(d, c)$ also are coprime. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6, the thesis holds.
Notice that the function that assigns to every integer \( m \) the cardinality of the set of values \( n \), such that \( n > m > 1 \) and there exists a biregular graph of order \((n, m)\) is very difficult to analyze.

On one hand, consider for example a Sophie Germain prime \( \alpha \). Let \( m = \beta = 2\alpha + 1 \) (so \( \beta \) is also prime) and let \( m - 1 = 2\alpha \). Then there exists only one factorization \( m = cy \) with \( c > 1 \): \( y = 1 \) and \( c = \beta \); and three factorizations \( m - 1 = px \) with \( x > 1 \): \((x = 2, p = \alpha), (x = \alpha, p = 2)\), and \((x = 2\alpha, p = 1)\); hence there are only three values of \( n \) such that there exists a biregular graph of order \((n, m)\).

It is conjectured that there exist infinitely many Sophie Germain primes, but as for now we have that the Chen’s method proves there are infinitely many prime numbers \( \alpha \), such that either \( \beta = 2\alpha + 1 \) is prime or a product of two distinct prime numbers (see [15]). So there are infinitely many values \( m \) for which there are at most 9 values of \( n \) such that there exists a biregular graph of order \((n, m)\).

On the other hand, by the result of Ramanujan [21], there are infinitely many integers \( m \) for which the number of distinct divisors \( d(m) \) of \( m \) satisfies \( d(m) > 2^\frac{\log(m)}{\log(\log(m))} + O(\frac{\log(m)}{(\log \log(m))^2}) \). So the number of values of \( n \) such that there exists a biregular graph of order \((n, m)\) for such \( m \) is very high.

With a similar reasoning as the one for \( m \), we obtain an analysis of constructions based on \( a, b \), and \( n \).

**Proposition 3.9.** Consider an integer \( a, a \geq 2 \). Let \( yz \) be any positive integer factorization of \( a \), and let \( px \) be any positive integer factorization of \( a - 1 \). Then there exists an \((a, b)\)-regular graph \( G \) of order \((n, m)\), with \( a = dy, x = z + y, b = dx, c = d + p, n = cx \), and \( m = cy \).

**Proposition 3.10.** Consider an integer \( b, b \geq 3 \). Let \( dx \) be any positive integer factorization of \( b \), with \( x \geq 2 \), and let \( cz \) be any positive integer factorization of \( b - 1 \), with \( c > d \) and \( z < x \). Then there exists an \((a, b)\)-regular graph \( G \) of order \((n, m)\), with \( b = dx, y = x - z, a = dy, m = cy, n = cx \).

**Proposition 3.11.** Consider a composite integer \( n, n \geq 4 \). Let \( cx \) be any positive integer factorization of \( n \), with \( x \geq 2 \) and \( c \geq 2 \), and let \( yz \) be any positive integer factorization of \( n + 1 \), with \( z \geq c + 1 \). Then there exists an \((a, b)\)-regular graph \( G \) of order \((n, m)\), with \( n = cx \), \( m = cy \), \( d = z - c \), \( a = dy \), \( b = dx \).

Constructions based on \( n \) can also be analyzed from a different point of view.

**Proposition 3.12.** Consider a composite integer \( n, n \geq 4 \). Let \( cx \) be any positive integer factorization of \( n \), with \( c \geq 2 \) and \( x \geq 2 \). Then there exists exactly one \((a, b)\)-regular graph \( G \) of order \((n, m)\), with \( m = cy \), \( n = cx \), \( a = yd \), and \( b = xd \), where \( d \) and \(-(x - y)\) are Bézout’s coefficients for \( x \) and \( c \), with \( d < c \) and \( x - y < x \).

**Proof.** First notice that the condition for \( n \) to be composite is necessary. Indeed, for a prime \( n \) there is no factorization \( n = cx \) with \( c \geq 2 \) and \( x \geq 2 \).

Since \( c > 1 \), by Theorem [3.4] and based on the identity \( 1 = dx - zc \), where \( z = (x - y) \), the Extended Euclidean Algorithm for \( x \) and \( c \) gives Bézout’s coefficients \( d_E \) and \( -z_E \) such that \(|d_E| < c \) and \(|-z_E| < x \).

Notice that, since \( x \geq 2 \) and \( c \geq 2 \), there must be both \( d_E \neq 0 \) and \( z_E \neq 0 \). Moreover, Bézout’s coefficients for a pair of positive integers, if they are both non-zero, have to be of opposite signs. So \( d_E \) and \( z_E \) are either both positive or both negative. If they are both negative, then adding \( c \) and \( x \) to \( d_E \) and \( z_E \), respectively, by an argument similar to that of the
4. FTCM in biregular graphs

Let us define a special class of biregular graphs that will be the main object of study in this section. Throughout, \( n, m \) will be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \), and \( \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( n, m \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \), and \( \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer. An \((a, b)\)-regular graph \( G \) of order \((n, m)\), such that for any \( U' \subset U \) with \(|U'| = m \), the subgraph \( H := G[U', V] \) induced in \( G \) by vertex set \((U', V)\) has a perfect matching, is called biregular-FTCM.

Notice that if the set of biregular-FTCM graphs of order \((n, m)\), \( n > m > 1 \), is not empty, then it is the set of solutions to the FTCM-design problem for \((n, m)\). Of course, it may happen only if \( a = m(n-m+1)/n \) is integer. If \( a \) is not integer, then the solutions to the FTCM-design problem for \((n, m)\) will necessarily have \( \Delta_U > a \).

Our proofs related to the existence of perfect matchings will be based on the well known Hall’s theorem.

**Theorem 4.2** (Hall’s theorem). A bipartite graph \( G = (U, V; E) \) contains a complete matching from \( U \) to \( V \) if and only if it satisfies \(|N(A)| \geq |A|\) for every \( A \subset U \).

Let us first show some result for graphs given by Construction 2.2.

**Observation 4.3.** Let \( n, m \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \) and \( \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer. The graph given in Construction 2.2 is biregular-FTCM if and only if \( c = m \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be a graph given by Construction 2.2. Note that for any \( v_{j_1}, v_{j_2} \in V \) there is either \( N(v_{j_1}) \cap N(v_{j_2}) = \emptyset \) or \( N(v_{j_1}) = N(v_{j_2}) \).

Suppose first that \( c = m \), then recall that then \( d = a \). We will show now that for any \( U' \subset U \) such that \(|U'| = m \), in the subgraph \( H := G[U', V] \) induced in \( G \) by the vertex set \((U', V)\) has a perfect matching.

Let \( U' \subset U \), \(|U'| = m \). We need to show that for any \( A, A \subset U' \), \(|A| = r \), \( A = \{u_{i_0}, u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{i_{r-1}}\} \), the neighborhood \( N(A) \), \( N(A) \subset V \), satisfies \(|N(A)| \geq |A| = r \). Suppose that \( za < |A| \leq (z+1)a \) for some \( z \in [k] \), then by the Pigeonhole Principle there have to exist pairwise distinct vertices \( v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}, \ldots, v_{j_k} \in N(A) \subset V \) such that \( N(v_{j_s}) \neq N(v_{j_t}) \) for any \( j_s \neq j_t \). Hence \( N(A) \geq za \).

Assume now that \( c < m \), then let \( B = \{v_{c-1,0}, v_{c-1,1}, \ldots, v_{c-1,y-1}\} \). By Construction 2.2 there is

\[
N(B) = \{u_{c-d,0}, u_{c-d,1}, \ldots, u_{c-d,x-1}, \ldots, u_{c-1,0}, u_{c-1,1}, \ldots, u_{c-1,x-1}\}.
\]

Hence \(|N(B)| = d \cdot b/d = b = n - m + 1 \). Let \( A = (U \setminus N(B)) \cup \{u_{c-1,0}\} \). Observe that then in the subgraph \( H = G[A, V] \), we have \(|B| > 1\) whereas \(|N_H(B)| = 1\). Thus Hall’s theorem implies that \( H \) does not have a perfect matching. \( \square \)

Let us present now a negative result.
Theorem 4.4. Let \( n, m \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \) and \( a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer. There exists an \((a,b)\)-regular graph of order \((n,m)\) that is not biregular-FTCM if and only if \( a < m - 1 \).

Proof. Since \( a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) and \( 1 < m < n \), we have \( a < m \).

Suppose that \( a = m - 1 \), then by simple rewriting we obtain that \( b = (m-1)^2 \) and \( n = (m-1)m \). Take any \( U' \subseteq U \) with \( |U'| = m \). Suppose that the subgraph \( H := G[U', V] \) induced in \( G \) by the vertex set \((U', V)\) does not have a perfect matching. Observe that \( |N(U')| \geq m - 1 \) because \( \deg(u) = m - 1 \) for any \( u \in U' \). Therefore, by Hall’s theorem we can assume that there exists \( A \subseteq U' \) with \( |A| = m \) and \( |N(A)| = m - 1 \). It implies that there exists a vertex \( v \in V \) such that \( N(v) \cap A = \emptyset \). Hence \( \deg(v) \leq |U| - |A| = (m-2)m < (m-1)^2 = b = \deg(v) \), a contradiction.

From now on, we assume that \( a < m - 1 \). If \( c < m \), then Observation 4.3 implies that the \((a,b)\)-regular graph of order \((n,m)\) given by Construction 2.2 is not biregular-FTCM.

For \( c = m \), let \( G' \) be an \((a,b)\)-regular bipartite graph with color classes \( U = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}\} \) and \( V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}\} \) such that \( E(G') = \{(u_{i+\alpha+zm} \mod n), v_i) \mid i \in [n], \alpha \in [a], z \in [x]\} \).

Note that \( N_G(v_0) \cap N_{G'}(v_{m-1}) = \emptyset \) since \( a > m - 1 \).

We will construct now the graph \( G' \) by exchanging some edges in \( G \). Namely, let \( V(G) = V(G') \) and \( E(G) = (E(G') \setminus \{(u_{a+zm}, v_1), (u_{zm}, v_{m-1}) \mid z \in [x]\}) \cup \{(u_{zm}, v_1), (u_{a+zm}, v_{m-1}) \mid z \in [x]\} \).

Let \( B = \{v_0, v_{m-1}\} \). By construction, there is \( N(B) = \{u_{(a+zm) \mod n} \mid \alpha \in [a], z \in [x]\} \).

Hence \( |N(B)| = a \cdot x = b = n - m + 1 \). Let \( A = (U \setminus N(B)) \cup \{u_0\} \). Observe that then in the subgraph \( H = G[A, V] \), we have \( |B| = 2 \) whereas \( |N_H(B)| = 1 \). Thus Hall’s theorem implies that \( H \) does not have a perfect matching.

Let us now present the main result.

Theorem 4.5. Let \( n, m \) be positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \), \( a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer, and \( b = m - m + 1 \). Then there exists an \((a,b)\)-regular graph of order \((n,m)\) that is biregular-FTCM.

Proof. Let \( G \) be the graph given by Construction 2.6, i.e., a graph \((U, V; E)\), with \( U = \{u_i \mid i \in [n]\}, V = \{v_j \mid j \in [m]\}, E = \{(u_i, v_{(j+i) \mod m}) \mid i \in [n], \alpha \in [a], j = \frac{u_i}{y}\}\} \).

Consider the cardinalities \( |\{i \mid i \in [n], \frac{u_i}{y} = j \mod m \}| \) for \( j \in [m] \). Recall that, by Lemma 2.4, when \( y = 1 \), then all cardinalities are equal \( \left\lceil \frac{u_i}{y} \right\rceil = \left\lfloor \frac{u_i}{y} \right\rfloor = x \). Otherwise, since \( x \) and \( y \) are coprime, \( \left\lfloor \frac{u_i}{y} \right\rfloor - \frac{x}{y}y = y - x \mod y \leq y - 1 \) and the cardinalities alternate between \( \left\lceil \frac{u_i}{y} \right\rceil \) and \( \left\lfloor \frac{u_i}{y} \right\rfloor \).

We will show that, for any \( U' \subseteq U \) such that \( |U'| = m \), in the subgraph \( H := G[U', V] \) induced in \( G \) by the vertex set \((U', V)\), there exists a complete matching of size \( |U'| \). By Theorem 4.2 it means that for any \( A \subseteq U \), \( |A| = r \leq m \), \( A = \{u_{i_0}, u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{i_{r-1}}\} \), the neighborhood \( N(A) = \{v_j \mid \frac{u_i}{y} = j \mod m, s \in [r]\} \subseteq V \), satisfies \( |N(A)| \geq |A| \). Given such an \( A \), let \( B = \{v_j \mid \frac{u_i}{y} = j \mod m, s \in [r]\} \subseteq N(A) \).

For the argument, let us consider the elements of \( B \) put on a directed cycle \( C_m \), where there is an arc \((v_{j_1}, v_{j_2})\) if and only if \( j_2 - j_1 = 1 \mod m \). We will analyze the relative positions of elements of \( B \) on \( C_m \).
Suppose that there exists $A$, $A \subseteq U$, such that $|N(A)|/|A| = t < 1$. Let $B$ be such that the value of $t$ is the lowest possible, the minimum length of a path that covers $B$ in $C_m$ is the lowest possible, and such a path terminates with the largest possible number of vertices $v_j$ for which $\{i \mid i \in [n], \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = j \pmod{m}\} = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$. Let $P$ denote this path.

Clearly, $N(A)$ does not cover the whole cycle $C_m$, as this would mean $|N(A)| = m \geq |A|$.

Let us show that $N(A)$ induces a path in $C_m$. Indeed, if it was not the case, we could partition $A$ into a family of sets $A_0, \ldots, A_{s-1}$, each one corresponding to an inclusion maximal path $N(A_{\ell})$, $\ell \in [s]$, induced by $B$ in $C_m$. Note that the length of each path $B_{\ell}$ defined by $A_{\ell}$, $\ell \in [s]$, is strictly smaller than the length of $P$. Suppose now that, for all $\ell \in [s]$, we have $|N(A_{\ell})|/|A_{\ell}| < |N(A)|/|A|$. Thus $|A||N(A_{\ell})| > |A_{\ell}||N(A)|$ what implies that $|A||N(A_{0}) + \cdots + |N(A_{s-1})| > (|A_{0}| + \cdots + |A_{s-1}|)|N(A)|$ and hence $|A||N(A)| > |A||N(A_{0}) + \cdots + |N(A_{s-1})| = |N(A)|$ since all $N(A_{\ell})$ are disjoint). Therefore, for at least for one $\ell \in [s]$ there is $|N(A_{\ell})|/|A_{\ell}| \leq |N(A)|/|A|$ - a contradiction with the choice of $A$.

Now, given that $N(A)$ induces a path in $C_m$, we can also show that $B$ induces a path in $C_m$. Indeed, suppose that $P$ contains a vertex $v_j$ not in $B$. This means that $\{i \mid \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = j \pmod{m}\} \cap A = \emptyset$. Notice that $v_j$ is in $N(A)$. So we can create $A' = A \cup \{u_i\}$, where $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = j \pmod{m}$, with $|A'| \geq |A|$ and $|N(A')| = |N(A)|$ - a contradiction with the choice of $A$, unless $|A| = m$. But $|A| = m$ can not hold, since it would mean that $|N(A)| \leq m - 1$, and, for any $v_j \in V$, there are only $m - 1$ non-neighbors of $v_j$ in $U$. So, additionally we have shown that we may assume $|A| \leq m - 1$ and $|N(A)| \leq m - 2$.

In a similar way, we can prove that $A = \{u_i \mid \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = j \pmod{m}, v_j \in B\}$. In other words, $A$ corresponds to the set of solutions to $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = j \pmod{m}$ for an interval $J$ of consecutive values of $j$.

Therefore we can assume $|B| = qy + \gamma$ for $\gamma \in [y]$. By Lemma 2.4 the $qy$ vertices in $B$ correspond to $q$ vertices in $A$. The remaining $\gamma$ vertices in $B$ can be partitioned into $\gamma'$ vertices that correspond to $\gamma'\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$ vertices in $A$, and $\gamma''$ vertices that correspond to $\gamma''\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$ vertices in $A$. Again by Lemma 2.4 we have $\gamma' + \gamma'' = \gamma$, $\gamma' \leq (x \pmod{y})$ and $\gamma'' \leq (y - x \pmod{y})$. Hence $qx \leq |A| \leq qx + \gamma'\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor + \gamma''\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$ and $|N(A)| = qy + \gamma + dq - 1$.

By the choice of $A$,

$$|N(A)| = qx + \gamma' + \gamma'' + dq - 1 < |A| \leq qx + \gamma'\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor + \gamma''\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$$

Suppose $\gamma = 0$, we have $|A| = qx$ and the inequality reduces to $qx + dy - 1 < qx$, which is equivalent to $q > \frac{dy - 1}{x - y}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\frac{dy - 1}{x - y} = \frac{m - 1}{x}$, and so it is equivalent to $q > \frac{m - 1}{x}$. We get $|A| = qx > m - 1$ - a contradiction, since we already have already showed that $|A| \leq m - 1$. So we may assume that $\gamma' + \gamma'' > 0$.

Suppose that $(q + 1)x \leq m - 1$, then $q + 1 \leq \frac{m - 1}{x} = \frac{yd - 1}{x - y}$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence

$$qy + y + yd - 1 - (y - \gamma' - \gamma'') \geq qx + x - (y - \gamma' - \gamma'')$$

Recall that $\gamma' \leq (x \pmod{y})$ and $\gamma'' \leq (y - x \pmod{y})$, $(x \pmod{y})\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor + (y - x \pmod{y})\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor = x$ and $\frac{x}{y} > 1$. Therefore

$$qx + x - (y - \gamma' - \gamma'') = qx + x - ((x \pmod{y}) - \gamma') - ((y - x \pmod{y}) - \gamma'')$$
\( qx + x - x \mod y + \gamma - (y - x \mod y) + \gamma'' \geq qx + x - x \mod y + \gamma' \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor - (y - x \mod y) + \gamma'' \left\lceil \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil \)

\[ qx + x - ((x \mod y) - \gamma') \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor - ((y - x \mod y) - \gamma'') \left\lceil \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil = qx + \gamma' \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor + \gamma'' \left\lceil \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil. \]

Thus

\[ |N(A)| = qy + \gamma' + \gamma'' + dy - 1 \geq qx + \gamma' \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor + \gamma'' \left\lceil \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil \geq |A| \]

- a contradiction with the choice of \( A \), so we may assume that \((q + 1)x \geq m\).

On the one hand, \( m \leq (q + 1)x \) implies \( \frac{m - 1}{x} < q + 1 \). Since \( p = \frac{m - 1}{x} \), it means \( q \geq p \).

On the other hand, recall that \( |A| \leq m - 1 \). So \( |A| > |N(A)| = qy + \gamma + dy - 1 \) implies \( m - 1 > qy + \gamma + dy - 1 \). By Lemma 3.3, \( qy + \gamma + dy - 1 = qy + \gamma + p(x - y) = qy + \gamma + m - 1 - py \).

So we obtain \( y(p - q) \geq \gamma \). Since \( \gamma > 0 \), there is \( q < p \). So we reach a contradiction. \( \square \)

It is interesting to note how a little difference between Construction 2.2 and Construction 2.6 changes the properties of the resulting graphs with respect to FTCM. Indeed, the only difference is in changing the first vertex adjacent to any \( u_i \in U \) from \( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor y \mod m \) to \( \left\lceil \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil y \mod m \) with \( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil y \mod m \) does not change the properties of the resulting graph with respect to FTCM. On the other hand, replacing \( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil \mod m \) with \( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rceil \mod m \) in Construction 2.6 also generates graphs with a FTCM.

As an interesting generalization of Construction 2.6 if the neighbors following \( v_j, j = \left\lfloor \frac{ny}{m} \right\rfloor \), instead of being consecutive (\( s = 1 \)), are separated by any \( s \), a divisor of \( x \), the resulting construction also has a FTCM. In other words, for any positive integers \( n, m \) such that \( 1 < m < n \), \( a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer, and \( b = m - m + 1 \), \( G = (U, V; E) \), with \( U = \{ u_i \mid i \in [n] \} \), \( V = \{ v_j \mid j \in [m] \} \), \( E = \{ (u_i, v_{j+sa}) \mod m \} \) \( a \in [a], j = \left\lfloor \frac{ny}{m} \right\rceil \), for any \( s \) such that \( x = 0 \mod s \), also has a FTCM. On the other hand, for any \( s \), such that \( x \neq 0 \mod s \), the resulting graph is in general not even biregular.

5. Conclusions

We define the Fault-Tolerant Complete Matching (FTCM) Problem in bipartite graphs of order \((n, m)\): to design a bipartite graph \( G = (U, V; E) \), with \( |U| = n \), \( |V| = m \), that has a FTCM, and the tuple \((\Delta_U, \Delta_V)\), where \( \Delta_U \) and \( \Delta_V \) are the maximum degree in \( U \) and \( V \), respectively, is lexicographically minimum. \( G \) has a FTCM if deleting any \( n - m \) vertices from \( U \) creates a graph \( G' \) that has a perfect matching.

We study it in the case of unbalanced biregular graphs, i.e., when \( n, m \) are positive integers such that \( 1 < m < n \), \( a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n} \) is integer, and \( b = m - m + 1 \). We show that if \( a = m - 1 \), then all \((a, b)\)-regular bipartite graphs of order \((n, m)\) have a FTCM, and for \( a < m - 1 \), it is not the case. We characterize the values of \( n, m, a, \) and \( b \) that admit an \((a, b)\)-regular bipartite graph of order \((n, m)\), and give a simple construction that creates such a graph with a FTCM whenever possible. Our analysis leads to simple algorithmic recipes that can be exploited for generating biregular graphs with FTCM.

We hope that our results will motivate further studies, in particular in relation with the topics that are mentioned in the introduction.
At the moment, we are working on the following conjecture that generalizes Construction 2.6 to unbalanced bipartite graphs that are not biregular.

**Conjecture 5.1.** Let $n, m$ be positive integers such that $1 < m < n$ and $a = \frac{m(n-m+1)}{n}$ is not integer. Let $a' = \lceil a \rceil$. Then the graph $(U, V; E)$, with $U = \{u_i \mid i \in [n]\}$, $V = \{v_j \mid j \in [m]\}$, $E = \{(u_i, v_{(j+\alpha) \mod m}) \mid i \in [n], \alpha \in [a'], j = \lceil \frac{jm}{n} \rceil\}$, has a FTCM.

**References**


