Nature of the Doping-Driven Metal-Insulator Transition in Correlated Electron Systems with strong Hund’s Exchange Coupling
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Abstract: We study the doping-driven Mott metal-insulator transition for multi-orbital Hubbard models with Hund’s exchange coupling at finite temperatures. As in the single-orbital Hubbard model, the transition is of first-order within dynamical mean field theory, with a coexistence region where two solutions can be stabilized. We find, that in the presence of finite Hund’s coupling, the insulating phase is connected to a badly metallic phase, which extends to surprisingly large dopings. While fractional power-law behavior of the self-energies on the Matsubara axis is found on both sides of the transition, a regime with frozen local moments develops only on the branch connected to the insulating phase.

PACS numbers: Valid PACS appear here

1. INTRODUCTION

Correlated materials feature some of the most fascinating phenomena of condensed matter physics, such as exotic transport phenomena including metal-insulator transitions1 or superconductivity2–4, satellite features5 in spectroscopic measurements, or spin-, charge-6, or orbital ordering7. The single-orbital Hubbard model offers a minimal description of many of the effects associated with strong correlations. Among its most prominent results is the prediction of localisation of the conduction electrons at half filling when the Coulomb repulsion, parametrized by the Hubbard interaction $U$, dominates over the kinetic energy of the electrons, thus causing a metal-insulator transition8,9. In the proximity of this transition, unconventional – correlation-driven – phenomena are found, reminiscent of some of the exotic properties observed in transition metal oxides10.

For most materials, however, the single band description of the Hubbard model is a crude over-simplification, and an even richer physics results from the additional degrees of freedom present in multi-orbital models. Characteristic changes in orbital occupations drive e.g. the metal-insulator transitions in vanadium sesquioxide11 or vanadium dioxide12. Indeed, the effective degeneracy of a material, that is, the number of active orbitals after correlations have been included, has been identified as a determining factor for its properties13–15. But even in metallic phases, orbital physics can play a role: the properties of iron pnictides and chalcogenides, or 4d transition metal oxides such as ruthenates, for instance, can only be described accurately when considering their multi-orbital nature. Here, a key parameter is the reduction of the on-site effective Coulomb interaction for electrons with parallel spins due to Hund’s exchange coupling. This same quantity parametrizes – for antiparallel spins – the difference between the effective on-site intra-orbital and inter-orbital interactions. For large Hund’s coupling $J$, the conduction electrons appear to be strongly correlated – with large quasi-particle masses and low coherence scales – without being in the immediate vicinity of the Mott transition, i.e. the Hubbard interaction $U$ only being a moderate fraction of the critical value $U_c$ at which the Mott transition takes place. For the past few years, such “Hund’s metals” have been the subject of intensive studies, both on a model level16–22 and within realistic calculations for specific materials23,24. The main findings are a change of the critical interaction $U_c$, marking the Mott metal-insulator transition; decreasing at half filling while increasing at other commensurate fillings, a general decrease of coherence, as well as a tendency of orbital decoupling with increasing $J$.

Another interesting feature, first discussed in a work by Werner et al.20, is the occurrence of an extended region in the parameter space spanned by filling $n$, temperature $T$ and interaction $U$, where spin-spin correlation functions do not decay and self-energies display fractional power-law behavior or extrapolate to significant finite values on the Fermi surface. Early on20, this fractional power-law behavior of the self-energies thought to be related to the peculiar optical conductivity of various ruthenates25–27. In Ref. 5, such a behavior – reminiscent of non-Fermi liquid phases in orbital-selective Mott insulators28 – was even evidenced in realistic materials simulations for the iron pnictide BaFe$_2$As$_2$.

Most interestingly, recent works18,29–31 suggest that these findings are just an aspect of a more general phenomenon – spin-orbit separation – which is not restricted to lattice models, but already emerges from the physics of the multi-orbital impurity model with Hund’s coupling. In the multi-orbital Anderson impurity model, the competition between Hund’s coupling and Kondo screening gives rise to an intermediate regime, where the Kondo scales of orbitals $T_K^{orb}$ and spins $T_K^{sp}$ are distinct30. In the intermediate energy range $T_K^{orb} > |\omega|, T > T_K^{sp}$, or-
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bital degrees of freedom are screened by the conduction electrons. This gives rise to a large local spin moment, at which conduction electrons are scattered, and results in strong decoherence and non-Fermi liquid behavior. Only below $T_{K}^{sp}$, Fermi liquid behavior is recovered\(^{18,22,29,32,33}\). In Ref. 29 this phenomenon was investigated for a three-orbital impurity model with a flat density of bath states, as well as within dynamical mean field theory on the Bethe lattice. In both cases, the described physics was found for fillings $1 < n < 2N_{orb} - 1$, with the spin-orbit separation becoming stronger upon approaching half filling.

The spin-freezing phenomenon, as well as orbital-freezing for negative Hund’s $J^{34}$, is of particular interest due to its possible connection with the appearance of superconductivity; the enhancement of local magnetic fluctuations characterizing the spin-freezing boundary has been argued to result in an effective attraction between electrons, even for repulsive bare interactions\(^{35,36}\).

In addition, a recent model study\(^{37}\) using the slave-spin technique\(^{38}\) found Hund’s coupling to cause an instability of the Fermi-liquid, preceded by a divergence of the electronic compressibility. It was argued that this might have important consequences, like a tendency of the system towards phase separation or a strong enhancement of the quasi-particle interaction vertices in the homogeneous phase.

Despite these recent advances in the understanding of the consequences of Hund’s exchange, it remains unclear how this connects with and influences the existence of the finite-temperature metal-insulator coexistence region\(^{39}\). In this work, we tackle some of these questions, by investigating the finite doping properties of multi-orbital models with Hund’s coupling around half filling. These models display a first-order Mott metal-insulator transition which is characterized by an extended coexistence region where both metallic and insulating phases can be realized. We study the nature of these phases, focusing on the electronic compressibility and susceptibility at finite temperatures, and analyzing the evolution of spin-freezing phenomena as a function of doping and temperature.

The paper is structured as follows: Section II describes the model chosen for the present study. Section III presents our results, with emphasis on the phase diagram (subsection III.A), temperature-dependence (subsection III.B), spin-spin correlations (subsection III.C) and the role of spin-flip and pair-hopping terms in the interaction part of the Hamiltonian (subsection III.D). In Section IV we present a summary and conclusions.

II. THE MODEL

A. Model and methodology

The model under consideration is a multi-orbital Hubbard Hamiltonian with Hund’s coupling $H = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{int}$, with

$$\hat{H}_0 = t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \mu \sigma} c_{i \mu \sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j \mu \sigma} - (\mu + \mu_0) \sum_{i \mu \sigma} \hat{n}_{i \mu \sigma} \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i \mu \sigma}^{\dagger}$ ($c_{i \mu \sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron of orbital $m$ and spin $\sigma$ at site $i$. The chemical potential $\mu$ is shifted by a term $\mu_0$, such that $\mu = 0$ corresponds to a half-filled system. We assume orbital-diagonal hopping amplitudes $t$. Considering the lattice geometry, for reasons of simplicity, we choose a Bethe lattice with an infinite coordination number, corresponding to a semi-elliptic density of states

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega) = \theta(2t - |\omega|) \frac{\sqrt{4t^2 - \omega^2}}{2\pi t^2}. \quad (2)$$

The interaction term is

$$\hat{H}_{int} = U \sum_m \hat{n}_{m,\uparrow} \hat{n}_{m,\downarrow} + U' \sum_{m > m'} \hat{n}_{m\sigma} \hat{n}_{m'\sigma} + (U' - J) \sum_{m > m'} \hat{n}_{m\sigma} \hat{n}_{m'\sigma} + \alpha \left[ J \sum_{m \neq m'} c_{m\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{m\downarrow} c_{m'\downarrow} c_{m'\uparrow} - J \sum_{m \neq m'} c_{m\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{m\downarrow} c_{m'\uparrow} c_{m'\downarrow} \right]. \quad (3)$$

For reasons of computational simplicity, we drop the spin-flip and pair-hopping terms, i.e. we set $\alpha = 0$, for all calculations, except those presented in section III.D. For the remaining density-density terms of the interaction, we choose the standard form\(^{40}\) $U' = U - 2J$, with a positive Hund’s exchange coupling $J > 0$. We assume that all terms in (3) contribute non-negative repulsive interactions, restricting us to $J < U/3$. Throughout this work, we will consider a typical Hund’s coupling of $J = 0.25U$. We solve the model using dynamical mean field theory (DMFT), which maps the model onto a single site, coupled to a self-consistent bath\(^{41}\).

The resulting quantum impurity model is solved using the continuous time hybridization-expansion (CT-Hyb) quantum Monte Carlo algorithm; for a detailed discussion of various quantum Monte Carlo algorithms consider Ref. 42. In general, solutions were obtained from $\sim 10^6$ Monte Carlo sweeps per core, using 40 cores and 50—100 DMFT iterations. Close to the spinoidals, the number of sweeps was increased to $\sim 4 \times 10^6$, with hundreds of DMFT iterations at a mixing factor of 0.4.

Since we are interested in the coexistence region, we have to stabilize two different solutions for the same set of parameters. In the framework of DMFT, this is achieved by using the converged hybridization functions from previous simulations as starting points for the new Monte Carlo calculations. In the course of this paper, we will use the term “metallic” for solutions stabilized using this scheme by starting in the strongly doped regime (large $\mu$) upon successively decreasing the chemical potential,
while solutions obtained upon increasing the chemical potential away from half filling will be denoted as “insulating”, irrespective of their specific characteristics (e.g. spectral weight at the Fermi level).

From now on, we will work with dimensionless variables, expressing all energies in units of the hopping $t$, which we set to $t = 1$.

B. The multi-orbital Hubbard model: A short reminder

The multi-orbital Hubbard model has been the subject of numerous previous studies\textsuperscript{17,19,20,29,43–46}. In the limit of infinite coordination number considered here, DMFT yields the exact solution, unless specific approximations are introduced by the choice of an approximate solver technique. At commensurate fillings and low enough temperature, the system undergoes a metal-insulator transition as a function of the interaction strength\textsuperscript{41}. Metallic or insulating solutions are characterized respectively by a finite or vanishing spectral weight at the Fermi energy. In the one-band Hubbard model\textsuperscript{41}, as well as in the multi-band Hubbard models in the absence of Hund’s coupling\textsuperscript{46}, it is known that at half filling and at low enough temperatures a metallic solution exists for $U < U_{c2}(T)$, whereas a Mott insulating solution exists for $U > U_{c1}(T)$. Since it is found that $U_{c1}(T) < U_{c2}(T)$, there is a parameter zone where the two solutions coexist. The Mott transition is thus a first-order transition, taking place inside this zone at the value of $U_c(T)$ where the free energies of the two solutions are equal. This first-order line terminates in two second-order critical points, one at zero temperature, where $U_c(T = 0) = U_{c2}(T = 0)$, and the other one at a finite temperature $T_c$ where the two lines delimiting the coexistence region meet, i.e. $U_{c1}(T_c) = U_{c2}(T_c)$. This scenario is by now established and provides a model description for the interaction-driven Mott transition.

An alternative path in parameter space allows one to study doping-driven transitions, varying the chemical potential away from the electron-hole symmetric value. We focus on the evolution of the metallic and insulating phases coexisting in the doping-driven transition.

The physics in this region depends on the branch – metallic or insulating – considered. On the metallic side, a variation of the chemical potential is immediately accompanied by a corresponding change in the filling, expressing the finite compressibility of the system. At zero temperature, the insulating phase remains half filled as long as the chemical potential stays inside the gap. Upon further increase of $\mu$, this solution eventually “jumps” to coincide with the metallic one, and the insulating branch disappears.

At finite temperatures, the coexistence region encompasses a volume in the parameter space $(U,T,\mu)$\textsuperscript{47}. The insulating branch then accommodates tiny values of doping, thus realizing a strongly incoherent metal. For values of $U > U_{c2}$ and a chemical potential sufficiently close to the electron-hole symmetric value, only an insulating solution exists. It is delimited by two coexistence regions corresponding to the first-order Mott transitions under electron- and hole-doping respectively\textsuperscript{41,47}. The general aspects of this scenario survive in the context of multi-orbital systems at least as long as only SU($N$)-symmetric\textsuperscript{43} interactions are considered. The fate of the respective phases in the presence of Hund’s exchange coupling, however, remains an open question. In this work, we investigate the physics of the coexistence region in the presence of a finite Hund’s coupling.
III. RESULTS

A. Phase diagram

Our exhaustive investigations of the two-orbital model with density-density interaction \((\alpha = 0)\) and \(J = 0.25U\) allow us to summarize our results in the form of a phase diagram, parametrized by the Hubbard interaction \(U\), the chemical potential \(\mu\) and the temperature \(T\) which is presented in the upper panel of Fig. 1. It may be compared to the phase diagram of the one orbital Hubbard model, which can be found in Ref. 39 (Fig. 1), and in Ref. 48 (Fig. 1); the latter one being based on exhaustive calculations. It allows to orientate oneself in parameter space. The cut at \(\mu = 0\) along the \(U\)-axis indicates the position of the coexistence region, delimited by the spinoldals \(UC_1(T)\) and \(UC_2(T)\), which, upon increasing \(T\), move closer together until they merge at \(T_c\). Remaining at the cut \(\mu = 0\), the lower panel of Fig. 1 compares the coexistence region, evaluated for \(J = 0.25U\), with a corresponding schematic for \(J = 0\), taken from the literature. The second cut in the upper panel at constant \(U = 3.06\) along the \(\mu\)-axis shows the coexistence region delimited by \(\mu C_1(T)\) and \(\mu C_2(T)\): a corresponding plot for the one-orbital model can be found in the supplementary material of Ref. 48. All of the results for the two-orbital model with density-density interaction (3) that will be presented in the following were calculated in this plane in parameter space.

Fig. 2 shows the filling per spin with and without Hund’s coupling. Dots connected with solid lines correspond to results obtained after starting from a metallic initial configuration, whereas dots connected with dashed line were obtained by following the insulating branch. Compared to the case with \(J = 0\), the Mott transition already occurs at a strongly decreased value of \(U\), which is due to the increased cost of hopping from the energetically favored configuration of aligned spins\(^{19}\). Also, the coexistence region can only be stabilized within a smaller range of \(\mu\), compared to the case with \(J = 0\) for same \(\beta\). Compared to the case without Hund’s coupling \((J = 0)\), one also has to probe much smaller temperatures to observe a first-order phase transition; an indication of a strongly reduced temperature scale \(T_K\) (as it has also been shown in\(^{17}\)).

While deviations from half filling on the insulating branch have already been reported\(^{47}\) as due to finite temperature effects, it is remarkable how strong the system can be doped while still remaining on the insulating branch. Looking at the Fig. 3, it also becomes apparent that the “insulating” branch is not at all insulating anymore for high dopings: While the self-energies indicate decoherence of the single-particle states in the coexistence region (with \(\Im \Sigma(\mathbf{q},\omega)\) not approaching zero upon extrapolating from the lowest Matsubara frequency \(\omega_n = \pi / \beta\) to zero), the imaginary part of the Green’s function indicates a significant spectral weight at the Fermi level. Similar behavior has been observed in\(^{39,50}\) for cluster models in two dimensions; however there, the reason for this was attributed to the effect of short-range spin correlations - considering that no similar behavior was observed in the single-site approximation\(^{39,47}\).

B. Temperature considerations

Fig. 4 shows the electronic doping as a function of the chemical potential \(\mu\) for the two-orbital model (3) with \(J = 0.25U\), \(\beta = 100\) (upper panel) and without Hund’s coupling \(J = 0\), \(\beta = 25\) (lower panel), for various Hubbard interactions \(U\). Solid(dashed) lines denote results starting from a metallic(insulating) initial configuration.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{FIG. 2.} & \quad \text{Filling \(n\) per spin as a function of the chemical potential \(\mu\) for the two-orbital model (3) with } J = 0.25U, \\
\text{and without Hund’s coupling } J = 0, \beta = 25 (\text{lower panel}), \text{for various Hubbard interactions } U. \quad \text{Solid(dashed) lines denote results starting from a metallic(insulating) initial configuration.}
\end{align*}\]
FIG. 3. Imaginary part of the self-energies (upper panel) and Green’s functions (lower panel) for the two-orbital model eq. (3) with $U = 3.06$, $J = 0.25U$ and $\beta = 100$. Solid (dashed) lines denote simulations starting from a metallic (insulating) initial configuration.

The left panel of Fig. 5 shows the self-energies on the branch which is adiabatically connected to the insulator. For small $|i\omega|$, we find the imaginary part of the self-energies to be characterized by a fractional power-law behavior $-\text{Im}[\Sigma(i\omega)] \sim c + b|\omega|^{\delta}$, with an exponent $\delta$ that decreases upon approaching $\mu \to \mu_c$. On this branch, we also find a significantly large finite intercept $c \neq 0$, indicating strong decoherence. This decrease in coherence was already visible in the results shown in Fig. 3 for $\beta = 100$. Most interestingly, decoherence gets stronger upon further doping the system, signifying enhanced scattering, while at the same time there is an increase in $|\text{Im}[G(i\omega_0)]|$, testifying of a growth in spectral weight at the Fermi level.

The right panel of Fig. 5 shows the same quantities on the metallic branch. While the finite intercept of $\text{Im}[\Sigma(i\omega)]$ disappeared, we still find power-law behavior with exponents $\delta \sim 0.5$.

The temperature corresponding to $\beta = 200$ is low enough to find a range of dopings for which both a metallic and an insulating solution can be stabilized. This allows us to perform a direct comparison of the two solutions at constant doping; the results are presented in Fig. 6-9 for a filling of $n = 0.515$ per spin.

FIG. 4. Upper panel: Filling $n$ per spin as a function of $\mu$ in the two-orbital model (3) for different temperatures $T = 1/\beta$, with $U = 3.06$ and $J = 0.25U$. The curve for $\beta = 25$ has been fitted to $n = A(\mu - \mu_0)^2$, yielding $A = 0.27, \mu_0 = 0.19$. Lower panel: Filling $n$ per spin as a function of $\mu$ in the two-orbital model (3) for different temperatures $T = 1/\beta$, with $U = 7.35$ and $J = 0$. Solid (dashed) lines designate solutions starting from a metallic (insulating) configuration.
FIG. 5. Self-energies of the two-orbital model for several chemical potentials $\mu$, with $U = 3.06$, $J = 0.25U$ and inverse temperature $\beta = 200$. Dashed lines indicate least-square fits to the function $c + b|\omega|^\delta$. Left panel: Imaginary part of the self-energy on the Matsubara axis on the insulating branch of the coexistence region, $\delta = 0.72, 0.75, 0.66, 0.50$ (for $\mu = 0.30, 0.34, 0.38, 0.42$). Right panel: Same quantity on the metallic branch, $\delta = 0.45, 0.46, 0.48, 0.50$ (for $\mu = 0.30, 0.34, 0.38, 0.42$).

FIG. 6. Real and imaginary part of the self-energies on the Matsubara axis for the two-orbital model with $U = 3.06$, $J = 0.25U$ and $\beta = 200$ for constant doping $n = 0.515$/spin on the metallic (blue) and insulating (red) branch.

FIG. 7. Real and imaginary part of the self-energies on the real-frequency axis for the two-orbital model with $U = 3.06$, $J = 0.25U$ and $\beta = 200$ for doping $n = 0.515$/spin on the metallic (blue) and insulating (red) branch. The inset shows a zoom to the metallic branch around the Fermi level.

FIG. 8. Spectral functions on the metallic and insulating branch for fixed filling $n = 0.515$/spin, $U = 3.06$, $J = 0.25$ and $\beta = 200$.

The pronounced peak of the spectral function of the metallic solution, shown in Fig. 8, is exactly due to the vanishing imaginary part of the self-energy at $\omega = 0$, as explained above. The insulating branch is characterized by a pseudo-gap structure, that emerges from the gapped insulator upon doping the system. These features are further highlighted by Fig. 9, which shows the spectral functions $A(\omega, \epsilon) = -\text{Im}[G(\omega, \epsilon)]/\pi$, obtained as $G(\omega, \epsilon) = (\omega + \mu - \epsilon - \Sigma(\omega))^{-1}$ by analytic continuation of the self-energies $\Sigma(\omega)$ to the real-frequency axis.
C. Spin-spin correlations

We now investigate the behavior of the spin-spin correlation on the two branches. To this effect, we fix the electron doping, while continuously reducing the temperature. In the case of a Fermi liquid, one expects the spin-spin correlation function

$$C_{ss}(\tau) = \langle S_z(\tau)S_z(0) \rangle$$

(4)

to scale as $C_{ss}(\tau) \sim \frac{\beta^{-2}}{\sin(\pi\tau/\beta^2)}$ for low temperatures, so that its value at $\tau = \beta/2$ should behave like $C_{ss}(\beta/2) \sim \beta^{-2}$. In this case, the quantity $\beta C_{ss}(\beta/2) \sim \beta^{-1}$ is inversely proportional to the NMR relaxation time $T_1$, and the Fermi liquid is characterized by the Korringa law $\frac{1}{T_1T} = const$. On the other hand, in the case of frozen spins, $C_{ss}(\beta/2)$ is expected to remain T-independent.

Fig. 10 shows the spin-spin correlations at fixed fillings on both the metallic (solid lines) and the insulating (dashed lines) branch for various temperatures. For $n = 0.51$ we could stabilize a solution in the insulating phase for all temperatures under consideration ($T = 0.04, 0.02, 0.01, 0.005$). Despite the finite doping and the significant spectral weight at the Fermi level (see Fig. 3), the correlation function at $\beta/2$ merely shows fluctuations within about the width of the error bars. Nonetheless, this might not be too surprising, considering that this branch is adiabatically connected to the Mott insulator, where one would expect such a behavior. We also investigated the metallic branch for constant filling $n = 0.53$. Here, the temperature dependence of the spin-spin correlation is almost perfectly fitted by a straight line, indicating non-Fermi liquid behavior, consistent with the compartment of the self-energies.

The results for the spin-spin correlations are particularly interesting when comparing them to the behavior of
the self-energies for small Matsubara frequencies. In our calculations, spin-freezing was only found on the insulating branch, which is also characterized by a significant finite intercept $\text{Im} \Sigma \neq 0$ when extrapolating from the lowest Matsubara frequency $i\omega_0 = i\pi/\beta$ to zero (see Fig. 5, left panel). This behavior is in accordance with the findings in Ref. 20, where the connection between spin-freezing and decoherence was observed for a three-orbital model.

### D. Role of spin-flip and pair-hopping terms

We now turn to an investigation of the influence of the spin-flip and pair-hopping terms, setting $\alpha = 1$ in Hamiltonian (3). Fig. 11 shows results for different temperatures. As before, the curves representing the filling as a function of the chemical potential split into two branches, corresponding to the insulating and metallic solution, respectively. The new terms induce additional fluctuations and therefore enhance the critical interaction $U_c(\beta)$, while the region where only an insulating solution is found is narrowed. As in the case with density-density interactions ($\alpha = 0$), the insulating branch still extends to considerable dopings, although the effect is less pronounced than in the case with density-density interactions. Most strikingly, the apparent stability of the insulating branch upon changes of temperature, as witnessed in the upper panel of Fig. 4, is not reproduced in the model with rotationally symmetric interactions. As with the density-density Hamiltonian, the corresponding self-energies are characterized by non-Fermi liquid power law behavior on both branches and finite intercepts on the insulating branches, as can be seen in Fig. 12.

### IV. Conclusion and Outlook

In this work, we have studied the finite temperature properties of two-orbital Hubbard models with Hund’s density-density interactions, which we complemented with calculations for the rotationally symmetric case (results for the three-orbital model with density-density interaction are discussed in Appendix A). As in the one-orbital case, we found a coexistence of two solutions near the Mott transition; one connected to the Fermi liquid and the other one connected to the Mott insulator. We found that at finite Hund’s coupling $J$, the insulating branch can be stabilized up to high dopings, where it is characterized by almost temperature independent spin-spin correlations, power-law behavior of the self-energy with increasing decoherence and a significant spectral weight at the Fermi level.

For sufficiently low temperatures, we found a parameter regime in which two coexisting solutions could be stabilized at the same doping, which is particularly interesting considering possible implications for the Fermi/Luttinger surface of such systems. For $T = 0$, Luttinger’s theorem $^{51}$ dictates the volume defined by $\text{Re} G(\omega = 0, k) > 0$ to be proportional to the number of electrons $n$. Within methods beyond DMFT, able to access the momentum dependence of the self-energy, the two different solutions should, therefore, be distinguished by different shapes of the Luttinger surfaces, defined by $\text{Re} G(\omega = 0, k) = 0$, while keeping its enclosed volume constant. Even if the remarkable extension of the insulating branch to finite dopings cannot be expected to survive the limit of $T \rightarrow 0$ $^{52}$, these findings remain interesting, especially considering realistic systems. Clearly, the low-temperature behavior of the insulating branch constitutes an interesting subject of further investigations.
We found that the spin-freezing regime appears to be connected to the insulating branch, while on the metallic branch spin-spin correlations decay upon decreasing the temperature. This is particularly interesting since it would imply a first-order transition between the two regimes, close to the critical interaction at half filling. Here, future investigations might focus on the nature of spin-spin fluctuations close to the endpoint \( T_c \) of the first order transition.

Earlier works\(^{20}\) on three-orbital models found the spin-freezing phenomena to persist even in regions far away from half filling (for \( U \) significantly bigger than \( U_{C2} \)). To explain how these two observations fit together, one must consider that 1) our main focus was on the study of two-orbital models, while for three-orbitals (Appendix A, with density-density interactions), the insulating branch was found to extend to even higher dopings and that 2) our calculations were performed at \( T < T_c \), which is not necessarily the case for all values of \( U, \mu \) under consideration in Ref. \( 20 \), since \( T_c(U) \) decreases upon increasing \( U \).

While our calculations for rotationally symmetric interactions (Fig. 11) seem to indicate a divergence of the compressibility upon approaching \( T_c \), corresponding to the case with \( J = 0 \)\(^{47}\), such a tendency is not apparent in the case of density-density interactions (see Fig. 4, upper panel). Since the theoretical arguments presented by Kotliar et al.\(^{47}\) should apply independently of the specific type of the interaction, future investigations might focus on a careful analysis of the compressibility in the vicinity of \( T_c \).

In a recently conducted slave-spin study\(^{38}\) a compressibility enhancement and divergence on the metallic branch at low doping due to Hund’s coupling was found at \( T=0 \) for \( U > U_{C2}(T = 0) \), indicating a tendency of the system towards phase separation in that regime. This effect is not observed in the present study, which however reports mainly results for \( U < U_{C2}(T = 0) \) (to the extent we could exactly locate \( U_{C2}(T = 0) \), which is very computationally expensive with the present finite-T method). In selected cases, however, as e.g. that of Fig. 2, upper panel, we observed a range of densities that could not be stabilized for any of the two branches. This indicates indeed a phase separation, and might be the finite-temperature signature of the physics reported in Ref. 38. Therefore, chances remain that a diverging compressibility might become visible at lower temperatures, especially if one considers the strong reduction of the effective Fermi-liquid temperature scale upon finite Hund’s coupling. Future works might investigate on this effect, probing different regimes \( (U > U_{C2}(T = 0)) \), potentially using different methods.

This finally leads us to a discussion of the computational methods. The CT-Hyb quantum Monte Carlo algorithm used for our calculations is a versatile finite temperature quantum impurity solver, applicable for arbitrary interaction Hamiltonians. Despite its successes, however, some drawbacks exist: 1) The computational time scales as \( \sim \beta^3 \), rendering low temperature calculations increasingly costly and 2) the solver is based on an imaginary time formalism, necessitating analytic continuation procedures to retain spectral quantities. It has been shown\(^{29}\), that these two problems can be circumvented by considering the numerical renormalization group (NRG) solver, which allows accessing arbitrarily low temperatures without much additional computational effort, directly returning real-frequency quantities. Considering future investigations, this solver is particularly interesting for models with high symmetries – as in a recent study on \( SU(N) \) Hubbard models\(^{44}\) – since those can be exploited to improve efficiency.
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Appendix A: Three-orbital case

Fig. 13 shows the equivalent to Fig. 2 for the three-band model. In contrast to models without Hund’s coupling (where \( T_K/D \sim (T_K^{N=1}/D)^{1/N} \), with \( D = 2t \) being the half bandwidth), \( T_K \) is rather decreased for more orbitals in the case of \( J > 0 \). However, the increased cost of performing numerical simulations yet prevented us from exploring very low temperatures. While the coexistence region is smaller than in the two-band case, the metal-insulator transition is characterized by an insulating branch that can be stabilized to even higher dopings. In general, the phenomenology seems to be the same, more extensive research would, however, be of interest.
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FIG. 13. Filling $n$ per spin as a function of the chemical potential $\mu$ for a three-band model with $U = 2.3$, $J = 0.25U$ and $\beta = 50$. Solid (dashed) lines denote results starting from a metallic (insulating) initial configuration. Inset: $n(\mu)$ per spin for $U = 2.25, 2.3, 2.6$ (blue, black, green) for $J = 0.25$, starting from a metallic configuration.
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The ostensible stability upon decreasing $T$ might only be due to the exponentially slow temperature scaling, consistent with the literature\textsuperscript{18,22,29,32}.
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