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Abstract

In this paper, we define some automorphisms of rational functions
over finite fields. We employ a similar technique to Gabidulin’s to de-
fine linear operators on rational functions using these automorphisms
and construct some maximum rank distance (MRD) codes. The con-
struction works for arbitrary field extensions. Reducing these to finite
fields extensions we construct MRD codes over finite fields and in some
particular cases, those MRD codes are not equivalent to the twisted
Gabidulin codes. Using these linear operators we construct some op-
timal Ferrers diagram codes for some pattern conjectured by Etzion
and Silberstein but our construction also provides optimal Ferrers di-
agrams rank metric codes for more general parameters and patterns.
The codes over the rational functions are also used to construct max-
imum sum-rank distance codes which generalize both the Gabidulin
and Reed-Solomon codes.

1 Introduction

Rank metric codes are used in network coding and cryptography. Network
coding provides methods for transmitting data over networks on which data
can be corrupted. Koetter and Kschischang introduced subspace codes for
error correction in random network coding [KK08a]. They give algebraic
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construction of subspace codes which are similar to Reed-Solomon codes. A more general construction were presented by Silva and Kschischang by lifting rank metric codes [SKK08]. Naturally, the use of maximum rank distance (MRD) codes is preferable since they provide large code for a given minimum distance.

Still such construction is not the largest possible. A multilevel method was proposed by Etzion and Silberstein in [ES09] to get larger codes. The construction is using rank metric codes with particular forms. They have termed the codes as Ferrers diagram codes. For a particular minimum distance they find a bound on the dimension of the code and they conjectured that the bound can be attained for any parameters. However, there are only few parameters for which optimal Ferrers diagram codes have been constructed. Since then extensive study has been done on Ferrers diagram codes [BR15, EGRW16, LCF18, GR17, AG19, LCF19, ZG19]. A further work on construction of subspace codes can be found in [KK08b].

On the other hand, rank metric codes can be used to construct public key cryptosystem. Code based cryptography was first introduced in [McE78], where the underlined code is binary Goppa codes. For rank metric code the use of Gabidulin codes [Gab85] and some other codes were suggested [GPT91, Ksh07, YEQCM17]. Unfortunately, most of these schemes have been proven to be insecure. The use of MRD codes is preferable because they provide keys of smaller size for the same level of security. Thus the search of new MRD code has become a hot topic among the researchers. Several constructions of MRD codes other than Gabidulin codes were given in [KG05, She16, HTM17, She18]. In [NHTRR18], it was proven that when the field is large enough then there are many MRD codes which are not equivalent to Gabidulin codes.

Our main contribution of this paper is to construct new MRD codes, optimal Ferrers diagram codes and also optimal codes with respect to the sum-rank metric. In order to do this we introduced some particular automorphisms of rational functions. These automorphisms define some field extensions of finite degree. We construct our code using this extension first and thereafter use it to construct optimal codes.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some automorphisms of rational functions and study their properties. In Section 3, we study the linear operators on rational functions induced by the automorphisms in the Section 2. Section 4 contains a brief review of the properties of rank metric codes over general fields, not necessarily finite. In Section 5, we present the construction of MRD codes over rational functions using the aforementioned linear operators. Here we reduce these codes to get examples of MRD codes over finite fields which are not equivalent to the twisted
Gabidulin codes from [She16]. In Section 6, we describe how the linear operators on rational functions can be used to construct optimal rank metric codes for some Ferrers diagrams conjectured by Etzion and Silberstein. Indeed we provide some examples of optimal Ferrers diagrams rank metric codes for more general patterns and parameters. Section 7 describes briefly what is called sum-rank metric codes and we provide a construction of optimal sum-rank metric codes (MSRD) using our construction of MRD codes over rational functions. Finally, Section 8 contains our concluding remarks.

2 Automorphisms of rational functions

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be a finite field of order $q^m$, where $q$ is a power of a prime and $m$ is a positive integer. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$ be such that the norm $N(\lambda)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\lambda$ has order $q - 1$ in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We define the following map on the ring $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$.

$$\phi_{q,\lambda} : \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_q^i \lambda^i x^i .$$

Proposition 1. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$, $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ defines a ring automorphism. Moreover, if we choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$ such that $N(\lambda)$ has order $q - 1$ in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, then the constant ring $\mathbb{A}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ under $\phi_{q,\lambda}$ i.e., the set of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x]$ fixed by $\phi_{q,\lambda}$, is

$$\mathbb{A} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i \lambda^{-i} x^{(q-1)i} : c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} .$$

Proof. First let us show that it is a homomorphism. It is obvious that $\phi_{q,\lambda}(1) = 1$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} g_i x^i$.
\[
\phi_{q,\lambda}(f(x)g(x)) = \phi_{q,\lambda} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{2k} \left( \sum_{i+j=l} f_i g_j \right) x^l \right) \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{2k} \left( \sum_{i,j} f_i^q g_j^q \lambda^l \right) x^l \\
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i^q \lambda^i x^i \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} g_j^q \lambda^j x^j \right) \\
= \phi_{q,\lambda}(f(x)) \phi_{q,\lambda}(g(x)).
\]

Next, we also have that
\[
\phi_{q,\lambda}(f(x) + g(x)) = \phi_{q,\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} (f_i + g_i) x^i \right) \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{k} (f_i^q + g_i^q) \lambda^i x^i \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i^q \lambda^i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{k} g_i^q \lambda^i x^i \\
= \phi_{q,\lambda}(f(x)) + \phi_{q,\lambda}(g(x)).
\]

Since \(\lambda\) is non-zero, the map is an injection. For any \(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i\), we have that
\[
\phi_{q,\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i^{1/q} \lambda^{-i/q} x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i,
\]
and thus our map is also a surjection. Therefore we have an automorphism. What is left to do is to compute the constant ring.
Suppose that \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x^i \). Then, \( \forall i \), \( f_i^{q-1} \lambda^i = f_i \), and therefore, if \( f_i \neq 0 \), \( f_i^{q-1} \lambda^i = 1 \). Thus, \( N(\lambda) = 1 \). By our choice of \( \lambda \), \( i = (q-1)j \), for some \( j \). Therefore we can assume that \( f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_j x^{(q-1)j} \) and \( f_j^{q} \lambda^{(q-1)j} = f_j \). This implies \( f_j \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Thus \( f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_j^{q} \lambda^{-j} x^{(q-1)j} = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j \lambda^{-j} x^{(q-1)j} \), for some \( c_j \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Conversely, it is easy to check that such polynomials are fixed by the automorphism \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \).

For us to use some property of rank metric codes, we want to work on the field of fractions \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) \supset \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x] \). For that, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The automorphism \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \) extends naturally to the field \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) \) by \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \left( \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) = \frac{\phi_{q,\lambda}(f(x))}{\phi_{q,\lambda}(g(x))} \). The field of constants, i.e., the elements of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) \) fixed by \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \), is given by \( \mathbb{K} = \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} : f(x) \in \mathbb{A}, g(x) \in \mathbb{A}^* \right\} \). Moreover, \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)/\mathbb{K} \) is a finite extension of degree \( m(q-1) \) with basis

\[
\mathcal{B} = \left\{ a_i x^j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq q - 2 \right\},
\]

where \( \{ a_1, \ldots, a_m \} \) is a basis of the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q \).

*Proof.* We only give a proof of the last part of the theorem. The remaining parts are easy.

First let us prove that the degree of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)/\mathbb{K} \) is \( m(q-1) \). We see that \( \mathbb{K} \) is the fraction field of \( \mathbb{A} \) and thus \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \). We have the inclusion of fields \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) \supset \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \supset \mathbb{F}_q \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \). Therefore \( \left[ \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) : \mathbb{F}_q \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \right] = \left[ \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \right]. \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \mathbb{F}_q \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \right) \). The first degree on the right hand side of the equation is \( q-1 \), since \( T^{q-1} - x^{q-1} \) is the minimal polynomial of \( x \) over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \left( \frac{x^{q-1}}{\lambda} \right) \). It is easy to see that the second degree on the right hand side is \( m \). So \( \left[ \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) : \mathbb{K} \right] = m(q-1) \).

Finally, let us show that

\[
\mathcal{B} = \left\{ a_i x^j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq q - 2 \right\}.
\]

is linearly independent over \( \mathbb{K} \). For that, it is sufficient to prove that \( \mathcal{B} \) is linearly independent over \( \mathbb{A} \).

Suppose that

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} f_{i,j}(x) a_i x^j = 0,
\]

where \( f_{i,j}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} c_{i}^{l} \lambda^{-l} x^{(q-1)j} \) for some \( c_{i}^{l} \in \mathbb{F}_q \).
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Thus,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{k} c_{i,j}^{l} \lambda^{-l} x^{(q-1)l} \right) a_{i} x^{j} = 0.
\]
We get
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{k} c_{i,j}^{l} a_{i} \lambda^{-l} x^{(q-1)l+j} = 0.
\]
So,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i,j}^{l} \lambda^{-l} \right) x^{(q-1)l+j} = 0.
\]
Since, \( j \leq q - 2 \), then all the \( x^{(q-1)l+j} \) are different when \( 0 \leq j \leq q - 2 \) and \( 0 \leq l \leq k \). Therefore, each coefficients of the polynomials are equal to zero i.e.,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i,j}^{l} a_{i} \lambda^{-l} = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq q - 2, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k.
\]
Thus we have that \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i,j}^{l} a_{i} = 0, c_{i,j}^{l} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \), but we know that the \( a_{i}'s \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{F}_{q} \), therefore the \( c_{i,j}^{l}'s \) are all equal to zero so that \( f_{i,j}(x) = 0 \).

Since \( \#B = m(q - 1) = [\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}(x) : \mathbb{K}] \), \( B \) is a basis of the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}(x)/\mathbb{K} \).

\[\square\]

### 3 Linear operators on rational functions

The construction of Gabidulin code [Gab85] is using the linearized polynomials which are \( \mathbb{F}_{q} \)-linear operators on \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \). Similarly, we define linear operators on rational functions using the automorphisms that we defined in the previous section.

In this section, we fix a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \) and an element \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{*} \) such that \( N(\lambda) \) has order \( q - 1 \) in \( \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \). We also define the automorphism \( \phi_{q,\lambda} \) on \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}(x) \), but for simplicity we just denote it by \( \phi \). The \( i \)-th iteration of \( \phi \) is denoted by \( \phi^{i} \). The elements of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}(x) \) will simply be denoted by \( f \) instead of \( f(x) \). Recall that the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}(x)/\mathbb{K} \) has degree \( m(q - 1) \) and that a basis is given by
\[
\mathcal{B} = \{ a_{i}x^{j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, \ 0 \leq j \leq q - 2 \},
\]
where \( \{ a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m} \} \) is a basis of the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}/\mathbb{F}_{q} \).
For any $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$, we define the linear operator

$$L = f_0 + f_1 \phi + f_2 \phi^2 + \cdots + f_k \phi^k.$$ 

If $f_k \neq 0$, we say that $L$ is of degree $k$. We denote the set of all such linear operators of finite degree by $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$.

The linear operator $L$ induces a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x) \to \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$ by

$$L(g) := f_0 g + f_1 \phi(g) + f_2 \phi^2(g) + \cdots + f_k \phi^k(g).$$

Similar to the construction of Vandermonde matrix, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.** Let $\phi$ be an automorphism on $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$ and consider $n$ distinct elements $\{f_1, \cdots, f_n\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$. The $n$-th order Moore matrix with respect to $\phi$ and the $f_i$’s is

$$W_n := \begin{pmatrix}
  f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \\
  \phi(f_1) & \phi(f_2) & \cdots & \phi(f_n) \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \phi^{n-1}(f_1) & \phi^{n-1}(f_2) & \cdots & \phi^{n-1}(f_n)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Given distinct elements $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$, the Moore matrix can be used to verify if the $f_i$’s are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Namely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be $n$ distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$, then the $f_i$’s are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$ if and only if the Moore matrix $W_n$ with respect to $\phi$ and the $f_i$’s is invertible.

**Proof.** We can easily check that if the $f_i$’s are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{K}$, then the Moore matrix $W_n$ is not invertible. We prove the converse by induction on $n$. The statement is obvious for $n = 1$. Suppose that is also true for a particular $n$ i.e., we assume that for any $f_1, \cdots, f_n$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$, we must have that $W_n$ is invertible. Assume that $f_{n+1}$ is not a $\mathbb{K}$-linear combination of $f_1, \cdots, f_n$. We want to show that $W_{n+1}$ is invertible. Suppose that one of the columns of $W_{n+1}$ is a linear combination of the other columns. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\phi^j(f_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \phi^j(f_i), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  \phi^j(f_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(g_i) \phi^j(f_i), & 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
  \phi^j(f_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \phi^j(f_i), & 1 \leq j \leq n,
\end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$
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where the first expression in Equation (2) is obtained by applying \( \phi \) on Equation (1) for \( 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \).

By subtracting the two expressions in Equation (2), we get for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \),

\[
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi(g_i) - g_i) \phi^j(f_i).
\]

Thus a linear combination of the columns of the matrix \( A \) is equal to the zero vector, with

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\phi(f_1) & \phi(f_2) & \cdots & \phi(f_n) \\
\phi(\phi(f_1)) & \phi(\phi(f_2)) & \cdots & \phi(\phi(f_n)) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi^{n-1}(\phi(f_1)) & \phi^{n-1}(\phi(f_2)) & \cdots & \phi^{n-1}(\phi(f_n))
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By the induction hypothesis, as \( \{\phi(f_1), \phi(f_2), \cdots, \phi(f_n)\} \) is linearly independent over \( K \), we get \( \phi(g_i) - g_i = 0 \) for all \( i \). This implies that \( g_i \in K \). But again, since \( \{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n, f_{n+1}\} \) are linearly independent over \( K \), we must have \( g_i = 0 \) for all \( i \). This implies that the columns of \( W_{n+1} \) are linearly independent i.e., \( W_{n+1} \) is invertible.

As a consequence of this theorem, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( L \) be a non-zero linear operator of degree \( k \) as above. As a \( K \)-vector subspace of \( F_q^m(x) \), the kernel of \( L \) has dimension \( k \) at most.

**Proof.** Suppose \( L = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i \phi_i \) as defined earlier. If kernel of \( L \) has dimension more than \( k \) then consider \( g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_{k+1} \) linearly independent elements of kernel of \( L \). So from the previous theorem, the Moore matrix corresponding to \( \phi \) and \( g_i \)’s is invertible and which implies that the \( f_i \)’s are all zero. Thus we get a contradiction with \( L \) being non-zero.

## 4 Rank metric codes over general fields

In this section, we give the definition of rank metric codes and give their properties over more general fields. Namely, we consider the field extension \( F/E \) of finite degree \( m \). In particular, the two fields are not necessarily finite.

**Definition 2.** Let \( F/E \) be a field extension of degree \( m \) and let \( n \leq m \) be a positive integer. The rank of an element \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F^n \) is equal to the dimension of the \( E \)-subspace of \( F \) generated by the \( x_i \)’s. It is denoted by \( \text{rank } x \).
The rank norm in the previous definition induces a metric $d_r$ on $\mathbb{F}^n$ defined by

$$d_r : \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{N} \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{rank}(x - y).$$

Now we are ready to define a rank metric code.

**Definition 3.** Let $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$ be a field extension of finite degree $m$. An $[n, k, d]$-linear rank metric code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}^n$ of dimension $k$ and minimum distance $d$ i.e., the minimum of $d_r(x, y)$ for any distinct $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ is $d$.

Similarly to the case of classical linear codes, rank metric codes satisfy the following property.

**Proposition 2** (Singleton bound, [Gab85, Del78]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $[n, k, d]$-linear rank metric code over $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$, then $d \leq n - k + 1$. The code is called a maximum rank distance (MRD) code if we have an equality.

The following proposition gives a way to recognise an MRD code. These has already been stated in literature for the case of finite field [HTM17]. Here we extend it to the case when the field is infinite and we give a proof for completeness.

**Theorem 3.** Let $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ be arbitrary fields (not necessarily finite) and suppose that $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$ is a field extension of finite degree $m$. Let $k \leq n \leq m$ and let $G \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times n}$ be the generator matrix of an $\mathbb{F}$-linear rank metric code $\mathcal{C}$ over the extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{E}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a maximum rank distance code if and only if for any $(n \times k)$-matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{E}$ of rank $k$, $GM$ is invertible.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a maximum rank distance $[n, k, n - k + 1]$-linear code. Let $M$ be an $(n \times k)$-matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{E}$ of rank $k$. If $GM$ is not invertible, then there is a vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}^k$ such that $xGM = 0$. We know that $c = xG$ is a codeword in $\mathcal{C}$ so that $\text{rank } c \geq n - k + 1$.

Now, consider the $\mathbb{E}$-linear map

$$\phi_c : \mathbb{E}^n \to \mathbb{F} \quad (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \mapsto c(m_1, \ldots, m_n)^T.$$

It is easy to see that the rank of $\phi_c$ is equal to $\text{rank } c$. But we have that $cM = 0$ and $M$ is of rank $k$, therefore the dimension of the kernel of $\phi_c$ is at least $k$. By the rank nullity theorem, $k \leq n - \text{rank } c$. Thus $\text{rank } c \leq n - k$ which gives a contradiction. Therefore $GM$ is invertible.
Conversely, suppose that for any \((n \times k)\)-matrix \(M\) over \(E\) of rank \(k\), \(GM\) is invertible. We want to show that the code generated by \(G\) is a maximum rank distance code. If not, then we have a codeword \(xG\) with rank weight at most \(n - k\). Without loss of generality, we suppose that \(xG = (c_1, \cdots, c_{n-k}, \cdots, c_n)\) where the \(c_i\)'s are \(E\)-linear combination of \((c_1, \cdots, c_{n-k})\) for \(n-k < i \leq n\). Then we can find some \((n-k) \times k\)-matrix \(A\) such that
\[
xG \begin{bmatrix} A \\ I_k \end{bmatrix} = 0.
\]
This contradicts our hypothesis. Therefore, \(G\) generates an MRD code.

The following example gives constructions of MRD codes.

Example 1 ([She16]). Let \(m, n, k, h\) be positive integers such that \(k \leq n \leq m\). Let \(F_{q^m}/F_q\) be a finite field extension of degree \(m\) with basis \(\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}\). Let \(\eta \in F_{q^m}\) such that \(N(\eta) \neq (-1)^{nk}\). Let
\[
V = \{f_0x + f_1x^q + \cdots + f_{k-1}x^{q^{k-1}} + \eta f_0^h x^{q^k} : f_i \in F_{q^m}\}.
\]
Define the linear code \(C\) by
\[
C = \{(f(a_{i_1}), \ldots, f(a_{i_n})) : f(x) \in V\},
\]
where \(\{a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_n}\} \subset \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}\).

Sheekey has shown that \(C\) is an MRD code [She16]. Sheekey’s construction is a generalization of the construction of Gabidulin [Gab85] and Delsarte [Del78]. The later construction is the case where \(\eta = 0\) and it was discovered by Gabidulin and Delsarte independently. The advantage of Gabidulin’s construction is that there are fast decoding algorithm for such codes. There are also several constructions of rank metric codes (and not necessarily MRD). See for example [AGH+19].

Remark 1. The construction of Example 1 can be generalized by replacing the Frobenius map \(x \mapsto x^q\) with an automorphism \(x \mapsto x^s\) where \(\gcd(m, s) = 1\). Such codes are called generalized twisted Gabidulin codes [LTZ15].

The following proposition gives a characterisation of twisted Gabidulin codes.

Proposition 3. Let \(C \subseteq F_{q^m}^n\) be a linear MRD code of dimension \(k < n\). If \(C\) is a generalized twisted Gabidulin code then \(\dim C \cap C^s \geq k - 2\) for some integer \(s\) with \(\gcd(m, s) = 1\), where
\[
C^s = \{(c_1^s, \ldots, c_n^s) : (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in C\}.
\]
Proof. The generalized twisted Gabidulin code $C$ is defined by the following vector space

$$V = \{ f_0 x + f_1 x^{q^k} + \cdots + f_{k-1} x^{q^k(k-1)} + \eta f_0^q x^{q^k} : f_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \}.$$ 

On the other side $C_{qs}$ is defined by

$$V_{qs} = \{ f_0 x^{q^s} + f_1 x^{q^{2s}} + \cdots + f_{k-1} x^{q^s k} + \eta f_0^{q^s} x^{q^s(k+1)} : f_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \}.$$ 

The result follows from the fact that $\dim V \cap V_{qs} \geq k - 2$. 

Proposition 3 only provides a necessary condition for an MRD code to be a generalized twisted Gabidulin code. However, when the dimension $\dim C \cap C_{qs}$ is equal to $k - 1$ then the converse is also true for generalized Gabidulin codes [HTM17, Theorem 4.8].

5 Constructions of rank metric codes over rational functions

In the construction of the MRD codes in Example 1, we used the Frobenius automorphism of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q$ to form the vector space $V$ of linear operators which we evaluate on the independent elements $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. This motivates us to use different automorphisms on the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)/\mathbb{K}$ as defined in Section 2.

We now move to the construction of rank metric codes over the rational functions. We take the notations from Section 2 and 3: Fix a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ such that $N(\lambda)$ has order $q - 1$ as in the previous section. We define the automorphism $\phi = \phi_{q, \lambda}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$ as in those sections. Recall that we have an extension $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{K}$ of degree $m(q - 1)$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$ and $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of constants of $\phi$. The set of all linear operators over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$. Let $k \leq n \leq m(q - 1)$ and suppose that $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are elements of $\mathbb{F}$ which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}$.

We define the evaluation map

$$\text{Ev}: \mathcal{L}(\phi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$$

$$L \longmapsto (L(f_1), \ldots, L(f_n)).$$

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{L}(\phi)_k \subset \mathcal{L}(\phi)$ be the set of all linear operators of degree $k - 1$ at most. Then $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ev}(\mathcal{L}(\phi)_k)$ is an $[n, k, d]$-linear code over $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{K}$ such that $d = n - k + 1$ i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is an MRD code.
Proof. The length $n$ of the code is obvious. Since $Ev$ is an $F$-linear map, then $C$ is a linear code over $F$, so to find the minimum distance of $C$ it is enough to find the minimum of $\text{rank } x$ for any $x \in C \setminus \{0\}$. For a linear operator $L \in L(\phi)_{k}$, we know that it defines a $K$-linear map $F \to F$, and Corollary 1 tells us that its kernel has dimension at most $k - 1$. By the rank nullity theorem, this implies that the rank of any elements of $C$ is at least $n - k + 1$. Thus, using this together with the Singleton bound, the minimum distance of $C$ is $d = n - k + 1$. Note that this also implies that $Ev$ restricted on $L(\phi)_{k}$ is injective so that the dimension of $C$ is equal to $k$. \hfill \Box

We have therefore constructed a new MRD rank metric code over $F/K$. This code over the rational functions can be used to construct new codes over some finite fields.

5.1 Reduction to finite fields

Here we will work only on codes of length $n = m(q - 1)$. A construction of codes of smaller length can be done by choosing fewer independent elements. Here we choose

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = (a_1, \cdots, a_m, a_1x, \cdots, a_mx, \cdots, a_1x^{q-2}, \cdots, a_mx^{q-2}).$$

We construct the code $C$ by evaluating at these elements. Thus we have a linear code $C$ over $F/K$ with generator matrix $G$ given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
g_{1,1,0} & \cdots & g_{1,m,0} & g_{1,1,1x} & \cdots & g_{1,m,1x} & \cdots & g_{1,1,q-2x^{q-2}} & \cdots & g_{1,m,q-2x^{q-2}} \\
g_{2,1,0} & \cdots & g_{2,m,0} & g_{2,1,1x} & \cdots & g_{2,m,1x} & \cdots & g_{2,1,q-2x^{q-2}} & \cdots & g_{2,m,q-2x^{q-2}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_{k,1,0} & \cdots & g_{k,m,0} & g_{k,1,1x} & \cdots & g_{k,m,1x} & \cdots & g_{k,1,q-2x^{q-2}} & \cdots & g_{k,m,q-2x^{q-2}}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3)

By Theorem 3, since this is a generator matrix of an MRD code over $F/K$, then for any $(n \times k)$-matrix $M$ over $K$ of rank $k$, $\det GM \neq 0$. In particular, for any $(n \times k)$-matrix $M$ over $F_q$ of rank $k$, $\det GM \neq 0$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $G$ is a matrix in $F_{q^m}[x]^{k \times n}$ as in Equation (3) and consider $G$ to be the set of all $(n \times k)$-matrices over $F_q$ in column reduced echelon form. Let $f(x) \in F_{q^m}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $r \geq q - 1$. We denote by $\overline{G}$ the matrix where its entries are those of $G$ modulo $f(x)$. Then $\overline{G}$ generates an MRD code over $F_{q^{mr}}/F_q$ if and only if $\det GM \equiv 0 \mod (f(x))$ for any $M \in G$.

Proof. By taking the entries of $G$ modulo $f$, we see that $\overline{G}$ defines a linear rank metric code of length $m(q - 1)$ over $F_{q^{mr}} \simeq F_{q^m}[x]$ mod $f(x)$. By
Theorem 3, $\overline{G}$ defines an MRD code if and only if $\det \overline{GM} \neq 0$ for any $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times k}$ of rank $k$. We can restrict our choices of $M$ to matrices in column reduced echelon form as any $M$ can be transformed into a matrix in column reduced echelon form without changing the rank of $\overline{GM}$. 

In the construction in Theorem 5, we would like to have $r$ to be the smallest possible (and preferably $r = q - 1$) so that the length of the code is equal to the degree of the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{mr}}/\mathbb{F}_q$. In general it is not clear what is the minimum possible for $r$. However, we can choose $r = k(q - 2) + 1$ so that the polynomial $f(x)$ is guaranteed not to divide any of the determinants $\det GM$. The disadvantage of this is that we have a code of length only $m(q - 1)$ while the code is over $\mathbb{F}_q^{m(k(q - 2) + 1)}$. Still this proves that many rank metric codes exist when the base field is large enough.

Now we want to give some examples with small parameters.

Example 2. Let $q = m = k = 3$. A basis of the extension $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/\mathbb{K}$ is given by $\{1, a, a^2, x, ax, a^2x\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_3^3$ such that $a^3 - a + 1 = 0$. Suppose that $\lambda = -1$ such that the automorphism $\phi$ is given by $\phi(ax^i) = (-1)^i a^x x^i$. The generator matrix of the code over $\mathbb{F}_3^3[x]/\mathbb{K}$ is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a^2 & x & ax & a^2x \\ 1 & a + 2 & a^2 + a + 1 & 2x & (2a + 1)x & (2a^2 + 2a + 2)x \\ 1 & a + 1 & a^2 + 2a + 1 & x & (a + 1)x & (a^2 + 2a + 1)x \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose that $\mathbb{F}_{3^{12}} = \mathbb{F}_{3^3}(b)$ where

$$b^4 + (2a^2 + a + 1)b^3 + (a^2 + 2)b^2 + (2a^2 + a + 1)b + a + 1 = 0.$$ 

By Theorem 5, the generator matrix

$$\overline{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a^2 & b & ab & a^2b \\ 1 & a + 2 & a^2 + a + 1 & 2b & (2a + 1)b & (2a^2 + 2a + 2)b \\ 1 & a + 1 & a^2 + 2a + 1 & b & (a + 1)b & (a^2 + 2a + 1)b \end{pmatrix}$$

generates an MRD code of length $n = 6$ and dimension $k = 3$ over the extension $\mathbb{F}_{3^{12}}/\mathbb{F}_3$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is the code generated by $\overline{G}$, it can be shown that any $q^s$-th power of $\mathcal{C}$ intersects with the code $\mathcal{C}$ only trivially. By Proposition 3 we can conclude that the code is not equivalent to a generalised twisted Gabidulin code.

6 Construction of Ferrers diagram codes

In [SKK08], Silva et al. introduced a method of constructing subspace codes by lifting linear matrix rank metric codes. To get larger codes, Etzion and
Silberstein provided the multilevel construction by lifting matrix rank metric codes with restricted form in [ES09]. These codes are called Ferrers diagram codes as the position of the entries which are not restricted to be equal to zero are shaped like Ferrers diagram.

**Definition 4.** Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a finite field and let \( m \) and \( n \) be two positive integers. A linear matrix rank metric code \( C \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-subspace of \( \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n} \). The distance between two matrices is defined to be the rank of their difference. If \( k \) is the dimension of \( C \) and \( d \) is its minimum distance in the usual sense, then we say that \( C \) is an \( [m \times n, k, d] \)-rank metric code.

For Ferrers diagram codes we have a restriction on the entries of the codewords.

**Definition 5.** Let \( \mathcal{F} = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\} \). A Ferrers diagram code \( C \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-linear subspace of \( \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n} \) such that the non-zero entries of the \( i \)-th column of any matrix codewords of \( C \) are only in the first \( r_i \) rows. If \( k \) is the dimension and \( d \) the minimum distance of the code, then we say that \( C \) is an \( [\mathcal{F}, k, d] \)-Ferrers diagram code.

An example of Ferrers diagram code is as follows.

**Example 3.** Let \( \mathcal{F} = \{2, 2, 3, 5\} \) be a Ferrers diagram which we graphically describe as

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

The matrix space over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) given by

\[
C = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle
\]

is an \( [\mathcal{F}, 2, 2] \)-Ferrers diagram code.

The equivalent form of Singleton bound for Ferrers diagram rank metric codes is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 6 ([ES09]).** Let \( \mathcal{F} = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n\} \) be a Ferrers diagram and \( C \) be an \( [\mathcal{F}, k, d] \)-Ferrers diagram code. If \( v_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n-d+1} \max\{0, r_j - i\} \), then

\[
k \leq \min_{0 \leq i \leq d-1} v_i.
\]
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This theorem was first proved in [ES09]. It was conjectured that for any \( q, m, n, k \), there always exists a Ferrers diagram rank metric code which attains the bound of Theorem 6. Such codes are called optimal Ferrers diagram codes. Many constructions of optimal Ferrers diagram codes are given in [ES09, BR15, EGRW16, GR17, LCF18, AG19, LCF19, ZG19].

In this section we provide a new way of generating optimal codes with such Ferrers diagrams. It gives a general answer to a question from [EGRW16].

6.1 \( (r_1, \cdots, r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_2, \cdots, r_n, \cdots, r_n) \)

We assume the Ferrers diagram is of the form

\[
F = (m_1 \text{ times } r_1, \cdots, r_1, m_2 \text{ times } r_2, \cdots, r_2, \cdots, m_n \text{ times } r_n, \cdots, r_n)
\]

Here we have assumed \( r_i = k_i m \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), where \( m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} m_i \) and \( k_i < k_j \) for any proper \( i < j \) and \( k_n \leq q - 1 \). Thus \( F \) has \( M = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \) columns and \( r_n \) rows.

Suppose that \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q \) is a finite field extension of degree \( m \) with basis \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \).

We group the columns of the diagram \( F \) into \( n \) blocks \( B_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) where \( B_i \) consists of the \( m_i \) columns with \( r_i \) dots in each column.

We want to construct a Ferrers diagram rank metric code with minimum distance \( d \) and dimension \( K \) attaining the bound of Etzion-Silberstein as in Theorem 6.

Assume that the \( (d-1) \)-th column from the right is contained in the block \( B_I \). Then \( d-1 \) can be expressed as

\[
d - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j - t,
\]

for some integer \( 1 \leq t < m_I \). Here we will show that removing the last \( d-1 \) columns will give the bound given in Theorem 6. The number of dots after removing the first \( i = 0 \) rows and \( d-1 \) columns is \( D_{d-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} r_i m_i + r_I t \). The number of dots after removing the first row and last \( d-2 \) columns is \( D_{d-2} = D_{d-1} + (r_I - 1) - (M - d + 1) \). As \( M - d + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{I-1} m_i + t \) it is easy to see that \( r_I = k_I m \geq mI \geq M - d + 2 \) and equality happens only if \( k_i = i \) and \( m_i = m \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq I \). Now notice that if we remove the first two rows and last \( d-3 \) columns number of remaining dots will either increase or remain same. So the bound on the dimension is

\[
K \leq \sum_{j=1}^{I-1} r_j m_j + r_I t.
\]

(4)
We show how to construct an optimal \([F, K, d]\)-Ferrers diagram rank metric code. For that we use the same rank metric code over rational functions as in the previous section.

We choose the following basis
\[
\{a_1x^{k_1-1}, \ldots, a_{m_1}x^{k_1-1}, a_1x^{k_2-1}, \ldots, a_{m_2}x^{k_2-1}, \ldots, a_1x^{k_n-1}, \ldots, a_{m_n}x^{k_n-1}\}.
\]

Suppose that \(\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\) such that \(N(\lambda)\) is of order \(q - 1\) in \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\). We evaluate the linear operators in \(L(\phi)_k\) on the previous basis, where \(k = M - d + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} m_i + t\).

Our generator matrix now looks like the following \((k \times M)\)-matrix \(G\) (see Table 1 in the next page). The generator matrix has the following block form \((I \times n)\).

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{1,1}x^{k_1-1} & A_{1,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{1,i}x^{k_i-1} & \cdots & A_{1,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
A_{2,1}x^{k_1-1} & A_{2,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{2,i}x^{k_i-1} & \cdots & A_{2,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{I-1,1}x^{k_1-1} & A_{I-1,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{I-1,i}x^{k_i-1} & \cdots & A_{I-1,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
A_{I,1}x^{k_1-1} & A_{I,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{I,i}x^{k_i-1} & \cdots & A_{I,n}x^{k_n-1}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the \(A_{i,j}\)'s are of the form \((m_i \times m_i)\) over \(\mathbb{F}_q^m\), for \(1 \leq i \leq I - 1\) and the \(A_{I,j}\)'s are of the form \((t \times m_i)\).

Since each block \(B_i\) has rank \(m_i\) at most, the generator matrix can be transformed into the following matrix.

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{1,1}x^{k_1-1} & A_{1,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{1,I}x^{k_I-1} & \cdots & A_{1,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
0 & A_{2,2}x^{k_2-1} & \cdots & A_{2,I}x^{k_I-1} & \cdots & A_{2,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{I-1,I}x^{k_I-1} & \cdots & A_{I-1,n}x^{k_n-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{I,I}x^{k_I-1} & \cdots & A_{I,n}x^{k_n-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Dividing some rows by an appropriate power of \(x\) the matrix can further be simplified into the following form.

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2}x^{k_2-k_1} & \cdots & A_{1,I}x^{k_I-k_1} & \cdots & A_{1,n}x^{k_n-k_1} \\
0 & A_{2,2} & \cdots & A_{2,I}x^{k_I-k_2} & \cdots & A_{2,n}x^{k_n-k_2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{I-1,I}x^{k_I-k_I-1} & \cdots & A_{I-1,n}x^{k_n-k_I-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{I,I} & \cdots & A_{I,n}x^{k_n-k_I}
\end{pmatrix} .
\]

Notice that after each transformation in the above process the matrices \(A_{i,j}\) may change.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( g_{1,1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</th>
<th>( g_{1,1,m_1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</th>
<th>( g_{1,1,1,2}x^{k_2-1} )</th>
<th>( g_{1,1,m_2,2}x^{k_2-1} )</th>
<th>( g_{1,1,n,1}x^{k_n-1} )</th>
<th>( g_{1,1,m_n,n}x^{k_n-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( g_{1,m_1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{2,1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{2,m_1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{I-1,1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{I-1,m_1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{I,1,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{I,t,1,1}x^{k_1-1} )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Generator matrix \( G \)
Now let $V$ be the $F_{q^m}$-subspace of $F_{q^m}[x]^{M-d+1}$ defined by,

$$V = \{(c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,m_1}, c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{2,m_2}, \ldots, c_{I-1,1}, \ldots, c_{I-1,m_{I-1}}, c_{I,1}, \ldots, c_{I,t}) : c_{i,j} \in F_{q^m}[x] \text{ with } \deg c_{i,j} \leq k_i - 1\}$$

Since we considered linear operators of degree $M - d$ at most, then by the rank-nullity theorem, the rank of all the codewords are at least $d$. Whence, the minimum distance property is satisfied. We can easily check that the dimension of $V$ over $F_q$ is equal to

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} mk_i m_i + mk_I t$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} r_i m_i + r_I t.$$  

This reaches the bound we have seen earlier in Equation (4). What remains to do is to check that our code satisfies the form of the Ferrers diagram. As $C = VG$, a codeword $x$ is of the form $vG$ for some $v \in V$. So the first $m_1$ coordinates of $x$ are polynomials in $F_{q^m}[x]$ of degree at most $k_1 - 1$. The next $m_2$ coordinates are polynomials in $F_{q^m}[x]$ of degree at most $k_2 - 1$ and so on. Writing the entries of $x$ as an $F_q$-linear combination of

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_m, \alpha_1 x, \ldots, a_1 x^{k_1 - 1}, \ldots, a_m x^{k_1 - 1}\},$$

we extract the coefficients in $F_q$ to transform $x$ into a matrix $M_x$. Since the first $m_1$ columns of $x$ are of degree $k_1 - 1$ at most, so all but the first $k_1 m$ rows in the corresponding columns of $M_x$ have zero entries. Similarly all but the first $k_2 m$ rows in the next $m_2$ columns of $M_x$ have zero entries and so on. Thus we get the desired Ferrers diagram rank metric code.

In [EGRW16], Etzion et al. asked about the existence of optimal Ferrers diagram rank metric code with minimum distance 4 of the form:

A construction of optimal code for these particular parameters was given in [AG19, Example III.16]. In the following we apply the above construction to
answer Etzion and Wachter-Zeh’s problem for a diagram with similar pattern and of more general size and also for any minimum distance.

Suppose that \( n \leq q - 1 \) and consider the Ferrers diagrams of the shape

\[
(m, m, \cdots, m, 2m, 2m, \cdots, 2m, \ldots, nm, nm, \cdots, nm) .
\]

Codewords in this code have the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\
0 & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} & \cdots & A_{2,n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the \( A_{i,j} \) are \((m \times m)\) matrices over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). We want to have minimum distance \( d \) where \( k = nm - (d - 1) = lm + t \) such that \( t < m \).

By Theorem 6, the dimension \( K \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of such code is smaller or equal to the number of dots after removing the last \( d - 1 \) columns. Since \( d - 1 = m(n - l) - t \), this means that we get to keep the first \( l \) blocks and first \( t \) columns from the \((l+1)\)-th block. Therefore an upper bound on the dimension is given by \( K \leq m^2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} i + t(l+1)m \). Hence

\[
K \leq \frac{m^2 l(l + 1)}{2} + t(l + 1)m.
\]

Recall that \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) is such that \( \lambda(\phi) \) is of order \( q - 1 \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \).

We evaluate the linear operators in \( \mathcal{L}(\phi) \) on the following elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q^m[x] \):

\[
\{a_1, \cdots, a_m, a_1x, \cdots, a_mx, \cdots, a_1x^{n-1}, \cdots, a_mx^{n-1}\}
\]

Following the process stated earlier we can simplify the generator matrix to

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{0,0} & A_{0,1}x & \cdots & A_{0,l-1}x^{l-1} & A_{0,l}x^l & \cdots & A_{0,n-1}x^{n-1} \\
0 & A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,l-1}x^{l-2} & A_{1,l}x^{l-1} & \cdots & A_{1,n-1}x^{n-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{l-1,l-1} & A_{l-1,l}x & \cdots & A_{l-1,n-1}x^{n-1} \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & A_{l,l} & \cdots & A_{l,n-1}x^{n-l-1} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now let \( V \) be the \( \mathbb{F}_q^m \)-subspace of \( \mathbb{F}_q^m[x]^{nm-d+1} \) defined by

\[
V = \{(a_{0,1}, \cdots, a_{0,m}, a_{1,1}, \cdots, a_{1,m}, \cdots, a_{l-1,1}, \cdots, a_{l-1,m}, a_{l,l}, \cdots, a_{l,t}) : a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m[x], \deg a_{i,j} \leq i \}
\]
We define the linear code \( C = V G \). All codewords of \( C \) are of the form

\[
c = \{c_{0,1}, \ldots, c_{0,m}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,m}, \ldots, c_{n-1,1}, \ldots, c_{n-1,m}\},
\]

where \( c_{i,j} \) is in \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x] \) with degree \( i \) for all \( j \). Since we used linear operators of degree \( nm - d \) at most, \( C \) has minimum distance \( d \). By the choice of \( V \) it has dimension \( K = m^2 \sum_{i=1}^l i + mt(l+1) = \frac{m^2(l+1)}{2} + t(l+1)m \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) i.e., it attains the bound given in Equation 6. It can be easily checked that the corresponding matrix rank metric code to \( C \) satisfies the Ferrers diagram we are looking for.

**Remark 2.** Notice that we have to use the condition \( n \leq q - 1 \) for the construction to work. More precisely, in order to get the necessary length of the code, \( q \) must be large enough to get the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)/\mathbb{K} \) of desired degree.

**Remark 3.** Here we keep the same notations as in Section 6.1. By Theorem 3 it is possible to transform the generator matrix in Equation 5 into a block matrix such that \( A_{i,j} \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq I \) are as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{i,i} &= I_{m_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq I - 1, \\
A_{I,I} &= [I_t|\ast], \\
A_{i,j} &= 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq I - 1, \; i \neq j, \\
A_{i,I} &= [0_t|\ast], \quad 1 \leq i \leq I.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, we can even construct optimal Ferrers diagram codes of any minimum distances for any diagrams of the following shape:

\[
\mathcal{F} = (s_{1,1}, \ldots, s_{1,m_1}, \ldots, s_{I-1,1}, \ldots, s_{I-1,m_{I-1}}, t, \overbrace{s_{I,1}, \ldots, s_{I,t}}^{\text{1 times}}, \overbrace{t_I, \ldots, t_I}^{\text{1 times}}, \overbrace{r_I, \ldots, r_I}^{\text{1 times}}, \ldots, \overbrace{r_n, \ldots, r_n}^{\text{1 times}}),
\]

where \( k = \sum_{i=1}^I m_i + t \) and \( s_{i,j} \leq r_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq m_{I-1} \). And the \( s_i \)'s are non-decreasing integers, so that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a Ferrers diagram.

**Remark 4.** Since our Ferrers diagram rank metric codes are equivalent to subcodes of some MRD code, and the later is similar to Gabidulin codes, we can adapt any decoding algorithm for Gabidulin codes in order to get a decoding algorithm for our construction. One algorithm can for example be found in [Gab85].
7 Sum-rank metric codes

The notion of sum-rank metric codes have many applications where it is used in multishot network coding [NU10, MP18, MK19], space time coding [EGH03]. This metric is also useful in distributed storage [MK18]. This metric is natural in the context of convolutional rank metric codes [MBK15]. This comes from the fact that similarly to the definition of convolutional codes, the sum-rank metric code is given by summing the rank norm of different vectors.

Definition 6. Let $n_i$ be positive integers. Let $x = (x_1 | x_2 | \ldots | x_n)$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n_i}}$. We define the sum-rank of $x$ as

$$sr(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{rank } x_i,$$

where $\text{rank } x_i$ is the usual rank norm defined from the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n_i}}/\mathbb{F}_q$.

The sum-rank induces a metric on $\mathbb{F}_{q^N}$, where $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i$. As usual, a sum-rank metric code with minimum distance $d$ and dimension $k$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^N}$ is denoted by $[N, k, d]$.

The Singleton bound holds as usual. See [MP18] for example.

Theorem 7. Let $C$ be an $[N, k, d]$-sum-rank metric code. Then

$$d \leq N - k + 1.$$ 

A code reaching the above bound is called a maximum sum-rank distance (MSRD) code.

Remark 5. Notice that we use a simpler definition of sum-rank metric code compared to [MP18]. Namely, In [MP18], they use different type of subfield for each rank in the sum-rank norm. In our case, we only use the case were all ranks are over the same extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^N}/\mathbb{F}_q$.

Construction of sum-rank metric codes attaining the Singleton bound were given in [MBK15, NPRV17, MP18, MK19]. In the following, we give a new construction of MSRD codes.

Example 4. Consider the automorphism $\phi$ as mentioned in the earlier sections. Now we choose

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_N) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n_1}, a_1x, \ldots, a_{n_2}x, \ldots, a_1x^{n-1}, \ldots, a_{n_n}x^{n-1}),$$
where \( N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \), \( m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} n_i \) and \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \) is a basis of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q \). Now fix an integer \( d \) and let \( k = N - d + 1 \). Suppose \( G \) is the \( k \times n \) matrix whose \( i \)-th row is \( \phi^{i-1} \) applied on \((f_1, \ldots, f_n)\).

Take \( V = \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^k \) and thus \( VG \) has dimension \( k \) over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \). If we omit the powers of \( x \) in the entries of elements in \( VG \), we get a code \( C_1 \) over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q \) whose codewords are of the form

\[
\mathbf{c} = (c_{11}, \ldots, c_{1n_1}, c_{21}, \ldots, c_{2n_2}, \ldots, c_{m1}, \ldots, c_{mn_n}),
\]

where \( c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \). It is easy to see that over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \), \( \dim C_1 = k \). Now, notice that

\[
\text{sr} \mathbf{c} = \text{rank} \left( c_{11}, \ldots, c_{1n_1}, c_{21}x, \ldots, c_{2n_2}x, \ldots, c_{m1}x^{m-1}, \ldots, c_{mn_n}x^{n-1} \right),
\]

where on the left-hand side \( \text{sr} \mathbf{c} \) is the sum-rank norm, and on the right-hand side we have the usual rank norm from the extension \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)/\mathbb{F}_q \).

So it only remains to show that the minimum sum-rank distance \( d_{sr}(C_1) = N - k + 1 \).

As \( C_1 \subseteq Ev(L(\phi)_k) \) and \( Ev(L(\phi)_k) \) has minimum distance \( d = N - k + 1 \), \( d_{SR}(C_1) \geq N - k + 1 \). From the Singleton bound we get, \( d_{SR}(C_1) \leq N - k + 1 \). So \( C_1 \) is indeed an MSRD code.

Notice that if we choose the independent elements for evaluation to be

\[
(f_1, \ldots, f_N) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n),
\]

then we get the construction of Gabidulin codes. Similarly, if we consider the evaluation on

\[
(f_1, \ldots, f_N) = (1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}),
\]

Then we get Reed-Solomon codes. Thus, our construction gives a generalisation of both the Gabidulin and Reed-Solomon codes.

\textit{Remark 6.}

(a) The code we have constructed above have similar parameters to the codes in [MP18]. It is an interesting problem to see if our codes are equivalent to the codes in that paper.

(b) Again, since our codes are equivalent to a subcode of a larger code over the rational functions and the later is similar to Gabidulin codes, then we can modify any decoding algorithm for Gabidulin codes to get a decoding algorithm for our code embedded with the sum-rank metric code.
8 Conclusion

We have introduced automorphisms of rational functions and used linear operators induced by those automorphisms to construct rank metric codes over rational functions in the same way as the construction of Gabidulin codes. By reducing the generator matrix of the code to some finite field, we produced maximum rank distance codes which are not equivalent to twisted Gabidulin codes. However, the length of the new code is smaller than the size of the base field. Still this confirms a result in [NHTRR18] about the existence of non-Gabidulin codes when the base field is large enough. Some specific subcodes of these codes over rational functions can be employed to obtain optimal Ferrers diagram codes for which there was no previously known construction. Again the construction requires us to work on large base fields $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus there is still an open question about the existence of optimal Ferrers diagram codes for the diagram that we are working with. In particular, it is still not known if Ferrers diagram codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$ exists or not.

We also give a new construction of MSRD codes and as we mentioned in a previous remark, it is an interesting open problem to consider the equivalence between our MSRD codes and codes from [MP18]. It would be nice to study the property of our codes. In particular computing their automorphism groups is of interest. On another side, since our MSRD is a generalisation of both Reed-Solomon and Gabidulin codes, it is interesting if there exists some method to distinguish our codes from random linear sum-rank metric codes. That is needed if we want to consider these codes and the sum-rank metric for code based cryptography.
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