Information versus stability in an anti-de Sitter black hole
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Information entropies associated with the energy density in position and momentum spaces are build for an anti-de Sitter (AdS) black hole. These quantities, that satisfy an entropic uncertainty relation, vary with the temperature. The higher is the black hole temperature, the greater/smaller is the information encoded respectively in the position/momentum distributions of energy.

On the other hand, as it is well known, AdS black holes are subject to the Hawking Page phase transition. The amplitude for dominance of the black hole phase over the thermal AdS phase increases with the temperature. So, as the system becomes more stable, there is a change in the way that information is stored. In particular: information stored in the spatial energy density increases while information stored in the energy density in momentum space decreases.

I. INTRODUCTION

A very interesting description of an anti-de Sitter (AdS) black hole was found by Hawking and Page [1] (see also [2] for enlightening discussions). The point of view, following semi-classical arguments, is that the black hole is a physical system consisting of a superposition of two different geometries with the same asymptotic boundaries. Both are solutions of the vacuum Einstein equation with a negative cosmological constant. One is the (thermal) Euclidean AdS space

\[ ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \]

with a boundary, at \( r \to \infty \), that is the product of a spatial \( S^2 \) sphere with a temporal \( S^1 \) circle. The time coordinate is periodic: \( t \sim t + \beta \).

The other geometry is the AdS-Schwarzschild black hole space

\[ ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} - \frac{2MG_N}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} - \frac{2MG_N}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \]

where \( M \) is the black hole mass and \( G_N \) is the Newton constant. In this case the temporal periodicity is \( t \sim t + \beta \). The black hole space is the region \( r > r_h \), were \( r_h \), for our purposes, is the largest root of:

\[ 1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} - \frac{2MG_N}{r} = 0. \]

In order to avoid a conical singularity, the temporal period must be

\[ \beta = \frac{4\pi b^2 r_h}{3r_h^2 + b^2}. \]

The black hole temperature is \( T = 1/\beta \).

The Einstein gravity actions for the geometries (1) and (2) are respectively

\[ I_{\text{AdS}} = \frac{3}{2b^2 G_N} \int_0^\beta \int_0^R r^2 dr , \]

\[ I_{\text{BH}} = \frac{3}{2b^2 G_N} \int_0^\beta \int_{r_h}^R r^2 dr , \]

where \( R \) is a large (regulator) radius. In order that the actions have the same asymptotic geometry at \( r \to \infty \), one imposes that the temporal circles have equal length:

\[ \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{b^2} - \frac{2MG_N}{R}\right)} \ dt = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{b^2}\right)} \ d\bar{t}. \]

This implies that at large \( R \):

\[ \bar{\beta} \approx \beta \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_h^3 + b^2 r_h}{2R^3}}. \]

The regularized action \( I \), that represents the effect of the presence of the black hole, with respect to the AdS space without the black hole, reads

\[ I = I_{\text{BH}} - I_{\text{AdS}} , \]

and is finite in the \( R \to \infty \) limit.

The black hole solutions considered here are restricted to \( b/\sqrt{3} < r_h \). The action \( I \) is positive for \( r_h < b \), when the AdS space is dominant and is negative for \( r_h > b \), when the black hole space become dominant. The amplitude for finding the black hole geometry is approximately governed by the factor \( \exp(-I) \). Since the action \( I \) decreases monotonically with \( r_h \), the black hole becomes more and more stable against the Hawking Page transition as \( r_h \) and, correspondingly the temperature \( T \), increase.

It has been proposed by Gleiser and Sowinski [3] that the stability of compact objects can be characterized by a quantity, called configurational entropy, introduced in [4], that represents the information stored in the energy distribution in momentum space. The smaller is this quantity, the more stable is the system. In the recent years, many examples appeared in the literature where there
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is a similar relation between the configurational entropy and stability (see, for example, [5–7]). In particular, in ref. [7] the configurational entropy was calculated for an anti-de Sitter black hole, but using a particular regularization in order to define the energy density. We will avoid this problem here by following a different approach in the determination of the energy density of the black hole.

The purpose of this letter is to go one step ahead in the understanding of the connection between information and stability. The idea of using the AdS black hole as an example is motivated by the fact that for this physical system one finds a way a simple way to characterize what one means by stability: it is the amplitude for the dominance of the black hole phase over the thermal AdS phase.

The basis for the definition of the configurational entropy[3] is the information entropy of Shannon [8] that has the form

$$- \sum_n p_n \log p_n ,$$

(10)

where $p_n$ is the probability distribution of a discrete variable. For the configuration entropy, one considers the continuous version

$$f = - \int d^3 \rho (\vec{r}) \log \rho (\vec{r}) ,$$

(11)

where $\rho (\vec{r}) = |v(\vec{r})|^2$ is a normalized function $\int d^3 \rho (\vec{r}) = 1$. It is important to note the discrete case of eq. (10) is positive definite since the probabilities satisfies $p_n \leq 1$. The same rule does not apply to the continuous case, that involves densities. So, one should not take eq. (11) as an absolute measure of the information content, but rather consider the variations of $f$ as representing variation in the information content.

The configuration entropy, as defined in [3], is the momentum space version of $f$

$$\tilde{f} = - \int d^3 k \tilde{\rho} (\vec{k}) \log \tilde{\rho} (\vec{k}) ,$$

(12)

where $\tilde{\rho} (\vec{k}) = |\tilde{v} (\vec{k})|^2$ with

$$\tilde{v} (\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3 r v(\vec{x}) \exp (-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) .$$

(13)

Information entropies like $f$ and $\tilde{f}$, based in conjugate variables in the sense of eq. (13) satisfy the so called entropic uncertainty relations [9], that, for this 3-dimensional case takes the form:

$$f + \tilde{f} \geq 3(1 + \log \pi) .$$

(14)

So, one could guess that a variation of the configurational entropy, defined in momentum space, should be associated to some variation of the conjugate quantity, defined in position space. We will use the AdS black hole as an example, in order to investigate the relation between momentum and position entropies.

II. ENERGY DENSITY FOR THE ADS BLACK HOLE

The mass of the AdS black hole is obtained from [2]

$$M = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta} ,$$

(15)

with the action $I$ given by eqs. (5), (6) and (9). There is a subtle point in equation (15): the limit of integration of the black hole action depends on the temperature. So, the derivative affects not only the temporal periods but also the spatial part of the action integral. In order to define an energy density, we change the radial variable to $x = r/r_h$. The actions takes the form

$$I_{AdS} = \frac{3}{2b^2 G_N} \beta \rho_h^3 \int_0^{R/r_h} x^2 dx ,$$

(16)

$$I_{BH} = \frac{3}{2b^2 G_N} \beta \rho_h^3 \int_1^{R/r_h} x^2 dx .$$

(17)

Differentiating $I = I_{BH} - I_{AdS}$ with respect to $\beta$, using relations (4) and (8) and then taking the limit $R \to \infty$, one finds the mass of the black hole expressed as the sum of just a volume integral. With two different constant densities: $u_1 (x)$ in the region $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $u_2 (x)$ for the region $1 \leq x \leq R/r_h$. In other words, the mass can be written as

$$M = 4\pi \int_0^{R/r_h} x^2 u(x) dx ,$$

(18)

with

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{8\pi b^2 G_N} \left( \frac{6r_h^2 + 4r_h^2 k^2}{3r_h^2 - b^2} \right) , & (0 \leq x \leq 1) \\ - \frac{1}{8\pi b^2 G_N} \frac{3r_h^2 + 2r_h^2 k^2 + r_h^2 k^4}{R^2 (3r_h^2 - b^2)} , & (1 \leq x \leq \frac{R}{r_h}) \end{cases}$$

(19)

The density in the region $r > r_h$, outside the horizon, will not contribute to the information entropies.

Now we return to coordinate $r$ and define the corresponding mass/energy density:

$$v(r) = u(x)/r_h^3 .$$

The normalized function that we need, in order to define the information entropy in position space is

$$\rho (r) = \frac{|v(r)|^2}{4\pi \int_0^{r_h} |v(r)|^2 r^2 dr} .$$

(20)

In order to find the momentum space version we now define an energy density in momentum space:

$$\tilde{v}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int v(r) \exp (-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) d^3 r .$$

(21)

After taking the limit $R \to \infty$ one finds

$$\tilde{v}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{3}{2b^2 G_N} \left( \frac{6r_h^5 + 4r_h^3 b^2}{3r_h^3 - b^2} \right) .$$

This is the energy density of the AdS black hole.
using eq. (19) one gets:
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region inside the horizon:

\[ r < r_h \]

The normalized function in momentum space is defined as

\[ f(k) = \frac{1}{4\pi(\pi r_h^3/6)} \left( \sin(k r_h) - \frac{r_h \cos(k r_h)}{k^2} \right)^2 \]  

The information entropies in position and momentum spaces are given by eqs. (11) and (12), respectively, with spherical symmetry

\[ f = - \int d^3r \rho(r) \log \rho(r), \]
\[ \tilde{f} = - \int d^3k \tilde{\rho}(k) \log \tilde{\rho}(k). \]  

Using eq. (19) one gets:

\[ f = \log \left( 4\pi r_h^3/3 \right) \]

It is important to remark that both \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \) receive contributions from the energy density (19) only from the region inside the horizon: \( r < r_h \). This means that the changes in information, as represented by the variations of \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \), come only from inside the black hole, as one would expect from physical grounds. There is a non trivial fact to be noticed: the black hole geometry (2) is defined only for \( r \geq r_h \) but the subtraction of the thermal AdS background (1) leads to the appearance of an energy density inside the horizon. And this is precisely the density that contributes to the information entropies.

III. RESULTS

We show in figure 1 plots of the entropies \( f \), \( \tilde{f} \) and their sum: \( f + \tilde{f} \) as a function of the horizon radius divided by the AdS radius \( r_h/b \). One notes that as the horizon radius, and correspondingly the temperature, increase, the entropy in position space \( f \) increases while the momentum space entropy \( \tilde{f} \) has the opposite behavior. They satisfies the constraint given by the uncertainty relation in eq. (14) and actually behave in a trivial way: the sum is constant.

So, as the black hole temperature increases, and the black hole increases the stability against a Hawking Page transition, information encoded in the spatial distribution of energy increases while information in the momentum distribution decreases. And the total information associated with the quantities \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \) remains constant.

It is not clear, at this moment, if such a behavior is particular of the AdS black hole or could be more general. Previous studies of configurational entropy, like [3, 5, 6] indicate that the momentum space entropy decreases with stability, but for the spatial entropy, and their sum, we are not aware of any similar study. This could be an interesting topic for future investigation.
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