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Decoherence is a phenomenon investigated in many different contexts and is usually considered as the fingerprint of the transition of a system’s dynamics from the quantum to the classical. Typically, decoherence times are computed by using the framework of Markovian open quantum systems, often following the approach of Caldeira and Leggett. In this Letter we develop a non-Markovian extension to the standard Caldeira-Leggett model and investigate its implications for decoherence times. By using the influence functional formalism, we expand the dynamics of the reduced system in inverse powers of the cut-off frequency of the spectral density of the environment. This procedure allows us to derive a novel non-Markovian Master Equation for the reduced density matrix of the quantum system of interest, whose numerical solution shows a strong deviation from Markovian behaviour. We compute the $l_1$-norm of coherence in the system, which exhibits a gradual transition from exponential decay over time in the Markovian case to an inverse-power law in the fully non-Markovian case, with intermediate dynamics characterised by stretched exponential relaxation. We find that decoherence times are increased significantly when non-Markovian corrections are included, and we identify the corresponding mechanisms in dynamical terms, a result that may have important implications in quantum computing.

Controlling quantum coherences plays a key role in the broad area of quantum technologies, where it is essential that decoherence—the phenomenon by which coherences decay over time—is kept at bay for as long as possible in order for non-classical behaviour, such as interference effects and entanglement, to be harnessed. Decoherence depends strongly on the interaction of the quantum system with its environment, and much effort is currently devoted to either decoupling the system from the environment, or to engineering environmental features which protect quantum behaviour.

In this Letter, we investigate the effect that non-Markovian dynamics have on decoherence times. In general, a stochastic process is Markovian if the probability of a time-dependent random variable $X(t)$ taking a value $x_n$ at some arbitrary time $t_n$ is uniquely determined by its value at time $t_{n-1}$, but not by earlier times. In contrast, a non-Markovian process is one in which there is memory of the history of $X$. In open quantum systems non-Markovian behaviour emerges naturally when the dynamics of environmental degrees of freedom are projected out. Both the adoption of general projection techniques and the explicit calculation of specific environmental models lead to reduced dynamics for the system of interest characterized by memory kernels which account in principle for non-Markovian behaviour. Typical assumptions about the relaxation timescales of such memory kernels can be made, so that a Markov approximation becomes legitimate, convolution integrals are de-convolved, and the emerging representation is again Markovian. It is well-known that this approach leads to Master Equations for the reduced density matrix of the system of interest, which are characterised by very fast (exponential) decay of the coherent components. More recently, non-Markovian dynamics have been proposed as a means to extend the survival of coherent behaviour in time.

Solving the dynamics of non-Markovian open quantum systems is challenging and, as a result, many different techniques have been developed to aid the analysis. In a milestone paper, Hu, Paz and Zhang (HPZ) build upon the Caldeira and Leggett quantum Brownian Motion model, and derive a non-Markovian Quantum Master Equation based on a time-local expansion of convolution integrals, supplemented with the reinsertion of memory effects through the adoption of appropriate phenomenological non-local source terms. Their methodology results in a Quantum Master Equation in which the non-Markovian behaviour is captured in part by the emergence of new dynamical terms and in part by the coefficients of the corresponding Markovian dynamics becoming time-dependent.

In this Letter we introduce a different methodology to address non-Markovian dynamics, and extend thereby the HPZ Master Equation by dealing directly with the time non-locality of the non-Markovian regime. As in [15], we build upon the path integral approach of Caldeira and Leggett [16]. We model the environment as a bath of harmonic oscillators with a high frequency cut-off, $\Omega$, and develop a perturbative expansion in powers of $\Omega^{-1}$. This method is similar to that applied in [17], in which the same expansion is applied to derive the classical Langevin equation. We obtain a new quantum master equation with non-Markovian corrections perturbatively added to the standard Lindblad Markovian structure. We show that the numerical solution of the resulting master equation leads to a considerable slowing down of the decoherence process, obtained through a novel coherence protection mechanism. Our resulting equation incorporates memory effects systematically in a dynamical form.
and shows a richer behaviour of the time evolution of the reduced density matrix than the one obtained in the HPZ approach [15].

Let us start by considering the Caldeira and Leggett Model [16]. The dynamics of the system are described by the total Lagrangian

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B + \mathcal{L}_I, \]

where

\[ \mathcal{L}_A = \frac{1}{2} M \dot{x}^2 - V(x), \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_B = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{2} m \dot{R}_k^2 - \frac{1}{2} m \omega_k^2 R_k^2 \right), \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_I = -x \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k R_k, \]

are the Lagrangians describing the system of interest, the bath oscillators, and their interaction, respectively.

By using the path integral formalism adopted in [8, 16], the degrees of freedom of the bath can be integrated out, and the time evolution of the reduced density matrix of the system can be written in terms of the superpropagator

\[ J(x_f, y_f, t; x_i, y_i, 0) = \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}y e^{i(S_A[x] - S_A[y])} \mathcal{F}[x, y], \]

where the above denote path integrals over all paths \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) from initial point \( x_i = x(0), y_i = y(0) \) to final point \( x_f = x(t), y_f = y(t) \). \( S_A \) is the classical action of the system of interest and \( \mathcal{F}[x, y] \) is the so-called influence functional, which describes the action of the environment on the system of interest. The explicit structure of the influence functional can be computed as in [16]

\[ \mathcal{F}[x, y] = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' f_-(t') I_I(t') - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' f_-(t') I_R(t') \right], \]

where \( I_I(t') \) and \( I_R(t') \) are convolution integrals, given by

\[ I_I(t') = \int_0^{t'} \alpha_I(t' - t'') f_+(t'') dt'', \]

\[ I_R(t') = \int_0^{t'} \alpha_R(t' - t'') f_-(t'') dt'', \]

and \( f_\pm(t) = x(t) \mp y(t) \) are functions of the paths \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \). The convolution integrals (7) and (8) are specified in terms of the memory kernels \( \alpha_I \) and \( \alpha_R \), which in the high temperature limit \( k_B T \gg \hbar \omega \) and under the assumption of an Ohmic environment, read [16]:

\[ \alpha_I(t) = \frac{2 M \gamma}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sin \Omega t}{\Omega t} \right), \]

\[ \alpha_R(t) = \frac{4 M \gamma k_B T \sin \Omega t}{\pi \hbar} \left( \frac{\sin \Omega t}{\Omega t} \right). \]

Here, \( \gamma \) is the relaxation constant of the system of interest, and \( \Omega \) is the cut-off frequency of the spectral density of the bath oscillators. This emerging non-Markovian structure is a common occurrence in the reduction of both classical and quantum systems [19, 20], stemming from projecting out the environmental degrees of freedom.

In the Markov limit (\( \Omega \to \infty \)), the memory kernels and (10) can be rewritten in terms of Dirac delta functions. The convolution integrals (7) and (8) can therefore be deconvolved and a Markovian representation for the reduced dynamics of the system of interest recovered. In this case, the reduced density matrix of a particle in the position representation, \( \tilde{\rho}(x, y, t) \), satisfies the well-known Markovian Master Equation [9]:

\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} = \hat{L}_M \tilde{\rho}, \]

where \( \hat{L}_M \) is the Liouvillian operator in the Markov limit defined as

\[ \hat{L}_M \tilde{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}_R, \tilde{\rho} \right] - \frac{\gamma}{\hbar} \left[ (x - y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{(x - y)^2}{\lambda^2} \right] \tilde{\rho}. \]

Here \( \hat{H}_R \) is the renormalized Hamiltonian of the system of interest and \( \lambda_T = \hbar / \sqrt{2 \lambda M k_B T} \) is the thermal de Broglie wavelength. Note that the second and third terms in (12) represent relaxation and decoherence dynamics, respectively.

Here we develop a time-scale expansion in inverse powers of \( \Omega \) and identify perturbatively the contribution of terms in the neighbourhood of \( \Omega = \infty \). In order to obtain an expansion of the convolution integrals (7) and (8) in powers of \( 1/\Omega \), it is useful to make use of Laplace Transforms, which, for \( \Omega \gg |s| \), allows us to write

\[ \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{\sin(\Omega t)}{t} \right\} (s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\pi/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \]

\[ = \arctan \left( \frac{\Omega}{s} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \left( \frac{s}{\Omega} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (\pi/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}. \]

By using the Convolution Theorem for Laplace Transforms, and after inverse transforming back to the time domain, we obtain the corresponding expression for the superpropagator which, to first order in \( 1/\Omega \), reads:

\[ J(x_f, y_f, t; x_i, y_i, 0) = \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}y C_\Omega(t) e^{i(S_A[x] - S_A[y])} \mathcal{F}^{(\Omega)}[x, y]. \]
where \( C_\Omega(t) \) is a prefactor collecting all boundary terms,

\[
C_\Omega(t) = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{2M\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^t dt' \left( -\pi f_-(t') \frac{df_+(t')}{dt'} \right) - \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( \frac{4M\gamma kT}{\hbar\pi} \int_0^t dt' \left( \frac{1}{2} f_-(t') f_+(t') + O(\Omega^{-2}) \right) \right) \right]
\]

and the influence functional is now given by

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Omega)[x, y] = \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{2M\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^t dt' \left( -\pi f_-(t') \frac{df_+(t')}{dt'} \right) - \frac{1}{\Omega} \left( \frac{4M\gamma kT}{\hbar\pi} \int_0^t dt' \left( \frac{1}{2} f_-(t') f_+(t') + O(\Omega^{-2}) \right) \right) \right]
\]

In order to compute the time evolution of the reduced density matrix \( \tilde{\rho} \), we now consider the following propagation function \( \tilde{\sigma} \):

\[
\tilde{\sigma}(x, y, t) = \int dx_1 dy_1 J(x, y, t; x_1, y_1, 0) \tilde{\rho}(x_1, y_1, 0). \tag{17}
\]

In the standard Markovian case, the propagation function \( \tilde{\sigma} \) would coincide with \( \tilde{\rho} \), and \( \tag{17} \) would be the standard Markovian evolution equation for the reduced density matrix. Our definition provides instead a way of incorporating non-Markovian effects in the time evolution of the system.

If we consider the propagation over a small interval of time, \( \varepsilon \), then the path integral in equation \( \tag{14} \) can be expanded in powers of \( \varepsilon \). By collecting terms of order 0 in \( \varepsilon \), we obtain the normalization condition, which allows us to express \( \tilde{\sigma} \) in terms of \( \tilde{\rho} \):

\[
\tilde{\sigma} = \left[ 1 - 2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{\pi\Omega} \right) (x - y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \tilde{\rho}. \tag{18}
\]

and by collecting terms linear in \( \varepsilon \), we obtain an evolution equation for the propagation function \( \tilde{\sigma} \). Then, using \( \tag{18} \), this leads to the main result of this Letter: a new Master Equation for the reduced density matrix of the system, to leading order in our non-Markovian expansion in \( 1/\Omega \):

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\rho}}{dt} = \left[ \hat{L}_M + \hat{L}_{NU} + \hat{L}_V + \hat{L}_{RD} + \hat{L}_{AS} + \hat{L}_P \right] \tilde{\rho}, \tag{19}
\]

where \( \hat{L}_M \) is the Markovian Liouvillian defined in Eq. \( \tag{12} \) and the new, non-Markovian, terms are

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{L}_K &= -\frac{i\hbar}{M} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right), \\
\hat{L}_{NU} &= -\frac{i\hbar}{M} \left[ \frac{(x - y)}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{2(x - y)}{\lambda_T^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right], \\
\hat{L}_V &= \frac{3i}{\hbar} (x - y) \left( \frac{dV}{dx} - \frac{dV}{dy} \right) + \frac{4i}{\hbar} (x - y)(V(x) - V(y)) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \\
\hat{L}_{RD} &= 8\gamma (x - y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{16\gamma}{\lambda_T^2} (x - y)^2, \\
\hat{L}_{AS} &= 4\gamma (x - y)^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2, \\
\hat{L}_P &= \frac{3\gamma}{\lambda_T^2} (x - y)^3 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right). \tag{20-25}
\end{align*}
\]
FIG. 1: The time evolution of the density matrix in both the Markovian case, via Eq. (11) (left column) and the non-Markovian case, via Eq. (19) (right column).

already present in the Markovian master equation \[12\]. However, we note the opposite sign of both these terms, which partially compensates for the Markovian dynamics, reducing thereby both relaxation and decoherence. The term \[\hat{L}_{AS}\] describes amplification of any existing coherence, and also its diffusional spread (due to the presence of the second order spatial partial derivatives).

The most interesting corrections to Markovian behaviour come about because of the final term, \[\hat{L}_P\]. Simulations show that \[\hat{L}_P\] describes the migration of the off-diagonal components of the reduced density matrix towards the diagonal, thus protecting coherent behaviour.

The full dynamics are thus dominated by a combination of the compensatory effects of \[\hat{L}_{RD}\] on the Markovian dynamics \[12\], and by \[\hat{L}_P\] which directly counteracts the effect of the decoherence term in \[12\]. Together they act to slow-down the decoherence process.

Note that Eq. \[19\] reduces to the Markovian Master Equation \[11\] for \[\gamma/\Omega \to 0\]. Because of the structure of Eq. \[19\], it is natural to define the dimensionless parameter \[R_\Omega = \gamma/(\pi\Omega)\] as a measure of non-Markovianity of the system. If we identify \[\tau_B \sim 1/\Omega\] as the timescale of the environment (corresponding to the period of the fastest, most dominant, bath oscillator) and \[\tau_A = 1/\gamma\] as the relaxation timescale of the system of interest, the Markovian condition \[\Omega \gg \gamma\] corresponds to \[\tau_B \ll \tau_A\], and hence justifies the reduction of the dynamics obtained by integrating over the fast degrees of freedom of the environment. However, for the environment to continue to play its role with respect to the system of interest, the condition \[\tau_B < \tau_A\] needs to be fulfilled even if \[\tau_B\] is finite, providing thereby the lower bound \[\Omega \geq \gamma\] (or \[R_\Omega \leq 1/\pi\]). The case \[\Omega \sim \gamma\] represents a situation in which system and environment become indistinguishable.

To investigate the evolution of our non-Markovian system, we start by considering an initial wave function for a free particle in a superposition of two localised spatial positions represented by separated Gaussians. For convenience, we choose the particle to be a proton and the two Gaussians to be separated by 2 Angstroms. We also assume the environment to have a temperature of \[T = 300K\]. The corresponding density matrix in the position representation, mapped out on an \(x − y\) grid, is thus made up of four equally spaced Gaussian functions, two of which are situated on the diagonal, representing the classically realisable states, while the two off-diagonal peaks are a measure of quantum coherence.

Figure 1 shows the Markovian and non-Markovian evolution of this system over the relevant timescale. As expected, the off-diagonal components experience rapid decoherence in the Markovian case. However, the non-Markovian system shows slower decoherence due to the off-diagonal peaks travelling towards the diagonal.

In order to quantify the decoherence time, we adopt the \(l_1\)-norm of coherence \[21\] as our measure of coherence in the system. For some choice of discrete basis, \[\{|i\}\], this is defined as \[C_{l_1}(t) = \sum_{i,j} |\rho_{ij}(t)|\] and in the continuum limit of our configuration space basis, this become

\[
C_{l_1}(t) = \int |\tilde{\rho}_{\text{eff}}(x,y,t)| \, dx \, dy .
\]
Formally, the off-diagonal part of the density matrix would be defined as $\hat{\rho}_{\text{off}} = \hat{\rho} - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho})$. However, here the reduced density matrix in the position representation is a continuous function of $x$ and $y$ and its two components on the diagonal spread out into the off-diagonal region (as seen in Figure 1). Therefore, we take $\hat{\rho}_{\text{off}}$ to be such that the entirety of the two Gaussians on the diagonal are removed.

Fig. 2 demonstrates that there is a significant change in the evolution of coherence as $R_\Omega$ increases and approaches the $\Omega = \gamma$ limit. The Markovian behaviour obeys clearly an exponential relaxation, as can be appreciated in the semi-log plot in the inset of Fig. 2, which is in agreement with the standard predictions $[9]$. As the system becomes increasingly non-Markovian, the deviation from exponential relaxation becomes more and more noticeable, and the long time behaviour of $C_{11}$ in the extreme case of $R_\Omega = 1/\pi$ is clearly compatible with an inverse-power law relaxation. We corroborate this hypothesis by fitting the data with a function that is a combination of stretched exponential and inverse power law,

$$C_{\text{fit}}(t) = \frac{A}{A + t^\alpha} \exp(-Bt^\beta). \quad (27)$$

The fitting shows a mixture of stretched exponential and inverse-power law behaviour at intermediate levels of non-Markovianity ($R_\Omega = 0.1$), which gradually turns into a dominant inverse-power law relaxation in the fully non-Markovian case, as demonstrated by the parameter $B$ becoming $0$ at $R_\Omega = 1/\pi$.

In order to compute a timescale for decoherence, $\tau_D$, in the different regimes we adopt the standard approach $[22]$ of integrating the fitted curves $[27]$,

$$\tau_D = \int_0^\infty C_{\text{fit}}(t) dt, \quad (28)$$

where it is noted that this integral gives the exact timescale for Markovian exponential relaxation. In Fig. 3 we plot these timescales against $R_\Omega$.

In this Letter we have identified a novel mechanism for protecting coherent behaviour in quantum systems, in which the coherent components of the density matrix migrate towards the diagonal, where the efficiency of competing decoherent terms becomes progressively weaker. The result is a slow-down of the decoherence process that is manifested in the emergence of inverse-power law behaviour in the relaxational dynamics of the coherences. Our results extend the results of $[15]$, as we give a full dynamical treatment of the non-local time dynamics, based on converting temporal properties of the evolution of the reduced density matrix into corresponding spatial properties. The price to pay is that our derivation is not exact, as it is based on an expansion in $1/\Omega$. However, it is legitimate to expect that the expansion captures the relevant dynamics at large enough $\Omega$ values, and any desired order can be consistently computed according to needs.

Even though the gain in survival time of quantum behaviour is somewhat limited for the particular case considered here, it should be emphasised that the mechanism here identified becomes more relevant at higher temperature, in contrast to more usual approaches, which focus on the low temperature limit.

The non-Markovian framework introduced here is promising and worthy of further investigation and paves the way to possible application in the broad area of quantum technologies – indeed in all those cases in which harnessing quantum properties of the system is desired.
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