Classical criticality establishes quantum topological order
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We establish an important duality correspondence between topological order in quantum many-body systems and criticality in ferromagnetic classical spin systems. We show how such a correspondence leads to a classical and simple procedure for characterization of topological order in an important set of quantum entangled states, namely the Calderbank-Shor-Steane (CSS) states. To this end, we introduce a particular quantum Hamiltonian which allows us to consider the existence of a topological phase transition from quantum CSS states to a magnetized state. We study the ground state fidelity in order to find non-analyticity in the wave function as a signature of a topological phase transition. Since hypergraphs can be used to map any arbitrary CSS state to a classical spin model, we show that fidelity of the quantum model defined on a hypergraph $H$ is mapped to the heat capacity of the classical spin model defined on dual hypergraph $\tilde{H}$. Consequently, we show that a ferromagnetic-paramagnetic phase transition in a classical model is mapped to a topological phase transition in the corresponding quantum model. We also show that magnetization does not behave as a local order parameter at the transition point while the classical order parameter is mapped to a non-local measure on the quantum side, further indicating the non-local nature of the transition. Our procedure not only opens the door for identification of topological phases via the existence of a local and classical quantity, i.e. critical point, but also offers the potential to classify various topological phases through the concept of universality in phase transitions.
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Introduction—A century after the dawn of quantum mechanics, development of quantum technologies has become the most important challenge for the implementation of the second quantum revolution [1, 2]. In particular, finding a way to overcome the decoherence problem in quantum computers has attracted much attention where quantum error-correcting codes, specifically Calderbank-Shor-Steane (CSS) codes, have been introduced [3–6]. Using such quantum codes, one usually needs an active protocol for error correction. However, due to the topological nature of certain CSS codes, they exhibit self-correction [7–10], thus protecting information in a natural way. Generally, topological nature of various CSS codes makes them an immediate candidate for fault-tolerant quantum computing [11–16]. Therefore, being able to ascertain whether an arbitrary CSS code is topological or not is of fundamental importance particularly in their feasibility as quantum memory.

Furthermore, topological order is an important concept in condensed matter physics [17–24]. However, because of lack of a local order parameter under a symmetry-breaking mechanism, characterizing topological phases has been a challenging problem [25–28]. One standard method is to identify measures such as topological entanglement entropy [29], or gap stability [30]. While such measures can well capture certain physical aspects of topological order, they nonetheless have a non-local nature. Therefore, a practical procedure for characterizing topological order for an arbitrary quantum state by such measures remains a challenging problem [31].

On the other hand, during the past decade certain interesting maps from quantum entangled states, specially topological ones, to partition functions of classical spin models have been introduced [32–34] which have led to new developments in quantum information theory as well as statistical mechanics [35–40]. In the light of such mappings, one may explore the consequences of the existence of a phase transition in the classical spin models for the corresponding quantum entangled states. In particular the non-analytic behavior associated with classical phase transitions should have important ramifications on the quantum side [41].

In this Letter, we propose and prove that the existence of topological order in an arbitrary CSS state is identified by a critical ferromagnetic phase transition in their classical (dual) spin model. We therefore propose a simple mathematical procedure: criticality in the classical partition function establishes topological order in the corresponding CSS states. This is important since it provides a classical local measure for a quantum non-local phenomenon. In order to prove this, we propose a quantum Hamiltonian model, the CSS-magnetic (CSSM) model, which exhibits a transition as a function of control parameter ($\beta$), from the magnetized state to a CSS state. Due to the specific property of the ground state, we show that it cannot break any (spin) symmetries. We next show that the transition to the CSS state is indeed characterized by a singularity in the ground state fidelity and thus a quantum phase transition. We therefore conclude that the quantum phase transition must be of topological nature since it preserves the symmetry. As further evidence for the topological nature of the quantum phase transition, we also calculate the magnetization and show that it does not behave as a local (symmetry-breaking) order parameter, while the classical order parameter behaves as a non-local measure on the quantum side. In
effect, we provide a duality between a (nonlocal) quantum topological phase transition and a (local) classical ferromagnetic phase transition.

**CSS-magnetic model on a hypergraph**—A stabilizer state on \( N \) qubits is a positive eigenstate of \( N \) commutative operators belonging to the Pauli group. A CSS state is a particular set of stabilizer states which is stabilized by \( Z \)-type and \( X \)-type operators [5]. CSS states can be defined on hypergraphs [42–44]. A hypergraph \( H = (V, E) \) is a set of vertices \( V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_k\} \) and a set of hyperedges \( E = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_K\} \) where each hyperedge is equal to a subset of vertices, see Fig. (1-a). A set of hyperedges are called independent if no hyperedge is equal to collection of other hyperedges. For a given hypergraph \( H = (V, E) \), one can define a dual hypergraph \( \tilde{H} = (V, \tilde{E}) \) where \( \tilde{E} = \{ \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, ..., \tilde{v}_N\} \) and \( \tilde{E} = \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, ..., \tilde{e}_K\} \) are vertices and hyperedges of \( \tilde{H} \), respectively, and

\[
\tilde{e}_i = \{\tilde{v}_m | v_i \in e_m \text{ in } H\}
\]

where \( v_i \in e_m \text{ in } H \) refers to vertices belonging to the edge of \( e_m \) on \( H \). Simply put, duality interchanges vertices and edges, see Fig. (1-b).

For a given hypergraph \( H = (V, E) \), there is a CSS state in the following form:

\[
|CSS_H\rangle = \frac{1}{2^M} \prod_{e \in E} (1 + A_e)|0\rangle^\otimes N
\]

where \(|0\rangle\) is the positive eigenstate of the Pauli operator \( Z \) with \( N \) qubits living on vertices and \( M \leq N \) is the number of independent hyperedges. \( A_e \) is an \( X \)-type stabilizer in the form of \( \prod_{i \in e} X_i \) where \( i \in e \) refers to all vertices belonging to \( e \). Furthermore, there are \( K = N - M \) number of \( Z \)-type stabilizers for the above state which commute with \( A_e \) [34]. A set of hyperedges corresponding to such \( Z \)-type stabilizers are defined as orthogonal hyperedges \( E^* \) where the CSS state of Eq. (2) can also be written in the following form:

\[
|CSS_H\rangle = \frac{1}{2^M} \prod_{e^* \in E^*} (1 + B_{e^*})|+\rangle^\otimes N
\]

where \(|+\rangle\) is the positive eigenstate of the Pauli operator \( X \). \( e^* \) is a member of set of orthogonal hyperedges \( E^* \) and \( B_{e^*} \) is a \( Z \)-type stabilizer in the form of \( \prod_{i \in e^*} Z_i \) where \( i \in e^* \) refers to vertices belonging to \( e^* \). Furthermore, it is simple to check that the above CSS state is also a non-degenerate ground state of a quantum CSS model with a Hamiltonian in the form of

\[
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{e \in E} B_e - \sum_{e \in E} A_e
\]

We should note that while we can choose the \( X \)-type stabilizers as local operators, some \( Z \)-type stabilizers might be non-local. Therefore, the above Hamiltonian might be regarded as nonphysical. However, here we are only concerned with existence of topological order in the ground state of such Hamiltonian, namely the CSS state, and not whether such Hamiltonian actually represents any physical system.

We introduce an extended version of a CSS model, the CSS-magnetic (CSSM) model, corresponding to a given hypergraph \( H = (V, E) \) in the following form:

\[
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{e \in E^*} B_{e^*} - \sum_{e \in E} A_e + \sum_{e \in E} U_e(\beta)
\]

where \( U_e(\beta) = \prod_{i \in e} \exp(-\beta Z_i) \) is a product operator corresponding to a hyperedge \( e \) with a tuning parameter \( \beta \). If we expand this operator for a small value of \( \beta \), it will correspond to a magnetic term in the first-order approximation. Additionally, the first two terms in the Eq. (4) are stabilizers of a CSS state. Therefore, it is easy to see that the ground state of the above Hamiltonian goes through a transition from a CSS state \( (\beta = 0) \) to a magnetized state, \(|0\rangle^\otimes N\), for \( \beta \to \infty \). In this way, an important problem that needs be considered is the possibility of a quantum phase transition in this model. It is therefore important to identify the symmetry properties of the ground state of the CSSM model and ask if such ground state exhibits any singular behavior as a function of \( \beta \).

To find the ground state, we re-write the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) in the following form:

\[
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{e \in E^*} B_{e^*} + \sum_{e \in E} Q_e(\beta)
\]

where \( Q_e(\beta) = U_e - A_e \) which is a positive operator. One can check that \( Q_e^2 = 2 \cosh(\beta \sum_i Z_i)Q_e \) and since \( \langle Q_e^2 \rangle \geq 0 \), one concludes that \( \langle Q_e \rangle \geq 0 \) for any arbitrary quantum state. This implies that the minimum eigenvalue of \( Q_e \) is zero.

On the other hand, since \( A_e \) and \( U_e \) commute with \( B_{e^*} \), it is clear that \( [Q_e, B_{e^*}] = 0 \), and therefore, an eigenstate of \( Q_e \) corresponding to eigenvalue of zero will be the ground state of the CSSM. One can easily find the zero-eigen state in the following form:

\[
|G_H(\beta)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z(\beta)}} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_i Z_i\right)|CSS_H\rangle
\]
where $Z(\beta)$ is the normalization factor and $\sum_i$ in the exponential term refers to summation on all qubits. In order to show that the above state is in fact the ground state, first note that $U_c|G_H(\beta)\rangle = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \exp\{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \in E} \sum_{i \in E} Z_i \} |CSS_H\rangle$. Then, since $A_c \exp\{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \in E} Z_i \} = \exp\{-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \in E} Z_i \} A_c$, it follows that $A_c|G_H(\beta)\rangle = U_c|G_H(\beta)\rangle$ and therefore $Q_c(G_H(\beta)) = 0$.

It remains to find the exact form of the normalization factor. We now show that $Z(\beta)$ is the partition function of a classical ferromagnetic spin model defined on dual hypergraph $\hat{H}$ with the following classical Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{cl} = -J \sum_{\vec{i} \in E} \prod_{i \in \vec{e}} s_i$$

(7)

where $J$ is the ferromagnetic coupling constant and $\prod_{i \in \vec{e}} s_i$ refers to many-body interaction between binary spins belonging to a hyperedge $\vec{e}$. Now, using Eq. (6), we have:

$$Z(\beta) = \langle CSS_H | \exp\{\beta \sum_i Z_i\} | CSS_H \rangle$$

(8)

On the other hand, according to Eq. (3), the CSS state can be written in terms of $Z$-type operators, and since $B_{c*}$ operators commute with $\exp\{\beta \sum_i Z_i\}$ and all $B_{c*}$'s stabilize the CSS state, we will arrive at the following form for Eq. (8):

$$Z(\beta) = 2^\frac{N}{2} \langle \alpha | CSS_H \rangle$$

(9)

where $|\alpha\rangle = \exp\{\beta \sum_i Z_i\}|+\rangle^\otimes N = 2^{-\frac{N}{2}} (e^\beta |0\rangle + e^{-\beta} |1\rangle)^\otimes N$. Indeed, such a product-state of a CSS state defined on a hypergraph $H$ is equal to the partition function of a classical ferromagnetic spin model defined on dual hypergraph $\hat{H}$ up to a factor $2^\frac{N}{2} - N$, with $\beta$ being related to the temperature in the classical model in the form of $T = \frac{J}{k_B \beta}$, as has been shown in [34]. In the following we set $k_B$ and $J$ to unity so that $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$.

Obtaining the exact form of the ground state, we are ready to consider the existence of a quantum phase transition in the CSSM. First, we consider the symmetries of the ground state in order to address the possibility of a symmetry breaking phase transition. We first consider the $\beta \to \infty$ limit where one can see that the ground state is a magnetized state, $|00...0\rangle$. It is clear that, in this extreme, any $Z$-type operator is a symmetry operator of the ground state. However, when we decrease $\beta$ to a finite value, only the $Z$-type operators which are stabilizers of the CSS state, i.e. $B_{c*}$, remain as the symmetries of the ground state, independent of the finite value of $\beta$. Therefore, the only symmetry-breaking transition that might occur in the ground state must occur at $\beta \to \infty$, i.e. at zero temperature. All other finite $\beta$ ground states of the CSSM model possess the symmetries of $B_{c*}$ operator. We conclude that any phase transition occurring at finite $\beta$ cannot be a symmetry breaking transition and thus must be a topological phase transition. In fact, quantum topological phase transition is typically accompanied by long-range entanglement without any symmetry breaking property. The signature of such transitions are encoded in the ground state of the system and are usually studied using tools of quantum information theory such as measures of entanglement as well as fidelity [45–52]. Ground state fidelity as a function of $\beta$ can encode such a transition and thus show a singular behavior. We next calculate such a quantity and show that it is equivalent to the heat capacity of the classical spin model which exhibits a singular behavior at the ferromagnetic phase transition at finite $\beta$, thus establishing the corresponding topological phase transition in the CSSM model.

**Ground state fidelity**— The ground state fidelity will be a function of $\beta$ and $\delta \beta$. However, since $\delta \beta$ is a very small quantity we can expand fidelity in terms of $\delta \beta$. We first consider the exact form of ground state fidelity as follows:

$$F = \frac{\langle CSS_H | \exp\{(\beta + \frac{\delta \beta}{2}) \sum_i Z_i\} | CSS_H \rangle}{\sqrt{Z(\beta)Z(\beta + \delta \beta)}}.$$  

(10)

Since the inner product term in the above relation is again related to a partition function we will have:

$$F = \frac{Z(\beta + \frac{\delta \beta}{2})}{\sqrt{Z(\beta)Z(\beta + \delta \beta)}}.$$  

(11)

After a Taylor expansion for the above equation, we find the following form up to the second-order:

$$F(\beta, \delta \beta) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(Z)}{\partial \beta^2} \delta \beta^2$$  

(12)

where $Z(\beta)$ is the partition function of a classical spin model on the hypergraph $H$. On the other hand, heat capacity of a classical spin model is given by $C_v = \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(Z)}{\partial \beta^2}$ where $\beta$ is the inverse temperature, $\beta = 1/T$. Therefore, we have shown that the ground state fidelity of the CSSM on a hypergraph $H$ is related to the heat capacity of a classical spin model on dual hypergraph $\hat{H}$:

$$F_H(\beta, \delta \beta) \simeq 1 - \frac{C_v \hat{H}}{8\beta^2} \delta \beta^2$$  

(13)

Now, it is known that if a classical spin model has a second-order phase transition at a critical temperature $T_c$, the heat capacity shows a singularity at $T_c$ where it diverges according to $C_v \sim (T - T_c)^{-\alpha}$ where $\alpha$ is a critical exponent of the classical spin model [53]. We conclude that the ground state fidelity of a CSSM on hypergraph $H$ shows a singularity at a critical value of $\beta_c$ if its classical dual shows a phase transition at a finite temperature of $T_c = 1/\beta_c$. Therefore, a second-order phase transition in a ferromagnetic classical spin model...
establishes a quantum phase transition in the corresponding CSSM model, and since any finite \( \beta \) transition is a non-symmetry breaking transition, it must therefore be a topological phase transition, and thus indicating the existence of a topological phase in the CSS state.

**Order parameter considerations**—Since CSS states with a well-defined thermodynamics limit are classified as gapped quantum liquids [28], and since such systems are known to have quantum phase transitions which are categorized as symmetry-breaking, first-order, trivial, or topological, we see that our method leads us to conclude that our quantum phase transition is indeed a topological one. However, we need to prove that our consideration of \( Z_2 \) symmetry is sufficient for the purpose of establishing a topological phase. To this end, we look for an indicator of topological phase transition in the CSSM in a form of an order parameter. First, let us consider the magnetization of the CSSM, defined as \( m_H = \frac{\langle \sum_i Z_i \rangle}{N \beta} \), which can be written as:

\[
m_H = \frac{\langle CSS_H \rangle}{N \beta} \exp\{\beta \langle \sum_i Z_i \rangle \langle CSS_H \rangle\} \tag{14}
\]

We now replace the operator \( \sum_i Z_i \) with a derivative of \( \exp\{\beta \sum_i Z_i \} \). Then, the magnetization of the CSSM is related to the internal energy of a classical ferromagnetic spin model in the following form:

\[
m_H = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \partial Z \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{N} E_H \tag{15}
\]

where \( E_H \) refers to the internal energy of a classical ferromagnetic spin model defined on the hypergraph \( H \). On the other hand, it is known that the internal energy of a ferromagnetic model does not behave like an order parameter as it displays a gradual (smooth) transition from a negative value to zero. Therefore, it is concluded that magnetization of the CSSM cannot be an order parameter, a fact that further implies the topological nature of the above transition.

On the other hand, one might expect to find an order parameter for the CSSM by considering the classical (local) order parameter, \( \langle S_i \rangle \). In the mapping from classical partition function to CSS state, each multi-spin interaction of \( \prod_i S_i \) corresponding to the hyperedge \( e \) is mapped to a Pauli operator \( Z_e \) [34]. Therefore, if we perform an inverse mapping, each spin variable \( S_i \) will be equal to a product of \( Z_e \) operators. Therefore, the order parameter for the quantum phase transition in the CSSM model will be the expectation value of suitable products of \( Z_e \) operators. Note that while such order parameter might be difficult to calculate, one can see that it must exist due to the nature of the inverse mapping. Now if such a quantum order parameter is local, it must possess \( Z_2 \) symmetry, which we have argued is impossible for our model. Therefore it must be non-local, thus proving that a non-local order parameter exist, which is sufficient to establish the existence of a topological phase.

**Discussions**—The fact that quantum entangled states can be mapped to partition function of classical spin models has many important consequences. One that has not attracted much attention in the literature is the consequence of singularities associated with criticality on the classical side. In this Letter we have taken a step in this direction and have found that if the classical dual of a CSS state displays a critical point at a finite temperature \( T \), the CSS state has topological order. We point out that examples of such a correspondence already exists [34]. For example, the toric codes defined on arbitrary graphs are mapped to Ising models with ferromagnetic phase transition. GHZ states which do not have topological order are mapped to one dimensional Ising models which do not show a phase transition. Another example are graph states without any topological order which are mapped to Ising models in presence of magnetic field which do not show a phase transition. However, we have established that given an arbitrary CSS state, one can easily identify whether its classical counterpart has a ferromagnetic phase transition and thus conclude that it must have topological order. This procedure is simple and direct because the existence of critical point is established either by simple observation or, in more complicated case, by numerical simulations.

The problem of identifying topological phases is a challenging open problem. Our work offers some new insights in this regard. For example, new topological states may be found by (inverse) mapping classically critical ferromagnetic models via hypergraphs. Also, our procedure can be used to check some controversial aspects of the topological nature of certain recently proposed CSS states such as X-cube model [54]. Furthermore, the fact that symmetry-breaking phase transition can be used to identify a symmetry preserving topological phase may have important consequences. As pointed out above, the potential for finding a non-local order parameter on the quantum side via mapping of classical local order parameter offers an intriguing possibility. We also note that our CSSM model belongs to the well-known family of stochastic matrix form decomposition [32] where their classical-quantum correspondence has been studied for some two-dimensional models [38]. Our results may reveal other aspects of importance of such family of states for studying topological properties of quantum states.

Another interesting possibility is the observation that fidelity was mapped to the heat capacity whose singularity is characterized by the exponent \( \alpha \). Standard statistical mechanics tells us that the divergence of heat capacity (and other non-analytic behavior) at the critical point are universal in a sense that they depend only on the symmetries of the classical Hamiltonian, and not on the details of interactions, etc. Thus, various different systems fall in the same universality class displaying the same exponents. It is well-known that the scaling of quantum measures such as fidelity at the quantum phase...
transition point can be related to scaling of the correlation length, and that the correlation length exponent can be used to define universality classes [55, 56]. Therefore, the potential of applying the concept of universality, which is based on local symmetries of the classical model, to classify various topological phases offers an interesting prospect.

Finally, we note that the problem of topological phase transition and its relation to a wider class of quantum phase transitions has been studied by various authors before. Here, we have found a mechanism, by choosing a specific perturbation which preserves symmetry, to map a non-local quantum phase transition to a local classical phase transition, and consequently use this mechanism as a diagnosis for the existence of topological phase in an important class of quantum states. Whether one can find similar mechanisms to embrace a more general class of quantum states poses an interesting possibility for future work.
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