Room temperature test of wave-function collapse using a levitated micro-oscillator
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Wave-function collapse models predict a tiny break of energy conservation via a weak spontaneous stochastic force acting on the system, and are attracting experimental studies. Among various physical systems, mechanical based methods provide a direct way to test such collapse induced force without any assumptions on its spectral characteristics. Levitated micro-mechanical oscillator has been recently proposed to be an ideal system. We demonstrated a micro-oscillator generated by a micro-sphere diamagnetically levitated in a magneto-gravitational trap under high vacuum. Due to the ultra-low mechanical dissipation and small mass, the oscillator provides a new upper bound on the wave-function collapse rate, two orders of magnitudes improvement over the previous bound in the same frequency range, and partially ruled out the enhanced collapse rate suggested by Adler. Being performed at room temperature, our experiment has already exhibited advantages over those methods operating at ultra-low temperatures previously reported. Our results experimentally show the potential of magneto-gravitational levitated mechanical oscillator as a promising method for searching wave-function collapse, and cryogenic experiments to further improvements are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The absence of macroscopic quantum superposition haunts and attracts physicists’ interest dating back to the birth of quantum mechanics. Such problem is believed to be rooted in the underlying incompleteness of quantum mechanics. Though several different interpretations are proposed trying to comprehensively handling such a difficulty within the frame of quantum mechanics, however, none of them is satisfactory and provides direct experimental testability [1].

In wave-function collapse models, the modification of the Schrödinger equation is believed to be one practical way to overcome such a difficulty [2], and plenty of proposals have been put forward in past years. These theoretical models have an essential assumption, that is to introduce a mechanism that leads to quantum wave-function collapse, either spontaneously [3–6] or induced by gravity [7, 8], and provide predictions that can be experimentally tested [9]. In particular, the continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) model is one of the mostly studied.

The wave-function collapse causes both coherence loss and incoherent consequence. Coherence loss has been directly studied using molecular interferometers [10–12], while the incoherent consequence is a stochastic force (denoted as collapse force) applied on the test mass [6, 9]. Theoretically suggested strength of the collapse force is weak, which makes experimental tests challenging [3, 13, 14]. Experiments based on heating measurement have been exploited using ultra-cold atoms [15, 16], bulk solid matter at sub-millikelvin regime [17] as well as planetary temperature observation [18], and spontaneous X-ray emission [19]. In these experiments, the collapse models with white noise and the spectral cutoff frequency ranging from kHz [16] to $10^{19}$ Hz [19] were assumed. However, a recent study indicated that the power spectral characteristic itself could play important roles in wave-function collapse test [14].

In contrast, the motional state of a mechanical system, can directly sense the collapse force power spectrum in a wide range of frequencies from sub-mHz to kHz or even higher. The gravitational wave detectors with large test mass and extreme low mechanical damping rate, including ground-based mechanical oscillator detector with detection frequency less than 1 kHz [20], the laser interferometer with detection frequency tens Hz (Advanced LIGO) [21], as well as space-based detector LISA Pathfinder operating at sub-Hz range [22, 23], provide platforms for wave-function collapse tests [24–26]. More recently, the most stringent upper bound of CSL rate has been set at the frequency range of around mHz [23, 26]. On the other hand, micro-scale solid-state force sensors, such as nano-cantilevers, with relative large damping rates but operating at millikelvin temperature, have also provided high precise test of the wave-function collapse [27, 28] at frequencies above kHz. In principle, levitated micro- or nano-mechanical oscillators, having a low damping rate and small test mass, are ideal for studying the wave-function collapse and have recently attracted considerable theoretical interest [26, 29–32]. However,
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**II. PRINCIPLE OF EXPERIMENT**

According to the standard expression of the CSL model [6], wave-function collapse brings in a spontaneous decoherence process and the dynamics of a mechanical oscillator is described by an open quantum system master equation with the Lindblad term in momentum representation as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{CSL}}[\hat{\rho}_{s}(t)] = -\eta [\hat{x}_i, [\hat{x}_i, \hat{\rho}_{s}(t)]]
$$

where $\rho_{s}(t)$ is the density operator corresponding to center motion degree of freedom, $i = x, y, z$ label the motion direction, and

$$
\eta = -\frac{r_c^3 \lambda}{\pi^2 m_0^2} \int d^3 k [k_i^2 e^{-k_i^2/2} |\rho_s(k)|^2]
$$

is a geometry dependent CSL collapse strength (see Appendix A 1 for details). Herein $m_0$ is the atomic mass unit. The parameter $r_c$ is known as the correlation length [6], whose physical meaning is the minimum position difference between two spatial superposition states that is necessary to trigger the collapse, and the mostly suggested value for the CSL model is $r_c = 10^{-7}$ m [3, 5, 9, 13]. The parameter $\lambda$ with unit of s$^{-1}$ characterizes the rate of wave-function collapse. It is of interest in experimental test of CSL model and its theoretical values ranging from $\lambda = 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$ to $10^{-8}$ s$^{-1}$ have been suggested [3, 5, 9, 13, 14]. The physical meaning of $\eta$ is that in a stochastic perturbation, $\hbar^2 \eta$, having a unit of N/Hz, is the power spectral density of force, and so, such a stochastic perturbation can be taken as an effective force $f_{\text{CSL}}(t)$ applied on the oscillator, with $\hbar^2 \eta$ the corresponding CSL force power spectral density (see Appendix A 1 for details). Generally, $\eta$ is a function of the frequency, $\eta(\omega)$ [14].

For a mechanical oscillator with a high quality factor (narrow bandwidth), it is natural to write $\langle f_{\text{CSL}}(t) f_{\text{CSL}}(0) \rangle = \hbar^2 \eta(\omega) \delta(t)$ near the resonance point $\omega_0$ ($\eta(\omega_0)$ denoted as $\eta$ hereafter), so the dynamics of the oscillator is described using a classical equation of motion of harmonic oscillator (with the direction label $i$ dropped in the case of one specific direction) is:

$$
m \ddot{x} + m \gamma \dot{x} + kx = f_{\text{th}}(t) + f_{\text{CSL}}(t),
$$

with $m$ the mass, $\gamma / 2\pi$ the damping rate, $k = m \omega_0^2$ the spring constant. The first term in the right hand side is the thermal Brownian noise, whose fluctuation dissipation is $\langle f_{\text{th}}(t) f_{\text{th}}(0) \rangle = S_{\text{th}}(\omega_0) \delta(t)$, with $S_{\text{th}}(\omega_0) = 2 \gamma m k_B T_{\text{env}}$ the power spectral density of thermal force noise. Here double sided spectral density is employed and $S_{\text{th}}(\omega_0)$ is denoted as $S_{\text{th}}$ for brief hereafter, and $T_{\text{env}}$ is the environment temperature [33]. For a system under thermodynamic equilibrium, the inclusion of the collapse force $f_{\text{CSL}}(t)$ leads to an increase of effective temperature, i.e., $T_{\text{eff}} = T_{\text{env}} + T_{\text{cs}}$ with $T_{\text{cs}}$ the CSL induced temperature rise satisfying the relation $2 \gamma m k_B T_{\text{cs}} = \hbar^2 \eta$. Here

![FIG. 1. Experiment principle and setup. (a), Scheme of the oscillator dynamics, a micro-sphere in the magneto-gravitational potential (black curve) is driven by force noise into Brownian motion with an effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$. In absence of CSL collapse force $f_{\text{cs}}$, the thermal Brownian force $f_{\text{th}}$ leads to an effective $T_{\text{eff}}$ equal to the environmental temperature $T_{\text{env}}$, while with a possible $f_{\text{cs}}$ added, the effective temperature will rise by $T_{\text{cs}}$. The smaller the oscillator damping rate $\gamma / 2\pi$ is, the higher the effective temperature will be; (b) experiment setup, a diamagnetic micro-sphere is levitated in a magneto-gravitational trap generated by a set of permanent magnets (color indicating the polarity, see Appendix A 3 for details). A laser is focused on the micro-sphere and an electric field is applied to determine the charge state of the micro-sphere. The whole setup is placed in a vacuum chamber, whose temperature is controlled (see Appendix A 3 for details). (c), optical image of the micro-sphere used in our experiment with a radius of 1 $\mu$m (scale bar being 5 $\mu$m).](image-url)
the assumption of \( f_{th}(t) \) and \( f_{cal}(t) \) being independent is naturally made. For a harmonic oscillator, statistical distribution of position follows the Gaussian distribution as \( P(x) \propto \exp(-x^2/2\sigma^2) \), which is directly measured in experiment. The effective \( T_{\text{eff}} \) can then be directly calculated with \( k_B T_{\text{eff}} = k \sigma^2 \). Fig. 1 (a) shows the intuitive picture of dynamics under thermodynamic equilibrium of a magneto-gravitationally levitated micro-sphere that used in this experiment.

In physical practices, other sources of noise, such as the measurement back-action, also contributes \([34]\) to the total noise (see Appendix A 2 for discussions on different noise processes). The power spectral density of the total force noise is defined as \( S_{\text{total}} = 2\gamma m k_B T_{\text{eff}} \), which is calculated from the measured \( T_{\text{eff}} \). By subtracting the thermal Brownian counterpart \( S_{\text{th}} \), we then obtain the power spectral density of addition force noise, \( \delta S_{\text{total}} = S_{\text{cal}} + S_{\text{mea}} + \text{etc} \), where \( S_{\text{mea}} \) denotes the measurement noise. Therefore, \( \delta S_{\text{total}} = 2\gamma m k_B \delta T \) provides the estimation of the upper bound of the CSL force as \( h^2 \eta \leq \delta S_{\text{total}} \), with \( \delta T = T_{\text{eff}} - T_{\text{env}} \) the rise of effective temperature. Correspondingly, the upper bounds of the wave-function collapse rate \( \lambda \) is calculated from the collapse strength \( \eta \) for micro-sphere geometry with radius slightly larger than \( r_c \), and the obtained expression is (see Appendix A 1 for the derivation):

\[
\lambda \leq \frac{3m_0^2}{32\pi^2 h^2 \rho^2 r_c^2} \delta S_{\text{total}}. \tag{4}
\]

Hence, we found that, apart from a barely tunable material density \( \rho \), the ability to measure the tiny force noise beyond thermal Brownian force limits the sensitivity of wave-function collapse test. Different methods for such noise signal sensing have been developed for such purposes \([34–37]\).

### III. EXPERIMENT DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

The diamagnetic levitation using magneto-gravitational force has been studied previously based on either superconductor \([38]\) or permanent magnets \([39]\), the magneto-gravitational trap (denoted as the trap hereafter) used in this experiment is generated by a set of micro-machined NdFeB micro-magnets with geometry shown in Fig. 1 (b). (see Appendix A 3 for the design of the trap). The oscillator is a micro-sphere of polyethylene glycol levitated in the trap. The micro-sphere is generated by a home-built nebulizer, and its material density is \( 1.1 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3 \). A laser of 633 nm wavelength is focused on the droplet with a power less than 50 \( \mu \)watts, and the scattered light from the micro-sphere is collected with an objective. The position of the micro-sphere is recorded with a CCD camera, and its motion signal in time domain is measured with a photon detector. The trap is mounted on a heave copper frame, which is suspended in a vacuum chamber by springs, so that the external vibrations are isolated. A double layer vacuum chamber is used for temperature control to maintain the temperature of the vacuum chamber at 298 K by using a PID temperature controller, which is slightly above the ambient temperature of the Lab. The charge on the micro-sphere is eliminated by using ultra-violet light and the charge state is checked via a micro-electrode made of a gold wire of 40 \( \mu \)m in diameter placed near the trapping centre. By applying a voltage about 50 V, the micro-sphere with radius less than 2 \( \mu \)m
can be easily pulled out of the trap if the net charge is nonzero (see Appendix B1 for details).

At room temperature, the micro-sphere with radius less than 500 nm is found to escape the trap. Such escape is due to the thermal fluctuation, which is limited by the depth of the trap (see Appendix A3 for detail). For micro-sphere with radius $R$ close to 1 μm, direct optical image failed to provided reliably estimation, and we determined the size of the micro-sphere based on the following two methods. In the first one, we make use of the relation of micro-sphere oscillation damping rate $\gamma$ and the pressure as $\gamma = (16/\pi)(P/\nu R \rho)$ [40], with $P$ and $\nu$ being the background gas pressure and the mean speed, respectively. In doing so, the pressure was set to $P \sim 10^{-3}$ mbar, so that the damping was fully dominant by the background gas. The second is simply using the equipartition theorem. We measured the oscillators displacement distribution, which follows the Gaussian distribution, from which the standard deviation $\sigma$ was extracted and, equipartition theorem leads to relation $4\pi\sigma^2 P R^3 \omega_0^2 = 3k_B T_{\text{env}}$, with $T_{\text{env}}$ the environment temperature. The results from the two methods agree with each other well, and the micro-sphere that used in the following experiments has a radius $R = 1.0$ μm, corresponding to 4.7 femto-grams in mass, as shown in Fig. 1 (c).

After successfully capturing the micro-sphere and eliminating the charge on the micro-sphere, we shall realize the measurement of the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$. Firstly, we set a medium vacuum ($P_{3\text{HV}} \sim 10^{-4}$ mbar), and measured the position distribution of the micro-sphere in $x$-$y$ plane. Typical measured data with a duration of a few minutes are plotted in Fig. 2, which shows a shape of ellipse that can be well-fitted with two dimensional Gaussian distribution, whose long axis (axis 1) and short (axis 2) standard deviations are denoted as $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$, respectively. The energy equipartition theorem $\sigma_1^2/\sigma_2^2 = \omega_1/\omega_2$ is well satisfied within the measurement error, where $\omega_1 = 12.9$ Hz and $\omega_2 = 9.3$ Hz are the corresponding resonance frequency (see Appendix B2 for power spectral density of displacement). The effective temperature is then calculated as $T_{\text{eff}} = m\omega_1^2\sigma_1^2/k_B$ (equally, $m\omega_2^2\sigma_2^2/k_B$). Since at a medium vacuum, the thermal Brownian force noise from the background gas fully dominates the total force noise, $S_{\text{total}} = S_{\text{th}}$ fulfills. This is also confirmed by the micro-sphere radius measurement described above. Then we calibration the environment temperature as $T_{\text{env}} = T_{\text{eff}}$. Without loss of generality, we make use of mode 1 ($\omega_1/2\pi \approx 12.9$ Hz) for the following measurement.

Next, we shall measure the dissipation of the oscillator characterized by dissipation coefficient $m\gamma$, which is another key factor that determines the thermal Brownian noise strength. Firstly, we note that, for a pressure $P < 10^{-5}$ mbar, the power spectral density of thermal motion $S_T(\omega)$ shows strong asymmetric character, which deviates substantially from a Lorentz curve shape, and is considerably broadened compared to that estimated from the background gas. Such a characteristic has been studied [41] and is due to the nonlinearity of the trap. We make use of the autocorrelation of energy defined as $\langle X(t)^2 X(0)^2 \rangle$, with $X(t)$ being the amplitude of oscillation, to estimate $\gamma$ by following the procedure [42], which is insensitive to the nonlinearity of the trap (see Appendix B2 for details), and the measured autocorrelation curve is fitted to the exponentially decay $\exp(-t/\tau)$, and then we obtain the damping rate $\gamma/2\pi$ with $\gamma = 1/\tau$. Fig. 3 (a) shows the measured autocorrelation of energy under various pressures. At the highest vacuum $P_{3\text{HV}} \approx 4 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar, the measured decay time $\tau \approx 4700$ s, corresponding to a damping rate $\gamma/2\pi \approx 34$ μHz. We also find that the damping rate decreases linearly as the pressure decreases, which shows that the background gas remains to be the dominant factor for dissipation in current system, as shown in Fig. 3 (b). Combining the measured effective damping rate $\gamma/2\pi$ and temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, the force sensitivity of the oscillator in high vacuum is estimated and then we obtain $\sqrt{S_{\text{total}}} = 9.6 \times 10^{-20}$ N/√Hz.

Finally, we obtain the measured CSL collapse rate $\lambda$ and the main results are summarized in Table 1. The procedure is as follows. The measured power spectral density of addition force noise $\delta S_{\text{total}} = 2m\gamma^2 k_B \delta T$ is estimated as described above, where $\gamma$ is assigned the value from the measured at high vacuum, the temperature difference is $\delta T = T_{\text{eff}} - T_{\text{env}}$, with $T_{\text{eff}}$ being calculated from the standard deviation of position distribution $\sigma$ measured at high vacuum, and the environment temperature $T_{\text{env}}$ is taken from the effective temperature measured at medium vacuum as described above. Then we obtain the upper bound of the power spectral density of addition force noise $\sqrt{\delta S_{\text{total}}} < 4.1 \times 10^{-20}$ N/√Hz at the 95% confidence level (see Appendix B3 for details of effective temperature measurement and error estimation), and the CSL collapse rate $\lambda$ is calculated according to (4), Fig. 4 shows the comparison of measured results from different methods operating at different frequency at correlation length $r_c = 10^{-7}$ m, especially, the typical working frequency of magneto-gravitational trap overlaps with the laser interferometer based gravitational detector Advanced LIGO [21] and the upper bound provided by our system is improved by more than two orders of magnitudes over those of the Advanced LIGO [24]. The upper bound provided by this experiment also partially rules out the enhanced collapse rate suggested by Adler at correlation length $r_c = 10^{-7}$ m, as shown in Fig. 4. It nearly exclude Adler’s collapse rate if $r_c = 10^{-6}$ m were assumed [13].

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In summary, the micro-levitated oscillator has been theoretically proposed to be an ideal system for wavefunction collapse test [26, 29–32], and we demonstrated here at room temperature with an experiment based on
magneto-gravitational levitated micro-oscillator. A newly upper bound is obtained experimentally, which is an significant improvement over previous values at the same frequency range, and the Adlers bound has been partially beaten. The system reported here would show great potential compared to previously reported methods if it were operating at low temperatures.

The performance of current experiment is mainly limited by three factors which could be improved significantly at low temperature. Firstly, the effective temperature measurement precision is worse than 1K but is expected to reach millikelvin if the experiment is carried out under cryogenic conditions. Different from other kinds of levitated micro-oscillators, such as electric levitation [43] and optical levitation [40, 44, 45], our magneto-gravitational trap is fully passive without energy input and so is naturally suitable for low temperature condition. Secondly, the minimum radius of the micro-sphere in this experiment is currently limited by a large room temperature thermal energy, while at low temperature, much smaller micro-sphere could be stably trapped and so better precision could be reached. And thirdly, the dissipation reported here is observed to be constraint by the pressure. While room-temperature experiment shows that higher vacuum does not lead to significantly improvement of dissipation [39], it is yet to be explored whether there is room for improvement at a much low temperature environment. This work opens a new door for precise study of wave-function collapse and may provide promising way towards breakthrough discoveries in the future.
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Appendix A: Theory

1. ESTIMATION OF CSL COLLAPSE FORCE

Here is the expression of CSL collapse strength [46]:

\[
\eta = -\frac{r_c^3 \lambda}{\pi^2 m_0^3} \int d^3k |k|^2 e^{-k^2 r_c^2} |\rho_s(k)|^2,
\]

(A1)

where \(i = x, y, z\) and \(k\) is the label of axis, \(m_0\) is the atomic mass unit, \(k = |k|,\) with \(k = (k_x, k_y, k_z),\) and \(\rho_s(k)\) is the Fourier transformation of the mass density \(\rho_s(r),\) i.e., \(\rho_s(k) = \int d^3r e^{ik \cdot r} \rho_s(r),\) where the integral regime in \(\rho_s(k)\) is a micro-sphere of radius \(R.\) Hence, we obtain the following expression:

\[
\rho_s(k) = \frac{3m[\sin(kR) - kR \cos(kR)]}{(kR)^3}.
\]

(A2)

Substituting \(\rho_s(k)\) into Eq. (A1), we obtain

\[
\eta = \frac{6r_c^4 \lambda m^2}{m_0^3 R^6} \left[ e^{-\frac{(kR)^2}{2}} - 1 + \frac{R^2}{2r_c^2} \left( e^{-\frac{(kR)^2}{2}} - 1 \right) \right].
\]

(A3)

The effective stochastic force \(f_{\text{cal}}(t)\) of CSL model is then defined by the autocorrelation function \(f_{\text{cal}}(t)f_{\text{cal}}(0) = \hbar^2 \eta \delta(t),\) and correspondingly, CSL induced temperature rise satisfies the relation \(2m \gamma k_B T_{\text{cal}} = \hbar^2 \eta.\) For a microsphere radius \(R\) slightly larger than the correlation length (for example \(r_c < R < 3r_c\)), we obtain an approximation of the CSL model collapse rate \(\lambda\) as

\[
\lambda \approx \frac{3m_0^2}{32 \pi^2 \rho^2 r_c^7} \eta.
\]

(A4)

On the other hand, when \(R \gg r_c,\) we get

\[
\lambda \approx \frac{3m_0^2}{16 \pi^2 \rho^2 r_c^7} \eta.
\]

(A5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\delta T) (K)</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\delta T}) (K)</th>
<th>(\sqrt{\delta S_{\text{total}}} \times 10^{-20} N/\sqrt{\text{Hz}})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\sqrt{\delta S_{\text{total}}}} \times 10^{-20} N/\sqrt{\text{Hz}})</th>
<th>(\lambda) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\lambda) (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>(10^{-6.2})</td>
<td>(10^{-7.2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) \(\delta T < \sigma_{\delta T}\) at the 95\% confidence level
\(b\) \(\sqrt{\delta S_{\text{total}} < \sigma_{\sqrt{\delta S_{\text{total}}} at the 95\% confidence level\n\(c\) upper bound at the 95\% confidence level for \(r_c = 10^{-7}m,\) collapse rate of Adler: \(10^{-9.7} < \lambda < 10^{-5.7}\)
\(d\) upper bound at the 95\% confidence level for \(r_c = 10^{-6}m,\) collapse rate of Adler: \(10^{-7.7} < \lambda < 10^{-3.7}\)
FIG. 3. Measurement of damping rate. (a), autocorrelation of the oscillator energy measured at various pressures (given in (b)) and fitting of the data with an exponential decay $\exp(-t/\tau)$. The decay time $\tau$ is determined for each curve by the best fitting. Total averaged time typically 50 times longer than $\tau$ was carried out for each curve to achieve good signal-to-noise ratio for each curve. (b), dependence of damping rate $\gamma/2\pi = 1/(2\pi\tau)$ on pressure, where $\tau$ is obtained in (a). The gray line is a linear fit, where the vertical and horizontal error bars are due to fitting error and the pressure imprecision of the vacuum gauge, respectively.

2. CALCULATION OF FLUCTUATION DYNAMICS

The system was modeled by a classical mechanical oscillator with the motion described by the Langevin equation in vectorial form:

$$m\ddot{x} + m\sum_i \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{x} + o(x^3) = f_{\text{th}}(t) + f_{\text{coll}}(t) + f_{\text{add}}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$, $m$ is mass of the oscillator, $\mathbf{K}_i$ is the damping rate diagonal matrix with element $\gamma_{ii}$ ($i$ correspondingly to $x$, $y$ and $z$). In the scenario that the background gas damping dominates, $\gamma_{ii}$’s are isotropic and satisfy $\gamma_{ii} = \gamma$. Similarly, $\mathbf{K}$ is the diagonal matrix of the effective spring constants with element $k_{ii} = m\omega_i^2$ and $\omega_i^2$ is the resonance frequency of the oscillator, $o(x^3)$ includes the higher order terms beyond linear oscillator, such as Duffing nonlinearity $\alpha_i x_i^3$ and nonlinear coupling between different motions as $\beta_{ij} x_i x_j^2$ etc. The right side of the equation is a sum of fluctuation force noises, including the thermal fluctuation $f_{\text{th}}(t)$, possibly the CSL collapse induced stochastic force $f_{\text{coll}}(t)$, and an additional force noise due to the optical force $f_{\text{opt}}(t)$ of the illumination light and the mechanical vibration noise $f_{\text{mech}}(t)$ and so forth.

Considering the motion in a single direction and dropping the direction label $i$, we next estimated the noise in the system. Firstly, the thermal force noise was estimated using fluctuation dissipation theorem and satisfies the relation $\langle f_{\text{th}}(t)f_{\text{th}}(0) \rangle = 2mk_B T_{\text{env}}\delta(t)$, here $T_{\text{env}}$ is environmental temperature. Equivalently, the strength can be described by power spectral density $S_{\text{th}}(\omega) = 2mk_B T_{\text{env}}$. Secondly, the optical force noise was written as $f_{\text{opt}}(t) = f_{\text{int}}(t) + f_{\text{sc}}(t)$. The first term $f_{\text{int}}(t)$ is the classic optical force due to intensity fluctuation, including both the illumination light intensity fluctuation $\delta I(t)$ and the position fluctuation of the light spot $x_{\text{opt}}(t)$ relative to the magneto-gravitational trap. The illumination light intensity fluctuation induced force can be expressed by $-\alpha \nabla \nabla \cdot \nabla \xi(x_0) \delta I(t)/4$ and the light spot position fluctuation induced force can be expressed as $-\alpha I \nabla \cdot \nabla \xi(x_0) \cdot \delta x_{\text{opt}}(t)$, with $x_0$ the trapping position, $\xi(x_0)$ the normalized light field distribution function, and $I$ the average intensity of the illumination light.

The second term $f_{\text{sc}}(t)$ is the stochastic force due to photon scattering. Thirdly, the parametric noise that is generated from the illumination light intensity, which will lead...
to a fluctuation of the spring constant \( k \) via optical force, and is proportional to \( \delta I(t) \) [47].

We solved the Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density to obtain the statistics behaviors of the system. To do this, the Langevin equations of motion in single direction is written as:

\[
m\ddot{x} + m\gamma \dot{x} + m\omega_0^2 x + m\omega^2_0 \zeta(t)x = f_{\text{total}}(t),
\]

where the parametric fluctuation \( \zeta(t) \) was approximately taken as white noise and satisfied \( \langle \zeta(t)\zeta(0) \rangle = \delta(t) \), \( f_{\text{total}}(t) \) is the total force noise equaling to \( f_{\text{opt}}(t) + f_{\text{ext}}(t) \) and was also taken as white noise as \( \langle f_{\text{total}}(t)f_{\text{total}}(0) \rangle = 2m\gamma k_B T_{\text{eff}} \delta(t) \), with \( T_{\text{eff}} \) the effective temperature. It is noted that the \( f_{\text{total}}(t) \) and \( \zeta(t) \) are not strictly independent, because both of them contain the contribution from the illumination light intensity fluctuation \( \delta I(t) \). However, such a contribution in \( f_{\text{total}}(t) \) is small, and so we took approximately the total density to obtain the statistics behaviors of the \( \delta I \).

Here, the first term is the diamagnetic potential, with \( \chi \) and \( v \) being the magnetic susceptibility and volume of the micro-sphere; the second term is the gravitational potential, with \( m \) the mass of the micro-sphere and \( z \) being taken to the direction of gravity; the third term is the optical gradient force, with \( \alpha' \) the real component of the polarisability, \( I \) the light field intensity proportional to the light power, and \( \xi(x) \) the normalized light field distribution function. The conditions that a diamagnetism micro-sphere can be stably trapped in equilibrium position \( x_0 \) are:

\[
F(x_0) = -\nabla U(x_0) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot F(x_0) < 0.
\]

Here \( F(x) \) is the total force of the potential. Near the equilibrium position \( x_0 \), the potential can be approximated expressed in quadratic form of the displacement \( x \) from \( x_0 \) as \( U(x + x_0) \approx \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 U(x_0)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} x_i x_j \). which can be put into a diagonalised form of the sum of three independent harmonic oscillators, i.e.,

\[
U(x + x_0) \approx \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} k_i x_i^2.
\]

where \( k_i \)'s are the effective spring constants, leading to estimations of the characteristic frequencies of the oscillators as \( \omega_i = \sqrt{k_i/m} \). The constant term in \( U \) is dropped for convenience. The optical field will also generate an effective potential via the optical force, although such an effective is much smaller and can be dropped. Hence, in the trap design, only the magnetic and the gravitational energies were taken into account. The potential function was calculated using a finite element simulation and the result is plotted in Fig. 5.

### Appendix B: Experiment

#### 1. EXPERIMENTS SETUP AND MIRO-SHHERE GENERATION

The experiments system is shown in Fig. 6, where the magneto-gravitational trap is held by springs specially designed in a vacuum system, with temperature of the inner chamber monitored and controlled to be slightly above the room temperature, and the pressure controlled by a turbomolecular pump of tunable rotation speed. A CCD camera was used to detect the position of the micro-sphere, with its magnification \( M \) of the detection optics being calibrated by a standard micro-structure so that the displacement in \( x-y \) plane of the micro-sphere \( x = x'/M \), where \( x' \) is the displacement of the micro-sphere image read by the CCD camera. Hence, the thermal distribution was obtained. A photodetector was used to detect the position dependent scattering light intensity \( I_{\text{sc}} \), which is proportional to the illumination light \( I \) as \( I_{\text{sc}} \propto I(\mathbf{x} + x_0) \). Since the thermal motion is much...
larger compared with the wavelength, such a detection scheme is efficient. The power spectral density $S_x(\omega)$ is then calculated from the output photon detector voltage, $S_x(\omega) \propto S_V(\omega)$, with $S_V(\omega)$ the power spectral density of the output voltage. For high quality factor oscillators, the detection nonlinearity does not influence the results.

The micro-sphere used in our experiment is a small polyethylene glycol 400 droplet. To generate such a droplet with a desirable diameter, we first mixed polyethylene glycol 400 with dibutyl sebacate (DBS) and ethanol in the proportion of 1 : 27 : 1000 (volume ratio). Droplets of the suspension were sprayed into the trap using a home build piezo-atomizer at atmospheric pressure, ethanol rapidly evaporated in several seconds and droplet with typical diameter of 3 to 7 µm were obtained. Next, a moderate voltage about a few tens of volts was applied while the displacement of the droplet was monitored, an ionizing radiation source (Americium-241) was brought near the droplet, after exposing to the radiation for a few seconds, the charge of the droplet changed randomly. Once a positively charged droplet was obtained, the pressure was gradually decreased to $10^{-6}$ mbar for one day, and then DBS fully evaporated and the diameter of the micro-sphere would not change anymore. Next, an ultra-violet light was used to slowly eliminate the positive charge until the droplet became fully neutralized. This was determined as follows: for a micro-sphere with only a few electron charges, jumps in voltage-displacement response became clear, and eventually the responses would drop to zero when the net charge would be zero under an applied voltage larger than 50 V. We also observed that the charge state was stable in vacuum ($P < 10^{-4}$ mbar) for very long time (tens of days or even longer).

2. INFLUENCE OF NONLINEARITY ON MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The nonlinear term in Eq. (A6) becomes important for a motion with large amplitude. For simplicity, we consider the term $\alpha x^3$ but omit the coupling terms $\beta_{i,j}x_i^2x_j$ first, and then the oscillator is described by a Duffing oscillator with the following equation of motion:

$$m\ddot{x} + m\gamma \dot{x} + kx + \alpha x^3 = f_{\text{total}}(t). \quad \text{(B1)}$$
Then, the change of the total energy of the oscillator follows

\[
\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial p} dp = \frac{\gamma p^2}{m} dt. \tag{B4}
\]

Next, we consider a short period during which the dissipation is negligible so the motion of the system can be written as

\[
x(t) = X(t) \left[ \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\kappa X^2(t)}{12} \cos(3\omega t) + o(\kappa^2 X^4(t)) \right]. \tag{B5}
\]

Here, \(X(t)\) is a vibrational amplitude that is slowly varying, \(\kappa = 3\alpha/8m\omega_0^2\) and \(\omega\) is an amplitude dependent oscillation frequency which shift from resonance frequency \(\omega_0\) as:

\[
\omega = \omega_0(1 + \kappa X^2(t)), \tag{B6}
\]

as \(X(t)\) goes to zero, we have \(x(t) \approx X(t)\cos(\omega t)\), as expected.

Then, we define the average kinetic energy \(T\) and average potential energy \(V\) as

\[
T = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2(t) dt, \tag{B7}
\]

\[
V = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} m \omega_0^2 x^2(t) + \frac{1}{4} \alpha x^4(t)\right] dt, \tag{B8}
\]

with \(\tau\) much shorter than \(1/\gamma\) but much longer than \(1/\omega\), which can be satisfied for system with a large quality factor \(Q = \omega/\gamma\). By averaging Eq. (B4) as \(dx/dt = -2\gamma T\), we obtain the differential equation of \(X^2(t)\) as:

\[
\frac{dX^2(t)}{dt} = -\gamma X^2(t) \left[1 - \kappa X^2(t) + O(\kappa^2 X^4(t))\right]. \tag{B9}
\]

Dropping higher orders \(O(\kappa^2 X^4(t))\), we obtain the solution of Eq. (B9) as

\[
X^2(t) \left[\kappa - \left(\frac{\kappa X^2(0) - 1}{X^2(0)}\right) e^{\gamma t}\right] = 1, \tag{B10}
\]

Then, as \(X(t)\) goes to zero, the solution goes to exponential decay. Eq. (B10) can be expanded as follows:

\[
X^2(t) = X^2(0) e^{-\gamma t} \left[1 - \kappa X^2(0)(e^{-\gamma t} - 1) + \ldots\right]. \tag{B11}
\]

Next, we introduce a stochastic force \(f_{\text{total}}(t)\), and define the autocorrelation function of \(X^2(t)\) as:

\[
G_{X^2}(t) = \langle X^2(t) X^2(0) \rangle. \tag{B12}
\]

It can be calculated approximately as

\[
G_{X^2}(t) = \langle X^4(0) e^{-\gamma t} \left[1 - \kappa X^2(0)(e^{-\gamma t} - 1) + \ldots\right] \rangle. \tag{B13}
\]

In experiment, \(X^2(t)\) is directly measured from the power spectral density \(S_x(\omega)\) by following standard procedures [49, 50] as \(X^2(t) = S_x(\omega)b\), where \(b\) is the sampling bandwidth satisfying \(\gamma < b < \omega_0\). We also define the following normalized autocorrelation:

\[
R_{X^2}(t) = \frac{\langle X^2(t) X^2(0) \rangle}{\langle X^2(0) \rangle}. \tag{B14}
\]
which is used to estimate the damping rate $\gamma/2\pi$.

In our system, the nonlinearity effect is not only from the term $ax^3$, but also from the coupling of motion of different axis as $\beta_{ij} x_i x_j$. Here we numerical calculate the effects based on two-mode coupling from the equation of motion:

$$m\ddot{x}_1 + m\gamma \dot{x}_1 + m\omega^2 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_1^3 + \beta x_2 x_1 = f_1(t)$$

$$m\ddot{x}_2 + m\gamma \dot{x}_2 + m\omega^2 x_2 + \alpha_2 x_2^3 + \beta x_1 x_2 = f_2(t).$$

(B15)

Here modes 1 and 2 correspond to motions in $x$-$y$ plane, while the motion along $z$ axis is neglected, $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ are independent white noise with power spectral density $S_{1,2}$ equal to that of the thermal Brownian noise measured experimentally, and the values $m$, $\gamma$ and $\omega_{1,2}$ are directly obtained from experiments, and the nonlinearity coefficients $\alpha_{1,2}$ and $\beta$ are tuned so that the power spectral density $S_x(\omega)$ of numerical simulation and experiments agree well with each other in full width at half maximum and shape, as shown in Fig. 7, where the corresponding values of nonlinearity coefficient $\alpha_1 = -6.4 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}^2$, $\alpha_2 = -2.1 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}^2$ and $\beta = 6.4 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}^2$. $R_X(t)$ under medium and high vacuum are numerically calculated and the results are shown in Fig. 8. The data are fitted to the exponential decay $\exp(-t/\tau)$, producing the damping rates $\gamma = 1/\tau$, which agree well with the values used in numerical simulations (see Table II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\gamma$ fitted (nonlinear)</th>
<th>$\gamma$ fitted (linear)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. ERROR ESTIMATION

In order to estimate the error of the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ from the measured position distribution, the displacement distributions of oscillation mode 1 (12.9 Hz) under high vacuum (HV) and medium vacuum (MV) were recorded [Fig. 9 (a) and (b)]. The results are fitted to Gaussian distribution to give the standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{HV}}(\sigma_{\text{MV}})$, from which the effective temperatures $T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{HV}}$ ($T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MV}}$) are obtained. For a given measurement time $t_{\text{mea}}$, the standard deviation of measured effective temperature $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ can be derived by following the procedure in Refs. [51] and [52]. The results for medium vacuum (MV) and high vacuum (HV) cases are plotted in Fig. 9 (c) and (d), respectively, as functions of $t_{\text{mea}}$. Theoretically, the relative standard deviation of effective temperature as a function of measurement time $t_{\text{mea}}$ satisfies the relation

$$\frac{\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}(t_{\text{mea}})}{T_{\text{eff}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma t_{\text{mea}}}}. $$

(B16)

and is plotted in Fig. 9 (c) and (d) as straight lines. The measured data agree very well with theory. Finally, the uncertainty of the effective temperature $\sigma_{\delta T_{\text{eff}}}$ is estimated using Eq. (B16) by taking $t_{\text{mea}} = t_{\text{total}}$, the total measurement time. In particular, the total data acquisition time at high vacuum is $9.5 \times 10^5 \text{ s}$ (about 11 days), which can be further extended to reduce uncertainty but has not been done for practical reasons. The effective temperature measured in a medium vacuum is taken as the environment temperature $T_{\text{MV}} = T_{\text{env}}$ and the temperature difference is $\delta T = T_{\text{MH}}^{\text{HV}} - T_{\text{MH}}^{\text{MV}}$. To estimate the upper bound of $\delta T$, $T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{HV}}$ and $T_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MV}}$ is treated as independent and both follow Gaussian distributions, with their corresponding standard deviations $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ obtained from measured data [Fig. 9 (c) and (d)]. The threshold $\sigma_{ST}$ defined by the 95% confidence level of $\delta T < \sigma_{ST}$ is given in Table III. We note that the measured effective temperature does not coincide with the temperature (298 K) measured by the thermometer in the vacuum chamber. While such a bias is due to the uncertainty in measuring the absolute displacement of the oscillator, there is an uncertainty of less than a few percent in determining the magnification $M$ of the detection optics, so is in the micro-sphere’s absolute displacement as $x = x/M$. This uncertainty is constant in the whole experiment process and only brings about a small error (a few percent) of the final results. As the power spectral density of addition force noise is defined as $\delta S_{\text{total}} = 2m\gamma k_B\delta T$, we then estimate its upper bound as $\delta S_{\text{total}} < 2m\gamma k_B\sigma_{ST}$.

FIG. 8. Influence of nonlinearity on autocorrelation of oscillator energy defined as $(X^2(t)X^2(0))$ (normalized as per Eq. (B14)). (a) and (b), numerical simulated autocorrelation (light thick curves) under high vacuum with $\gamma/2\pi = 0.0004 \text{ Hz}$ when the nonlinearity is excluded and included, respectively. The results are then fitted to the exponential decay $\exp(-t/\tau)$ (thin curves), which recover the damping rate $\gamma/2\pi$ that are almost the same as the input ones (see Table II for the values). (c) and (d), medium vacuum counterparts of (a) and (b), with a larger damping rate $\gamma/2\pi = 0.4 \text{ Hz}$. It is found that the recovered damping rates with and without nonlinearity both agree well with the input values.
Finally, we obtain the upper bounds of the CSL collapse rate $\lambda$ from Eq. (A3) by using the upper bound of the CSL collapse strength $\eta$ given by $\hbar^2 \eta < 2m \gamma k_B \sigma_T$.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_{HV}^{eff}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{T_{HV}^{eff}}$</th>
<th>$T_{MV}^{eff}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{T_{MV}^{eff}}$</th>
<th>$\delta T$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\delta T}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>297.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>291.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{MV}^{eff}$ is taken as reference of environment temperature: $T_{MV}^{eff} = T_{env}$

$\delta T < \sigma_{\delta T}$ at the 95% confidence level


FIG. 9. Estimation of $\Delta \sigma(t)$, i.e., error of the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the measured displacement data. (a), probability distribution of the displacement measured under medium vacuum ($P \approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar) and its fitting with a Gaussian distribution function of standard deviation $\sigma$. (b), same as (a) but measured under high vacuum ($P \approx 5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar). (c), relative standard deviation of effective temperature $\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}(t_{\text{mea}})/T_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of acquisition time $t_{\text{mea}}$ at medium vacuum. The total measurement time is about $7.5 \times 10^4$ s, and the curve shows the theory value according to Eq. (B16). (d), same as (c) but measured at high vacuum. The total measurement time is about $9.5 \times 10^5$ s.