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Majorana fermions subject to the non-Abelian braid group are believed to be the basic ingredients of future topological quantum computations. In this work, we propose to simulate Majorana fermions of the Kitaev model in electric circuits. We generate an arbitrary number of segments in a Kitaev chain which are in the topologically nontrivial phase. An edge state emerges between a topological sector and a trivial sector. It is possible to braid any pair of edge states with the aid of T-junction geometry. By calculating the Berry phase acquired by their eigenfunctions, we demonstrate that they satisfy the braid relations although they are not fermions. We may call them Majorana-like edge states. It is intriguing that we may design a network of Kitaev chains on the square and cubic lattices, where we may create an arbitrary large number of Majorana-like edge states, paving a way to scalable topological quantum computations based on electric circuits.

The braid group is expected to play a key role in future topological quantum computations. Majorana-fermion edge states emerging in topological superconductors are the best candidates. It is widely believed that the braid relations follow from the anti-commutation relations of the Majorana fermions. They are known to hold in the $p_x + ip_y$-wave topological superconductors and in the T junction of the Kitaev $p$-wave topological superconductor. However, an experimental realization of the braiding of Majorana fermions still remains challenging.

Electric circuits realize various topological phases. Very recently, the braiding of Majorana-like corner states was shown to be possible in electric circuits. Although electric circuits are classical systems, we may simulate topological superconductors hosting Majorana-like corner states. Indeed, we have derived the relation $\sigma^2 = -1$ by calculating the Berry phase, where $\sigma$ denotes a single braid of two topological corner states. It indicates that a topological corner state is an Ising anyon. Note that the relation $\sigma^2 = 1$ holds both for bosons and fermions. However, the single braid $\sigma$ is impossible in this model. This is because the braiding is caused by an applied field and the direction of the field becomes opposite after a single braid. Another problem is that it is not clear how to braid more than two topological corner states.

In this paper, we propose to realize the braiding of Majorana-like edge states by simulating the T-junction of the Kitaev model in electric circuits. We verify that topological edge states satisfy the braid relations. Furthermore, we explore the braiding of an arbitrary number of topological edge states on the square and cubic lattices made of Kitaev chains, paving a way to scalable topological quantum computations based on electric circuits.

The Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian is written as

$$\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{k})H(\mathbf{k})\Psi(\mathbf{k}),$$

(1)

with the Nambu operator $\Psi(\mathbf{k}) = \{c(\mathbf{k}), c^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\}$. It is customary to refer to $H(\mathbf{k})$ as the Hamiltonian. Topological properties of the system are actually determined by the property of $H(\mathbf{k})$. It is intriguing that when the system parameters are appropriately chosen, the circuit Laplacian has the same expression as the Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{k})$. It is essential that the braiding of two topological edge states is described solely in the term of the Berry phase evolution of an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{k})$. Namely, the braiding does not require the fermionic anti-commutation relation for $\Psi(\mathbf{k})$. It occurs solely based on adiabatic evolution of the eigenfunction.

**Kitaev model:** The Kitaev $p$-wave topological superconductor model is the fundamental one-dimensional model hosting Majorana edge states. It is a two-band model, and the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_k & \Delta e^{i\phi} \sin k \\ \Delta^* e^{-i\phi} \sin k & -\varepsilon_k \end{pmatrix},$$

(2)

with $\varepsilon_k = -t \cos k - \mu$, where $t$, $\mu$, $\phi$ and $\Delta$ represent the hopping amplitude, the chemical potential, the superconducting phase and gap parameters, respectively. It is well known that the system is topological for $|\mu| < |2t|$ and trivial for $|\mu| > |2t|$ irrespective of $\Delta$ provided $\Delta \neq 0$. There emerge Majorana zero-energy edge states in the topological phase. They are protected by particle-hole symmetry.

We have already derived the circuit Laplacian which simulates the Kitaev model by $LC$ circuits together with operational amplifiers. It is crucial for our analysis that $t$, $\mu$, $\phi$ and $\Delta$ in the Kitaev Hamiltonian become locally controllable parameters by way of tuning capacitors, inductors and resistors in the corresponding circuit Laplacian.

We consider a chain realizing the Kitaev model. A chain need not be straight; it can bend or even branch off. We may control the system parameters appropriately so as to gener-
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ate several topological sectors with $|\mu| < |2t|$ sandwiched by trivial sectors with $|\mu| > |2t|$ as in Fig. 1. A topological edge state emerges at a boundary between topological and trivial sectors. The key process is to braid any two of these edge states. For this purpose it is enough to analyze the following two basic braiding illustrated in Fig. 1: (i) Two edge states of a topological sector can be braided. (ii) Two edge states of a trivial sector can be braided.

**Berry phase:** We focus on a topological sector. A zero-energy state at each edge of the sector, whose eigenfunctions are denoted by $\psi_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 1, 2$. We investigate how the eigenfunction evolves when a set of the system parameters are locally modified from an initial set of values $\Omega_0$ to another set of values $\Omega$. Let $|\psi_\beta\rangle_{\text{initial}}$ be the eigenfunction at the initial point. It evolves as

$$|\psi_\alpha(\Omega, \Omega_0)\rangle = \sum_{\beta=1,2} e^{i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Omega, \Omega_0)} |\psi_\beta\rangle_{\text{initial}},$$

(3)

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Omega, \Omega_0)$ is the Berry phase defined by

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Omega, \Omega_0) = -i \int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega} \langle \psi_\alpha | d\psi_\beta \rangle.$$  

(4)

It depends on the path along which the edge state moves. We consider two basic examples. First, we control the phase $\phi$ locally. The solutions for the left and right edges of a topological sector (e.g. A and B in Fig. 1) are analytically given by

$$\psi_1(\phi) = \left\{ -ie^{-i\phi}, 0, \ldots, 0; 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \right\} / \sqrt{2},$$

$$\psi_2(\phi) = \left\{ 0, \ldots, 0, ie^{i\phi}; 0, \ldots, 0, 1 \right\} / \sqrt{2}.  

(5)

When it increases from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = \Phi$, the Berry phase is

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\Phi) = -i \int_0^\Phi \langle \psi_\alpha(\phi) | \partial_\phi | \psi_\beta(\phi) \rangle d\phi = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\Phi}{2},$$

(6)

where we have used $\langle \psi_\alpha(\phi) | \partial_\phi | \psi_\beta(\phi) \rangle = (i/2)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

Second, we control the length of a topological or trivial sector by tuning locally the chemical potential $\mu$. We obtain $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ when we shift the position of an edge without changing the phase $\phi$. For example, we consider the following states in the range $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$:

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -ie^{-i\phi} \cos x, -ie^{-i\phi} \sin x, \ldots, 0; \cos x, \sin x, \ldots, 0 \right\},$$

(7)

$$\psi_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ 0, \ldots, ie^{i\phi} \sin x, ie^{i\phi} \cos x; 0, \ldots, \sin x, \cos x \right\}.  

(8)

They describe the edge states (i) when $x = 0$. As $x$ increases from $x = 0$ to $x = \pi/2$, the edge moves just by one site. Then we find $\langle \psi_\alpha(\phi) | d\psi_\beta(x) \rangle = \langle \psi_\alpha(x) | \partial_x | \psi_\beta(x) \rangle dx = 0$.

**Braiding of two edges of a topological sector:** It is impossible to make a braid of two edges with the use of a single chain. This problem has been solved by considering a T junction as shown in Fig. 2. We consider a T junction with three legs (named 1, 2, and 3) made of Kitaev chains. Such a structure is easily designed in electric circuits as we show later. We prepare the initial state [Fig. 2(a)], which consists of the two horizontal legs 1 and 2 made topological and the vertical leg 1 made trivial. It is set that $\phi = 0$ in all legs. As we have already stated, it is rather easy to make a portion of a chain topological or trivial by controlling the chemical potential $\mu$ locally. In the figures the arrows $\rightarrow$, $\uparrow$ and $\leftarrow$, $\downarrow$ represent the phase $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$, respectively.

Two edge states emerge at the left and right hands of the horizontal line as indicated in Fig. 2(a). These two edges are braided in the process from (a) to (i) shown in Fig. 2, which consists of eight steps. The initial and final states in Fig. 2(a) and (i) are identical.

(1: a $\rightarrow$ b) We move the edge on leg 2 toward the T junction, by making the topological sector on leg 2 shorter.

(2: b $\rightarrow$ c) When the edge reaches at the junction, we turn the trivial sector on leg 2 topological gradually so that the edge
from $\phi$ in Fig.3(c), where the phase of a topological sector is rotated $\pi/2$.

It is also confirmed that the energy of the edge states remains zero during the process and that the edge states are well separated as five sites since the penetration depth of the Majorana-like states is zero.

We proceed to discuss the braiding of two edges of a trivial sector, as illustrated in Fig.4. The braiding process is explained precisely as in the previous case. A new configuration is Fig.4(d), where a phase rotation by $\pi$ is acquired only in the process (4: d $\rightarrow$ e) in Fig.4(c), where the phase of a topological sector is rotated from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = \pi$.

The length of the legs can be as small as five sites since the penetration depth of the Majorana-like states is zero.

Braiding of two edges of a trivial sector: We proceed to discuss the braiding of two edges of a trivial sector, as illustrated in Fig.4. The braiding process is explained precisely as in the previous case. A new configuration is Fig.4(d), where all three legs are topological. It is understood that the point at the junction is the edge of the vertical topological sector. A phase rotation by $\pi$ occurs on a topological sector from Fig.4(d) to (e) with a contributing to the Berry phase, and on a trivial sector from Fig.4(h) to (i) with no contribution to the Berry phase. We show numerical results of this braiding process in Fig.5, which demonstrates that the process proceeds smoothly.

After any one of the above two types of braiding, the Berry phase becomes $\Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_{21} = \pi/2$ and $\Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{22} = 0$. As a result the eigenfunction $\phi^1$ changes as $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \rightarrow (i\psi_2, i\psi_1)$, which is the $\sigma_X \equiv i\sigma_x$ braiding. Edge states on the Kitaev chain are Ising anyons since $\sigma_X^2 = -1$.

Braiding of a number of edges: It is obvious that the braiding of any two edges is possible by repeating basic braiding.

For instance, we consider a Kitaev chain containing two topological sectors with four edge states. There are three basic $\sigma_X \equiv i\sigma_x$ braids,

$$U_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

all of which can be performed with the aid of T junctions. They are well known to satisfy the Braid relations.
FIG. 7: Illustrations of an electric circuit for a T junction. (a) A Kitaev chain is simulated by a set of two wires containing capacitors $C$ (red) and inductors $L$ (blue). Each node is connected to the ground by a TCS illustrated in (c). A portion of a chain becomes trivial when all TCS are on (off) within the portion. The “superconducting” phase $\phi$ (topological) when all TCS are on (off) within the portion. (b) A portion of a chain becomes trivial when all TCS are on (off) within the portion. 

An operational amplifier acts as a negative impedance converter with current inversion\textsuperscript{[22]}. In the operational amplifier, the resistance depends on the current flowing direction: $R_f$ for the forward flow and $-R_b$ for the backward flow with the convention that $R_b > 0$. Hence it is characterized as $(R_f, -R_b)$ with $R_b > 0$. We set $R_f = R_b = R$. 

The phase $\phi$ is determined by the direction of an operational amplifier. By convention we set $\phi = 0$ for the forward orientation $(R, -R)$ of operational amplifiers in a Kitaev chain: See the horizontal chain in Fig[7]a. Then we find $\phi = \pi$ for the backward orientation $(-R, R)$. The direction agrees with the arrow given in Fig[2] and Fig[4]. Namely, the directions $\to$, $\downarrow$ and $\leftarrow, \downarrow$ represent the phase $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$, respectively.

However, it is necessary to control $\phi$ continuously from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = \pi$ to go on from (d) to (e) in Fig[2] and Fig[4]. 

A similar control is necessary from (h) to (i) in these figures. This can be done with the use of a phase-control switch (PCS) illustrated in Fig[7]b. Here, a PCS is a rotary switch or a continuous variable switch.

When we apply an AC voltage $V(t) = V_0(t) e^{i \omega t}$, the Kirchhoff current law leads to the formula\textsuperscript{[26,28]}:

$$I_a(\omega) = \sum_j J_{ab}(\omega) V_b(\omega),$$

where the sum is taken over all adjacent nodes $b$, and $J_{ab}(\omega)$ is called the circuit Laplacian. In identifying this with the Kitaev Hamiltonian, it is necessary to require $\omega_0 \equiv 1/\sqrt{LC} = 1/\sqrt{L_0 C_0} = 1/\sqrt{L_X C_X^0}$ for particle-hole symmetry to hold for the circuit and to set the AC frequency $\omega = \omega_0$.

The circuit Laplacian with $\phi$ is given by

\begin{equation}
J = [2C(1 - \cos k) - C_0] \sigma_z + 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{LC}}{R^\phi} \sigma_x + C_X^0 \sigma_y \right) \sin k.
\end{equation}

By tuning $R^\phi$, $C_X^0$ and $L_X^0$ in the PCS as

\begin{equation}
R^\phi = R_0 / \cos \phi, \quad C_X^0 = C_X \sin \phi, \quad L_X^0 = L_X / \sin \phi,
\end{equation}

we are able to control the "superconducting" phase $\phi$.

**Discussion:** We have shown that a braid of an arbitrary large number of Majorana-like states is possible based on electric circuits. It is customary believed that quantum computation needs all properties of quantum mechanics. However, our results show that even a classical system can realize braiding when the complex linear algebra and differentiability are assured. Our results will be a basis of future topological quantum computations based on electric circuits.
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