Compound-tunable embedding potential method and its application to fersmite crystal
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Compound-tunable embedding potential (CTEP) method is proposed. A fragment of some chemical compound, “main cluster” in the present paper, is limited by boundary anions such that the nearest environmental atoms are cations. The CTEP method is based on constructing the embedding potential as linear combination of short-range “electron-free” spherical “tunable” pseudopotentials for cations from nearest environment of the main cluster, whereas the long-range CTEP part consists of Coulomb potentials from optimized fractional point charges centered on both environmental cations and anions.

A pilot application of the CTEP method to the fersmite crystal, CaNb$_2$O$_6$, is performed and a remarkable agreement of the electronic density and interatomic distances within the fragment with those of the original periodic crystal calculation is attained. Characteristics of “atoms-in-compounds” which are of great importance for compound of $f$- and $d$-elements (Nb in fersmite) are considered on examples of chemical shifts of $K_{\alpha_1,2}$ and $K_{\beta_1,2}$ lines of X-ray emission spectra in niobium. A very promising potential of this approach in studying variety of properties of point defects containing $f$- and heavy $d$-elements with relativistic effects, extended basis set and broken crystal symmetry considered is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Impressive recent achievements in creating experimental facilities to study local atomic-scale electronic structures in material science like X-ray free-electron lasers, synchrotrons, high harmonic generation sources, etc. open new era in investigating materials and defects containing heavy transition metals ($d$-elements), lanthanides and actinides ($f$-elements); designate all these atoms as $d/f$-elements below. However, theoretical possibilities in direct studying electronic structures on atomic-scale or, by other words, properties of atoms-in-compounds (AiC) are yet hampered by several challenges in quantum chemical description of such systems. They first include necessity of highest-level treatment of relativistic and correlation effects simultaneously. Besides, polyvalent $d/f$-elements often have pronounced multireference character and high density of low-lying electronic states. As a result, opportunities for direct $ab$ initio study of materials containing $d/f$-elements with required accuracy can be blocked by unacceptable computational costs. An alternative way to explore such a material is to reduce its studying to a molecular-type investigation of some its fragment, assuming that relaxation of the rest of the crystal (environment of the fragment) in processes under consideration is negligible. In this case one can consider influence of the environment on the fragment by some approximate embedding potential to improve the quality of description of phenomena localized on the fragment using
extended possibilities of its studying by molecular methods. Such fragment with embedding potential is usually called the “embedded cluster” or “cluster, embedded in a crystal”.

The embedding potential theories are based on the idea of freezing the external environment of the embedded cluster; they are conceptually similar to the effective core potential (pseudopotential or PP) theories which are originated by the frozen core approximation in atoms. Such theories are highly demanded for studying point defects, localized properties and processes in solids and other many-atomic systems, particularly, if they contain $f$- or/and heavy $d$-elements. Among the phenomena of increasing interest, one could highlight opening new possibilities to study magnetic structure and valence state of $d/f$-elements in materials \cite{7}, localized excitations in crystals and matrices (molecular rotors \cite{8}, chimeras and intrinsic localized modes (discrete breathers) \cite{9}, electronic transitions and magneto-optical effects in point defects \cite{10}), new physics in ferroelectrics (see \cite{11} and refs.), etc. Description of electronic structure in many-atomic systems containing $d/f$-elements, particularly, within periodic models, is problematic to-date. Excitation energies for valence electrons in $d/f$-elements can be very small, within errors of density functional theory (DFT) approximation. The situation is most difficult for light actinides, which show both lanthanide-like and transition-metal-like behavior. Therefore, calibration of the exchange-correlation DFT functionals should be done to choose its appropriate version, which provide correct valence state of $d/f$-elements in a compound under consideration. Note that calculation of a crystal fragment of small size (including up to $\sim 10$ atoms, with a central $d/f$-element and its first anionic coordination sphere) using the embedding potentials and combining \cite{11 12} advanced two-component (relativistic) versions of density functional \cite{13} and coupled-cluster (see \cite{6 14} and refs.) theories can be done in practice. Furthermore, one can perform relativistic calculation of a larger cluster with the chosen DFT functional with impurity actinides and vacancies to take relaxation of its neighbors into account. The relativistic coupled-cluster (RCC) corrections to the DFT calculation of the cluster can also be done (see pilot combined study in \cite{11}).

The embedding potentials are actively used in solid-state studies (see review \cite{15} and refs). We mention here two of recent developments of embedding theories. In series of papers (see refs. in \cite{16}), density matrix embedding theory (DMET) is developed. It describes a finite fragment within the surrounding environment such that the local density of states can be obtained when working with a Fock space of “bath” (environmental) orbitals.

Going beyond the self-consistent field (SCF) approximation for environment, Höffener with colleagues considered DFT-based methods which encompasses wavefunction theory-in-DFT (WFT-in-DFT) and the DFT-based subsystem formulation of response theory (DFT-in-DFT) \cite{17}. These approaches allow one to take account of the environmental relaxation due to perturbation by a point defect in a small fragment.

Though such combining procedures are quite natural from theoretical point of view, in practice they are not trivial and were applied to relatively simple compounds containing only light atoms. They are rather expensive computationally for the systems containing $d/f$-elements which are of interest in practice.

In turn, the pseudopotential technique has proved to be quite successful and universal toolkit to combine WFT-based frozen core approximation with DFT treatment of electronic structure in the valence region of a compound. Besides, relativistic spin-dependent, quantum electrodynamics (QED) and correlation effects can be taken into account with high level of accuracy within the PP approximation and only in those regions (core or valence) in which they are important. In particular, core electronic states can be frozen as atomic spinors, whereas the valence ones may be treated as spin-orbitals (with the spin-orbit corrections often taken on the last computational stage only) \cite{15}. Even large-core PPs can handle relaxation (“response”) of atomic electronic structures caused by small external perturbations appropriately if they are “transferable” \cite{19 20} and are generated for appropriate effective states of the atoms in a compound \cite{11}. Therefore, such large-core PPs can be used to describe environmental atoms.

In the given paper, a new method, compound-tunable embedding potential (CTEP), is proposed to describe local properties and processes in minerals, particularly, if the mineral contains point defects with $d/f$-elements. The capability of the method is demonstrated here on example of the fersmite crystal, CaNb$_2$O$_6$, as a representative of tantalum-niobate minerals’ group. The corresponding CTEP for xenotime (yttrium orthophosphate mineral, YPO$_4$, having both ionic and covalent bonds) is discussed in \cite{7} and applied there to study thorium and uranium containing point defects. The other application of CTEP, to periodic structures with regular open 4f-shell lanthanide atom, is considered in \cite{21} on examples of YbF$_3$ and YbCl$_3$ crystals.

**METHOD**

In the framework of the CTEP version of the embedding potential theory, the following procedure for calculating the electronic structure of a crystal fragment which can include a point defect is implemented:

1. High-level periodic DFT calculation of a crystal without point defects.

2. Cutting a fragment out of a crystal with a central metal atom (which can be further replaced by a vacancy, impurity atom, etc.) and its nearest anionic environment.
Thus, the first coordination sphere consists of a small number of atoms that is usually not more than 12 (as in the case of dense packing). This structure will be referred further as the “main cluster”.

(3) For the main cluster one can choose a “nearest cationic environment”, NCE, from the lattice atoms (second coordination sphere, etc.) and a “nearest anionic environment”, NAE, including a set of all anions which are nearest to the NCE atoms except those of the main cluster. The main cluster together with the nearest environment, i.e. main cluster + NCE + NAE (or main cluster + CTEP), will be referred as the extended cluster, or just a cluster.

The NCE is described by means of nonlocal (semi-local) “electron-free” (or “largest-core”) PPs for cations Cat$_i$, 0ve-PP/Cat$_i^{+n_i}$, which are generated for the effective states of the cations in the given crystal such that: (i) all the one-electron states (orbitals or spinors) occupied for the oxidation state $+n_i$ of the Cat$_i$ atom in the crystal are treated as core ones within the 0ve-PPs; (ii) the addition of such 0ve-PPs to the main cluster does not change the number of electrons in calculation of the extended cluster compared to the main one.

Each NCE atom is described by the PP and fractional point effective charge. “Tuning” the 0ve-PPs for environmental cations is carried out self-consistently in the periodic DFT calculations of pure crystal to minimize displacement forces on Cat’s and other atoms in the unit cell at the optimized DFT geometry of the crystal obtained in step (1).

The nearest anionic environment is described by only the Coulomb potentials of the effective charges. Both the anionic (NAE) and cationic (NCE) charges located at the lattice sites are optimized when constructing the CTEP to reproduce the spatial structure of the main cluster as a fragment from the periodic study (at step 1) in the molecular-type calculation of the extended cluster with only point symmetry of the crystal fragment taken into account. Our charge optimization criterion is minimization of sum of squares of forces on atoms from the main cluster, with the constraint that the total charge of the extended cluster is fixed to zero. Note, that relaxation of electronic structure in both main cluster and nearest environment regions is taken into account within CTEP when one considers processes and point defects localized on the main cluster despite the coordinates of the environmental atoms are not changed.

**CALCULATION DETAILS**

All calculations were performed using DFT method with PBE0 functional. The solid-state and cluster calculations were performed with CRYSTAL-17 [22] and relativistic molecular DFT [23] packages, respectively.

The core pseudopotentials generated by our group [18] for the Ca and Nb atoms were used. The original basis sets, corresponding to these PPs (mentioned below as LQC – (8,8,7,2)/[6,6,4,2] for Nb and (5,5,4,1)/[5,5,4,1] for Ca) were cut and contracted for use in solid-state calculations (mentioned below as LQC-c – (5,5,5,2)/[3,3,2,2] for Nb and (4,3,3,1)/[3,3,3,1] for Ca).

For the Ca and Nb “pseudoatoms” treated as NCE we used tuned 0ve-PPs with the basis sets combined from the valence orbitals of the original basis sets (LQC and LQC-c) and core exponents of the pseudo-orbital expansion generated in the present work. Further, we will refer to this basis sets as LQC-0, and LQC-0c, respectively.

The O,pob,TZVP$_a$ 2012 basis set designated below as TZVP-a was used for oxygen atoms. For a comparison, calculation of the Nb-centered cluster, along with untruncated (LQC and LQC-0) versions of Ca and Nb basis sets, was carried out with an augmented version of TZVP basis for oxygen (introduced in [24]) and mentioned below as TZVP-b (12,7,2)/[6,4,2].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

1. Periodic calculations

The fersmite crystal belongs to Pcan space group and consists of five non-equivalent atomic types: Ca, Nb and three different O types. Both atomic positions and cell parameters were optimized with only crystal symmetry group fixed. The resulting structure was close to the experimental one with the average bond length error about 0.8%.

The most significant difference was for the Ca-O bond with interatomic distance of 2.80 Å in the experimental structure vs. 2.71 Å in the DFT optimized one. In both cases this interatomic distance is significantly larger than the sum of covalent radii (1.64 and 0.66 Å for Nb and O respectively), and it is a priori unclear whether the corresponding atoms should be considered as the neighbor ones or not, so that for building the Calcium-centered clusters we have considered both cases of the first coordination sphere: CaO$_6$ and CaO$_8$.

2. Cluster calculations

Clusters centered on both Ca and Nb cations were built together with CTEPs. As mentioned above, the number of the nearest neighbors of Ca atom in fersmite which should be included to the main cluster is ambiguous, so, two main clusters with different CTEPs were built for the central calcium case: the small one, [CaO$_6$][Ca$_2$Nb$_2$]O$_{38}$ and the large one, [CaO$_8$][Ca$_4$Nb$_8$]O$_{44}$ (Figure 1).

For the central Nb atom, only one cluster with CTEP, [NbO$_6$][Ca$_4$Nb$_8$]O$_{44}$, was built. However, for a comparison, three stoichiometric clusters for the niobium surroundings (without CTEP) were built and considered. The first one is for a single minimal formula [CaNb$_2$O$_6$],
FIG. 1. Ca-centered clusters: (a) large \([\text{CaO}_8][\text{Ca}_4\text{Nb}_8][\text{O}_{44}]\), and (b) small \([\text{CaO}_6][\text{Ca}_2\text{Nb}_8][\text{O}_{38}]\). NCE atoms are shown as spheres of half-radius without caption (of the same colour as for corresponding atoms of the main cluster and with the drawn bonds to the oxygen atoms) and NAE charges are shown as the dot-like semi-transparent spheres.

whereas the second and third ones are for 4 and 8 minimal formulas, respectively (Figure 2).

Despite all the atoms for the stoichiometric clusters are treated on equal footing, for convenience of comparison we will refer to the central area (\(\text{NbO}_6\) group) as the “main cluster”.

For each of the cluster with CTEP, the fractional charges on the cationic and anionic shells were optimized. The resulting root mean square (RMS) forces are listed in the Table I. Note that the stoichiometric clusters (unlike the embedding clusters) have no charge optimization parameters.

Table I. Forces on the atoms of the main cluster (in a.u.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>RMS force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca-CTEP-small</td>
<td>8.2·10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-CTEP-large</td>
<td>7.7·10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-CTEP</td>
<td>3.9·10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×1</td>
<td>9.3·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×4</td>
<td>5.8·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×8</td>
<td>4.9·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the clusters with the embedding potential the forces do not vanish completely, but still become sufficiently small. The forces are smaller in case of Ca-centered clusters. For the stoichiometric clusters RMS forces are about 2 orders larger, and slowly decrease with the increase of a cluster size.

3. Cluster optimization

For a verification, positions of atoms of the main cluster were optimized with fixed CTEP parameters (for the stoichiometric cluster all atoms except the central \(\text{NbO}_6\) group were fixed). For the niobium-centered cluster with compound-tunable embedding potential, optimization was performed with four basis sets: 1) the same as for the periodic calculations shown as default in Table II, 2) the same except for the original uncut LQC basis on the niobium atom, 3) the LQC basis on the niobium atom with TZVP-b basis on oxygen atoms, and 4) the LQC basis on the niobium atom with TZVP-b basis on oxygen atoms and LQC-0 on the NCE atoms. The resulting displacements are given in Table II.

Table II. Atomic displacements within the main cluster after its optimizations, Å

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>RMS displacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca-CTEP-small</td>
<td>5.9·10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-CTEP-large</td>
<td>8.7·10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-CTEP</td>
<td>5.4·10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-CTEP 2) larger basis on Nb</td>
<td>1.2·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-CTEP 3) larger basis on Nb and O</td>
<td>1.4·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-CTEP 4) larger basis on all atoms</td>
<td>1.4·10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×1</td>
<td>2.4·10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×4</td>
<td>8.8·10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-stoichiometric×8</td>
<td>1.9·10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Structure breaks after cluster optimization resulting in decrease of niobium coordination number

For all clusters with CTEP and fixed basis set (1), the displacements are small and decrease in the same extent (\(\text{Nb}>\text{Ca-large}>\text{Ca-small}\)) as the RMS forces. For the clusters with the extended basis sets (2,3,4), the dis-
placements are about twice as large, however, the agreement of cluster-optimized geometry with the experimental one stays on the same level as that for the crystal-optimized geometry. Optimization of the stoichiometric clusters consisting of 1 and 4 formulas breaks the correct coordination number of central Nb. The largest one (8 formulas) preserves the correct coordination number, but the displacements of corresponding atoms are significantly larger than those for the CTEP case.

Overall, we can state that the crystal fragment structure obtained in the periodic calculation within the chosen DFT approximation can be reproduced in the cluster calculation with CTEP without notable decrease of the accuracy compared to the periodic DFT case, while the stoichiometric cluster approach yields much larger errors.

4. Electronic density comparison

To estimate the reproducibility of properties in the cluster model with CTEP, electronic density cube files were obtained for the periodic crystal study and for each cluster. The cube grid was chosen to be the same in all cases with the orthogonal unit vectors of about 0.056 a.u.

As a quantitative criterion we choose the relative difference between the cluster and the crystal electronic density within a certain sphere around the central atom:

\[
d(R) = \frac{\int_0^R |\rho_{\text{cluster}}(r) - \rho_{\text{crystal}}(r)| dr}{\int_0^R \rho_{\text{crystal}}(r) dr}
\]

On Figure 3 the radial dependence of \(d\) is plotted.

![Graph showing the radial dependence of the relative electronic density difference (d). The blue fill represents the number of oxygen atoms located within the R.](image)

For all clusters with CTEP the densities in near surroundings of central atom are reproduced with a good accuracy. For the Ca-centered small cluster the difference, being small in the vicinity of the Ca atom, increases greatly when the excluded oxygen atoms (at 5.1 a.u.) become involved.

At larger R values the difference increases greatly for all the clusters with CTEPs, which is related not only to the limitations conditioned by the CTEP model, but also to the fact that outer core electrons of the cationic-layer atoms are included into the periodic calculations but they are excluded from the cluster study with the help of the “electron-free” PPs. For both calcium- and niobium-centered clusters with CTEP the electronic density difference lies within 1% at the effective crystal radius (2.38 a.u. for Ca and 1.47 a.u. for Nb).

From comparison of the embedded Nb-centered cluster with the stoichiometric ones, it follows that the embedding model reproduces electronic density within \(R<4\) a.u. with notably better accuracy than any of stoichiometric clusters, while being comparable by means of computational expenses to the smallest stoichiometric one.

5. Chemical shifts of X-ray emission lines

Stabilization of computed internuclear distances with respect to a basis set enlargement is a good probe for the basis set saturation in the valence region of a compound when effective Hamiltonian and exchange-correlation functional are fixed. In turn, chemical shifts (chemshifts) of lines of X-ray emission (fluorescence) spectra, XES (see [25–27] and references therein), are sensitive to local variation of electronic densities in the atomic core regions [5] that cover probing the basis set completeness in theoretical study. Moreover, the XES chemshifts together with other AiC properties can provide a pretty informative array of data about the electronic density near a heavy atom in a solid. The energetic shifts of a characteristic transition between different core shells of an atom in variety of compounds allow one to explore corresponding core regions [24] and study various AiC characteristics. In particular, the chemshifts of \(K_\alpha\) -lines of \(d/f\)-elements are mainly sensitive to occupation numbers of appropriate \(d\) and \(f\)-shells, whereas the chemshifts of \(K_\beta\)-lines are already sensitive to distances to the ligands and their types. It is not less important that characteristic XES lines can be easily identified for any atom of interest and the XES chemshifts can be measured on the atoms having sufficient fraction in a material, thus providing data to characterize an effective state of any atom for all these compounds [1, 4, 5, 24, 28].

In Table III the chemshifts are presented for the Nb atom in the embedded cluster for four mentioned above basis sets and for the original structure “crys” (that is taken from periodic calculation) and re-optimized cluster structure after the CTEP construction (case “opt”).

The dispersion of the \(K_{\alpha1,2}\) data is up to 10% with increasing the basis set size that is not negligible. Thus, the corrections in incompleteness of the basis set in the crystal calculations are highly desirable. Such corrections
can be rather easily evaluated for the main cluster of minimal size (with a central atom and first coordination sphere only) in contrast to the cases of large cluster or periodic structure.

In Table IV the chemical shifts are presented for the Nb atom in the stoichiometric cluster with the periodic-optimized (“original”) and cluster-optimized structures using DFT.

### Table IV. X-ray chemical shifts on the Nb atom in stoichiometric clusters (in meV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster type</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$K_{\alpha 2}$</th>
<th>$K_{\alpha 1}$</th>
<th>$K_{\beta 2}$</th>
<th>$K_{\beta 1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×1</td>
<td>crys</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×1</td>
<td>opt</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×4</td>
<td>crys</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×4</td>
<td>opt</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×8</td>
<td>crys</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×8</td>
<td>opt</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a The basis set for all structures corresponds to the two upper rows in Table III — LQC-c for all Nb and Ca atoms and TZVP-a for O atoms

The most important result of calculations of XES chemshifts with stoichiometric clusters is that the chemshifts for the cluster with even 8 formulas cannot be considered as converged ones for $K_{\beta}$ chemshifts to those of periodic structure (Table III) in contrast to those for the minimal cluster with CTEP despite the XES chemshifts are considered on the central atom of all clusters used. One should also take into account that when increasing the cluster size, opportunities of its accurate treatment are dramatically diminishing because of problems both with the basis set completeness and correlation treatment quality on the wave-function level.

For comparison of the cluster calculations with periodic ones, the Nb-centered cluster was calculated without spin-orbit interaction (as in calculation with CRYSTAL package, in which only scalar-relativistic effects can be taken into account due to the software limitations). The X-ray chemshifts on Nb in calculations of the clusters relative to crystal are presented in Table V. As one can see, the difference between the periodic and cluster results does not exceed the overall errors of chemshifts estimate and, thus, can not serve as a basis for inferences.

### Table V. Difference of X-ray chemical shifts on the Nb atom between cluster calculations and the periodic one (in meV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$K_{\alpha 2, \alpha 1}$</th>
<th>$K_{\beta 2, \beta 1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTEP</td>
<td>3 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×1</td>
<td>-1 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×4</td>
<td>-7 -2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stoichiometric×8</td>
<td>1 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

A new method to simulate a fragment of ionic-covalent crystals within the cluster model, compound-tunable embedding potential, CTEP, is proposed. The CTEP method is based on modeling the embedding potential by linear combination of short-range “electron-free” spherical pseudopotentials for cations composing nearest environment of the main cluster, whereas the long-range CTEP part consists of only Coulomb potentials from environmental atoms. The short-range CTEP pseudopotentials for cations of nearest environment are tuned for the given crystal (on the basis of self-consistent semilocal pseudopotential version [18]). The long-range CTEP consists of Coulomb potentials from point charges centered on environmental atoms which are optimized as real numbers, positive for cations and negative for anions. The total (electronic and nuclear) charge of the extended cluster (main cluster and nearest environment) is fixed as zero. The electronic structure relaxation of both main cluster and boundary regions is taken into account within CTEP when one consider processes and point defects localized on the main cluster.

A pilot application of the CTEP method to the fersmite crystal, CaNb$_2$O$_6$, is performed and a remarkable agreement of the electronic density and optimized interatomic distances on the main cluster with the original crystal ones are attained. Local properties, i.e. characteristics of “atoms-in-compounds” [19] of primary interest for compound of $f$- and $d$-elements (Nb in fersmite), are considered on examples of chemical shifts of $K_{\alpha 1, 2}$ and $K_{\beta 1, 2}$ lines ($2p_{3/2, 1/2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2}$ and $3p_{3/2, 2} \rightarrow 1s_{1/2}$, correspondingly) of X-ray emission (fluorescence) spectra.

This approach seems promising for studying properties of point defects in solids, vacancies and impurities containing $f$- and heavy $d$-elements with relativistic effects and distortion of the crystal symmetry taken into
account. Consideration of adsorption of superheavy elements on surfaces, effects of ionizing X-ray radiation, localized vibrations and rotations, magnetic structure of impurities of $d/f$-elements in materials, studying new physics in ferroelectrics, etc., is in progress.
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