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ABSTRACT. Let $H$ be a cocommutative Hopf algebra acting on an algebra $A$. Assuming the base field to be algebraically closed and the $H$-action on $A$ to be integral, that is, it is given by a coaction of some Hopf subalgebra of the finite dual $H^*$ that is an integral domain, we stratify the prime spectrum $\text{Spec } A$ in terms of the prime spectra of certain commutative algebras. For arbitrary $H$-actions in characteristic $0$, we show that the largest $H$-stable ideal of $A$ that is contained in a given semiprime ideal of $A$ is semiprime as well.

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra over a field $k$ and let $A$ be an arbitrary associative $k$-algebra. An action of $H$ on $A$ is given by a $k$-linear map $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h.a$, that makes $A$ into a left $H$-module and satisfies the “measuring” conditions $h.(ab) = (h_1.a)(h_2.b)$ and $h.1 = (\varepsilon, h)1$ for $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$. Here, $\otimes = \otimes_k$, $\Delta h = h_1 \otimes h_2$ denotes the comultiplication of $H$, and $\varepsilon$ is the counit. We will write $H \subseteq A$ to indicate such an action. Algebras equipped with an $H$-action are called left $H$-module algebras. With algebra maps that are also $H$-module maps as morphisms, left $H$-module algebras form a category, $H\text{Alg}$. 

For example, an action of a group algebra $kG$ on $A$ amounts to the datum of a group homomorphism $G \to \text{Aut } A$, the automorphism group of the algebra $A$. For the enveloping algebra $Ug$ of a Lie $k$-algebra $g$, an action $Ug \subseteq A$ is given by a Lie homomorphism $g \to \text{Der } A$, the Lie algebra of all derivations of $A$. In both these prototypical cases, the acting Hopf algebra is cocommutative. This article investigates the effect of a given action $H \subseteq A$ of an arbitrary cocommutative Hopf algebra $H$ on the prime and semiprime ideals of $A$, partially generalizing prior work of the first author on rational actions of algebraic groups [11], [12], [13]. The interesting article [18] by Skryabin covers related territory, but the actual overlap with our work is insubstantial.

0.2. For now, let $H$ be arbitrary and let $A \in H\text{Alg}$. An ideal $I$ of $A$ that is also an $H$-submodule of $A$ is called an $H$-ideal. The action $H \subseteq A$ then passes down to an $H$-action on the quotient algebra $A/I$. The sum of all $H$-ideals that are contained in an arbitrary ideal $I$, clearly the unique largest $H$-ideal of $A$ that is contained in $I$, will be referred to as the $H$-core of $I$ and denoted by $I:H$. Explicitly,

$$I:H = \{ a \in A \mid H.a \subseteq I \}. \tag{1}$$

If $A \neq 0$ and the product of any two nonzero $H$-ideals of $A$ is again nonzero, then $A$ is said to be $H$-prime. An $H$-ideal $I$ of $A$ is called $H$-prime if $A/I$ is $H$-prime. It is easy to see...
that $H$-cores of prime ideals are $H$-prime. Denoting the collection of all $H$-primes of $A$ by $H$-$\text{Spec} A$, we thus obtain a map $\text{Spec} A \to H$-$\text{Spec} A$, $P \mapsto P;H$. The fibers

$$\text{Spec}_I A \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ P \in \text{Spec} A \mid P;H = I \}$$

are called the $H$-strata of $\text{Spec} A$. The stratification $\text{Spec} A = \bigsqcup_{I \in H$-$\text{Spec} A} \text{Spec}_I A$ was pioneered by Goodearl and Letzter [7] in the case of group actions or, equivalently, actions of group algebras. It has proven to be a useful tool for investigating $\text{Spec} A$, especially for rational actions of a connected affine algebraic group $G$ over an algebraically closed field $k$. In this case, one has a description of each stratum $\text{Spec}_I A$ in terms of the prime spectrum of a suitable commutative algebra [12, Theorem 9]. Our principal goal is to generalize this result to the context of cocommutative Hopf algebras. This is carried out in Section 3 of this article.

The first two sections serve to deploy some generalities on actions of Hopf algebras that are needed for the proof, with Section 2 focusing on the cocommutative case.

0.3. To state our main result, we make the following observations; see Sections 1-3 for details. Let $H$ be cocommutative and $k$ algebraically closed. Assume that the action $H$-$A$ is locally finite, that is, $\dim_k H.a < \infty$ for all $a \in A$. Then $A$ becomes a right comodule algebra over the (commutative) finite dual $H^\circ$ of $H$:

$$A \longrightarrow A \otimes H^\circ \quad \text{with} \quad h.a = a_0 \langle a_1, h \rangle \quad (h \in H, a \in A). \quad (2)$$

The action $H$-$A$ will be called integral if the image of the map (2) is contained in $A \otimes \mathcal{O}$ for some Hopf subalgebra $\mathcal{O} \subseteq H^\circ$ that is an integral domain. This condition serves as a replacement for connectedness in the case of algebraic group actions. Assuming it to be satisfied, it follows that each $I \in H$-$\text{Spec} A$ is in fact a prime ideal of $A$. Consequently, the extended center $C(A/I) = \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}(A/I)$ is a $k$-field, where $\mathbb{Q}(A/I)$ denotes the symmetric ring of quotients of $A/I$. The action $H \subset A/I$ extends uniquely to an $H$-action on $\mathbb{Q}(A/I)$ and this action stabilizes the center $C(A/I)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{O} \in H$-$\text{Alg}$ via the “hit” action $\rightarrow$ that is given by $\langle h \rightarrow f, k \rangle = \langle f, k h \rangle$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and $h, k \in H$. The actions $\rightarrow$ and $H \subset C(A/I)$ combine to an $H$-action on the tensor product; so

$$C_I := C(A/I) \otimes \mathcal{O} \in H$-$\text{Alg}. \quad (3)$$

The algebra $C_I$ is a commutative integral domain. We let $\text{Spec}^H C_I$ denote the subset of $\text{Spec} C_I$ consisting of all prime $H$-ideals of $C_I$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $H$ be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field $k$ and let $A$ be a $k$-algebra that is equipped with an integral action $H \subset A$. Then, for any $I \in H$-$\text{Spec} A$, there is a bijection

$$c: \text{Spec}_I A \cong \text{Spec}^H C_I$$

having the following properties, for any $P, P' \in \text{Spec}_I A$:

(i) $c(P) \subseteq c(P')$ if and only if $P \subseteq P'$, and

(ii) $\text{Fract}(C_I/c(P)) \cong C((A/P) \otimes \mathcal{O})$. 


0.4. As a first example, let \( G \) be an affine algebraic \( \mathbb{k} \)-group and let \( O = O(G) \) be the algebra of polynomial functions on \( G \). Then \( O \subseteq H^0 \) with \( H = \mathbb{k}G \). A rational \( G \)-action on \( A \), by definition, is a locally finite action \( H \subseteq A \) such that the image of (2) is contained in \( A \otimes O \). If \( G \) is connected, then \( O \) is an integral domain and so the action is integral. In this setting, Theorem 1 is covered by [12, Theorem 9].

Next, let \( g \) be a Lie \( \mathbb{k} \)-algebra acting by derivations on \( A \) and assume that every \( a \in A \) is contained in some finite-dimensional \( g \)-stable subspace of \( A \). With \( H = U_g \), we then have a locally finite action \( H \subseteq A \) and hence a map (2). If \( \text{char} \mathbb{k} = 0 \), then the convolution algebra \( H^* \) is a power series algebra over \( \mathbb{k} \) and hence \( H^* \) is a commutative domain; see §4.3 below.

Since \( H^0 \) is a subalgebra of \( H^* \), we may take \( O = H^0 \) and so we have an integral action. Theorem 1 appears to be new in this case.

0.5. In Section 4, we show that if \( \text{char} \mathbb{k} = 0 \) and \( H \) is cocommutative, then the core operator \( \cdot : H \) preserves semiprimeness. Recall that an ideal \( I \) of \( A \) is called \( \text{semiprime} \) if \( I \) is an intersection of prime ideals or, equivalently, \( A/I \) has no nonzero nilpotent ideals. In Section 4, we turn to the question as to whether \( I:H \) is then semiprime as well. This question may be reformulated in various alternative ways (Lemma 15). In general, the answer is negative: even for a cocommutative Hopf algebra \( H \), semiprimeness may be lost upon passage to the \( H \)-core.

For instance, consider the group algebra \( \mathbb{k}G \).

0.6. In future work, we hope to pursue the general theme of this article for Hopf algebras that are not necessarily cocommutative.

Notations and conventions. We work over a base field \( \mathbb{k} \) and continue to write \( \otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \). Throughout, \( H \) is a Hopf \( \mathbb{k} \)-algebra, cocommutative when so specified. The counit and the antipode of \( H \) will be denoted by \( \epsilon \) and \( S \), respectively. Furthermore, we fix the following notations for the remainder of this article:

\( A \) will be a left \( H \)-module algebra, with \( H \)-action \( h \otimes a \mapsto h.a \) \( (h \in H, a \in A) \);
\( A^H = \{ a \in A \mid h.a = \langle \epsilon, h \rangle a \text{ for all } h \in H \} \) is the subalgebra of \( H \)-invariants;
\( B = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(H, A) \) will denote the convolution algebra.

1. Generalities on actions

1.1. The convolution algebra \( B \). We begin by introducing certain subalgebras and automorphisms of \( B \) as well as some \( H \)-operations on \( B \) that will be used throughout this article.

First, the counit of \( H \) and the action \( H \subseteq A \) give rise to the following maps \( \iota, \delta : A \to B \), which are easily seen to be \( \mathbb{k} \)-algebra embeddings:

\( \iota a = (h \mapsto \langle \epsilon, h \rangle a) \quad \delta a = (h \mapsto h.a) \quad (h \in H, a \in A) \).
We will generally identify \( A \) with \( \iota A \) and we will also identify the linear dual \( H^* \) with the image of the algebra map \( \iota_\ast : H^* \hookrightarrow B \) that is given by the unit \( \iota : k \rightarrow A \). In this way, we view \( A, H^* \), and \( A \otimes H^* \) as \( k \)-subalgebras of \( B \), with \( A \otimes H^* \) consisting of all \( k \)-linear maps \( H \rightarrow A \) that have finite rank. Explicitly, \( a \otimes f = (h \mapsto a(f, h)) \), \( \iota a = a \otimes \varepsilon \) and \( \iota_\ast f = 1 \otimes f \) for \( a \in A \), \( f \in H^* \).

The algebra \( B \) becomes a left \( H \)-module algebra via the \( \iota \cdot - \) action, which is defined by

\[
(h \cdot b)(k) = b(kh) \quad \quad (h, k \in H, b \in B).
\]  

We will write \((B, \_ \cdot -)\) when viewing \( B \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{Alg}} \) with (4). The map \( \delta : A \rightarrow (B, \_ \cdot -) \) is a morphism in \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{Alg}} \) and \( A \otimes H^* \) is an \( H \)-module subalgebra of \((B, \_ \cdot -)\).

Our main focus later will be on the following alternative left \( H \)-module structure on \( B \), which takes into account the given action \( H \subseteq A \):

\[
(h \cdot b)(k) = h_1.b(kh_2).
\]  

If \( H \) is cocommutative, then \( B \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{Alg}} \) with (5) as well; see Proposition 6 below. In general, we may pass between (4) and (5) by means of the \( \mathcal{H} \)-linear automorphisms \( \Phi, \Psi : B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \) that are defined by

\[
\Phi b = (h \mapsto h_1.b(h_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi b = (h \mapsto S(h_1).b(h_2)).
\]

These maps are inverse to each other and they satisfy the following “intertwining” formulas, for any \( a \in A \), \( b \in B \) and \( h \in H \):

\[
\Phi((\iota a)b) = \delta a \Phi b, \quad \Psi((\delta a)b) = \iota a \Psi b \tag{6}
\]

\[
\Phi(h \cdot b) = h \cdot (\Phi b), \quad h \cdot (\Psi b) = \Psi(h \cdot b). \tag{7}
\]

The \( \Psi \)-identities follow from those for \( \Phi = \Psi^{-1} \). To verify (6), one checks that both sides send a given \( h \in H \) to \( h_1.(a b(h_2)) \). For (7), observe that \( (\Phi b)(h) = (h \cdot b)(1) \) and so \( \Phi(h \cdot b)(k) = (kh \cdot b)(1) = (\Phi b)(kh) = (h \cdot (\Phi b))(k) \) for \( h, k \in H \) and \( b \in B \). Finally, note that \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) fix the unit element \( 1_B = u_\ast(\varepsilon) \) and they are right linear for the subalgebra \( \text{Hom}_k(H, A^H) \subseteq B \). In particular, \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) restrict to the identity map on \( H^* \) and are right \( H^* \)-linear.

### 1.2. Invariants and the locally finite part of \((B, \_ \cdot -)\)

The locally finite part of any \( H \)-module algebra \( A \) is defined by

\[
A_{\text{fin}} := \{ a \in A \mid \text{dim}_k H.a < \infty \}
\]

\[
= \{ a \in A \mid I.a = 0 \text{ for some cofinite ideal } I \text{ of } H \}.
\]

Here, “cofinite” is short for “having finite codimension.” The locally finite part \( A_{\text{fin}} \) is always an \( H \)-module subalgebra of \( A \) containing the algebra of invariants, \( A^H \).

The following lemma determines the invariants and the locally finite part of \((B, \_ \cdot -)\).

**Lemma 3.**

(a) \((B, \_ \cdot -)^H = \iota A \cong A;\)

(b) \((B, \_ \cdot -)_{\text{fin}} = \{ b \in B \mid \text{Ker } b \text{ contains some cofinite ideal of } H \} \cong A \otimes H^0.\)

**Proof.**

(a) Note that \( b \in (B, \_ \cdot -)^H \) if and only if \( b(kh) = (\varepsilon, h)b(k) \) for all \( h, k \in H \). Equivalently, \( b(h) = (\varepsilon, h)b(1) \) for all \( h \in H \), which in turn states that \( b = \iota(b(1)) \). The assertion about \( H \)-invariants follows.
(b) For any ideal $I$ of $H$ and any $b \in B$, the equality $I \cdot b = 0$ is equivalent to $I \subseteq \Ker b$; so $b \in (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$ if and only if $\Ker b$ contains some cofinite ideal of $H$. In particular, $\iota A \subseteq (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$ and the embedding $u_* : H^* \hookrightarrow B$ sends the finite dual $H^0 \rightarrow (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$. The isomorphism between the subalgebra of $B$ consisting of all finite-rank maps and $A \otimes H^0$ (§1.1) restricts to an isomorphism of subalgebras, $(B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}} \cong A \otimes H^0$. □

The isomorphisms in Lemma 3 will be treated as identifications as in §1.1. In particular, we will write $A \otimes H^0 = (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$ and identify $A$ and $H^0$ with the subalgebras $\iota A = A \otimes \varepsilon$ and $u_* H^0 = 1 \otimes H^0$, respectively. As was mentioned, $(B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$ is an $H$-module subalgebra of $(B, \rightarrow)$; explicitly, with $b = a \otimes f$ ($a \in A, f \in H^0$) formula (4) becomes

$$h \cdot (a \otimes f) = a \otimes (h \cdot f) = a \otimes f_1(f_2, h).$$

(8)

Furthermore, $(B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}} = A \otimes H^0$ is also stable under the $H$-operation (5), which becomes the standard Hopf operation on tensor products:

$$h \cdot (a \otimes f) = h_1.a \otimes (h_2 \cdot f) = h_1.a \otimes f_1(f_2, h).$$

(9)

More generally, for any Hopf subalgebra $O \subseteq H^0$, we will consider the subalgebra $A_O := A \otimes O \subseteq (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$.

Each such $A_O$ is stable under both $\rightarrow$ and $\cdot$ by (8) and (9).

**Lemma 4.** Let $O \subseteq H^0$ be a Hopf subalgebra. The $k$-subspaces of $A_O$ that are stable under right multiplication by $O$ and under the $\rightarrow$-action (8) are exactly those of the form $W \otimes O$, where $W$ is an arbitrary $k$-subspace of $A$.

**Proof.** Certainly, each $W \otimes O$ is stable under right multiplication by $O$ and under (8). For the converse, equip $A_O$ with the “trivial” right $O$-Hopf module structure: the right $O$-module and right $O$-comodule structures are given by $\text{Id}_A \otimes m_O$ and $d = \text{Id}_A \otimes \Delta_O$, where $m_O$ and $\Delta_O$ are the multiplication and comultiplication of $O$, respectively [14, Examples 10.3, 10.4]. Then $A_O \hookrightarrow A_O \otimes O \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(H, A_O)$ via $d$, with $(db)(h) = h \cdot b$ for $b \in A_O$. Now let $V \subseteq A_O$ be a $k$-subspace that is stable under right multiplication by $O$ and under (8). Then $dV \subseteq \text{Hom}_k(H, V) \cap (A_O \otimes O) = V \otimes O$ and so $V$ is a $O$-Hopf submodule of $A_O$. By the Structure Theorem for Hopf modules [14, §10.1.2], $V$ is generated as right $O$-module by the subspace of $O$-coinvariants, $V^{coO} = \{v \in V \mid \delta v = v \otimes 1\}$. Since $V^{coO} \subseteq (A_O)^{coO} = A \otimes O^{coO} = A \otimes k$, it follows that $V = W \otimes O$ with $W = V^{coO}$. □

### 1.3. Coefficient Hopf algebras of locally finite actions.

#### 1.3.1. Assume that the action $H \subseteq A$ is locally finite, that is, $A = A_{\text{fin}}$. Then, since $\delta : A \rightarrow (B, \rightarrow)$ is a morphism in $\Alg_H$ (§1.1), the image $\delta A$ is contained in $(B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}} = A \otimes H^0$ and $A$ becomes a right $H^0$-comodule algebra via $\delta$ [14, Proposition 10.26]. Any Hopf subalgebra $O \subseteq H^0$ such that $\delta A$ is contained in the subalgebra $A_O = A \otimes O \subseteq (B, \rightarrow)_{\text{fin}}$ will be called a **coefficient Hopf algebra** for $H \subseteq A$. We then have the following version of (2), which makes $A$ a right $O$-comodule algebra:

$$\delta : A \longrightarrow A_O$$

$$a \longmapsto a_0 \otimes a_1$$

$$h.a = a_0(a_1, h) \quad (h \in H, a \in A).$$

(10)
Any coefficient Hopf algebra for $H \subseteq A$ will also serve as a coefficient Hopf algebra for the $H$-action on quotients of $A$ modulo $H$-ideals and on $H$-module subalgebras of $A$, and the intersection of all coefficient Hopf subalgebras for $H \subseteq A$ is the unique smallest one.

**Lemma 5.** Let $H \subseteq A$ be locally finite and let $O \subseteq H^0$ be a coefficient Hopf algebra. Then:

(a) All subspaces of $A_O$ of the form $W \otimes O$, where $W \subseteq A$ is an $H$-stable $k$-subspace, are stable under the maps $\Phi, \Psi$ (§1.1).

(b) If $I$ is an ideal of $A$, then $I:H = \Psi(I \otimes O) \cap A$.

**Proof.** (a) If $W \subseteq A$ is $H$-stable, then $\delta W \subseteq W \otimes O$. Thus, for any $a \in W$ and $f \in O$, right $O$-linearity of $\Phi$ and (6) now give $\Phi(a \otimes f) = \delta(a)f = a_0 \otimes a_1 f \in W \otimes O$. Similarly, $\Psi(a \otimes f) = a_0 \otimes S^*(a_1)f \in W \otimes O$, proving stability of $W \otimes O$ under $\Phi$ and $\Psi$.

(b) By (1), $I:H = \delta^{-1}(\Hom_k(H, I)) = \delta^{-1}(I \otimes O)$. Putting $I' = \Psi(I \otimes O)$ and using the identity $\Phi \circ \delta = \delta$ from (6), we obtain $I:H = \delta^{-1}(I \otimes O) = (i)^{-1}(I') = I' \cap A$. □

### 1.3.2. The action $H \subseteq A$ is certainly locally finite if the $H$-module algebra $A$ under consideration is generated as $k$-algebra by a finite-dimensional $H$-stable subspace $V \subseteq A$. Assume this to be the case and let $\rho: H \to \End_k(V)$ denote the algebra map given by the operation of $H$ on $V$. Fixing a $k$-basis $(v_i)_i^i$ of $V$ and letting $(v_i^*)$ denote the dual basis of $V^*$, we obtain the basis $(v_i \otimes v_j^*)$ of $\End_k(V) \cong V \otimes V^*$ and linear forms $\rho_{i,j}$ such that

$$\rho_h = \sum_{i,j} v_i \otimes v_j^* \langle \rho_{i,j}, h \rangle \quad (h \in H).$$

The isomorphism $\End_k(V) \cong M_n(k)$ given by our choice of basis for $V$ allows us to write $\rho = (\rho_{i,j}): H \to M_n(k)$: the scalar $\langle \rho_{i,j}, h \rangle \in k$ is the $(i,j)$-entry of the matrix $\rho_h$. Thus, $\rho_{i,j} \in H^0$, because $\rho_{i,j}$ vanishes on the cofinite ideal $\ker \rho$, and $\Delta \rho_{i,j} = \sum_k \rho_{i,k} \otimes \rho_{k,j}$. On the generating subspace $V \subseteq A$, the algebra map (10) takes the form

$$\delta v = \sum_{i,j} v_i \langle v_j^*, v \rangle \otimes \rho_{i,j} \quad (v \in V)$$

and we may take $O$ to be the Hopf subalgebra of $H^0$ that is generated by the matrix coefficient functions $\rho_{i,j}$. If $H$ is involutory, then $O$ is the $k$-subalgebra of $H^0$ that is generated by all $\rho_{i,j}$ and $S^*\rho_{i,j}$. We will call $O$ the coefficient Hopf algebra of the representation $V \in \Rep H$; a more general situation is discussed in [14, Exercise 9.2.3].

### 2. The cocommutative case

#### 2.1. The $\cdot$-action.

**2.1.1.** We recall some general ring-theoretic material that will be tacitly used in the next proposition and throughout the remainder of this article. A ring homomorphism $f: R \to S$ is called centralizing if the ring $S$ is generated by the image $fR$ and its centralizer in $S$. If $f$ makes $S$ a free $R$-module having a basis that centralizes $fR$, then $f$ is called free centralizing. Any centralizing homomorphism $f$ restricts to a map of centers, $ZR \to ZS$; the assignment $I \mapsto (fI)S$ sends (two-sided) ideals of $R$ to ideals of $S$; and $P \mapsto f^{-1}P$ gives a well-defined map $\Spec S \to \Spec R$ [11, §1.5].
2.1.2. The proposition below shows that, for $H$ cocommutative, we may view $B$ and various subalgebras of $B$ as $H$-module algebras with action (5), which we will refer to as the $\cdot$-action, rather than the $\rightarrow$-action (4). When using the latter $H$-action, we will continue write $(B, \rightarrow)$; otherwise, the $\cdot$-action is assumed.

**Proposition 6.** Let $H$ be cocommutative and let $O \subseteq H^\circ$ be a coefficient Hopf algebra for the action $H \subseteq A_{\text{fin}}$. Then:

(a) $\Phi, \Psi$ are algebra automorphisms of $B$ stabilizing the subalgebra $A_{\text{fin}, O} := A_{\text{fin}} \otimes O$.

(b) $B \in H\text{Alg}$ with the $\cdot$-action and $B^H = \Psi A$.

(c) $O$ is an $H$-module subalgebra of $B$, with $(O)_\text{fin} = A_{\text{fin}, O}$ and $(O)^H = \Psi(A_{\text{fin}})$.

The inclusion $(O)_\text{fin}^H \subseteq (O)_\text{fin}$ is free centralizing.

(d) The following maps are bijections that are inverse to each other:

$$\{\text{ideals of } A_{\text{fin}}\} \sim \{H\text{-ideals of } A_{\text{fin}, O}\} \sim \{\text{ideals of } (O)_\text{fin}^H\}$$

$$I \sim I' := \Psi(I \otimes O) \sim I' \cap (O)_\text{fin}^H \sim I'' := JA_{\text{fin}, O} \sim J$$

**Proof.** (a) For $b, b' \in B$ and $h \in H$, one computes using cocommutativity,

$$\Phi(bb')(h) = h_1.b(h_2)b'(h_3) = (h_1.b(h_3))(h_2.b'(h_4)) = (h_1.b(h_2))(h_3.b'(h_4)) = (\Phi(b)\Phi(b'))(h).$$

Thus $\Phi$ is multiplicative and hence it is an algebra automorphisms of $B$; likewise for $\Phi = \Phi^{-1}$. Stability of the subalgebra $A_{\text{fin}, O}$ under $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ follows from Lemma 5(a) for $A_{\text{fin}}$.

(b) Since $\Psi$ is an algebra automorphism of $B$, the intertwining formula (7) together with the fact that $(B, \rightarrow) \in H\text{Alg}$ implies that $B = (B, \cdot) \in H\text{Alg}$ as well. Also by (7), $\Psi$ yields an algebra isomorphism $(B, \rightarrow)^H \cong B^H$ and so Lemma 3(a) gives the isomorphism $\Psi_{\circ I} : A \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} (B, \rightarrow)^H \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} B^H$. Identifying $A$ with $\iota A = A \otimes \epsilon$ as usual, we obtain the claimed equality $B^H = \Psi A$.

(c) The subalgebra $O \subseteq B$ is stable under the $\cdot$-action by (9) and so it is an $H$-module subalgebra of $B$. The equality $(O)_\text{fin} = A_{\text{fin}, O}$ follows from [10, Corollary 6], because the $H$-action $\rightarrow$ on $O$ is locally finite. Since $S$ is an involution of $H$, the formula $(\Psi a)(h) = S((h_1.a(h_2))) = S(h_2.a(h_1.a))$ shows that $\Psi a$ vanishes on some cofinite ideal of $H$ if and only if $a \in A_{\text{fin}}$. In that case, $\Psi a \in (A_{\text{fin}, O} \subseteq A_{\text{fin}}$ by (a). Thus, in view of part (b) and Lemma 3(b), $\Psi(A_{\text{fin}}) = B^H \cap (B, \rightarrow)^{\text{fin}} = (O)^H$ as asserted. Finally, since $(A_{\text{fin}})_\text{fin} = A_{\text{fin}, O} = \Psi(A_{\text{fin}, O})$ is generated by the commuting subalgebras $\Psi(A_{\text{fin}}) = (O)^H$ and $\Psi O$, with $\Psi O$ providing an $(O)^H$-basis of $(A_{\text{fin}})_\text{fin}$, the inclusion $(O)^H \subseteq (O)_\text{fin}$ is free centralizing.

(d) Let $J$ be an ideal of $(O)^H$. Since the inclusion $(O)^H \subseteq (O)_\text{fin} = A_{\text{fin}, O}$ is centralizing by (c), $JA_{\text{fin}, O}$ is an ideal of $A_{\text{fin}, O}$, clearly an $H$-ideal. Further, $JA_{\text{fin}, O} \cap (O)^H = J$ by freeness of $A_{\text{fin}, O}$ over $(O)^H$. Now let $L$ be any $H$-ideal of $A_{\text{fin}, O}$. Then $\Phi L$ is an ideal of $A_{\text{fin}, O}$ that is stable for the $\rightarrow$-action by (7). Thus, Lemma 4 implies that $\Phi L = I \otimes O$ for some ideal $I$ of $A_{\text{fin}}$. Therefore, $L = \Psi(I \otimes O) = \Psi I \Psi O = (\Psi I)A_{\text{fin}, O}$ with $\Psi I$ being an ideal of $(O)^H = \Psi(A_{\text{fin}})$. This proves that extension and contraction give inverse bijections between the sets of ideals of $(O)^H$ and $H$-ideals of $A_{\text{fin}, O}$. The bijection between the sets of ideals of $(O)^H$ and of $A_{\text{fin}}$ is a consequence of the equality $\Psi(A_{\text{fin}}) = (O)^H$. \qed
2.2. Integral actions.

2.2.1. A locally finite action $H \subseteq A$ will be called integral if it has a coefficient Hopf algebra that is a commutative integral domain. Even though $H$ need not a priori be cocommutative, any integral $H$-action factors through a cocommutative Hopf quotient of $H$.

Proposition 7. Let $H \subseteq A$ be integral and $k$ algebraically closed. Then $P: H$ is prime for every $P \in \text{Spec} A$. Furthermore, $H$-$\text{Spec} A$ is the set of all prime $H$-ideals of $A$.

Proof. Let $O \subseteq H^0$ be a coefficient Hopf algebra of the action $H \subseteq A$ that is an integral domain, and assume that $H$ is cocommutative, as we may. Then $\Psi$ is an algebra automorphism of $B$ that restricts to an automorphism of the subalgebra $A_O$ (Proposition 6). For any $P \in \text{Spec} A$, the ideal $P \otimes O$ of $A_O$ is prime [14, Lemma 11.19], and hence

$$P' := \Psi(P \otimes O) \in \text{Spec} A_O.$$ (13)

By Lemma 5(b), $P: H = P' \cap A$, which is a prime ideal of $A$, because $A \twoheadrightarrow A_O$ is centralizing. The final assertion also follows, because the map $\text{Spec} A \to H$-$\text{Spec} A$, $P \mapsto P: H$, is surjective for any locally finite action $H \subseteq A$ [14, Exercise 10.4.4].

2.2.2. Returning to the situation considered in §1.3.2, assume that $A$ is affine, generated by an $H$-stable subspace $V \subseteq A$ with $n = \dim_k V < \infty$. We use our earlier notation, but we now also assume that $H$ is cocommutative and so involutory. The $k$-subalgebra $O \subseteq H^0$ that is generated by the functions $\rho_{i,j}$ and $S^* \rho_{i,j}$ is thus a coefficient Hopf algebra for $H \subseteq A$.

Example 8 (Group algebras). Let $H = kG$ be a group algebra. Then $H^*$ is the algebra $k^G$ of all functions $G \to k$ with pointwise addition and multiplication. The subalgebra $H^0$ is commonly referred to as the algebra of representative functions on $G$ and denoted by $R_k(G)$ (e.g., [8, Chapter 1]). For any $g \in G$, the determinant $\det \rho g$ is nonzero and $\langle S^* \rho_{i,j}, g \rangle = \langle \rho_{i,j}, g^{-1} \rangle = \frac{1}{\det \rho g} \langle \rho_{j,i}, g \rangle$, where $\langle \rho_{j,i}, g \rangle$ denotes the $(j, i)$-cofactor of the matrix $\rho g \in GL_n(k)$, a polynomial in the entries of $\rho g$. Thus, $c_{ij} \in R := k[\rho_{i,j} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, n]$ and $S^* \rho_{i,j} = \frac{c_{ij}}{\det \rho} \in R[\det \rho]$, the subalgebra of $H^0$ that is generated by the functions $\rho_{i,j}$ and $(\det \rho)^{-1} = S^* \rho$. We obtain

$$O = R[\det \rho] = k[\det \rho, \rho_{i,j} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, n].$$

Now let $k$ be algebraically closed. The group $GL_n(k)$ is affine algebraic, with associated Hopf algebra $O(GL_n)$ as in [14, Example 9.19]. The Hopf algebra $O$ is the image of $O(GL_n)$ under restriction to $\rho G \leq GL_n(k)$. Finally, $O$ is a domain if and only if $\rho G$ is an irreducible subset of $GL_n(k)$ in the Zariski topology or, equivalently, the closure $\overline{\rho G}$ is a connected affine algebraic group.

Example 9 (Enveloping algebras). Let $g$ be a Lie $k$-algebra and $H = U g$ its enveloping algebra. If char $k = 0$, then $H^*$ is a (commutative) domain; see [4, Chap. II, §1, n° 5] or Section 4.3 below. Therefore, the subalgebra $H^0$ and all coefficient Hopf algebras $O$ are integral domains as well as in characteristic 0. The situation is different for char $k = p > 0$. Indeed, in this case, $\langle f^p, g \rangle = 0$ for any $f \in H^*$. If $f \in H^0$ is grouplike or, equivalently, an algebra map, then so is $f^p$ and hence $\ker f^p$ is the ideal of $H$ that is generated by $g$. Therefore, $f^p = \varepsilon$ and so $(f - \varepsilon)^p = 0$. If $g \neq [g, g]$ then we may choose $f \neq \varepsilon$, giving a nonzero nilpotent element of $H^0$. 

2.3. The symmetric ring of quotients and the extended center.

2.3.1. We briefly recall some background material on the symmetric ring of quotients \( QR \) of an arbitrary ring \( R \) and its center, \( CR := Z(QR) \), the so-called extended center of \( R \). See [14, Appendix E] for details. The ring \( R \) is a subring of \( QR \) and \( CR \) coincides with the centralizer of \( R \) in \( QR \). In particular, \( ZR \subseteq CR \). If \( ZR = CR \), then \( R \) is called centrally closed. In general, we may consider the following subring of \( QR \), possibly strictly larger than \( R \):

\[
\widetilde{R} := R(CR) \subseteq QR.
\]

If \( R \) is semiprime, then \( \widetilde{R} \) is a centrally closed ring [2, Theorem 3.2], called the central closure of \( R \). If \( R \) is a \( \mathbb{k} \)-algebra, then so is \( \widetilde{R} \), because \( ZR \subseteq CR = \widetilde{R} \).

2.3.2. The next lemma concerns the extension of a given action \( H \subseteq A \) to an action on \( QA \). Part (a), which summarizes known facts, shows that this is always possible, in a unique way, in the situation that we are interested in. Indeed, any cocommutative Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field is pointed [19, Lemma 8.0.1]. However, local finiteness of an action may be lost in the process. For instance, consider the action of the group \( \mathbb{k}^\times \) on the polynomial algebra \( A = \mathbb{k}[x] \) that is determined by \( \lambda.x = \lambda x \) for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times \) and the extended action on the field \( QA = \text{Fract} A = \mathbb{k}(x) \). If \( k \) is infinite, then \( \mathbb{k}(x)_\text{fin} = \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}] \). Part (b) of the lemma focuses on the action \( H \subseteq (QA)_\text{fin} \) rather than \( H \subseteq QA \).

**Lemma 10.** Let \( H \) be pointed cocommutative. Then:

(a) The \( H \)-action on \( A \) extends uniquely to an action \( H \subseteq QA \) and this action stabilizes the subalgebras \( \overline{A} = A(CA) \) and \( CA \).

(b) If the action \( H \subseteq A \) is locally finite with coefficient Hopf algebra \( O \), then the extended action \( H \subseteq (QA)_\text{fin} \) also has coefficient Hopf algebra \( O \).

**Proof.** (a) Since \( H \) is pointed, [16, Cor. 3.5] tells us that the \( H \)-action on \( A \) extends uniquely to an action on \( QA \). This action stabilizes the center, \( CA \), because \( H \) is cocommutative [5, Prop. 4]. Therefore, \( \overline{A} \) is stable as well.

(b) Put \( R = (QA)_\text{fin} \). So \( A \subseteq R \) and the action \( H \subseteq QA \) restricts to a locally finite action \( H \subseteq A \) extending the \( H \)-action on \( A \). We must show that the map \( \delta: R \rightarrow R \otimes H^\circ \) (§1.3) has image in \( R_O = R \otimes O \), given that \( \delta A \subseteq A_O \). Fix \( r \in R \) and consider the subspace \( V = H.r \subseteq R \), which is finite dimensional and \( H \)-stable. Therefore, the ideal \( I = \{ a \in A \mid aAV \subseteq A \} \) of \( A \) has zero (left and) right annihilator in \( R \) [14, Proposition E.1] and \( I \) is an \( H \)-ideal as is readily verified using the following standard identity for \( H \)-module algebras:

\[
(h.x)y = h_1.(x(S(h_2)y)) \quad (h \in H, \ x, y \in R).
\]

By Lemma 5(a), \( \Phi(I \otimes O) = I \otimes O \). Furthermore, by Proposition 6(a), \( \Phi \) gives an algebra automorphism of \( R \otimes H^\circ \) stabilizing \( A_O \) and satisfying \( \Phi \circ \iota = \delta \) by (6). Therefore,

\[
(I \otimes \varepsilon)\delta V \subseteq \Phi((I \otimes O)(V \otimes \varepsilon)) = \Phi(IV \otimes O) \subseteq \Phi(A \otimes O) = A_O.
\]

Thus, \( (I \otimes \varepsilon)\delta r \subseteq R_O \). Since \( \delta r \in R \otimes H^\circ \) and \( I \) has zero right annihilator in \( R \), it follows that \( \delta r \in R_O \), as desired. \( \square \)
3. Prime strata

3.1. Prime correspondences. The central closure $\widetilde{R}$ of a prime ring $R$ is also prime and its center, the extended center $C\widetilde{R}$, is a field. Thus, for an arbitrary ring $R$, we may associate to any $P \in \text{Spec } R$ the field $C(R/P)$, called the heart of $P$.

**Proposition 11.** Let $R$ be a centrally closed prime $k$-algebra and put $K = C\widetilde{R}$. Let $S$ be any $K$-algebra and put $U = R \otimes_K S$. Then we have the following bijections, which are inverse to each other:

$$
\{ P \in \text{Spec } U \mid P \cap R = 0 \} \leftrightarrow \text{Spec } S
$$

This correspondence preserves hearts: $C(U/P) \cong C(S/P \cap S)$. Moreover, if $U \in H_{\text{Alg}}$ with $S$ being an $H$-module subalgebra, then $H$-ideals are matched with $H$-ideals.

**Proof.** Apart from the last assertion, involving an $H$-action, the proposition is identical with [12, Proposition 5]. The bijections in the proposition, contraction and extension of ideals, both evidently send $H$-ideals to $H$-ideals in the given situation. □

**Proposition 12.** Assume that $H$ is pointed cocommutative and let $R, T \in H_{\text{Alg}}$, with $R$ being prime. Put $V := R \otimes T \subseteq \widetilde{V} := \widetilde{R} \otimes T$, where $\widetilde{R}$ denotes the central closure of $R$. Then there is a bijection

$$
\{ P \in \text{Spec } \widetilde{V} \mid P \cap \widetilde{R} = 0 \} \leftrightarrow \{ Q \in \text{Spec } V \mid Q \cap R = 0 \}
$$

This bijection is an order isomorphism for $\subseteq$ and it preserves hearts. Moreover, viewing $\widetilde{R} \in H_{\text{Alg}}$ with the extended $H$-action (Lemma 10) and $V, \widetilde{V} \in H_{\text{Alg}}$ with the standard $H$-action on tensor products, the bijection gives a bijection on $H$-stable primes.

**Proof.** Again, this proposition is covered by [12, Proposition 6] except for the statement about $H$-stability. To justify this assertion, note that tensor products of $H$-module algebras are again $H$-module algebras, with the standard $H$-action via $\Delta$, because $H$ is cocommutative. Thus, $\widetilde{V} \in H_{\text{Alg}}$ and all of $R, \widetilde{R}, T$ and $V$ are $H$-module subalgebras of $\widetilde{V}$. If $P$ is an $H$-ideal of $\widetilde{V}$, then its contraction, $P \cap V$, is clearly an $H$-ideal of $V$. Conversely, let $Q \in \text{Spec } V$ with $Q \cap R = 0$ be $H$-stable. In order to show that the preimage of $Q$ under the bijection in the proposition is an $H$-ideal of $\widetilde{V}$, we recall the construction of the preimage from [12]. The canonical epimorphism $\pi: V \twoheadrightarrow W := V/Q$ is a map in $H_{\text{Alg}}$, and the restriction $\rho := \pi|_R: R \hookrightarrow W$ is an embedding of prime algebras and a centralizing map in $H_{\text{Alg}}$. By [12, Lemma 4], $\rho$ extends uniquely to an embedding of central closures, $\bar{\rho}: \widetilde{R} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{W}$, which is also centralizing.

**Claim.** $\bar{\rho}$ is a map in $H_{\text{Alg}}$ for the extended action $H \subset \widetilde{W}$ (Lemma 10).

To prove the claim, let $\bar{r} \in \widetilde{R}$ and $h \in H$. Fix a nonzero ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $(h, \bar{r})I$ and all $\bar{r}(S(h_2).I)$ are contained in $R$; this is possible by continuity of the action $H \subset \widetilde{R}$ [16, 


Proposition 2.2]. Using $H$-equivariance of $\rho$ one computes, with $x \in I$,

$$\bar{\rho}(h,\bar{r})px = \rho((h,\bar{r})x) = \rho(h_1, (\bar{r}(S(h_2).x))) = h_1.\rho(\bar{r}(S(h_2).x))$$

$$= h_1. (\bar{r} \rho(S(h_2).x)) = h_1. (\bar{r} \rho(S(h_2), \rho x)) = (h, \bar{r} \rho)x,$$

where the last equality uses the identity (14). Thus, $(\bar{\rho}(h,\bar{r}) - h, \bar{r})\rho I = 0$. Since $(\rho I)\tilde{W}$ is a nonzero ideal of $\tilde{W}$, it follows that $\bar{\rho}(h,\bar{r}) = h, \bar{r}$, proving the claim.

The image $\pi T \subseteq W \subseteq \tilde{W}$ centralizes $\rho R$ and hence also $\bar{\rho} \tilde{R} = \rho R \bar{\rho}(Z \tilde{R})$, because $\bar{\rho}(Z \tilde{R}) \subseteq Z \tilde{W}$. Therefore, $\bar{\rho}$ and $\pi|_{\tilde{T}}$ give a homomorphism $\bar{\pi}: \tilde{V} = \tilde{R} \otimes T \rightarrow \tilde{W}$. The preimage of $Q$ in Proposition 12 is $Ker \bar{\pi}$; see [12, proof of Proposition 6]. Finally, since $\bar{\rho}$ and $\pi|_{\tilde{T}}$ are maps in $H_{\text{Alg}}$, it follows that $\bar{\pi}$ is likewise. Hence $Ker \bar{\pi}$ is an $H$-ideal, as desired.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $H$ be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field $k$ and let $H \subset A$ be an integral action. Fix a coefficient Hopf algebra $O \subset H^\circ$ that is an integral domain and write $A_0 = A \otimes O$ as before. Given $I \in H \cdot \text{Spec} A$, our goal is to describe the stratum $\text{Spec}_I A$. Replacing $A$ by $A/I$, we may assume that $A$ is prime (Proposition 7) and focus on the set $\text{Spec}_0 A = \{ P \in \text{Spec} A \mid P \cdot H = 0 \}$.

3.2.1. Put $X := \{ Q \in \text{Spec} A_0 \mid Q \cap A = 0 \}$. We first establish a bijection between $\text{Spec}_0 A$ and the subset $X^H \subseteq X$ consisting of all $Q \in X$ that are stable for the $\cdot$-action (9). Recall that $\Psi$ gives an automorphism of the algebra $A_0$ and, for any $P \in \text{Spec} A$, we have $P':= \Psi(P \otimes O) \in \text{Spec} A_0$; see Proposition 6 and (13). If $P \in \text{Spec}_0 A$, then $P' \in X$ by Lemma 5(b). So we have a map $\text{Spec}_0 A \rightarrow X$, $P \mapsto P'$, which is evidently injective. By Proposition 6(d), the image consists of $H$-ideals; so $P' \in X^H$. Conversely, let $Q \in X^H$ be given and put $P = \Phi(Q) \cap A$; this is a prime ideal of $A$, because $\Phi(Q) \in \text{Spec} A_0$ and the embedding $A \hookrightarrow A_0$ is centralizing. Also, Lemma 5(b) gives $P'H = P' \cap A \subseteq Q \cap A = 0$; so $P \in \text{Spec}_0 A$. Finally, $Q = P'$ by Proposition 6(d). Thus, we have the desired bijection:

$$\text{Spec}_0 A \sim X^H = \{ Q \in \text{Spec} A_0 \mid Q \cap A = 0 \text{ and } Q \text{ is stable under } (9) \}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
  P & \mapsto & P' = \Psi(P \otimes O) \\
\end{array}$$

This bijection has the following properties, with (i) being evident and (ii) resulting from the algebra isomorphism $A_0/P' \cong A_0/(P \otimes O) \cong (A/P) \otimes O$ that comes from $\Psi$:

(i) $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ if and only if $P'_1 \subseteq P'_2$, and

(ii) $\mathcal{C}(A_0/P') \cong \mathcal{C}((A/P) \otimes O)$.

3.2.2. Now put $K = CA$ and $C = K \otimes O$: the former is a $k$-field and the latter a commutative integral domain, identical to the algebra $C_0$ in (3). Let $\tilde{A} = AK$ denote the central closure of $A$ and put $A_0 = A \otimes O \cong \tilde{A} \otimes K C$. Then we have the following isomorphisms of posets (for $\subseteq$), with $d_1$ coming from Proposition 12 and $d_2$ from Proposition 11:

$$d: X = \{ Q \in \text{Spec} A_0 \mid Q \cap A = 0 \} \sim_{d_1} \{ P \in \text{Spec} A_0 \mid P \cap \tilde{A} = 0 \} \sim_{d_2} \text{Spec} C.$$
The composite of the bijection \( \sim' \colon \text{Spec}_0 A \sim X^H \) in §3.2.1, the inclusion \( X^H \hookrightarrow X \), and the above bijection \( d = d_2 \circ d_1 \) yields the map

\[
c \colon \text{Spec}_0 A \overset{\sim'}{\longrightarrow} X^H \overset{c}{\longrightarrow} X \overset{d}{\longrightarrow} \text{Spec} C.
\]

Since \( \sim' \) and both \( d_i \) are order isomorphisms and preserve hearts, the same holds for \( c \):

(i) \( c(P_1) \subseteq c(P_2) \) if and only if \( P_1 \subseteq P_2 \), and

(ii) \( \text{Fract}(C/c(P)) = \mathcal{C}(C/c(P)) \cong \mathcal{C}((A/P) \otimes \mathcal{O}) \).

Finally, \( \text{Im } c \) consists exactly of the \( H \)-ideals in \( \text{Spec } C \). This amounts to checking that \( Q \in X \) is an \( H \)-ideal if and only if \( d(Q) \in \text{Spec } C \) is an \( H \)-ideal. But, by Lemma 10(a) and Proposition 6(c), \( \tilde{A}_O \in H \text{Alg} \) for the \( \cdot \)-action, with \( A_O \) and \( C = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{A}_O) \) being \( H \)-module subalgebras. By Propositions 11 and 12, we know that a given \( P \in \text{Spec } A_O \) with \( P \cap A = 0 \) is an \( H \)-ideal if and only if \( d_2(P) = P \cap C \subseteq \text{Spec } C \) is an \( H \)-ideal and if and only if \( d_1^{-1}(P) = P \cap A_O \subseteq X \) is an \( H \)-ideal. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3.3. Tensor algebras. As an application of Theorem 1, we offer the following result on the tensor algebra \( TV \) of a finite-dimensional representation \( V \in \text{Rep } H \). The \( H \)-action on \( V \) extends uniquely to an action \( H \subseteq TV \) [14, 10.4.2].

**Corollary 13.** Let \( H \) be a cocommutative Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field \( k \) and let \( V \) be a representation of \( H \) with \( 2 \leq \dim_k V < \infty \) and such that the coefficient Hopf algebra of \( V \) (§1.3.2) is a domain. Then every nonzero prime ideal of the tensor algebra \( TV \) contains a nonzero \( H \)-stable prime ideal.

**Proof.** The action \( H \subseteq A = TV \) is integral and we may apply Theorem 1 with \( I = 0 \). By a result of Kharchenko [9], \( QA = A \) and so \( CA = ZA = k \). Therefore, the algebra \( C_0 \) in Theorem 1 coincides with \( \mathcal{O} \), the coefficient Hopf algebra of the representation \( V \). Since \( C_0 = \mathcal{O} \) is \( H \)-simple by Lemma 4, we have \( \text{Spec}^H C_0 = \{ 0 \} \) and Theorem 1 gives \( \text{Spec}_0 A = \{ 0 \} \). Consequently, if \( P \) is a nonzero prime ideal of \( A \), then \( P \notin \text{Spec}_0 A \) and so \( P:H \neq 0 \). Finally, \( P:H \) is an \( H \)-stable prime ideal by Proposition 7.

3.4. Torus actions. For rational torus actions, the set \( \text{Spec}^H C_I \) in Theorem 1 has a simpler description as \( \text{Spec } Z_I \) for an explicit commutative domain \( Z_I \). This was shown in [13], but the presentation contains some inaccuracies which we will now repair.

To start with, let \( H \) be pointed cocommutative and consider an arbitrary locally finite action \( H \subseteq A \) with coefficient Hopf subalgebra \( \mathcal{O} \subseteq H^c \). Associated to any \( I \in H \text{-Spec } A \), we have the commutative algebra \( C_I = C(A/I) \otimes \mathcal{O} \subseteq H \text{Alg} \) as in (3); the \( H \)-action on \( C_I \) is (9) using the action \( H \subseteq C(A/I) \) from Lemma 10(a). This action need not be locally finite (§2.3.2).

Following [13], we consider the locally finite part and the invariants:

\[
Z_I := C(A/I)_{\text{fin}} \supseteq F_I := (C(A/I))^H.
\]

The invariant algebra \( F_I \) is in fact a field [15, Lemma 1.4]. By Lemma 10(b), the action \( H \subseteq Z_I \) has coefficient Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{O} \) and Proposition 6(c) gives the following isomorphism which generalizes [13, Equation (2)]:

\[
Z_I \cong \Psi(Z_I) = (C_I)^H.
\]
Turning to torus actions, let \( k \) be algebraically closed and let \( G \) be an algebraic \( k \)-torus acting rationally on the \( k \)-algebra \( A \). As was remarked in \( \S 0.4 \), the algebra of polynomial functions \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(G) \) serves as a coefficient Hopf algebra for this action. Moreover, \( \mathcal{O} \) is a Laurent polynomial algebra over \( k \), the algebra \( C_I \) is a Laurent polynomial algebra over the field \( C(A/I) \), and the isomorphism \( Z_I \cong (C_I)^G \) in (15) realizes \( Z_I \) as a Laurent polynomial algebra over the field of \( G \)-invariants \( F_I = C(A/I)^G \); see the Stratification Theorem in [13] or [13, Equation (4)]. The set \( \text{Spec}^H C_I \) in Theorem 1 now is the set \( \text{Spec}^G C_I \) consisting of all \( G \)-stable prime ideals of \( C_I \) and \( \text{Spec}^G C_I \cong \text{Spec} Z_I \) by (15).

**Lemma 14** (notation as above). Contraction and extension of ideals give a \( G \)-equivariant order isomorphism \( \text{Spec}^G C_I \cong \text{Spec} (C_I)^G \).

**Proof.** By [13, Equation (5)], all \( G \)-stable ideals of \( S := C_I \) are generated by their intersection with \( R := S^G \). Therefore, the contraction map \( \text{Spec}^G S \to \text{Spec} R \), \( \mathfrak{P} \mapsto \mathfrak{P} \cap R \), is injective. If \( \mathfrak{a} \) is an ideal of \( R \), then its extension \( \mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{a}S \) is a \( G \)-stable ideal of \( S \). Moreover, \( \mathfrak{a} \cap R = \mathfrak{a} \), because \( S \) is free over \( R \) by [13, 2.3]. This shows that \( \mathfrak{a} \) is the only \( G \)-stable ideal of \( S \) that contracts to \( \mathfrak{a} \). Now let \( \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R \) be given and choose an ideal \( \mathfrak{P} \subseteq S \) maximal subject to the condition \( \mathfrak{P} \cap R = \mathfrak{p} \). Then \( \mathfrak{P} \) is prime and hence so is its \( G \)-core by Proposition 7. Thus, \( \mathfrak{P} : G \in \text{Spec}^G S \) and \( \mathfrak{P} : G = \mathfrak{p} \) by the foregoing. This proves surjectivity of the contraction map and that the inverse is given by extension. The statements about \( G \)-equivariance and preservation of inclusions in the lemma are clear. \( \square \)

### 4. Semiprimeness

#### 4.1. Reformulations.** We start this section by giving several reformulations, in terms of the semiprime radical operator \( \sqrt{\cdot} \), of the conclusion of Theorem 2, which is equivalent to (ii) in the lemma below. The semiprime radical of a subset \( X \subseteq A \), by definition, is the unique smallest semiprime ideal of \( A \) containing \( X \):

\[
\sqrt{X} = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Spec} A \atop P \supseteq X} P.
\]

We continue to assume that \( A \in H \text{Alg} \); the Hopf algebra \( H \) can be arbitrary for now.

**Lemma 15.** The following are equivalent:

(i) If \( I \) is an \( H \)-ideal of \( A \), then so is \( \sqrt{I} \);

(ii) for all ideals \( I \) of \( A \), the \( H \)-core \( \sqrt{I:H} \) is semiprime;

(iii) \( H, \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{H:I} \) for any ideal \( I \) of \( A \).

**Proof.** (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). We may assume that \( I \) is semiprime. Then \( \sqrt{I:H} \subseteq \sqrt{I} = I \), since \( \sqrt{\cdot} \) preserves inclusions. In fact, \( \sqrt{T:H} \subseteq I:H \), because \( \sqrt{T:H} \) is an \( H \)-ideal by (i). The reverse inclusion being trivial, it follows that \( I:H = \sqrt{T:H} \) is semiprime.

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). Let \( J \) denote the ideal of \( A \) that is generated by the subset \( HJ \subseteq A \). Then \( \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{HJ} \) and \( J \) is easily seen to be an \( H \)-ideal. (If the antipode \( S \) is bijective, then \( J = HJ \) [14, Exercise 10.4.3].) Thus, \( J = J:H \subseteq \sqrt{J:H} \) and the latter ideal is semiprime by (ii). It follows that \( \sqrt{J:H} = \sqrt{J:H} \subseteq \sqrt{J:H} \). Again, the reverse inclusion is clear; so \( \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{J:H} \). Therefore, \( H, \sqrt{J} \subseteq H, \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{J:H} \).

(iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Specialize (iii) to the case where \( I = J \) is an \( H \)-ideal. \( \square \)
4.2. Extending the base field. For the proof of Theorem 2, we may work over an algebraically closed base field. This follows by taking $K$ to be an algebraic closure of $k$ in the argument below.

Let $K/k$ be any field extension and put $H' = H \otimes K$ and $A' = A \otimes K$. Then $A' \in H'\text{Alg}$ and $H'$ is cocommutative if $H$ is so. Assuming Theorem 2 to hold for $A'$, our goal is to show that it also holds for $A$. So let $I$ be a semiprime ideal of $A$. Viewing $A$ as being contained in $A'$ in the usual way, $IA'$ is an ideal of $A'$ satisfying $IA' \cap A = I$. By Zorn's Lemma, we may choose an ideal $I'$ of $A'$ that is maximal subject to the condition $I' \cap A = I$. Then $I'$ is semiprime. For, if $J$ is any ideal of $A'$ such that $J \supsetneq I'$, then $J \cap A \supsetneq I$ by maximality of $I'$, and so $(J \cap A)^2 \not\subseteq I$ by semiprimeness of $I$. Since $(J \cap A)^2 \subseteq J^2 \cap A$, it follows that $J^2 \not\subseteq I'$, proving that $I'$ is semiprime. Therefore, by our assumption, the core $I':H'$ is semiprime. Since the extension $A \rightarrow A'$ is centralizing, it follows that $(I':H') \cap A$ is a semiprime ideal of $A$. Finally, $(I':H') \cap A = \{a \in A \mid H'.a \subseteq I'\} = \{a \in A \mid H.a \subseteq I' \cap A = I\} = I:H$ by (1), giving the desired conclusion that $I:H$ is semiprime.

4.3. Enveloping algebras.

4.3.1. For any ring $R$, let $R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in A}$ denote the ring of formal power series in the commuting variables $X_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in A$) over $R$; see [3, Chap. III, §2, n° 11].

Lemma 16. Let $R$ be a ring, let $\Lambda$ be any set, and let $S$ be a subring of $R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in A}$ such that $S$ maps onto $R$ under the homomorphism $R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in A} \rightarrow R, X_\lambda \mapsto 0$. If $R$ is prime (resp., semiprime, a domain) then so is $S$.

Proof. We write monomials in the variables $X_\lambda$ as $X^n = \prod X_\lambda^{n(\lambda)} (n \in M)$, where $M = \mathbb{Z}_+^{(\Lambda)}$ denotes the additive monoid of all functions $n: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $n(\lambda) = 0$ for almost all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Fix a total order $<$ on $M$ having the following properties (e.g., [1, Example 2.5]): every nonempty subset of $M$ has a smallest element; the zero function $0$ is the smallest element of $M$; and $n < m$ implies $n + r < m + r$ for all $n, m, r \in M$.

For any $0 \neq s = \sum_{n \in M} s_n X^n \in R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in A}$, we may consider its lowest coefficient, $s_{\text{min}} := s_m$ with $m = \min \{n \in M \mid s_n \neq 0\}$. If $R$ is prime and $0 \neq s, t \in S$ are given, then $0 \neq s_{\text{min}} t_{\text{min}}$ for some $r \in R$. By assumption, there exists an element $u \in S$ having the form $u = r + \sum_{n \neq 0} u_n X^n$. It follows that $s u t \neq 0$, with $(s u t)_{\text{min}} = s_{\text{min}} t_{\text{min}}$. This proves that $S$ is prime. For the assertions where $R$ is semiprime or a domain, take $s = t$ or $r = 1$, respectively. \hfill $\square$

4.3.2. Now let $H = U \mathfrak{g}$ be the enveloping algebra of an arbitrary Lie $k$-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that $\text{char} \ k = 0$. For the proof of the next proposition, we recall the structure of the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}_k(H, R)$ for an arbitrary $k$-algebra $R$. Let $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a $k$-basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and fix a total order of the index set $\Lambda$. Put $M = \mathbb{Z}_+^{(\Lambda)}$ as in the proof of Lemma 16 and, for each $n \in M$, put $e_n = \prod_{\lambda < n(\lambda)!} e_\lambda^{n(\lambda)} \in H$, where the superscript $<$ indicates that the factors occur in the order of increasing $\lambda$. The elements $e_n$ form a $k$-basis of $H$ by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, and the comultiplication of $H$ is given by $\Delta e_n = \sum_{r+s=n} e_r \otimes e_s$; see [14, Example 9.5]. Writing $X^n = \prod X_\lambda^{n(\lambda)}$ as in the proof of Lemma 16, we obtain an
isomorphism of $\mathbb{k}$-algebras,

$$\varphi: \text{Hom}_k(H, R) \cong R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{n \in M} f(\epsilon_n)X^n.$$  

Under this isomorphism, the algebra map $u^*: \text{Hom}_k(H, R) \to R, f \mapsto f(1)$, coming from the unit map $u = u_H: \mathbb{k} \to H$ translates into the map $R[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \to R$, $X_\lambda \mapsto 0$, as considered in Lemma 16.

The proposition below is not new; it can be found in Dixmier’s book [6, 3.3.2 and 3.8.8], albeit with a very different proof. Recall that an ideal of a ring is said to be completely prime if the quotient is a domain.

**Proposition 17.** Let $H = U G$ be the enveloping algebra of a Lie $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $g$, let $A \in H_{Alg}$, and let $I$ be an ideal of $A$. Assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. If $I$ is prime, semiprime or completely prime, then $I: H$ is likewise.

**Proof.** By (1), the core $I: H$ is identical to the kernel of the map $\delta_I: A \to \text{Hom}_k(H, A/I)$ that is given by $\delta_I(a) = (h \mapsto h.a + I)$. We need to show that the properties of being prime, semiprime, or a domain all transfer from $A/I$ to the subring $\delta_I A \subseteq \text{Hom}(H, A/I)$ or, equivalently, to the subring $S \subseteq (A/I)[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ that corresponds to $\delta_I A$ under the above isomorphism $\varphi$. Consider the map $u^*: \text{Hom}_k(H, A/I) \to A/I, f \mapsto f(1)$, and note that $(u^* \circ \delta_I)(a) = a + I$ for $a \in A$. Therefore, $S$ maps onto $A/I$ under the map $(A/I)[[X_\lambda]]_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \to A/I, X_\lambda \mapsto 0$. Now all assertions follow from Lemma 16. □

**4.3.3.** For an arbitrary cocommutative Hopf algebra $H$, we cannot expect a result as strong as Proposition 17: group algebras provide easy counterexamples to the primeness and complete primeness assertions. Indeed, let $H = \mathbb{k}G$ be the group $\mathbb{k}$-algebra of the group $G$ and let $A \in H_{Alg}$. Then $I: H = \bigcap_{g \in G} g.I$ for any ideal $I$ of $A$. If $I$ is semiprime, then so are all $g.I$, because each $g \in G$ acts on $A$ by algebra automorphisms, and hence $\bigcap_{g \in G} g.I$ will be semiprime also. However, primeness and complete primeness, while inherited by each $g.I$, are generally lost upon taking the intersection.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let $H$ be cocommutative Hopf and assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and that $\mathbb{k}$ is algebraically closed, as we may by §4.2. Then $H$ has the structure of a smash product, $H \cong U \# V$, where $U$ is the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of primitive elements of $H$ and $V$ is the group algebra of the group of grouplike elements of $H$; see [19, §13.1] or [17, §15.3]. Thus, both $U$ and $V$ are Hopf subalgebras of $H$ and $H = UV$, the $\mathbb{k}$-space spanned by all products $uv$ with $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Viewing $A \in U_{Alg}$ and $A \in V_{Alg}$ by restriction, repeated application of (1) gives the following equality for any ideal $I$ of $A$:

$$I: H = \{a \in A \mid UV.a \subseteq I\} = \{a \in A \mid V.a \subseteq I: U\} = (I: U): V.$$  

If $I$ is semiprime, then so is $I: U$ (Proposition 17). Our remarks on group algebras in the first paragraph of this proof further give semiprimeness of $(I: U): V$. Thus, $I: H$ is semiprime and Theorem 2 is proved. □

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the referee for pointing out reference [6] in connection with Proposition 17 above.
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