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Abstract: Here is one of the results obtained in this paper: Let $X, Y$ be two convex sets each in a real vector space, let $J : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and without global minima in $X$ and concave in $Y$, and let $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be strictly convex. Also, assume that, for some topology on $X$, $\Phi$ is lower semicontinuous and, for each $y \in Y$ and $\lambda > 0$, $J(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ is inf-compact.

Then, for each $r \in \bigcap_{x} \Phi^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$ and for each closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}([r, +\infty[) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_{y} \inf_{S} J = \inf_{S} \sup_{y} J .$$
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1. Introduction

A real-valued function $f$ on a topological space is said to be inf-compact (resp. sup-compact) if $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$ is compact for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

A real-valued function $f$ on a convex set is said to be quasi-concave if $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$ is convex for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

In [3], we proved two general minimax theorems which, grouped together, can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.A ([3], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). - Let $X$ be a topological space, $Y$ a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ a function such that $f(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous, inf-compact and has a unique global minimum for all $y \in Y$. Moreover, assume that either, for each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and quasi-concave or, for each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is concave.

Then, one has

$$\sup_{y} \inf_{X} f = \inf_{X} \sup_{y} f .$$

Theorem 1.A was first proved in the case where $Y$ is a real interval ([1], [2]) and successively extended to the general case by means of a suitable inductive argument.

In [1], we applied Theorem 1.A (with $Y$ a real interval) to obtain a result ([1], Theorem 1) about the following problem: given two functions $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$, find an interval $I \subseteq g(X)$ such that, for each $r \in I$, the restriction of $f$ to $g^{-1}(r)$ has a unique global minimum.

The aim of the present paper is to establish a new minimax theorem (Theorem 2.1) which is the fruit of a joint application of Theorem 1.A and Theorem 1 of [1]. So, it follows, essentially, from a double application of Theorem 1.A, as the title stresses.
We then show some consequences of Theorem 2.1.

2. Results

In the sequel, $X$ is a topological space, $Y$ is a non-empty set, $J : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $a, b$ are two numbers in $[0, +\infty]$, with $a < b$.

For $y \in Y$ and $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$, we denote by $M_{\lambda,y}$ the set of all global minima of the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ if $\lambda < +\infty$, while if $\lambda = +\infty$, $M_{\lambda,y}$ stands for the empty set. We adopt the conventions $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$, $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$.

We also set

$$\alpha := \sup_{y \in Y} \max \left\{ \inf_x \Phi, \sup_x \Phi \right\},$$

$$\beta := \inf_{y \in Y} \min \left\{ \sup_x \Phi, \inf_x \Phi \right\}.$$

The following assumption will be adopted:

(a) $Y$ is a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and either, for each $x \in X$, the function $J(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and quasi-concave, or, for each $x \in X$, the function $J(x, \cdot)$ is concave.

Our main result is as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. - Besides (a), assume that:

(a$_1$) $\alpha < \beta$;

(a$_2$) $\Phi$ is lower semicontinuous;

(a$_3$) for each $\lambda \in [a, b]$ and each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ is inf-compact and admits a unique global minimum in $X$.

Then, for each $r \in [a, b]$ and for each closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r]) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{S} J = \inf_{S} \sup_{Y} J .$$

PROOF. Since $r \in [a, b]$, for each $y \in Y$, Theorem 1 of [1] (see Remark 1 of [1]) ensures the existence of $\lambda_{r,y} \in [a, b]$ such that the unique global minimum of $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda_{r,y} \Phi(\cdot)$, say $x_{r,y}$, lies in $\Phi^{-1}(r)$. Notice that $x_{r,y}$ is the only global minimum of the restriction of the function $J(\cdot, y)$ to $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$. Indeed, if not, there would exist $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$, with $u \neq x_{r,y}$, such that $J(u, y) \leq J(x_{r,y}, y)$. Then, (since $\lambda_{r,y} > 0$) we would have

$$J(u, y) + \lambda_{r,y} \Phi(u) \leq J(x_{r,y}, y) + \lambda_{r,y} \Phi(u) \leq J(x_{r,y}, y) + \lambda_{r,y} r = J(x_{r,y}, y) + \lambda_{r,y} \Phi(x_{r,y})$$

which is absurd. Therefore, since $S$ satisfies (2.1), the restriction of $J(\cdot, y)$ to $S$ has a unique global minimum. Now, observe that, for each $y \in Y$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in [a, b]$, one has

$$\{ x \in S : J(x, y) \leq \rho \} \subseteq \{ x \in X : J(x, y) + \lambda \Phi(x) \leq \rho + \lambda r \} .$$

By assumption, the set on the right-hand side is compact. Hence, the set $\{ x \in S : J(x, y) \leq \rho \}$, being closed, is compact too. Summarizing: for each $y \in Y$, the restriction of the function $J(\cdot, y)$ to $S$ is lower semicontinuous, inf-compact and has a unique global minimum. So, $J|_{S \times Y}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.A and hence (2.2) follows. △

REMARK 2.1. - From the above proof, it follows that, when $X$ is Hausdorff and each sequentially compact subset of $X$ is compact, Theorem 2.1 is still valid if we replace “lower semicontinuous”, “inf-compact”,
“closed” with “sequentially lower semicontinuous”, “sequentially inf-compact”, “sequentially closed”, respectively.

We now draw a series of consequences from Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** - In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, suppose that \( \beta = \sup_X \Phi \) and that \( \Phi \) has no global maximum. Moreover, suppose that the function \( J(x, \cdot) \) is upper semicontinuous for all \( x \in X \) and \( J(x_0, \cdot) \) is sup-compact for some \( x_0 \in X \).

Then, one has
\[
\sup_{y'} \inf_X J = \inf_X \sup_{y'} J.
\]

**PROOF.** Clearly, the assumptions imply that
\( X = \bigcup_{\alpha < r < \beta} \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r)) \).

Since the family \( \{\Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])\}_{r \in [\alpha, \beta]} \) is filtering with respect to inclusion, the conclusion follows from a joint application of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 of [3].

Another corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

**COROLLARY 2.2.** - Besides \((a)\), assume that \( X \) is a convex set in a real vector space and that:

\((b_1)\) \( \Phi \) is lower semicontinuous and strictly convex;
\((b_2)\) for each \( \lambda > 0 \) and each \( y \in Y \), the function \( J(\cdot, y) \) is convex, lower semicontinuous and has no global minima, and the function \( J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot) \) is inf-compact.

Then, for each \( r \in \inf_X \Phi, \sup_X \Phi \) and for each closed set \( S \subseteq X \) satisfying
\( \Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r]) \), one has
\[
\sup_{y'} \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_{y'} J.
\]

**PROOF.** We apply Theorem 2.1 taking \( a = 0 \) and \( b = +\infty \). So, we have
\( \alpha = \inf_X \Phi \)
as well as
\( \beta = \sup_X \Phi \)
since \( M_{0, y} = \emptyset \) for all \( y \in Y \). By strict convexity, the function \( J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot) \) has a unique global minimum for all \( y \in Y, \lambda > 0 \). So, each assumption of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied and the conclusion follows.

**REMARK 2.2.** - We stress that, in Corollary 2.2, no relation is required between the considered topology on \( X \) and the algebraic structure of the vector space which contains it.

**REMARK 2.3.** - In the setting of Corollary 2.2, although \( J \) is convex in \( X \), we cannot apply the classical Fan-Sion theorem when \( S \) is not convex.

If \( E, F \) are Banach spaces and \( A \subseteq E \), a function \( \psi : A \to F \) is said to be \( C^1 \) if it is the restriction to \( A \) of a \( C^1 \) function on an open convex set containing \( A \).

A further remarkable corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

**COROLLARY 2.3.** - Besides \((a)\), assume that \( X \) is a closed and convex set in a reflexive real Banach space \( E \) and that:
\((c_1)\) \( \Phi \) is of class \( C^1 \) and there is \( \nu > 0 \) such that
\[
(\Phi'(x) - \Phi'(u))(x - u) \geq \nu \|x - u\|^2
\]
for all \( x, u \in X \);

(c2) for each \( y \in Y \), the function \( J(., y) \) is \( C^1 \), sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and \( J'_x(., y) \) is Lipschitzian with constant \( L \) (independent of \( y \));

(c3) \( \inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{M_{\frac{L}{y}}} \Phi > \inf_X \Phi \).

Then, for each \( r \in ]0, \inf_{y \in Y} \Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\{ -\infty, r \}) \),

one has

\[
\sup_{Y \in S} \inf_{S \in Y} J = \inf_{S \in Y} \sup_{Y \in S} J .
\]

PROOF. For each \( x, u \in X, y \in Y, \lambda \geq \frac{1}{L} \), we have

\[
(J'_x(x, y) + \lambda \Phi'(x) - J'_x(u, y) - \lambda \Phi'(u))(x - u) \\
\geq \lambda \nu \| x - u \|^2 - \| J'_x(x, y) - J'_x(u, y) \|_E \| x - u \| \geq (\lambda \nu - L)\| x - u \|^2 .
\]

Hence, the function \( J(., y) + \lambda \Phi(., y) \), if \( \lambda > \frac{1}{L} \), is strictly convex and coercive when \( X \) is unbounded ([4], pp. 247-249). Hence, if we consider \( X \) with the relative weak topology, we can apply Theorem 2.1 (in the sequential form pointed out in Remark 2.1) taking \( a = \frac{1}{L} \) and \( b = +\infty \), and the conclusion follows.

\( \triangle \)

If \( E \) is a normed space, for each \( r > 0 \), we put

\[
B_r = \{ x \in E : \| x \| \leq r \} .
\]

If \( A \subseteq E \), a function \( f : A \rightarrow E \) is said to be sequentially weakly-strongly continuous if, for each \( x \in A \) and for each sequence \( \{ x_k \} \) in \( A \) weakly converging to \( x \), the sequence \( \{ f(x_k) \} \) converges strongly to \( f(x) \).

COROLLARY 2.4. - Let \( E \) be a real Hilbert space and let \( X = B_{\rho} \) for some \( \rho > 0 \). Besides (a) and (c2), assume that

\[
\delta := \inf_{y \in Y} \| J'_x(0, y) \| > 0 .
\]

Then, for each \( r \in ]0, \min \{ \rho, \frac{\delta}{2L} \} \), one has

\[
\sup_{Y \in B_r} \inf_{B_r \subseteq Y} J = \inf_{B_r \subseteq Y} \sup_{Y \in B_r} J .
\]

PROOF. Apply Corollary 2.3, taking \( \Phi(x) = \| x \|^2 \). Let \( y \in Y \) and \( \tilde{x} \in M_{\frac{\rho}{y}} \), with \( \| \tilde{x} \| < \rho \). Then, we have

\[
J'_x(\tilde{x}, y) + L\tilde{x} = 0 .
\]

Consequently, in view of (c2), we have

\[
\| L\tilde{x} + J'_x(0, y) \| \leq \| L\tilde{x} \|. 
\]

In turn, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this readily implies that

\[
\| \tilde{x} \| \geq \frac{\| J'_x(0, y) \|}{2L} \geq \frac{\delta}{2L} .
\]

Therefore, we have the estimate

\[
\inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in M_{\frac{\rho}{y}}} \| x \| \geq \min \left\{ \rho, \frac{\delta}{2L} \right\}
\]

and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.3.

\( \triangle \)

We now apply Corollary 2.4 to a particular function \( J \).
COROLLARY 2.5. - Let $E, X$ be as in Corollary 2.4, let $Y \subseteq E$ be a closed bounded convex set and let $f : X \to E$ be a sequentially weakly-strongly continuous $C^1$ function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant $\gamma$. Moreover, let $\eta$ be the Lipschitz constant of the function $x \to x - f(x)$, set

$$
\theta := \sup_{x \in X} \|f'(x)\|_{L(E)},
$$

$$
L := 2 \left( \eta + \theta + \gamma \left( \rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\| \right) \right)
$$

and assume that

$$
\sigma := \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{\|u\| = 1} \| \langle f'(0)(u), y \rangle - \langle f(0), u \rangle \| > 0.
$$

Then, for each $r \in ]0, \min \{\rho, \frac{\eta}{L}\}$ and for each non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$, there exist $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$
\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 \leq \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 = (\text{dist}(f(x^*), T))^2
$$

for all $x \in B_r$.

PROOF. Consider the function $J : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
J(x, y) = \|f(x) - x\|^2 - \|f(x) - y\|^2
$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Clearly, for each $y \in Y$, $J(\cdot, y)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and $C^1$. Moreover, one has

$$
\langle J'_x(x, y), u \rangle = 2(x - f(x), u) - 2(f'(x)(u), x - y)
$$

for all $x \in X$, $u \in E$. Fix $x, v \in X$ and $u \in E$, with $\|u\| = 1$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left| \langle J'_x(x, y) - J'_x(v, y), u \rangle \right| = |\langle x - f(x) - v + f(v), u \rangle - \langle J'(x)(u), x - y \rangle + \langle J'(v)(u), v - y \rangle|
$$

$$
\leq \eta \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u), x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u), v - y\|
$$

$$
\leq \eta \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u)\| \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u)\| \|v - y\| \leq \left( \eta + \theta + \gamma \left( \rho + \sup_{y \in Y} \|y\| \right) \right) \|x - v\|.
$$

Therefore, the function $J'(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant $L$. Fix $r \in ]0, \min \{\rho, \frac{\eta}{L}\}$ and a non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$. Clearly

$$
\inf_{y \in T} \|J'_x(0, y)\| \geq \inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| = 2\sigma
$$

and

$$
\frac{\inf_{y \in T} \|J'_x(0, y)\|}{2L} > r.
$$

Then, applying Corollary 2.4 to the restriction of $J$ to $B_r \times T$, we get

$$
\sup_{T} \inf_{B_r} J = \inf_{B_r} \sup_{T} J.
$$

By the weak compactness of $B_r$ and $T$, we then infer the existence of $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$
J(x^*, y) \leq J(x^*, y^*) \leq J(x, y^*)
$$

for all $x \in B_r$, $y \in T$ which is equivalent to the conclusion. \(\triangle\)

From Corollary 2.5, in turn, we draw the following characterization about the existence and uniqueness of fixed points:
COROLLARY 2.6. - Let the assumptions of Corollary 2.5 be satisfied. Then, for each \( r \in [0, \min \{ \rho, \frac{\|I\|}{\rho} \}) \) such that \( f(B_r) \subseteq Y \), the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) the function \( f \) has a unique fixed point in \( B_r \);
(ii) the function \( f \) has a fixed point in \( B_r \);
(iii) for each \( x \in B_r \) for which \( f(x) \notin B_r \), there exists \( v \in B_r \) such that

\[
\| f(x) - x \|^2 > \| f(v) - v \|^2 - \| f(v) - f(x) \|^2.
\]

PROOF. The implications \((i) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (iii)\) are obvious. So, suppose that \((iii)\) holds. Apply Corollary 2.5 taking \( T = \overline{\text{conv}}(f(B_r)) \). Let \( x^*, y^* \) be as in the conclusion of Corollary 2.5. Then, we have

\[
\| f(x^*) - y^* \| = \text{dist}(f(x^*), T) = 0
\]

and

\[
\| x^* - f(x^*) \|^2 + \| f(x) - f(x^*) \|^2 - \| x - f(x) \|^2 \leq 0 \tag{2.3}
\]

for all \( x \in B_r \). Clearly, in view of \((iii)\), we have \( f(x^*) \in B_r \). So, in particular, \((2.3)\) holds for \( x = f(x^*) \) and this implies that

\[
\| x^* - f(x^*) \| \leq 0
\]

that is \( x^* \) is a fixed point of \( f \) in \( B_r \). Finally, if \( \hat{x} \in B_r \) and \( \hat{x} = f(\hat{x}) \), from \((2.3)\) it follows that \( f(\hat{x}) = f(x^*) \), and so \( \hat{x} = x^* \). That is, \( x^* \) is the unique fixed point of \( f \) in \( B_r \). \( \triangle \)

REMARK 2.4. - It is important to notice that, when \( \dim(E) < \infty \), Corollaries 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 are still valid replacing \( B_r \) with any closed set \( S \) satisfying \( \partial B_r \subseteq S \subseteq B_r \).
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