Collapse and revival of quantum many-body scars via Floquet engineering
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We study the dynamics of a periodically driven tilted Bose-Hubbard model in one dimension deep inside its Mott phase starting from a $Z_2$ symmetry-broken state. We find long-time oscillations in the density-density correlation function of the bosons and relate them to the presence of quantum many-body scars in the eigenpectrum of its Floquet Hamiltonian. For large drive frequency $\omega_D$, where a standard Magnus expansion is adequate for describing the Floquet Hamiltonian, these states are identical to their equilibrium counterparts studied earlier. In contrast, for very low frequencies, where the Magnus expansion fails, we find that the dynamics of the correlators are controlled by thermal states with volume law entanglement indicating absence of scars. In between, for large drive amplitude, the system displays several reentrant transitions as a function of $\omega_D$ from regimes with scars to those with no scars. We discuss signatures of these transitions in the correlation function dynamics and evolution of fidelity of the system, provide a qualitative understanding of their origin, and chart out experiments which can test our theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical mechanics of isolated quantum systems driven out of equilibrium has been extensively studied in recent years\cite{1} leading to fundamental understanding regarding the applicability of thermodynamics to isolated quantum systems\cite{2}. It is now accepted that for non-integrable isolated quantum systems, the evolution of a generic initial out-of-equilibrium many-body state would eventually lead to thermalization\cite{3,4}. Indeed, the bulk spectrum of eigenstates of any generic quantum Hamiltonian is expected to satisfy eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)\cite{5}. This feature is central to having ergodicity and eventual thermalization in such systems. One of the key signatures of ETH is the absence of long-lived coherent oscillations in few body observables of non-integrable quantum systems. All such oscillations are destroyed within the thermalization time after which the matrix elements of such observables between any two finite energy density eigenstates within a small energy window become identical to that obtained from a micro-canonical distribution\cite{2}. However, this hypothesis fails in certain cases with the most well-known example being interacting one-dimensional (1D) systems with strong disorder in their many-body localized (MBL) phase\cite{6}.

More recently another example of failure of ETH, due to the presence of quantum many-body scar states, has been studied extensively\cite{7,8}. The idea of scars originated in dynamics of classical systems where they correspond to unstable periodic orbits which evade otherwise omnipresent ergodic dynamics\cite{9}. In quantum systems, such states were first studied for single particle quantum billiards\cite{10}, in this context, they correspond to wavefunctions whose probability densities peak around the unstable periodic trajectories of their classical counterpart. More recently, it has been theoretically predicted that quantum many-body systems may host such states. These predictions originated from attempts to explain experimentally observed persistent quantum coherent oscillations in finite size chains of Rydberg atoms; such oscillations can not be understood within the framework of ETH\cite{11}. It was found that the tilted Bose-Hubbard model which describes the low-energy behavior of these systems hosts a set of many-body scar states which do not follow ETH. These finite energy density eigenstates display a sub-thermal logarithmically growing entanglement with subsystem size $L$: $S_L \sim \ln L$\cite{12}. This behavior is in sharp contrast to linear growth of $S_L$ with $L$ for states with finite energy density in 1D systems which obey ETH. Since these states have large overlap with $Z_2$ symmetry broken initial states studied in the experiments (which corresponds to one Rydberg excitation at every alternate site of the chain), they control the quantum dynamics of these systems and lead to long-time coherent oscillations of correlation functions. The presence of these states also lead to persistent periodic revival of fidelity in these systems. No such persistent oscillations or fidelity revivals were found with other initial states prepared in the experiments; for these starting states, the dynamics of the system led to fast equilibration (and rapid decay of fidelity) as expected within the ETH framework\cite{13}.

The dynamics of periodically driven closed quantum systems has also been studied widely in recent years\cite{14}. One of the central concepts of such studies is the Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F$ which contains sufficient information for describing stroboscopic dynamics of such systems\cite{15}. The Floquet Hamiltonian for any periodically driven system with a time period $T = 2\pi/\omega_D$, where $\omega_D$ is the drive frequency, is related to its evolution operator $U$ by $U(nT,0) = \exp[-iH_FnT]$ for any integer ($n$) number of drive period. The concept of $H_F$ has been proved extremely useful for studying both long-time steady states\cite{16,17} and topological transitions\cite{18} in such systems. It is well-known that driven non-integrable systems exhibit infinite temperature steady state. More recently, it was shown that the eigenstates of $H_F$ for
such systems exhibit ETH in the thermodynamic limit\cite{15}. However, the fate of these eigenstates for finite sized systems and the possibility of existence of quantum scars among them has not been studied so far.

Here, we discuss the physics of the periodically driven tilted Bose Hubbard model deep inside the Mott phase of the bosons. As shown in Ref.\cite{17}, in this regime the low energy property of the bosons are well represented by a dipole model

\[ H_d = -w \sum_{\ell} (d_{\ell} + d_{\ell}^\dagger) + \lambda \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell} \]  

(1)

where \( d_\ell \) denotes a dipole annihilation operator on link \( \ell \) between sites neighboring \( i \) and \( j \) on a 1D lattice, \( n_\ell = d_\ell^\dagger d_\ell \) is the dipole number operator on link \( \ell \), \( w \) is the amplitude of spontaneous dipole creation or destruction, and \( \lambda \) is the chemical potential for the dipoles. This dipole model is to be supplemented by two constraints which make it non-integrable: \( n_\ell \leq 1 \) and \( n_\ell n_{\ell+1} = 0 \) for all links. The phase diagram of this model has been studied theoretically in Ref.\cite{17} and has also been experimentally verified.\cite{15} It is well-known that \( H_d \) support a quantum phase transition at \( \lambda_c = -1.31 w \) separating a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetry broken ground state (\( |\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle \)) for \( \lambda < \lambda_c \) and a featureless dipole vacuum (\( |0\rangle \)) for \( \lambda > \lambda_c \). The non-equilibrium dynamics of this model has also been studied for quench, ramp and periodic protocols.\cite{19}

It is well known that the dipole model forms an effective description for Rydberg bosons studied in recent experiment\cite{20} and can be used to study their ramp\cite{21} and quench dynamics.\cite{22} The latter class of studies noticed persistent oscillation in the density-density correlation function of the Rydberg excitations when one starts from an initial \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetric state. Such dynamics in terms of the dipole model (Eq. 1) amounts to evolution with \( H_d[\lambda = 0] \) following a quench to \( \lambda = 0 \) from the dipole ground state (\( |\lambda\rangle \gg w \) and \( \lambda < 0 \)). These persistent oscillations are attributed to the presence of quantum many-body scars in the eigenspectrum of \( H_d[\lambda = 0] \). However, no such oscillation has been observed when the evolution occurred following quench to large \( \lambda \); this feature has been attributed to the fact that the model becomes nearly integrable in this limit. To the best of our knowledge, analogous studies focusing on the stability of quantum scars and their role in shaping the dynamics of the correlation functions have not been carried out in the presence of a periodic drive.

In this work, we study the dynamics of the periodically driven dipole model when the chemical potential of the dipoles, \( \lambda \), becomes time-dependent. Our drive protocol consists of a square pulse with time period \( T \): \( \lambda(t) = +(-)\lambda \) for \( t \leq (>)T/2 \). The main results that we find from our study are as follows. First, starting from an initial \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetric state we numerically show the presence of long time oscillations of dipole density-density correlator for a wide range of drive frequencies. Such oscillations have characteristic frequencies which are different from \( \omega_D \) indicating lack of synchronization. In contrast, the dynamics of these correlators starting from other initial states such as \( |0\rangle \) did not show long time oscillations in accordance with prediction from ETH. Second, we find that the character of the oscillations depend strongly on the drive frequencies. At \( h\omega_D/\lambda > 1 \), the oscillations are mostly governed by the frequency arising from energy separation of the scar states in the Floquet spectrum and its multiples. This situation is qualitatively similar to that found for quench dynamics of the PXP model\cite{23} (whose Hamiltonian is identical to \( H_d[\lambda = 0] \)) whose coefficient depends on \( \omega_D \). Indeed a computation of the Floquet Hamiltonian using Magnus expansion up to third order in \( 1/\omega_D \) shows this equivalence. We find that for \( h\omega_D/\lambda \gg 1 \), \( H_F \) can be well represented by a renormalized PXP model; in contrast, for \( h\omega_D/\lambda \sim 1 \), the higher order terms in \( H_F \) becomes important and contribute to reduction of the energy spacing of the scar states leading to a sharp drop in the oscillation frequency of the correlators. Third, for \( \omega_D/\lambda \ll 1 \), where the Magnus expansion clearly breaks down, the behavior of the correlator agrees with that expected from ETH. We show numerically that such a dynamics is controlled by a set of states in the eigenspectrum of \( H_F \) which show a clear volume law behavior for entanglement entropy (in contrast to the expected logarithmic behavior of usual scar states). Finally, for \( 2/\lambda/(h\omega_D) < 20 \) and \( \lambda \gg w \), we find several drive-frequency induced transitions from regimes where the dynamics is controlled by scars to regimes where scars are absent. We show that both the dynamics of the correlation function and the behavior of the fidelity of the system as a function of \( n \), being qualitatively different in the two regimes, provides a clear experimentally discernable indication of such transitions. We provide a simple qualitative picture for these reentrant transitions and chart out experiments which may test our theory.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we relate our model with the experimentally studied Rydberg chain, and obtain its Floquet Hamiltonian using Magnus expansion. Next, in Sec. III we present numerical results on the dynamics of the density-density correlators displaying persistent oscillations for a wide range of drive frequencies. We also discuss the drive-frequency induced transitions, relate them to the presence/absence of scars in the Floquet spectrum, and provide a qualitative understanding for their occurrence. Finally, we discuss our main result, chart out experiments which can test our theory, and conclude in Sec. IV. The main text is supported by two appendices. In App. A we provide a detailed derivation of the Magnus expansion while in App. B we chart out details of some aspects of our numerical procedure for computing the half-chain entanglement entropy for Floquet eigenstates of the periodically driven dipole model.
II. MODEL AND FLOQUET HAMILTONIAN

The Hamiltonian of the Bose-Hubbard model with a tilt is given by [1]

\[ H = -w' \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (b_i^\dagger b_j + h.c.) - \sum_i (\mu + E_0) n_i^0 \]

\[ + \sum_i \frac{U}{2} n_i^b (n_i^b - 1) \]

(2)

where \( b_i \) (\( b_i^\dagger \)) denotes the boson annihilation (creation) operator on site \( i \) of a 1D chain, \( n_i^b = b_i^\dagger b_i \) is the boson number operator, \( E_0 \) denotes the magnitude of the tilt, \( \mu \) is the chemical potential, \( w' \) is the hopping amplitude, and \( U \) is the on-site interaction between the bosons. This Hamiltonian can be implemented via the ground state projection operator \( P_t = |1 - \sigma_z^0|/2 \). Using these, one finds the spin Hamiltonian

\[ H_{\text{spin}} = -w \sum_{\ell} P_{\ell-1} \sigma_\ell^x P_{\ell+1} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\ell} \sigma_\ell^z \]

\[ = \sum_{\ell} \left(-\sigma_\ell^x + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sigma_\ell^z \right) \]

(6)

where \( \sigma_\ell^a \) is the projection of \( |1 - \sigma_z^0|/2 \) for \( a = x, y, z \), and we have ignored an unimportant constant term while writing down the expression for \( H_{\text{spin}} \). The physics of \( H_{\text{spin}} \) within the constrained dipole Hilbert space is identical to that of \( H_d \) and \( H_{\text{Ryd}} \). At \( \lambda = 0 \), \( H_{\text{spin}} \) reduced to the PXP model studied in Ref. [7]. Note that both the constraints of the dipole model are incorporated in \( H_{\text{spin}} \) via the local projection operators \( P_t \).

Next, we compute the time dependence of the dipole density-density correlator in the presence of the periodic drive. We note that for the square pulse protocol, given by \( \lambda(t) = +(-)\lambda \) for \( t \leq (>)T/2 \), the unitary evolution operator describing the motion of the dipole can be written as

\[ U \equiv U(T, 0) = e^{-iH|\lambda|T/2} e^{-iH|\lambda|T/2} \]

\[ = \sum_{p,q} e^{-i(\epsilon_p^± + \epsilon_q^±)|T/2} c_{pq}^\dagger |p^-\rangle \langle q^\dagger | \]

(7)

where \( \epsilon_p^\dagger(-) \) and \( |p^\dagger(-)\rangle \) are eigenstates and eigenfunctions of \( H_d[+(-)|\lambda] \) and \( c_{pq}^\dagger = \langle p^-|q^\dagger \rangle \). The density density correlation function \( \langle O_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \rangle \) of the dipole is given by \( \langle n_{\ell_1} n_{\ell_1+\ell_2} \rangle \) between any two links \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_1 + \ell_2 \) can then be obtained, after \( n \) drive cycles, as

\[ \langle O_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | (U^\dagger)^n O_{\ell_1,\ell_2} U^n | \psi_0 \rangle \]

\[ = \sum_{p,q} e^{-i(\epsilon_p^± - \epsilon_q^±)|T/2} (c_{p0}^\dagger c_{q0}^\dagger)^n \langle p^-|O_{\ell_1,\ell_2}|q^\dagger \rangle \]

(8)

where \( c_{a0}^\dagger = \langle \psi_0 | p^a \rangle \) for \( a = \pm |\psi_0\rangle \) is the initial state. In what follows, unless explicitly stated otherwise, we shall choose \( |\psi_0\rangle \) to be either a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetry broken state with \( \langle \psi_0 | n_{\ell} | \psi_0 \rangle = |1 - (-)^\ell|/2 \) or the featureless dipole vacuum state \( |0 \rangle \) with \( \langle \psi_0 | n_{\ell} | \psi_0 \rangle = 0 \). Note that \( \langle O_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \rangle \) depends both on \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \) for a non-translationally invariant state like \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \); we take \( \ell_1, \ell_2 = 2 \) for convenience.

From Eq. [7], we note that for small \( \lambda \), \( \langle p^-|q^\dagger \rangle \sim \delta_{pq} \). Moreover, in this case, \( \epsilon_p^+ - \epsilon_p^- = \lambda v_p + O(\lambda^2) \), where \( v_p = (\partial \epsilon_p^±/\partial \lambda)_{\lambda=0} \). In this limit the expression of the correlation function in Eq. [8] simplifies to

\[ \langle O_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \rangle \simeq \sum_p e^{-i\lambda n T v_p} |c_{\psi_0 p}|^2 \langle p^-|O_{\ell_1,\ell_2}|p^+ \rangle \]

(9)

Thus we infer that the oscillation frequency of the correlator in this limit would vary linearly with \( \lambda/(\hbar \omega_D) \).
In the next section, we shall use the expression of $H_{spin} = [± T/(2\hbar)]H_{spin}[^{± ±}]$, it is easy to see from Eq. [7] that

$$H_{Magnus}^F = \frac{i\hbar}{T} \left( X_+ + X_- + \frac{1}{2} [X_+, X_-] \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} [X_+ - X_- , [X_+, X_-]]$$

$$- \frac{1}{24} [X_- , [X_+, [X_+, X_-]]] + ...$$

(10)

where ellipsis denotes $O(T^4)$ or higher order terms in $T$. The terms on the right side of Eq. [10] can be evaluated by a straightforward but tedious calculation. The details of this calculation is given in App. [A]. The final result is $H_{Magnus}^F = H_0 + H_1$ where

$$H_0 = -w \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{2\gamma^2}{3} \right] \sum_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell} + \gamma \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma^2 - 4\delta^2}{3} \right) \sum_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell} \right\}$$

$$H_1 = -\frac{2\lambda^3}{3} \sum_{\ell} \left[ \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell-1} \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell+1} + \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell+1} - (\bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell} \sigma^x_{\ell+1} + \sigma^x_{\ell} \sigma^y_{\ell+1}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell+1} + \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell+1} \right) \right]$$

(11)

Here we have defined dimensionless quantities $\gamma = \lambda T/4\hbar$ and $\delta = wT/(4\hbar)$ and note that for $\lambda \gg w$, we have $\gamma \gg \delta$ for any drive frequency. We find that $H_0$ constitutes a renormalized PXP model (along with an unimportant global rotation) whose quench dynamics is studied previously. Thus at $\hbar \omega_D/\lambda \gg 1$, where the effect of $H_1$ can be ignored, we expect $H_{Magnus}^F$ to host scar states similar to those in the PXP model. However at moderate $\omega_D$, the terms in $H_1$ (which we denote as non-PXP terms in the rest of this work), which represent interaction between the spins over three consecutive sites, is expected to become important. The detailed role of these terms on the presence of scars will be studied in the next section.

Before ending this section, we make one observation regarding the structure of $H_{Magnus}^F$ for $\lambda \gg w$. For this purpose, let us define

$$X_{1[2]} = \left( \frac{i\hbar}{2T} \right) w \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell} [\bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell}] \right\}$$

(12)

$$H_2 = -w \left( \left[ 1 - \frac{2\gamma^2}{3} + \frac{2\gamma^4}{15} - \frac{4\gamma^6}{315} + \frac{2\gamma^8}{2835} - \frac{4\gamma^{10}}{159225} + ... \right] \sum_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^x_{\ell} \right.$$

$$\left. + \gamma \left[ 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{3} + \frac{2\gamma^4}{45} - \frac{4\gamma^6}{315} + \frac{2\gamma^8}{14175} + \frac{4\gamma^{10}}{467775} + ... \right] \sum_{\ell} \bar{\sigma}^y_{\ell} \right)$$

(13)

In the next section, we shall use the expression of $H_2$ to understand scar-induced dynamics in the regime $\lambda \gg w$. We note that the expressions of non-PXP terms in $H_1$ which involve powers of $\delta$ cannot be obtained in such a simple manner and we shall restrict ourselves to $O(1/\omega_D^2)$ for their computation.
III. Dynamics of the Density-Density Correlator

In this section, we present our numerical results on the dynamics of the correlators starting from the Z_2 symmetry broken state. Such a state is the ground state of H_d for λ < 0 and |λ| ≫ 1 and can thus be easily prepared experimentally. This is contrasted with the dynamics starting from the dipole vacuum state |0⟩. We shall focus on the regime λ/w ≫ 1; the parameter regime λ ≪ w will be left for future work.

To obtain numerical results for the correlator dynamics, we use exact diagonalization (ED) to obtain eigenstates and eigenvalues of H_d[±λ] for a fixed λ and use them to numerically compute ⟨O_{ℓ1=2,ℓ2=2}⟩ (Eq. 8) as a function of stroboscopic time n (number of drive cycles) for several drive frequencies using Eqs. 7 and 8. The corresponding results are shown in Fig. 1 where the behavior of ⟨O_{ℓ1=2,ℓ2=2}⟩ as a function of n for several ω_D is plotted. We find, from the top left panel of Fig. 1 that for hω_D ≫ λ, the dynamics exhibits long time coherent oscillations as also found in earlier studies involving quench dynamics of the PXP model (for λ = 0)[21]. The frequency of these oscillations differ from ω_D indicating clear lack of synchronization. The presence of these oscillations is consistent with the fact that in this regime the Floquet Hamiltonian is a renormalized PXP model. This is also expected from the Magnus expansion leading to H^{Magnus} in Eq. 11 where the non-PXP terms appear in O(1/ω^3_D). The presence of scars in the Floquet Hamiltonian in this regime is confirmed in the top left panel of Fig. 2 where we plot the half-chain entanglement entropy S_L/2 for its eigenstates. The eigenstates |ψ_{Z_2}⟩ with large overlap with Z_2 (|⟨Z_2|ψ_{Z_2}⟩| ≳ 0.01) are circled in red to distinguish them from the rest of the states. We find that the scars control the dynamics when ω_D ≫ w/h, λ/h. We note that for periodic drives, scars may occur at arbitrarily large values of λ/w provided λ/(hw_D) is small. Thus the drive frequency can be used as a tuning parameter for allowing presence of scars in much wider region of parameter space. For hw_D/w ≪ 1, in contrast to the high frequency regime, all the states including those controlling the dynamics lie within the ETH band; here there are no persistent oscillations with a single definite frequency. Instead, one finds a near thermal behavior which becomes more evident for larger L; this point will be discussed in more detail later in this section. We also note that the oscillatory behavior seen in Fig. 1 is a property of the starting |Z_2⟩ state; a similar study of dynamics for any drive frequency starting from the dipole vacuum state |0⟩ always provide fast thermalization consistent with ETH as shown in Fig. 1.

Next we study the regime where hw_D ≃ w'/h = 1. The representative behavior of ⟨O_{ℓ1=2,ℓ2=2}⟩ is shown in the bottom left and right panels of Fig. 2. We find the presence of persistent oscillations for hw_D/w = 0.5; in contrast such oscillations seem to be absent around higher
frequency $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 1.3$. The corresponding plots of $S_{L/2}$ is shown in the bottom left and right panels of Fig. 2. We find that there are no scar like states for $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 1.3$; in contrast such states are present for $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 0.5$ indicated by the presence of clear outliers that also have a large overlap with the $Z_2$ state. These plots indicate the possibility of reentrant transition from regimes with scars to those with no scar. We note that the scar states for $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 0.5$ do not have a clear regular spacing as their $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 100$ counterparts. This behavior arises from the effect of non-PXP terms in the Floquet Hamiltonian whose magnitude are much larger at lower $\omega_D$.

To obtain a relation between the energy separation of the scars and the frequency controlling the behavior the correlators in the PXP regime, we now plot the energy separation of the scars $w_R$ (see lower inset in Fig. 3) as a function of $\omega_D$. The result is shown in Fig. 3. We note that $w_R$ decreases when $\gamma \sim 1$; this behavior is well explained from $H_2$ (Eq. 13) as can be seen from comparing exact numerical results with that obtained via Magnus expansion. This indicates that the lowering of the energy separation of the scar states arises from the decrease of norm of $H_2$ with increasing $\gamma$; this is clearly a consequence of the parameter regime $\gamma \gg \delta$ since otherwise the energy separation of these states should have received substantial contribution from the non-PXP terms. We note that the comparison of exact numerics and the Magnus Hamiltonian ($H_2$) indicates that the Magnus expansion holds for $\lambda/(\hbar \omega_D) \approx 1$ ($\gamma \approx 2$). This behavior offers us a drive-induced control over the energy separation of the scars and hence on the oscillation frequency which has no analog in quench studies carried out earlier.

Our analysis predicts a sharp decrease in oscillation frequency of the correlators as a function of increasing $\gamma$ (or equivalently decreasing $\omega_D$) for $0.6 \leq \gamma \leq 1.6$. To check this, we extract the response frequency $\omega_{\text{res}}$ of $\langle O_{t_1=2,t_2=2} \rangle$ as a function of $\omega_D$ using Fourier transformation (see upper inset in Fig. 3). We note that these frequencies match corresponding values of $w_R/\hbar$ almost perfectly and shows a clear decrease as $\omega_D$ is lowered. We note that the characterization of oscillations in $\langle O_{t_1=2,t_2=2} \rangle$ by a single frequency $\omega_{\text{res}}$ (and its multiple) is a consequence of large $\lambda/w$. In this regime, the non-PXP terms from $H_1$ do not contribute substantially; consequently, the energy separation of the scars are uniform leading to oscillations of correlators with a single frequency.

Next, we analyze the regime $\lambda/(\hbar \omega_D) \geq 1$ where we encounter the reentrant transitions from regimes with coherent oscillations to that with thermal behavior. The first of these transitions occur at $\lambda/\omega_D \approx 2$. To analyze such transitions, it is desirable to have larger $L$ so that the thermal behavior can be accurately determined. For this purpose, we follow Ref. 8 and use the state $|\Psi_0\rangle = (|Z_2\rangle + |\bar{Z}_2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ as our initial state, where $|\bar{Z}_2\rangle$ denotes the spin-flipped version of $|Z_2\rangle$. The key point that allows us access to higher $L \leq 26$ with this starting state is that it has weight only in the $K_{\text{total}} = 0$ and spatial inversion-symmetric sector, where $K_{\text{total}}$ is the total momentum of the system. This allow us carry out the dynamics in this sector and hence reduces the size of the Hilbert space that one needs to work with to keep track of the dynamics.

The result of evolution of $\langle O_{t_1=2,t_2=2} \rangle$ in this subspace is shown in Fig. 4 near the first reentrant transition that we encounter as we reduce the drive frequency. The top left panel of Fig. 4 shows the persistent oscillatory dynamics characterized by a single frequency at $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 8.25$. As we reduce $\omega_D$, these oscillations dampen as can be seen from the top right panel at $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 8.0$ which can be interpreted as precursor to thermal behavior. Upon further reduction of $\omega_D$, thermal behavior sets in and the fastest thermalization is seen around $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 7.75$ (bottom left panel). Finally, as we further reduce $\omega_D$, the persistent oscillations return as can be seen clearly from the plot in the bottom right panel at $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 7.5$.

The onset of such a thermal behavior with reduction of the drive frequency followed by reentrance of the persistent oscillations can be tied to the presence or absence of scars in the eigenstate spectrum of $H_F$. This is shown in Fig. 5 for $L = 18$. The top left ($\hbar \omega_D/w' = 8.25$) and the bottom right ($\hbar \omega_D/w' = 7.5$) panels clearly indicate the presence of scars with high overlap with blue $|Z_2\rangle$. This is consistent with the presence of persistent oscillations seen in top left and bottom right panels of Fig. 4. However, these scar states start to merge with the thermal band around $\hbar \omega_D/w' = 8.0$ indicating precursor to the thermal behavior as shown in the top right panel of
FIG. 4: Plots of the density-density correlator \(\langle O_{l_1=2, l_2=2}\rangle\) as a function of \(n\) near the transition at the largest drive frequency. The dynamics at \(\omega_D = 8.25\) (top left panel) and \(\omega_D = 7.5\) (bottom right panel) shows persistent oscillation. In contrast, at \(\omega_D = 8.0\) (top right panel), these oscillations dampen showing precursor to thermal behavior. The bottom left panel at \(\omega_D = 7.75\) shows clear thermal behavior with fastest thermalization time. The blue dotted line in all the panels indicate the infinite temperature ensemble value of the correlator. For all plots \(\lambda/w' = 15\) and all frequencies are scaled in units of \(w'/\hbar\). The ED is performed in the \(K_{\text{total}} = 0\) and spatial inversion-symmetric sector using \(L = 26\). See text for details.

Fig. 5. The bottom left panel for \(\hbar\omega_D/w' = 7.75\) shows complete absence of scars resulting in thermal behavior of \(\langle O_{l_1=2, l_2=2}\rangle\).

To understand why such a transition occurs, we plot the norm of the PXP term computed numerically following the procedure detailed below. We first numerically identify a set of states \(|\psi_n\rangle\) (among the basis states of \(\sigma^z\)) that have finite matrix elements: \(\langle \psi_n | \sum_j \tilde{\sigma}^x/y | \psi_m \rangle \neq 0\). Let us denote this set as \(\mathcal{N}_0\). Then one can define trace norms \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) as

\[ f_{1,2} = \sqrt{\sum_{n,m \in \mathcal{N}_0} |\langle \psi_n | H_F | \psi_m \rangle|^2} \]  

Here \(f_2\) represents the contribution from the non-PXP type terms in \(H_F\). We note that in general \(f_1\) will also have contribution from terms which are non-PXP in nature. This can be seen by noting that certain terms in \(H_1\) clearly have non-zero matrix elements for some states included in \(\mathcal{N}_0\). However, at large \(\lambda/w\), these contributions are expected to be small by at least \(O(w^2/\lambda^2)\). We shall numerically justify this statement later. Here we note that if these contributions from the non-PXP terms can be neglected, \(f_1\) provides an estimate of contribution of the PXP terms to the Hamiltonian. A plot of \(f_1\) as a function of \(\omega_D\) is shown in the top panel of Fig. 6. We find that \(f_1\) oscillates with \(\omega_D\) with minima at distinct frequencies \(\omega_D = \omega_D^c\). It turns out at these special frequencies \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) become almost equal indicating important of non-PXP terms. Consequently, the eigenstates of \(H_F\) do not support scars and one sees a thermal behavior. As we move away from any \(\omega_D^c\), we reach the regime \(f_1 \gg f_2\). Thus the PXP terms in \(H_F\) dominate and the eigenstates of \(H_F\) host scars. Thus tuning through \(\omega_D^c\) yields a transition from a regime with coherent long time oscillations of the correlators to one with their rapid thermalization.

To justify that \(f_1\) indeed reflects the contribution of PXP terms only for large \(\lambda\), we note that at large \(\lambda/w\) the contribution to all leading order PXP terms scales with \(\lambda/(\hbar\omega_D)\); however, this is not the case for \(f_2\) as is clearly indicated from expressions of \(H_2\) and \(H_1\). Thus if \(f_1\) scales as a function of \(\hbar\omega_D/\lambda\), we expect that the contribution of non-PXP term would be small. A plot of \(f_1\) vs \(\hbar\omega_D/\lambda\) in the bottom panel of Fig. 6 shows that this is indeed the case. This allows us to conclude that the reentrant transition indeed occurs due to reduction of PXP-like terms in \(H_F\) at several special frequencies \(\omega_D^c\). We note that this picture of the transition only holds for large \(\lambda/w\). Finally, we point out that \(f_1\) shows a overall decrease in amplitude with decreasing \(\omega_D\); this indicates the absence of scars for low \(\omega_D\) which is also supported by our numerics as discussed earlier.

We also note that the effect of these transitions will manifest in the behavior of the fidelity after \(n\) cycles of the drive: \(F(n) = |\langle \Psi(n) | \Psi_0 \rangle|\), where \(|\Psi(n)\rangle\) denotes state of the system after \(n\) cycles of the drive. In the regime where the dynamics is dominated by scars, the presence of long term coherent oscillations indicates that
one could expect periodic revival of $F(n)$; in contrast, the thermal region, $F(n)$ is expected to decay rapidly to zero and never revive. These behaviors are numerically confirmed in Fig. 7. The top left ($\hbar \omega_D/\omega' = 8.25$) and the bottom right ($\hbar \omega_D/\omega' = 7.5$) panels display periodic persistent revivals of $F(n)$ before and after the first transition. Such revival is completely absent in the bottom left panel ($\hbar \omega_D/\omega' = 7.75$) in the thermal region where $F(n)$ decays to zero without any subsequent revival. The top right panel ($\hbar \omega_D/\omega' = 8$) shows an intermediate behavior displaying a few (smaller) revivals and subsequent decay. This indicates a crossover from coherent to thermal regime.

The schematic phase diagram for these reentrant transitions is summarized in Fig. 8 for $\lambda \gg w$ as a function of the driving frequency $\omega_D$. The thermal regions [where the density-density correlators starting from a $|Z_2\rangle$ initial state thermalize] are located in a small frequency window, shown schematically as grey regions, around $\omega_D^c$ (indicated as red dots in Fig. 8). The white regions in the phase diagram indicate the renormalized PXP regions where the correlators do not relax to the thermal value and show persistent oscillations due to scars in $H_F$. The thermal regions become denser with decreasing $\omega_D$ and ultimately merge into a continuum at sufficiently small $\omega_D$.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

In this work we have studied periodic dynamics of driven tilted Bose-Hubbard model whose low energy Hamiltonian may be represented by a dipole model. This dipole model has a representation in terms of a constrained spin model and also serves as the effective low-energy model for experimentally realized Rydberg atoms. We have used numerical techniques such as ED and analytic Magnus expansion to study the dynamics of this model.

Our study reveals that the correlator dynamics in this model starting from an initial $|Z_2\rangle$ state and in the presence of a periodic drive hosts persistent oscillations for a wide range of parameter values. The presence of these oscillations can be attributed to quantum scars in the Floquet Hamiltonian of such a model which has high
overlap with the initial states. These scars, in contrast to their counterparts in the PXP model studied earlier, are present for arbitrary values of $\lambda$ as long as $\lambda/(\hbar \omega_D) \leq 1$. In this regime, the energy separation of these scars control the frequency of the correlator oscillations. These energy separations can be tuned by changing the drive frequency and this effect can be understood within the magnus expansion yielding a renormalized PXP model for the Floquet Hamiltonian for $\lambda/\omega_D \leq 1$.

In contrast for $\lambda/(\hbar \omega_D) > 1$ and $\lambda/\omega \gg 1$, our study finds several reentrant transitions as a function of drive frequencies from regimes with scars to those without them. In the latter regime, persistent oscillations are absent; instead the system shows fast thermalization consistent with ETH. The reentrant nature of these transitions can be qualitatively understood by computing the trace norm of the PXP-like terms. Such a computation indicates that the magnitude of the contribution from the PXP-like terms in the Floquet Hamiltonian is an oscillatory function of $\omega_D$. The value of their norm is large for most frequencies for which the eigenstates of the Floquet Hamiltonian shows scars. However, in the neighborhood of certain special frequencies, the trace norm of the PXP terms becomes small and almost equal to those of the non-PXP terms. For these frequencies, the systems shows thermal behavior consistent with ETH. Such an oscillatory variation of the PXP terms can be qualitatively explained by alluding to the alternating signs of its coefficients as computed from the Magnus expansion and appealing to the fact that the Magnus expansion at high enough order will be valid even in the low-frequency regimes since we are working with finite $L$.

The fate of these transitions in the thermodynamic limit remains unclear and is left as a subject of future study. We conjecture, however, that these transition will disappear in the thermodynamics limit since the overlap of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with scar states turns out to be an exponentially decreasing function of $L$. Consequently, one expects the effect of scars on the dynamics at large $L$ to be small and ETH to take over. However, for the system sizes we could numerically access in our studies this regime is never reached. Furthermore, it is to be noted that the presence of scars in the thermodynamic limit in the tilted Bose-Hubbard or the PXP model itself is an unanswered question. In this context, we would like to point out that the presence of scars like states in the thermodynamic limit has been analytically shown for AKLT chains.

Our results can be easily verified by standard experiments using finite-size Rydberg chains. Here we predict that a periodic variation of the chemical potential $\Delta$ for the Rydberg atoms with a fixed frequency $\omega_D$ and large amplitude $\Delta_0 \gg \Omega$ should yield dynamics with persistent oscillation of the correlation function $\langle n_{\ell}^+ n_{\ell+2}^- \rangle$ for any fixed $\ell$ provided $\Delta_0/(\hbar \omega_D) \leq 1$. At higher value of $\Delta_0/(\hbar \omega_D)$, we predict a transition to thermal behavior for the correlator followed by a reentrance to the persistent oscillation regime. This would constitute an experimental signature of collapse and revival of scar states for Rydberg chains.

In conclusion, we have studied the periodic dynamics of the driven dipole model. Our study indicates the presence of scars in its Floquet Hamiltonian, shows the possibility of Floquet engineering of their energy spacings controlling the oscillatory dynamics of the density-density correlation function, and unravels the presence of a reentrant, coherent oscillatory to incoherent thermal transition as a function of drive frequency for large drive amplitude. We also suggest experiments which can test our theory.
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**Appendix A: Magnus Expansion**

In this appendix, we consider the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{spin}}$ given by Eq.\[(A1)\] in the presence of a periodic drive characterized by a square pulse protocol with time period $T = 2\pi/\omega_D$, where $\omega_D$ is the drive frequency: $\lambda(T) = +(-)\lambda$ for $t \leq (>)T/2$. In what follows, we shall chart out the details of computation of the Floquet Hamiltonian of such a driven system using a high-frequency Magnus expansion.

For this protocol, the unitary matrix governing the evolution by a time period is given by

$$ U = e^{-iH_+ T/(2\hbar)} e^{-iH_- T/(2\hbar)} = e^{X_+} e^{X_-} \quad (A1) $$

where $X_\pm = (-iT\hbar)^n H_\pm = X_1 \pm X_2$ as defined in the main text. The Floquet Hamiltonian can be obtained from $U$ as $H_F = (i\hbar/T) \ln U$. Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, one can express

$$ \ln[e^{X_+} e^{X_-}] = X_+ + X_- + \frac{1}{2} [X_+, X_-] $$

$$ + \frac{1}{12} [X_+ - X_-, [X_+, X_-]] $$

$$ - \frac{1}{24} [X_-, [X_+, [X_+, X_-]]] + ... \quad (A2) $$

From Eq.\[(A2)\] we can find different order terms of the Floquet Hamiltonian. The computation of these terms up to $O(1/(\omega_D^2))$ is straightforward and yields

$$ H_F^0 = -w \sum_{\ell} \sigma^x_{\ell} $$

$$ H_F^1 = -w \sum_{\ell} \gamma \sum_{\ell} \sigma^y_{\ell} $$

$$ H_F^2 = \frac{2w}{3} \gamma^2 \sum_{\ell} \sigma^z_{\ell} \quad (A3) $$

where $\gamma = \lambda T/(4\hbar)$. Note that these terms leads to a renormalized PXP model; it amounts to a change of magnitude of the coefficient $w$ of the standard PXP Hamiltonian and also a rotation in spin-space which depends on
Note that the second order term in the expansion has an opposite sign compared to the zeroth order term. As we shall see later, this is a general feature of the model; any term \( \sim 0^n \) in the renormalized PXP model always comes with an opposite sign compared to a terms \( \sim 0^{n-2} \).

The first non-trivial longer ranged terms in \( H_F \) arises in \( O(1/\omega_b^3) \). Its derivation involves some subtle issue. To see this let us consider the commutator \( C_1 = [X_+,[X_+,X_-]] = C_{1a} + C_{1b} \). It is easy to see that after a straightforward calculation that

\[
C_{1a} = -2w\lambda^2 \left( \frac{iT}{2\hbar} \right)^3 \sum_{\ell} \sigma_\ell^x
\]

\[
C_{1b} = -2w\lambda^2 \left( \frac{iT}{2\hbar} \right)^3 \sum_{\ell} [P_{\ell-2} \sigma_\ell^x \sigma_{\ell-1}^y P_{\ell} P_{\ell+1} + P_{\ell-2} P_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell-1}^x \sigma_{\ell}^y P_{\ell+1} + 2\sigma_{\ell}^x]
\]

We note that within the constrained Hilbert space, any term with \( \sigma_\ell^\alpha \sigma_\ell^\beta P \) identically vanishes for \( \alpha, \beta = x, y \). Furthermore, the projection operators \( P \) on any link satisfies \( (1 - P_{\ell \pm 1}) \sigma_\ell^\alpha = 0 \) for \( \alpha = x, y \). Using these results, it is possible to simplify \( C_{1b} \) to obtain

\[
C_{1b} = -2w^2 \lambda \left( \frac{iT}{2\hbar} \right)^3 \sum_{\ell} (2\sigma_\ell^x + \sigma_{\ell}^x \sigma_{\ell}^y + \sigma_{\ell}^y \sigma_{\ell}^x)(A5)
\]

Using Eq. (A5) and evaluating the necessary commutators, we finally get

\[
H_{F}^3 = H_{F}^{(1)} + H_{F}^{(2)} + H_{F}^{(3)} + H_{F}^{(4)}
\]

\[
H_{F}^{(1)} = \frac{(w\gamma^3 - 4\lambda \delta^3)}{3} \sum_\ell \sigma_\ell^y
\]

\[
H_{F}^{(2)} = -\frac{2\lambda \delta^3}{3} \sum_\ell \left[ \sigma_{\ell-1}^\alpha \sigma_\ell^\alpha + \sigma_\ell^\alpha \sigma_{\ell+1}^\alpha \right]
\]

\[
H_{F}^{(3)} = -\frac{\lambda \delta^3}{3} \sum_\ell \left[ \left( \sigma_\ell^x \sigma_{\ell+1}^y + \sigma_{\ell+1}^x \sigma_\ell^y \right) \sigma_{\ell+1}^y + \sigma_{\ell+1}^y \left( \sigma_\ell^y \sigma_{\ell+1}^x + \sigma_{\ell+1}^y \sigma_\ell^x \right) \right]
\]

\[
H_{F}^{(4)} = \frac{2\lambda \delta^3}{3} \sum_\ell \left( \sigma_\ell^x \sigma_{\ell+1}^y + \sigma_\ell^y \sigma_{\ell+1}^x \right)
\]

Here \( \delta = wT/(4\hbar) \) and we note \( \delta/\gamma = w/\lambda \ll 1 \) in the limit of large \( \lambda/w \). Thus Eqs. (A3) and (A6) yield \( H_0 \) in the main text while Eqs. (A7), (A9) yield \( H_T \). This completes our derivation of the Magnus expansion to \( O(1/\omega_b^3) \).

Before ending this section we note that if we concentrate in the large \( \lambda/w \) limit, it is possible to compute higher order corrections to the coefficient in \( H_0 \). This can be seen by noting that each order the contribution to such terms comes from \( [X_2, [X_2, [X_2, [\ldots , X_2, X_1]]]] \), i.e., the \( n \)th order contribution involves commutator of \( n - 1 \) terms involving \( \sigma_\ell^x \) and one \( \sigma_\ell^y \). These commutators provide the leading contribution in the large \( \lambda/w \) limit. This structure allows us to compute leading higher order terms in the Magnus expansion which contribute to the coefficients of the PXP term. A straightforward but cumbersome computation yields

\[
H_2 = -w \left[ 1 - \frac{2\gamma^2}{3} + \frac{2\gamma^4}{45} - \frac{4\gamma^6}{315} + \frac{2\gamma^8}{2835} - \frac{4\gamma^{10}}{155925} + \ldots \right] \sum_\ell \sigma_\ell^x
\]

\[
+ \gamma \left[ 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{3} + \frac{2\gamma^4}{45} - \frac{\gamma^6}{315} + \frac{2\gamma^8}{14175} - \frac{4\gamma^{10}}{467775} + \ldots \right] \sum_\ell \sigma_\ell^y
\]

(A10)

This is Eq. (13) of the main text.

**Appendix B: Entanglement Calculation**

In this appendix, we detail out the procedure for computation of the half-chain entanglement \( S_{L/2} \) used in the main text. The procedure could be applied to equilibrium or Floquet eigenstates ot to an arbitrary driven state of a given model. Here we describe it in the context of the tilted Bose-Hubbard model used in the main text.

We first note that the full density matrix (DM) is given by \( \rho_{AB} = |\psi \rangle \langle \psi | \) where \( AB \) is the whole system of size \( N \) with periodic boundary condition (PBC). We divide thus system into two parts \( A \) and \( B \) of size \( N/2 \) with open boundary condition (OBC) as schematically shown in Fig. 9.

Next, we calculate the reduced density matrix of any of subsystems by integrating out the other subsystem. This leads to

\[
\rho_{A(B)} = Tr_{B(A)} \rho_{AB}.
\]

(B1)

This procedure involves taking a partial trace over the environment degrees of freedom. For example, the \( (ij)^{th} \) element, \( \rho_A(i, j) \), of the reduced density matrix is given
FIG. 9: Schematic representation of the bipartition of the tilted Bose Hubbard chain with periodic boundary condition.

by

\[ \rho_A(ij) = \sum_{k=1}^{HSD^O_{AB}} \langle i; k | \rho_{AB} | k; j \rangle \]  

(B2)

where \( i \) and \( j \) represent product states in \( A \) and \( k \) represent product states in \( B \) with OBC. However, since the full system (AB) had PBC, the Hilbert space dimension (HSD) of AB does not match the HSD of outer product \( \mathcal{H}_A \) and \( \mathcal{H}_B \) with OBC. To see this, we note that \( HSD^P_{AB} = F_N^P + F_{N+1} \) and \( HSD^O_{AB} = F_{N+2} \), where \( F_{N} \) denotes the \( N \)th Fibonacci number with \( F_0 = 0 \). Since \( F_{N+2} = F(N) + F(N+1) > F_{N-1} + F_{N+1} \) for any \( N \geq 2 \), one has to exclude the states for which in any of the two junction the end point of both \( A \) and \( B \) are occupied by a dipole while taking the summation in Eq. (B1).

Since the Hamiltonian and hence the full density matrix is in the configuration (product) basis, any matrix element of the reduced density matrix can be expressed as a sum of some of the elements of full DM and we can rewrite Eq. (B2) as

\[ \rho_A(ij) = \sum_{k=1}^{HSD^O_{AB}} \rho_{AB}(i; k, k; j) \]  

(B3)

We stress here that one needs to be careful about this procedure if the density matrix is expressed using other basis. For example in our case \( \rho_{AB} = \sum_{m,n} \rho(m, n)|m\rangle\langle n| \) where \( |m\rangle, |n\rangle \) are states in \( K = 0, P = +1 \) sector. In this case

\[ \rho_A(ij) = \sum_{k=1}^{HSD^O_{AB}} \langle i; k | \sum_{m,n} \rho(m, n)|m\rangle\langle n| |k; j \rangle \]  

(B4)

This indicates that one needs to search for states \(|m^{i;k}\rangle, |n^{j;k}\rangle\) having non-zero overlap with the states \(|i; k\rangle\) and \(|j; k\rangle\) given by

\[ |m^{i;k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_m}} (\cdots + |i; k\rangle + \cdots) \]

\[ |n^{j;k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_n}} (\cdots + |j; k\rangle + \cdots) \]  

(B5)

In this case, \((i, j)\)th element of \( \rho_A \) is given by

\[ \rho_A(ij) = \sum_{k=1}^{HSD^O_{AB}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_m^{i;k} N_n^{j;k}}} \rho_{AB}(m^{i;k}, n^{j;k}) \]  

(B6)

and the diagonalization of \( \rho_A \) gives the Von-Neumann entropy since \( S_A = \sum_{i=1}^{HSD^O_{AB}} p_i \ln(p_i) \) where \( p_i \) are the eigenvalues of \( \rho_A \). This procedure is used to obtain \( S_{L/2} \) in the main text.
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