Spontaneous symmetry breaking and Nambu-Goldstone modes in open classical and quantum systems
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Abstract

We discuss spontaneous symmetry breaking of open classical and quantum systems. When a continuous symmetry is spontaneously broken in an open system, there appears a gapless excitation mode corresponding to the Nambu-Goldstone mode. Unlike isolated systems, the gapless mode is not always a propagation mode, but it is a diffusion one. Using the Ward-Takahashi identity and the effective action formalism, we establish the Nambu-Goldstone theorem in open systems, and derive the low-energy coefficients that determine the dispersion relation of Nambu-Goldstone modes. Using these coefficients, we classify the Nambu-Goldstone modes into four types: type-A propagation, type-A diffusion, type-B propagation, and type-B diffusion modes.
I. INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous symmetry breaking is one of the most important notions in modern physics. When a continuous symmetry is spontaneously broken, there appears a gapless mode called the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) mode [1–3], which governs the low energy behavior of the system. For example, a phonon in a crystal, which is the NG mode associated with translational symmetry breaking, determines the behavior of the specific heat at low temperatures, which is nothing but the Debye $T^3$ law.

The nature of the NG modes such as the dispersion relations and the number of modes is determined by symmetry and its breaking pattern. The relation between them is shown for relativistic systems at first [1–3], where the number of NG modes is equal to the number of broken symmetries or generators. It is extended to isolated systems without Lorentz symmetry [4–8], where the number of NG modes do not coincide with the number of broken symmetries [9–11]. A typical example is a magnon in a ferromagnet, which is the NG mode associated with spontaneous breaking of the spin symmetry $O(3)$ to $O(2)$. The number of broken symmetries, $\dim(O(3)/O(2))$, is equal to two, but the only one magnon with the quadratic dispersion appears. In general, when a global internal symmetry $G$ is spontaneously broken into its subgroup $H$, the number of NG modes are expressed as [4–8]

$$N_{\text{NG}} = N_{\text{BS}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{rank } \rho,$$

where $N_{\text{BS}} = \dim(G/H)$ is the number of broken symmetries, and $\rho^{\beta \alpha} := -\langle [i \hat{Q}^\beta, \hat{j}^{\alpha 0}(x)] \rangle$ is the Watanabe-Brauner matrix with the Noether charge $Q^\alpha$ and the charge density $j^{\alpha 0}(x)$ of $G$ [12]. The NG modes are classified into two types: type-A and type-B modes. The type-B mode is characterized by nonvanishing $\rho^{\beta \alpha}$ which implies that the two broken charge densities $j^{\beta 0}(x)$ and $j^{\alpha 0}(x)$ are not canonically independent [13]. $(\text{rank } \rho)/2$ counts the number of canonical pairs of broken generators, and every pair of broken charges constitutes one NG mode. Therefore, the number of type-B modes, $N_B$, is equal to $(\text{rank } \rho)/2$. The rest of degrees of freedom, $N_A = N_{\text{BS}} - \text{rank } \rho$, becomes the type-A modes, which have the same property as NG modes in Lorentz invariant systems. The sum of the number of type-A and type-B modes leads to Eq. (1). Both type-A and type-B NG modes are propagation modes with typically linear and quadratic dispersions, respectively.

Similar to isolated systems, spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs in open systems. A
well-known example is a diffusion mode in synchronization transition of coupled oscillators, which describe chemical and biological oscillation phenomena [14, 15]. In the synchronization transition, $U(1)$ phase symmetry is spontaneously broken, and the diffusion mode appears as the NG mode, which has different dispersion from that in isolated systems. Another example is ultracold atoms in an optical cavity [16]. In the system, a laser and its coupling to radiation fields give rise to spontaneous emission and dissipation, where the internal energy does not conserve. Even in such a case, Bose-Einstein condensation and the symmetry breaking can occur, and they were observed [16]. Furthermore, the synchronization transition and the diffusive NG mode of the ultracold atoms in the driven-dissipative setup are discussed [17–22]. The diffusive NG modes are characteristic of open systems.

Compared with isolated systems, the relation between the NG modes, the broken symmetries, and the dispersion relations has not been established in open systems. A crucial difference between isolated and open systems is the lack of ordinary conserved quantities such as the energy, momentum, and particle number, because of the interaction with the environment. Thus, we cannot naively apply the argument for isolated systems to that for open systems. In our previous work, we studied properties of the NG modes in open systems based on toy models [22], in which we found two types of NG modes: diffusion and propagation modes, whose poles have $\omega = -i\gamma|k|^2$ and $(\pm a - i b)|k|^2$, respectively. Here, $\gamma$, $a$, and $b$ are constant. In the model study, the nonvanishing Watanabe-Brauner matrix for the open system leads to the propagation NG modes, where a similar relation to Eq. (1) is satisfied [22]. However, it is found that the propagation modes split into two diffusion modes by changing a model parameter in the study of time-translation breaking [23], where Eq. (1) is not always satisfied. Therefore, we need the model-independent analysis to understand the nature of NG modes for open classical and quantum systems. This is the purpose of the present paper.

Open classical and quantum systems can be uniformly described by the path integral formulation, which is called Martin-Siggia-Rose-Janssen-De Dominicis (MSRJD) formalism [24–27] for classical systems, and Keldysh formalism for quantum systems [28], respectively. Both path integral formulation is written in two fields. In the language of classical theories, they are called classical and response fields. In Keldysh formalism, they correspond to the linear combination of fields on the forward and backward paths. These doubled fields play an important role in symmetry of open systems.
The notion of symmetry in open systems is slightly different from that in isolated systems [21–23]. In isolated systems, when there is a continuous symmetry, there exists a physical Noether charge, which we call as \( Q^\alpha_R \) in this paper. The “physical” means that the Noether charge is an observable like the energy and momentum. In contrast, in open systems, the Noether theorem does not necessarily lead to the physical conserved charge. Instead, another conserved charge, which we refer to \( Q^\alpha_A \), arises in the path integral formulation. The doubled charges, \( Q^\alpha_R \) and \( Q^\alpha_A \), related to the fact that the path integral is written in the doubled fields. Although \( Q^\alpha_A \) itself is not the physical conserved quantity, it plays the role of the symmetry generator. By using \( Q^\alpha_A \), we can define the spontaneous symmetry breaking for open systems and derive Ward-Takahashi identities [29, 30]. As is the case in isolated systems, spontaneous symmetry breaking implies that the existence of gapless excitation modes. Our previous analysis based on the Ward-Takahashi identities [22] is limited in the zero momentum limit. In this paper, we generalize it to the analysis with finite momentum, and derive their low-energy coefficients for the inverse of the Green functions in the NG mode channel. Using these coefficients, we classify the NG modes, and discuss the relation between these modes and the broken generators.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we show our main result, in which we classify the NG modes into four types and discuss their dispersion relations. We also discuss how the NG modes can be observed in experiments. In Sec. III, we review the path integral formulation in open classical and quantum systems. In Sec. IV, we discuss the concept of symmetry in open systems and provide two field theoretical technique that we employ to show our main result. Section V shows the detailed derivation of our main result. Section VI is devoted to the summary and discussion.

Throughout this paper, we use the relativistic notation in \((d + 1)\) dimensional spacetime with the Minkowski metric \( \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, \cdots, -1) \), although the system need not have the Lorentz symmetry. We employ the natural unit, i.e., \( c = \hbar = 1 \), where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( \hbar \) is the Plank constant over \( 2\pi \).

II. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we summarize our main results at first because the derivation is technical and complicated. We show the relationship between the inverse of the retarded Green func-
tion and low-energy coefficients that determine the dispersion relation of NG modes. Using the low-energy coefficients, we classify the NG modes into four types: type-A propagation, type-A diffusion, type-B propagation, and type-B diffusion modes. We also discuss how these modes can be observed in experiments.

A. Green functions and low-energy coefficients

We consider a \((d+1)\)-dimensional open system that has a continuous internal symmetry \(G\) (The precise definition of symmetry in open systems is shown in Sec. IV A). Suppose that the symmetry is spontaneously broken into its subgroup \(H\) in a steady state. We assume that the steady state is unique and stable against small perturbations. The steady state may be not only the global thermal equilibrium but also a nonequilibrium steady state. We also assume that any spacetime symmetries of the open system such as the time and spatial translational symmetry are not spontaneously broken, which implies that the frequency and momentum are good quantum numbers. We are interested in the behavior of the retarded Green functions that contain NG modes \([G_\pi(k)]_{\alpha\beta}\), where \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) run from 1 to the number of broken symmetries \(N_{BS}\). As in the case of isolated systems, \(N_{BS}\) is equal to \(\dim(G/H)\).

Our main results (generalization of Nambu-Goldstone theorem) is that the inverse of the retarded Green function can be expanded as

\[
[G_\pi^{-1}(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = C^{\beta\alpha} - iC^{\beta\alpha;\mu}k_\mu + C^{\beta\alpha;\nu,\mu}k_\nu k_\mu + \cdots ,
\]

with coefficients:

\[
C^{\beta\alpha} = 0 ,
\]

\[
C^{\beta\alpha;\mu} = \langle \delta^{\beta}_{R} j^{\alpha;\mu}_{A}(0) \rangle + i \int d^{d+1}x \langle Q_\pi^{\beta} h^R_{\pi}(x) Q_\pi^{\alpha;\mu}(0) \rangle_c ,
\]

\[
C^{\beta\alpha;\nu,\mu} = \langle S^{\beta\alpha;\mu,\nu}(0) \rangle - i \int d^{d+1}x \langle Q_\pi^{\beta;\nu} j^{\alpha;\mu}_{R}(x) Q_\pi^{\alpha;\mu}(0) \rangle_c + i \int d^{d+1}x x^{\nu} \langle Q_\pi^{\beta} h^R_{\pi}(x) Q_\pi^{\alpha;\mu}(0) \rangle_c .
\]

Here, \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) are spacetime indices, \(k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k})\) are the frequency and the wave vector, and \(d^{d+1}x = dt d\mathbf{x}\). The subscript of \(c\) denotes the connected part of correlation functions. \(Q_\pi\) is the projection operator that removes the contribution of NG modes from the operator [The definition of \(Q_\pi(= 1 - P_\pi)\) is given in Eq. (108)]. The expectation values are evaluated
in the path integral formulation (25). In this formulation, there are two types elementary of fields denoted as $\chi_R^a$ and $\chi_A^a$, which correspond to the classical and fluctuation fields, respectively. The operators in Eqs. (4) and (5) are related to the symmetry transformation of the action $S[\chi_R^a, \chi_A^a]$. To see this, consider an infinitesimal local transformation of fields under $G$: $\chi_R^a(x) \rightarrow \chi_R^a(x) + \delta_A \chi_R^a(x)$ $(i = R, A)$ with $\delta_A \chi_R^a(x) := \epsilon(x) \delta^a_A \chi_R^a(x)$, where $\epsilon(x)$ is the infinitesimal parameter depending on spacetime, and $\delta^a_A \chi_R^a(x)$ is the infinitesimal transformation of $\chi_R^a(x)$. If $\chi_R^a(x)$ is a linear representation of $G$, it can be represented as $\delta^a_A \chi_R^a(x) = i[T^a]_b \chi_R^b(x)$, where $T^a$ is the generator of $G$. Since $G$ is the symmetry, the action is invariant under $\delta_A$ if $\epsilon(x)$ is constant. For a spacetime dependent $\epsilon(x)$, the action transforms as

$$\delta_A S = - \int d^{d+1}x \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) j^\mu_A(x),$$

where $j^\mu_A(x)$ is the Noether current. We also introduce a local transformation $\delta_R$ such that

$$\delta_R \chi_R^a = \bar{\epsilon}(x) \delta^a_R \chi_R^a(x)$$

with

$$\delta^a_R \chi_R^a := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \delta^a_A \chi_A^a(x) & \text{quantum system} \\ 0 & \text{classical system} \end{cases}$$

In isolated systems, these are also symmetry transformation; however, they are not in open systems [21, 22]. Under this transformation, the action transforms as

$$\delta_R S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \bar{\epsilon}(x) h_R^a(x) - \partial_\mu \bar{\epsilon}(x) j^\mu_R(x) \right].$$

Since $\delta_R$ transformation is not the symmetry of the action, $h_R^a(x)$ exists.

$\delta^\beta_R j^\mu_A(x)$ and $S^{\beta\alpha;\mu\nu}(x)$ in Eqs. (4) and (5) are given through the infinitesimal local transformation of $j^\mu_A(x)$, which is

$$\delta^\beta_R j^\mu_A(x) = \bar{\epsilon}(x) \delta^\beta_R j^\mu_A(x) + \partial_\nu \bar{\epsilon}(x) S^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}(x) + \cdots.$$  

Low-energy coefficients in the inverse of retarded Green functions (3)-(5) are expressed as one point or two functions of these operators.

The ordinary Nambu-Goldstone theorem shows $C^{\beta\alpha} = 0$ (3), which is derived from the only symmetry breaking pattern, and thus, it is independent of the details of the underlining
theory. This claims that there is, at least, one zero mode when a continuum symmetry is spontaneously broken. To determine the dispersion relation and the number of NG modes, we need additional data. If we impose the Lorentz invariance to the system, we find $C^{a;\mu} = 0$, $C^{\beta;\alpha\nu\mu} = -\eta^{\nu\mu}g^{\beta\alpha}$, where $g^{\beta\alpha}$ is a $N_{\text{BS}} \times N_{\text{BS}}$ matrix with $\det g \neq 0$. In this case, $\det G^{-1}_\pi = 0$ has $2N_{\text{BS}}$ solutions with $\omega = \pm |k|$. Each pair of solutions $\omega = \pm |k|$ gives one mode. Therefore, the number of NG modes is equal to $N_{\text{BS}}$, whose dispersion is linear. This is the Nambu-Goldstone theorem in relativistic systems \[3, 31\]. Equations (4) and (5) give the data for general cases, not only for isolated systems without Lorentz invariance but also for open ones. In this sense, our formulae (2)-(5) provide the generalization of Nambu-Goldstone theorem. In the next subsection, we discuss the classification of NG modes and their dispersion relations.

B. Classification of NG modes

It is interesting to clarify the relation between the broken symmetries, the NG modes, and their dispersion relations in open systems. In isolated systems without the Lorentz invariance, those relations have been made clear in Refs. \[4-7\]. In this section, we generalize the relation obtained in isolated systems to that in open systems. The formula in isolated systems can be reproduced as the special case of our result.

Our formulae (2)-(5) are quite general, so that we need additional assumptions to classify NG modes. First, we assume that $\det(-i\omega \rho - \omega^2 \bar{g}) \neq 0$ at an arbitrary small but nonzero $\omega$, where we define $\rho^{\beta\alpha} := C^{\beta\alpha;0}$ and $\bar{g}^{\beta\alpha} := -C^{\beta\alpha;00}$. This assumption means that the low-energy degrees of freedom are contained in, at least, up to quadratic order in $\omega$. We note that this is implicitly assumed in the analysis of NG modes in isolated systems \[4\]. Second, we assume that the action of underlying theory satisfies the reality condition \[S[\chi^a_R, \chi^a_A]]^* = -S[(\chi^a_R)^*, -(\chi^a_A)^*]\]. All models discussed in Sec. III satisfy this condition. The reality condition implies that all coefficients $C^{\beta\alpha;\mu;\cdots}$ in Eq. (2) are real. This property leads to the relation that if $\omega_k$ is a solution of $\det G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, k) = 0$, then $-\omega^*_{-k}$ is also a solution. The third assumption is that $G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, k)$ is invariant under $k \rightarrow -k$, which makes our analysis simpler. We concentrate on systems that satisfy this condition in this section. One can generalize our analysis by relaxing the this assumption. From these three assumptions, we can conclude that there are two types of modes: diffusion and propagation, and the poles of the retarded
Green functions have the form:

\[
\omega = \begin{cases} 
-i\gamma(k) & \text{diffusion mode} \\
\pm a(k) - ib(k) & \text{propagation mode}
\end{cases}
\] (10)

Here, \(a, b\) and \(\gamma\) are real and positive due to stability of the system and they vanish at \(k = 0\) due to symmetry breaking.

Let us now focus on the relation between the number of modes and the coefficients \(\rho^{\beta\alpha}\) and \(\tilde{g}^{\beta\alpha}\). The number of zero modes can be evaluated from the number of solutions of

\[
\det(-i\omega \rho - \omega^2 \tilde{g}) = \omega^{N_{BS}} \det(-i\rho - \omega \tilde{g}) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega = 0,
\]

which is equal to \(N_{BS} + (N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho) = 2N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho\). As in the case of isolated systems [4], we characterize the type-B modes by linearly independent row-vectors in \(\rho\). \(\rho\) generally have both symmetric and antisymmetric parts. This is different from isolated systems, where \(\rho\) is an antisymmetry matrix. The symmetric part plays the role of dissipation. The number of type-B degrees of freedom is equal to \(\text{rank } \rho\). This characterization is different from our previous work [22], in which we proposed that the type-B mode was characterized by the antisymmetry part of \(\rho\), and conjectured that the existence of the antisymmetric part implied the existence of a propagation mode. However, this conjecture is not always true [23]; it depends on the details of the underlying theory as will be seen below. Therefore, we change the definition of type-B modes\(^1\).

Since propagation poles are always paired with the positive and negative real parts, we count the pair of poles as two. On the other hand, the pole of a diffusion mode is counted as one. This observation gives the relation between \(\text{rank } \rho\) and the number of diffusion and propagation modes as

\[
\text{rank } \rho = N_{\text{B-diffusion}} + 2N_{\text{B-prop}}.
\] (11)

As was mentioned above, the number of diffusion modes depends on the details of the theory. To see this, let us consider a simple model with

\[
G^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
-i\kappa_1 \omega + k^2 & -i\omega \\
i\omega & -i\kappa_2 \omega + k^2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix}
\kappa_1 & 1 \\
-1 & \kappa_2
\end{pmatrix},
\] (12)

\(^1\) The type-A NG mode with \(\omega = -i|k|^2\) in our previous work [22] corresponds to the type-B diffusion mode in the new classification of this paper.
and $\bar{g}^{\beta\alpha} = 0$. Here, $\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_2$ are parameters. We choose these are positive. Since $\det \rho = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 + 1 \neq 0$, rank $\rho = 2$, i.e., there are two solutions in $\det G^{-1}_\pi = 0$, which are given as

$$\omega = \frac{-i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \pm \sqrt{4 - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2}}{2(1 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2)} |k|^2. \quad (13)$$

If $4 > (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2$, one propagation mode appears. On the other hand, if $4 < (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2$, two diffusion modes appear. In both cases, Eq. (11) is, of course, satisfied; however, the diffusion or propagation depends on the parameters.

The rest of gapless degrees of freedom describes type-A modes, whose number is $(N_{BS} - \text{rank} \rho)$. Since they have $\omega^2$ terms in $G^{-1}_\pi$, we count each degrees of freedom as two, and we find that the following relation is satisfied:

$$2(N_{BS} - \text{rank} \rho) = N_{A\text{-diffusion}} + 2N_{A\text{-prop}}. \quad (14)$$

One might think the existence of the type-A diffusion mode is unnatural. However, we cannot exclude this possibility at this stage. For example, let us consider $G^{-1}_\pi = -\omega^2 - 2i\zeta |k|^2 \omega + |k|^4$. The solutions of $G^{-1}_\pi = 0$ are $\omega = -i\zeta |k|^2 \pm \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2} |k|^2$, which correspond to the type-A modes because $\rho = 0$. If $\zeta < 1$, there is one propagation mode. On the other hand, if $\zeta > 1$, there are two diffusion modes. These are nothing but the type-A diffusion modes in our classification. The possibility of type-A diffusion modes is excluded by assuming the additional condition, as will be seen later.

Combining Eqs. (11) and (14), we find the general relation:

$$N_{BS} = N_{A\text{-prop}} + 2N_{B\text{-prop}} + \frac{1}{2} N_{A\text{-diffusion}} + N_{B\text{-diffusion}}. \quad (15)$$

For isolated systems, where $h^\beta_R$ vanishes, and the transformation generated by $\delta_R^\beta$ is upgraded to symmetry whose Noether current is $j^{\beta \mu}_R$. In this case, the symmetric part of $\rho^{\beta \alpha}$ vanishes, and $\rho^{\beta \alpha}$ turns to the Watanabe-Brauner matrix: $\rho^{\beta \alpha} = \langle \delta_R^{\beta \alpha} j^{\alpha 0}_A \rangle = -\langle [i \hat{Q}_R^{\beta}, j^{\alpha 0}_A] \rangle$ [12]. In isolated systems, there are no diffusion modes, i.e., $N_{A\text{-diffusion}} = N_{B\text{-diffusion}} = 0$, so that the relation reduces to the standard one:

$$N_{A\text{-prop}} = N_{BS} - \text{rank} \rho, \quad N_{B\text{-prop}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{rank} \rho. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, let us consider the system is isotropic. In this case, $C^{\alpha \beta;ij}$ can be expressed as
\[ g^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ij}. \] We assume that \( \det g \neq 0 \), which simplifies the dispersion relations:

\[
\omega = \begin{cases} 
\pm a_B |k|^2 - ib_B |k|^2 & \text{Type-B propagation mode} \\
-i\gamma_B |k|^2 & \text{Type-B diffusion mode} \\
\pm a_A |k| - ib_A |k|^2 & \text{Type-A propagation mode}
\end{cases}
\]  

(17)

In this case, there is no type-A diffusion mode (see Appendix A for the proof).

In addition to gapless solutions, there may exist gapped solutions in \( \det G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, k) = 0 \). As is in the case of gapless modes (10), the gapped solutions can be classified into the damping and gapped modes with

\[
\omega = \begin{cases} 
-i\gamma_G & \text{damping mode} \\
\pm a_G - ib_G & \text{gapped mode}
\end{cases}
\]  

(18)

at \( k = 0 \). The total number of solutions of \( \det G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, 0) = 0 \) is equal to the degree of the polynomial \( \det G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, 0) \). Since \( \det G^{-1}_\pi(\omega, 0) = \det(-i\omega\rho - \omega^2\bar{g}) = \omega^{N_{BS}} \det(-i\rho - \omega\bar{g}) \), the degree is equal to \((N_{BS} + \text{rank } \bar{g})\). There are \( 2N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho \) solutions with \( \omega = 0 \), so that the remaining \((\text{rank } \bar{g} + N_{BS}) - (2N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho) = \text{rank } \bar{g} + \text{rank } \rho - N_{BS} \) solutions correspond to gapped ones. Therefore, we find the relation for the gapped modes as

\[
\text{rank } \bar{g} + \text{rank } \rho - N_{BS} = 2N_{\text{gapped}} + N_{\text{damping}}.
\]  

(19)

If \( \gamma_G \) or \( |a_G - ib_G| \) are much smaller than the typical energy scale, these gapped modes become the low-energy degrees of freedom. In isolated systems, this kind of gapped modes are known as gapped partners \([5, 7, 32–36]\). An example is a Ferrimagnet, in which ferro- and antiferro- order parameters coexist. The Ferrimagnet has one magnon with quadratic dispersion, which is the type-B mode, and one gapped mode.

C. Spectral function and Experimental detection

The dispersion relation of NG modes can be experimentally observed by inelastic scattering processes \([37–39]\). For example, in atomic Fermi superfluids, the NG mode is observed in the spectra with focused Bragg scattering \([39]\). The differential cross section is proportional to the correlation function, which behaves as \( 2\text{Im } G_\pi(\omega, k)/\omega =: S(\omega, k) \) at small \( \omega \) \([40]\).

\[ ^2 \text{More precisely, the differential cross section is proportional to } G_{12}(\omega, k) \text{ in } 1/2 \text{ basis of Keldysh formalism. At low } \omega, G_{12}(\omega, k) \text{ reduces to } 2\text{Im } G_\pi(\omega, k)/\omega. \]
$S(\omega, k)$ will be multiplied by an additional factor depending the processes. From the results in the previous subsection, the retarded Green functions in broken phases are written in the following:

$$G_{\text{B-diffusion}}(\omega, k) = \frac{i\gamma_B}{\omega + i\gamma_B|k|^2},$$

$$G_{\text{B-prop}}(\omega, k) = \frac{-1}{(\omega - a_B|k|^2 + ib_B|k|^2)(\omega + a_B|k|^2 + ib_B|k|^2)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The corresponding spectra $S_{\text{B-diffusion}}(\omega, k) := 2\text{Im} G_{\text{B-diffusion}}(\omega, k)/\omega$ and $S_{\text{B-prop}}(\omega, k) := 2\text{Im} G_{\text{B-prop}}(\omega, k)/\omega$ are

$$S_{\text{B-diffusion}}(\omega, k) = \frac{2\gamma_B}{\omega^2 + \gamma_B^2|k|^4}, \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

$$S_{\text{B-prop}}(\omega, k) = \frac{4b_B|k|^2}{((\omega - a_B|k|^2)^2 + b_B^2|k|^4)((\omega + a_B|k|^2)^2 + b_B^2|k|^4)}, \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

respectively. As a comparison, we show the functional form of $S = \rho(\omega, k)/\omega$ for type A and B modes in isolated systems as

$$S_A(\omega, k) := \frac{4b_A|k|^2}{((\omega - a_A|k|^2)^2 + b_A^2|k|^4)((\omega + a_A|k|^2)^2 + b_A^2|k|^4)},$$

$$S_B(\omega, k) = \frac{4b_B|k|^4}{((\omega - a_B|k|^2)^2 + b_B^2|k|^4)((\omega + a_B|k|^2)^2 + b_B^2|k|^4)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

\hspace{1cm} (24)

respectively. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the $\omega$-$|k|$ dependence of $S(\omega, k)$ in open and isolated systems, respectively. $S(\omega, k)$ in the open system has a single peak for type-B diffusion mode, which has a single peak. The right panel shows $S(\omega, k)$ for a type-B propagation mode, which has blunt pair peaks. The parameters are chosen as $\gamma_B = 1$, $a_B = 1$, and $b_B = 0.5$.

FIG. 1. The left panel shows the $\omega$-$|k|$ dependence of $S(\omega, k)$ for a type-B diffusion mode, which has a single peak. The right panel shows $S(\omega, k)$ for a type-B propagation mode, which has blunt pair peaks. The parameters are chosen as $\gamma_B = 1$, $a_B = 1$, and $b_B = 0.5$. In contrast, $S(\omega, k)$ in the isolated
FIG. 2. The left and right panels show the $\omega$-$|k|$ dependence of $S(\omega, k)$ for type-A and B modes in an isolated system, respectively. The both have the sharp pair peaks. The parameters are chosen as $a_A = 1$, $b_A = 0.5$, $a_B = 1$, and $b_B = 0.5$.

system has sharp pair peaks. Thus, $S(\omega, k)$ has significantly different behaviors depending on the open or the isolated system.

The type-B diffusion spectrum will be realized in a driven dissipative Bose-Einstein condensate [17–21]. Similarly, we expect that the type-B propagation mode in a nonequilibrium steady state can be observed in a driven dissipative BEC with a different symmetry breaking pattern, e.g., $SO(3) \times U(1) \to U(1)$ realized in a spinor BEC [41, 42].

III. OPEN CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM SYSTEMS

Here, we briefly review the path integral approach to open classical and quantum systems. Readers who are familiar with this approach may jump to Sec. IV. As will be seen below, in both classical and quantum systems, the expectation value of an operator can be expressed as the path integral,

$$
\langle O[\chi_R^a, \chi_A^a] \rangle = \int_\rho \mathcal{D}\chi_R^a \mathcal{D}\chi_A^a \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} e^{iS[\chi_R^a, \chi_A^a, C, \bar{C}]} O[\chi_R^a, \chi_A^a].
$$

Here, the subscript $\rho$ denote the contribution from the initial density operator, whose definition is given later. $S[\chi_R^a, \chi_A^a, C, \bar{C}]$ is the action with two types of physical degrees of freedom, $\chi_R^a$ and $\chi_A^a$, correspond to classical and fluctuation fields, respectively. In classical systems, $\chi_R^a$ is often called the response field. In quantum systems, $\chi_R^a$ and $\chi_A^a$ are fundamental fields of Keldysh basis in Keldysh path formalism [28]. $C$ and $\bar{C}$ are ghost fields, which are responsible for the conservation of probability. The existence of the ghost term depends on the theory. In the following, we show three examples for classical and quantum
A. Stochastic system

The first example is a stochastic system. The path integral formalism in this system is the so-called Martin-Siggia-Rose-Janssen-De Dominicis (MSRJD) formalism [24–27]. Let us consider a stochastic system whose dynamics is described by the Langevin type equation:

$$\partial_t \phi = -\gamma \phi - \lambda \phi^3 + \xi,$$

where $\xi$ represents the Gaussian white noise that satisfies

$$\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = \kappa \delta(t-t').$$

(27)

Here, $\kappa$ represents the strength of the noise. The probability distribution is defined as

$$P[t; \phi_R] := \int d\phi_R(t_I) \rho[\phi_R(t_I)] \langle \delta(\phi_R - \phi(t)) \rangle \xi,$$

where $\rho[\phi_R(t_I)]$ is the initial probability distribution. Since $\phi(t)$ follows Eq. (26), $P[t_F; \phi_R]$ can be expressed as

$$P[t_F; \phi_R] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_R \det(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) \langle \delta(\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3 - \xi) \rangle \xi,$$

(29)

where $\det(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2)$ is the Jacobian associated with the transformation from $(\phi_R - \phi(t))$ to $(\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3 - \xi(t))$. Here, we introduced the following notation:

$$\int_{\rho,\phi_R} \mathcal{D}\phi_R := \int_{\phi_R(t_F) = \phi_R} \mathcal{D}\phi_R \rho[\phi_R(t_I)].$$

(30)

Using the Fourier representation of the delta function, we can write the probability distribution as

$$P[t_F; \phi_R] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_A \mathcal{D}\phi_R \det(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) \left\langle \exp \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \ i \phi_A (\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3 - \xi) \right\rangle \xi.$$

(31)

The average of the noise can be evaluated as

$$\langle e^{-i \int dt \phi_A(t) \xi(t)} \rangle \xi = e^{-\frac{\kappa}{2} \int dt \phi_A^2(t)}.$$

(32)
which leads to
\[
P[t_F; \phi_R] = \int_{\rho, \phi_R} D\phi_\rho D\phi_R \det(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) \exp \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \left[i\phi_A(\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3) - \frac{\kappa}{2} \phi_A^2 \right].
\] (33)

The determinant can be expressed as the Fermionic path integral:
\[
\det(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) = \int DC DC \exp \int dt \bar{C}(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) C.
\] (34)

We eventually obtain the path-integral formula:
\[
P[t_F; \phi_R] = \int_{\rho, \phi_R} D\phi_\rho D\phi_R DC DC \bar{C} e^{iS[\phi_R, \phi_A, C, \bar{C}]}.
\] (35)

with the action,
\[
S[\phi_R, \phi_A, C, \bar{C}] = \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \left[\phi_A(\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi_R^2 \right] - i \int_{t_I}^{t_F} dt \bar{C}(\partial_t + \gamma + 3\lambda \phi_R^2) C.
\] (36)

The expectation value of an operator \( O[\phi_R, \phi_A] \) is given as
\[
\langle O[\phi_R, \phi_A] \rangle := \int D\phi_R(t_F) \int_{\rho, \phi_R} D\phi_\rho D\phi_R DC DC \bar{C} e^{iS[\phi_R, \phi_A, C, \bar{C}]} O[\phi_R, \phi_A]
\]
\[
= : \int_{\rho} D\phi_\rho D\phi_R DC DC \bar{C} e^{iS[\phi_R, \phi_A, C, \bar{C}]} O[\phi_R, \phi_A].
\] (37)

In the second line, we include the integral of \( \phi_R(t_F) \) at the boundary into \( \int_{\rho} D\phi_R \). Here, we only considered the single variable with the simple interaction and noise. The generalization to multi-component fields is straightforward. For more detailed derivation, see the textbooks [43–45].

B. Open quantum systems

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\rho \quad \phi_1, B_1 \\
\downarrow t = t_f \quad \downarrow \phi_2, B_2 \\
\bar{\phi} \quad t = \infty
\end{array}
\]

FIG. 3. Closed time contour in the Keldysh formalism.

The second example is an open quantum system. The open system can be formally constructed from an isolated system. To see this, let us consider a quantum system coupled with
an environment. The path integral formula can be obtained by integrating the environment out [46]. The action of the total system consists of three parts:

\[ S_{\text{tot}}[\phi, B] = S_{\text{sys}}[\phi] + S_{\text{env}}[B] + S_{\text{int}}[\phi, B], \]

where \( S_{\text{sys}}[\phi] \), \( S_{\text{env}}[B] \), and \( S_{\text{int}}[B, \phi] \) are the actions of the system, environment, and interaction between them, respectively. \( \phi \) and \( B \) are the degrees of freedom of the system and environment. We assume that the initial density operator at \( t = t_I \) is the direct product of those of the system and environment: \( \hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_{\text{sys}} \otimes \hat{\rho}_{\text{env}} \). In the path integral formalism, the expectation value of an operator \( O[\phi_1, \phi_2] \) is given on the path shown in Fig. 3 as

\[
\langle O[\phi_1, \phi_2] \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 DB_1DB_2 \rho_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1(t_I), \phi_2(t_I)] \rho_{\text{env}}[B_1(t_I), B_2(t_I)]
\]

\[
\times e^{iS_{\text{tot}}[\phi_1, B_1] - iS_{\text{tot}}[\phi_2, B_2]} O[\phi_R, \phi_A],
\]

where the indices, 1 and 2, represent the label of the forward and backward paths in Fig. 3, and we introduced the matrix elements of the density operators \( \rho_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1(t_I), \phi_2(t_I)] := \langle \phi_1(t_I) | \hat{\rho}_{\text{sys}} | \phi_2(t_I) \rangle \) and \( \rho_{\text{env}}[B_1(t_I), B_2(t_I)] := \langle B_1(t_I) | \hat{\rho}_{\text{env}} | B_2(t_I) \rangle \). The direct product of the density operators enables us formally to integrate the environment out. Introducing the influence functional \( e^{i\Gamma[\phi_1, \phi_2]} \) [46] defined as

\[
e^{i\Gamma[\phi_1, \phi_2]} := \int \mathcal{D}B_1 \mathcal{D}B_2 \rho_{\text{env}}[B_{11}, B_{12}] e^{iS_{\text{env}}[B_1] - iS_{\text{env}}[B_2] + iS_{\text{int}}[\phi_1, B_1] - iS_{\text{int}}[\phi_2, B_1]},
\]

we can express the expectation value as

\[
\langle O[\phi_1, \phi_2] \rangle = \int_{\rho} \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 e^{iS_{\text{int}}[\phi_1, \phi_2]} O[\phi_1, \phi_2],
\]

where we defined

\[
S_{\text{eff}}[\phi_1, \phi_2] := S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1] - S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_2] + \Gamma[\phi_1, \phi_2],
\]

and the notation of the subscript \( \rho \) by

\[
\int_{\rho} \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 := \int \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 \rho_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1(t_I), \phi_2(t_I)].
\]

To see the connection between \( S_{\text{eff}} \) and the action (36) in the MSRJD formalism, let us move on to the Keldysh basis, which is defined as \( \phi_R := (\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2 \) and \( \phi_A := (\phi_1 - \phi_2) \). \( \phi_R \) and \( \phi_A \) are called classical and quantum fields, respectively. Expanding the action with
respect to $\phi_A$, and keeping $\phi_A$ up to quadratic order, we can obtain the MSRJD action. For example, we consider $\phi^4$ theory in $(0 + 1)$ dimension, whose action is given as

$$S_{\text{sys}}[\phi] = \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{u}{4} \phi^4 \right].$$

(44)

In the Keldysh basis, $S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1] - S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_2]$ is expressed as

$$S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_1] - S_{\text{sys}}[\phi_2] = \int dt \left[ \partial_t \phi_A \partial_t \phi_R - m^2 \phi_A \phi_R - u \phi_A \phi_R^3 - \frac{u}{4} \phi_R \phi_A^3 \right].$$

(45)

The functional form of $\Gamma[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ depends on the details of the environment. For a simple case, we assume

$$\Gamma[\phi_1, \phi_2] = \int dt \left[ -\nu \phi_A \partial_t \phi_R + \frac{i}{2} D \phi_A^2 \right],$$

(46)

where $\nu$ and $D$ are parameters coming from couplings to the environment. Then, the action reduces to

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int dt \left[ \partial_t \phi_A \partial_t \phi_R - \nu \phi_A \partial_t \phi_R - m^2 \phi_A \phi_R - u \phi_A \phi_R^3 - \frac{u}{4} \phi_R \phi_A^3 + \frac{i}{2} D \phi_A^2 \right].$$

(47)

For a classical treatment, we drop $\phi_A^3$ term, and neglect the $\partial_t \phi_A \partial_t \phi_R$ term for slow dynamics. Then, we arrive at

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int dt \left[ \phi_A (\partial_t \phi_R + \gamma \phi_R + \lambda \phi_R^3) + \frac{i}{2} \kappa \phi_A^2 \right],$$

(48)

where we rescaled $\phi_A \rightarrow -\phi_A/\nu$ and introduced $\gamma = m^2/\nu$, $\kappa = D/\nu^2$ and $\lambda = u/\nu$. We can see that the action (48) is the same form as the MSR one (36) except for the Jacobian term.

C. Lindblad equation

The third example is the system described by a Lindblad equation [47] (See Ref. [21] for the review on the path integral formalism of the Lindblad equation). The Lindblad equation with a single Lindblad operator $\hat{L}$ is given as

$$\partial_t \hat{\rho} = -i [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \gamma \left( \hat{L} \rho \hat{L}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{L}^\dagger \hat{L} \rho + \rho \hat{L}^\dagger \hat{L}) \right).$$

(49)

Here, $\gamma$ is a coefficient and $\hat{L}$ is a function of fields. The term proportional to $\gamma$ describe the dissipation and fluctuation effects. By construction, the trace of $\hat{\rho}$ is time independent, $\partial_t \text{tr} \hat{\rho} = 0$. 

16
When the degrees of freedom is a bosonic Schrödinger field $\hat{\psi}(t, \mathbf{x})$ in $(d + 1)$ dimensions with the commutation relation, $[\hat{\psi}(t, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\psi}^\dagger(t', \mathbf{x}')] = \delta^{(d)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$, the path integral for the expectation value of an operator $O[\psi_i, \psi_i^\dagger]$ is given as

$$\langle O[\psi_i, \psi_i^\dagger] \rangle = \int D\psi_i D\psi_i^\dagger e^{iS[\psi_i, \psi_i^\dagger]}$$

(50)

with the action,

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \psi_i^\dagger i\partial_t \psi_1 - H_1 - \psi_i^\dagger i\partial_t \psi_2 + H_2 - i\gamma \left( L_1^\dagger L_2 + L_1 L_2^\dagger \right) \right] ,$$

(51)

where $H_i := \langle \psi_i | \hat{H} | \psi_i \rangle$, $L_i = \langle \psi_i | \hat{L} | \psi_i \rangle$, $L_i^\dagger = \langle \psi_i | \hat{L}^\dagger | \psi_i \rangle$.

For example, if we choose the Hamiltonian and the Lindblad operator as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \nabla^\dagger \nabla \hat{\psi}, \quad \hat{L} = \sqrt{2} \hat{\psi},$$

(52)

the action is written in the form,

$$S = \int dt d^dx \left[ \psi_i^\dagger i\partial_t \psi_1 - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \psi_i^\dagger \nabla \psi_1 - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \psi_i^\dagger \nabla \psi_2 + \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \psi_i \nabla \psi_2 + i\gamma \left( 2\psi_1 \psi_2 - (\psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 + \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2) \right) \right] ,$$

(53)

where $m$ is the mass. In the Keldysh basis, it becomes

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \psi_R^\dagger i(\partial_t - \gamma) \psi_A + \psi_A^\dagger i(\partial_t + \gamma) \psi_R - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \psi_R^\dagger \nabla \psi_A - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \psi_A^\dagger \nabla \psi_R + i\gamma \psi_A^\dagger \psi_A \right] .$$

(54)

As we have seen three examples, all systems are described by the path-integral.

### IV. FIELD THEORETICAL TECHNIQUE

In this section, we provide the concept of symmetry in open systems and two field theoretical techniques to derive low-energy coefficients. One is the Ward-Takahashi identity that gives the relation between different Green functions. The other is the generating functional and effective action methods, which are often employed to prove the Nambu-Goldstone theorem in quantum field theories (See, e.g., Ref. [31]).

---

3 Precisely speaking, when $\hat{L}$ depends on both $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger$, one need to take care of the ordering of the field in $\hat{L}^\dagger \hat{L}$, since $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger$ are not commutative.
A. Symmetry of open systems

In isolated systems, the existence of a continuous symmetry implies the existence of the conserved current. On the other hand, in open systems, the energy, the momentum, and the particle number are generally not conserved due to the interaction between the system and the environment. Thus, one may wonder what the symmetry of open systems means. Even such a case, there may exist symmetry [21, 22]. To see the symmetry of open systems, let us consider the concrete example with the action (53). If $\gamma = 0$, this system corresponds to an isolated system. In this case, the action is invariant under $\psi_1 \rightarrow e^{i\theta_1} \psi_1$ and $\psi_2 \rightarrow e^{i\theta_2} \psi_2$, where $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are constant. In this sense, there is the $U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2$ symmetry, whose Noether charges are

$$Q_1 = \int d^dx \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1, \quad Q_2 = \int d^dx \psi_2^\dagger \psi_2,$$

respectively. This kind of doubled symmetry is used for the construction of an effective field theory of fluids in Keldysh formalism [48–59]. In Keldysh basis, these are expressed as

$$Q_A := Q_1 - Q_2 = \int d^{d+1}x (\psi_R^\dagger \psi_A + \psi_A^\dagger \psi_R),$$

$$Q_R := \frac{1}{2}(Q_1 + Q_2) = \int d^{d+1}x \left(\psi_R^\dagger \psi_R + \frac{1}{4} \psi_A^\dagger \psi_A\right).$$

In isolated systems, these charges generate infinitesimal transformation as

$$\delta_A \psi_A = i\psi_A, \quad \delta_A \psi_R = i\psi_R,$$

$$\delta_R \psi_A = i\psi_R, \quad \delta_R \psi_R = \frac{1}{4}i\psi_A,$$

where $\delta_i \hat{\psi}_j = -i [\hat{Q}_i, \hat{\psi}_j]$ in the operator formalism. On the other hand, when $\gamma \neq 0$, one of the symmetries is explicitly broken. The residual symmetry is $U(1)_A$, which is given by setting the parameters as $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. The Noether charge $Q_A$ is still conserved, but $Q_R$ is not, which means that the charge in the usual sense is not conserved.

More generally, we can consider a system with continuous symmetry $G$. The symmetry of open systems means that the action is invariant under the infinitesimal transformation of $G$,

$$\chi_A^a(x) \rightarrow \chi_A^a(x) + \epsilon_\alpha \delta_A^a \chi_A^a(x), \quad \chi_R^a(x) \rightarrow \chi_R^a(x) + \epsilon_\alpha \delta_A^a \chi_R^a(x).$$
where $\chi^a_A(x)$ and $\chi^a_R(x)$ are field degrees of freedom, and $\epsilon_\alpha$ is constant. We can formally define the transformation $\delta^\alpha_R \chi_i(x)$ such that

$$\delta^\alpha_R \chi^a_A(x) := \delta^\alpha_A \chi^a_R(x),$$

$$\delta^\alpha_R \chi^a_R(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \delta^\alpha_A \chi^a_A(x) & \text{quantum system} \\ 0 & \text{classical system} \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

These are generalizations of Eqs. (58) and (59) \cite{22}. In open systems, invariance of the action under $\delta^\alpha_R$ depends on the theory. If the particle number is conserved, but energy are not, then, the action is invariant under $U(1)_R$ transformation: $\chi_A \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \chi_R$ and $\chi_R \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \chi_A/4$, but it is not invariant under the time translation: $\chi_A(t) \rightarrow \chi_R(t+c)$ and $\chi_R(t) \rightarrow \chi_A(t+c)/4$, where $\theta$ and $c$ are constants.

In addition, all previous examples in Sec. III satisfy the reality condition:

$$(S[\chi^a_A, \chi^a_R])^* = -S((\chi^a_R)^*, -(\chi^a_A)^*).$$ \hspace{1cm} (61)

In this paper, we focus on the theories satisfying the reality condition.

### B. Ward-Takahashi identity

Symmetry plays an important role not only in isolated systems but also in open ones. Here, we show the Noether current in open systems and the Ward-Takahashi identity \cite{29, 30}. Let us consider a theory with field variables $\chi^a_A$ and $\chi^a_R$. Suppose the action $S$ is invariant under $G$ and the infinitesimal transformation is given as $\chi^a_i \rightarrow \chi^a_i + \epsilon_\alpha \delta^\alpha_A \chi^a_i$, with an infinitesimal constant $\epsilon_\alpha$. When $\epsilon_\alpha(x)$ depends on spacetime coordinate, the action transforms as

$$\delta_A S = - \int d^{d+1}x j^\alpha_A(x) \partial_\mu \epsilon_\alpha(x),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

because $\delta_A S$ does vanish if $\epsilon_\alpha(x)$ is constant. The operator $j^\alpha_A(x)$ is called the Noether current. To see the Ward-Takahashi identity in the path integral formalism, we consider the expectation value of an operator $O[\chi^a_i]$, which is given as

$$\langle O[\chi^a_i] \rangle = \int D\chi^a_i e^{iS[\chi^a_i]} O[\chi^a_i].$$ \hspace{1cm} (62)

Since $\chi^a_i$ is the integral variable, the integral is invariant under relabeling $\chi^a_i \rightarrow \chi^a_i'$:

$$\langle O[\chi^a_i] \rangle = \int D\chi^a_i' e^{iS[\chi^a_i']} O[\chi^a_i'].$$ \hspace{1cm} (63)
If we choose \( \chi_i^a(x) = \chi_i^a(x) + \epsilon(x) \delta^a_i \chi_i^a(x) \), and assuming the path-integral measure is invariant under this transformation: \( \mathcal{D} \chi_i^a = \mathcal{D} \chi_i^a \), we find

\[
\langle \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \rangle = \int \mathcal{D} \chi_i^a e^{iS[\chi_i^a] + i\delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a]} + O(\epsilon^2) = \langle \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \rangle + \langle \delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \rangle + i\langle \delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \rangle + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{64}
\]

Here, the local transformation of \( \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \) is given as

\[
\delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] = \int d^{d+1} x \epsilon(x) \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a]}{\delta \chi_j^b(x)} \delta^a_i \chi_j^b(x). \tag{65}
\]

The leading order term in \( \epsilon(x) \) gives

\[
\left\langle \int d^4 x \epsilon(x) \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \right\rangle + i\langle \delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \rangle = 0. \tag{66}
\]

Differentiating Eq. (66) with respect to \( \epsilon(x) \), we obtain

\[
-\partial_\mu \left\langle j^a_\mu \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \right\rangle + i\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a]}{\delta \chi_i^a(x)} \delta_A \mathcal{O}[\chi_i^a] \right\rangle = 0. \tag{67}
\]

This is the Ward-Takahashi identity, which is the conservation law in the path integral formalism.

### C. Generating functional and Effective action

In order to show the Nambu-Goldstone theorem, it is useful to introduce the generating functional. We start with a path integral representation for the generating functional in \((d + 1)\)-spacetime dimensions:

\[
Z[J] := \exp \left[ i \int d^{d+1} x J_\mu(x) \phi_\mu^a(x) \right] = \int_{\mathcal{D} \chi_i^a} \exp [iS[\chi_i^a]] + i \int d^{d+1} x J_\mu(x) \phi_\mu^a(x), \tag{68}
\]

where \( \chi_i^a \)'s are elementary degrees of freedom in Keldysh basis. \( \phi^a_i = (\phi^R_i, \phi^A_i) \) is a set of real scalar fields, which may be the elementary or a composite field of \( \chi_i^a \). In general, the stationary state need not be the thermal equilibrium state, i.e., a nonequilibrium steady state is allowed in this formalism. To be the generating functional well-defined, we assume that the stationary state is stable against any small perturbations. We also assume the

\[^4\text{When } \phi^R_i \text{ and } \phi^A_i \text{ are composite, these fields are defined by using 1/2 basis such that } \phi_R = (\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2 \]

and \( \phi_A = \phi_1 - \phi_2 \), where \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are polynomials of \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \), respectively.
stationary state that is independent of the choice of the initial density operator, so that we omit the subscript $\rho$ in the following.

Connected Green functions are generated by differentiating $\ln Z[J]$ with respect to $J$:

$$\langle \phi^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi^{a_n}_{i_n}(x_n) \rangle_{c;J} = \frac{1}{i^n} \frac{\delta^n \ln Z[J]}{\delta J^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \delta J^{a_n}_{i_n}(x_n)}. \quad (69)$$

Here, $\langle \ldots \rangle_J$ denotes the expectation value in the presence of the source $J_a^b(x)$, which is defined as

$$\langle O \rangle_J := \frac{1}{Z[J]} \int D\chi_i^a e^{i[S[\chi]+i J^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1) \phi^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1)]} O.$$ \quad (70)

The subscript $c$ denotes the connected part of the correlation function. The Green function without the external field is given as the limit of $J_a^b(x) \to 0$:

$$\langle \phi^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi^{a_n}_{i_n}(x_n) \rangle_{c} = \lim_{J \to 0} \langle \phi^{a_1}_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \phi^{a_n}_{i_n}(x_n) \rangle_{c;J}. \quad (71)$$

Since $J^A_a \phi^a_A$ term in Eq. (68) proportional to $\phi^a_A$, it can be absorbed into the action as the external force, while $J^R_a \phi^a_R$ cannot. The external force does not change the conservation of the probability, so that the partition function becomes trivial if $J^R = 0$: $Z[J^R = 0, J^A] = 1$. This identity leads to

$$\langle \phi^{a_1}_{A}(x_1) \cdots \phi^{a_n}_{A}(x_n) \rangle_{c} = \frac{1}{i^n} \frac{\delta^n \ln Z[J]}{\delta J^{a_1}_{A}(x_1) \cdots \delta J^{a_n}_{A}(x_n)} \bigg|_{J=0} = 0. \quad (72)$$

Therefore, any correlation functions contracted from only $\phi_A$’s vanish. In particular, $\langle \phi^a_A(x) \rangle$ cannot be the order parameter.

We here introduce the effective action, which is defined as

$$\Gamma[\varphi] := -i \ln Z[J] - \int d^{d+1}x J^i_a(x) \varphi^a_i(x), \quad (73)$$

where $\varphi^a_i(x) := \langle \phi^a_i(x) \rangle_J = -i \delta \ln Z[J] / \delta J^i_a(x)$. We assume that $\varphi^a_i(x) = \langle \phi^a_i(x) \rangle_J$ is invertible so that the effective action is well-defined. The effective action is a functional of $\varphi^a_i(x)$ rather than $J^i_a(x)$, since $\delta \Gamma[\varphi] = - \int d^{d+1}x i \delta J^i_a(x) \delta \ln Z[J] / \delta J^i_a(x) - \int d^{d+1}x \delta \varphi^a_i(x) - \int d^{d+1}x J^i_a(x) \delta \varphi^a_i(x) = - \int d^{d+1}x J^i_a(x) \delta \varphi^a_i(x)$, in which $J^i_a(x)$ is not independent variable but it relates to $\varphi^a_i(x)$ through $\varphi^a_i(x) = \langle \phi^a_i(x) \rangle_J$. The functional derivative of $\Gamma$ with respect to $\varphi^a_i$ is

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi^a_i(x)} = -J^i_a(x). \quad (74)$$
In the absence of the external field, \( J^i_a(x) = 0 \), Eq. (74) gives the stationary condition of the effective action. The second functional derivative of \( \Gamma \) is
\[
\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma[\varphi]}{\delta \varphi^b_j(x') \delta \varphi^a_i(x)} = -\left( \frac{\delta \varphi^b_j(x')}{\delta J^i_a(x)} \right)^{-1} = -[D^{-1}]_{ba}(x', x; \varphi). \tag{75}
\]
Here, we defined the two-point Green function as
\[
-iD_{ij}^a(x, x'; \varphi) := \langle \varphi^a_i(x) \varphi^b_j(x') \rangle = -i\frac{\delta \varphi^b_j(x')}{\delta J^i_a(x)}. \tag{76}
\]
To see the symmetry of the effective action, we apply the Ward-Takahashi identity (66). By choosing \( O = \exp i \int d^{d+1}J^i_a(x) \phi^a_i(x) \) in Eq. (66), we obtain
\[
\int d^{d+1}x \partial_\mu \epsilon_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{J}^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x) \rangle = \int d^{d+1}x \epsilon_{\alpha} \langle J^i_a(x) \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \phi^a_i(x) \rangle, \tag{77}
\]
or equivalently,
\[
\int d^{d+1}x \partial_\mu \epsilon_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{J}^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x) \rangle = -\int d^{d+1}x \epsilon_{\alpha} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \varphi^a_i(x)} \langle \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \phi^a_i(x) \rangle, \tag{78}
\]
where we used Eq. (74). These equations play an essential role in the analysis of Nambu-Goldstone modes.

V. SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING AND NAMBU-GOLDSTONE THEOREM

In this section, we nonperturbatively establish the Nambu-Goldstone theorem in open systems. We will derive the formulae for the inverse of the retarded Green function for NG modes shown in Eqs. (2)-(5). We consider an open system with elementary fields \( \chi^a_i(x) \). The system has spacetime translational invariance, and a continuous internal symmetry \( G \). The fields transform under an infinitesimal transformation of \( G \): \( \chi_i \rightarrow \chi_i + \epsilon_\alpha \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \chi^a_i(x) \) with \( \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \chi^a_i(x) = i [T^\alpha]_b \chi^b_i(x) \), where \( T^\alpha \)'s are generators of \( G \). We assume that the order parameter belongs to a set of real fields \( \{ \phi^a_i \} \) that transforms under \( G \) as
\[
\phi^a_i(x) \rightarrow \phi^a_i(x) + \epsilon_\alpha \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \phi^a_i(x) \tag{79}
\]
with \( \delta^\alpha_{\alpha} \phi^a_i(x) := i [T^\alpha]_b \phi^b_i(x) \). In other words, \( \phi^a_i \) belong to a linear representation of \( G \). \( \phi^a_i \) may be elementary or composite. The representation of \( \phi^a_i \) may be different from \( \chi^a_i \)'s. For technical reasons, we assume that \( \phi^a_i \) transforms under the local transformation:
\[
\delta_{\alpha} \phi^a_i(x) = \epsilon_\alpha(x) [T^\alpha]_b \phi^b_i(x). \tag{80}
\]
This assumption will make the derivation of our formulae simple. We assume that the continuous symmetry $G$ is spontaneously broken to its subgroup $H$. The symmetry breaking is characterized by the nonvanishing order parameter, $\delta^\alpha_A \phi^a_i := \langle \delta^\alpha_A \phi^a_i(x) \rangle$. We also assume that the translational symmetry is not broken, i.e., the order parameter is independent of time and space, which enable us to work in momentum space.

Our procedure consists of three steps: First, we show the existence of gapless excitations. Second, we will find the relation between the inverse of retarded Green functions for $\{\phi^a_i\}$ and their low energy coefficients. In general, $\{\phi^a_i\}$ contains not only NG fields but also fields with gapped modes that are not interested in. Therefore, in the third step, we derive the inverse of retarded Green functions with the only NG fields by projecting out the contribution from gapped modes.

### A. Existence of gapless excitations

The existence of gapless excitations can be shown by using the standard technique developed in quantum field theory [31]. In the generating functional method, the order parameter is given as

$$\delta^\alpha_A \bar{\phi}^a_i := \lim_{J \to 0} \langle \delta^\alpha_A \phi^a_i(x) \rangle_J.$$  

Taking $\epsilon^\alpha(x)$ to be constant in Eq. (78), we obtain

$$\int d^{d+1}x \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a_i(x)} \delta^\alpha_A \phi^a_i(x) = 0.$$  

Differentiating Eq. (81) with respect to $\varphi^b_j(x')$, we get

$$\int d^{d+1}x [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x', x; \varphi) \delta^\alpha_A \phi^a_i(x) = -J^j_\delta(\varphi) \varphi^b_i(x),$$  

where we used $\delta^\alpha_A \varphi^a_i(x) = i[T^\alpha]^{a}_b \varphi^b_i(x)$ and Eq. (75). Taking the limit $J^j_\delta \to 0$, we obtain

$$\int d^{d+1}x [D^{-1}]_{bR}^{ji}(x' - x) \delta^\alpha_A \bar{\varphi}^a_R = 0,$$

where $[D^{-1}]_{bR}^{ji}(x' - x) := [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x', x; \varphi = \bar{\varphi})$ with $\bar{\varphi}^a_i := \lim_{J \to 0} \langle \phi^a_i(x) \rangle_J$. In momentum space, we obtain

$$[D^{-1}]_{bR}(k = 0) \delta^\alpha_A \bar{\varphi}^a_R = 0.$$  

This identity represents the eigenvalue equation with the zero eigenvalue, whose eigenvectors are $\delta^\alpha_A \bar{\varphi}^a_R$, and it implies that the existence of gapless excitations. One can check the independent number of $\delta^\alpha_A \bar{\varphi}^a_R$ equals $\dim(G/H) =: N_{BS}$. In an isolated system with Lorentz
symmetry, \( N_{BS} \) is equal to the number of gapless excitations \([31]\). However, this is not the case in open systems. To obtain the information of finite frequency and momentum, we need further data shown in the following subsections.

**B. Low-energy coefficients**

In order to obtain the information of finite momentum, let us go back to Eq. (78), and consider the functional derivative of it with respect to \( \phi_{b}^{j}(x') \):

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_{b}^{j}(x')} \langle \delta A S \rangle_{J} = - \int d^{d+1}x [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x'; x; \phi) \delta_{A} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) - \epsilon_{a}(x') J_{a}^{i}(x') i[T_{a}]_{b}^{b}. \quad (85)
\]

Here, we employed Eq. (6) on the left-hand side and defined the local transformation,

\[
\delta_{A} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) := \epsilon_{a}(x) \delta_{A} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) = \epsilon_{a}(x) i[T_{a}]_{b}^{b} \phi_{i}^{b}(x), \quad (86)
\]

to simplify the notation. This local transformation is obeyed from Eq. (80). We can also introduce the local transformation \( \delta_{R} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) \) defined as

\[
\delta_{R} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) := \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta}(x) \delta_{R} \phi_{i}^{a}(x) = \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta}(x) i[T_{a}]_{b}^{b} \phi_{i}^{b}(x). \quad (87)
\]

Here, we introduced the symbol \( \bar{\epsilon}_{j}^{i} \) for the transformation defined from \( \delta_{R} \chi_{i}^{a}(x) = \bar{\epsilon}_{j}^{i} \delta_{A} \chi_{j}^{a}(x) \) in Eq. (7). The bar on the \( \bar{\epsilon}_{\alpha} \) is introduced to distinguish the transformation for \( \delta_{A} \), where \( \epsilon_{\alpha} \) is used. Multiplying Eq. (85) by \(- \int d^{d+1}x' \delta_{R} \phi_{j}^{b}(x') \) and taking the \( J_{a}^{i}(x) \to 0 \) limit, we obtain

\[
\int d^{d+1}x' \int d^{d+1}x \bar{\epsilon}_{\beta}(x') \delta_{R} \phi_{i}^{b}(x') [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(x' - x) \delta_{A} \phi_{l}^{a}(x) = - \lim_{J_{a}^{i}(x) \to 0} \int d^{d+1}x' \delta_{R} \phi_{j}^{b}(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_{b}^{j}(x')} \langle \delta A S \rangle_{J}, \quad (88)
\]

where we interchange the left- and right-hand sides. The left-hand side represents the inverse of the retarded Green function in the NG mode channel. We would like to express the right-hand side in the language of symmetry. Naively, one might think that the right-hand side in Eq. (88) has the form \( \langle \delta_{R} \delta_{A} S \rangle \). This is not the case; there is an additional contribution. To see this, let us consider the identity associated with a local transformation \( \delta_{R} \mathcal{O} \) for an arbitrary \( \mathcal{O} \) defined as

\[
\delta_{R} \mathcal{O} := \int d^{d+1}x \bar{\epsilon}_{\alpha}(x) \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}}{\delta \chi_{i}^{a}(x)} \delta_{R} \chi_{i}^{a}(x). \quad (89)
\]
The Ward-Takahashi identity leads to the following identity:

\[ \langle \delta_R O \rangle_J = -i \langle \delta_RS O \rangle_J - i \int d^{d+1}x' J^i_a(x') \langle \delta_R \phi^a_i(x') O \rangle_J. \]  \hspace{1cm} (90)

We note, since the action is not invariant under this transformation, \( i \langle \delta_RS O \rangle_J \) does not vanish even when \( \bar{\epsilon}_\beta(x) \) is constant. For the trivial operator \( O = 1 \), Eq. (90) reduces to

\[ i \langle \delta_RS \rangle_J + i \int d^{d+1}x J^i_a(x) \delta \phi^a_i(x) = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (91)

Noting \( \langle O \phi^b_j(x) \rangle_J = \delta \langle O \rangle_J / \delta \phi^b_j(x) + \langle \phi^b_j(x) \rangle_J \langle O \rangle_J \), and from Eq. (91), we can express \( \langle \delta_R O \rangle_J \) as

\[ \langle \delta_R O \rangle_J = -i \langle \delta_RS O \rangle_J - i \int d^{d+1}x' J^i_a(x') \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha(x') i[T^{\alpha}]^a_b x^j \delta \bar{\epsilon}_\beta^i J^j_b(x') \langle O \rangle_J + \langle \phi^b_j(x') \rangle_J \langle O \rangle_J \]

\[ = -i \langle \delta_RS O \rangle_{c,J} - i \int d^{d+1}x' J^i_a(x') \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha(x') i[T^{\alpha}]^a_b x^j \delta \bar{\epsilon}_\beta^i J^j_b(x') \langle O \rangle_J. \]  \hspace{1cm} (92)

The subscript "c" denotes the connected diagram defined as \( \langle O_1 O_2 \rangle_{c,J} := \langle \Delta O_1 \Delta O_2 \rangle_J \) with \( \Delta O := O - \langle O \rangle_J \). We would like to eliminate the explicit dependence of \( J^j_b(x) \) in Eq. (92).

For this purpose, consider the functional derivative of Eq. (91) with respect to \( \phi^b_j(x') \), which becomes

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^b_j(x')} i \langle \delta_RS \rangle_J + i \int d^{d+1}x [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x', x; \varphi) \delta_R \varphi^a_i(x) + i J^i_a(x') \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha(x') i[T^{\alpha}]^a_b x^j \delta \bar{\epsilon}_\beta^i J^j_b(x') \langle O \rangle_J = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (93)

Substituting this into Eq. (92), we can express \( \langle \delta_R O \rangle_J \) as

\[ \langle \delta_R O \rangle_J = -i \langle \delta_RS O \rangle_{c,J} + \int d^{d+1}x' \delta \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^b_j(x')} i \langle \delta_RS \rangle_J \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\epsilon}_\beta^i J^j_b(x')} \langle O \rangle_J \]

\[ + i \int d^{d+1}x' \int d^{d+1}x [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x', x; \varphi) \delta_R \varphi^a_i(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\epsilon}_\beta^i J^j_b(x')} \langle O \rangle_J. \]  \hspace{1cm} (94)

In order to obtain a more compact expression, we introduce the projection operator defined as

\[ \mathcal{P}_\phi := \int d^{d+1}x' \Delta \phi^b_j(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^b_j(x')} \langle O \rangle_J \]

\[ = i \int d^{d+1}x' \int d^{d+1}x \Delta \phi^b_j(x') [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{ji}(x', x; \varphi) \langle \phi^a_i(x') O \rangle_{c,J}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (95)

We also introduce \( Q_\phi := 1 - \mathcal{P}_\phi \), which satisfy \( \mathcal{P}_\phi^2 = \mathcal{P}_\phi \), \( Q_\phi^2 = Q_\phi \), and \( \mathcal{P}_\phi Q_\phi = Q_\phi \mathcal{P}_\phi = 0 \).

By construction \( Q_\phi \Delta \phi^a_i(x) = 0 \), that is, the projection operator \( Q_\phi \) removes the linear
component of $\Delta \phi^a_i(x)$ from the operator. The first two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (94) are simply expressed as

$$-i\langle \delta R S O \rangle_{c,J} + \int d^{d+1}x' \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_j^b(x')} i\langle \delta R S J_{\delta 1} \rangle_{b} \langle O \rangle_{J} = -i\langle Q_\phi \delta R S Q_\phi O \rangle_{c,J}. \quad (96)$$

Noting $[D^{-1}]_{ba}(x',x;\varphi) = \delta J_0^b(x')/\delta \varphi^a_i(x)$, and using the chain rule, we obtain the last term of Eq. (94) as

$$i \int d^{d+1}x' \int d^{d+1}x'[D^{-1}]_{ba}(x',x;\varphi)\delta R \varphi^a_i(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\delta 1}^b(x')} \langle O \rangle_{J} = \int d^{d+1}x \delta R \varphi^a_i(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^a_i(x)} \langle O \rangle_{J}. \quad (97)$$

Eventually, we arrive at the expression,

$$\langle \delta R O \rangle_{J} = \int d^{d+1}x \delta R \varphi^a_i(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^a_i(x)} \langle O \rangle_{J} - i\langle Q_\phi \delta R S Q_\phi \rangle_{c,J}. \quad (98)$$

If we choose $O = \delta A S$ and take the $J \to 0$ limit, Eq. (92) becomes

$$\lim_{J \to 0} \int d^{d+1}x' \delta R \varphi^b_J(x') \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi^b_J(x')} \langle \delta A S \rangle_{J} = \langle \delta R \delta A S \rangle + i\langle Q_\phi \delta R S Q_\phi \delta A S \rangle_{c}. \quad (99)$$

Substituting Eq. (99) into Eq. (88), we obtain

$$\int d^{d+1}x' \int d^{d+1}x \bar{\epsilon}_\beta(x') \delta R \varphi^b_A [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(x' - x) \delta A \varphi^a_\alpha(x) = -\langle \delta R \delta A S \rangle - i\langle Q_\phi \delta R S Q_\phi \delta A S \rangle_{c}. \quad (100)$$

This expression gives the relation between the inverse of the retarded Green function and expectation values of operators. The indices $a$ and $b$ in $[D^{-1}]^{AR}_{ba}(x' - x)$ include not only NG fields but also other fields with gapped modes. Therefore, our next step is to find the inverse of the retarded Green function consisting of the only NG fields.

C. NG modes and their low-energy coefficients

The dispersion relations or the positions of poles can be obtained by solving $\det D^{-1}(k) = 0$ in momentum space. The probability conservation ensures $[D^{-1}]_{ab}^{RR} = 0$, so that $\det D^{-1}(k) = \det[D^{-1}]^{AR}(k) \det[D^{-1}]^{RA}(k) = 0$. Here, we will find the dispersion relation for the retarded Green function. $[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)$ contains not only NG modes but also gapped modes, and they can mix. Therefore, we need carefully to analyze the inverse of...
the retarded Green function \([D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)\). To separate the NG modes and gapped modes, we decompose fields \(\phi_i^a(x)\) as \(\phi_i^a(x) = \delta_A^a \varphi_R \pi_{i\alpha}(x) + M^{a\alpha} \Phi_{i\alpha}(x)\). Here \(\pi_{i\alpha}(x)\) represents NG fields, while \(\Phi_{i\alpha}(x)\) does gapped fields. In this basis, the inverse of the retarded Green function is expressed as

\[
[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
[D_{\pi\pi}^{-1}]^{\beta\alpha}(k) & [D_{\pi\Phi}^{-1}]^{\beta\alpha}(k) \\
[D_{\Phi\pi}^{-1}]^{\beta\alpha}(k) & [D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}]^{\beta\alpha}(k)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{R}^{\beta}_{\varphi R} \delta_{A}^{\alpha}_{\varphi R} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) & \delta_{R}^{\beta}_{\varphi A} M^{a\alpha} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) \\
M^{b\beta} \delta_{A}^{\alpha}_{\varphi R} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) & M^{b\beta} M^{a\alpha} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The determinant of the inverse of the retarded Green function can be decomposed into

\[
\det[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) = \det[D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}](k) \det[G_{\pi}^{-1}](k)
\]

with

\[
[G_{\pi}^{-1}(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = \left[ D_{\pi\pi}^{-1}(k) - D_{\pi\Phi}^{-1}(k) \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} D_{\Phi\pi}^{-1}(k) \right]^{\beta\alpha}.
\]

We are interested in the dispersion relation of NG modes, which can be found as the solution of \(\Phi_{i\alpha}(x)\) does gapped fields. In this basis, the inverse of the retarded Green function is expressed as

\[
[\delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\pi} + \delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\Phi} \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} \delta_{\Phi}^{\beta}_{\pi}](k) = \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)
\]

The determinant of the inverse of the retarded Green function can be decomposed into

\[
\det[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) = \det[D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k) \det[G_{\pi}^{-1}](k)
\]

with

\[
[G_{\pi}^{-1}(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = \left[ D_{\pi\pi}^{-1}(k) - D_{\pi\Phi}^{-1}(k) \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} D_{\Phi\pi}^{-1}(k) \right]^{\beta\alpha}.
\]

We are interested in the dispersion relation of NG modes, which can be found as the solution of \(\Phi_{i\alpha}(x)\) does gapped fields. In this basis, the inverse of the retarded Green function is expressed as

\[
[\delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\pi} + \delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\Phi} \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} \delta_{\Phi}^{\beta}_{\pi}](k) = \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)
\]

The determinant of the inverse of the retarded Green function can be decomposed into

\[
[\delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\pi} + \delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\Phi} \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} \delta_{\Phi}^{\beta}_{\pi}](k) = \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)
\]

The determinant of the inverse of the retarded Green function can be decomposed into

\[
\det[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) = \det[D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}](k) \det[G_{\pi}^{-1}](k)
\]

with

\[
[G_{\pi}^{-1}(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = \left[ D_{\pi\pi}^{-1}(k) - D_{\pi\Phi}^{-1}(k) \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} D_{\Phi\pi}^{-1}(k) \right]^{\beta\alpha}.
\]

We are interested in the dispersion relation of NG modes, which can be found as the solution of \(\Phi_{i\alpha}(x)\) does gapped fields. In this basis, the inverse of the retarded Green function is expressed as

\[
[\delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\pi} + \delta_{\pi}^{\beta}_{\Phi} \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} \delta_{\Phi}^{\beta}_{\pi}](k) = \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) [D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k)
\]

The determinant of the inverse of the retarded Green function can be decomposed into

\[
\det[D^{-1}]_{ba}^{AR}(k) = \det[D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}](k) \det[G_{\pi}^{-1}](k)
\]

with

\[
[G_{\pi}^{-1}(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = \left[ D_{\pi\pi}^{-1}(k) - D_{\pi\Phi}^{-1}(k) \frac{1}{D_{\Phi\Phi}^{-1}(k)} D_{\Phi\pi}^{-1}(k) \right]^{\beta\alpha}.
\]
Unlike $P_\phi$ defined in Eq. (95), $P_\pi$ projects to only the NG fields. This is natural since we would like only to focus on NG modes. By definition of $Q_\phi$, $-i\langle Q_\phi \delta_R S Q_\phi \delta_A S \rangle_c$ is expanded as

$$-i\langle Q_\phi \delta_R S Q_\phi \delta_A S \rangle_c = -i\langle \delta_R S \delta_A S \rangle_c - \bar{S}_\pi D_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi - \bar{S}_\pi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi.$$  

(109)

Similarly for $Q_\pi$, we find

$$-i\langle Q_\pi \delta_R S Q_\pi \delta_A S \rangle_c = -i\langle \delta_R S \delta_A S \rangle_c - \bar{S}_\pi G_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi.$$  

(110)

The difference of these is, from Eq. (102),

$$i\langle Q_\pi \delta_R S Q_\pi \delta_A S \rangle_c - i\langle Q_\phi \delta_R S Q_\phi \delta_A S \rangle_c = \bar{S}_\pi G_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi - \bar{S}_\pi D_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi.$$  

(111)

Substituting Eqs. (106) and (107) into Eq. (111), we can write the difference as

$$i\langle Q_\pi \delta_R S Q_\pi \delta_A S \rangle_c - i\langle Q_\phi \delta_R S Q_\phi \delta_A S \rangle_c = \bar{S}_\pi G_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi - \bar{S}_\pi D_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi - \bar{S}_\phi D_{\phi}^{-1}S_\phi.$$  

(112)

Recall Eq. (100), which is written in the compact notation as

$$\bar{S}_\pi D_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi = -\langle \delta_R \delta_A S \rangle - i\langle Q_\phi \delta_R S Q_\phi \delta_A S \rangle_c.$$  

(113)

Substituting Eqs. (112) and (113) into Eq. (102), we finally obtain

$$\bar{S}_\pi D_{\pi}^{-1}S_\pi = -\langle \delta_R \delta_A S \rangle - i\langle Q_\pi \delta_R S Q_\pi \delta_A S \rangle_c.$$  

(114)

This equation gives the relation between the inverse of the retarded Green function for NG fields and the expectation value of operators.

Let us evaluate the right-hand side of Eq. (114). The first term is expressed as

$$\langle \delta_R \delta_A S \rangle = -\int d^{d+1}x \langle \delta_R J^\alpha_\mu (x) \rangle \partial_\mu \epsilon_\alpha(x).$$  

(115)

The local transformation of the current can be expanded as

$$\delta_R J^\alpha_\mu (x) = \bar{\epsilon}_\beta(x) \delta_R J^\beta_\mu (x) + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\beta(x) S^{\beta \alpha \mu \nu}(x) + \cdots.$$  

(9)
The first term $\delta^\beta_{RJ_A} \alpha^\mu(x)$ is the transformation of $j^\alpha_A(x)$ under $\delta_R$. $S^{\alpha;\mu}(x)$ is analogue to the Schwinger term in quantum field theory. Similarly, $\delta_RS$ is expanded as

$$\delta_R S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \bar{\epsilon}_\alpha(x) h^\alpha_R(x) - \partial_\mu \epsilon_\alpha(x) j^\alpha_R(x) \right].$$ \hfill (8)

Substituting Eq. (8), (9) and (115) into Eq. (114), we find the inverse of the retarded Green function in momentum space as

$$[G^{-1}_\pi(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = -ik_\mu \langle \delta^\beta_{RJ_A}(0) \rangle + k_\nu k_\mu \langle S^{\alpha;\nu\mu}(0) \rangle - G^{\beta\alpha;\mu}_{h_{RJ_A}}(k) i k_\mu - G^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}_{j_{RJ_A}}(k) k_\nu k_\mu, \cdots,$$ \hfill (116)

with

$$G^{\beta\alpha;\mu}_{h_{RJ_A}}(k) := i \int d^{d+1}xe^{ik\cdot x} \langle Q\pi h^\beta_R(x) Q\pi j^\alpha_A(0) \rangle_c,$$ \hfill (117)

$$G^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}_{j_{RJ_A}}(k) := i \int d^{d+1}xe^{ik\cdot x} \langle Q\pi j^\beta_R(x) Q\pi j^\alpha_A(0) \rangle_c.$$ \hfill (118)

Here, $\cdots$ denotes the contribution coming from the expansion of $\delta^\beta_{RJ_A} \alpha^\mu(x)$, which is local and can be directly evaluated from Eq. (9). We are interested in the low-energy behavior, so that we expand the inverse of the retarded Green function in terms of $k^\mu$:

$$[G^{-1}_\pi(k)]^{\beta\alpha} = C^{\beta\alpha} - iC^{\beta\alpha;\mu}k_\mu + C^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}k_\nu k_\mu + \cdots,$$ \hfill (119)

and the first three coefficients are then

$$C^{\beta\alpha} = 0,$$ \hfill (120)

$$C^{\beta\alpha;\mu} = \langle \delta^\beta_{RJ_A}(0) \rangle + \lim_{k\to 0} G^{\beta\alpha;\mu}_{h_{RJ_A}}(k),$$ \hfill (121)

$$C^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu} = \langle S^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}(0) \rangle - \lim_{k\to 0} G^{\beta\alpha;\nu\mu}_{j_{RJ_A}}(k) - i \lim_{k\to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\nu} G^{\beta\alpha;\mu}_{h_{RJ_A}}(k),$$ \hfill (122)

which corresponds to Eqs. (2)-(5). These are formulae that we would like to derive in this paper. The important assumption of these formulae is that Eqs. (121) and (122) are non-divergent in the limit $k^\mu \to 0$. If this is not the case, we need to keep the momentum dependence, which may change the power of momentum. The reality condition (61) implies that all $C^{\alpha;\cdots;\nu\mu}$ of the derivative expansion are real. If the system is isolated, $\langle \delta^\beta_{RJ_A}(0) \rangle$ vanishes because the action invariant under $\delta_R$. In this case, $C^{\beta\alpha;0}$ reduces to $\langle \delta^\beta_{RJ_A}(0) \rangle$ that Watanabe and Murayama obtained in the effective Lagrangian approach at zero temperature [4]. We note that $C^{\beta\alpha;i}$ vanishes in isolated systems because of the stability of the
system. If this is not the case, one can find the more stable solution, where the translational symmetry is spontaneously broken.

When the symmetry is not exact but approximate, the action is not invariant under $\delta_A$ transformation. Under the local transformation, $\delta_A S$ has the form,

$$\delta_A S = \int d^{d+1}x [\epsilon_{\alpha}(x)h_\alpha^0(x) - j^{\alpha\mu}_A(x)\partial_{\mu}\epsilon_{\alpha}(x)],$$

(123)

where $h_\alpha^0(x)$ represents the explicit breaking term, which leads to the coefficient in Eq. (120) as

$$C^\beta_{\alpha} = -\langle \delta_R^3 h_\alpha^0(x) \rangle.$$  

(124)

This term gives the Gell-man–Oaks-Renner relation in open systems [60]. The explicit breaking term $h_\alpha^0(x)$ also modifies $C^\beta_{\alpha;\mu}$ and $C^\beta_{\alpha;\nu\mu}$, which can be straightforwardly evaluated.

**D. Example**

Let us see how our formalism works in a simple model with $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. This model is known to exhibit both type-A and type-B NG modes in an isolated system at finite density [10, 11]. The classical version of this model in an open system is employed for the analysis of NG modes [22]. The action that we consider has the form, $S = S_1 - S_2 + S_{12}$ with

$$S_i = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ (\partial_t - i\mu)\varphi_i^\dagger(\partial_t + i\mu)\varphi_i - \nabla\varphi_i^\dagger\nabla\varphi_i - \lambda(\varphi_i^\dagger\varphi_i)^2 \right],$$

(125)

$$S_{12} = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ -\gamma\varphi_1^\dagger\partial_t\varphi_2 + \gamma\varphi_2^\dagger\partial_t\varphi_1 + i\kappa(\varphi_1^\dagger - \varphi_2^\dagger)(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \right],$$

(126)

in $1/2$ basis. Here, $\varphi_i = (\varphi_1^i, \varphi_2^i)$ is the two component complex scalar field. Coefficients $\mu$, $\lambda$, $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ are the chemical potential, the coupling constant, the friction and the fluctuation coefficient, respectively. The dagger $\dagger$ represents the Hermitian conjugate. If $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ vanish, this system reduces to the isolated one. It is useful to express the action in the Keldysh basis, where $\varphi_R := (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)/2$ and $\varphi_A := \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, as

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ (\partial_t - i\mu)\varphi_R^\dagger(\partial_t + i\mu)\varphi_R + (\partial_t - i\mu)\varphi_A^\dagger(\partial_t + i\mu)\varphi_A - \nabla\varphi_R^\dagger\nabla\varphi_R - \nabla\varphi_A^\dagger\nabla\varphi_A 
- 2\lambda \left( |\varphi_R|^2 + \frac{|\varphi_A|^2}{4} \right) (\varphi_R^\dagger\varphi_R + \varphi_A^\dagger\varphi_A) + \gamma\varphi_R^\dagger\partial_t\varphi_A - \gamma\varphi_A^\dagger\partial_t\varphi_R + i\kappa\varphi_A^\dagger\varphi_R \right].$$

(127)
This action is invariant under a $SU(2) \times U(1)$ transformation, $\varphi_i \to \varphi_i + i\epsilon_\alpha T^\alpha \varphi_i$, where $T^0$ is the $U(1)$ generator, and $T^a$ ($a = 1, 2, 3$) are the $SU(2)$ generators satisfying the Lie algebra, $[T^a, T^b] = i f^{ab}_c T^c$, where $f^{ab}_c$ is the structure constant with $f^{0\beta\gamma} = f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = f^{\alpha0\beta} = 0$, and $f^{abc} = \epsilon^{abc}$ (the indices $a, b, c$ run from 1 to 3). $\epsilon^{abc}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. We choose the normalization of the generators as $\text{tr} T^\alpha T^\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}/2$. From Eq. (6), the Noether current is given as

$$j_A^\alpha = - (\partial_t - i\mu - \gamma) \varphi_A^\dagger T^\alpha \varphi_R + \varphi_A^\dagger T^\alpha (\partial_t + i\mu) \varphi_R$$
$$+ \varphi_R^\dagger T^\alpha (\partial_t + i\mu - \gamma) \varphi_A - (\partial_t - i\mu) \varphi_R^\dagger T^\alpha \varphi_A,$$  

(128)

$$j_A^\alpha = \nabla \varphi_A^\dagger T^\alpha \varphi_R - \varphi_A^\dagger T^\alpha \nabla \varphi_R + \nabla \varphi_R^\dagger T^\alpha \varphi_A - \varphi_R^\dagger T^\alpha \nabla \varphi_A.$$

(129)

Let us solve this model to find the dispersion relation of NG modes within the mean field approximation. The stationary solution is obtained from

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi_A} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi_A^\dagger} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi_R} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi_R^\dagger} = 0,$$

(130)

which leads to $|\varphi_R|^2 = \mu^2/(2\lambda)$ and $\varphi_A = 0$. We parametrize the solution as $\varphi_R = \varphi_0 := (0, v/\sqrt{2})$ with $v = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda}$. Note that $\varphi_R = 0$ is also the solution; it is, however, unstable against small perturbations. The order parameter is not invariant under $(T^0 - T^3)\varphi_0 \neq 0, T^1\varphi_0 \neq 0, T^2\varphi_0 \neq 0$, while $(T^0 + T^3)\varphi_0 = 0$; thus the symmetry breaking pattern is $SU(2) \times U(1) \to U(1)_{T^0 + T^3}$, whose broken generators are $T^1$, $T^2$ and $(T^0 - T^3)/2 := T^3'$.

In order to see the low-energy behavior, we expand the field around the stationary solution as

$$\varphi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^1_R + i\chi^2_R, v + \psi^1_R + i\psi^2_R), \quad \varphi_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi^1_A, \psi^1_A + i\psi^2_A).$$

(131)

Then the action reduces to

$$S = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ \chi^a_A (-\partial_t^2 - \gamma \partial_t + \nabla^2) \chi^a_R + \psi^a_A (-\partial_t^2 - \gamma \partial_t + \nabla^2) \psi^a_R + \frac{i\kappa}{2} ((\psi^a_A)^2 + (\chi^a_R)^2) - 2\mu^2 \chi^a_A \psi^1_R + 2\mu \epsilon_{ab}(\chi^b_A \partial_t \chi^a_R + \psi^a_A \partial_t \psi^b_R) \right] + \cdots.$$

(132)

Here, the indices $a, b$ run from 1 to 2. We dropped constant and total derivative terms. "$\cdots$" denotes the nonlinear terms of the fields. $\epsilon_{ab}$ is the antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon_{12} = 1$.

---

5 We use slightly different normalization from that in Ref. [22].
Since $\psi^1$ has the mass gap, we can get rid of $\psi^1$ to obtain the effective Lagrangian for gapless modes by using the equations of motion:


g_{\mu \nu} = 2 \mu \partial_\mu \psi^2_R + 2 \mu \partial_\nu \psi^2_R + i \kappa \psi^1_A = 0,

(133)

\[
(-\partial^2_t - \gamma \partial_t + \nabla^2 - 2 \mu^2)\psi^1_R + 2 \mu \partial_t \psi^2_R + i \kappa \psi^1_A = 0,
\]

(134)

At the leading order of the derivative expansion, the solutions are

\[
\psi^1_R = \frac{1}{\mu} \partial_t \psi^2_R + \frac{i \kappa}{2 \mu^2} \psi^1_A, \quad \psi^1_A = \frac{1}{\mu} \partial_t \psi^2_A.
\]

Substituting the solutions into the action, we find

\[
S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^{d+1}x \left[ 2 \mu \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \partial_\alpha \chi^{\hat{b}}_R + \chi^{\hat{a}}_A (-\partial^2_t - \gamma \partial_t + \nabla^2) \chi^{\hat{a}}_R + \psi^2_A (-3 \partial^2_t - \gamma \partial_t + \nabla^2) \psi^2_R \\
+ \frac{i \kappa}{2} \left( (\chi^{\hat{a}}_A)^2 + (\psi^2_A)^2 + \frac{1}{\mu^2} (\partial_t \psi^2_A)^2 \right) \right] + \cdots.
\]

(135)

From this effective action, we can read the inverse of the retarded Green function:

\[
G^{-1}_\pi = \begin{pmatrix}
-\omega^2 + k^2 - i \omega \gamma & 2 i \mu \omega & 0 \\
-2 i \mu \omega & -\omega^2 + k^2 - i \omega \gamma & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -3 \omega^2 + k^2 - i \omega \gamma
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(136)

Here, the first, second and third components correspond to $\chi^1$, $\chi^2$ and $\psi^2$, respectively. The dispersion relations are obtained from $\det G^{-1}_\pi = 0$ as

\[
\omega = -\frac{i}{\gamma} |k|^2, \quad \omega = \frac{|k|^2}{4 \mu^2 + \gamma^2} (\pm 2 \mu - i \gamma)
\]

(137)

at small $k$. Therefore this system has one diffusion and one propagation modes.

Let us reproduce this result by using the matching formulae (2)-(5). For this purpose, we need the explicit expression of $\delta^{\beta}_{R_j A^\mu}$, $S^{\alpha \mu \nu}$, $j^\beta_{R_i}$ and $h^\beta_R$. Under the local transformation $\delta_R \varphi_A(x) = \tilde{\epsilon}_\alpha(x) i T^\alpha \varphi_R(x)$ and $\delta_R \varphi_R(x) = \epsilon_\alpha(x) i T^\alpha \varphi_A(x)/4$, $j^\alpha_{R_i}(x)$ transforms as Eq. (9) with

\[
\delta^{\beta}_{R_j A^\alpha} = - f^{\alpha \gamma} \left( (\partial_t - i \mu) \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma \varphi_R - \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma (\partial_t + i \mu) \varphi_R \\
+ \frac{1}{4} (\partial_t - i \mu) \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma \varphi_A - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma (\partial_t + i \mu) \varphi_A \right) \\
+ \gamma \varphi^1_R \{T^\beta, T^\alpha \} \varphi_R - \frac{1}{4} \gamma \varphi^1_A \{T^\beta, T^\alpha \} \varphi_A,
\]

(138)

\[
\delta^{\beta}_{R_i A^\alpha} = f^{\alpha \gamma} \left( \nabla \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma \varphi_R - \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma \nabla \varphi_R + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^1_R i T^\gamma \varphi_A - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^1_A i T^\gamma \nabla \varphi_A \right),
\]

(139)

\[
S^{\alpha \mu \nu} = - \eta^{\mu \nu} \left( \varphi^1_R \{T^\beta, T^\alpha \} \varphi_R + \frac{1}{4} \varphi^1_A \{T^\beta, T^\alpha \} \varphi_A \right).
\]

(140)
where \( \{T^\beta, T^\alpha\} = T^\beta T^\alpha + T^\alpha T^\beta \). The expectation values are evaluated within the mean-field approximation as

\[
\langle \delta_R A_i^0 \rangle = \frac{v^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 2\mu & 0 \\ -2\mu & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle S^{\alpha\nu\mu} \rangle = -\gamma^\nu \mu \frac{v^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (141)
\]

Here, the indices of the matrix are 1, 2, and 3', which are the indices of the broken generators.

The transformation of the action under local \( \delta_R \) is evaluated as Eq. (8) with

\[
h_R = 2\gamma \phi_R i T^\beta \partial_i \varphi_R - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \phi_R i T^\beta \partial_i \varphi_R + i \kappa (\varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R - \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R), \quad (142)
\]

\[
j_R^{30} = \varphi_R i T^\beta (\partial_t + i \mu) \varphi_R - (\partial_t - i \mu) \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \varphi_R i T^\beta (\partial_t + i \mu) \varphi_R - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_t - i \mu) \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R - \gamma \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R + \frac{1}{4} \gamma \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R, \quad (143)
\]

\[
j_R^\beta = \nabla \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R - \varphi_R i T^\beta \nabla \varphi_R + \frac{1}{4} \nabla \varphi_R i T^\beta \varphi_R - \frac{1}{4} \varphi_R i T^\beta \nabla \varphi_R. \quad (144)
\]

The projection operator \( Q_\pi \) removes the NG fields from operators, so that we obtain

\[
Q_\pi j_A^{30} = -\mu \psi_A^1 + \cdots, \quad (145)
\]

\[
Q_\pi j_R^{30} = -\frac{1}{2} (2 \mu + i \gamma) \nu \psi_R^1 + \cdots, \quad (146)
\]

\[
Q_\pi h_R^{3'} = \frac{i \gamma \nu}{2} \partial_t \psi_R^1 + \cdots, \quad (147)
\]

where “...” denote higher order derivative terms and non-linear terms of fields. Other components have no linear term, so that it does not contribute to the correlation functions in the mean field approximation. The correlation functions that contribute to \( C^{\alpha\nu\mu} \) are

\[
- i \int d^{d+1} x \langle Q_\pi j_R^{30}(x) Q_\pi j_A^{3'}(0) \rangle_c = -i \delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{1}{2} (2 \mu + i \gamma) \mu \nu^2 \int d^{d+1} x \langle \psi_R^1(x) \psi_A^1(0) \rangle_c
\]

\[
= -i \delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{1}{2} (2 \mu + i \gamma) \mu \nu^2 \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{i}{k^2 - 2 \mu^2 + i \gamma} \quad (148)
\]

\[
= -\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{v^2}{4 \mu} (2 \mu + i \gamma),
\]

and

\[
\lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\nu} \int d^{d+1} x e^{i k \cdot x} \langle Q_\pi j_R^{3'}(x) Q_\pi j_A^{3'}(0) \rangle_c = -\delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{i \gamma \mu \nu^2}{2} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\nu} \int d^{d+1} x e^{i k \cdot x} \langle \partial_t \psi_R^1(x) \psi_A^1(0) \rangle_c
\]

\[
= \delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{i \gamma \mu \nu^2}{2} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\nu} \frac{i}{k^2 - 2 \mu^2 + i \gamma \omega}
\]

\[
= \delta_0^\mu \delta_0^\nu \frac{v^2}{4 \mu} i \gamma, \quad (149)
\]
Collecting these results, we eventually find low-energy coefficients as

\[
C^{\beta \alpha \mu} = \delta_0^{\mu} \frac{v^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 2\mu & 0 \\ -2\mu & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad C^{\beta \alpha \nu \mu} = \frac{v^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -\eta^{\nu \mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\eta^{\nu \mu} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\eta^{\nu \mu} - 2\delta_0^{\mu} \delta_0^{\nu} \end{pmatrix},
\]

which are consistent with Eq. (135)\(^6\).

**VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION**

We have derived low-energy coefficients of the inverse of retarded Green functions for Nambu-Goldstone modes in open systems (2)-(5), which provide a generalization of the Nambu-Goldstone theorem. As is the case in isolated systems, we have classified the NG modes into type-A and type-B modes by using the coefficient of the single time-derivative term, \(\rho^{\beta \alpha}\). These modes are further classified into diffusion and propagation modes. The relation between broken symmetries and the number of these modes are summarized in Eqs. (11), (14) and (15). In this paper, we have employed continuum models. It is straightforward to generalize our results to systems with discrete translational symmetry, where the momentum is replaced by the Bloch momentum.

We have not taken into account hydrodynamic modes, which are expected to appear when \(Q^\alpha_R\) is conserved. The coupling between NG and hydrodynamic modes may change low-energy behaviors. The limit \(k \to 0\) in Eqs. (121) and (122) to obtain Eqs. (2)-(5) might not be well-defined, although our formulae in Eqs. (116)-(118) still give the correct results. In such a case, it is better to treat the hydrodynamic modes as the dynamical degrees of freedom.

It is also fascinating to consider a spontaneous breaking of spacetime symmetries. In particular, time-translational symmetry breaking will be interesting in the context of “quantum time crystal” [61]. In isolated systems, it is shown that the time-translational symmetry cannot be broken in the ground state [62]. On the other hand, in open systems, time-translational-symmetry breaking can occur. For example, in reaction-diffusion systems, the time-translational symmetry breaking is known as the synchronization phenomena.

---

\(^6\) The index of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) represents the index of broken generators, which is different from the index of fields. \(\alpha = 1, 2, \) and \(3'\) correspond to \(\chi^2, \chi^3\) and \(\psi^2\), respectively.
dynamics of phase, which corresponds to that of the NG mode, is described by a nonlinear diffusion equation \[14\]. A similar situation can occur in open quantum systems \[23, 63\].

In the clarification of NG modes, we assumed \(G^{-1}_{\pi}(\omega, k) = G^{-1}_{\pi}(\omega, -k)\), which leads to \(C^{\beta\alpha;i}\) vanishes. It will be interesting to relax this condition. If there is a steady state current that is an order parameter, \(C^{\beta\alpha;i}\) will be nonvanishing. In this case, a different type of NG modes, which cannot be classified in this paper, will appear.

Another direction is to consider spontaneous symmetry breaking of higher form symmetries \[64\]. Photons can be understood as the NG modes associated with the spontaneous breaking of \(U(1)\) one-form symmetry \[64\]. As in the ordinary symmetry breaking, there also exists the type-B photon, whose dispersion is quadratic \[65–67\]. It will be interesting to see how such a mode changes in an open system. We leave these issues for future work.
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**Appendix A: Proof of Eq. (17)**

We here prove that there is no type-A diffusion mode in the following type of the inverse of the retarded Green function:

\[
[G^{-1}_{\pi}]^{\beta\alpha}(\omega, k) = -i\omega \rho^{\beta\alpha} - \omega^2 \bar{g}^{\beta\alpha} + k^2 g^{\beta\alpha},
\]

where \(\det g \neq 0\) and \(\det(-i\omega \rho - \omega^2 \bar{g}) \neq 0\) at an arbitrary small \(\omega\) are assumed. At \(k = 0\), there are \((2N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho)\) solutions with \(\omega = 0\) to \(\det G^{-1}_{\pi}(\omega, 0) = 0\). As discussed in Sec. II B, \(2(N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho)\) and \(\text{rank } \rho\) solutions correspond to type-A and -B modes, respectively. The type-B modes can be solved by neglecting \(\omega^2\) term in \(G^{-1}_{\pi}(\omega, k)\), i.e., \(\det(-i\omega \rho + k^2 g) = 0\). There are \(\text{rank } \rho\) solutions, which behaves like \(\omega \sim |k|^2\).

Let us next find the solutions for type-A modes. For this purpose, it is useful to choose
the basis of $G_{\pi}^{-1}$ such that

$$
G_{\pi}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\omega^2 \tilde{g}_1 + k^2 g_1 & -\omega^2 \tilde{g}_2 + k^2 g_2 \\
-\omega^2 \tilde{g}_3 + k^2 g_3 & -i\omega \rho_4 - \omega^2 \tilde{g}_4 + k^2 g_4
\end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix}
A & C \\
D & B
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A2}
$$

Roughly, the sub matrices $A$ and $B$ contain type-A and type-B modes; they interact through $C$ and $D$. It is useful to employ the formula, $\det G_{\pi}^{-1} = (\det B) \det(A - CB^{-1}D)$ to find the dispersion relation for type-A. If $CB^{-1}D$ is negligible, $\det A = 0$ gives the solutions of type-A. Since $A$ is a $(N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho) \times (N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho)$ matrix, the number of solutions is $2(N_{BS} - \text{rank } \rho)$, as we expected. Therefore, $\det A = 0$ contain all type-A NG modes. Since $\det A$ is a function of $\omega^2$, the solution has the form of $\omega = \pm a_A |k|^2$. From the stability condition, $a_A$ must be real. Therefore, no diffusion modes appear in $\det A = 0$. So far, we assumed that the contribution from $CB^{-1}D$ in $\det(A - CB^{-1}D)$ is negligible. Let us now check that it is correct. When $\omega \sim |k|$, the sub matrices behave like $B \sim |k|$, and $A \sim C \sim D \sim |k|^2$. This leads to $CB^{-1}D \sim |k|^3 \ll |k|^2 \sim A$. Therefore, the solutions are determined from $\det A = 0$ at a small $k$, and there is no type-A diffusion mode in Eq. (A1).
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