

On Peterson's open problem and representations of the general linear groups

Đặng Võ Phúc

*Faculty of Education Studies, University of Khanh Hoa,
01 Nguyen Chanh, Nha Trang, Khanh Hoa, Viet Nam*

Abstract

Fix $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the prime field of two elements and write \mathcal{A}_2 for the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. Denote by $GL_d := GL(d, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ the general linear group of rank d over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and by \mathcal{P}_d the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]$ as a connected unstable left \mathcal{A}_2 -module on d generators of degree one. We study the *Peterson "hit problem"* of finding the minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_d . Equivalently, we need to determine a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d := \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} \mathcal{P}_d \cong \mathcal{P}_d / \mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d$$

in each degree $n \geq 1$. Note that this space is a representation of GL_d over $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The problem for $d = 5$ is not yet completely solved, and unknown in general.

In this work, we give an explicit solution to the hit problem of five variables in the generic degree $n = r(2^t - 1) + 2^t s$ with $r = d = 5$, $s = 8$ and t an arbitrary non-negative integer. An application of this study to the cases $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ shows that the Singer algebraic transfer of rank 5 is an isomorphism in the bidegrees $(5, 5 + (13 \cdot 2^0 - 5))$ and $(5, 5 + (13 \cdot 2^1 - 5))$. Moreover, the result when $t \geq 2$ was also discussed. Here, the Singer transfer of rank d is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebra homomorphism from GL_d -coinvariants of certain subspaces of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ to the cohomology groups of the Steenrod algebra, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d, d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. It is one of the useful tools for studying these mysterious Ext groups.

Keywords:

Adams spectral sequences; Steenrod algebra; Peterson hit problem; Algebraic transfer

2010 MSC: Primary 55Q45, 55S10; Secondary 55S05, 55T15.

Contents

1 Introduction and statement of results	2
2 Preliminaries	8
2.1 Kameko's squaring operation	8
2.2 On the hit problem of Peterson	9
3 Generators of the \mathcal{A}_2-module \mathcal{P}_5 in the generic degree $5(2^t - 1) + 8 \cdot 2^t$	11
3.1 Singer's criterion on \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable	11
3.2 Some homomorphisms and Sum's conjecture	11
3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1	14
3.3.1 The case $t = 1$	15
3.3.2 The case $t = 2$	19

Email address: dangvophuc@ukh.edu.vn; dangphuc150497@gmail.com (Đặng Võ Phúc)

4	An application of Theorem 1.1	35
4.1	Computation of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8^{GL_5}$	35
4.2	Computation of $(\text{Ker}(Sq_*^0)_{(5,21)})^{S_5}$	38
4.3	Proof of Theorem 1.2	40
5	Proof of Theorem 1.3	40
6	Appendix	42
6.1	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5 in degree 8	42
6.2	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 21	43
6.3	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 21	45
6.4	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 22	47
6.5	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 22	50
6.6	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 47	53
6.7	\mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 47	56

1. Introduction and statement of results

Throughout this article, we shall work only at the prime 2. Let $Sq^k : H^*(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow H^{k+*}(\mathbb{X})$ be the stable cohomology operation of degree $k \geq 0$, which is introduced by Steenrod in 1947 (see [50]). Here $H^*(\mathbb{X})$ is the singular cohomology group of the topological space \mathbb{X} with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebra \mathcal{A}_2 generated by the operations Sq^k is called *the mod 2 Steenrod algebra* and acts in a natural way on the cohomology of any space \mathbb{X} . For d a natural number, we denote by $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$ the classifying space of elementary abelian 2-group $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$ of rank d and by $\mathcal{P}_d = \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]$ the polynomial algebra on d variables of degree 1. Note that $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$ is homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}P(\infty))^{\times d}$, where $\mathbb{R}P(\infty)$ denotes the infinite real projective space. Since \mathcal{P}_d is isomorphic to the cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -coefficients of $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$, it has a connected unstable left \mathcal{A}_2 -module structure. The left action of \mathcal{A}_2 on \mathcal{P}_d is determined by the unstable condition $Sq^1(x_i) = x_i^2$, $Sq^k(x_i) = 0$ for $k > 1$ and Cartan's formula (see [50]).

The investigation of the homotopy classification of topological spaces leads us to the study of the cohomology groups of the Steenrod algebra, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. It has been thoroughly studied for homological degrees $d \leq 5$ (see Adams [2], Adem [3], Wall [67], Wang [68], Tangora [58], Lin [20], Chen [9]). However, for d higher, the calculations seem to be difficult. Moreover, it has a deep connection with the "hit problem" of our interest in determining the minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_d . Equivalently, we need to find a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector space

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d := \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} \mathcal{P}_d \cong \mathcal{P}_d / \mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d,$$

where \mathcal{A}_2^+ denotes the *augmentation ideal* of \mathcal{A}_2 and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is viewed as a right \mathcal{A}_2 -module concentrated in grading 0. This problem was posed by Peterson [34] in 1987. However, it remains open for $d \geq 5$.

As well known, the general linear group $GL_d := GL(d, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ acts regularly on \mathcal{P}_d by matrix substitution. Further, the two actions of \mathcal{A}_2 and GL_d upon \mathcal{P}_d commute with each other; hence there is an inherited action of GL_d on $Q\mathcal{P}_d$. From this event, one of the applications of the hit problem of Peterson is to study the representations of the general linear groups over $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Therefrom the hit problem has attracted great interest of many algebraic topologists (see Crabb and Hubbuck [11], Crossley [12], Kameko [18], Mothebe and his collaborators [24, 25, 26], Nam [27], Pengelley and Williams [30, 32], Priddy [44], Silverman and Singer [46], Singer [48], Peterson [35], the present author [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41], Sum [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57], Walker and Wood [64, 65, 66], Wood [69, 70] and others).

Several other aspects of the hit problems were then studied by many authors. For instance, the hit problem for the symmetric of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_d^{S_d}$ as an \mathcal{A}_2 -submodule of \mathcal{P}_d , has been of interest in [17], where S_d is the symmetric group on d letters acting on the right of \mathcal{P}_d , and

$\mathcal{P}_d^{S_d}$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the classifying space $BO(d)$ of the orthogonal group $O(d)$. In [14, 15], Hung and his collaborators have studied the hit problem for the rank d Dickson algebra, $\mathcal{P}_d^{GL_d}$ (the algebra of GL_d -invariants, which is also an unstable \mathcal{A}_2 -module). Note that the Dickson algebra is dual to the coalgebra of Dyer-Lashof operations of the length d (see Madsen [22]). The relationship between Kudo-Araki-May algebra and the hit problem has been investigated by Pengelley and Williams [29, 31, 33], and by Singer [49]. In [5], Ault and Singer have examined the dual problem of the Peterson hit problem, which is to determine the set of \mathcal{A}_2^+ -annihilated elements in the homology of $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$. Recently, Zare [71] has used geometric methods to study the hit problem for $H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ (the dual of the hit problem of Peterson) as well as the hit problem for $H_*(BO(d))$ (the dual of the symmetric hit problem of Janfada and Wood). His main idea is based on the relation between the Dyer-Lashof algebra and these dual hit problems.

Let $P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ be the subspace of $H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ consisting of all elements that are \mathcal{A}_2^+ -annihilated. With the idea of describing the cohomology groups of the Steenrod algebra by means of modular representations of the general linear groups, William Singer [47] constructed a transfer homomorphism of rank d from GL_d -coinvariants of the \mathcal{A}_2^+ -annihilated elements of the dual of \mathcal{P}_d to the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra:

$$Tr_d : \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

which is related to the geometrical transfer $tr_d : \pi_*^{\mathbb{S}}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)_+^{\times d}) \rightarrow \pi_*^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}^0)$ of the stable homotopy of spheres. More explicitly, tr_d induces Tr_d at the E^2 -term of the Adams spectral sequence [1]. Singer [47] has pointed out the non-trivial value of Tr_d by proving that it is an isomorphism for $d \leq 2$. In 1993, by using a basis consisting of the all the classes represented by certain polynomials in \mathcal{P}_3 , Boardman showed in [6] that Tr_3 is also an isomorphism. Thus, we can see that Tr_d is a monomorphism in positive degrees of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ for $d \leq 3$. Through these events, the d -th transfer homomorphism can be considered as a useful tool in the study of the groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Many mathematicians then investigated Singer's algebraic transfer (see Bruner et. al. [8], Chon and Hà [10], Crossley [13], M.H. Lê [19], Hung [16], Minami [23], Nam [28], the present author [38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43], Sum [53, 54, 55, 57] and others). In [47], by using the invariant theory, Singer also showed that Tr_d is an isomorphism for $d = 4$ in a range of internal degrees. However, he proved that Tr_5 is not an epimorphism when acting on $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_9(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$. Later, he gave a conjecture that Tr_d is a monomorphism for any positive integer d . The conjecture is still open in general.

For a non-negative integer n , let $(\mathcal{P}_d)_n$ be the subspace of \mathcal{P}_d consisting of all the homogeneous polynomials of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d . Denote by $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$ the subspace of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ consisting of all the classes represented by the homogeneous polynomials in $(\mathcal{P}_d)_n$. One of the extremely useful tools for computing the hit problem and studying Singer's transfer is the Kameko squaring operation [18] $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(d,2n+d)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_{2n+d} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$, which is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_d)$ -modules. We refer to Sect.2 for its precise meaning. Let $\mu(n)$ denote the smallest number u such that $\alpha(n+u) \leq u$, where $\alpha(k)$ is the number of 1's in the dyadic expansion of the positive integer k . By Kameko [18], if $\mu(2n+d) = d$, then $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(d,2n+d)}$ is an $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_d)$ -module isomorphism.

Recall that to solve the hit problem of Peterson, we will determine $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ in each degree $n \geq 1$. However, as explicitly shown in [40], we need only to survey in "generic degrees" n of the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad n = r(2^t - 1) + 2^t s,$$

where r, t, s are non-negative integers such that $0 \leq \mu(s) < r \leq d$. The problem has been completely investigated in [18, 34, 51, 52] for $d \leq 4$. For $r = d - 1$ and $s > 0$, the problem was solved by Crabb-Hubbuck [11], Nam [27], Repka-Selick [45], and Sum [52]. For $r = d - 1$ and $s = 0$, it is partially studied by Mothebe [24] and by us [36, 37, 39]. The case $r = d - 2 = 3$ was investigated by the present author [40] for $s = 1$, and by Sum [56] for $s = 2^{m+u} + 2^m - 2$, $m \geq 0$, $u > 0$, $t \geq 6$. The recent results when $r = d = 5$ were explicitly determined in [55, 57, 60, 61, 62] for $s \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 10\}$,

and by the present author [38, 41] for $s = 6$. The case $r = d = 5$, $s = 26$ and $t = 0$ was studied by Walker-Wood [64]. The authors showed in [64] that in any minimal generating set for the \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_d , there are $2^{\binom{d}{2}}$ elements in degree $2^d - d - 1$. For $d = 5$, we see that $2^5 - 6 = 26 = 5(2^0 - 1) + 26 \cdot 2^0$ and $\dim((Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{5(2^0-1)+26 \cdot 2^0}) = 2^{\binom{5}{2}} = 1024$. More generally, in generic degree of form (1.1) for $d = r = 5$, $s = 26$ and $t \geq 0$, we have $\mu(5(2^t - 1) + 26 \cdot 2^t) = 5$ for all $t > 0$. This leads to the iterated Kameko map

$$((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,5(2^t-1)+26 \cdot 2^t)})^t : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{5(2^t-1)+26 \cdot 2^t} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{26}$$

is an isomorphism for all $t \geq 0$. So, $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ has dimension 1024 in degree $5(2^t - 1) + 26 \cdot 2^t$ for any $t \geq 0$. This event and the result for the case $d = 6$, $t \geq 5$ have also been studied in [63]. For $d = r = 5$, $s = 42$, $t \geq 0$, and $d = 6$, $r = 5$, $s = 42$, $t \geq 5$, we have the following result: Since $\mu(5(2^t - 1) + 42 \cdot 2^t) = 5$ for $t > 0$, the iterated Kameko homomorphism

$$((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,5(2^t-1)+42 \cdot 2^t)})^t : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{5(2^t-1)+42 \cdot 2^t} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{42}$$

is an isomorphism for every $t \geq 0$. By using a computer program of Robert R. Bruner written in MAGMA, we get $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{5(2^t-1)+42 \cdot 2^t} = 2520$ for all $t \geq 0$. The direct proofs of this result will be published in detail elsewhere. On the other hand, since $\mu(42) = 4$ and $\alpha(42 + \mu(42)) = 4 = \mu(42)$, by Sum [52, Theorem 1.3], we claim

$$\dim((Q\mathcal{P}_6)_{5(2^t-1)+42 \cdot 2^t}) = (2^6 - 1) \dim((Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{42}) = 158760 \text{ for all } t \geq 5.$$

It is currently difficult to solve the hit problem in the general case.

In this paper, based on our works in [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41], we continue our study of the hit problem of five variables in generic degree of (1.1). At the same time, by using these computational techniques, we examine Singer's algebraic transfer of rank 5 in some internal degrees. More precisely, we explicitly determine a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ for $d = 5$ and generic degree of (1.1) with $r = d = 5$, $s = 8$ and t an arbitrary non-negative integer. (A basis of this space is a set consisting of all the classes represent by the *admissible monomials* of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d . We refer to Sect.2 for the concept of the admissible monomial.) Using this result combining with the computations of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,13 \cdot 2^t}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ (see Tangora [58], Chen [9], Lin [20]), and a direct computation using a result in [10] on the representation in the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -lambda algebra Λ of the Singer transfer homomorphism of rank 5, we show that Tr_5 is an isomorphism when acting on $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13 \cdot 2^t - 5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$ for $t \in \{0, 1\}$. (The information on the algebra Λ can be found below in this section.) This gives a quite efficient method to study the isomorphism of the fifth transfer in some internal degrees of (1.1). Furthermore, our approach is different from that of Boardman [6], and of Singer [47]. The following is our first main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let us consider the generic degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ with t a non-negative integer. Then, we have*

$$\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t - 5} = \begin{cases} 174 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 840 & \text{if } t = 1, \\ 1894 & \text{if } t \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $13 \cdot 2^t - 5 = 5(2^t - 1) + 8 \cdot 2^t$. The theorem will be proved by determining explicitly an admissible monomial basis for $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in generic degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$. The first idea for the proof of the theorem is from Kameko's squaring operation. More clearly, since

$$5(2^t - 1) + 8 \cdot 2^t = 2^{t+3} + 2^{t+2} + 2^{t-1} + 2^{t-2} + 2^{t-2} - 5,$$

$\mu(13 \cdot 2^t - 5) = 5$ for all $t > 2$. This leads to the iterated homomorphism

$$(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,13 \cdot 2^t - 5)}^{t-2} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t - 5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^{t-2} - 5}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_5)$ -modules for any $t \geq 2$. From this we need only to study the structure of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^t-5}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 2$. The result when $t = 0$ was computed by Tín [59]. We remark that for $t \in \{1, 2\}$, since $(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^t-5)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^t-5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^{t-1}-5}$ is an epimorphism, we need only to determine the kernel of $(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^t-5)}$. To study this space, we combine our recent results in [36] with previous results by Kameko [18], Mothebe [25, 26], Singer [48], Sum [52], and Tín [59, 61].

Recently, Sum [55] has proved some properties of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_d . Then, he made a conjecture on the relation between the admissible monomials for the polynomial algebras (see Sect.3). The conjecture helps us to reduce remarkably in computing the hit problem. From the results of Peterson [34], Kameko [18] and Sum [52], this conjecture holds true for $d \leq 4$. Sum proved in [55] that the conjecture is true in the case $d = 5$ and the degree n of the form (1.1) for $(r; s) = (5; 10)$ and $t \geq 0$. Based upon the proof of Theorem 1.1, and previous results of the present author and Sum (see [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 56]), the conjecture also satisfies for $d = 5$ and in degrees of the form (1.1) for $(r; s) = (4; 0)$, $(5; 6)$, $(5; 8)$ and $(3; s)$, for $s = 1$, $t \geq 0$, and $s = 2^{m+u} + 2^m - 2$, $m \geq 0$, $u > 0$, $t \geq 6$.

It is well known that $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ is dual to $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n^{GL_d}$, the subspace of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ generated all GL_d -invariants of degree n . Computation of the GL_d -invariants is very difficult, particularly for values of d as large as $d = 5$. The understanding of special cases should be a helpful step toward the solution of the general problem. Now, applying Theorem 1.1 for $t = 1$, we get the following, which is our second main result.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists uniquely a non-zero class in $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^1-5}$ invariant under the usual action of GL_5 . This implies that $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^1-5}^{GL_5}$ is one-dimensional.*

Note that the Kameko operation $(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^1-5)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^1-5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^0-5}$ is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_5)$ -modules. So, in order to prove Theorem 1.2, we describe the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space structure of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^0-5}^{GL_5}$. Combining this with a basis of $(QP_5)_{21}$ in Theorem 1.1, we explicitly compute all GL_5 -invariants of $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree $13 \cdot 2^1 - 5$.

The (mod 2) lambda algebra Λ (see Bousfield et al. [7]) is one of the tools to compute the groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Λ is defined as a differential, bigraded, associative algebra with unit over $\mathbb{Z}/2$, is generated by $\lambda_i \in \Lambda^{1,i}$, satisfying the Adem relations

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_i \lambda_{2i+d+1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{d-j-1}{\lambda}_{i+d-j} \lambda_{2i+1+j} \quad (i \geq 0, d \geq 0)$$

and the differential

$$(1.3) \quad \partial(\lambda_{d-1}) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \binom{d-j-1}{\lambda}_{d-j-1} \lambda_{j-1} \quad (d \geq 1),$$

where $\binom{d-j-1}{j}$ denotes the binomial coefficient reduced modulo 2. Furthermore, we have

$$H^{d,*}(\Lambda, \partial) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

For non-negative integers j_1, \dots, j_d , a monomial $\lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_d} \in \Lambda$ is called *the monomial of the length d* . We shall write λ_J , $J = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ for $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} \lambda_{j_k}$ and refer to $\ell(J) = d$ as the length of J . Note that the algebra Λ is not commutative and that the bigrading of a monomial indexed by J may be written (d, n) , where the homological degree d , as above, is the length of J , and $n = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} j_k$. A monomial λ_J is called *admissible* if $j_k \leq 2j_{k+1}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. By the relations (1.2), the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector subspace

$$\Lambda^{d,*} = \{\lambda_J | J = (j_1, \dots, j_d), j_k \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq d\}$$

of Λ has an additive basis consisting of all admissible monomials of the length d . Recall that the dual of \mathcal{P}_d is isomorphic to $\Gamma(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, the divided power algebra generated by a_1, \dots, a_d , where $a_t = a_t^{(1)}$ is dual to x_t with respect to the basis of \mathcal{P}_d consisting of all monomials in x_1, \dots, x_d . In other

words, $H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) = H_*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) \cong \Gamma(a_1, \dots, a_d)$. We note that the algebra $H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ has a right \mathcal{A}_2 -module structure. The right action of \mathcal{A}_2 on this algebra is given by $(a_t^{(j)})Sq^k = \binom{j-k}{k} a_t^{(j-k)}$ and Cartan's formula. In [10], Chơn and Hà have established a homomorphism $\psi_d : H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{d,*}$, which is considered as a presentation in the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -lambda algebra of Singer's transfer of rank d and determined by the following inductive formula:

$$\psi_d(a^J) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{j_1} & \text{if } \ell(J) = 1, \\ \sum_{t \geq j_d} \psi_{d-1}(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq d-1} a_k^{(j_k)} Sq^{t-j_d}) \lambda_t & \text{if } \ell(J) > 1, \end{cases}$$

for any $a^J := \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} a_k^{(j_k)} \in H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ and $J := (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d)$. Note that ψ_d is not an \mathcal{A}_2 -homomorphism. The authors showed in [10] that if $a^J \in P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$, then $\psi_d(a^J)$ is a cycle in $\Lambda^{d,*}$ and $Tr_d([a^J]) = [\psi_d(a^J)]$. Applying this event and Theorem 1.2 into the investigation of the Singer transfer of rank 5, we obtain the following theorem, which is our third main result.

Theorem 1.3. *The fifth transfer homomorphism*

$$Tr_5 : \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^1-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,5+(13.2^1-5)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is an isomorphism.

As it is known, there exists an endomorphism Sq^0 of the lambda algebra Λ , determined by $Sq^0(\lambda_J = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} \lambda_{j_k}) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq d} \lambda_{2j_k+1}$, where λ_J is not necessarily admissible. It respects the relations in (1.2) and commutes the differential in (1.3) (see also [20]). Then, Sq^0 induces the classical squaring operation in the Ext groups

$$Sq^0 : H^{d,*}(\Lambda, \partial) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^{d,d+2*}(\Lambda, \partial) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,2(d+*)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Note that Sq^0 is not the identity map (see [21]). As above mentioned, the structure of the groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has intensively been studied by many authors, but remains very mysterious in general. In what follows, $(Sq^0)^t : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{*,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denotes the composite $Sq^0 \dots Sq^0$ (t times of Sq^0) if $t > 1$, is Sq^0 if $t = 1$, and is the identity map if $t = 0$. A family $\{a_t : t \geq 0\} \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is called a Sq^0 -family if $a_t = (Sq^0)^t(a_0)$ for $t \geq 0$. We now return to the internal degree $13.2^t - 5$ in Theorem 1.1. It has been shown (see Tangora [58], Lin [20], Chen [9]) that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,5+(13.2^t-5)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \langle h_{t+1} f_{t-1} \rangle & \text{if } t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and that $h_{t+1} f_{t-1} = h_t g_t \neq 0$, where $h_t = (Sq^0)^t(h_0)$ is the Adams element in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{1,2^t}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, $g_t = (Sq^0)^{t-1}(g_1)$ and $f_{t-1} = (Sq^0)^{t-1}(f_0)$ are the elements non-zero in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{4,12.2^t}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{4,11.2^t}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, respectively, for any $t \geq 1$. (Note that by Lin [20], the groups $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{4,4+*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ contains seven Sq^0 -families of indecomposable elements, namely

$$\{d_t\}, \{e_t\}, \{f_t\}, \{g_{t+1}\}, \{p_t\}, \{D_3(t)\}, \{p'_t\} \ (t \geq 0).$$

As well known, the transfer homomorphism Tr_1 detects the family $\{h_t | t \geq 1\}$ (see Singer [47]). According to Nam [28], the family $\{f_{t-1} | t \geq 1\}$ was detected by Tr_4 . By Singer [47], $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} Tr_d$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebras. These data show that $h_{t+1} f_{t-1}$ is in the image of Tr_5 for all $t \geq 1$. In Sect.5, we give another direct proof of this event for the case $t = 1$. More specifically, we proved $h_2 f_0 \in \text{Im}(Tr_5)$ by using Theorem 1.2 and a representation of Tr_5 over the lambda algebra.

As above shown, to prove Theorem 1.2, we need to determine all GL_5 -invariants of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13.2^0-5}$. Applying Theorem 1.1 for $t = 0$ with a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree $13.2^0 - 5$ (see [59]), we showed that $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13.2^0-5}^{GL_5}$ is zero (see Theorem 4.1.1 in Sect.4). Combining this with the fact that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,5+(13.2^0-5)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$, we claim that Tr_5 is a trivial isomorphism when acting on the space $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^0-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$. As an immediate consequence from this and Theorem 1.3, we get the following.

Corollary 1.4. *Singer's conjecture for Tr_5 satisfies in the bidegrees $(5, 5 + 8)$ and $(5, 5 + 21)$.*

To end this introduction, we will discuss whether Tr_5 is an isomorphism or not in the bidegree $(5, 5 + (13 \cdot 2^t - 5))$ for $t \geq 2$. Since the iterated Kameko homomorphism

$$((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^t - 5)})^{t-2} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t - 5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^{t-2} - 5}$$

is an GL_5 -module isomorphism for all $t \geq 2$, to examine Singer's conjecture for Tr_5 in the above bidegree, we need only to determine all GL_5 -invariants of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t - 5}$ for $t = 2$. Recall that Kameko's map

$$(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^2 - 5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^1 - 5}$$

is an epimorphism of GL_5 -modules and that the element $h_3 f_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5, 5 + (13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is in the image of Tr_5 . So, by Theorem 1.2, we deduce

$$1 \leq \dim \left(\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13 \cdot 2^2 - 5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5}) \right) \leq \dim(\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)})^{GL_5} + 1.$$

Furthermore, all elements of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13 \cdot 2^2 - 5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$ are of the form

$$(\gamma[\varphi(u_0)] + [v])^*,$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, and the mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_5$ determined by setting $\varphi(u) = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 u^2$ for any $u \in \mathcal{P}_5$, $f \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^2 - 5}$ such that $[f]$ belongs to $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)}$, and $u_0 \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^1 - 5}$. Based on Theorem 1.2, $[u_0]$ is the only non-zero element in $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^1 - 5}^{GL_5}$. Direct calculating the elements $(\gamma[\varphi(u_0)] + [v])^*$ is a hard work. By using techniques of the hit problem of five variables, we will describe explicitly all these elements in the near future. From these data with the fact that $h_{t+1} f_{t-1} \in \text{Im}(Tr_5)$ for $t \geq 1$, we conclude that if $(\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)})^{GL_5}$ is zero, then Tr_5 is an isomorphism in the bidegree $(5, 5 + (13 \cdot 2^t - 5))$ for every $t \geq 2$. This means that Singer's conjecture for Tr_5 also satisfies in this bidegree. However, it will be much more interesting if $(\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)})^{GL_5}$ is non-trivial and $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^2 - 5}^{GL_5} \neq 1$.

The structure of the paper is as follows. First, some background is reviewed in Sect.2, which will be used throughout this article. In the next section, we present Singer's criterion on \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable and Sum's conjecture related to the minimal set of generators for \mathcal{A}_2 -modules \mathcal{P}_d . Then, the \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5 in degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ are described explicitly by proving Theorem 1.1. In Sect.4, we prove Theorem 1.2 by using the admissible monomial bases of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^0 - 5}$ and $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^1 - 5}$. Based upon Theorem 1.2 and a representation in the lambda algebra of the fifth Singer transfer, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in Sect.5. All the admissible monomials of degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ in \mathcal{P}_5 are described in the Appendix.

Acknowledgments. This research is supported financially by the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) of Viet Nam under Grant No. 101.04-2017.05.

The author is very grateful to the anonymous referees for the careful reading of the manuscript and for their insightful comments and detailed suggestions, which have led me to improve considerably this work.

I would also like to thank Robert Bruner, Phan Hoàng Chơn, Mbakiso Fix Mothebe for illuminating conversations on the hit problem and the Ext groups, Hadi Zare for sending a copy of [71], and Lê Minh Hà for pointing out an error in the original manuscript.

Finally, the author would like to give my deepest sincere thanks to Professor Nguyễn Sum for many helpful discussions.

2. Preliminaries

This section starts with a recollection of the Kameko squaring operation and some information related to the Peterson hit problem.

2.1. Kameko's squaring operation

Recall that the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{P}_d = \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is an unstable left module on the ring \mathcal{A}_2 . Let $GL_d := GL(d, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denote the general linear group of rank d over the field $\mathbb{Z}/2$. An usual left action of this group on \mathcal{P}_d is given by $(w(f))(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = f(w(x_1), w(x_2), \dots, w(x_d))$, where $w = (w_{ij}) \in GL_d$ and $w(x_j) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} w_{ij} x_i$, $1 \leq j \leq d$. Thus, \mathcal{P}_d has also a left GL_d -module structure. Furthermore, since the two actions of \mathcal{A}_2 and GL_d upon \mathcal{P}_d commute with each other, there is an inherited action of GL_d on $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_*$ and $P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$.

We knew that the homological algebra $\{H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) | n \geq 0\}$ is dual to \mathcal{P}_d . Moreover, it is isomorphic to $\Gamma(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, the divided power algebra generated by a_1, \dots, a_d , each of degree one, where $a_j = a_j^{(1)}$ is dual to x_j . Here the duality is taken with respect to the basis of \mathcal{P}_d consisting of all monomials in x_1, \dots, x_d . The multiplication on $\{H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) | n \geq 0\}$ defined by $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^{(j_i)}) (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i^{(j_{n+i})}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n+m} a_i^{(j_i)} \in H_{n+m}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ for all $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i^{(j_i)} \in H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$, and $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i^{(j_{n+i})} \in H_m(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$. We now denote by $P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ the primitive subspace consisting of all elements in $H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$, which are annihilated by every Steenrod's operation Sq^k , $k > 0$. So, it is dual to $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$. By Kameko [18], we have the monomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Sq}^0 : P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) &\longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_{d+2n}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) \\ \prod_{1 \leq t \leq d} a_t^{(s_t)} &\longmapsto \prod_{1 \leq t \leq d} a_t^{(2s_t+1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\prod_{1 \leq t \leq d} a_t^{(s_t)}$ is dual to $\prod_{1 \leq t \leq d} x_t^{s_t}$. Further, $Sq_*^{2k+1} \overline{Sq}^0 = 0$, and $Sq_*^{2k} \overline{Sq}^0 = \overline{Sq}^0 Sq_*^k$ for any $k \geq 0$, where Sq_*^k denotes the dual Steenrod operation. Note that \overline{Sq}^0 is also an GL_d -module homomorphism (see [8], [16]). Then, \overline{Sq}^0 induces Kameko's squaring operation in the dual of the spaces $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_*^{GL_d}$,

$$\widetilde{Sq}^0 = id_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \otimes_{GL_d} \overline{Sq}^0 : \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_{d+2n}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}),$$

which commutes with the classical squaring operation

$$Sq^0 : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+n}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,2d+2n}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

through the d -th Singer transfer (see [4], [6], [23]). In other words, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) & \xrightarrow{Tr_d} & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+n}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow \widetilde{Sq}^0 & & \downarrow Sq^0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2}H_{d+2n}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}) & \xrightarrow{Tr_d} & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,2d+2n}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2). \end{array}$$

The dual homomorphism $\widetilde{Sq}_*^0 : (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_{d+2n}^{GL_d} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n^{GL_d}$ of \widetilde{Sq}^0 is induced by the homomorphism $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(d,d+2n)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_{d+2n} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$. The latter is given by the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linear map

$$\delta : (\mathcal{P}_d)_{d+2n} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_d)_n \\ x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_d^{t_d} \longmapsto \begin{cases} x_1^{\frac{t_1-1}{2}} x_2^{\frac{t_2-1}{2}} \dots x_d^{\frac{t_d-1}{2}} & \text{if } t_1, \dots, t_d \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\alpha(n)$ the number of 1's in dyadic expansion of n . We consider the arithmetic function (see [18], [57]):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(n) &= \min\{u \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha(n+u) \leq u\} \\ &= \min\{u \in \mathbb{N} : n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq u} (2^{s_j} - 1), s_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq u\}.\end{aligned}$$

From the above data, $(\widetilde{Sq^0})_{(d,d+2n)}$ is an $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_d)$ -module epimorphism. However, in particular, if $\mu(d+2n) = d$ then it is an isomorphism. According to Hung [16, Theorem 1.5], if Singer's conjecture for the d -th algebraic transfer is true, then Tr_d does not detect the non-zero elements $u \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{d,d+n}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $Sq^0(u) = 0$ and $\mu(2n+d) = d$. In this case, u is called *critical*. This leads us to the study of the kernel of $\widetilde{Sq^0}$. Recall that $\overline{Sq^0}$ is a monomorphism, but the squaring operation $\widetilde{Sq^0} = id_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \otimes_{GL_d} \overline{Sq^0}$ is not a monomorphism in general. Indeed, by using a computer calculation, Hung provided a counter-example in [16] that $\widetilde{Sq^0}$ is not a monomorphism when acting on $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{15}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$. This was confirmed again by the works of Sum [53, 57]. Thereafter, Hung [16] conjectured that $\widetilde{Sq^0}$ is a monomorphism in positive degrees n of $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_d} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_n(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ if and only if $d \leq 4$. By Boardman [6], and Singer [47], the conjecture satisfies for $d \leq 3$. We hope that it can be verified for $d = 4$ by using the dual of $\widetilde{Sq^0}$ and the results on the hit problem in [55].

Thus, in addition to approaching Singer's conjecture based on techniques of the hit problem, we can use the relationship between the algebraic transfer and critical elements to verify this conjecture. However, finding critical elements is difficult.

2.2. On the hit problem of Peterson

To study the hit problem, we need some relevant notations and concepts. For a natural number n , denote by $\alpha_t(n)$ the t -th coefficients in dyadic expansion of n . This means $\alpha(n) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t(n)$. Further, n can be represented as follows: $n = \sum_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t(n) 2^t$, where $\alpha_t(n) \in \{0, 1\}$, $t = 0, 1, \dots$. Consider the monomial $x = x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \dots x_d^{u_d} \in \mathcal{P}_d$, we define two sequences associated with x by $\omega(x) := (\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_0(u_j), \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_1(u_j), \dots, \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_{t-1}(u_j), \dots)$ and (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d) , which are called the *weight vector* and the *exponent vector* of x , respectively. From now on, we shall write $\omega_t(x)$ for $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \alpha_{t-1}(u_j)$, $t = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_t, \dots)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers. Then, the sequence ω are called *the weight vector* if $\omega_t = 0$ for $t \gg 0$. We define $\text{deg}(\omega) = \sum_{t \geq 1} 2^{t-1} \omega_t$. The sets of all the weight vectors and the exponent vectors are given the left lexicographical order.

Recall that a homogeneous element $f \in \mathcal{P}_d$ is called \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable (or "hit") if it is in the image of positive degree elements of \mathcal{A}_2 . This means that f belongs to $\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d$.

The equivalence relations on \mathcal{P}_d (see [18, 40]). For a weight vector ω , we denote two subspaces associated with ω :

$$\mathcal{P}_d(\omega) = \langle \{x \in \mathcal{P}_d \mid \text{deg}(x) = \text{deg}(\omega), \omega(x) \leq \omega\} \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega) = \langle \{x \in \mathcal{P}_d \mid \text{deg}(x) = \text{deg}(\omega), \omega(x) < \omega\} \rangle.$$

Let us now consider the homogeneous polynomials f , and g in \mathcal{P}_d with $\text{deg}(f) = \text{deg}(g)$. We define the following binary relations " \equiv " and " \equiv_ω " on \mathcal{P}_d :

- (i) $f \equiv g$ if and only if $f = g$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d)$. In particular, if $f \equiv 0$ then f is \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable.
- (ii) $f \equiv_\omega g$ if and only if $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ and $f = g$ modulo $((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)) + \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega))$.

It is easily seen that these binary relations are equivalence ones. Let $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ by the equivalence relation " \equiv_ω ". Then, we have the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -quotient space

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega) = \mathcal{P}_d(\omega) / ((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)) + \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)).$$

By Sum [57], $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ is also an GL_d -module. The following events are shown in [40]. However, to make the paper self-contained, we will present again them in detail.

$$\dim((Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n) = \sum_{\deg \omega = n} \dim(Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)),$$

$$\dim((Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n^{GL_d}) \leq \sum_{\deg(\omega) = n} \dim(Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)^{GL_d}).$$

Indeed, by Walker and Wood [66], we have a filtration of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$:

$$\{0\} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{P}_d/(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) = Q\mathcal{P}_d.$$

This is not only a filtration of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ as a vector space, but also as a GL_d -module. The inclusion of $\mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)$ into $\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ induces the monomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega))$$

and the following sequence is short exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)/(((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)) + \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)) \rightarrow 0.$$

From this, we get

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega) \cong (\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)))/(\mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)/((\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega))).$$

This isomorphism is also an isomorphism of GL_d -modules. Taking these events with the filtration of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ into account, we have immediate the above claims.

The linear order on \mathcal{P}_d (see [18]). Let $u = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_d^{a_d}$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_d^{b_d}$ be monomials of the same degree in \mathcal{P}_d . We write a, b for the exponent vectors of u, v , respectively. We say that $a < b$ if there is a positive integer m such that $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < m$ and $a_m < b_m$, and that $u < v$ if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $\omega(u) < \omega(v)$;
- (ii) $\omega(u) = \omega(v)$ and $a < b$.

The inadmissible monomial (see [18]). A monomial $u \in \mathcal{P}_d$ is said to be *inadmissible* if there exist monomials x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k such that $x_j < u$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $u \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i$. Then, u is said to be *admissible* if it is not inadmissible.

Obviously, the set of all the admissible monomials of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d is a *minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_d in degree n* . So, $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$ is an $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space with a basis consisting of all the classes represent by the admissible monomials of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d .

The strictly inadmissible monomial (see [18]). A monomial $u \in \mathcal{P}_d$ is said to be *strictly inadmissible* if and only if there exist monomials x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k such that $x_j < u$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $u = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} x_j + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq 2^s - 1} S q^t(y_t)$, where $s = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_i(u) > 0\}$ and suitable polynomials $y_t \in \mathcal{P}_d$.

Note that every the strictly inadmissible monomial is inadmissible but the converses not generally true (see a counter-example in [40]). The following result is used to study $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in the next section.

Theorem 2.2.1 (see [18]). *Let x, y and u be monomials in \mathcal{P}_d such that $\omega_i(x) = 0$ for $i > r > 0, \omega_t(u) \neq 0$ and $\omega_i(u) = 0$ for $i > t > 0$. Then, if u is inadmissible, then xu^{2^r} is also inadmissible. Furthermore, if u is strictly inadmissible, then uy^{2^t} is also strictly inadmissible.*

Let \mathcal{P}_d^0 and \mathcal{P}_d^+ denote the \mathcal{A}_2 -submodules of \mathcal{P}_d spanned all the monomials $x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \cdots x_d^{t_d}$ such that $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_d = 0$, and $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_d > 0$, respectively. Denote by $Q\mathcal{P}_d^0 := \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} \mathcal{P}_d^0$, and by $Q\mathcal{P}_d^+ := \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} \mathcal{P}_d^+$. Then, we can see that $Q\mathcal{P}_d = Q\mathcal{P}_d^0 \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_d^+$. We end this section by

establishing a formula below on the dimension of $Q\mathcal{P}_d^0$ in degree n , which will be used in the next section. Note that this formula is similar to the one of [26].

Let $\mathcal{I} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$, where $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq d$, $1 \leq r \leq d-1$, and let $r := \ell(\mathcal{I})$ be the length of \mathcal{I} . We denote $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}} = \langle \{x_{j_1}^{t_1} x_{j_2}^{t_2} \dots x_{j_r}^{t_r} \mid t_s \in \mathbb{N}, s = 1, 2, \dots, r\} \rangle \subset \mathcal{P}_d$. Then, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is \mathcal{A}_2 -submodule of \mathcal{P}_d . Further, it is isomorphic to \mathcal{P}_r . By a simple computation, we get

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d^0 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq r \leq d-1} \bigoplus_{\ell(\mathcal{I})=r} Q\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}^+,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}^+ = \langle \{x_{j_1}^{t_1} x_{j_2}^{t_2} \dots x_{j_r}^{t_r} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}} \mid t_1 t_2 \dots t_r > 0, 1 \leq r \leq d-1\} \rangle$. It can be seen that $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{I}}^+)_n = \dim(Q\mathcal{P}_r^+)_n$ for all n , and that $\binom{d}{r}$ is the number of the sequences \mathcal{I} of length r . Therefore, we claim

$$\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_d^0)_n = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq d-1} \binom{d}{r} \dim(Q\mathcal{P}_r^+)_n.$$

3. Generators of the \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_5 in the generic degree $5(2^t - 1) + 8 \cdot 2^t$

In this section we study the structure of $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree $5(2^t - 1) + 8 \cdot 2^t$ for t a positive integer. More explicitly, we will prove Theorem 1.1 as given at the beginning. We first review some homomorphisms and related results, Sum's conjecture [55] and Singer's criterion on \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable [47].

3.1. Singer's criterion on \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable

Definition 3.1.1. A monomial $z = x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_d^{t_d}$ in \mathcal{P}_d is called a *spike* if $t_j = 2^{a_j} - 1$ for a_j a non-negative integer and $1 \leq j \leq d$. If z is a spike with $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{r-1} \geq a_r > 0$ and $a_j = 0$ for $j > r$, then it is called a *minimal spike*.

Proposition 3.1.2 (see [36, 40]). *All the spikes in \mathcal{P}_d are admissible and their weight vectors are weakly decreasing. Furthermore, if a weight vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ is weakly decreasing and $\omega_1 \leq d$, then there is a spike z in \mathcal{P}_d such that $\omega(z) = \omega$.*

We refer the reader to [40] for the detailed proofs of the proposition. Singer showed in [48] that if $\mu(n) \leq d$, then there exists uniquely a minimal spike of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d . Further, we have the following, which is one of the important keys for examining the hit monomials in generic degrees.

Theorem 3.1.3 (Singer [48]). *Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{P}_d$ is a monomial of degree n , where $\mu(X) \leq d$. Let z be the minimal spike of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d . If $\omega(X) < \omega(z)$, then X is \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable.*

3.2. Some homomorphisms and Sum's conjecture

For $1 \leq k \leq d$, we define the map $\rho_{(k,d)} : \mathcal{P}_{d-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebras by setting

$$\rho_{(k,d)}(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j < k, \\ x_{j+1} & \text{if } k \leq j < d. \end{cases}$$

We consider the following set

$$\mathcal{N}_d := \{(k; \mathcal{K}) \mid \mathcal{K} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r), 1 \leq k < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq d, 0 \leq r < d\},$$

where by convention, $\mathcal{K} = \emptyset$, if $r = 0$. Denote by $r = \ell(\mathcal{K})$ the length of \mathcal{K} . For any $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_d$, we have the projection (see [52]) $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})} : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$, which is determined by

$$\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x_j) = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j < k, \\ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} x_{p-1} & \text{if } j = k, \\ x_{j-1} & \text{if } k < j \leq d. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\rho_{(k,d)}$ and $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}$ are also the homomorphisms of the \mathcal{A}_2 -modules. In particular, we have $\pi_{(k;\emptyset)}(x_k) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(\rho_{(k,d)}(u)) = u$ for any $u \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$.

Proposition 3.2.1 (see [36]). *If x is a monomial in \mathcal{P}_d , then $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}(\omega(x))$.*

This result implies that if ω is a weight vector and $x \in \mathcal{P}_d(\omega(x))$, then $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}(\omega)$. Furthermore, $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}$ passes to a homomorphism from $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ to $Q\mathcal{P}_{d-1}(\omega)$.

Let $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_d$, $0 < r < d$, and let $x_{(\mathcal{K}, u)} = x_{k_u}^{2^{r-1}+2^{r-2}+\dots+2^{r-u}} \prod_{u < m \leq r} x_{k_m}^{2^{r-m}}$ for $1 \leq u \leq r$, $x_{(\emptyset, 1)} = 1$. In [52], Sum has defined an $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linear transformation $\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})} : \mathcal{P}_{d-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$, which is determined by

$$\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_{(k,d)} & \text{if } \mathcal{K} = \emptyset, \\ \frac{x_k^{2^r-1} \rho_{(k,d)}(x)}{x_{(\mathcal{K}, u)}} & \text{if there exist } u \text{ such that,} \\ & t_{k_1-1} = t_{k_2-1} = \dots = t_{k_{(u-1)}-1} = 2^r - 1, \\ & t_{k_u-1} > 2^r - 1, \\ & \alpha_{r-m}(t_{k_{u-1}}) = 1, \forall m, 1 \leq m \leq u, \\ & \alpha_{r-m}(t_{k_{m-1}}) = 1, \forall m, u < m \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $x = x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_{d-1}^{t_{d-1}}$ in \mathcal{P}_{d-1} . Note that $\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}$ is not an \mathcal{A}_2 -homomorphism. Moreover, for each $x \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$, if $\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) \neq 0$, then $\omega(\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x)) = \omega(x)$.

From now on, we adopt the following notations: For a natural number d , we consider $\Gamma_d = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, $X_{(S,d)} = X_{(\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\}, d)} = \prod_{s \in \Gamma_d \setminus S} x_s$, where $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\} \subseteq \Gamma_d$. In particular,

$$X_{\Gamma_d} = 1, \quad X_{(\emptyset, d)} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_d, \quad X_{(\{s\}, d)} = x_1 \dots \hat{x}_s \dots x_d, \quad 1 \leq s \leq d.$$

Now consider $X = x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_d^{t_d} \in \mathcal{P}_d$ and let $S_j(X) = \{s \in \Gamma_d : \alpha_j(t_s) = 0\}$ for $j \geq 0$. Then, by a simple computation, we get $X = \prod_{j \geq 0} X_{(S_j(X), d)}^{2^j}$.

The following examples on the map $\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}$ can be found in [52]. However, we present them in more detail.

(i) Let $\mathcal{K} = (j)$ and $1 \leq k < j \leq d$. Then, for any the monomial $x = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_{d-1}^{a_{d-1}} \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$ and $\alpha_0(a_{j-1}) = 1$, we conclude $\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) = \frac{x_k \rho_{(k,d)}(x)}{x_j}$.

(ii) Let m be a positive integer and let $x = Y^{2^m-1} y^{2^m}$ with $y = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \dots x_{d-1}^{b_{d-1}}$ and $Y = X_{(\{d\}, d)} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{d-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$. Then if $m > r = \ell(\mathcal{K})$ and $u = 1$ then

$$\phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(x) = \phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(Y^{2^m-1})(\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m} = x_k^{2^r-1} \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} x_{k_t}^{2^m-2^{r-t}-1} X_{(\{k, k_1, \dots, k_r\}, d)}^{2^m-1} (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}.$$

Indeed, since $\rho_{(k,d)}$ is an $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebras homomorphism,

$$\rho_{(k,d)}(x) = \rho_{(k,d)}(X^{2^m-1})(\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq d.$$

Since $Y^{2^m-1} = x_1^{2^m-1} \dots x_{d-1}^{2^m-1}$ and $2^d - 1 > 2^r - 1$, for each $(k; \mathcal{K})$, $\mathcal{K} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ and $u = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(Y^{2^m-1}) &= \frac{x_k^{2^r-1} x_2^{2^m-1} \dots x_{k_1}^{2^m-1} \dots x_{k_r}^{2^m-1} \dots x_d^{2^m-1}}{x_k^{2^r-1} x_{k_1}^{2^r-1} x_{k_2}^{2^r-2} \dots x_{k_r}^{2^r-r}} \\ &= x_k^{2^r-1} x_{k_1}^{2^m-2^{r-1}-1} \dots x_{k_2}^{2^m-2^{r-2}-1} \dots x_{k_r}^{2^m-2^{r-r}-1} X_{(\{k, k_1, \dots, k_r\}, d)}^{2^m-1} \\ &= x_k^{2^r-1} \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} x_{k_t}^{2^m-2^{r-t}-1} X_{(\{k, k_1, \dots, k_r\}, d)}^{2^m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(x) &= \phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(Y^{2^m-1}y^{2^m}) \\
&= \frac{x_k^{2^r-1} \rho_{(k,d)}(X^{2^m-1}y^{2^m})}{x_{(\mathcal{X};1)}} = \left(\frac{x_k^{2^r-1} \rho_{(k,d)}(Y^{2^m-1})}{x_{(\mathcal{X};1)}} \right) (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m} \\
&= \phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(X^{2^m-1}) (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m} \\
&= x_k^{2^r-1} \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} x_{k_t}^{2^m-2^{r-t}-1} X_{(\{k,k_1,\dots,k_r\},d)}^{2^m-1} (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $m = r$, $b_{j-1} = 0$, $j = k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{u-1}$ and $b_{k_u-1} > 0$ then for each $(k; \mathcal{X})$ and $1 \leq u \leq r = m$, we have

$$\phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(x) = \phi_{(k_u; \{k_{u+1}, \dots, k_m\})}(Y^{2^m-1}) (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^{2^m-1}y^{2^m} &= (x_1 \dots x_{d-1})^{2^m-1} (x_1^{b_1} \dots \hat{x}_{k_1}^{b_{k_1}} \dots \hat{x}_{k_{(u-1)-1}}^{b_{k_{(u-1)-1}}} x_{k_u-1}^{b_{k_u-1}} \dots x_{d-1}^{b_{d-1}})^{2^m} \\
&= (x_1 \dots x_{k_1} \dots x_{k_{u-1}-1} x_{k_u-1} \dots x_{d-1})^{2^m-1} (x_1^{b_1} \dots \hat{x}_{k_1}^{b_{k_1}} \dots \hat{x}_{k_{(u-1)-1}}^{b_{k_{(u-1)-1}}} x_{k_u-1}^{b_{k_u-1}} \dots x_{d-1}^{b_{d-1}})^{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(x) &= \frac{x_k^{2^m-1} \rho_{(k,d)}(Y^{2^m-1})}{x_{k_u}^{2^m-1+2^m-2+\dots+2^m-u} \prod_{u < t \leq r} x_{k_t}^{2^m-t}} (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}. \\
&= \frac{x_k^{2^m-1} x_2^{2^m-1} \dots x_{k_{u-1}}^{2^m-1} x_{k_u}^{2^m-1} \prod_{u+1 \leq t \leq m} x_{k_t}^{2^m-1} \dots x_d^{2^m-1}}{x_{k_u}^{2^m-1+2^m-2+\dots+2^m-u} \prod_{u < t \leq m} x_{k_t}^{2^m-t}} (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m} \\
&= x_k^{2^m-1} x_2^{2^m-1} \dots x_{k_{u-1}}^{2^m-1} x_{k_u}^{2^m-(u+1)+\dots+2^m-m} \dots x_d^{2^m-1} \\
&= \prod_{u+1 \leq t \leq m} x_{k_t}^{2^m-2^{m-t}-1} \dots x_d^{2^m-1} (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m} \\
&= \left(x_k^{2^m-(u+1)+\dots+2^m-m} \prod_{u+1 \leq t \leq m} x_{k_t}^{2^m-2^{m-t}-1} X_{(\{k_u, k_{u+1}, \dots, k_m\}, d)}^{2^m-1} \right) (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}. \\
&= \phi_{(k_u; \{k_{u+1}, \dots, k_m\})}(Y^{2^m-1}) (\rho_{(k,d)}(y))^{2^m}.
\end{aligned}$$

We end this subsection by reviewing Sum's conjecture [55] on the relation between the admissible monomials for the polynomial algebras.

For a subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{U}) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq d} \phi_{(k;\emptyset)}(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq d} \rho_{(k,d)}(\mathcal{U}), \\
\overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{U}) &= \bigcup_{(k;\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{N}_d, 0 < \ell(\mathcal{X}) < d} \phi_{(k;\mathcal{X})}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{P}_d^0, \\
\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{U}) &= \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{U}) \cup \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{U}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho_{(k,d)}$ is a homomorphism of the \mathcal{A}_2 -modules, if \mathcal{U} is a minimal set of generators for the \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_{d-1} in degree n , then $\overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{U})$ is also a minimal set of generators for the \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_d^0 in degree n .

Now, for a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_d$, we denote by $[f]$ the classes in $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ represented by f . If ω is a weight vector and $f \in \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$, then denote by $[f]_\omega$ the classes in $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ represented by f .

Denote by $\mathcal{B}_d(n)$ the set of all admissible monomials of degree n in \mathcal{P}_d . Thus when we write $x \in \mathcal{B}_d(n)$ we mean that it is an admissible monomial of degree n . We set

$$\mathcal{B}_d^0(n) := \mathcal{B}_d(n) \cap (\mathcal{P}_d^0)_n, \quad \mathcal{B}_d^+(n) := \mathcal{B}_d(n) \cap (\mathcal{P}_d^+)_n.$$

If ω is a weight vector of degree n , we set

$$\mathcal{B}_d(\omega) := \mathcal{B}_d(n) \cap \mathcal{P}_d(\omega), \quad \mathcal{B}_d^0(\omega) := \mathcal{B}_d(\omega) \cap (\mathcal{P}_d^0)_n, \quad \mathcal{B}_d^+(\omega) := \mathcal{B}_d(\omega) \cap (\mathcal{P}_d^+)_n.$$

Then, $[\mathcal{B}_d(\omega)]_\omega$, $[\mathcal{B}_d^0(\omega)]_\omega$ and $[\mathcal{B}_d^+(\omega)]_\omega$ are respectively the bases of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector spaces

$$Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega), Q\mathcal{P}_d^0(\omega) := Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega) \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_d^0)_n \text{ and } Q\mathcal{P}_d^+(\omega) := Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega) \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_d^+)_n.$$

For a subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}_d$, we denote $[\mathcal{B}] = \{[f] : f \in \mathcal{B}\}$. If $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$, then we set $[\mathcal{B}]_\omega = \{[f]_\omega : f \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Throughout this paper, to prove a certain subset of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ is linearly independent, we use a result in Sum [52] combining with Theorem 3.1.3 (Singer's criterion on the \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable) and Proposition 3.2.1. More precisely, let \mathcal{B} be a finite subset of \mathcal{P}_d consisting of some monomials of degree n . Denote by $|\mathcal{B}|$ the cardinal of \mathcal{B} . To prove the set $[\mathcal{B}]$ is linearly independent in $(Q\mathcal{P}_d)_n$, we denote the elements of \mathcal{B} by $\mathcal{Y}_{n,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m = |\mathcal{B}|$ and assume that there is a linear relation

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \gamma_i \mathcal{Y}_{n,i} = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_d + \mathcal{P}_d^-(\omega)),$$

with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. For $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_d$, we explicitly compute $\pi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S})$ in terms of the admissible monomials in \mathcal{P}_{d-1} (modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_{d-1} + \mathcal{P}_{d-1}^-(\omega))$). Computing from some relations $\pi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_{d-1} + \mathcal{P}_{d-1}^-(\omega))$ with $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_d$, we obtain $\gamma_i = 0$ for all i .

In [55], Sum made the following conjecture, which plays an important role in studying the minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_d in certain generic degree.

Conjecture 3.2.2 (Sum [55]). *If ω is a weight vector, then $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_{d-1}(\omega)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_d(\omega)$.*

Obviously, if this conjecture is true, then $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_{d-1}(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_d(n)$ for any positive integer n . In other words, if $x \in \mathcal{B}_{d-1}(n)$, then $\phi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(x) \in \mathcal{B}_d(n)$. By previous results of Peterson [34], Kameko [18] and Sum [52], the conjecture is true for $d \leq 4$. In particular, we have the following remark.

Remark 3.2.3. Consider the spike monomial $Y = X_{(\{d\}, d)} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{d-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$. Let m be a positive integer such that $m > r = \ell(\mathcal{K})$. Then, from the above calculations, we have

$$\phi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(Y^{2^m-1}) = x_k^{2^r-1} \prod_{1 \leq t \leq r} x_{i_t}^{2^m-2^{r-t}-1} X_{(\{k, k_1, \dots, k_r\}, d)}^{2^m-1}$$

It is easy to see that $\omega(Y) = \underbrace{(d-1, d-1, \dots, d-1)}_{m \text{ times of } (d-1)}$. Based on the results in [37, 56], the set

$\{\phi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(Y^{2^m-1}) : (k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_d\}$ is a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega(Y))$. Note that this also holds true for $m \leq r$ (see Sum [56]). By Proposition 3.1.2, Y^{2^m-1} is admissible. Combining these data, Sum's conjecture is true for the weight vector $\omega(Y)$, where d is an arbitrary positive integer.

In [55], Sum showed that Conjecture 3.2.2 is true for $d = 5$ and any weight vector of generic degree of (1.1) with $r = d = 5$, $s = 10$ and $t \geq 0$. In the next subsection, we will show that this conjecture is also satisfying for $d = 5$ and in generic degree of Theorem 1.1.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As shown in Sect.1, we have $\mu(13 \cdot 2^t - 5) = 5$ for every $t > 2$, hence the inverse function $\tilde{\phi} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^{t-1}-5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t-5}$ of $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^t-5)}$ defined by $\tilde{\phi}([u]) = [X_{(\emptyset, 5)} u^2]$ for all $[u] \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^{t-1}-5}$, $t > 2$. On the other hand, since the iterated Kameko squaring operation

$$(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5, 13 \cdot 2^t-5)}^{t-2} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t-5} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^{t-2}-5}$$

is an $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space isomorphism for every $t \geq 2$, a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ is the set

$$[\mathcal{B}_5(13 \cdot 2^t - 5)] = \tilde{\phi}^{t-2}([\mathcal{B}_5(13 \cdot 2^2 - 5)])$$

for $t > 2$. Thus, we need only to find the minimal set of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -generators for $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13 \cdot 2^t-5}$ with $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. It has been determined by Tín [59] for $t = 0$. Note that our methods of studying $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in this paper are different from the ones of Tín.

3.3.1. The case $t = 1$

Consider Kameko's homomorphism $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21} \longrightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$. We know that it is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector spaces, hence $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21} \cong \text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} \oplus (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$. Note that $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}$ is isomorphic to $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{21} \oplus (\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{21})$. From the calculations of $Q\mathcal{P}_d$ in degree 21 for $1 \leq d \leq 4$ (see [18], [34], [52]) and $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree 8 (see [59]), we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_1^+(21)| = 0, |\mathcal{B}_2^+(21)| = 0, |\mathcal{B}_3^+(21)| = 7, |\mathcal{B}_4^+(21)| = 66, |\mathcal{B}_5(8)| = 174.$$

We note that $(Q\mathcal{P}_3)_{21} \cong (Q\mathcal{P}_3)_3$ and $\mathcal{B}_3(21) = \mathcal{B}_3^+(21) = \tilde{\varphi}^2(\mathcal{B}_3(3))$ with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linear map $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_3$, determined by $\tilde{\varphi}(u) = X_{(\emptyset,3)}u^2$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{P}_3$. Since $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{21} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq r \leq 4} \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq \binom{5}{r}} (Q\mathcal{P}_r^+)_{21}$, we deduce

$$\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{21} = \binom{5}{3} \cdot 7 + \binom{5}{4} \cdot 66 = 400.$$

Moreover, a direct computation shows that $\mathcal{B}_5^0(21) = \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(21)) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{21,i} : 1 \leq i \leq 400\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{21,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 400$, are listed in Sect.6.2 of the Appendix.

Proposition 3.3.1. *The set $\{[\mathcal{Y}_{21,i}] : 401 \leq i \leq 666\}$ is the basis of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{21}$. Here the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_i := \mathcal{Y}_{21,i}$, $401 \leq i \leq 666$, which are determined in Sect.6.3*

Combining Proposition 3.3.1 and the above data, we deduce that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$ is 840-dimensional. This completes the proof of the theorem for the case $t = 1$.

We now need to some results for the proof of Proposition 3.3.1. First, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.2. *If $u \in \mathcal{B}_5(21)$ and $[u] \in \text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}$, then the weight vector of u is either $\omega(u) = (3, 3, 1, 1)$ or $\omega(u) = (3, 3, 3)$.*

Proof. Note that $x_1^{15}x_2^3x_3^3 \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$ is the minimal spike, and that by Proposition 3.1.2, it is an admissible monomial. Moreover, $\omega(x_1^{15}x_2^3x_3^3) = (3, 3, 1, 1)$. Since $[u] \neq [0]$, by Theorem 3.1.3, we get either $\omega_1(u) = 3$ or $\omega_1(u) = 5$. If $\omega_1(u) = 5$ then $u = X_{(\emptyset,5)}y^2$ with y a monomial of degree 8 in \mathcal{P}_5 . Since u is admissible, by Theorem 2.2.1, one gets $y \in \mathcal{B}_5(8)$. So $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}([u]) = [y] \neq [0]$. This contradicts the fact that $[u] \in \text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}$. Hence, $\omega_1(u) = 3$. Then, we have $u = X_{(\{i,j\},5)}y_1^2$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ and $y_1 \in \mathcal{B}_5(9)$. Since y_1 is admissible, according to a result in [61], we have either $\omega(y_1) = (3, 1, 1)$ or $\omega(y_1) = (3, 3)$. The lemma is proved. \square

As an immediate consequence, we see that the dimension of $(\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{21})$ is equal to the sum of dimensions of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(3, 3, 1, 1)$ and $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(3, 3, 3)$. This leads us to determine the subspaces $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega)$, where the weight vectors ω are $(3, 3, 1, 1)$ and $(3, 3, 3)$.

The following lemma is an immediate corollary from a result in [52].

Lemma 3.3.3. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

- (i) $x_i^2x_jx_k^3x_\ell^7$, $x_i^6x_jx_k^3x_\ell^3$, $x_i^2x_j^5x_k^3x_\ell^3$, $x_i^2x_jx_k^3x_\ell^3$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, $1 \leq k, \ell \leq 5$, $k \neq \ell$, $k, \ell \neq i, j$;
- (ii) $x_i^3x_j^4x_k^3x_\ell^3$, $1 \leq i < j < k < \ell \leq 5$;
- (iii) $\rho_{(k,5)}(X)$, $1 \leq k \leq 5$, where X is one of the following monomials:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1^3x_2^4x_3^7x_4^7, & x_1^3x_2^7x_3^4x_4^7, & x_1^3x_2^7x_3^7x_4^4, & x_1^7x_2^3x_3^4x_4^7, \\ x_1^7x_2^3x_3^7x_4^4, & x_1^7x_2^7x_3^3x_4^4, & x_1^7x_2^8x_3^3x_4^4. & \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.3.4. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

- (i) $x_i^2 x_j^2 x_k x_\ell x_m^7$, $x_i^2 x_j^6 x_k x_\ell x_m^3$, $x_i^6 x_j^2 x_k x_\ell x_m^3$, $x_i^2 x_j^2 x_k^5 x_\ell x_m^3$, $x_i^2 x_j^4 x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^3$,
 $x_i^4 x_j^2 x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^3$, $x_i^2 x_j x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^3$, where (i, j, k, ℓ, m) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$;
- (ii) $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 x_5^6$, $x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3 x_4 x_5^2$, $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4 x_5$, $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^2$,
 $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^2$, $x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5$, $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5$, $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5$,
 $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 x_5^2$.

Proof. Consider the monomials $X = x_i^2 x_j^2 x_k x_\ell x_m^7$ and $Y = x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 x_5^6$. We prove that these monomials are strictly inadmissible. The others can be proved by a similar computation. Obviously, $\omega(X) = \omega(Y) = (3, 3, 1)$. By a direct computation using the Cartan formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X &= Sq^2(x_i x_j x_k x_\ell x_m^7) + Sq^4(x_i x_j x_k x_\ell x_m^5) + x_i x_j x_k^2 x_\ell^2 x_m^7 \\ &\quad + x_i x_j^2 x_k x_\ell^2 x_m^7 + x_i x_j^2 x_k^2 x_\ell x_m^7 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(3, 3, 1)); \\ Y &= Sq^1(x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5^6) + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^6 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(3, 3, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

These equalities show that X and Y are strictly inadmissible. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.3.5. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^3, & X_2 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_3 &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_4 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5, \\ X_5 &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5, & X_6 &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5, & X_7 &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^7, & X_8 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^5, \\ X_9 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^7, & X_{10} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^5, & X_{11} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_{12} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^5, \\ X_{13} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_{14} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^5, & X_{15} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_{16} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^5, \\ X_{17} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^7, & X_{18} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^4, & X_{19} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^3 x_5^4, & X_{20} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_3^4 x_5^4, \\ X_{21} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^7, & X_{22} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^6, & X_{23} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5, & X_{24} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5, \\ X_{25} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_3^4 x_5^4, & X_{26} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_{27} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_3^4 x_5^5, & X_{28} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^5, \\ X_{29} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5, & X_{30} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^5, & X_{31} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5, & X_{32} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^7, \\ X_{33} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^7, & X_{34} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^5 x_5^2, & X_{35} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^7, & X_{36} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^2, \\ X_{37} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^7, & X_{38} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^2, & X_{39} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^2, & X_{40} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^2, \\ X_{41} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^2, & X_{42} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7, & X_{43} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^5, & X_{44} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^2, \\ X_{45} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, & X_{46} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^2, & X_{47} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^7, & X_{48} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^3, \\ X_{49} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^7, & X_{50} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^3, & X_{51} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^4, & X_{52} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^4, \\ X_{53} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^3, & X_{54} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^4, & X_{55} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^3, & X_{56} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4, \\ X_{57} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3, & X_{58} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^3, & X_{59} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^3, & X_{60} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^4 x_5^3, \\ X_{61} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^6. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easily seen that $\omega(X_1) = (3, 3, 1, 1)$ and $\omega(X_j) = (3, 3, 3)$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, 61$. We prove the lemma for the monomials $X_1 = x_1 x_2^6 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^3$, $X_2 = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^5$ and $X_3 = x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5$. The others can be proven by a similar computation. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^8 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^8 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^6 \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^{10} + x_1 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^{10} x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^4 x_3^{10} x_4^3 x_5^3 \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^8 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^3 + Sq^1(A_1) + Sq^2(A_2) + Sq^4(A_4) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(3, 3, 1, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3, \\ A_2 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^5 \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3, \\ A_4 &= x_1 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3. \end{aligned}$$

This relation implies that X_1 is strictly inadmissible. By a similar technique, we obtain

$$X_2 = Sq^1(B_1) + Sq^2(B_2) + Sq^8(B_8) + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^6 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(3, 3, 3)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3, \\ B_2 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3, \\ B_8 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3, \end{aligned}$$

$$X_3 = Sq^1(C_1) + Sq^2(C_2) + Sq^8(C_8) + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(3, 3, 3)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^3, \\ C_2 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^6 \\ &\quad + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^3 + x_1^6 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^3, \\ C_8 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^3. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Now we denote by \mathcal{C} the set of the following monomials:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3 & x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2 & x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, \\ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{11} x_5^2 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4 x_5^2 & x_1^7 x_2^8 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3 & x_1^7 x_2^8 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, \\ x_1^7 x_2^8 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^{10} & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^3 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{10}, \\ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^2 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^3 & x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^3 & \end{array}$$

A direct computation shows that $\overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(3, 3, 1, 1)) \cup \mathcal{C}$ is the set of 196 monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_j := \mathcal{Y}_{21,j}$, $401 \leq j \leq 596$ (see Sect.6.3.)

Proposition 3.3.6. *Under the above notations, the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(3, 3, 1, 1)$ is spanned by the set*

$$[\overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(3, 3, 1, 1)) \cup \mathcal{C}].$$

Proof. Let X be an admissible monomial in \mathcal{P}_5 such that $\omega(X) = (3, 3, 1, 1)$. Then $X = X_{(\{k,\ell\},5)} Y^2$ with $1 \leq k < \ell \leq 5$ and Y a monomial of degree 9 in \mathcal{P}_5 . Since X is admissible, according to Theorem 2.2.1, $Y \in \mathcal{B}_5(3, 1, 1)$.

A direct computation shows that if $z \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(3, 1, 1)$, $1 \leq k < \ell \leq 5$, and $X_{(\{k,\ell\},5)} z^2 \neq \mathcal{Y}_j$, $\forall j$, $401 \leq j \leq 596$ then there exists a monomial w which is given in one of Lemmas 3.3.3-3.3.5 such that $X_{(\{k,\ell\},5)} z^2 = w z_1^{2^a}$ with a monomial $z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_5$ and $a = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_m(w) > 0\}$. By Theorem 2.2.1, $X_{(\{k,\ell\},5)} z^2$ is inadmissible. Since $X = X_{(\{k,\ell\},5)} Y^2$ with $Y \in \mathcal{B}_5(3, 1, 1)$ and X is admissible, one can see that $X = \mathcal{Y}_j$, $401 \leq j \leq 596$. The lemma follows. \square

By a direct computation, we see that

$$\overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(3, 3, 3)) \cup \{x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^6, x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^4, x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^4\}$$

is the set consisting of 70 monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_t := \mathcal{Y}_{21,t}$, $597 \leq t \leq 666$ (see Sect.6.3.)

Proposition 3.3.7. *The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(3, 3, 3)$ is spanned by the set $\{\mathcal{Y}_t : 597 \leq t \leq 666\}$.*

Proof. Let u be an admissible monomial in \mathcal{P}_5 such that $\omega(u) = (3, 3, 3)$. Then $u = x_i x_j x_\ell y^2$ with $1 \leq i < j < \ell \leq 5$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}_5(3, 3)$.

By a direct computation, we can verify that for any $X \in \mathcal{B}_5(3, 3)$, $1 \leq i < j < \ell \leq 5$, such that $x_i x_j x_\ell X^2 \neq \mathcal{Y}_t$, $\forall t$, $597 \leq t \leq 666$, there is a monomial z which is given in one of Lemmas 3.3.3-3.3.5 such that $x_i x_j x_\ell X^2 = z w^{2^b}$ with suitable monomial $w \in \mathcal{P}_5$ and $b = \max\{r \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_r(z) > 0\}$. Then, according to Theorem 2.2.1, $x_i x_j x_\ell X^2$ is inadmissible. Since $u = x_i x_j x_\ell y^2$ is admissible and $y \in \mathcal{B}_5(3, 3)$, one gets $u = \mathcal{Y}_t$, for some t . This proves the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.1. From Propositions 3.3.6 and 3.3.7, the space $\text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}) \cap (Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{21}$ is spanned by the set $\{\mathcal{Y}_i := \mathcal{Y}_{21,i} : 401 \leq i \leq 666\}$. Futhermore, this set is linearly independent in $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$. Indeed, suppose there is a linear relation

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{401 \leq i \leq 666} \gamma_i \mathcal{Y}_i = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5),$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let \mathcal{H} be a sequence of non-negative integers and $\gamma_h \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Denote $\gamma_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \gamma_h \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. Based on Theorem 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1, for $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_5$, we explicitly compute $\pi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S})$ in terms of a given minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators in \mathcal{P}_4 (modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_4)$). Computing directly from the relations $\pi_{(k;p)}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv 0$, $1 \leq k < p \leq 5$, one gets $\gamma_h = 0$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, where the set $\mathcal{H} = \{401, 402, \dots, 425, 434, 435, 439, 441,$

442, 443, 446, 451, 453, 454, 457, 458, 460, 461, 462, 463, 465, 467, 470, 471, \dots , 477, 479, 483, 487, 489, 497, 498, 500, 501, 502, 504, 505, 506, 507, 510, 513, 515, 517, 519, 520, 523, 527, 532, 533, 540, 542, 543, 560, 561, 562, 565, 566, 567, 568, 570, 573, 575, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 585, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 604, 606, 607, 609, 610, 613, 615, 616, 617, 620, 622, 629, 630, 632, 633, \dots , 647, 650, 651, 652, 653, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 662, 663}, and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_{430} = \gamma_{593}, \\ \gamma_{431} = \gamma_{594}, \gamma_{536} = \gamma_{541}, \\ \gamma_{603} = \gamma_{664}, \gamma_h = \gamma_{426}, h \in \mathbb{I}_1, \\ \gamma_{608} = \gamma_{627}, \gamma_h = \gamma_{427}, h \in \mathbb{I}_2, \\ \gamma_{619} = \gamma_{626}, \gamma_h = \gamma_{432}, h = 468, 491, 518, 595, \\ \gamma_{621} = \gamma_{665}, \gamma_h = \gamma_{433}, h = 596, 623, 666, \\ \gamma_h = \gamma_{436}, h = 437, 438, 469, 492, 495, 496, 499, 534, 539, 545, 614, 654, \\ \gamma_{\{450, 595, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{522, 648, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{531, 648, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{549, 654, 661\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{555, 595, 654\}} = \gamma_{\{618, 626, 628\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{582, 654, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{583, 654, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{584, 654, 661\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{429, 648, 595, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{455, 595, 654, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{456, 654, 661, 666\}} = \gamma_{\{486, 648, 594, 654\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{493, 664, 665, 594\}} = \gamma_{\{503, 654, 552, 666\}} = \gamma_{\{512, 648, 595, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{529, 664, 665, 594\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{544, 654, 661, 595\}} = \gamma_{\{547, 654, 661, 595\}} = \gamma_{\{548, 654, 661, 666\}} = \gamma_{\{550, 654, 661, 595\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{551, 648, 594, 654\}} = \gamma_{\{557, 654, 661, 666\}} = \gamma_{\{558, 654, 661, 648\}} = \gamma_{\{592, 648, 595, 661\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{481, 648, 664, 665, 594\}} = \gamma_{\{526, 648, 664, 665, 594\}} = \gamma_{\{554, 654, 628, 595, 626\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{624, 626, 628, 627, 664\}} = \gamma_{\{459, 628, 648, 595, 626, 666\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{488, 628, 595, 626, 648, 594\}} = \gamma_{\{535, 627, 654, 595, 661, 626\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{546, 661, 666, 648, 594, 552\}} = \gamma_{\{612, 628, 627, 665, 666, 664\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{485, 627, 654, 648, 595, 661, 626\}} = \gamma_{\{490, 626, 628, 627, 665, 666, 664\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{509, 666, 552, 654, 628, 595, 626\}} = \gamma_{\{524, 666, 552, 654, 628, 595, 626\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{538, 627, 654, 648, 595, 661, 626\}} = \gamma_{\{556, 654, 628, 648, 595, 661, 626\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{559, 648, 626, 628, 627, 666, 661\}} = \gamma_{\{625, 626, 628, 627, 665, 666, 664\}} = 0, \\ \gamma_{\{521, 654, 666, 648, 594, 552, 628, 664, 665\}} = \gamma_{\{553, 654, 648, 594, 626, 628, 627, 666, 664\}} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Here $\mathbb{I}_1 = \{440, 447, 448, 464, 466, 478, 484, 508, 514, 516, 586, 605, , 648\}$,

$\mathbb{I}_2 = \{428, 444, 445, 449, 452, 480, 482, 494, 511, 525, 528, 530, , 537, 563,$

$564, 569, 571, 572, 574, 576, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 611, 631, 649, 661\}$,

Combining the above computations and the relations

$$\pi_{(1,(2;j))}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv 0, \quad j = 3, 4, 5, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{(1,(3;4))}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv 0,$$

we obtain $\gamma_i = 0$, $\forall i$, $401 \leq i \leq 666$. This finishes the proof. \square

3.3.2. The case $t = 2$

For $t = 2$, we have $13 \cdot 2^t - 5 = 47$ and $\mu(47) = 3 < 5$. Since Kameko's operation

$$(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{47} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$$

is an epimorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}/2GL_5$ -modules, hence $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{47} = \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}) \oplus (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$. Hence, we need to compute $\text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)})$.

Remark 3.3.8. If $Y \in \mathcal{B}_5(47)$ and $[Y] \in \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)})$, then $\omega_1(Y) = 3$.

Indeed, we see that $z = x_1^{31}x_2^{15}x_3$ is the minimal spike of degree 47 in \mathcal{P}_5 . By Proposition 3.1.2, $z \in \mathcal{B}_5(47)$. Since $[Y] \neq 0$, by Theorem 3.1.3, either $\omega_1(Y) = 3$ or $\omega_1(Y) = 5$. If $\omega_1(Y) = 5$, then $Y = X_{(\emptyset,5)}Z^2$ with Z a monomial of degree 21 in \mathcal{P}_5 . Since Y is admissible, by Theorem 2.2.1, $Z \in \mathcal{B}_5(21)$. So, we have $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}([Y]) = [Z] \neq [0]$. This contradicts the fact that $[Y] \in \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)})$; hence we get $\omega_1(Y) = 3$.

From Remark 3.3.8, we have $Y = x_kx_\ell x_m g^2$ with $1 \leq k < \ell < m \leq 5$ and $g \in \mathcal{B}_5(22)$. Thus, to determine $\text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)})$, we need to compute all the admissible monomials of degree 22 in the \mathcal{A}_2 -module \mathcal{P}_5 .

Computation of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{22}$

We consider the following weight vectors:

$$\omega_{(1)} = (2, 2, 2, 1), \quad \omega_{(2)} = (2, 4, 1, 1), \quad \omega_{(3)} = (2, 4, 3), \quad \omega_{(4)} = (4, 3, 1, 1), \quad \omega_{(5)} = (4, 3, 3).$$

It is easy to see that $\deg \omega_{(i)} = 22$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. By Proposition 3.1.2, $x_1^{15}x_2^7$ is the minimal spike in $\mathcal{B}_5(22)$ and $\omega(x_1^{15}x_2^7) = \omega_{(1)}$. Let u be an admissible monomial of degree 22 in \mathcal{P}_5 . Then $[u] \neq [0]$ and by Theorem 3.1.3, either $\omega_1(u) = 2$ or $\omega_1(u) = 4$. Since $u \in \mathcal{B}_5(22)$, by Theorem 2.2.1, if $\omega_1(u) = 2$, then $u = X_{(\{i,j,k\},5)}y^2$ with $y \in \mathcal{B}_5(10)$ and $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 5$. According to TÍN [60], $\omega(y)$ is one of the sequences $(2, 2, 1)$, $(4, 1, 1)$, and $(4, 3)$. If $\omega_1(u) = 4$, then $u = X_{(\{i\},5)}y_1^2$ with y_1 a monomial of degree 9 in \mathcal{P}_5 and $1 \leq i \leq 5$. By TÍN [60], either $\omega(y_1) = (3, 1, 1)$ or $\omega(y_1) = (3, 3)$. Hence, we have the following.

Remark 3.3.9. If $u \in \mathcal{B}_5(22)$, then $\omega(u)$ is one of the sequences $\omega_{(t)}$, $1 \leq t \leq 5$.

As it is known, $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{22} = (Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{22} \oplus (Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$. By Sum [52], $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+$ has dimension 72 in degree 22. Then, combining the results in [34] and [18] with fact that $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{22} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq s \leq 4} \bigoplus_{1 \leq u \leq \binom{5}{u}} (Q\mathcal{P}_s^+)_{22}$, we deduce that $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{22} = \binom{5}{2} \cdot 2 + \binom{5}{3} \cdot 8 + \binom{5}{4} \cdot 72 = 460$, and that $\mathcal{B}_5^0(22) = \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(22)) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 460\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq 460$, are determined in Sect. 6.4.

Next, we compute $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$. We need some notations.

Notation 3.3.10. For r , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 5$, we denote

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, 22) := \left\{ x_k^{2r-1} \rho_{(k,5)}(X) \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_{22} : X \in \mathcal{B}_4(23 - 2^r), \alpha(27 - 2^r) \leq 4 \right\}.$$

By Mothebe and Uys [25], $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(5, 22) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_5(22)$, $1 \leq k \leq 5$. We set

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \omega_{(t)}) := \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, 22) \cap \mathcal{P}_5(\omega_{(t)}), \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \omega_{(t)}) := \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \omega_{(t)}) \cap (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22},$$

for all $1 \leq t$, $k \leq 5$.

By a simple computation, we find that

$$\overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(1)})) \cup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \omega_{(1)}) \right)$$

is the set of 31 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_{22,i}$, $461 \leq i \leq 491$ (see Sect. 6.5.)

Denote by \mathcal{D} is the set of the following monomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{22,492} &= x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^6x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,493} &= x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{10}x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,494} &= x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^4x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,495} &= x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,496} &= x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^9x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,497} &= x_1x_2^2x_3^5x_4^8x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,498} &= x_1x_2^3x_3^2x_4^4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,499} &= x_1x_2^3x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,500} &= x_1x_2^3x_3^4x_4^8x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,501} &= x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^4x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,502} &= x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^8x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,503} &= x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^4x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,504} &= x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,505} &= x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^8x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,506} &= x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^4x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,507} &= x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^8x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,508} &= x_1^3x_2^5x_3^2x_4^4x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,509} &= x_1^3x_2^5x_3^2x_4^8x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,510} &= x_1^3x_2^5x_3^8x_4^2x_5^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3.11. $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)}) = \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(1)})) \cup \mathcal{B}^+(5, \omega_{(1)}) \cup \mathcal{D}$.

In order to prove the proposition, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.3.12. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

(i) $x_1^2x_jx_k^2x_l^3x_m^6$, $x_1^6x_jx_kx_l^2x_m^4$, $l < m$, $x_1^2x_jx_kx_l^4x_m^6$.

Here (j, k, l, m) is a permutation of $(2, 3, 4, 5)$;

(ii) $x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^6x_5$, $x_1x_2^2x_3^6x_4x_5^4$, $x_1x_2^2x_3^6x_4^4x_5$, $x_1x_2^6x_3^2x_4x_5^4$,
 $x_1x_2^6x_3^2x_4x_5$, $x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^2x_5^2$, $x_1^3x_2^4x_3^2x_4^2x_5^3$, $x_1^3x_2^4x_3^2x_4^3x_5^2$,
 $x_1^3x_2^4x_3^2x_4x_5^6$, $x_1^3x_2^2x_3x_4^6x_5^2$, $x_1^3x_2^2x_3x_4^6x_5^2$, $x_1^3x_2^2x_3^2x_4x_5^6$,
 $x_1^3x_2^2x_3^2x_4x_5$, $x_1^3x_2^2x_3^6x_4x_5^2$, $x_1^3x_2^2x_3^6x_4^2x_5$, $x_1^3x_2^6x_3x_4^2x_5^2$,
 $x_1^3x_2^6x_3^2x_4x_5^2$, $x_1^3x_2^6x_3^2x_4x_5$, $x_1^3x_2^6x_3^3x_4^3x_5^3$, $x_1^3x_2^6x_3^3x_4^3x_5^3$,
 $x_1^3x_2^3x_3^3x_4^3x_5^3$, $x_1^3x_2^3x_3^3x_4^2x_5^3$.

Proof. We prove the lemma for the monomials $u = x_1^2x_jx_k^2x_l^3x_m^6$, and $v = x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^6x_5$. The others can be proved by a similar computation. We have $\omega(u) = (2, 4, 1)$ and $\omega(v) = (2, 2, 2)$. By a simple computation, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= x_1x_j^2x_k^2x_l^3x_m^6 + Sq^1(x_1x_jx_k^2x_l^3x_m^6) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(2, 4, 1)), \\
v &= x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^6x_5^2 + x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^5x_5^2 + Sq^1(f_1) + Sq^2(f_2) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(2, 2, 2)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_1 = x_1^2x_2x_3^4x_4^5x_5$ and $f_2 = x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^5x_5$. Hence, u and v are strictly inadmissible. The lemma follows. \square

The following lemma can easily be proved by a direct computation.

Lemma 3.3.13. *If (i, j, k, l, m) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, then the following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

(i) $x_i^6x_jx_k^7$, $x_i^2x_j^5x_k^7$, $x_i^3x_j^4x_k^7$, $x_i^2x_jx_k^2x_l^2x_m^7$,
 $x_i^2x_j^5x_k^2x_l^2x_m^3$, $x_i^2x_j^4x_k^2x_l^3x_m^3$, $x_i^2x_jx_k^2x_l^2x_m^3$, $i < j$;
(ii) $x_ix_j^6x_k^3x_l^2x_m^2$, $j < k$, $x_i^3x_j^6x_k^5$, $x_i^6x_j^3x_k^5$, $x_i^2x_jx_kx_l^3x_m^3$, $x_i^2x_jx_kx_l^2$, $i < j < k$;
(iii) $x_i^2x_jx_k^4x_l^3x_m^4$, $x_i^2x_jx_kx_l^3x_m^4$, $i < j < k$, $l < m$.

Lemma 3.3.14. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4^7x_5^{10}, & x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^{12}, & x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^{11}x_5^4, & x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^2x_5^{10}, \\
&x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^8x_5^4, & x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^{10}x_5^2, & x_1x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4^3x_5^4, & x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^6x_5^8, \\
&x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{10}x_5^4, & x_1x_2^6x_3^3x_4^6x_5^6, & x_1x_2^6x_3^6x_4^3x_5^6, & x_1x_2^6x_3^6x_4^3x_5^3, \\
&x_1x_2^6x_3^9x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1x_2^7x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{10}, & x_1x_2^7x_3^2x_4^8x_5^4, & x_1x_2^7x_3^2x_4^{10}x_5^2, \\
&x_1x_2^7x_3^8x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^2x_5^2, & x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^4x_5^8, & x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^8x_5^4, \\
&x_1^3x_2^4x_3x_4^2x_5^{12}, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3x_4^{10}x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3^4x_4^4x_5^7, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3^4x_4^5x_5^6, \\
&x_1^3x_2^4x_3^4x_4^4x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3^5x_4^4x_5^6, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3^5x_4^6x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^4x_3^7x_4^4x_5^4, \\
&x_1^3x_2^4x_3^9x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^4x_4^4x_5^6, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^4x_4^6x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^6x_4^4x_5^4, \\
&x_1^3x_2^7x_3^4x_4^4x_5^4, & x_1^3x_2^{12}x_3x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1^7x_2x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{10}, & x_1^7x_2x_3^2x_4^8x_5^4, \\
&x_1^7x_2^2x_3^2x_4^{10}x_5^2, & x_1^7x_2x_3^8x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^2x_5^2, & x_1^7x_2^3x_3^4x_4^4x_5^4, \\
&x_1^7x_2^8x_3x_4^2x_5^4, & x_1^7x_2^9x_3^2x_4^2x_5^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the lemma for the monomials $x = x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^{12}$, and $y = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^4x_5^8$. The others can be proved by a similar computation. By a direct computation using the Cartan formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^4x_5^{12} + x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^2x_5^{13} + x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4^4x_5^{13} + x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^6x_5^8 + x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^4x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^6x_5^{10} + Sq^1(f_1) + Sq^2(f_2) + Sq^4(f_4) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega_{(1)})), \text{ where} \\
f_1 &= x_1x_2^4x_3^3x_4^4x_5^9 + x_1x_2^4x_3^3x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1x_2^4x_3^4x_4^3x_5^9 + x_1x_2^4x_3^4x_4^5x_5^7 \\
&\quad + x_1x_2^4x_3^5x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1x_2^4x_3^5x_4^4x_5^7 + x_1^2x_2x_3^4x_4^5x_5^9 + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^4x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1^4x_2^4x_3^3x_4^3x_5^7, \\
f_2 &= x_1x_2x_3^4x_4^5x_5^9 + x_1x_2x_3^5x_4^4x_5^9 + x_1x_2x_3^5x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^4x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^6x_5^8 + x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^{10} + x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^6x_5^7 + x_1x_2^2x_3^6x_4^3x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1x_2^2x_3^6x_4^4x_5^7 + x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^2x_5^{11} + x_1^2x_2^4x_3^3x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2^4x_3^3x_4^4x_5^7 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2^4x_3^4x_4^3x_5^7, \\
f_4 &= x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{11} + x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^4x_5^7.
\end{aligned}$$

The above equalities show that x is strictly inadmissible. By a similar computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= x_1^2x_2x_3^2x_4^{13}x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^4x_4^7x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2x_3^4x_4^{13}x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^6x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2x_3^6x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^8x_4^7x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^5x_4^8x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^8x_4^5x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^2x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^2x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^3x_4^8x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^4x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^4x_4^9x_5^2 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^8x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^6x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{10}x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{12}x_5^2 \\
&\quad + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{12}x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^4x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^8x_4^5x_5^4 \\
&\quad + Sq^1(g_1) + Sq^2(g_2) + Sq^4(g_4) + Sq^8(x_1^3x_2^2x_3^2x_4^4x_5^2) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega_{(1)})), \text{ where} \\
g_1 &= x_1^3x_2^3x_3^2x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^9x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^2x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^8x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^5x_2x_3^2x_4^9x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^5x_2x_3^4x_4^9x_5^2 + x_1^5x_2x_3^8x_4^5x_5^4 + x_1^5x_2x_3^6x_4^5x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^3x_2^4x_3^5x_4^4x_5^4 + x_1^5x_2x_3^5x_4^6x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^8x_4^4x_5^4 + x_1^5x_2x_3^5x_4^5x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^5x_2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^8, \\
g_2 &= x_1^5x_2^3x_3^2x_4^8x_5^2 + x_1^5x_2^3x_3^2x_4^6x_5^4 + x_1^5x_2^3x_3^4x_4^6x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^2x_4^9x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^2x_4^9x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^{10}x_5^4 + x_1^6x_2x_3^2x_4^7x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^4x_4^9x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^4x_4^9x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{10}x_5^2 \\
&\quad + x_1^6x_2x_3^4x_4^7x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^8x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^5x_4^5x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^8x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^5x_4^5x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^3x_2x_3^8x_4^6x_5^2 + x_1^6x_2x_3^6x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^6x_4^5x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^5x_4^6x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^3x_4^8x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^8x_4^4x_5^4 + x_1^6x_2x_3^2x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^6x_5^8 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^4x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^6x_2x_3^3x_4^6x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^4x_4^3x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2x_3^{10}x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2x_3^2x_4^7x_5^8 + x_1^2x_2x_3^2x_4^{11}x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^3x_4^6x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^2x_2x_3^3x_4^{10}x_5^4 + x_1^2x_2x_3^4x_4^{11}x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2x_3^6x_4^9x_5^2 + x_1^2x_2x_3^8x_4^7x_5^2, \\
g_4 &= x_1^3x_2^3x_3^2x_4^6x_5^4 + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^6x_5^2 + x_1^4x_2x_3^2x_4^7x_5^4 \\
&\quad + x_1^4x_2x_3^4x_4^7x_5^2 + x_1^4x_2x_3^6x_4^5x_5^2 + x_1^4x_2x_3^3x_4^6x_5^4.
\end{aligned}$$

The above relations imply that y is also strictly inadmissible. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.11. We denote by $\mathcal{Y}_t := \mathcal{Y}_{22,t}$, $461 \leq t \leq 510$ the admissible monomials in $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)})$ (see Sect.6.5.) For $x \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)})$, we have $x = X_{\{i,j,k\}}y^2$ with y a monomial of degree 10 in \mathcal{P}_5 , and $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 5$. Since x is admissible, by Theorem 2.2.1, $y \in \mathcal{B}_5(2, 2, 1)$.

Let $z \in \mathcal{B}_5(2, 2, 1)$ such that $X_{\{i,j,k\},5}y_1^2 \in \mathcal{P}_5^+$. By a direct computation using a result in [61], we see that if $X_{\{i,j,k\},5}y_1^2 \neq \mathcal{Y}_t$, for all t , $461 \leq t \leq 510$, then there is a monomial w which is given in one of Lemmas 3.3.13 - 3.3.14 such that $X_{\{i,j,k\},5}y_1^2 = wz^{2u}$ with suitable monomial $z \in \mathcal{P}_5$ and $u = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_j(w) > 0\}$. By Theorem 2.2.1, $X_{\{i,j,k\},5}y_1^2$ is inadmissible. Since $x = X_{\{i,j,k\},5}y^2$ and x is admissible, one gets $x = \mathcal{Y}_t$. This implies $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega_{(1)})$ is spanned by the set $\{\mathcal{Y}_t := \mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 461 \leq t \leq 510\}$.

We now prove the set $\{\mathcal{Y}_t : 461 \leq t \leq 510\}$ is linearly independent in $Q\mathcal{P}_5(\omega_{(1)})$. Suppose there is a linear relation

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{S} = \sum_{461 \leq t \leq 510} \gamma_t \mathcal{Y}_t \equiv_{\omega_{(1)}} 0,$$

where $\gamma_t \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. From a result in [52], $\dim Q\mathcal{P}_4^+(\omega_{(1)}) = 26$, with the basis $\{[u_j]_{\omega_{(1)}} : 1 \leq j \leq 26\}$, where

$$\begin{array}{lllll}
u_1. x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{14}, & u_2. x_1x_2x_3^{14}x_4^6, & u_3. x_1x_2^2x_3^4x_4^{15}, & u_4. x_1x_2^2x_3^5x_4^{14}, & u_5. x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^{12}, \\
u_6. x_1x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4^7, & u_7. x_1x_2^2x_3^{13}x_4^6, & u_8. x_1x_2^2x_3^{15}x_4^4, & u_9. x_1x_2^3x_3^4x_4^{14}, & u_{10}. x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^{12}, \\
u_{11}. x_1x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^6, & u_{12}. x_1x_2^3x_3^{14}x_4^4, & u_{13}. x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{14}, & u_{14}. x_1x_2^7x_3^2x_4^{12}, & u_{15}. x_1x_2^{14}x_3x_4^6, \\
u_{16}. x_1x_2^{15}x_3^2x_4^4, & u_{17}. x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{14}, & u_{18}. x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^{12}, & u_{19}. x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^6, & u_{20}. x_1^3x_2x_3^{14}x_4^4, \\
u_{21}. x_1^3x_2^5x_3^2x_4^{12}, & u_{22}. x_1^3x_2^5x_3^6x_4^8, & u_{23}. x_1^3x_2^5x_3^{10}x_4^4, & u_{24}. x_1^3x_2^{13}x_3^2x_4^4, & u_{25}. x_1^7x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}, \\
u_{26}. x_1^{15}x_2x_3^2x_4^4.
\end{array}$$

Consider the homomorphism $\pi_{(1;2)} : \mathcal{P}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_4$. By a direct computation using Theorem 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{(1;2)}(\mathcal{S}) &\equiv_{\omega_{(1)}} (\gamma_{473} + \gamma_{475} + \gamma_{481} + \gamma_{483})u_1 + \gamma_{477}u_6 + \gamma_{478}u_7 \\
&+ (\gamma_{476} + \gamma_{477} + \gamma_{478})u_4 + \gamma_{483}(u_9 + u_{14}) + \gamma_{481}(u_{10} + u_{11}) \\
&+ (\gamma_{475} + \gamma_{476} + \gamma_{477} + \gamma_{478})u_{13} + (\gamma_{470} + \gamma_{481} + \gamma_{484})u_{18} \\
&+ (\gamma_{471} + \gamma_{478} + \gamma_{481} + \gamma_{484})u_{19} + \gamma_{472}u_{20} + (\gamma_{479} + \gamma_{484})u_{21} \\
&+ (\gamma_{480} + \gamma_{481} + \gamma_{484})u_{22} + \gamma_{482}u_{23} + \gamma_{485}u_{24} + \gamma_{495}u_{25} + \gamma_{497}u_{26} \\
&+ (\gamma_{474} + \gamma_{478} + \gamma_{481} + \gamma_{484})u_2 + \gamma_{476}u_5 + (\gamma_{469} + \gamma_{475})u_{17} + \gamma_{484}u_{15} \equiv_{\omega_{(1)}} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This relation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \gamma_{469} &= \gamma_{470} = \gamma_{471} = \gamma_{472} = \gamma_{473} = \gamma_{474} = \gamma_{475} = \gamma_{476} \\
&= \gamma_{477} = \gamma_{478} = \gamma_{479} = \gamma_{480} = \gamma_{481} = \gamma_{482} = \gamma_{483} \\
&= \gamma_{484} = \gamma_{485} = \gamma_{495} = \gamma_{497} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5) into the relation (3.4), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{461 \leq t \leq 468} \gamma_t \mathcal{Y}_t + \sum_{486 \leq t \leq 494} \gamma_t \mathcal{Y}_t + \gamma_{496} \mathcal{Y}_{36} + \sum_{498 \leq t \leq 510} \gamma_t \mathcal{Y}_t \equiv_{\omega_{(1)}} 0.$$

Applying the homomorphisms $\pi_{(1;3)}, \pi_{(1;4)} : \mathcal{P}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_4$ to (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma_t = 0, t \in \mathbb{J}, \\ \gamma_{464} = \gamma_{487} = \gamma_{499} = \gamma_{508}, \gamma_{468} = \gamma_{494} = \gamma_{506} = \gamma_{509}, \gamma_{498} = \gamma_{502}, \\ \gamma_{467} + \gamma_{468} + \gamma_{503} = \gamma_{467} + \gamma_{468} + \gamma_{505} = 0, \\ \gamma_{462} + \gamma_{468} + \gamma_{502} + \gamma_{508} = \gamma_{463} + \gamma_{468} + \gamma_{502} + \gamma_{508} = 0, \\ \gamma_{466} + \gamma_{501} + \gamma_{502} + \gamma_{508} = \gamma_{466} + \gamma_{468} + \gamma_{504} + \gamma_{507} + \gamma_{508} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbb{J} = \{461, 465, 486, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 496, 500\}$. Then, combining (3.5), (3.7), and the relation $\pi_{(1;5)}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv_{\omega_{(1)}} 0$, we obtain $\gamma_t = 0$ for $461 \leq t \leq 510$. The proposition is proved. \square

Using a similar technique as mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.3.11, we obtain

Proposition 3.3.15.

(I) $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(2)}) = \mathcal{B}^+(5, \omega_{(2)}) \cup \mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{E} is the set of the following monomials:

$$\begin{array}{lllll}
x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4^3x_5^{14}, & x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^2x_5^{14}, & x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^6x_5^{10}, & x_1x_2^2x_3^3x_4^{14}x_5^2, & x_1x_2^3x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{14}, \\
x_1x_2^3x_3^2x_4^6x_5^{10}, & x_1x_2^3x_3^2x_4^{14}x_5^2, & x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^2x_5^{10}, & x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^{10}x_5^2, & x_1x_2^3x_3^{14}x_4^2x_5^2, \\
x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{14}, & x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^6x_5^{10}, & x_1^3x_2x_3^2x_4^{14}x_5^2, & x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^2x_5^{10}, & x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^{10}x_5^2, \\
x_1^3x_2x_3^{14}x_4^2x_5^2, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^2x_4^2x_5^{10}, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^{10}x_4^2x_5^2, & x_1^3x_2^5x_3^{10}x_4^2x_5^2, & x_1^3x_2^{13}x_3^2x_4^2x_5^2,
\end{array}$$

(II) $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(3)}) = \{x_1x_2^3x_3^6x_4^6x_5^6, x_1^3x_2x_3^6x_4^6x_5^6, x_1^3x_2^5x_3^2x_4^6x_5^6, x_1^3x_2^5x_3^6x_4^2x_5^6, x_1^3x_2^5x_3^6x_4^6x_5^2\}$,

(III) $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(4)}) = \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(4)}) \cup (\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \omega_{(4)})))$,

(IV) $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(5)}) = \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(5)}) \cup (\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \omega_{(5)})))$.

A direct computation shows: $|\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(2)})| = 25$, $|\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(4)})| = 300$ and $|\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(5)})| = 125$ (see Sect.6.5.) On the other hand, by Remark 3.3.9, we have $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{22}^+ \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq 5} Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega_{(j)})$. Combining this with the above results, we obtain

Corollary 3.3.16. $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space of dimension 505 with a basis consisting of all the classes represented by the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq 505$, which are determined in Sect.6.5.

Structure of the kernel of Kameko's map $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}$

The following weight vectors that have the same degrees are 47:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\omega}_{(1)} &= (3, 2, 2, 2, 1), \quad \bar{\omega}_{(2)} = (3, 2, 4, 1, 1), \quad \bar{\omega}_{(3)} = (3, 2, 4, 3), \\ \bar{\omega}_{(4)} &= (3, 4, 3, 1, 1), \quad \bar{\omega}_{(5)} = (3, 4, 3, 3).\end{aligned}$$

From Remarks 3.3.8 and 3.3.9, we conclude that if $X \in \mathcal{B}_5(47)$ and $[X]$ belongs to the kernel of $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}$ then the weight vector of X is one of the above sequences $\bar{\omega}_{(k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq 5$. This implies that the dimension of $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}$ is equal to the sum of the dimensions of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^0$ and $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(k)})$ in degree 47 for all $1 \leq k \leq 5$. Since $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{47}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{1 \leq t \leq 4} \bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell \leq \binom{5}{t}} (Q\mathcal{P}_t^+)_{47}$, by a direct computation using a result in [18], [34] and [52], we claim $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{47} = \binom{5}{3} \cdot 14 + \binom{5}{4} \cdot 84 = 560$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{B}_5^0(47) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}) = \bar{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(47)) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{47,i} : 1 \leq i \leq 560\},$$

where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{47,i} \in \mathcal{B}_5^0(47)$ are explicitly described in Sect.6.6 of the Appendix.

We now determine the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -subspaces $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(k)})$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 5$.

Lemma 3.3.17. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

- I) $X_1 = x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{12}$, $X_2 = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^3 x_\ell^8 x_m^{13}$, $X_3 = x_1 x_2^{14} x_k^2 x_\ell x_m^{13}$,
 $X_5 = x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_k^{12} x_\ell x_m^{13}$, $X_6 = x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_k^{12} x_\ell x_m^{13}$, $X_7 = x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^{12} x_\ell x_m^{13}$,
 $X_8 = x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_k^2 x_\ell x_m^{13}$, $X_9 = x_1^{15} x_2^2 x_k x_\ell^4 x_m^9$, $X_{10} = x_1^{15} x_2^2 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^8$,
 $X_{11} = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_k x_\ell^8 x_m^{13}$, $X_{12} = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{12}$, $X_{13} = x_1^{15} x_2^2 x_k^{12} x_\ell x_m^{13}$,
 $X_{14} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k x_\ell^8 x_m^{15}$, $X_{15} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{14}$, $X_{16} = x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_k x_\ell^4 x_m^9$,
 $X_{17} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^8 x_m^{14}$, $X_{18} = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_k x_\ell^8 x_m^{13}$, $X_{19} = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{12}$,
 $X_{20} = x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_k x_\ell^4 x_m^9$, $X_{21} = x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^8$, $X_{22} = x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^5 x_\ell^8 x_m^{13}$,
 $X_{23} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_k^9 x_\ell x_m^{12}$, $X_{24} = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_k^5 x_\ell^8 x_m^9$, $X_{25} = x_1 x_2^2 x_k^{14} x_\ell x_m^{13}$,
 $X_{26} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_k^8 x_\ell^9 x_m^9$, $X_{27} = x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_k^{14} x_\ell x_m$. Here (k, ℓ, m) is a permutation of $(3, 4, 5)$;
II) $X_{27} = x_i^3 x_j^2 x_k^{13} x_\ell^4 x_m^9$, $j < k$, $X_{28} = x_i x_j^2 x_k^6 x_\ell^9 x_m^{13}$, $X_{29} = x_i^3 x_j^4 x_k^6 x_\ell^9 x_m^9$, where (i, j, k, ℓ, m) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\omega(X_t) = \omega^* := (3, 2, 2, 2)$ for $1 \leq t \leq 29$. We prove the lemma for the monomials $X_1 = x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{12}$ and $X_2 = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^3 x_\ell^8 x_m^{13}$, where (k, ℓ, m) is a permutation of $(3, 4, 5)$. The others can be proved by a similar technique. We have

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &= x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k^4 x_\ell^5 x_m^9 + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^5 + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} \\ &\quad + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^9 + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^5 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{10} \\ &\quad + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_k^8 x_\ell^6 x_m^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^8 x_m^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^8 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^8 x_m^5 \\ &\quad + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^2 x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^4 x_\ell^5 x_m^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^4 x_\ell^6 x_m^9 \\ &\quad + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^6 + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_k^8 x_\ell^6 x_m^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_k x_\ell^4 x_m^{12} \\ &\quad + Sq^1(g_1) + Sq^2(g_2) + Sq^4(g_4) + Sq^8(x_1^6 x_2^5 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^5) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^*)), \text{ where} \\ g_1 &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{16} + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^9 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^5 x_m^9 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^5, \\ g_2 &= x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^9 + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^5 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^6 x_m^9 \\ &\quad + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^6 x_m^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^6 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^6 x_m^5 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_k^2 x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} \\ &\quad + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^9 + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^9 + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^5, \\ g_4 &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_k^2 x_\ell^3 x_m^{12} + x_1^4 x_2^7 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^9 + x_1^4 x_2^7 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^5 + x_1^{10} x_2^5 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^5.\end{aligned}$$

This equality implies that X_1 is strictly inadmissible.

Next, we show that X_2 is also strictly inadmissible. Indeed, using Cartan's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= x_1^2 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^{12} x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^5 x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^5 x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^9 x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^{10} x_\ell^5 x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^2 x_2 x_k^{12} x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2 x_k^4 x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^4 x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^8 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^8 x_\ell^6 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^4 x_\ell^9 x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^5 x_\ell^8 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^4 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^2 x_\ell^9 x_m^{13} \\ &+ Sq^1(Z_1) + Sq^2(Z_2) + Sq^4(Z_4) + Sq^8(x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^4 x_\ell^5 x_m^7) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^*)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^5 x_m^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^6 x_m^{17} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^9 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^{10} x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^6 x_m^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^{12} x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^{16} x_m^7 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^9 x_m^7 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^5 x_\ell^5 x_m^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14}, \\ Z_2 &= x_1^2 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^3 x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^9 x_m^{11} + x_1^2 x_2 x_k^{10} x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} \\ &+ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^9 x_m^{14} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^{10} x_m^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^{12} x_m^{11} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^{13} \\ &+ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_k^8 x_\ell^9 x_m^7 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^6 x_m^{14} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^6 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14} + x_1^5 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^6 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_k^2 x_\ell^9 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_k^3 x_\ell^5 x_m^{14} \\ &+ x_1^5 x_2^2 x_k^3 x_\ell^6 x_m^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_k^6 x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^6 x_m^{14} \\ &+ x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^6 x_m^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^8 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^{10} x_m^9 + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^{12} x_m^7 \\ &+ x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^6 x_m^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^{10} x_m^7 + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14}, \\ Z_4 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^5 x_m^{12} + x_1^3 x_2 x_k^6 x_\ell^6 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^2 x_\ell^9 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^3 x_\ell^6 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^6 x_\ell^5 x_m^{11} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^2 x_k^8 x_\ell^3 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^6 x_m^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^6 x_m^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^8 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^{10} x_m^9 \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^2 x_\ell^{12} x_m^7 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^3 x_m^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^6 x_m^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_k^4 x_\ell^{10} x_m^7 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14} \\ &+ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_k^8 x_\ell^5 x_m^7 + x_1^4 x_2^3 x_k x_\ell^5 x_m^{14}. \end{aligned}$$

The above relations imply that X_2 is strictly inadmissible. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.3.18. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^9, & Y_2 &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^8, & Y_3 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{13}, & Y_4 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5, \\ Y_5 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{11} x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_6 &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_7 &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_8 &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{13}, \\ Y_9 &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{14} x_4^5 x_5^9, & Y_{10} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^9, & Y_{11} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_{12} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, \\ Y_{13} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{14} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{15} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{16} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^9, \\ Y_{17} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{13}, & Y_{18} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5, & Y_{19} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_{20} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^8, \\ Y_{21} &= x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{22} &= x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{23} &= x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{24} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^8, \\ Y_{25} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^{14} x_4 x_5, & Y_{26} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_{27} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{12} x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{28} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{12} x_4^8 x_5, \\ Y_{29} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_{30} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{31} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{32} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{11} x_4^4 x_5^9, \\ Y_{33} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{34} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5, & Y_{35} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{11} x_4^5 x_5^8, & Y_{36} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^9, \\ Y_{37} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^{13}, & Y_{38} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^9, & Y_{39} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & Y_{40} &= x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^9, \\ Y_{41} &= x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^9, & Y_{42} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^9, & Y_{43} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^9, & Y_{44} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, \\ Y_{45} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^8, & Y_{46} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & Y_{47} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^4 x_5^9, & Y_{48} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^5 x_5^8, \\ Y_{49} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^9, & Y_{50} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^9, & Y_{51} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^8, & Y_{52} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^9, \\ Y_{53} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^9, & Y_{54} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5, & Y_{55} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^8. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\omega(Y_j) = \omega^*$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 55$. We prove this lemma for the monomials $Y_1 = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^9$, and $Y_2 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^8$. The others can be proved by a similar computation. A direct

computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1 &= x_1^2 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4 x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{11} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{12} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{12} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^4 x_5^9 + Sq^1(u_1) + Sq^2(u_2) + Sq^4(u_4) + Sq^8(u_8) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^*)), \text{ where} \\
u_1 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4 x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{16} x_4 x_5^7 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4 x_5^{13} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{11} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^9, \\
u_2 &= x_1^2 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{11} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4 x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{11} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^4 x_5^{11} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^2 x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^7 + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^7 + x_1^6 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^7, \\
u_4 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^{11} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{11} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^2 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^4 x_5^7 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^7 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^7 \\
&\quad + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^7 + x_1^{10} x_2 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^7, \\
u_8 &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^7 + x_1^6 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^7.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 &= x_1^2 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^9 + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{12} + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^5 + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_3 x_4^9 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^{13} x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^9 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^6 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^{10} x_5^5 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^9 \\
&\quad + Sq^1(v_1) + Sq^2(v_2) + Sq^4(v_4) + Sq^8(v_8) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^*)), \text{ where} \\
v_1 &= x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^9 x_5^{12} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{12} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^8 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^5, \\
v_2 &= x_1^2 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^9 + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^9 x_5^6 + x_1^2 x_2^{11} x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^{12} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^6 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^6 x_5^5 + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^9 x_5^{10} + x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^9 + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^9 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^9 + x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^5, \\
v_4 &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^5 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^6 x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^4 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^{10} + x_1^4 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^9 x_5^6 + x_1^4 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^5 \\
&\quad + x_1^{10} x_2^5 x_3 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1^{10} x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^5, \\
v_8 &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 + x_1^6 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1^6 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^5.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above equalities, we see that Y_1 and Y_2 are strictly inadmissible. The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 3.3.19. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}) &= \left\langle [\Phi^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}))] \cup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \bar{\omega}_{(1)}) \right) \cup \mathcal{F}|_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle [\mathcal{Y}_{47, j}|_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}} : 1 \leq j \leq 370] \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{47, j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 370$, are listed in Sect. 6.7.

The proof of the proposition is based on Lemmas 3.3.17, 3.3.18 and some results below.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of a result in Sum [52].

Lemma 3.3.20. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

- I) $x_i^{15}x_j^2x_kx_\ell^{13}$, $x_i^3x_j^{12}x_kx_\ell^{15}$, $x_i^3x_j^{14}x_kx_\ell^{13}$,
 $x_i^7x_j^{10}x_kx_\ell^{13}$, $x_i^3x_j^{13}x_k^8x_\ell^7$, $x_i^3x_j^{12}x_k^9x_\ell^7$, $1 \leq j < k \leq 5$, $1 \leq i, \ell \leq 5$, $i \neq \ell$, $i, \ell \neq j, k$;
- II) $x_i^{15}x_j^{14}x_kx_\ell$, $x_i^3x_j^2x_k^{13}x_\ell^{13}$, $x_i^{15}x_j^2x_k^5x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{13}x_j^2x_k^7x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{13}x_j^7x_k^2x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{15}x_j^3x_k^4x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{15}x_j^3x_k^5x_\ell^8$,
 $x_i^{14}x_j^3x_k^5x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{13}x_j^3x_k^6x_\ell^9$, $x_i^{13}x_j^6x_k^3x_\ell^9$, $1 \leq j < k < \ell \leq 5$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $i \neq j, k, \ell$;
- III) $\rho_{(1,5)}(x_1^2x_2^5x_3^{11}x_4^{13})$, $\rho_{(1,5)}(x_1^6x_2^{11}x_3^5x_4^9)$, $\rho_{(1,5)}(x_1^6x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{13})$,
 $\rho_{(1,5)}(x_1^6x_2^{11}x_3x_4^{13})$, $\rho_{(1,5)}(x_1^6x_2^{11}x_3^{13}x_4)$.

Lemma 3.3.21. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{array}{llll}
Z_1 = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{24}, & Z_2 = x_1^7x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{20}x_5^8, & Z_3 = x_1^7x_2x_3^2x_4^{28}x_5^9, & Z_4 = x_1x_2^2x_3^7x_4^{12}x_5^{25}, \\
Z_5 = x_1x_2^7x_3^{12}x_4^{12}x_5^{25}, & Z_6 = x_1^7x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5^{25}, & Z_7 = x_1x_2^6x_3^3x_4^8x_5^{29}, & Z_8 = x_1x_2^6x_3^3x_4^{24}x_5^{13}, \\
Z_9 = x_1^3x_2^7x_3^8x_4x_5^{28}, & Z_{10} = x_1^7x_2^3x_3^8x_4x_5^{28}, & Z_{11} = x_1^3x_2^7x_3^{24}x_4x_5^{12}, & Z_{12} = x_1^7x_2^3x_3^{24}x_4x_5^{12}, \\
Z_{13} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{26}x_4^9x_5^9, & Z_{14} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{26}x_4^9x_5^9, & Z_{15} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^4x_5^{25}, & Z_{16} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^4x_5^{25}, \\
Z_{17} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{26}x_4^5x_5^8, & Z_{18} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{26}x_4^5x_5^8, & Z_{19} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^5x_5^{24}, & Z_{20} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^5x_5^{24}, \\
Z_{21} = x_1x_2^6x_3^{11}x_4^{13}x_5^{16}, & Z_{22} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^{21}x_5^8, & Z_{23} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^{21}x_5^8, & Z_{24} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{11}x_4^{20}x_5^8, \\
Z_{25} = x_1x_2^2x_3^2x_4^2x_5^9, & Z_{26} = x_1^7x_2^{11}x_3x_4^2x_5^8, & Z_{27} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^{20}x_5^9, & Z_{28} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^{20}x_5^9, \\
Z_{29} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{10}x_4^{13}x_5^{16}, & Z_{30} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{10}x_4^{13}x_5^{16}, & Z_{31} = x_1x_2^7x_3^{11}x_4^{12}x_5^{16}, & Z_{32} = x_1^7x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{12}x_5^{16}, \\
Z_{33} = x_1^7x_2^{11}x_3x_4^{12}x_5^{16}, & Z_{34} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^{28}x_5^9, & Z_{35} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{28}x_4^4x_5^9, & Z_{36} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^{12}x_5^{25}, \\
Z_{37} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^4x_5^{25}, & Z_{38} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{28}x_4^5x_5^8, & Z_{39} = x_1x_2^7x_3^2x_4^{28}x_5^9, & Z_{40} = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^{21}x_5^8.
\end{array}$$

Proof. We have $\omega(Z_u) = \bar{\omega}_{(1)}$, $\forall u$, $1 \leq u \leq 40$. Consider the monomials $Z_1 = x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{24}$ and $Z_2 = x_1^7x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{20}x_5^8$. We prove that these monomials are strictly inadmissible. The others can be proved by a similar technique. Computing the monomials Z_1 , Z_2 is long and technical. Indeed, by using Cartan's formula, we get

$$Z_1 = \sum X + Sq^1(\sum \sigma_1) + Sq^2(\sum \sigma_2) + Sq^4(\sum \sigma_4) + Sq^8(\sum \sigma_8) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})), \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum X &= x_1^2x_2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^{29} + x_1^2x_2x_3^3x_4^{13}x_5^{28} + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^{12}x_5^{27} + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^{13}x_5^{26} + x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^{24}x_5^{15} \\
&+ x_1^2x_2x_3^5x_4^{25}x_5^{14} + x_1^2x_2x_3^7x_4^9x_5^{28} + x_1^2x_2x_3^7x_4^{13}x_5^{24} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{29} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{27} \\
&+ x_1^2x_2x_3^{13}x_4^7x_5^{24} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{13}x_4^9x_5^{22} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{13}x_4^{16}x_5^{15} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{13}x_4^{17}x_5^{14} + x_1^2x_2x_3^{16}x_4^{13}x_5^{15} \\
&+ x_1^2x_2x_3^{24}x_4^5x_5^{15} + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{28} + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^5x_4^{12}x_5^{25} + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{28} + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} \\
&+ x_1^2x_2^5x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{28} + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{26} + x_1^2x_2^5x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^{28} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{12}x_5^{27} + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{13}x_5^{26} + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{24}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^4x_4^{25}x_5^{14} + x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^{12}x_5^{24} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^{12}x_5^{24} + x_1^3x_2x_3^9x_4^{12}x_5^{22} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^3x_5^{28} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^4x_5^{27} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^7x_5^{24} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^9x_5^{22} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^{16}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^{17}x_5^{14} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{16}x_4^{12}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{24}x_4^4x_5^{15} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2^2x_3^5x_4^9x_5^{28} + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^5x_4^{12}x_5^{25} + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^9x_4^{12}x_5^{21} + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4^9x_5^{21} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^9x_5^{28} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^4x_4^{12}x_5^{25} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^5x_4^8x_5^{28} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^5x_4^{12}x_5^{24} \\
\sum \sigma_1 &= x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^{27} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{13}x_5^{26} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{16}x_5^{23} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{17}x_5^{22} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{20}x_5^{19} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{21}x_5^{18} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{24}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^3x_4^{25}x_5^{14} + x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^9x_5^{26} + x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^{11}x_5^{24} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^{16}x_5^{19} + x_1^3x_2x_3^7x_4^{17}x_5^{18} + x_1^3x_2x_3^9x_4^{13}x_5^{20} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{11}x_4^7x_5^{24} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{11}x_4^9x_5^{22} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{16}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{11}x_4^{17}x_5^{14} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^3x_5^{27} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{16}x_4^3x_5^{23} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{16}x_4^7x_5^{19} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2x_3^{16}x_4^{11}x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{20}x_4^3x_5^{19} + x_1^3x_2x_3^{24}x_4^3x_5^{15} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^5x_4^5x_5^{32} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{28} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{16}x_4^5x_5^{21} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^9x_4^5x_5^{26} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^9x_4^9x_5^{22} + x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^5x_5^{25} \\
&+ x_1^3x_2^3x_3^{16}x_4^5x_5^{21} + x_1^3x_2^4x_3^9x_4^9x_5^{21},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma_2 = & x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{27} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{26} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{15} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^{26} \\ & + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^9 x_5^{22} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{16} x_5^{15} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} \\ & + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{27} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{16} x_4^{11} x_5^{15} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{24} x_4^3 x_5^{15} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^{28} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{25} \\ & + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^5 x_5^{26} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{25} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{14} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{10} x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^{26} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{23} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{20} x_5^{15} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^{24} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^{22} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{16} x_5^{15} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{10} x_5^{19} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^{19} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{23} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{16} x_4^7 x_5^{15} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{20} x_4^3 x_5^{15} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{28} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^8 x_5^{26} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{24} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{26} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{24} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{22}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma_4 = & x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{20} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{13} x_5^{20} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{10} x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^{26} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{23} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{20} x_5^{15} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^{22} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{16} x_5^{15} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{10} x_5^{19} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^{19} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{23} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{16} x_4^7 x_5^{15} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{20} x_4^3 x_5^{15} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{28} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^8 x_5^{26} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{26} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{24} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^{12} x_5^{15} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{15}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum \sigma_8 = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^{12} x_5^{15} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{12} x_4^5 x_5^{15},$$

The above relations imply that Z_1 is strictly inadmissible.

Next we prove that Z_2 is also strictly inadmissible. A direct computation shows that

$$Z_2 = \sum Y + Sq^1(\sum \beta_1) + Sq^2(\sum \beta_2) + Sq^4(\sum \beta_4) + Sq^8(\sum \beta_8) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})),$$

where the polynomials $\sum Y$, $\sum \beta_1$, $\sum \beta_2$, $\sum \beta_4$, and $\sum \beta_8$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum Y = & x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{12} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{28} x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{20} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{28} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{20} x_5^{12} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{19} x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{21} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{17} x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{17} x_4^{12} x_5^{14} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{20} x_4^9 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{13} x_5^{16} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{21} x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{20} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^{21} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^{25} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{12} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{20} x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{17} \\ & + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^9 x_5^9 + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{17} x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^7 x_5^{14} + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^9 x_5^{12} + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{21} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^4 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{14} + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^9 + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{15} \\ & + x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{24} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{12} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{16} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{20} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{24} x_4^7 x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{24} x_4^{11} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{24} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{12} x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{20} x_5^9 \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^8 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2^8 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^{13} x_5^{18} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^{21} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^{22} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{12} x_5^{18} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{20} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^{20} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{12} x_5^{17} \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5^{16} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{17} x_5^{12} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{20} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{21} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{16} x_5^{12}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \beta_1 = & x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{21} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{17} x_4^{11} x_5^{14} \\ & + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{17} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{12} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^{17} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{19} x_5^9 \\ & + x_1^8 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^8 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^{10} x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^{10} x_2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^9, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \beta_2 = & x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{17} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^{12} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{26} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{20} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^{10} \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{11} x_5^{16} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^8 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{21} x_5^6 \\ & + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{11} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^{19} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{20} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{19} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^{18} \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{16} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{19} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{18} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{17} x_5^6 \\ & + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{12} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{13} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{12} + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^8 \\ & + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{17} x_5^6 + x_1^9 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^9 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{19} x_5^9, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \beta_4 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{22} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{19} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{10} x_5^{18} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^5 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^{10} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{11} x_5^{16} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{19} x_5^8 + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{21} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{11} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{12} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{12} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{20} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{12} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{17} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{20} x_4^7 x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{20} x_4^{11} x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{12} x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{19} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{20} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^6 + x_1^{11} x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{10} + x_1^{11} x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{10} + x_1^{11} x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^6 + x_1^{11} x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^6, \\
\sum \beta_8 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{10} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^9 x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above equalities, we conclude that Z_2 is also strictly inadmissible. \square

Lemma 3.3.22. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{13} x_5^{16}, & d_2 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{12} x_5^{16}, & d_3 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{20} x_5^8, & d_4 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{20} x_5^9, \\
d_5 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{25}, & d_6 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^8, & d_7 &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{25}, & d_8 &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^8, \\
d_9 &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{24} x_5^9, & d_{10} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{24}, & d_{11} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{24} x_5^9, & d_{12} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{24}, \\
d_{13} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{12}, & d_{14} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{16} x_5^{13}, & d_{15} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{16}, & d_{16} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^{25}, \\
d_{17} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{25} x_5^8, & d_{18} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{24} x_5^9, & d_{19} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^9 x_5^{24}, & d_{20} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^{24}, \\
d_{21} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^8, & d_{22} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^8, & d_{23} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^8, & d_{24} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{24}, \\
d_{25} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^8, & d_{26} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{17} x_5^{12}, & d_{27} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{16} x_5^{13}, & d_{28} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{16} x_5^{12}, \\
d_{29} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{17} x_5^{12}, & d_{30} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{17} x_5^{12}, & d_{31} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{16} x_5^{13}, & d_{32} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{13} x_5^{16}, \\
d_{33} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{16} x_5^{13}, & d_{34} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{13} x_5^{16}, & d_{35} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{16} x_5^{12}, & d_{36} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{16} x_5^{12}, \\
d_{37} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^8.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that the weight vector of d_i is $\bar{\omega}_{(1)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 37$. We prove the lemma for the monomial $d_1 = x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{13} x_5^{16}$. The others can be proved by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3.21. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{28} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{26} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{25} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{25} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5^{21} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^7 x_5^{25} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{21} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^{17} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{13} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{20} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{13} x_5^{20} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{16} x_4^{13} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^{13} x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^{13} x_5^{18} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^{16} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{13} x_5^{26} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{14} x_5^{25} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{26} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{14} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^{20} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{14} x_5^{21} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^7 x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^9 x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{12} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{20} x_4^9 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^{12} x_5^{17} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^8 x_4^{12} x_5^{21} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^{12} x_5^{17} \\
&\quad + Sq^1(\sum X) + Sq^2(\sum Y) + Sq^4(\sum Z) + Sq^8(\sum T) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})), \text{ where} \\
\sum X &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{26} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{25} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{18} x_5^{21} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^{18} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{26} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{17} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{25} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{21} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{18} x_5^{17} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{20} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{13} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{16} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{13}, \\
\sum Y &= x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{26} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{25} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{26} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{25} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{21} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} \\
&\quad + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{13} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{13} x_5^{18} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^{16} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{18} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{22} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{21} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{22} + x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{24} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{20} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{13} \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{16} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{14},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma Z &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{18} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{22} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{21} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{10} x_4^7 x_5^{21} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{18} x_4^7 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{20} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{20} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{20} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{18} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{18} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{11} x_5^{16} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{11} x_5^{14} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^8 x_3^{12} x_4^7 x_5^{13}, \\
\Sigma T &= x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^9 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{12} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^{14} \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{10} x_4^9 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{12} x_4^7 x_5^{13}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above relations show that d_1 is strictly inadmissible. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.19. Let a be an admissible monomial in \mathcal{P}_5^+ such that $\omega(a) = \bar{\omega}_{(1)}$. Then $a = x_t x_k x_m u^2$ with $1 \leq t < k < m \leq 5$ and $u \in (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$. Since a is admissible, by Theorem 2.2.1, u is also admissible. Further, $u \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)})$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)})$ such that $x_t x_k x_m X^2 \in (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{47}$. By a direct computation using Proposition 3.3.11, we see that if $x_t x_k x_m X^2 \neq \mathcal{Y}_{47,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 370$, then there is a monomial b which is given in one of Lemmas 3.3.17 - 3.3.22 such that $x_t x_k x_m X^2 = bY^{2r}$ with suitable monomial $Y \in \mathcal{P}_5$, and $r = \max\{s \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_s(b) > 0\}$. By Theorem 2.2.1, $x_t x_k x_m X^2$ is inadmissible. On the other hand, we have $a = x_t x_k x_m u^2$ and a is admissible, hence $a = \mathcal{Y}_j$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots, 370$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 3.3.23. For $1 \leq j \leq 370$, we have $[\mathcal{Y}_j := \mathcal{Y}_{47,j}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}} \neq [0]$. Indeed, suppose that there is a linear relation

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 170} \gamma_j \mathcal{Y}_j \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}} 0,$$

where $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, for all j . Based on Theorem 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1, for $(k; \mathcal{K}) \in \mathcal{N}_5$, we explicitly compute $\pi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S})$ in terms of a given minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators in \mathcal{P}_4 (modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_4)$). By computing from the relations $\pi_{(k; \mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}} 0$, $\ell(\mathcal{K}) = 1$, one gets $\gamma_j = 0$, $\forall j$, $1 \leq j \leq 370$. Note that these computations are similar to the proof of Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.11. Combining this and Proposition 3.3.19, we have a direct corollary.

Corollary 3.3.24. *The set $[\bar{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})) \cup (\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \bar{\mathcal{B}}^+(k, \bar{\omega}_{(1)})) \cup \mathcal{F}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(1)}}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})$. This implies $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})) = 370$.*

Remark 3.3.25. Consider the weight vector $\omega^{**} = (3, 2, 4)$ with $\deg \omega^{**} = 23$. By using a result in [61], we see that the following monomials are strictly inadmissible:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
e_1 = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^5, & e_2 = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^5, & e_3 = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^4, & e_4 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5, \\
e_5 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4, & e_6 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^4, & e_7 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5, & e_8 = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^4, \\
e_9 = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^4, & e_{10} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^7, & e_{11} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^5, & e_{12} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^7, \\
e_{13} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^5, & e_{14} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^4, & e_{15} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^5 x_5^4, & e_{16} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^7, \\
e_{17} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^4, & e_{18} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^4, & e_{19} = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^5, & e_{20} = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^5, \\
e_{21} = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^4, & e_{22} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^5, & e_{23} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^5, & e_{24} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^6, \\
e_{25} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^5, & e_{26} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^4 x_5^5, & e_{27} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^5, & e_{28} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^4, \\
e_{29} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^6, & e_{30} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^4. & &
\end{array}$$

Note that $\omega(e_k) = \omega^{**}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 30$. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(47)$ such that either $\omega(X) = \bar{\omega}_{(2)}$ or $\omega(X) = \bar{\omega}_{(3)}$. Then $X = X_{(\{\ell, m\}, 5)} y^2$ with $1 \leq \ell < m \leq 5$, and $y \in (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$. By a direct computation using Theorem 2.2.1, and Proposition 3.3.15(I), (II), we see that either $y \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(2)})$ or $y \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(3)})$ and there is a monomial $Z = e_i$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq 30$ such that $X = X_{(\{\ell, m\}, 5)} y^2 = Zu^{2t}$, $1 \leq \ell < m \leq 5$, with suitable monomial $u \in \mathcal{P}_5$, and $t = \max\{a \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_a(Z) > 0\}$. By Theorem 2.2.1, we get either $[X] = [0]_{\bar{\omega}_{(2)}}$ or $[X] = [0]_{\bar{\omega}_{(3)}}$. As a consequence, we get the following.

Proposition 3.3.26. *The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector spaces $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(2)})$ and $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(3)})$ are trivial.*

Lemma 3.3.27. *The set $\{[\mathcal{Y}_{47,j}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}} : 371 \leq j \leq 479\}$ is a minimal system of generators for $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{47,j}$, $j = 371, \dots, 479$, are determined in Sect. 6.7.*

In order to prove the lemma, we need to use some results. As an immediate consequence of a result in [52], we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.3.28. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$I) \ x_a^7 x_b^2 x_c^{15} x_d^7, \ x_a^7 x_b^{15} x_c^2 x_d^7, \ x_a^7 x_b^6 x_c^3 x_d^{15}, \ x_a^7 x_b^3 x_c^6 x_d^{15}, \ x_a^7 x_b^3 x_c^{14} x_d^7, \\ x_a^7 x_b^{14} x_c^3 x_d^7, \ x_a^7 x_b^6 x_c^{11} x_d^7, \ x_a^7 x_b^{11} x_c^6 x_d^7, \ 1 \leq b < c \leq 5, \ 1 \leq a, d \leq 5, \ a \neq d, \ a, d \neq b, c;$$

II) $\rho_{(k,5)}(v)$, $1 \leq k \leq 5$, where v is one of the following monomials:

$$x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^{10}, \ x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4^7, \ x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_3^7 x_4^7.$$

We now consider the weight vector $\omega^{***} = (3, 4, 3, 1)$ with $\deg \omega^{***} = 31$. The following lemma can be easily proved by a direct computation.

Lemma 3.3.29. *All permutations of the following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{15}, \ x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^7, \ x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, \ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{15}, \\ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^{13}, \ x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^{15}, \ x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^{12}, \ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, \\ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, \ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^9, \ x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^8, \ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^{15}, \\ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^{14}, \ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{12}, \ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, \ x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, \\ x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^8.$$

Lemma 3.3.30. *If (m, n, p, q, r) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, then the following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$x_m^3 x_n^{13} x_p^6 x_q^3 x_r^6 \neq X \in \{x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^6, \ x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^7 x_n^2 x_p^5 x_q^6 x_r^{11} \neq Y \in \{x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, \ x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^6 x_q^6 x_r^{11} \neq Z \in \{x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^{11}, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^6 x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^9 x_q^6 x_r^6 \neq G \in \{x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4^6 x_5^6, \ x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^6 x_5^6, \ x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^3 x_n^2 x_p^6 x_q^7 x_r^{13} \neq H \in \{x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^6, \ x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^6, \ x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^6, \\ x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^6 x_q^7 x_r^{10} \neq F \in \{x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^{10} x_5^6, \\ x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, \ x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^6, \ x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, \\ x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^6\}, \\ x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{14} x_q^3 x_r^6 \neq T \in \{x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{14}, \ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^6, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{14}, \\ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^6, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_3^3 x_4^{14}, \ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^{14} x_5^6\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the weight vector of these monomials is ω^{***} . Note that the monomials X, Y, Z, G, H, F , and T are admissible. We now prove the lemma for the monomials $f = x_m^3 x_n^{13} x_p^6 x_q^3 x_r^6$ and $g = x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{14} x_q^3 x_r^6$. The others can be proved by an argument similar to the proofs of Lemmas 3.3.17 and 3.3.18. Applying the Cartan formula, we get

$$f = x_m^3 x_n^{13} x_p^6 x_q^3 x_r^6 + Sq^1(\sum \bar{A}_1) + Sq^2(\sum \bar{B}_1) + Sq^4(\sum \bar{C}_1) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^{***})), \text{ where}$$

$$\sum \bar{A}_1 = x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^5 x_q^5 x_r^{10} + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^5 x_q^6 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^6 x_q^5 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^6 x_q^9 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^9 x_q^6 x_r^5 \\ + x_m^3 x_n^{11} x_p^5 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^{14} x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^6 x_n^{11} x_p^5 x_q^3 x_r^5, \\ \sum \bar{B}_1 = x_m^3 x_n^{11} x_p^5 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^{11} x_p^6 x_q^3 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^{13} x_p^5 x_q^3 x_r^5 + x_m^5 x_n^7 x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^7 x_p^3 x_q^9 x_r^5 \\ + x_m^5 x_n^7 x_p^5 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^9 x_p^3 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^{11} x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^5, \\ \sum \bar{C}_1 = x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^3 x_q^9 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^5 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^7 x_p^9 x_q^3 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^9 x_p^3 x_q^3 x_r^9 \\ + x_m^3 x_n^9 x_p^5 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^{11} x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^{11} x_p^5 x_q^3 x_r^5,$$

Since $x_m^3 x_n^{13} x_p^3 x_q^6 x_r^6 < f$, the monomial f is strictly inadmissible. Next, by a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= \sum \mathcal{L} + Sq^1(\sum \overline{A}_2) + Sq^2(\sum \overline{B}_2) + Sq^4(\sum \overline{C}_2) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega^{***})), \text{ where} \\
\sum \mathcal{L} &= x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^{14} x_q^5 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^7 x_q^6 x_r^{10} + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{11} x_q^6 x_r^6, \\
\sum \overline{A}_2 &= x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^{13} x_q^5 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^{14} x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{11} x_q^5 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{13} x_q^3 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^6 x_p^7 x_q^9 x_r^5 \\
&\quad + x_m^3 x_n^6 x_p^{11} x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^{10} x_p^7 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^6 x_n^5 x_p^{11} x_q^3 x_r^5, \\
\sum \overline{B}_2 &= x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{11} x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{13} x_q^3 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^6 x_p^{11} x_q^3 x_r^6 + x_m^5 x_n^3 x_p^7 x_q^5 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^3 x_p^7 x_q^9 x_r^5 \\
&\quad + x_m^5 x_n^3 x_p^9 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^3 x_p^{11} x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^5 x_n^5 x_p^7 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^5 x_n^9 x_p^7 x_q^3 x_r^5, \\
\sum \overline{C}_2 &= x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^7 x_q^5 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^7 x_q^9 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^9 x_q^3 x_r^9 + x_m^3 x_n^3 x_p^{11} x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^7 x_q^3 x_r^9 \\
&\quad + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^7 x_q^6 x_r^6 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^9 x_q^5 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^5 x_p^{11} x_q^3 x_r^5 + x_m^3 x_n^9 x_p^7 x_q^3 x_r^5.
\end{aligned}$$

These relations show that g is strictly inadmissible. The lemmas follows. \square

The proof of the following lemmas is analogous to the proofs of Lemmas 3.3.17, 3.3.18, 3.3.29 and 3.3.30.

Lemma 3.3.31. *If (p, q, r) is a permutation of $(3, 4, 5)$, then the following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= x_1 x_2^6 x_p^6 x_q^3 x_r^{15}, \quad u_2 = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_p^6 x_q x_r^{15}, \quad u_3 = x_1^{16} x_2^6 x_p^6 x_q x_r^3, \quad u_4 = x_1 x_2^6 x_p^3 x_q^7 x_r^{14}, \\
u_5 &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_p^3 x_q^6 x_r^7, \quad u_6 = x_1^3 x_2^6 x_p x_q^7 x_r^{14}, \quad u_7 = x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_p x_q^6 x_r^7, \quad u_8 = x_1^7 x_2^6 x_p x_q^3 x_r^{14}, \\
u_9 &= x_1^7 x_2^{14} x_p x_q^3 x_r^6, \quad u_{10} = x_1 x_2^6 x_p^6 x_q^7 x_r^{11}, \quad u_{11} = x_1^7 x_2^6 x_p x_q^6 x_r^{11}, \quad u_{12} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_p^6 x_r^{15}, \\
u_{13} &= x_1^3 x_2^6 x_p^2 x_q^5 x_r^{15}, \quad u_{14} = x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_p^3 x_q^5 x_r^6, \quad u_{15} = x_1^{15} x_2^6 x_p^2 x_q^3 x_r^5, \quad u_{16} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_p^7 x_q^7 x_r^{14}, \\
u_{17} &= x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_p^2 x_q^5 x_r^7, \quad u_{18} = x_1^7 x_2^2 x_p^{14} x_q^3 x_r^5, \quad u_{19} = x_1^7 x_2^{14} x_p^2 x_q^3 x_r^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3.32. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned}
I) \quad u_{20} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^6 x_q^3 x_r^6, \quad u_{21} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^6 x_q x_r^6, \quad u_{22} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^6 x_q^3 x_r^6, \quad u_{23} = x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^6 x_q x_r^6, \\
u_{24} &= x_1^7 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_q^3 x_r^{14}, \quad u_{25} = x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{14} x_q^3 x_r^6, \quad u_{26} = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_q x_r^{14}, \quad u_{27} = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_q x_r^6, \\
u_{28} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_q x_r^7, \quad u_{29} = x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_q^3 x_r^{14}, \quad u_{30} = x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{14} x_q^3 x_r^6, \quad u_{31} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_q^6 x_r^7, \\
u_{32} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_q x_r^{14}, \quad u_{33} = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{14} x_q x_r^6, \quad u_{34} = x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_q^6 x_r^{11}, \quad u_{35} = x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_q^6 x_r^{11}, \\
u_{36} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^6 x_q x_r^6, \quad u_{37} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_q^5 x_r^6, \quad u_{38} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^6 x_q^2 x_r^5, \quad u_{39} = x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^2 x_q^5 x_r^6, \\
u_{40} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^6 x_q^2 x_r^5, \quad u_{41} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_q^2 x_r^7, \quad u_{42} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_q^2 x_r^7, \quad u_{43} = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_q^5 x_r^{14}, \\
u_{44} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{14} x_q^2 x_r^5, \quad u_{45} = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_q^5 x_r^{14}, \quad u_{46} = x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_q^2 x_r^5, \\
&\text{where } q, r = 4, 5, q \neq r.
\end{aligned}$$

$$II) \quad u_{47} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{14} x_5^7, \quad u_{48} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{14} x_5^7, \quad u_{49} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{14} x_5^7.$$

Note that $\omega(u_t) = \omega^{***}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, 49$.

Lemma 3.3.33. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^{22}, & A_2 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & A_3 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^{22} x_5^6, & A_4 &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
A_5 &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_6 &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^{19} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_7 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^{22}, & A_8 &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^{22}, \\
A_9 &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^{18}, & A_{10} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & A_{11} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^6, & A_{12} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^{22}, \\
A_{13} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^6 x_5^{18}, & A_{14} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^{18} x_5^6, & A_{15} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, & A_{16} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
A_{17} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{18} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{19} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{19} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{22}, & A_{20} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^6, \\
A_{21} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{22}, & A_{22} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^{18}, & A_{23} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^6, & A_{24} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^2, \\
A_{25} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^5, & A_{26} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^5, & A_{27} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^2, & A_{28} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
A_{29} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^6, & A_{30} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^{19} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{31} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{17} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{32} &= x_1^7 x_2^{25} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
A_{33} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & A_{34} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^6, & A_{35} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^{19} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{36} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{22}, \\
A_{37} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^6, & A_{38} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{22}, & A_{39} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{18}, & A_{40} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, \\
A_{41} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^2, & A_{42} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{17} x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{43} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{21} x_4^2 x_5^6, & A_{44} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{21} x_4^6 x_5^2, \\
A_{45} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{17} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{46} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{19} x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{47} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{19} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^6, & A_{48} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{19} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^2, \\
A_{49} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^{19}, & A_{50} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^{27}, & A_{51} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $\omega(A_i) = \bar{\omega}_{(4)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 51$. We prove the lemma for the monomials $A_{23} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{18} x_5^6$ and $A_{29} = x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^6$. The others can be proved by using a similar technique as in Lemmas 3.3.21 and 3.3.22. Direct computing from Cartan's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{23} &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} b_i + Sq^1(\sum f) + Sq^2(\sum g) + Sq^4(\sum h) + Sq^8(\sum p) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})), \text{ where} \\
b_1 &= x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^{22} x_5^6, \quad b_2 = x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^6, \quad b_3 = x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^6, \\
\sum f &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^{25} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_3^6 x_4^{21} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{14} x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{17} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^9 x_4^{14} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{19} x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{22} x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^5, \\
\sum g &= x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^9 x_3^9 x_4^{19} x_5^3 + x_1^5 x_2^{11} x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^9 + x_1^5 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^{15} x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^{17} x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^3 + x_1^5 x_2^{17} x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^5 + x_1^5 x_2^{19} x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^5, \\
\sum h &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_3^5 x_4^{21} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^9 x_3^9 x_4^{19} x_5^3 + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^{11} x_3^3 x_4^{21} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^9 x_4^{13} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^9 + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^6 x_4^{13} x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^5 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^{17} x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^3 + x_1^3 x_2^{17} x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{19} x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^5, \\
\sum p &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^5 x_4^{13} x_5^5 + x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{11} x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $b_i < A_1$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, A_{23} is strictly inadmissible. By a similar computation, we obtain

$$A_{29} = \sum Z + Sq^1(\sum \bar{f}) + Sq^2(\sum \bar{g}) + Sq^4(\sum \bar{h}) + Sq^8(x_1^7 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^6) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})),$$

where the polynomials $\sum Z$, $\sum \bar{f}$, $\sum \bar{g}$, and $\sum \bar{h}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum Z &= x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{23} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{27} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{23} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{26} x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^{10} + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^{11} x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^{11} x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{22} x_5^{10} \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{23} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{25} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^{23} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^8 \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^6, \\
\sum \bar{f} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{19} x_5^{12} + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{21} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{21} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^{19} x_5^5 + x_1^7 x_2^6 x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{19} x_5^8 + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{21} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^{19} x_5^5 + x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_3^5 x_4^{15} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^{10} x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^5, \\
\sum \bar{g} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^{10} + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{23} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{10} x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^9 \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^6 + x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^{17} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^5 x_4^{15} x_5^9 + x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^5 \\
&\quad + x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^3 + x_1^9 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^9 + x_1^9 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^9 + x_1^9 x_2^9 x_3^9 x_4^{15} x_5^3, \\
\sum \bar{h} &= x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{23} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{22} x_5^6 + x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^{19} x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that A_{29} is strictly inadmissible. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.3.27. Let b be an admissible monomial in $(\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{47}$ such that $\omega(b) = \bar{\omega}_{(4)}$. Then $\omega_1(b) = 3$ and $b = X_{(\{t,k\},5)} Y^2$ with $1 \leq t < k \leq 5$ and Y a monomial of degree 22 in \mathcal{P}_5 . Since b is admissible, according to Theorem 2.2.1, $Y \in \mathcal{B}_5(\omega_{(4)})$.

Using Proposition 3.3.15(III) and a simple computation shows that if $Z \in \mathcal{B}_5(\omega_{(4)})$, $1 \leq t < k \leq 5$, and $X_{(\{t,k\},5)} Z^2 \neq \mathcal{Y}_{47,j}$, $\forall j$, $371 \leq j \leq 479$, then there is a monomial u which is given in one of Lemmas 3.3.28 - 3.3.33 such that $X_{(\{t,k\},5)} Z^2 = ug^{2^s}$ with a monomial $g \in \mathcal{P}_5$, and $s = \max\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_\ell(u) > 0\}$. Then, By Theorem 2.2.1, $X_{(\{t,k\},5)} Z^2$ is inadmissible. Finally, we see that $b = X_{(\{t,k\},5)} Y^2$ is admissible with $Y \in \mathcal{B}_5(\omega_{(4)})$; hence $b = \mathcal{Y}_{47,j}$ for some j , $j \in \{371, \dots, 479\}$. This implies $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)}) \subseteq \{\mathcal{Y}_{47,j} : 371 \leq j \leq 479\}$. \square

Proposition 3.3.34. $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space of dimension 109 with a basis consisting of all the classes represented by the monomials $Y_{47,j}$, $371 \leq j \leq 479$.

Proof. First, we show that the set $[V := \{Y_{47,j} : 371 \leq j \leq 479\}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}}$ is linearly independent in the space $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})$. Indeed, suppose there is a linear relation $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{371 \leq j \leq 479} \gamma_j \mathcal{Y}_j \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}} 0$ with $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{47,j} \in V$. By using Theorem 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1, we determine explicitly

$\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S})$ in terms of the admissible monomials in (\mathcal{P}_4^+) . From the relations $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}} 0$ with $\ell(\mathcal{K}) > 0$, one gets $\gamma_j = 0$ for $j = 371, \dots, 479$.

Now, by Lemma 3.3.27, to prove $[V]_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}}$ is a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})$ we need to show that $[\mathcal{Y}_{47,j}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}} \neq [0]$ for all $\mathcal{Y}_{47,j} \in V$. By a similar argument as given in the proof of Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.11, we can prove that the set $[\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}) \cup V]$ is linearly independent in $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)$. This fact shows that $[\mathcal{Y}_{47,j}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(4)}} \neq [0]$ for all $\mathcal{Y}_{47,j}$. The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 3.3.35. *There exist exactly 15 admissible monomials in (\mathcal{P}_5^+) such that their weight vectors are $\bar{\omega}_{(5)}$. Consequently $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(5)})) = 15$.*

We prove the proposition by showing that

$$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(5)}) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{47,m} : 480 \leq m \leq 494\},$$

where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{47,m} : 480 \leq m \leq 494$, are described in Sect.6.7. We need some lemmas for the proof of this proposition. The following result is proved by using a result in [61].

Lemma 3.3.36. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

- a) $x_u x_v^2 x_m^6 x_r^7 x_t^7$, $x_u^7 x_v^2 x_m^2 x_n^5 x_p^7$, $x_u^3 x_v^2 x_m^4 x_n^7 x_p^7$, $x_u^3 x_v^4 x_m^6 x_n^3 x_p^7$, $x_u^3 x_v^6 x_m^6 x_n^3 x_p^5$,
where (u, v, m, n, p) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$;
- b) $x_1 x_2^6 x_q^6 x_r^3 x_t^7$, $x_1^3 x_2^6 x_q^6 x_r x_t^7$, $x_1^3 x_2^2 x_q^6 x_r^5 x_t^7$, $x_1^3 x_2^6 x_q^2 x_r^5 x_t^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^2 x_q^6 x_r^3 x_t^5$,
 $x_1^7 x_2^6 x_q^2 x_r^3 x_t^5$, where (q, r, t) is a permutation of $(3, 4, 5)$;
- c) $x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3$, $x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^6$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6$,
 $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^3$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^6$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6$,
 $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^5$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^2$,
 $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^5$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^2$;
- d) $\rho_{(k,5)}(Z)$, $1 \leq k \leq 5$, where Z is one of the following monomials:
 $x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^2$, $x_1^6 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^7$, $x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^7$,
 $x_1^6 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^3$, $x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^3$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^7$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^7$,
 $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3$, $x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^6$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6$, $x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^6$.

Lemma 3.3.37. *The following monomials are strictly inadmissible:*

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4^{14} x_5^{15}, & T_2 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4^{15} x_5^{14}, & T_3 &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_4 &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\ T_5 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{14} x_5^{15}, & T_6 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{15} x_5^{14}, & T_7 &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_8 &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\ T_9 &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_{10} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_{11} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^{14} x_5^{15}, & T_{12} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^{14}, \\ T_{13} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & T_{14} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^{15} x_5^2, & T_{15} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & T_{16} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{15} x_4^{14} x_5^2, \\ T_{17} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & T_{18} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^{13} x_4^4 x_5^2, & T_{19} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & T_{20} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^2, \\ T_{21} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_{22} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_{23} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^3 x_5^{14}, & T_{24} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^{14} x_5^3, \\ T_{25} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^{14} x_5^{15}, & T_{26} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^{15} x_5^{14}, & T_{27} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_4^{10} x_5^{15}, & T_{28} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_4^{15} x_5^{10}, \\ T_{29} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & T_{30} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{15} x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, & T_{31} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & T_{32} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, \\ T_{33} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & T_{34} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, & T_{35} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_4^{11} x_5^{14}, & T_{36} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{14} x_4^{14} x_5^{11}, \\ T_{37} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{10} x_5^{15}, & T_{38} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{15} x_5^{10}, & T_{39} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{15} x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & T_{40} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{10}, \\ T_{41} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & T_{42} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{11} x_5^{14}, & T_{43} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^6 x_4^{14} x_5^{11}, & T_{44} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^6 x_5^{11}, \\ T_{45} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & T_{46} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^7 x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & T_{47} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, & T_{48} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{14} x_4^7 x_5^{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\omega(T_s) = \bar{\omega}_{(5)}$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, 48$. We prove the lemma for the monomials T_{35} and T_{42} . The others can be proved by using a similar technique as in Lemmas 3.3.21, 3.3.22 and 3.3.33. Computing from the Cartan formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{35} &= Sq^1 \left(x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^{13} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} \right) + Sq^2 \left(x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{13} \right. \\ &\quad + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{11} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^9 x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{13} \\ &\quad \left. + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^7 x_5^{17} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{17} x_4^7 x_5^{13} + x_1^5 x_2^3 x_3^{17} x_4^9 x_5^{11} \right) \\ &\quad + Sq^4 \left(x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{17} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{11} x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{17} x_5^{11} \right. \\ &\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^9 x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{11} x_4^{13} x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^7 x_5^{17} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{11} x_5^{13} \\ &\quad \left. + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{17} x_4^7 x_5^{13} + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{17} x_4^9 x_5^{11} \right) + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{14} \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(5)})). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $T_{35} > x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}$, hence T_{35} is strictly inadmissible. By a similar computation, we claim that

$$T_{42} = (p_1 + p_2) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega}_{(5)})),$$

where $p_1 = x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4^{13} x_5^{14}$, and $p_2 = x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3.35. Suppose that X is a admissible monomial in \mathcal{P}_5^+ and $\omega(X) = \bar{\omega}_{(5)}$. Then $\omega_1(X) = 3$ and $X = x_a x_b x_c u^2$ with $1 \leq a < b < c \leq 5$ and $u \in (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{22}$. Then, by Theorem 2.2.1, u is admissible and $u \in \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(5)})$.

Let $Z \in B_5^+(\omega_{(5)})$ such that $x_a x_b x_c Z^2 \in (\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{47}$ with $1 \leq a < b < c \leq 5$. Denote by \bar{V} the set of all the monomials as given in Proposition 3.3.35. By a direct computation using Proposition 3.3.15(IV), we see that if $x_a x_b x_c Z^2 \notin \bar{V}$ then there is a monomial X_1 which is given in Lemmas 3.3.36 and 3.3.37 such that $x_a x_b x_c Z^2 = X_1 Z_1^{2t}$ with suitable monomial $Z_1 \in \mathcal{P}_5$ and $t = \max\{q \in \mathbb{Z} : \omega_q(X_1) > 0\}$. Based on Theorem 2.2.1, we deduce that $x_a x_b x_c Z^2$ is inadmissible. Combining this with the above data, one gets $X \in \bar{V}$. This means $\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(5)}) \subseteq \bar{V}$.

Next, we show that the set $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}}$ is linearly independent in the space $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(5)})$. Indeed, suppose there is a linear relation $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{480 \leq m \leq 494} \gamma_m \mathcal{Y}_{47,m} \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}} 0$, where with $\gamma_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, $m = 480, \dots, 494$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{47,m} \in \bar{V}$. By combining Theorem 3.1.3 and Proposition 3.2.1, we explicitly calculate $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S})$ in terms of a given minimal set of \mathcal{A}_2 -generators in \mathcal{P}_4 (modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_4)$). From the relations $\pi_{(k;\mathcal{K})}(\mathcal{S}) \equiv_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}} 0$ with $\ell(\mathcal{K}) \leq 2$, we get $\gamma_m = 0$ for all m .

To prove $[\bar{V}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}}$ is a basis of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(5)})$ we need to show that $[\mathcal{Y}_{47,m}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}} \neq [0]$ for all $\mathcal{Y}_{47,m} \in \bar{V}$. Denote by \bar{V} the set of all the monomials as given in Proposition 3.3.35. By a similar argument as given in the proof of Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.11, we can prove that the set $[\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}) \cup V \cup \bar{V}]$ is linearly independent in $(Q\mathcal{P}_5^+)_{47}$, where V the set of all the admissible monomials as given in Proposition 3.3.34. This implies $[\mathcal{Y}_{47,m}]_{\bar{\omega}_{(5)}} \neq [0]$ for all $\mathcal{Y}_{47,m}$. The proposition is proved. \square

Now, since $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{47} = 560$ and $\dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5^0)_{21} = 460$, by Corollaries 3.3.16, 3.3.24 and Propositions 3.3.26, 3.3.34, 3.3.35, we conclude that $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ has dimension 1894 in degree 47. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Final remarks. Recall that Kameko's map $(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^t-5)}$ is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_5)$ -modules. This implies that $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^t-5} \cong \text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^t-5)} \oplus (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^t-1-5}$. According to Lemma 3.3.2, we get $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^t-5)} \cong Q\mathcal{P}_5(\omega) \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_5(\omega')$, where $\omega = (3, 3, 1, 1)$, and $\omega' = (3, 3, 3)$. A simple computation shows $\mathcal{B}_5^0(13, 2^1 - 5) = \bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(13, 2^1 - 5)) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(\omega) \cup \mathcal{B}_5^0(\omega')$. Combining this with Propositions 3.3.6 and 3.3.7 gives

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega)) \subset \mathcal{B}_5(\omega), \quad \bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega')) \subset \mathcal{B}_5(\omega').$$

Next, we have $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^t-5} \cong (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13,2^2-5}$ for all $t \geq 2$ and

$$\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,13,2^2-5)} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq 5} Q\mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega}_{(k)}).$$

From the above computations,

$$\mathcal{B}_5^0(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(13, 2^2 - 5) = \bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(13, 2^2 - 5)) = \bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})).$$

Then, by Corollary 3.3.24, and Propositions 3.3.26, 3.3.34, 3.3.35, we conclude

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\bar{\omega}_{(1)})) \subset \mathcal{B}_5(\bar{\omega}_{(1)}).$$

If $\bar{\omega}$ is a weight vector of degree $13 \cdot 2^2 - 5$ and $\bar{\omega} \neq \bar{\omega}_{(1)}$, then $\mathcal{B}_4(\bar{\omega}) = \emptyset$. Furthermore, if $t > 2$, then $\mathcal{B}_4(13, 2^t - 5) = \emptyset$.

From the above remarks, Conjecture 3.2.2 also satisfies in case of five variables and generic degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ for t an arbitrary positive integer.

4. An application of Theorem 1.1

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. More precisely, by using our results in Sect.3 and a result in [59], we describe the $\mathbb{Z}/2(GL_d)$ -modules structure of $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ in degree $13 \cdot 2^t - 5$ for $t \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, we explicitly determine all GL_5 -invariants of these spaces.

Before coming to the proof of the theorem, we introduce some notations and homomorphisms. We note that $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$ regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space of dimension d and

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d} \cong \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle \subset \mathcal{P}_d.$$

For $1 \leq t \leq d$, define the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -linear map $\tau_t : (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d}$, which is determined by

$$\tau_t(x_t) = x_{t+1}, \tau_t(x_{t+1}) = x_t, \tau_t(x_m) = x_m \quad (m \neq t, t+1, 1 \leq t \leq d-1),$$

and

$$\tau_d(x_1) = x_1 + x_2, \tau_d(x_m) = x_m \quad (m > 1).$$

Denote by S_d the symmetric group of degree d . Then, S_d is generated by τ_t , $1 \leq t \leq d-1$. For each permutation in S_d , consider corresponding permutation matrix; these form a group of matrices isomorphic to S_d . So, $GL_d = GL(d, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong GL((\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times d})$ is generated by S_d and τ_d . Let $X = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_d^{a_d}$ be a monomial in \mathcal{P}_d . Then, the weight vector $\omega(X)$ is invariant under the permutation of the generators x_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$; hence $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ also has a S_d -module structure. We have a homomorphism $\tau_t : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$ of algebras, which is induced by τ_t . Hence, a class $[u]_\omega \in Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ is an GL_d -invariant if and only if $\tau_t(u) + u = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega))$ for $1 \leq t \leq d$. If $\tau_t(u) + u = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\omega))$ for $1 \leq t \leq d-1$, then $[u]_\omega$ is an S_d -invariant. Note that $\dim((QP_d)_n^{GL_d}) \leq \sum_{\deg(\omega)=n} \dim(QP_d(\omega)^{GL_d})$ (see Sect.2).

Let ω be a weight vector of degree n and let $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_s$ be the monomials in $\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ for $s \geq 1$. We consider a subgroup $L \subseteq GL_d$ and denote by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_s) &= \{\sigma(\mathcal{Y}_j) : \sigma \in L, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \subset \mathcal{P}_d(\omega), \\ [\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_s)]_\omega &= [\mathcal{B}_d(\omega)]_\omega \cap \langle [L(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_s)]_\omega \rangle, \\ \theta(\mathcal{Y}_j) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_d(n) \cap L(\mathcal{Y}_j)} x, \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle [L(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_s)]_\omega \rangle$ is the L -submodule of $Q\mathcal{P}_d(\omega)$ generated by the set

$$\{[\mathcal{Y}_1]_\omega, [\mathcal{Y}_2]_\omega, \dots, [\mathcal{Y}_s]_\omega\}.$$

Now, we have $13 \cdot 2^1 - 5 = 8$, and $13 \cdot 2^2 - 5 = 21$. Recall that the squaring operation $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$ is an epimorphism of GL_5 -modules. So, to prove Theorem 1.2, we need to compute all GL_5 -invariants of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$.

4.1. Computation of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8^{GL_5}$

According to T ın [59], the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$ has the basis $[\{\mathcal{Y}_{8,i} : 1 \leq i \leq 174\}]$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_i := \mathcal{Y}_{8,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 174$, are given in Sect.6.1.

Proposition 4.1.1. *The space $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8^{GL_5}$ is trivial in degree 8.*

We prepare some lemmas for the proof of the proposition. We have

$$(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8 = Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}) \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(2)}) \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}),$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{(1)} = (2, 1, 1)$, $\tilde{\omega}_{(2)} = (2, 3)$, $\tilde{\omega}_{(3)} = (4, 2)$. We see that $\dim Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}) = 105$ with the basis $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 6} [\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_i)]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}}$, where $\mathcal{Y}_1 = x_4 x_5^7$, $\mathcal{Y}_2 = x_4^3 x_5^5$, $\mathcal{Y}_3 = x_3 x_4 x_5^6$, $\mathcal{Y}_4 = x_3 x_4^2 x_5^5$, $\mathcal{Y}_5 = x_3 x_4^3 x_5^4$, and

$\mathcal{Y}_6 = x_2x_3x_4^2x_5^4$. Observe that $\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}$ is the weight vector of the minimal spike $x_1^7x_2$, so $[X]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}} = [X]$ for all $X \in \mathcal{P}_5$. A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_1)] &= \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i] : 1 \leq i \leq 20 \rangle, \\ [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_2)] &= \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i] : 21 \leq i \leq 30 \rangle, \\ [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)] &= \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i] : 31 \leq i \leq 90 \rangle, \\ [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_6)] &= \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i] : 91 \leq i \leq 105 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

are S_5 -submodules of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)})$. Hence, we have a direct summand decomposition of S_5 -modules: $Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)}) = [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_1)] \oplus [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_2)] \oplus [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)] \oplus [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_6)]$.

Lemma 4.1.2. $Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)})^{S_5}$ has dimension 4.

Proof. We prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_j)]^{S_5} &= \langle [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_j)] \rangle, \quad j = 1, 2, 6 \\ [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)]^{S_5} &= \langle [q := \mathcal{Y}_{31} + \mathcal{Y}_{32} + \cdots + \mathcal{Y}_{70}] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we compute $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_j)]^{S_5}$ for $j = 2$ and $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)]^{S_5}$. The others can be proved by a similar technique. Note that $\dim[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_2)] = 10$ with a basis consisting of all the classes represented by the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_i : 21 \leq i \leq 30$. Suppose that $a = \sum_{21 \leq i \leq 30} \gamma_i \mathcal{Y}_i$ with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $[a] \in [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_2)]^{S_5}$. By a direct computation using Theorem 3.1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(a) + a &= \sum X \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5) = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5), \\ \tau_2(a) + a &= \sum Y \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5) = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5), \\ \tau_3(a) + a &= \sum Z \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5) = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5), \\ \tau_4(a) + a &= \sum W \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5) = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum X &= (\gamma_{24} + \gamma_{27})(\mathcal{Y}_{24} + \mathcal{Y}_{27}) + (\gamma_{25} + \gamma_{28})(\mathcal{Y}_{25} + \mathcal{Y}_{28}) + (\gamma_{26} + \gamma_{29})(\mathcal{Y}_{26} + \mathcal{Y}_{29}), \\ \sum Y &= (\gamma_{22} + \gamma_{24})(\mathcal{Y}_{22} + \mathcal{Y}_{24}) + (\gamma_{23} + \gamma_{25})(\mathcal{Y}_{23} + \mathcal{Y}_{25}) + (\gamma_{29} + \gamma_{30})(\mathcal{Y}_{29} + \mathcal{Y}_{30}), \\ \sum Z &= (\gamma_{21} + \gamma_{22})(\mathcal{Y}_{21} + \mathcal{Y}_{22}) + (\gamma_{25} + \gamma_{26})(\mathcal{Y}_{25} + \mathcal{Y}_{26}) + (\gamma_{28} + \gamma_{29})(\mathcal{Y}_{28} + \mathcal{Y}_{29}), \\ \sum W &= (\gamma_{22} + \gamma_{23})(\mathcal{Y}_{22} + \mathcal{Y}_{23}) + (\gamma_{24} + \gamma_{25})(\mathcal{Y}_{24} + \mathcal{Y}_{25}) + (\gamma_{27} + \gamma_{28})(\mathcal{Y}_{27} + \mathcal{Y}_{28}). \end{aligned}$$

These relations imply that $\gamma_i = \gamma_{21}$ for $i = 22, \dots, 30$. Hence, we get $a = \theta(\mathcal{Y}_2)$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$ with $\theta(\mathcal{Y}_2) = \sum_{21 \leq j \leq 30} \mathcal{Y}_j$.

Now, we have the set $\{[\mathcal{Y}_i] : 31 \leq i \leq 90\}$ is a basis of $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)]$. Suppose that $b = \sum_{31 \leq i \leq 90} \gamma_i \mathcal{Y}_i$ with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $[b] \in [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_3, \mathcal{Y}_4, \mathcal{Y}_5)]^{S_5}$. By a similar computation from the relations $\tau_t(b) + b = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$, $t = 1, 2, 3, 4$, one gets $\gamma_i = 0$ for $71 \leq i \leq 90$ and $\gamma_i = \gamma_{31}$, $i = 32, 33, \dots, 70$. This means $b = q$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$ with $q = \sum_{31 \leq i \leq 70} \mathcal{Y}_i$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.1.3. The subspace $(Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))^{GL_5}$ is trivial.

Proof. Using a result in [59], we see that $Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space of dimension 45 with the basis $[\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{130} = x_2x_3x_4^2x_5^3)]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \cup [\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{160} = x_1x_2x_3x_4^2x_5^3)]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$. Furthermore, $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]$ and $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]$ are S_5 -submodules of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})$, where $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} = \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i : 130 \leq i \leq 159]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \rangle$ and $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} = \langle [\mathcal{Y}_i : 160 \leq i \leq 174]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \rangle$. So, we have a direct summand decomposition of S_5 -modules: $Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}) = [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \oplus [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$. The set $[B(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$ is a basis of $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$.

The action of S_5 on $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ induces the one of it on $[B(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$. On the other hand, this action is transitive, hence if $a = \sum_{130 \leq i \leq 159} \gamma_i \mathcal{Y}_i$ with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $[a] \in [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]^{S_5}$, then from the relations $\tau_t(a) + a = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))$, $1 \leq t \leq 4$, we get $\gamma_i = \gamma_{130}$, $\forall i$, $131 \leq i \leq 159$. In other words, $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_j)]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}^{S_5} = \langle [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{130})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \rangle$ with $\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{130}) = \sum_{130 \leq i \leq 159} \mathcal{Y}_i$.

Next, we have $\dim[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} = 15$ with the basis $[B(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}}$. Suppose $b = \sum_{160 \leq i \leq 174} \gamma_j \mathcal{Y}_j$ with $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $[b] \in [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{160})]^{S_5}$. A direct computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(b) + b &\equiv_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \gamma_{169}(\mathcal{Y}_{160} + \mathcal{Y}_{162}) + \gamma_{170}(\mathcal{Y}_{161} + \mathcal{Y}_{163}) + \gamma_{171}(\mathcal{Y}_{164} + \mathcal{Y}_{165}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{166} + \gamma_{172})(\mathcal{Y}_{166} + \mathcal{Y}_{172}) + (\gamma_{167} + \gamma_{173})(\mathcal{Y}_{167} + \mathcal{Y}_{173}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{168} + \gamma_{174})(\mathcal{Y}_{168} + \mathcal{Y}_{174}) \\ \tau_2(b) + b &\equiv_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} (\gamma_{162} + \gamma_{169})(\mathcal{Y}_{162} + \mathcal{Y}_{169}) + (\gamma_{163} + \gamma_{170})(\mathcal{Y}_{163} + \mathcal{Y}_{170}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{164} + \gamma_{166})(\mathcal{Y}_{164} + \mathcal{Y}_{166}) + (\gamma_{165} + \gamma_{167})(\mathcal{Y}_{165} + \mathcal{Y}_{167}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{168} + \gamma_{171})(\mathcal{Y}_{168} + \mathcal{Y}_{171}) + (\gamma_{165} + \gamma_{167})(\mathcal{Y}_{165} + \mathcal{Y}_{167}) \\ &\quad + \gamma_{174}(\mathcal{Y}_{172} + \mathcal{Y}_{173}), \\ \tau_3(b) + b &\equiv_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} (\gamma_{160} + \gamma_{162})(\mathcal{Y}_{160} + \mathcal{Y}_{162}) + (\gamma_{161} + \gamma_{164})(\mathcal{Y}_{161} + \mathcal{Y}_{164}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{163} + \gamma_{165})(\mathcal{Y}_{163} + \mathcal{Y}_{165}) + (\gamma_{167} + \gamma_{168})(\mathcal{Y}_{167} + \mathcal{Y}_{168}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{170} + \gamma_{171})(\mathcal{Y}_{170} + \mathcal{Y}_{171}) + (\gamma_{173} + \gamma_{174})(\mathcal{Y}_{173} + \mathcal{Y}_{174}), \\ \tau_4(b) + b &\equiv_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} (\gamma_{160} + \gamma_{161})(\mathcal{Y}_{160} + \mathcal{Y}_{161}) + (\gamma_{162} + \gamma_{163})(\mathcal{Y}_{162} + \mathcal{Y}_{163}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{164} + \gamma_{165})(\mathcal{Y}_{164} + \mathcal{Y}_{165}) + (\gamma_{166} + \gamma_{167})(\mathcal{Y}_{166} + \mathcal{Y}_{167}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{169} + \gamma_{170})(\mathcal{Y}_{169} + \mathcal{Y}_{170}) + (\gamma_{172} + \gamma_{173})(\mathcal{Y}_{172} + \mathcal{Y}_{173}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the relations $\tau_t(b) + b = 0$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))$, we obtain $\gamma_j = 0$, $\forall j$.

Now, let $[X]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))^{GL_5}$ with $X \in \mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})$, then $[X]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}} \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))^{S_5}$. So, we have $X = \gamma\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{130})$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)}))$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. By a direct computation, we have

$$\tau_5(X) + X = \gamma\mathcal{Y}_{130} + \text{other terms modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})) = 0 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})).$$

This implies $\gamma = 0$. The proposition follows. \square

By a simple computation using the techniques as given in the proof of Lemmas 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, we claim that

Lemma 4.1.4. *The following results are true:*

i) *We have a direct summand decomposition of the S_5 -modules:*

$$Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(2)}) = [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{106})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(2)}} \oplus [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{126})]_{\tilde{\omega}_{(2)}}.$$

ii) *The subspace $(Q\mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(2)}))^{GL_5}$ is trivial.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1.1. Let $[X] \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8^{GL_5}$. Then, from Lemmas 4.1.2 - 4.1.4, we have

$$X = \beta_1\theta(\mathcal{Y}_1) + \beta_2\theta(\mathcal{Y}_2) + \beta_3q + \beta_4\theta(\mathcal{Y}_3) \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5),$$

with $\beta_t \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, $1 \leq t \leq 4$. By using Theorem 3.1.3 and computing $\tau_5(X) + X$ in terms of the admissible monomials (modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$), we conclude

$$\tau_5(X) + X \equiv \beta_1\mathcal{Y}_7 + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)\mathcal{Y}_{16} + \beta_3\mathcal{Y}_{33} + \beta_4(\mathcal{Y}_{91} + \mathcal{Y}_{92} + \mathcal{Y}_{93}) + \text{other terms} \equiv 0.$$

This relation shows $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$. The proposition is proved. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1.1, we get the following.

Corollary 4.1.5. *The fifth transfer*

$$Tr_5 : \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_8(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,5+8}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is a trivial isomorphism.

4.2. Computation of $(\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,21)})^{S_5}$

From the results in Sect.3.3.1, we see that $\dim \text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,21)} = 666$ with the basis $\{\mathcal{Y}_{21,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 666\}$. Here, the admissible monomials $\mathcal{Y}_t := \mathcal{Y}_{21,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq 666$, as given in Sect.6.6 and Sect.6.7. Recall that $(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,21)}$ is an epimorphism of GL_5 -modules. Combining this and the results in Subsection 4.1, we get $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}^{GL_5} \subseteq (\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,21)})^{GL_5}$. By Lemma 3.3.2, we have a direct summand decomposition of the S_5 -modules:

$$\text{Ker}(\widetilde{Sq_*^0})_{(5,21)} = Q\mathcal{P}_5(3, 3, 1, 1) \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_5(3, 3, 3).$$

For $\omega = (3, 3, 1, 1)$, according to the results in Sect.3.3.1, we get $Q\mathcal{P}_5(\omega) = Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\omega) \oplus Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega)$ with $\dim Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\omega) = 340$ and $\dim Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega) = 196$. Note that $\mathcal{L} = x_1^{15}x_2^3x_3^3$ is the minimal spike monomial in $(\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$ and $\omega(\mathcal{L}) = \omega$. So, $[x]_\omega = [x]$ for any $x \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$. By using the results in Sect.3.3.1, we see that there is a direct summand decomposition of the S_5 -modules:

$$Q\mathcal{P}_5^0(\omega) = \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_1)] \rangle \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{31})] \rangle \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{61})] \rangle \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{121})] \rangle \oplus \langle \mathbb{V}_1 \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_1) &= \{\mathcal{Y}_t : 1 \leq t \leq 30\}, & \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{31}) &= \{\mathcal{Y}_t : 31 \leq t \leq 60\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{61}) &= \{\mathcal{Y}_t : 61 \leq t \leq 120\}, & \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{121}) &= \{\mathcal{Y}_t : 121 \leq t \leq 150\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_1 &= \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{151}, \mathcal{Y}_{181}, \mathcal{Y}_{201}, \mathcal{Y}_{241}, \mathcal{Y}_{256}, \mathcal{Y}_{266}, \mathcal{Y}_{286}, \mathcal{Y}_{296}, \mathcal{Y}_{301}, \mathcal{Y}_{316}) \\ &= \{\mathcal{Y}_t : 151 \leq t \leq 340\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2.1. *The following results are true:*

- $\langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_j)] \rangle^{S_5} = \langle [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_j)] \rangle$ for $j = 1, 31, 61, 121$.
- The subspace $\langle [S_5(\mathbb{V}_1)] \rangle^{S_5}$ is trivial.

Outline of the proof. For $j = 1$, let $[f_1] \in \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_1)] \rangle^{S_5}$. Then, we have

$$\tau_m(f_1) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_1)} \beta_X \cdot X \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5), \quad 0 < m < 5$$

with $f_1 = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_1)} \beta_X \cdot X$ and $\beta_X \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. By a direct computation, we can see that the action of the symmetric group S_5 on $Q\mathcal{P}_5$ induces the one of it on the set $[\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_j)]$ and this action is transitive. So, we get $\beta_X = \beta_{X'} = \beta \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for all $X, X' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_j)$. This means $f_1 = \theta(\mathcal{Y}_1)$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$. For $j = 31, 61, 121$, we determine $\tau_m(f_j) + f_j$ in terms of \mathcal{Y}_j . Then, by a simple computation using the relations $\tau_m(f_j) = f_j$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$, we conclude $f_j = \theta(\mathcal{Y}_j)$ modulo $(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$.

Next, we have $\dim \langle \mathbb{V}_1 \rangle = 190$ with the basis $\{\mathcal{Y}_t : 151 \leq t \leq 340\}$. Assume that $g = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{V}_1} \gamma_u \cdot u$ with $\gamma_u \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $[g] \in \langle [\mathbb{V}_1] \rangle^{S_5}$. By using Theorem 3.1.3 and a similar computation as given in the proof of Lemmas 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, we obtain $\gamma_u = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{V}_1$. This implies that g is \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.2.2. $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega)^{S_5} = \langle [p := \mathcal{Y}_{411} + \mathcal{Y}_{412} + \cdots + \mathcal{Y}_{419}] \rangle$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.3.6, we see that the sets $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{401})] = \langle [\mathcal{Y}_t] : 401 \leq t \leq 410 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{V}_2 = \langle [\mathcal{Y}_t] : 411 \leq t \leq 596 \rangle$, are S_5 -submodules of $Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega)$. Hence, we have a direct summand decomposition of the S_5 -modules:

$$Q\mathcal{P}_5^+(\omega) = [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{401})] \oplus \mathbb{V}_2.$$

The set $[\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{401})]$ is a basis of $[S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{401})]$. Assume that Z is a polynomial such that $[Z] \in [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{401})]^{S_5}$ and $Z = \sum_{401 \leq t \leq 410} \ell_t \mathcal{Y}_t$ with $\ell_t \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, we explicitly compute $\tau_j(Z) + Z$ in the

terms of the admissible monomials \mathcal{Y}_t , $401 \leq t \leq 410$. By a direct computation using Theorem 3.1.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1(Z) + Z &\equiv \ell_{401}\mathcal{Y}_{404} + \ell_{402}\mathcal{Y}_{405} + \ell_{403}\mathcal{Y}_{407} + (\ell_{406} + \ell_{409})(\mathcal{Y}_{406} + \mathcal{Y}_{409}) \\
&\quad + (\ell_{408} + \ell_{410})(\mathcal{Y}_{408} + \mathcal{Y}_{410}), \\
\tau_2(Z) + Z &\equiv (\ell_{401} + \ell_{404})(\mathcal{Y}_{401} + \mathcal{Y}_{404}) + (\ell_{402} + \ell_{405})(\mathcal{Y}_{402} + \mathcal{Y}_{405}) \\
&\quad + (\ell_{403} + \ell_{406})(\mathcal{Y}_{403} + \mathcal{Y}_{406}) + (\ell_{407} + \ell_{408})(\mathcal{Y}_{407} + \mathcal{Y}_{408}) + \ell_{409}\mathcal{Y}_{410}, \\
\tau_3(Z) + Z &\equiv \ell_{401}\mathcal{Y}_{404} + (\ell_{402} + \ell_{403})(\mathcal{Y}_{402} + \mathcal{Y}_{403}) + (\ell_{405} + \ell_{407})(\mathcal{Y}_{405} + \mathcal{Y}_{407}), \\
&\quad + (\ell_{406} + \ell_{408})(\mathcal{Y}_{406} + \mathcal{Y}_{408}) + (\ell_{409} + \ell_{410})(\mathcal{Y}_{409} + \mathcal{Y}_{410}), \\
\tau_4(Z) + Z &\equiv (\ell_{401} + \ell_{402})(\mathcal{Y}_{401} + \mathcal{Y}_{402}) + \ell_{403}\mathcal{Y}_{407} + (\ell_{404} + \ell_{405})(\mathcal{Y}_{404} + \mathcal{Y}_{405}) \\
&\quad + \ell_{406}\mathcal{Y}_{408} + \ell_{409}\mathcal{Y}_{410}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the relations $\tau_j(Z) = Z \pmod{(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, one gets $\ell_t = 0$, $\forall t$. This implies that Z is \mathcal{A}_2 -decomposable.

Note that $\dim \mathbb{V}_2 = 186$ with the basis $\{\mathcal{Y}_j : 411 \leq j \leq 596\}$. Then if the polynomial $g = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{V}_2} \sigma_u \cdot u$, $\sigma_u \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that $[g] \in \mathbb{V}_2^{S_5}$, then by a similar argument as given above, we obtain $g = p \pmod{(\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 4.2.3. *For $\bar{\omega} = (3, 3, 3)$, we have $Q \mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})^{GL_5} = \langle [q_2]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}
q_2 &= \mathcal{Y}_{652} + \mathcal{Y}_{653} + \mathcal{Y}_{654} + \mathcal{Y}_{656} + \mathcal{Y}_{657} + \mathcal{Y}_{658} + \sum_{661 \leq t \leq 666} \mathcal{Y}_t \\
&= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^6 \\
&\quad + x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^4 + x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^4 + x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the results in Sect.3.3, we have

$$\dim Q \mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega}) = \dim Q \mathcal{P}_5^0(\bar{\omega}) + \dim Q \mathcal{P}_5^+(\bar{\omega}) = 60 + 70 = 130.$$

Consider the following monomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{341} &= x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{351} &= x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{381} &= x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{597} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{607} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{617} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{622} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{642} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{647} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{652} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{659} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{660} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma can be easily proved by a direct computation.

Lemma 4.2.4.

i) The following subspaces are S_5 -submodules of $Q \mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})$:

$$\langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_a)]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle, \quad a = 341, 351, 381, 597, \mathbb{V}_3 := \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{607}, \mathcal{Y}_{617}, \mathcal{Y}_{622}, \mathcal{Y}_{642}, \mathcal{Y}_{647})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle,$$

$$\mathbb{V}_4 := \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{652}, \mathcal{Y}_{659}, \mathcal{Y}_{660})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle.$$

ii) We have a direct summand decomposition of the S_5 -modules:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q \mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega}) &= \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{341})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{351})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{381})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle \\
&\quad \oplus \langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_{597})]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{V}_3 \oplus \mathbb{V}_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2.5. *We have the following results:*

- i) $\langle [S_5(\mathcal{Y}_a)]_{\bar{\omega}}^{S_5} = \langle [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_a)]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle$, for $a = 341, 351, 381, 597$.
- ii) $\langle [S_5(\mathbb{V}_3)]_{\bar{\omega}}^{S_5} = \langle [q_1 := \mathcal{Y}_{610} + \mathcal{Y}_{611} + \mathcal{Y}_{613} + \mathcal{Y}_{614} + \mathcal{Y}_{616} + \sum_{647 \leq t \leq 651} \mathcal{Y}_t]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle$.
- iii) $\langle [S_5(\mathbb{V}_4)]_{\bar{\omega}}^{S_5} = \langle [q_2 := \mathcal{Y}_{652} + \mathcal{Y}_{653} + \mathcal{Y}_{654} + \mathcal{Y}_{656} + \mathcal{Y}_{657} + \mathcal{Y}_{658} + \sum_{661 \leq t \leq 666} \mathcal{Y}_t]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle$,

The proof of the lemma is straightforward.

Proof of Proposition 4.2.3. By Lemmas 4.2.4 and 4.2.5, we get

$$Q\mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})^{S_5} = \langle [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{341})]_{\bar{\omega}}, [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{351})]_{\bar{\omega}}, [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{381})]_{\bar{\omega}}, [\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{597})]_{\bar{\omega}}, [q_1]_{\bar{\omega}}, [q_2]_{\bar{\omega}} \rangle.$$

Let X be a polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})$ such that $[X]_{\bar{\omega}} \in Q\mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})^{GL_5}$. Then, we have

$$X = v_1\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{341}) + v_2\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{351}) + v_3\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{381}) + v_4\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{597}) + v_5q_1 + v_6q_2 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega})),$$

with $v_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$. We explicitly compute $\tau_5(X)$ in terms of the admissible monomials \mathcal{Y}_t with $t = 341, 342, \dots, 400, 597, 598, \dots, 666$. By a direct computation, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_5(X) + X &= (v_1 + v_2)\mathcal{Y}_{342} + (v_2 + v_4)\mathcal{Y}_{351} + v_2\mathcal{Y}_{354} + v_3\mathcal{Y}_{383} \\ &\quad + v_5\mathcal{Y}_{650} + \text{other terms modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5 + \mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[X]_{\bar{\omega}} \in Q\mathcal{P}_5(\bar{\omega})^{GL_5}$, $v_j = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$. The proposition is proved. \square

Combining Lemmas 4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 4.2.5 gives

Corollary 4.2.6. *There exist exactly 11 non-zero classes in the kernel of $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}$ invariant under the action of S_5 .*

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Suppose that $[T] \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}^{GL_5}$ with T is a polynomial in $(\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$. From Proposition 4.2.3, we have $T = T^* + \zeta_6q_2 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$ with $T^* \in \mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega})$ and $\zeta_6 \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. By a simple computation, we see that $[q_2] \in (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}^{S_5}$. This implies that $[T^*]$ is an S_5 -invariant. On the other hand, $[\mathcal{P}_5^-(\bar{\omega})] = Q\mathcal{P}_5(\omega)$. Hence, by Lemmas 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, we obtain

$$T^* = \zeta_1\theta(\mathcal{Y}_1) + \zeta_2\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{31}) + \zeta_3\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{61}) + \zeta_4\theta(\mathcal{Y}_{121}) + \zeta_5p \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5),$$

where $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. Using Theorem 1.1 and computing $\tau_5(T) + T$ in terms of the admissible monomials \mathcal{Y}_t , $1 \leq t \leq 666$, we conclude

$$\tau_5(T) + T \equiv (\zeta_1 + \zeta_3)\mathcal{Y}_4 + (\zeta_2 + \zeta_4)\mathcal{Y}_{35} + \zeta_3\mathcal{Y}_{61} + (\zeta_3 + \zeta_4)\mathcal{Y}_{107} + \zeta_5\mathcal{Y}_{153} + \text{other terms}.$$

By the relation $\tau_5(T) = T \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5)$, one gets $\zeta_i = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. This shows that

$$T = \zeta_6q_2 \text{ modulo } (\mathcal{A}_2^+ \mathcal{P}_5).$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Obviously, $\lambda_3 \in \Lambda^{1,3}$ and $\bar{f}_0 = \lambda_3\lambda_5\lambda_6\lambda_4 + \lambda_3^2\lambda_7\lambda_5 + \lambda_7\lambda_5\lambda_2\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_7\lambda_5\lambda_4\lambda_2 \in \Lambda^{4,18}$ are the cycles in the lambda algebra Λ . By Lin [20], we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,26}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \langle h_2f_0 \rangle$, where

$$h_2 = [\lambda_3] \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{1,4}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2), \text{ and } f_0 = [\bar{f}_0] \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{4,22}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Notice that $h_2f_0 = h_1g_1$ with $h_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{1,2}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $g_1 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{4,24}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. By direct computations, we find that the following element is \mathcal{A}_2^+ -annihilated in $H_{21}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$:

$$Z = \left\{ \begin{aligned} & a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(9)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(8)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(8)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(7)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(6)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(6)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(6)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(5)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(5)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(5)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(5)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(5)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(4)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(11)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(9)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(8)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(8)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(7)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(6)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(6)} a_5^{(3)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(6)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(5)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(4)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(4)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(11)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(12)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(7)} a_3^{(8)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(11)} a_3^{(4)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(13)} a_3^{(2)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(14)} a_3^{(1)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(12)} a_3^{(3)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(8)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(4)} a_3^{(11)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(2)} a_3^{(13)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(1)} a_3^{(14)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(5)} a_5^{(3)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(3)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(3)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(3)} a_4^{(7)} a_5^{(3)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(7)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(6)} a_3^{(9)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(9)} a_3^{(6)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(10)} a_3^{(5)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(2)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(10)} a_4^{(2)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(13)} a_3^{(3)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)} \\ & + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(5)} a_3^{(11)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)} + a_1^{(3)} a_2^{(9)} a_3^{(7)} a_4^{(1)} a_5^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \right. .$$

According to the proof of Theorem 1.2, $\{[q_2]\}$ is a basis of $(Q\mathcal{P}_5)^{GL_5}$ in degree $13.2^1 - 5$. Obviously, $\langle Z, q_2 \rangle = 1$. Then, since $Z \in P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^1-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$, $[Z]$ is dual to $[q_2]$. Using the presentation of Tr_5 over the algebra Λ and the differential (1.3) in Sect.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_5(Z) &= \lambda_3^2 \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3^3 \lambda_7 \lambda_5 + \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_2 \lambda_3^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \lambda_5 \lambda_4 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2 \lambda_5 \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \\ &= \lambda_3 \bar{f}_0 + \partial(\lambda_3^2 \lambda_5 \lambda_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Z \in P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^1-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$, $\psi_5(Z)$ is a cycle in $\Lambda^{5,21}$. This implies that h_2f_0 is in the image of Tr_5 . Further, by Theorem 1.2, $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^1-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_2}^{5,5+(13.2^1-5)}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ that have the same dimensions are 1. Hence, Tr_5 is an isomorphism when acting on the space $\mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes_{GL_5} P_{\mathcal{A}_2} H_{13.2^1-5}(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\times 5})$. Theorem 1.3 is proved.

6. Appendix

In this section, we describe all the admissible monomials in $\mathcal{B}_5(n)$ for $n \in \{8, 21, 22, 47\}$. These monomials are mentioned in Sects.3 and 4.

6.1. \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5 in degree 8

From a result in [59], we deduce that $\mathcal{B}_5(8) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \mathcal{B}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(j)})$, where

$$\tilde{\omega}_{(1)} = (2, 1, 1), \quad \tilde{\omega}_{(2)} = (2, 3), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}_{(3)} = (4, 2).$$

For $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 5$, we denote

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, 8) := \left\{ x_k^{2^m-1} \rho_{(k,5)}(u) \in (\mathcal{P}_5)_8 : u \in \mathcal{B}_4(9-2^m), \alpha(13-2^m) \leq 4 \right\}.$$

As well known (see [25]), $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, 8) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_5(8)$ for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq 5$. We set

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}) := \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, 8) \cap \mathcal{P}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(j)}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 5.$$

Then, by a simple computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\omega}_{(1)})) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \tilde{\omega}_{(1)}) \right) \right| &= 105, & \left| \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\omega}_{(2)})) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \tilde{\omega}_{(2)}) \right) \right| &= 24, \\ \left| \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\omega}_{(3)})) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \tilde{\omega}_{(3)}) \right) \right| &= 45. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{B}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(j)}) = \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\omega}_{(j)})) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(k, \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}) \right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Note that $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |\mathcal{B}_5(\tilde{\omega}_{(j)})| = \dim(Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{13, 2^0-5} = 105 + 24 + 45 = 174$. This implies that Conjecture 3.2.2 is true for $d = 5$ and in degree 8.

Now, we have $\mathcal{B}_5(8) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{8,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 174\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{8,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 174$, are determined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{Y}_{8,1} = x_4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,2} = x_4^7 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,3} = x_3 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,4} = x_3 x_4^7, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,5} = x_3^7 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,6} = x_3^7 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,7} = x_2 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,8} = x_2 x_4^7, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,9} = x_2 x_3^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,10} = x_2^7 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,11} = x_2^7 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,12} = x_2^7 x_3, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,13} = x_1 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,14} = x_1 x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,15} = x_1 x_3^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,16} = x_1 x_2^7, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,17} = x_1^7 x_2, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,18} = x_1^7 x_3, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,19} = x_1^7 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,20} = x_1^7 x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,21} = x_4^3 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,22} = x_3^3 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,23} = x_3^3 x_4^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,24} = x_2^3 x_5^5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,25} = x_2^3 x_4^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,26} = x_2^3 x_3^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,27} = x_1^3 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,28} = x_1^3 x_4^5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,29} = x_1^3 x_3^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,30} = x_1^3 x_2^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,31} = x_3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,32} = x_3 x_4^6 x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,33} = x_2 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,34} = x_2 x_4^6 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,35} = x_2 x_3 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,36} = x_2 x_3 x_4^6, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,37} = x_2 x_3^6 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,38} = x_2 x_3^6 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,39} = x_1 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,40} = x_1 x_3 x_5^6, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,41} = x_1 x_3 x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,42} = x_1 x_2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,43} = x_1 x_2 x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,44} = x_1 x_2 x_3^6, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,45} = x_1 x_4^6 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,46} = x_1 x_3^6 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,47} = x_1 x_3^6 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,48} = x_1 x_2^6 x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,49} = x_1 x_2^6 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,50} = x_1 x_2^6 x_3, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,51} = x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,52} = x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,53} = x_2^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,54} = x_2^3 x_3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,55} = x_2^3 x_3 x_4^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,56} = x_2^3 x_4^4 x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,57} = x_2^3 x_3^4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,58} = x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,59} = x_1^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,60} = x_1^3 x_3 x_5^4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,61} = x_1^3 x_3 x_4^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,62} = x_1^3 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,63} = x_1^3 x_3^4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,64} = x_1^3 x_3^4 x_4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,65} = x_1^3 x_2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,66} = x_1^3 x_2 x_4^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,67} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,68} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,69} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,70} = x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,71} = x_3 x_4^2 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,72} = x_2 x_4^2 x_5^5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,73} = x_2 x_3^2 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,74} = x_2 x_3^2 x_4^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,75} = x_1 x_4^2 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,76} = x_1 x_3^2 x_5^5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,77} = x_1 x_3^2 x_4^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,78} = x_1 x_2^2 x_5^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,79} = x_1 x_2^2 x_4^5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,80} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,81} = x_3 x_4^3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,82} = x_2 x_4^3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,83} = x_2 x_3^3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,84} = x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,85} = x_1 x_4^3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,86} = x_1 x_3^3 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,87} = x_1 x_3^3 x_4^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,88} = x_1 x_2^3 x_5^4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,89} = x_1 x_2^3 x_4^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,90} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,91} = x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,92} = x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{8,93} = x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,94} = x_1 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,95} = x_1 x_2 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{8,96} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_5^4, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{8,97} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4, & \mathcal{V}_{8,98} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{V}_{8,99} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,100} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,101} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,102} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,103} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,104} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^3 x_5, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,105} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4, & \mathcal{V}_{8,106} &= x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,107} &= x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,108} &= x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,109} &= x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,110} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,111} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,112} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,113} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,114} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,115} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,116} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,117} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,118} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,119} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,120} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,121} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,122} &= x_1^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,123} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,124} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,125} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,126} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,127} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,128} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,129} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,130} &= x_2 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,131} &= x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,132} &= x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,133} &= x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,134} &= x_2^3 x_3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,135} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,136} &= x_1 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,137} &= x_1 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,138} &= x_1 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,139} &= x_1 x_2 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,140} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,141} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,142} &= x_1 x_2 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,143} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_4^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,144} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,145} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,146} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,147} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4, & \mathcal{V}_{8,148} &= x_1^3 x_3 x_4 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,149} &= x_1^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,150} &= x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,151} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,152} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_4^3 x_5, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,153} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,154} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,155} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,156} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,157} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,158} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,159} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4, & \mathcal{V}_{8,160} &= x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,161} &= x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,162} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,163} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,164} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,165} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,166} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{8,167} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,168} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,169} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{8,170} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^3 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,171} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,172} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{8,173} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{V}_{8,174} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5.
\end{aligned}$$

6.2. A_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 21

Using the results in Sect.3.3.1, we have $\mathcal{B}_5^0(21) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(3, 3, 1, 1) \cup \mathcal{B}_5^0(3, 3, 3)$, where $\mathcal{B}_5^0(3, 3, 1, 1)$ is the set of 340 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{V}_{21,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq 340$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{21,1} &= x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,2} &= x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,3} &= x_3^{15} x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,4} &= x_2^3 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,5} &= x_2^3 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,6} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,7} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,8} &= x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,9} &= x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,10} &= x_2^{15} x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,11} &= x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,12} &= x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,13} &= x_1^3 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,14} &= x_1^3 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,15} &= x_1^3 x_3^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,16} &= x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,17} &= x_1^3 x_3^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,18} &= x_1^3 x_3^{15} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,19} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,20} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,21} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,22} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,23} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,24} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,25} &= x_1^{15} x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,26} &= x_1^{15} x_3^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,27} &= x_1^{15} x_3^3 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,28} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,29} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,30} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,31} &= x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,32} &= x_3^7 x_4^3 x_5^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,33} &= x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,34} &= x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,35} &= x_2^3 x_3^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,36} &= x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,37} &= x_2^7 x_3^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,38} &= x_2^7 x_4^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,39} &= x_2^7 x_3^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,40} &= x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,41} &= x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,42} &= x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,43} &= x_1^3 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,44} &= x_1^3 x_7^3 x_5^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,45} &= x_1^3 x_3^7 x_4^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,46} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,47} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_4^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,48} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,49} &= x_1^7 x_4^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,50} &= x_1^7 x_4^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,51} &= x_1^7 x_3^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,52} &= x_1^7 x_3^3 x_4^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,53} &= x_1^7 x_3^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,54} &= x_1^7 x_3^{11} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,55} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,56} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_4^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,57} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,58} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,59} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,60} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,61} &= x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,62} &= x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,63} &= x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,64} &= x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,65} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,66} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,67} &= x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,68} &= x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,69} &= x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,70} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,71} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,72} &= x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,73} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,74} &= x_1 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,75} &= x_1 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,76} &= x_1 x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,77} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,78} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,79} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,80} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_4^{15} x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,81} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,82} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,83} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,84} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,85} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,86} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,87} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,88} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,89} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,90} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,91} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,92} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,93} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,94} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,95} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,96} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,97} &= x_1^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,98} &= x_1^3 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,99} &= x_1^3 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,100} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,101} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,102} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,103} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,104} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_5^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{21,305} &= x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,306} &= x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,307} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_4^5 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,308} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,309} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,310} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,311} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,312} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,313} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,314} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,315} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,316} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,317} &= x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,318} &= x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,319} &= x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,320} &= x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,321} &= x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,322} &= x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,323} &= x_1^3 x_3^7 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,324} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_4^3 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,325} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,326} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,327} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,328} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,329} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,330} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,331} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,332} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,333} &= x_1^7 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,334} &= x_1^7 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,335} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,336} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_4^3 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,337} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,338} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,339} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,340} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4^3,
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^0(3, 3, 3)$ is the set of 60 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{V}_{21,t}$, $341 \leq t \leq 400$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{21,341} &= x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,342} &= x_2^7 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,343} &= x_2^7 x_3^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,344} &= x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,345} &= x_1^7 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,346} &= x_1^7 x_3^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,347} &= x_1^7 x_3^7 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,348} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,349} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,350} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,351} &= x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,352} &= x_2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,353} &= x_2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,354} &= x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,355} &= x_2^7 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,356} &= x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,357} &= x_1 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,358} &= x_1 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,359} &= x_1 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,360} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_4^7 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,361} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,362} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,363} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,364} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_4^7 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,365} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,366} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,367} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,368} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,369} &= x_1^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,370} &= x_1^7 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,371} &= x_1^7 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,372} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_4^6 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,373} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,374} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,375} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,376} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,377} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,378} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,379} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,380} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,381} &= x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,382} &= x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,383} &= x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,384} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,385} &= x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,386} &= x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,387} &= x_1^3 x_3^7 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,388} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,389} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,390} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,391} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^7, & \mathcal{V}_{21,392} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,393} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,394} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,395} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,396} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4^6, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,397} &= x_1^7 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,398} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,399} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{V}_{21,400} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6.
\end{aligned}$$

6.3. A_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 21

Note that Kameko's squaring operation $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_8$ is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2GL_5$ -modules. Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_5(21) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(21) \cup \varphi(\mathcal{B}_5(8)) \cup \left(\mathcal{B}_5^+(21) \cap \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_5^0(21) = \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(21))$, $|\mathcal{B}_5^0(21)| = 400$, $|\varphi(\mathcal{B}_5(8))| = 174$ with

$$\varphi : \mathcal{P}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_5, \quad \varphi(Z) = X_{(\emptyset,5)} Z^2, \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{P}_5.$$

Based on the results in Sect.3.3.1, we see that

$$\mathcal{B}_5^+(21) \cap \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,21)}) = \mathcal{B}_5^+(3, 3, 1, 1) \cup \mathcal{B}_5^+(3, 3, 3),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_5^+(3, 3, 1, 1)$ is the set of 196 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{V}_{21,t}$, $401 \leq t \leq 596$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{21,401} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,402} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,403} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,404} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,405} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,406} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,407} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,408} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,409} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,410} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,411} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,412} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{10} x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,413} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,414} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,415} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,416} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,417} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,418} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,419} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,420} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,421} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,422} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,423} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,424} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,425} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,426} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,427} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{14} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,428} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4 x_5^3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{21,429} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,430} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,431} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,432} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,433} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,434} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,435} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,436} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,437} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,438} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,439} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{11} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,440} &= x_1 x_2 x_2^2 x_3^6 x_4^5^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,441} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,442} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,443} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,444} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,445} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,446} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,447} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,448} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{10} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,449} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,450} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,451} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,452} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,453} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,454} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,455} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,456} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,457} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,458} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,459} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,460} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,461} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,462} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,463} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,464} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,465} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,466} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,467} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,468} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,469} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,470} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,471} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,472} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,473} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,474} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,475} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,476} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{11}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,477} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,478} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,479} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,480} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,481} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,482} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,483} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,484} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,485} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,486} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,487} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^9 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,488} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^9 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,489} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^7 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,490} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,491} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,492} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,493} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,494} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,495} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,496} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,497} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,498} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,499} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,500} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,501} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,502} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,503} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,504} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,505} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,506} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,507} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,508} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,509} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,510} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,511} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,512} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,513} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,514} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,515} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,516} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,517} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,518} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,519} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,520} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,521} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,522} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,523} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,524} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,525} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,526} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,527} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,528} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,529} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,530} &= x_1^3 x_2^{12} x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,531} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,532} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,533} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,534} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,535} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,536} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{11} x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,537} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,538} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,539} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,540} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,541} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,542} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,543} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,544} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^9 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,545} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,546} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,547} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,548} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,549} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,550} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,551} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,552} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,553} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,554} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,555} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,556} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,557} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,558} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,559} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,560} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,561} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,562} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,563} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,564} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,565} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,566} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,567} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,568} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^9 x_4^3 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,569} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{V}_{21,570} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,571} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,572} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,573} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,574} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,575} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,576} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,577} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,578} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{V}_{21,579} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,580} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,581} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,582} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^9 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,583} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,584} &= x_1^7 x_2^9 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,585} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,586} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^8 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,587} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^8, & \mathcal{V}_{21,588} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,589} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,590} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,591} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,592} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{V}_{21,593} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,594} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^8 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,595} &= x_1^7 x_2^8 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{V}_{21,596} &= x_1^7 x_2^8 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(3, 3, 3)$ is the set of 70 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_{21,t}$, $597 \leq t \leq 666$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{21,597} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,598} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,599} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,600} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,601} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,602} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,603} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,604} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,605} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,606} &= x_1^7 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,607} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,608} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,609} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,610} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,611} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,612} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,613} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,614} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,615} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,616} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,617} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,618} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,619} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,620} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,621} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,622} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,623} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,624} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,625} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,626} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,627} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,628} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,629} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,630} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,631} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,632} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,633} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,634} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,635} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,636} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,637} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,638} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,639} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,640} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,641} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,642} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,643} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,644} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,645} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,646} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,647} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,648} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,649} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,650} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,651} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,652} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,653} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,654} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,655} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,656} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,657} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,658} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,659} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,660} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,661} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,662} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,663} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,664} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{21,665} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{21,666} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4.
\end{aligned}$$

6.4. A_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 22

According to the results in Sect.3.3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_5^0(22) = \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(1)})) \cup \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(4)})) \cup \overline{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(5)})) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 460\},$$

where $\omega_{(1)} = (2, 2, 2, 1)$, $\omega_{(4)} = (4, 3, 1, 1)$, $\omega_{(5)} = (4, 3, 3)$, and the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 460$, are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{22,1} &= x_4^7 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,2} &= x_4^{15} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,3} &= x_3^7 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,4} &= x_3^7 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,5} &= x_3^{15} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,6} &= x_3^{15} x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,7} &= x_2^7 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,8} &= x_2^7 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,9} &= x_2^7 x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,10} &= x_2^{15} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,11} &= x_2^{15} x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,12} &= x_2^{15} x_3^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,13} &= x_1^7 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,14} &= x_1^7 x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,15} &= x_1^7 x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,16} &= x_1^7 x_2^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,17} &= x_1^{15} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,18} &= x_1^{15} x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,19} &= x_1^{15} x_3^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,20} &= x_1^{15} x_2^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,21} &= x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,22} &= x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,23} &= x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,24} &= x_2 x_4^6 x_5^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,25} &= x_2 x_4^{15} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,26} &= x_2 x_3^6 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,27} &= x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,28} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,29} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,30} &= x_2^{15} x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,31} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,32} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,33} &= x_1 x_4^6 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,34} &= x_1 x_4^{15} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,35} &= x_1 x_3^6 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,36} &= x_1 x_3^6 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,37} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,38} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,39} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,40} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_4^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,41} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,42} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,43} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,44} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,45} &= x_1^{15} x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,46} &= x_1^{15} x_3 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,47} &= x_1^{15} x_3 x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,48} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,49} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_4^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,50} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,51} &= x_3 x_4^7 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,52} &= x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,53} &= x_3^7 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,54} &= x_2 x_4^7 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,55} &= x_2 x_4^{14} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,56} &= x_2 x_3^7 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,57} &= x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,58} &= x_2 x_3^{14} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,59} &= x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,60} &= x_2^7 x_4 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,61} &= x_2^7 x_3 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,62} &= x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,63} &= x_1 x_4^7 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,64} &= x_1 x_4^{14} x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,65} &= x_1 x_7 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,66} &= x_1 x_7 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,67} &= x_1 x_3^{14} x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,68} &= x_1 x_3^{14} x_4^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,69} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,70} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,71} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,72} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_5^7, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,73} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_4^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,74} &= x_1 x_2^{14} x_3^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,75} &= x_1^7 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,76} &= x_1^7 x_3 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,77} &= x_1^7 x_3 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,78} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,79} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_4^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,80} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{14},
\end{aligned}$$

6.5. \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 22

By Propositions 3.3.11 and 3.3.15, we have $\mathcal{B}_5^+(22) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(j)})$. Here $\omega_{(1)} = (2, 2, 2, 1)$, $\omega_{(2)} = (2, 4, 1, 1)$, $\omega_{(3)} = (2, 4, 3)$, $\omega_{(4)} = (4, 3, 1, 1)$, $\omega_{(5)} = (4, 3, 3)$.

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(1)}) = \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(1)})) \cup \mathcal{B}^+(5, \omega_{(1)}) \cup \mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 461 \leq t \leq 510\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 461 \leq t \leq 510$, are listed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{Y}_{22,461} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,462} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,463} = x_1 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,464} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,465} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,466} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,467} = x_1 x_2^{14} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,468} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,469} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,470} = x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,471} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,472} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,473} = x_1 x_2^5 x_3^{12} x_4 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,474} = x_1 x_2^6 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,475} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,476} = x_1 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,477} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,478} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,479} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,480} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,481} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,482} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,483} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^7, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,484} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^4 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,485} = x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,486} = x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,487} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,488} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,489} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,490} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_6^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,491} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,492} = x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,493} = x_1 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{10} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,494} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,495} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,496} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,497} = x_1 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,498} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,499} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,500} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,501} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,502} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,503} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,504} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^4, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,505} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,506} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,507} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,508} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,509} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^4, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,510} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^8 x_4^4 x_5^4, & &
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(2)}) = \mathcal{B}^+(5, \omega_{(2)}) \cup \mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 511 \leq t \leq 535\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 511 \leq t \leq 535$, are listed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{Y}_{22,511} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,512} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,513} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,514} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,515} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,516} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,517} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,518} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,519} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,520} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{14} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,521} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,522} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,523} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{14} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,524} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,525} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,526} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,527} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,528} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{10} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,529} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,530} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,531} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{10} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,532} = x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,533} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,534} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{10} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,535} = x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^2 x_5^2, & & &
\end{array}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(3)}) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 536 \leq t \leq 540\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 536 \leq t \leq 540$, are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{536} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{537} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{538} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{539} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{540} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\omega_{(4)}) = \overline{\Phi}^+(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_{(4)})) \cup \mathcal{B}^+(5, \omega_{(4)}) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 541 \leq t \leq 840\}$, where the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{22,t} : 541 \leq t \leq 840$, are listed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{Y}_{22,541} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,542} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,543} = x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,544} = x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,545} = x_1 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,546} = x_1 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,547} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,548} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^3, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,549} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,550} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,551} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,552} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4^3 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,553} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,554} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,555} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,556} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,557} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,558} = x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,559} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,560} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,561} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,562} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,563} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,564} = x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,565} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,566} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,567} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,568} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{15} x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,569} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,570} = x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,571} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,572} = x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^2 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,573} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3 x_4^2 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,574} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,575} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,576} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,577} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,578} = x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,579} = x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3 x_4 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,580} = x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{22,581} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,582} = x_1 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^{11}, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,583} = x_1 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{22,584} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^7 x_5^{11},
\end{array}$$

From the above data, we see that $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\omega_j)) \subset \mathcal{B}_5(\omega_j)$ for $j = 1, 4, 5$. Hence, Conjecture 3.2.2 is true for $d = 5$ and the degree 22.

6.6. \mathcal{A}_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^0 in degree 47

Using the results in Sect.3.3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_5^0(47) = \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(47)) = \overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{B}_4(\overline{\omega}_{(1)})) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{47,t}; 1 \leq t \leq 560\},$$

where $\overline{\omega}_{(1)} = (3, 2, 2, 2, 1)$, and the monomials $\mathcal{Y}_{47,t} : 1 \leq t \leq 560$, are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{47,1} &= x_3 x_4^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,2} &= x_3 x_4^{31} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,3} &= x_3^{15} x_4 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,4} &= x_3^{15} x_4^{31} x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,5} &= x_3^{31} x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,6} &= x_3^{31} x_4^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,7} &= x_2 x_4^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,8} &= x_2 x_4^{31} x_5^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,9} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,10} &= x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,11} &= x_2 x_3^{31} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,12} &= x_2 x_3^{31} x_4^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,13} &= x_2^{15} x_4 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,14} &= x_2^{15} x_4^{31} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,15} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,16} &= x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,17} &= x_2^{15} x_3^{31} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,18} &= x_2^{15} x_3^{31} x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,19} &= x_2^{31} x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,20} &= x_2^{31} x_4^{15} x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,21} &= x_2^{31} x_3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,22} &= x_2^{31} x_3 x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,23} &= x_2^{31} x_3^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,24} &= x_2^{31} x_3^{15} x_4, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,25} &= x_1 x_4^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,26} &= x_1 x_4^{31} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,27} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,28} &= x_1 x_3^{15} x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,29} &= x_1 x_3^{31} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,30} &= x_1 x_3^{31} x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,31} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,32} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,33} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,34} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,35} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,36} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_3^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,37} &= x_1^{15} x_4 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,38} &= x_1^{15} x_4^{31} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,39} &= x_1^{15} x_3 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,40} &= x_1^{15} x_3 x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,41} &= x_1^{15} x_3^{31} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,42} &= x_1^{15} x_3^{31} x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,43} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,44} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,45} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,46} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{31} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,47} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{31} x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,48} &= x_1^{15} x_2^{31} x_3, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,49} &= x_1^{31} x_4 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,50} &= x_1^{31} x_4^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,51} &= x_1^{31} x_3 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,52} &= x_1^{31} x_3 x_4^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,53} &= x_1^{31} x_3^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,54} &= x_1^{31} x_3^{15} x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,55} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,56} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_4^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,57} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,58} &= x_1^{31} x_2^{15} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,59} &= x_1^{31} x_2^{15} x_4, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,60} &= x_1^{31} x_2^{15} x_3, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,61} &= x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,62} &= x_3^3 x_4^{31} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,63} &= x_3^{31} x_4^3 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,64} &= x_3^{31} x_4^{13} x_5^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,65} &= x_2^3 x_4^{31} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,66} &= x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,67} &= x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,68} &= x_2^3 x_3^{31} x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,69} &= x_2^3 x_3^{31} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,70} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,71} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,72} &= x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,73} &= x_1^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,74} &= x_1^3 x_4^{31} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,75} &= x_1^3 x_3^{13} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,76} &= x_1^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,77} &= x_1^3 x_3^{31} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,78} &= x_1^3 x_3^{31} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,79} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,80} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_4^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,81} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,82} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,83} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,84} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_3^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,85} &= x_1^{31} x_3^3 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,86} &= x_1^{31} x_3^3 x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,87} &= x_1^{31} x_3^3 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,88} &= x_1^{31} x_3^3 x_4^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,89} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,90} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_3^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,91} &= x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,92} &= x_3^3 x_4^{29} x_5^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,93} &= x_3^{15} x_4^3 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,94} &= x_2^3 x_4^{15} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,95} &= x_2^3 x_4^{29} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,96} &= x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_5^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,97} &= x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,98} &= x_2^3 x_3^{29} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,99} &= x_2^3 x_3^{29} x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,100} &= x_2^{15} x_3^4 x_5^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,101} &= x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,102} &= x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,103} &= x_3^3 x_4^{15} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,104} &= x_3^3 x_4^{29} x_5^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,105} &= x_1^3 x_3^{15} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,106} &= x_1^3 x_3^{15} x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,107} &= x_1^3 x_3^{29} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,108} &= x_1^3 x_3^{29} x_4^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,109} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,110} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,111} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,112} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_5^{15}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,113} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_4^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,114} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,115} &= x_1^{15} x_3^3 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,116} &= x_1^{15} x_3^3 x_4^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,117} &= x_1^{15} x_3^3 x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,118} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,119} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,120} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,121} &= x_3^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,122} &= x_2^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,123} &= x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,124} &= x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,125} &= x_1^7 x_4^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,126} &= x_1^7 x_3^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,127} &= x_1^7 x_3^{11} x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,128} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_5^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,129} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_4^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,130} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,131} &= x_3^7 x_4^{27} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,132} &= x_2^7 x_4^{27} x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,133} &= x_2^7 x_3^{27} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,134} &= x_2^7 x_3^{27} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,135} &= x_1^7 x_4^{27} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,136} &= x_1^7 x_3^{27} x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,137} &= x_1^7 x_3^{27} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,138} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,139} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_4^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,140} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_3^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,141} &= x_2 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,142} &= x_2 x_3 x_4^{31} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,143} &= x_2 x_3^{14} x_4 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,144} &= x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^{31} x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,145} &= x_2 x_3^{31} x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,146} &= x_2 x_3^{31} x_4^{14} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,147} &= x_2^{31} x_3 x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,148} &= x_2^{31} x_3 x_4^{14} x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,149} &= x_1 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,150} &= x_1 x_3 x_4^{31} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,151} &= x_1 x_3^{14} x_4 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,152} &= x_1 x_3^{14} x_4^{31} x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,153} &= x_1 x_3^{31} x_4 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,154} &= x_1 x_3^{31} x_4^{14} x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,155} &= x_1 x_2 x_4^{14} x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,156} &= x_1 x_2 x_4^{31} x_5^{14}, \end{aligned}$$

6.7. A_2 -generators for \mathcal{P}_5^+ in degree 47

Recall that Kameko's squaring operation $(\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)} : (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{47} \rightarrow (Q\mathcal{P}_5)_{21}$ is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2GL_5$ -modules. Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_5(47) = \mathcal{B}_5^0(47) \cup \varphi(\mathcal{B}_5(21)) \cup \left(\mathcal{B}_5^+(47) \cap \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}) \right),$$

where $|\mathcal{B}_5^0(47)| = 560$, $|\varphi(\mathcal{B}_5(21))| = 840$ with $\varphi : \mathcal{P}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_5$, $\varphi(u) = X_{(0,5)}u^2$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{P}_5$. From the results in Sect.3.3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_5^+(47) \cap \text{Ker}((\widetilde{Sq}_*^0)_{(5,47)}) = \mathcal{B}_5^+(\overline{\omega}_{(1)}) \cup \mathcal{B}_5^+(\overline{\omega}_{(4)}) \cup \mathcal{B}_5^+(\overline{\omega}_{(5)}),$$

where $\overline{\omega}_{(1)} = (3, 2, 2, 2, 1)$, $\overline{\omega}_{(4)} = (3, 4, 3, 1, 1)$, and $\overline{\omega}_{(5)} = (3, 4, 3, 3)$.

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\overline{\omega}_{(1)})$ is the set of 196 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_{47,t}$, $1 \leq t \leq 370$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{Y}_{47,1} = x_1x_2x_3x_4^{14}x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,2} = x_1x_2x_3x_4^{30}x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,3} = x_1x_2x_3^{14}x_4x_5^{30}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,4} = x_1x_2x_3^{14}x_4^{30}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,5} = x_1x_2x_3^{30}x_4x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,6} = x_1x_2x_3^{30}x_4^{14}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,7} = x_1x_2^{14}x_3x_4x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,8} = x_1x_2^{14}x_3x_4^{30}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,9} = x_1x_2^{30}x_3x_4x_5^{14}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,10} = x_1x_2^{30}x_3x_4^{14}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,11} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,12} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{31}x_5^{12}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,13} = x_1x_2x_3^{31}x_4^{12}x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,14} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{12}x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,15} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{31}x_5^{12}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,16} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{31}x_4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,17} = x_1x_2^{31}x_3x_4^2x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,18} = x_1x_2^{31}x_3^2x_4x_5^{12}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,19} = x_1^{31}x_2x_3x_4^2x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,20} = x_1^{31}x_2x_3^2x_4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,21} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4x_5^{31}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,22} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{12}x_4^{31}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,23} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{31}x_4^{12}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,24} = x_1x_2^{31}x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,25} = x_1^{31}x_2x_3^2x_4^{12}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,26} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{13}x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,27} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{30}x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,28} = x_1x_2x_3^{30}x_4^2x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,29} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{13}x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,30} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{30}x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,31} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{13}x_4x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,32} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{13}x_4^{30}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,33} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^2x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,34} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{14}x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,35} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{29}x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,36} = x_1x_2x_3^{14}x_4^2x_5^{29}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,37} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{14}x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,38} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{29}x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,39} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{29}x_4x_5^{14}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,40} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{29}x_4^{14}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,41} = x_1x_2^{14}x_3x_4^2x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,42} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{15}x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,43} = x_1x_2x_3^2x_4^{28}x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,44} = x_1x_2x_3^{15}x_4^2x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,45} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{15}x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,46} = x_1x_2^2x_3x_4^{28}x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,47} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{15}x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,48} = x_1x_2^{15}x_3x_4^2x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,49} = x_1x_2^{15}x_3^2x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,50} = x_1^{15}x_2x_3x_4^2x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,51} = x_1^{15}x_2x_3^2x_4x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,52} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{15}x_4^{28}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,53} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{28}x_4x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,54} = x_1x_2^2x_3^{28}x_4^{15}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,55} = x_1x_2^{15}x_3^2x_4^{28}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,56} = x_1^{15}x_2x_3^2x_4^{28}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,57} = x_1x_2x_3^3x_4^{12}x_5^{30}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,58} = x_1x_2x_3^3x_4^{30}x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,59} = x_1x_2x_3^{30}x_4^3x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,60} = x_1x_2^3x_3x_4^{12}x_5^{30}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,61} = x_1x_2^3x_3x_4^{30}x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,62} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,63} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{12}x_4^{30}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,64} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{30}x_4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,65} = x_1x_2^{30}x_3x_4^3x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,66} = x_1x_2^{30}x_3^3x_4x_5^{12}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,67} = x_1^3x_2x_3x_4^{12}x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,68} = x_1^3x_2x_3x_4^{30}x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,69} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4x_5^{30}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,70} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{12}x_4^{30}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,71} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{30}x_4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,72} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{30}x_4^{12}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,73} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{30}x_4^{12}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,74} = x_1x_2x_3^3x_4^{14}x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,75} = x_1x_2x_3^3x_4^{28}x_5^{14}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,76} = x_1x_2x_3^{14}x_4^3x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,77} = x_1x_2^3x_3x_4^{14}x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,78} = x_1x_2^3x_3x_4^{28}x_5^{14}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,79} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{14}x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,80} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{28}x_4x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,81} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{28}x_4^{14}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,82} = x_1x_2^{14}x_3x_4^3x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,83} = x_1x_2^{14}x_3^3x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,84} = x_1^3x_2x_3x_4^{14}x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,85} = x_1^3x_2x_3x_4^{28}x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,86} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{14}x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,87} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{28}x_4x_5^{14}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,88} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{28}x_4^{14}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,89} = x_1x_2^3x_3^{14}x_4^{28}x_5, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,90} = x_1^3x_2x_3^{14}x_4^{28}x_5, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,91} = x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{10}x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,92} = x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{10}x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,93} = x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{11}x_5^{28}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,94} = x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{11}x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,95} = x_1x_2^6x_3^{11}x_4x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,96} = x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{27}x_5^{12}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,97} = x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{27}x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,98} = x_1x_2^6x_3^{27}x_4x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,99} = x_1x_2x_3^6x_4^{26}x_5^{13}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{47,100} = x_1x_2^6x_3x_4^{26}x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,101} = x_1x_2x_3^7x_4^{10}x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,102} = x_1x_2^7x_3x_4^{10}x_5^{28}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{47,103} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,104} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,105} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,106} &= x_1 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,107} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,108} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{26} x_4 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,109} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,110} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{26} x_4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,111} &= x_1 x_2^5 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,112} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{29} x_4^2 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,113} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,114} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{29} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,115} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,116} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,117} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,118} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,119} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,120} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,121} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,122} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^2 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,123} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{29} x_4^2 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,124} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,125} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,126} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,127} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,128} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{13} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,129} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,130} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{13} x_4^3 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,131} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,132} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{28} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,133} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,134} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{28} x_4^2 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,135} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,136} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{28} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,137} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,138} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,139} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3 x_4^2 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,140} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,141} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,142} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{28} x_4^3 x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,143} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,144} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{31} x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,145} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{31} x_4^4 x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,146} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,147} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,148} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,149} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{27} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,150} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{15} x_5^{25}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,151} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,152} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4^4 x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,153} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{25}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,154} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,155} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,156} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{31} x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,157} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{31} x_4^5 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,158} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,159} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,160} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^9 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,161} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^9 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,162} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,163} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{11} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,164} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{27} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,165} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,166} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,167} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{25} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,168} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{15} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,169} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^{24} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,170} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^{15} x_4^5 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,171} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,172} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,173} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,174} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{29} x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,175} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,176} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^{29} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,177} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,178} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{29} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,179} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,180} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,181} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,182} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,183} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,184} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,185} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,186} &= x_1 x_2^2 x_3^7 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,187} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,188} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,189} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,190} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,191} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,192} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,193} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,194} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{28} x_4^3 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,195} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,196} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,197} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{12} x_4^3 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,198} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{28} x_4^3 x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,199} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,200} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{28} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,201} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,202} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,203} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,204} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{31} x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,205} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{31} x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,206} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,207} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{31}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,208} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{31} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,209} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{31} x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,210} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_3 x_4^4 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,211} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,212} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,213} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{30} x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,214} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{30} x_4^4 x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,215} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,216} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{30} x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,217} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{30} x_4^4 x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,218} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,219} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,220} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{10} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,221} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,222} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,223} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{11} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,224} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{11} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,225} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,226} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{27} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,227} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{27} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,228} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{27} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,229} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^{27} x_4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,230} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{26} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,231} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{26} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,232} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^{26} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,233} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{14} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,234} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4^4 x_5^{25}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,235} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{14} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,236} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{14} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,237} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{14} x_5^{25}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,238} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,239} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{15} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,240} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{24} x_5^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,241} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{15} x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,242} &= x_1 x_2^{15} x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,243} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{15} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,244} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^{24} x_5^{15}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,245} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{15} x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,246} &= x_1^3 x_2^{15} x_3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,247} &= x_1^{15} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,248} &= x_1^{15} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,249} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{30} x_4^5 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,250} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,251} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{30} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,252} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{30} x_4^5 x_5^8,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{47,253} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,254} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,255} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,256} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,257} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^{10} x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,258} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,259} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,260} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,261} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,262} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3 x_4^{26} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,263} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,264} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,265} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{14} x_4^5 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,266} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,267} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,268} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{14} x_4^5 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,269} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,270} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,271} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,272} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,273} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,274} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,275} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,276} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,277} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,278} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,279} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,280} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,281} &= x_1 x_2^6 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,282} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,283} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{12} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,284} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{12} x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,285} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,286} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,287} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,288} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,289} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,290} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,291} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,292} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,293} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,294} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,295} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{28} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,296} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,297} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{28} x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,298} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{28} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,299} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{28} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,300} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{28} x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,301} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,302} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,303} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,304} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,305} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,306} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{24} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,307} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,308} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,309} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,310} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,311} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,312} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,313} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,314} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,315} &= x_1^7 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^8 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,316} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,317} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,318} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,319} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,320} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,321} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,322} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{29} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,323} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,324} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,325} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{28} x_5^9, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,326} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,327} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{25}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,328} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,329} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,330} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,331} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,332} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,333} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^8 x_5^{29}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,334} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,335} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,336} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^9 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,337} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,338} &= x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^{25} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,339} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{24} x_5^{13}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,340} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^{13} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,341} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,342} &= x_1^3 x_2^{13} x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,343} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,344} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,345} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^{28}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,346} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,347} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{12} x_5^{12}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,348} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{12}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,349} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,350} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{12} x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,351} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^8, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,352} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,353} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{10} x_4^{25}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,354} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,355} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,356} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,357} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^8 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,358} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^{24}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,359} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,360} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^{24}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,361} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{21} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,362} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{20} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,363} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{20} x_5^9, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,364} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{17}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,365} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{13} x_5^{16}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,366} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{16}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,367} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^{20} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,368} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{20} x_5^8, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,369} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{16}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,370} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^{12} x_5^{16}. & & & &
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\bar{\omega}_{(4)})$ is the set of 109 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_{47,t}$, $371 \leq t \leq 479$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{47,371} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,372} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^{31} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,373} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^{31} x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,374} &= x_1 x_2^{31} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,375} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,376} &= x_1^3 x_2^6 x_3^6 x_4^{31} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,377} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^{31} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,378} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,379} &= x_1^{31} x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,380} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,381} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,382} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,383} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,384} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,385} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,386} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,387} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,388} &= x_1^3 x_2 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,389} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,390} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,391} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,392} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,393} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,394} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,395} &= x_1 x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,396} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,397} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{22},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{47,398} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,399} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,400} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,401} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{27} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,402} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{27} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,403} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_3 x_4^6 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,404} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,405} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^{22} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,406} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,407} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{22} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,408} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,409} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^{22} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,410} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,411} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^{31} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,412} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{31}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,413} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^2 x_5^{31}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,414} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{31} x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,415} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{31} x_4^6 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,416} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,417} &= x_1^3 x_2^{31} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,418} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,419} &= x_1^{31} x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,420} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,421} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,422} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^2 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,423} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,424} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{30} x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,425} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,426} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{30} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,427} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{29} x_4^2 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,428} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{29} x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,429} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{29} x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,430} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{29} x_4^6 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,431} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,432} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^{22} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,433} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,434} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{22} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,435} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,436} &= x_1^7 x_2^{27} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^2, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,437} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,438} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^{22} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,439} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{21} x_4^2 x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,440} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{21} x_4^2 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,441} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^{30} x_5^3, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,442} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^3 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,443} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,444} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{30} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,445} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^{30}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,446} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{30} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,447} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,448} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^{14} x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,449} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{29} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,450} &= x_1^3 x_2^{29} x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,451} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,452} &= x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{22} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,453} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{18}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,454} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^{18} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,455} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^{26}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,456} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^6 x_5^{26}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,457} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^{26} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,458} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{26}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,459} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,460} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^{26}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,461} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{26} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,462} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,463} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^{22} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,464} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{10} x_4^6 x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,465} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{22}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,466} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,467} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^7 x_4^{14} x_5^{18}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,468} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{18}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,469} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^{14} x_5^{18}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,470} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{25} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,471} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{25} x_4^6 x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,472} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4^6 x_5^{22}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,473} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4^{22} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,474} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{22} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,475} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{22} x_5^6, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,476} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{18}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,477} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^{18} x_5^6, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,478} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{18}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,479} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{18} x_5^6.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_5^+(\overline{\omega}_5)$ is the set of 15 admissible monomials: $\mathcal{Y}_{47,t}$, $480 \leq t \leq 494$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{47,480} &= x_1 x_2^7 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,481} &= x_1^7 x_2 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,482} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,483} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{13} x_4^2 x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,484} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^2, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,485} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^9 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,486} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^9 x_4^{14} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,487} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,488} &= x_1^3 x_2^7 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,489} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,490} &= x_1^7 x_2^3 x_3^{13} x_4^{14} x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,491} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, \\
\mathcal{Y}_{47,492} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^5 x_4^{10} x_5^{14}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,493} &= x_1^7 x_2^{11} x_3^{13} x_4^6 x_5^{10}, & \mathcal{Y}_{47,494} &= x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^{11} x_4^{14} x_5^{14}.
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J.F. Adams, *On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. 32 (1958), 180-214, available online at <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF02564578#citeas>.
- [2] J.F. Adams, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 20-104, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970147>.
- [3] J. Adem, *The iteration of the Steenrod squares in Algebraic Topology*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 38 (1952), 20-726, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.38.8.720>.
- [4] M.A. Alghamdi, M.C. Crabb and J.R. Hubbuck, *Representations of the homology of BV and the Steenrod algebra I*, in Adams Memorial Symposium on Algebraic Topology 2, N. Ray and G. Walker (ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 176 (1992), 217-234, available online at <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.22.7436&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- [5] S.V. Ault and W. Singer, *On the homology of elementary Abelian groups as modules over the Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 2847-2852, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2011.04.004>.
- [6] J.M. Boardman, *Modular representations on the homology of power of real projective space*, in Algebraic Topology: Oaxtepec 1991, ed. M. C. Tangor; in Contemp. Math. 146 (1993), 49-70, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/146>.
- [7] A.K. Bousfield, E.B. Curtis, D.M. Kan, D.G. Quillen, D.L. Rector and J.W. Schlesinger, *The mod-p lower central series and the Adams spectral sequence*, Topology 5 (1966), 331-342, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(66\)90024-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(66)90024-3).

- [8] R.R. Bruner, L.M. Hà and N.H.V. Hùng, *On behavior of the algebraic transfer*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 437-487, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-04-03661-X>.
- [9] T.W. Chen, *Determination of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{5,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$* , Topol. Appl. 158 (2011), 660-689, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.01.002>.
- [10] P.H. Chơn and L.M. Hà, *Lambda algebra and the Singer transfer*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 349 (2011), 21-23, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2010.11.008>.
- [11] M.C. Crabb and J.R. Hubbuck, *Representations of the homology of BV and the Steenrod algebra II*, in Algebra Topology: New trend in localization and periodicity; in Progr. Math. 136 (1996), 143-154, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-9018-2_9.
- [12] M.D. Crossley, *Monomial bases for $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ over $\mathcal{A}(p)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351(1) (1999), 171-192, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02060-7>.
- [13] M.D. Crossley, *$\mathcal{A}(p)$ generators for $H^*(V)$ and Singer's homological transfer*, Math. Z. 230(3) (1999), 401-411, <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00004698>.
- [14] N.H.V. Hùng and F.P. Peterson, *A-generators for the Dickson algebra*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 347(12) (1995), 4687-4728, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1995-1316852-X>.
- [15] N.H.V. Hùng and T.N. Nam, *The hit problem for the Dickson algebra*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 353(12) (2001), 5029-5040, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-01-02705-2>.
- [16] N.H.V. Hùng, *The cohomology of the Steenrod algebra and representations of the general linear groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 4065-4089, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-05-03889-4>.
- [17] A.S. Janfada and R.M.W. Wood, *The hit problem for symmetric polynomials over the Steenrod algebra*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 133(2) (2002), 295-303, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004102006059>.
- [18] M. Kameko, *Products of projective spaces as Steenrod modules*, PhD. thesis, The Johns Hopkins University, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1990, 29 pages.
- [19] M.H. Lê, *Sub-Hopf algebras of the Steenrod algebra and the Singer transfer*, Geom. Topol. Publ. 11 (2007), 101-124, <https://doi.org/10.2140/gtm.2007.11.81>.
- [20] W.H. Lin, *$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{4,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{5,*}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$* , Topol. Appl. 155 (2008), 459-496, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2007.11.003>.
- [21] A. Liulevicius, *The factorization of cyclic reduced powers by secondary cohomology operations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1962), 112, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0042>.
- [22] Ib H. Madsen, *On the action of the Dyer-Lashof algebra in $H_*(G)$* , Pac. J. Math. 60(1) (1975), 235-275, <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1975.60.235>.
- [23] N. Minami, *The iterated transfer analogue of the new doomsday conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1990), 2325-2351, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02037-1>.
- [24] M.F. Mothebe, *Generators of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, PhD. thesis, The University of Manchester, 1997.
- [25] M.F. Mothebe, *Products of admissible monomials in the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, Journal of Mathematics Research 8 (2016), 112-116, <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v8n3p112>.
- [26] M.F. Mothebe, P. Kaelo and O. Ramatebele, *Dimension formula for the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra in degrees less than or equal to 12*, Journal of Mathematics Research 8 (2016), 92-100, <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v8n5p92>.
- [27] T.N. Nam, *A-générateurs génériques pour l'algèbre polynomiale*, Adv. Math. 186 (2004) 334-362, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2003.08.004>.
- [28] T.N. Nam, *Transfert algébrique et action du groupe linéaire sur les puissances divisées modulo 2*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), 1785-1837, <https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2399>.
- [29] D.J. Pengelley and F. Williams, *Global structure of the mod two symmetric algebra, $H^*(BO, \mathbb{F}_2)$, over the Steenrod algebra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 3 (2003), 1119-1138, <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2003.3.1119>.
- [30] D.J. Pengelley and F. Williams, *Beyond the hit problem: Minimal presentations of odd-primary Steenrod modules, with application to $CP(\infty)$ and BU* , Homology Homotopy Appl. 9(2) (2007), 363-395, <https://doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2007.v9.n2.a13>.
- [31] D. Pengelley and F. Williams, *A new action of the Kudo-Araki-May algebra on the dual of the symmetric algebras, with applications to the hit problem*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11(3), (2011), 1767-1780, <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2011.11.1767>.
- [32] D.J. Pengelley and F. Williams, *The hit problem for $H^*(BU(2); \mathbb{F}_p)$* , Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), 2061-2085, <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2013.13.2061>.
- [33] D. Pengelley and F. Williams, *Sparseness for the symmetric hit problem at all primes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 158(2), (2015), 269-274, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004114000668>.
- [34] F.P. Peterson, *Generators of $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty \times \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc. 833 (1987).
- [35] F.P. Peterson, *A-generators for certain polynomial algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 105 (1989), 311-312, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100067803>.
- [36] D.V. Phúc and N. Sum, *On the generators of the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, C.R.Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 353 (2015), 1035-1040, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2015.09.002>.
- [37] D.V. Phuc and N. Sum, *On a minimal set of generators for the polynomial algebra of five variables as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, Acta Math. Vietnam. 42 (2017), 149-162, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40306-016-0190-z>.
- [38] D.V. Phuc, *The hit problem for the polynomial algebra of five variables in degree seventeen and its application*, East-West J. Math. 18 (2016), 27-46, available online at eastwestmath.org/index.php/ewm/article/view/35.

- [39] Đ.V. Phúc, *The Peterson hit problem in some types of degrees and applications*, PhD. thesis, Quy Nhon University, 2017.
- [40] Đ.V. Phúc, *The "hit" problem of five variables in the generic degree and its application*, Topol. Appl. (2020) 107321, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2020.107321>, in press.
- [41] Đ.V. Phúc, *A-generators for the polynomial algebra of five variables in degree $5(2^t - 1) + 6 \cdot 2^t$* , Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 35 (2020), 371-399, <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c190076>.
- [42] Đ.V. Phúc, *A note on the epimorphism of the fifth algebraic transfer*, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 48(2) (2020), 193-201, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/NT048020193>.
- [43] Đ.V. Phúc, *A note on the fifth Singer algebraic transfer*, Preprint 2020, submitted for publication.
- [44] S. Priddy, *On characterizing summands in the classifying space of a group, I*, Amer. Jour. Math. 112 (1990), 737-748, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2374805>.
- [45] J. Repka and P. Selick, *On the subalgebra of $H_*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^n; \mathbb{F}_2$ annihilated by Steenrod operations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 127 (1998), 273-288, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049\(96\)00177-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(96)00177-6).
- [46] J.H. Silverman and W.M. Singer, *On the action of Steenrod squares on polynomial algebras II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 98 (1995), 95-103, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049\(95\)90027-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(95)90027-6).
- [47] W.M. Singer, *The transfer in homological algebra*, Math. Z. 202 (1989), 493-523, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01221587>.
- [48] W.M. Singer, *On the action of the Steenrod squares on polynomial algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 577-583, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1991-1045150-9>.
- [49] W.M. Singer, *Rings of symmetric functions as modules over the Steenrod algebra*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 8 (2008), 541-562, <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2008.8.541>.
- [50] N.E. Steenrod and D.B.A. Epstein, *Cohomology operations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 50, Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J, 1962.
- [51] N. Sum, *The negative answer to Kameko's conjecture on the hit problem*, Adv. Math. 225 (2010), 2365-2390, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2010.04.026>.
- [52] N. Sum, *On the Peterson hit problem*, Adv. Math. 274 (2015), 432-489, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.01.010>.
- [53] N. Sum, *On the Peterson hit problem of five variables and its applications to the fifth Singer transfer*, East-West J. Math. 16 (2014), 47-62, available online at eastwestmath.org/index.php/ewm/article/view/67.
- [54] N. Sum, *On the determination of the Singer transfer*, Vietnam Journal of Science, Technology and Engineering, 60(1) (2018), 3-16, [https://doi.org/10.31276/VJSTE.60\(1\).03](https://doi.org/10.31276/VJSTE.60(1).03), available at <https://vietnamscience.vjst.vn/index.php/VJSTE/article/view/100>.
- [55] N. Sum, *On a construction for the generators of the polynomial algebra as a module over the Steenrod algebra*, in Singh M., Song Y., Wu J. (eds), Algebraic Topology and Related Topics. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Singapore (2019), 265-286, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5742-8_14.
- [56] N. Sum, *The kernel of Kameko's homomorphism and the Peterson hit problem*, Preprint 2019, 31 pages, available online at <http://viasm.edu.vn/xuat-ban/tien-an-pham-viasm/?filter=2019>.
- [57] N. Sum, *The squaring operation and the Singer algebraic transfer*, Vietnam J. Math. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10013-020-00423-1>, in press.
- [58] M.C. Tangora, *On the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra*, Math. Z. 116 (1970), 18-64, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01110185>.
- [59] N.K. Tin, *The admissible monomial basis for the polynomial algebra of five variables in degree eight*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications 2 (2014), 21-24, <https://doi.org/10.12691/jmsa-2-2-2>, available at <http://pubs.sciepub.com/jmsa/2/2/2/>.
- [60] N.K. Tin, *The admissible monomial basis for the polynomial algebra of five variables in degree $2^{s+1} + 2^s - 5$* , East-West J. Math. 16 (2014), 34-46, available at eastwestmath.org/index.php/ewm/article/view/68.
- [61] N.K. Tin, *On Singer's conjecture for the fifth algebraic transfer*, Preprint 2016, 25 pages, available online at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02250>.
- [62] N.K. Tin, *The admissible monomial basis for the polynomial algebra as a module over Steenrod algebra in some degrees*, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 46(1) (2020), 55-68, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/NT046010055>.
- [63] N.K. Tin, *The hit problem for the polynomial algebra as a module over Steenrod algebra, I*, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 47(1) (2020), 67-86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/NT047010067>.
- [64] G. Walker and R.M.W. Wood, *Young tableaux and the Steenrod algebra*, in Proceedings of the School and Conference in Algebraic Topology, Hanoi 2004; in Geom. Topol. Monogr. 11 (2007), 379-397, <http://doi.org/10.2140/gtm.2007.11.379>.
- [65] G. Walker and R.M.W. Wood, *Weyl modules and the mod 2 Steenrod algebra*, J. Algebra 311 (2007), 840-858, <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.01.021>.
- [66] G. Walker and R.M.W. Wood, *Polynomials and the mod 2 Steenrod Algebra: Volume 1, The Peterson hit problem*, in London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, January 11, 2018.
- [67] C.T.C. Wall, *Generators and relations for the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 429-444, <http://doi.org/10.2307/1970225>.
- [68] J.S.P. Wang, *On the cohomology of the mod-2 Steenrod algebra and the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 480-490, <http://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1256054570>.
- [69] R.M.W. Wood, *Steenrod squares of polynomials and the Peterson conjecture*, Math. Proc. Cambriges Phil. Soc. 105 (1989), 307-309, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100067797>.
- [70] R.M.W. Wood, *Problems in the Steenrod algebra*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998), 449-517, <https://doi.org/10.1112/S002460939800486X>.
- [71] H. Zare, *On the relation between Dyer-Lashof algebra and the hit problems*, Preprint 2016, 15 pages.