BLOCK DEGENERACY FOR GRADED LIE SUPERALGEBRAS OF CARTAN TYPE

KE OU

Abstract. Let \( K \) be an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( p > 0 \). In this short note, we illustrate a class of Lie superalgebras over \( K \) such that the category of restricted supermodules is of one block. As an application, if \( p > 3 \) and \( g \) is a graded restricted Cartan type Lie superalgebra of type \( W, S \) and \( H \), then the category of restricted supermodules of \( g \) is of one block.

1. Introduction

A Lie superalgebra \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \) over \( K \) is called restricted if \( (g_0, [p]) \) is a restricted Lie algebra with \( p \)-mapping \( [p] : g_0 \to g_0 \) and \( g_1 \) is a restricted \( g_0 \) module via the adjoint action (cf. [5]). Let \( (g, [p]) \) be a restricted Lie superalgebra and \( U(g) \) be the enveloping superalgebra of \( g \). One can define the so-called restricted enveloping superalgebra \( u(g) = U(g)/I_p \) where \( I_p \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded two-sided ideal generated by \( \{ x^p - x^{[p]} | x \in g_0 \} \). A \( g \) supermodule \( (V = V_0 \oplus V_1, \rho) \) is called restricted if \( \rho \) satisfies \( \rho(x^{[p]}) = \rho(x)^p \) for all \( x \in g_0 \). All restricted \( g \)-supermodules constitute a full subcategory of the \( g \)-supermodule category which coincide with the \( u(g) \)-supermodule category denoted by \( u(g)\text{-smod} \). We call \( u(g) \) is of one block if \( u(g)\text{-smod} \) is of one block.

Over the past decades, the study of modular representations of restricted Lie (super)algebras in prime characteristic has made significant progress (see [3, 4, 7–9] for examples). When \( g = W(0, n) \) over \( \mathbb{C} \), Shomron proves in [6] that the category of finite-dimensional representations decomposes into blocks parametrized by \( (\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \). In contrast to complex case, if either \( g = X(m, 1) \) is a Cartan type Lie algebra where \( X \in \{ W, S, H, K \} \) ([3]) or \( g = W(0, n, 1) \) is a Cartan type Lie superalgebra ([2]) over \( K \), the category of restricted (super)modules has only one block. In this paper, we generalize this degeneracy phenomenon of restricted supermodules to the so-called restricted Cartan type Lie superalgebras \( X(m, n, 1) \) where \( X \in \{ W, S, H \} \).

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we illustrate a class of Lie superalgebras over \( K \) such that the category of restricted supermodules is of one block. Section 3 is concerned with the structure of the Cartan type Lie superalgebras. Applying the results in section 2, we obtain the following main theorem in section 4:

**Theorem 1.1.** (see Theorem [4,6]) Let \( K \) be an algebraically closed field with characteristics \( p > 3 \), and \( g = X(m, n, 1), \ X \in \{ W, S, H \} \), be a graded restricted Lie superalgebra of Cartan type over \( K \) except if \( X = H \) with \( n = 4 \).

Then \( u(g) \) is of one block.

As I know, F.Duan, B.Shu and Y.Yao obtain similar results in [2] by a different method. Entire the whole paper, denote \( I = \{0, 1, \cdots, p - 1 \} \).
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2. RESTRICTED LIE SUPERALGEBRAS WITH TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION

Let \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \) be a restricted Lie superalgebra which admits a triangular decomposition relative to a maximal torus \( \mathfrak{h} \) of \( g_0 \):

\[
g = g^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n^+ \oplus g^+_1
\]

where \( g_0 = n^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n^+ \). Recall that \( n^\pm \) are \( p \)-nilpotent subalgebras. Set \( b^+_0 = g^+_1 \oplus n^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \) and \( b^\pm_0 = n^\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h} \). Analogous to \( \mathfrak{b} \), this decomposition for \( g \) is long if

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(n^-) < \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(n^+) \text{ and } \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(g^-_1) < \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(g^+_1).
\]

By \( \mathfrak{b} \), the iso-classes of simple restricted \( g \) modules are parametrized by restricted weights \( \Lambda = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(h^{[p]}) = \lambda(h)^p, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h} \} \). If \( \dim(\mathfrak{h}) = n \), then \( \Lambda \simeq I^n = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \mid \lambda_i \in I, i = 1, \ldots, n \} \). More precisely, for a given \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), there is a one-dimensional restricted \( \mathfrak{b}^+_0 \) module \( \mathbb{K}_\lambda = \mathbb{K} \cdot 1_\lambda \) on which \( \mathfrak{h} \) acts as a scalar determined by \( \lambda \) while \( g_1^+ \oplus n^+ \) acts trivially. Then one has the so-called baby Verma module

\[
V^+(\lambda) := u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+_0)} \mathbb{K}_\lambda
\]

with simple head \( L(\lambda) \). Moreover, For any restricted simple module \( \mathfrak{m} \), there is a \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), such that \( V^+(\lambda) \to \mathfrak{m} \) (cf. \( \mathfrak{b} \)).

Note that \( \mathfrak{b}^+_0 \) also satisfies the conditions of \( \mathfrak{b} \), lemma 2.2], then for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), the one-dimensional \( u(\mathfrak{b}^-_0) \) module \( \mathbb{K}_\lambda \) induces an \( u(\mathfrak{g}) \) module

\[
V^-(\lambda) := u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^-_0)} \mathbb{K}_\lambda,
\]

which is indecomposable with simple head.

For \( M \in u(\mathfrak{g}) \)-smod, let \([M]\) denote the formal sum of composition factors in the Grothendieck ring of \( u(\mathfrak{g}) \)-smod.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \mathfrak{l} = I_0 \oplus I_1 \) be a restricted Lie superalgebra which admits a triangular decomposition relative to a maximal torus \( \mathfrak{h} \) of \( I_0 \):

\[
\mathfrak{l} = I^-_1 \oplus n^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n^+_1 \oplus I^+_1
\]

where \( I_0 = n^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n^+_1 \).

Assume the following:

1. \( I^-_1 \oplus n^-_1 \oplus n^+_1 \oplus I^+_1 \) is a \( p \)-nilpotent \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded ideal.
2. \( n^+_1 \) contains \( \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \) linear independent weight vectors having linearly independent weights in \( \Lambda \).

Then for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), \([V^-(-\lambda)]\) is independent of \( \lambda \) and

\[
[V^-(-\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^s2^t[\mathbb{K}_\mu],
\]

where \( s = \dim(n^+_1) - \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \), \( t = \dim(I^+_1) \) and \( \mathbb{K}_\mu \) is the one dimensional simple \( u(\mathfrak{l}) \) module of weight \( \mu \).

**Proof.** By (1), \( \text{rad}(\mathfrak{l}) = I^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus n^+ \oplus I^+_1 \). Since \( \text{rad}(\mathfrak{l}) \) is \( p \)-nilpotent and finite dimension, each restricted representations of \( \mathfrak{l} \) is one dimension \( \mathfrak{b} \), lemma 2.2]. Let \( \{\mathbb{K}_\mu \mid \mu \in \Lambda\} \) represent the set of non-isomorphic simple \( u(\mathfrak{l}) \) modules.

The composition factors of a module can be obtained by computing its weight spaces. From (2), suppose \( n = \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \), \( I^+_1 \) has basis \( \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\} \) and \( n^+ \) has basis \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_s\} \) where \( x_i \) is of weight \( \alpha_i \in \Lambda \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) such that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \) are linear independent. Then \( x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \) has weight \( i_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + i_n\alpha_n \) for each \( (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in I^n \).
For each choice of \( j \in I^s \) and \( k \in B(t) \), as \( u(h) \) module,

\[
N = \text{span}_\mathbb{K}\{X^jY^kZ \mid j \in I^n\}
\]

must have all weights occurring with multiplicity 1. Since

\[
V^{-}(\lambda) = \text{span}_\mathbb{K}\{X^jY^kZ \mid 1 \lambda, j \in I^n, k \in B(t)\},
\]

then all possible weights occurring with the same multiplicity \( p^s2^t \) in \( V^{-}(\lambda) \).

Namely, \([V^{-}(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s}2^{t}[\mathbb{K}_{\mu}]\) which is independent of \( \lambda \).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \) be a restricted Lie superalgebra which admits a long triangular decomposition relative to a maximal torus \( h \) of \( g_0 \):

\[
g = g_i^- \oplus n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+ \oplus g_1^+ , \quad g_0 = n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+.
\]

Assume the following:

1. \( g \) has a restricted subalgebra \( l \) satisfies the assumptions of lemma [2.7].
2. \( b_i^- = b_i^0 \).
3. \( n_i^- = n^- \) has at least \( \dim(h) \) linearly independent vectors having linearly independent weights
   in \( \Lambda \).

Then for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \),

\[
[V^{-}(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s}2^{t}[V^{+}(\mu)],
\]

where \( s = \dim(n^+) - \dim(n^-) - \dim(h) \), \( t = \dim(g_1^+) - \dim(g_i^-) \).

**Proof.** By lemma 3.1, for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \),

\[
[V^{-}(\lambda)] = [u(g) \otimes u(l)][u(l) \otimes u(b_i^-) \mathbb{K}_\lambda] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s}2^{t}[u(g) \otimes u(l) \mathbb{K}_{\mu}],
\]

where \( \alpha = \dim(l) - \dim(n^-) \), \( \beta = \dim(g_1^+) \).

In particular, \([V^{-}(\lambda)]\) is independent of \( \lambda \).

By assumption (3), \( b_i^0 \) satisfies the assumptions of lemma 3.1. Therefore, \([u(g) \otimes u(h) \mathbb{K}_\lambda] = [u(g) \otimes u(b_i^0)][u(b_i^0) \otimes u(h) \mathbb{K}_\lambda] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s}2^{t}[u(g) \otimes u(b_i^0) \mathbb{K}_{\mu}],\)

where \( s_{\pm} = \dim(n^\pm) \), \( t_{\pm} = \dim(g_1^\pm) \). Since the triangular decomposition is long, i.e. \( s_{+} > s_{-} \) and \( t_{+} > t_{-} \), we have

\[
\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} [u(g) \otimes u(b_i^0) \mathbb{K}_{\mu}] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s_{+} - s_{-}}2^{t_{+} - t_{-}}[u(g) \otimes u(b_i^0) \mathbb{K}_{\mu}].
\]

Note that \([V^{-}(\lambda)]\) is independent of \( \lambda \). Therefore, for all \( \lambda \in \Lambda \),

\[
p^{\dim(h)}[V^{-}(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s_{+} - s_{-}}2^{t_{+} - t_{-}}[V^{+}(\mu)],
\]

\[
[V^{-}(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^{s_{+} - s_{-}}2^{t_{+} - t_{-}}[V^{+}(\mu)].
\]

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( g = g_0 \oplus g_1 \) be a restricted Lie superalgebra which admits a triangular decomposition relative to a maximal torus \( h \) of \( g_0 \):

\[
g = g_i^- \oplus n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+ \oplus g_1^+ , \quad g_0 = n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+.
\]

Assume that there exists a subalgebra \( l \) such that:
(1) \( b^-_1 = b^-_0 \).
(2) \( I \) is a classical Lie superalgebra and there is a bijection \( \psi : \Lambda \to \Lambda \), such that
\[
[u(1) \otimes_{u(b^-_0)} \mathbb{K}_\Lambda] = [u(1) \otimes_{u(b^-_1)} \mathbb{K}_\psi(\Lambda)].
\]
(3) A vector space complementary to \( I \), in \( g \), has at least \( \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \) linearly independent vectors having linearly independent weights in \( \Lambda \).

Then
\[
[V^-_-(\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^s 2^t [V^+(\mu)],
\]
where \( s = \dim(n^+) - \dim(n^-) - \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \), \( t = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^+_1) - \dim(\mathfrak{g}^-_1) \).

Proof. Similar to the proof of lemma 2.1 for all \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), we have
\[
[u(b^+_0) \otimes_{u(b^+_1)} \mathbb{K}_\Lambda] = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^s 2^t [u(1) \otimes_{u(b^+_0)} \mathbb{K}_\mu],
\]
where \( s \) and \( t \) are defined in proposition.

By assumption (1) and (2), we have
\[
[u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(b^-_0)} \mathbb{K}_\Lambda] = [u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(1)} (u(1) \otimes_{u(b^-_1)} \mathbb{K}_\Lambda)]
= [u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(1)} (u(1) \otimes_{u(b^-_1)} \mathbb{K}_\psi(\Lambda))]
= [u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(b^+_1)} (u(b^+_0) \otimes_{u(b^+_1)} \mathbb{K}_\psi(\Lambda))]
= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} p^s 2^t [u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(b^+_0)} \mathbb{K}_\mu].
\]
Proposition holds. \( \square \)

Remark 2.4. The Lie algebra version of lemma 2.1 and proposition 2.2 (resp. proposition 2.3) are investigated in [3] (resp. [4]).

Corollary 2.5. If \( g \) is a restricted Lie superalgebra satisfies all assumptions in proposition 2.2 or 2.3, then \( u(\mathfrak{g}) \) is of one block.

Proof. Note that \( V^-_-(\lambda) \) is indecomposable with simple head for all \( \lambda \in \Lambda \). The proof of corollary 2.4 in [3] still works. Hence, the corollary holds. \( \square \)

3. Restricted Cartan Type Lie Superalgebras

For given positive integers \( m \) and \( n \), put
\[
\tau(i) = \begin{cases} 
0, & 1 \leq i \leq m; \\
1, & m + 1 \leq i \leq m + n.
\end{cases}
\]
For \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), set \( \mathbb{B}_k = \{(i_1, \cdots, i_k) \mid m + 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m + n\} \) and \( \mathbb{B}(n) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{B}_k \) where \( \mathbb{B}_0 = \emptyset \).

Let \( A(m, 1) \) denote the truncated divided power algebra over \( \mathbb{K} \) with a basis \( \{x^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in I^m\} \). For \( \epsilon_i = (\delta_{11}, \cdots, \delta_{im}) \), we abbreviate \( x^{(\epsilon_i)} \) to \( x_i \), \( i = 1, \cdots, m \). Let \( \Lambda(n) \) be the Grassmann superalgebra over \( \mathbb{K} \) in \( n \) variables \( x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+n} \) with basis \( \{x^{(\beta)} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{B}(n)\} \) where \( x^{(\beta)} = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \) if \( \beta = (i_1, \cdots, i_k) \). Denote the tensor product by \( A(m, n, 1) = A(m, 1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \). Then \( A(m, n, 1) \) is an associative superalgebra with a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradation induced by the trivial \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradation of \( A(m, 1) \) and the natural \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-gradation of \( \Lambda(n) \). Denote \( d(f) \) the parity of \( f \in A(m, n, 1) \).

Let \( D_1, \cdots, D_{m+n} \) be the superderivations of the superalgebra \( A(m, n, 1) \) such that \( D_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij} \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq m + n \). Define \( W(m, n, 1) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} f_i D_i \mid f_i \in A(m, n, 1), 1 \leq i \leq m + n\} \).

Then \( W(m, n, 1) \) is a restricted Lie superalgebra of Witt type. The \( \mathbb{Z} \)-grading of
\[
W(m, n, 1) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W(m, n, 1)_i
\]
is induced by $|x_i| = 1$ and $|D_i| = -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m + n$. Namely,

$$W(m, n, 1)_i = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m+n} f_j D_j \mid |f_j| = i + 1 \right\}.$$  

For each pair $1 \leq i, j \leq m + n$ defines $D_{ij} : A(m, n, 1) \to W(m, n, 1)$ by

$$D_{ij}(f) = f_i D_i + f_j D_j$$

where $f$ is homogeneous and

$$f_i = (-1)^{d(f)(\sigma(i) + \sigma(j))} D_j(f), \quad f_j = (-1)^{\sigma(i) \tau(j)} D_i(f).$$

The special superalgebra $S(m, n, 1)$ is defined by

$$S(m, n, 1) = \langle D_{ij}(f) \mid f \text{ is homogeneous}, 1 \leq i < j \leq m + n \rangle.$$  

$S(m, n, 1)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded restricted subalgebra of $W(m, n, 1)$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-grading structure is given by $S(m, n, 1)_i := S(m, n, 1) \cap W(m, n, 1)_i$.

Next we define the Hamiltonian type Lie superalgebra $H(m, n, 1)$, where $m = 2l$ is even and $n > 3$. Let

$$i' = \begin{cases} 
  i + l, & 1 \leq i \leq l, \\
  i - l, & l + 1 \leq i \leq m, \\
  i, & m < i \leq m + n. 
\end{cases}$$

$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} 
  1, & 1 \leq i \leq l, \\
  -1, & l + 1 \leq i \leq m, \\
  1, & m < i \leq m + n. 
\end{cases}$

The Hamiltonian operator $D_H$ is defined as follows:

$$D_H : A(m, n, 1) \to W(m, n, 1)$$

$$f \mapsto D_H(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} f_i D_i$$

where $f$ is homogeneous and $f_i = \sigma(i')(\sigma(i'))^{-1} \sigma(\tau(i)) D_{i'}(f)$.

The Hamiltonian superalgebra $H(m, n, 1)$ is defined by

$$H(m, n, 1) = \langle D_H(f) \mid f \text{ is homogeneous} \rangle,$$

$$H(m, n, 1) = [H(m, n, 1), H(m, n, 1)].$$

$H(m, n, 1)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded restricted subalgebra of $W(m, n, 1)$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-grading structure is given by $H(m, n, 1)_i := H(m, n, 1) \cap W(m, n, 1)_i$.

4. Blocks of Cartan Type Lie Superalgebra

Entire this section, assume $p > 3$.

4.1. Type W. For $W(m, n, 1)$, there is no subalgebra $I$ satisfying the hypothesis of proposition 3.2 (in fact, assumption (3) fails). Hence, we need proposition 3.3.

Let $I$ be a restricted Lie superalgebra of classical type with triangular decomposition $I = I^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus I^+$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. Suppose $\sigma$ is an even restricted automorphism of $I$ such that $\sigma(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$. Then it induces $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)(h) = -\lambda(\sigma(h))$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\sigma}(\Lambda) \subseteq \Lambda$.

Denote $b = \mathfrak{h} \oplus I^+$ a solvable subalgebra of $I$ and $V(\lambda) = u(I) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b})} K_\lambda$ the baby Verma module where $K_\lambda$ is a one-dimensional $u(\mathfrak{b})$ module with weight $\lambda$. Let $V^\sigma(\lambda)$ be the twisted baby Verma module. Namely, $V^\sigma(\lambda) \simeq V(\lambda)$ as vector spaces while $x \cdot m := \sigma(x)(m)$ for all $x \in u(I), m \in V^\sigma(\lambda)$.

The following lemma is a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 4.1. Keep assumptions as above, $V^\sigma(\lambda) \simeq u(I) \otimes_{u(\sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}))} 1_{-\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)}$ by sending $x \otimes 1_\lambda$ to $\sigma^{-1}(x) \otimes 1_{-\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)}$. In particular, $[V(\lambda)] = [V^\sigma(\lambda)] = [u(I) \otimes_{u(\sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}))} 1_{-\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)}]$. 
Let \( g = W(m, n, 1) = g^- \oplus h \oplus g^+ \) be the triangular decomposition related to maximal torus \( h = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_{m+n} \rangle \) where \( h_i := x_iD_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m+n \).

Now, set
\[
I = \langle D_1, \ldots, D_{m+n} \rangle \oplus g_0 \oplus \langle p_1, \ldots, p_{m+n} \rangle
\]
where \( p_i = x_i \sum_{j=1}^{m+n} x_jD_j \in g_1 \).

Thanks to \([1], \text{lemma 3.1}\), \( I \simeq \text{pgl}(m+1|n) \). Let \( e_i := E_{i,i+1}, f_i := E_{i+1,i} \). Then \( \{ e_i, f_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, m+n-1 \} \) generates \( \text{pgl}(m+1|n) \). There is an even restricted automorphism \( \alpha \) of \( I \) induced by \( \alpha(e_i) = f_i \) and \( \alpha(f_i) = e_i \). Note that \( \alpha(b^+_i) = b^+_i \) and \( \alpha \) keeps \( \Lambda \). By \( \text{lemma 4.1} \), we have
\[
[u(l) \otimes u(b^-_i), 1_\lambda] = [u(l) \otimes u(b^+_i), 1-\alpha(\lambda)].
\]

Therefore, \( I \) is a subalgebra satisfying (1) and (2) of proposition 3.3.

For each \( i = 1, \ldots, m+n \), \( x_i^2D_i \) has weight \( 2\gamma_i \) where \( \gamma_i \in \Lambda \) such that \( \gamma_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij} \). Therefore, assumption (3) of proposition 3.3 satisfies.

To sum above up, all assumptions of proposition 3.3 hold for \( W(m, n, 1) \) and hence we have the following proposition by corollary 3.4.

**Proposition 4.2.** Keep assumptions as above, and let \( g = W(m, n, 1) \), then \( u(g) \) is of one block.

4.2. Type S. Let \( g = S(m, n, 1) = g^-_1 \oplus g^+ \oplus n^- \oplus n^+ \) be the triangular decomposition related to maximal torus \( h = \langle h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m+n-1 \rangle \) where \( h_i := x_iD_i - x_{i+1}D_{i+1} \), and \( g_0 = n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+ \).

Set \( I = g^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus h \oplus n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1 \) where \( n^+_1 = s \oplus t \),
\[
s = \langle x(a) x_{m+i}D_{m+n} \mid (a) \in I^m \backslash \{0\}, 1 \leq i < n \rangle,
\]
\[
t = \langle x(a) D_m \mid (a) \in I^m, a_m = 0, |a| \geq 2 \rangle,
\]
\[
l^+_1 = \langle x(a) D_{m+n} \mid (a) \in I^m \backslash \{0\} \rangle,
\]
\[
g^-_1 = \langle D_{m+1}, \ldots, D_{m+n} \rangle \oplus \langle x_iD_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m < j \leq m+n \rangle, \text{and}
\]
\[
n^- = \langle D_1, \ldots, D_m \rangle \oplus \langle x_iD_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m \text{ or } m+1 \leq i < j \leq m+n \rangle.
\]

One can check the followings:

- \( [h, g^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1] \leq g^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1 \);
- \( g^-_1 \oplus n^- \) is \( n \)-nilpotent;
- \( [n^-, n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1] \leq n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1 \); \( [g^-_1, n^+_1] \leq l^+_1 \); \( [g^-_1, l^+_1] = 0 \);
- \( [s, s] = [t, t] = [s, l^+_1] = [l^+_1, l^+_1] = 0 \); \( [s, t] \leq s \), \( s, t \leq s \), and \( [t, l^+_1] \leq l^+_1 \).

Therefore, \( \text{rad}(I) = g^-_1 \oplus n^- \oplus n^+_1 \oplus l^+_1 \) is a \( p \)-nilpotent ideal and \( I \) is a subalgebra satisfying (1) in \( \text{lemma 3.1} \).

Now define \( \gamma_i \) by \( \gamma_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m+n-1 \).

For \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \), \( D_i \) has weight \( -\gamma_i \) while \( x_{m+j}D_{m+j+1} \) has weight \( -\gamma_{m+j-1} + 2\gamma_{m+j} - (1 - \delta_{j,n-1})\gamma_{m+j+1} \) with respect to \( h \). One can check that \( n^- \) contains \( m+n-1 \) linear independent vectors
\[
\{ D_i, x_{m+j}D_{m+j+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \},
\]
with linear independent weights. Therefore, assumption (2) and (3) of proposition 3.2 satisfy.

For \( 1 \leq i \leq m-1 \), \( x_i^2D_m \) has weight \( 2\gamma_i - 2(1 - \delta_{1,i})\gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_{m-1} - \gamma_m \) while \( x_i^2D_m \) has weight
\[
3\gamma_1 + \gamma_{m-1} - \gamma_m.
\]

For \( 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \), \( x_1x_{m+j}D_{m+n} \) has weight \( \gamma_1 + \gamma_{m+j-1} - \gamma_m - j - \gamma_{m+n} \).

Hence, \( n^+_1 \) contains \( m+n-1 \) linear independent vectors
\[
\{ x_i^2D_i, x_1x_{m+j}D_{m+n} \mid 2 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \} \cup \{ x_1^2D_2 \}
\]
with linear independent weights. Assumption (2) of \( \text{lemma 3.1} \) satisfies.
To sum above up, all assumptions of proposition 3.2 hold for $S(m,n,1)$ and hence we have the following proposition by corollary 3.4.

**Proposition 4.3.** Keep assumptions as above, and let $\mathfrak{g} = S(m,n,1)$, then $u(\mathfrak{g})$ is of one block.

4.3. **Type H.** Let $\mathfrak{g} := H(m,n,1)$, where $m = 2l$, $n > 3$. Denote $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. For every $(a) = (a_1, \cdots, a_m) \in \mathbb{B}_m$ and $(b) = (b_1, \cdots, b_u) \in \mathbb{B}_u \subseteq \mathbb{B}(n)$, denote

$$X^{(a)}Y^{(b)} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m} x_{m+b_1} \cdots x_{m+b_u}.$$  

By definition, $\mathfrak{g} = \langle D_H(X^{(a)}Y^{(b)}) \mid X^{(a)}Y^{(b)} \neq x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_m^{p-1} x_{m+1} \cdots x_{m+n} \rangle$.

Fix a maximal torus $\mathfrak{h}$ with basis

$$\{h_i, h_{m+j} \mid i = 1, \cdots, l; j = 1, \cdots, k\}$$

where $h_i = D_H(x_i x_{l+i})$, $h_{m+j} = D_H(\sqrt{-1} x_{m+j} x_{m+k+j})$.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, set $e_i := x_{m+i} + \sqrt{-1} x_{m+k+i}$ and $f_i := x_{m+i} - \sqrt{-1} x_{m+k+i}$. Then both $D_H(e_i)$ and $D_H(f_i)$ are homogeneous odd elements of degree $-1$.

Define the following subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\alpha = \langle D_i \mid m + 1 \leq i \leq m + n \rangle \oplus \langle D_H(x_i f_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq k \rangle;$$

$$\beta = \langle D_H(x_i x_{m+n}) \mid l + 1 \leq i \leq m \rangle;$$

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_1 = \langle D_H(x_i x_j) \mid l + 1 \leq i, j \leq 2l \text{ or } 1 \leq i < l \leq j < i + l \rangle \oplus \langle D_1, \cdots, D_m \rangle;$$

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_2 = \langle D_H(a_{ij}), D_H(b_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k \rangle$$ where $a_{ij} = f_i e_j$, $b_{ij} = f_i f_j$;

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_3 = \langle D_H(f_i x_{m+n}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \rangle.$$

Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+_1$ be the triangular decomposition related to maximal torus $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$.

One can check that $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{n}^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-_2$ where

$$\mathfrak{n}^-_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{n}^-_2, & n = 2k, \\ \mathfrak{n}^-_2 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-_3, & n = 2k + 1; \end{array} \right.$$ and $\mathfrak{g}^-_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha, & n = 2k, \\ \alpha \oplus \beta, & n = 2k + 1. \end{array} \right.$

**Remark 4.4.** Above description for $\mathfrak{n}^-_2$ comes from [8, section 2.2].

For each $(c) = (c_1, \cdots, c_u) \in \mathbb{B}(k)$, $0 \leq u \leq k$, denote $f^{(c)} = f_{c_1} \cdots f_{c_u}$. In this case, the parity of $D_H(f^{(c)})$ equals to the parity of $u = |(c)|$.

Now, if $n = 2k$ is even, define $\mathfrak{l}^+ = \langle D_H(x^{(a)} f^{(c)}) \mid a_j = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq l; |(a)| + |(c)| \geq 3 \rangle$.

If $n = 2k + 1$ is odd, define $\mathfrak{l}^+ = \langle D_H(x^{(a)} f^{(c)} x_{m+n}^\delta) \mid a_j = 0 \text{ if } 1 \leq j \leq l; \delta \in \{0, 1\}; |(a)| + |(c)| + \delta \geq 3 \rangle$.

Let $\mathfrak{n}^+_1$ (resp. $\mathfrak{l}^+_1$) be the even (resp. odd) part of $\mathfrak{l}^+$.

One can check that $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{g}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1$ is a restricted subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that for all $f, g \in A(m, n, 1)$ homogeneous,

$$[D_i, D_H(f)] = D_H(D_i(f)) \quad \text{and} \quad [D_H(f), D_H(g)] = D_H\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \sigma(i)(-1)^{\tau(i)\delta(f)} D_i(f) D_{\Psi}(g) \right).$$

We have the following:

- $[D_H(e_i), D_H(e_j)] = [D_H(f_i), D_H(f_j)] = 0$;
- $[D_H(e_i), D_H(f_j)] = [D_H(f_j), D_H(e_i)] = -2\delta_{ij}$;
- $[h, \mathfrak{g}^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1$;
- $\mathfrak{g}^-_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ is $p$-nilpotent;
- $[\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1; \quad [\mathfrak{g}^-_1, \mathfrak{n}^+_1] \subseteq \mathfrak{l}^+_1; \quad [\mathfrak{g}^-_1, \mathfrak{l}^+_1] = 0$;
- $[\mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1, \mathfrak{n}^+_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}^+_1] = 0$.  

Therefore, \( \text{rad}(l) = \mathfrak{g}_1^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^+ \oplus \mathfrak{l}_1^+ \) is a \( p \)-nilpotent ideal and \( l \) is a subalgebra satisfying (1) in lemma 3.1.

For each \( 1 \leq i, j \leq l \), \( 1 \leq u, v \leq k \), defines \( \gamma_i, \delta_j \in \Lambda \) by \( \gamma_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij} \), and \( \delta_{ij}(h_{m+v}) = \delta_{uv} \).

For \( 1 \leq i \leq l \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq k \), \( D_i \) has weight \( -\gamma_i \) while \( D_H(e_{ij}) \) (resp. \( D_H(f_{ij}) \)) has weight \( \delta_j \) (resp. \( -\delta_j \)) with respect to \( \mathfrak{h} \). Then \( b_{uw} \) has weight \( -\delta_u - \delta_v \) for each \( 1 \leq u, v \leq k \) and \( a_{12} \) has weight \( -\delta_1 + \delta_2 \).

Therefore, \( \mathfrak{n}^- \) contains \( l + k \) linear independent vectors
\[
\{ D_i, D_H(b_{j,j+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l; 1 \leq j \leq k - 1 \} \cup \{ D_H(a_{12}) \}.
\]
with linear independent weights. Assumption (2) and (3) of proposition 3.2 satisfies.

For \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), \( D_H(x^3_{l+1}) \) has weight \( 3\gamma_i \). For \( 1 \leq u < v \leq k - 1 \), \( D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{u,v}) \) has weight \( 2\gamma_1 - \delta_u - \delta_v \), and \( D_H(x^2_{l+1}f_kx_{m+n}) \) has weight \( 2\gamma_1 - \delta_k \) if \( n = 2k + 1 \). Moreover, \( \mathfrak{n}^+ \) contains \( l + k \) linear independent vectors \( S \) with linear independent weights as following:
If \( k \geq 3 \) is odd,
\[
S = \{ D_H(x^3_{l+i}), D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{j,j+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l; 1 \leq j \leq k - 1 \} \cup \{ D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{1k}) \}.
\]
If \( k \geq 3 \) is even,
\[
S = \{ D_H(x^3_{l+i}), D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{j,j+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l; 1 \leq j \leq k - 1 \} \cup \{ D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{2k}) \}.
\]
If \( n = 5 \),
\[
S = \{ D_H(x^3_{l+i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l \} \cup \{ D_H(x^2_{l+1}b_{12}), D_H(x^2_{l+1}f_{12}x_{m+5}) \}.
\]
Therefore, assumption (2) of lemma 3.1 satisfies if \( n > 4 \).

**Proposition 4.5.** Keep assumptions as above, and let \( \mathfrak{g} = H(m, n, 1) \) with \( n > 4 \), then \( u(\mathfrak{g}) \) is of one block.

By Proposition 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we have our main theorem as follows.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be an algebraically closed field with characteristics \( p > 3 \), and \( \mathfrak{g} = X(m, n, 1) \), \( X \in \{ W, S, H \} \), be a graded restricted Lie superalgebra of Cartan type over \( \mathbb{K} \), except if \( X = H \) with \( n = 4 \).

Then \( u(\mathfrak{g}) \) is of one block.
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