A STABILIZER FREE WEAK GALERKIN METHOD FOR THE BIHARMONIC EQUATION ON POLYTOPAL MESHES
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Abstract. A new stabilizer free weak Galerkin (WG) method is introduced and analyzed for the biharmonic equation. Stabilizing/penalty terms are often necessary in the finite element formulations with discontinuous approximations to ensure the stability of the methods. Removal of stabilizers will simplify finite element formulations and reduce programming complexity. This stabilizer free WG method has an ultra simple formulation and can work on general partitions with polygons/polyhedra. Optimal order error estimates in a discrete $H^2$ for $k \geq 2$ and in $L^2$ norm for $k > 2$ are established for the corresponding weak Galerkin finite element solutions. Numerical results are provided to confirm the theories.
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1. Introduction. We consider the biharmonic equation of the form

\begin{align*}
\Delta^2 u &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= \phi \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{align*}

where $\Omega$ is a bounded polytopal domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

For the biharmonic problem (1.1) with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions (1.2) and (1.3), the corresponding weak form is given by seeking $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \phi$ such that

\begin{equation}
(\Delta u, \Delta v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H^2_0(\Omega),
\end{equation}

where $H^2_0(\Omega)$ is the subspace of $H^2(\Omega)$ consisting of functions with vanishing value and normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$.

It is known that $H^2$-conforming methods require $C^1$-continuous piecewise polynomials on a simplicial meshes, which imposes difficulty in practical computation. Due to the complexity in the construction of $C^1$-continuous elements, $H^2$-conforming finite element methods are rarely used in practice for solving the biharmonic equation.

As an alternative approach, nonconforming and discontinuous finite element methods have been developed for solving the biharmonic equation over the last several decades. The Morley element [2] is a well-known example of nonconforming...
element for the biharmonic equation by using piecewise quadratic polynomials. The weak Galerkin finite element methods use discontinuous approximations on general polytopal meshes introduced first in [9]. Many WG finite element methods have been developed for forth order problems [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12]. These weak Galerkin finite element methods for (1.1)-(1.3) have the following symmetric, positive definite and parameter independent formulation:

\[(\Delta_w u_h, \Delta_w v) + s(u_h, v) = (f, v).\]

The stabilizer \(s(\cdot, \cdot)\) in (1.5) is necessary to guarantee the well posedness and the convergence of the methods.

The purpose of the work is to further simplify the WG formulation (1.5) by removing the stabilizer to obtain an ultra simple formulation for the biharmonic equation:

\[(\Delta_w u_h, \Delta_w v) = (f, v).\]

We can obtain a stabilizer free WG method (1.6) by appropriately designing the weak Laplacian \(\Delta_w\). The idea is to raise the degree of polynomials used to compute weak Laplacian \(\Delta_w\). Using higher degree polynomials in computation of weak Laplacian will not change the size, neither the global sparsity of the stiffness matrix.

This new stabilizer free WG method for the forth order problem (1.2)-(1.3) has an ultra simple symmetric positive definite formulation (1.6) and can work on general polytopal meshes. For second order elliptic problems, stabilizer free WG methods have been studied in [1, 9, 11]. However for forth order problems, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first finite element method without any stabilizers for totally discontinuous approximations. Optimal order error estimates in a discrete \(H^2\) norm is established for the corresponding WG finite element solutions. Error estimates in the \(L^2\) norm are also derived with a sub-optimal order of convergence for the lowest order element and an optimal order of convergence for all high order of elements. Numerical results are presented to confirm the theory of convergence.

2. Weak Galerkin Finite Element Methods. Let \(T_h\) be a partition of the domain \(\Omega\) consisting of polygons in two dimension or polyhedra in three dimension satisfying a set of conditions defined in [8] and additional conditions specified in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Denote by \(E_h\) the set of all edges or flat faces in \(T_h\), and let \(E^0_h = E_h \setminus \partial \Omega\) be the set of all interior edges or flat faces.

For simplicity, we adopt the following notations,

\[
(v, w)_{T_h} = \sum_{T \in T_h} (v, w)_T = \sum_{T \in T_h} \int_T vwdx,
\]

\[
\langle v, w \rangle_{\partial T_h} = \sum_{T \in T_h} \langle v, w \rangle_{\partial T} = \sum_{T \in T_h} \int_{\partial T} vwsds.
\]

Let \(P_k(K)\) consist all the polynomials degree less or equal to \(k\) defined on \(K\).

First we introduce a set of normal directions on \(E_h\) as follows

\[(2.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_h = \{ n_e : n_e \text{ is unit and normal to } e, \ e \in E_h \}.\]

Then, we can define a weak Galerkin finite element space \(V_h\) for \(k \geq 2\) as follows

\[(2.2) \quad V_h = \{ v = \{ v_0, v_h, v_n n_e \} : v_0 \in P_k(T), v_h \in P_k(e), v_n \in P_{k-1}(e), e \subset \partial T \},\]
where \( v_n \) can be viewed as an approximation of \( \nabla v_0 \cdot n_e \).

Denote by \( V_h^0 \) a subspace of \( V_h \) with vanishing traces,
\[
V_h^0 = \{ v = (v_0, v_b, v_n n_e) \in V_h, v_b|_e = 0, v_n n_e|_e = 0, e \subset \partial T \cap \partial \Omega \}.
\]

A weak Laplacian operator, denoted by \( \Delta_w \), is defined as the unique polynomial \( \Delta_w v \in P_j(T) \) for \( j > k \) that satisfies the following equation
\[
(2.3) (\Delta_w v, \varphi)_T = (v_0, \Delta \varphi)_T - (v_b, \nabla \varphi \cdot n)_{\partial T} + (v_n n_e \cdot n, \varphi)_{\partial T}, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_j(T).
\]

Let \( Q_0, Q_b \) and \( Q_n \) be the locally defined \( L^2 \) projections onto \( P_k(T) \), \( P_k(e) \) and \( P_{k-1}(e) \) accordingly on each element \( T \in T_h \) and \( e \subset \partial T \). For the true solution \( u \) of (1.1)-(1.3), we define \( Q_h u \) as
\[
Q_h u = \{ Q_0 u, Q_b u, Q_n (\nabla u \cdot n_e) n_e \} \in V_h.
\]

**Weak Galerkin Algorithm 1.** A numerical approximation for (1.1)-(1.3) can be obtained by seeking \( u_h = (u_0, u_b, u_n n_e) \in V_h \) satisfying \( u_b = Q_b g \) and \( u_n n_e \cdot n = Q_n \phi \) on \( \partial \Omega \) and the following equation:
\[
(2.4) (\Delta_w u_h, \Delta_w v)_T = (f, v_0) \quad \forall v = (v_0, v_b, v_n n_e) \in V_h^0.
\]

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \phi \in H^2(\Omega) \), then on any \( T \in T_h \),
\[
(2.5) \quad \Delta_w \phi = Q_h (\Delta \phi),
\]
where \( Q_h \) is a locally defined \( L^2 \) projections onto \( P_j(T) \) on each element \( T \in T_h \).

**Proof.** It is not hard to see that for any \( \tau \in P_j(T) \) we have
\[
(\Delta_w \phi, \tau)_T = (\phi, \Delta \tau)_T + (\nabla \phi \cdot n_e) n_e \cdot n_e \tau_{\partial T} - (\phi, \nabla \tau \cdot n)_{\partial T} = (\phi, \Delta \tau)_T + (\nabla \phi \cdot n_e, \tau)_{\partial T} - (\phi, \nabla \tau \cdot n)_{\partial T} = (\Delta \phi, \tau)_T = (Q_h \Delta \phi, \tau)_T,
\]
which implies
\[
(2.6) \quad \Delta_w \phi = Q_h (\Delta \phi).
\]
It completes the proof. \( \square \)

**3. Well Posedness.** For any \( v \in V_h + H^2(\Omega) \), let
\[
(3.1) \quad \|v\|^2 = (\Delta_w v, \Delta_w v)_{T_h}.
\]

We introduce a discrete \( H^2 \) norm as follows:
\[
(3.2) \quad \|v\|_{2,h} = \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} \left( \|\Delta v_0\|_T^2 + h_T^{-3} \|v_0 - v_b\|_{\partial T}^2 + h_T^{-1} \|\nabla v_0 - v_n n_e \cdot n\|_{\partial T}^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
For any function $\varphi \in H^1(T)$, the following trace inequality holds true [8],

\begin{equation}
\|\varphi\|_T^2 \leq C \left( h_T^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{T}^2 + h_T \|\nabla \varphi\|_{T}^2 \right).
\end{equation}

The main goal of this section is to obtain the equivalence of the two norms $\| \cdot \|_{2,h}$ and $\| \cdot \|$. To do so, we need the two following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $T$ be a convex polygon/polyhedron of size $h_T$ with edges/faces $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$. Let $\lambda_1 \in P_1(T)$, $\lambda_1|_{e_1} = 0$ and $\max_T \lambda_1 = 1$. Let $\lambda_i \in P_1(T), i > 1$, $\lambda_i|_{e_i} = 0$ and $\lambda_i(m_1) = 1$ where $m_1$ is the barycenter of $e_1$. For any $f \in P_k(e_1)$, there is a unique polynomial $q = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_k^2 q_k$ for some $q_k \in P_k(T)$ such that

\begin{align}
(q, p)_T &= 0 \quad \forall p \in P_{k-1}(T), \\
\langle \nabla q \cdot n - f, p \rangle_{e_i} &= 0 \quad \forall p \in P_k(e_1), \\
\|q\|_T &\leq C h_T^{3/2} \|f\|_{e_1},
\end{align}

where $C$ depends on the minimum angle and the smallest ratio $h_{e_i}/h_T$, and $C$ is defined in (3.14) below.

**Proof.** We prove $q$ is uniquely defined by (3.4)–(3.5). Let $f = 0$ in (3.5). As $T$ is convex, $\lambda_i > 0$ in the interior of $e_1$ for all $i > 1$. Because of the positive weight, the vanishing weighted $L^2(e_1)$ inner-product forces $\nabla q \cdot n = 0$ on $e_1$:

\begin{equation}
\langle \nabla q \cdot n, p \rangle_{e_1} = -\frac{1}{h_T} \langle \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_k, p \rangle_{e_1} = 0 \quad \forall p \in P_k(e_1).
\end{equation}

Thus the vanishing weighted $L^2(T)$ inner-product forces $q = 0$ on $T$:

\begin{equation}
(q, p)_T = \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_k, p \rangle_{e_1} = 0 \quad \forall p \in P_{k-1}(T),
\end{equation}

where $q_{k-1} \lambda_1 = q_k$.

We find some upper bounds and lower bounds of these weight functions $\lambda_i$.

Let $e_i$, $1 < i \leq m$ ($m = 3$ in 2D), be a neighboring edge/face of $e_1$. Using the distance as its variable, we have

\begin{equation}
\lambda_i|_{e_1} = \frac{2}{h_{e_i}} x,
\end{equation}

where $x$ is the distance, along $e_1$, of the point to the intersection of $e_1$ and $e_i$. Here in 3D, we assume the size of $e_1$ is roughly twice the distance from the barycenter $m_1$ to the intersection edge $e_1 \cap e_i$. To avoid too many constants, we simply assume reasonably $h_{e_i} \geq h_T/4$. We compute the maximum as

\begin{equation}
\max_T \lambda_i \geq \frac{h_{\perp e_i}(T)}{(h_{e_i}/2) \sin \alpha_i} \leq \frac{2h_T}{h_{e_i} \sin \alpha_i} \leq \frac{8}{\sin \alpha_i} \leq \frac{8}{\sin \alpha_0},
\end{equation}

where $\pi - \alpha_i$ ($\alpha_i \geq \alpha_0 > 0$, and $\alpha_i \leq \pi - \alpha_0$) is the angle between $e_1$ and $e_i$, and $h_{\perp e_i}(T)$ is the maximal distance of a point on $T$ to $e_i$. For a lower bound, we have

\begin{equation}
\lambda_i|_{e_i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{15}{16} & \text{if } \alpha_i \leq \pi/2, \\ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16 \sin \alpha_i} \geq \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \alpha_i > \pi/2, \end{cases}
\end{equation}

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.
where $T_0$ is a square/cube at middle of $e_1$ with size $h_{e_1}/16$, cf. Figure 3. We note that other than triangles, $\alpha_i \leq \pi/2$ for most other polygons. Here in (3.10), we assumed $\sin \alpha_0 \geq \sqrt{d}/8$, where $d$ is the space dimension, 2 or 3.

For non-neighboring edges $e_j$, we have

$$\lambda_j|_{e_1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_j \parallel e_1, \\ \frac{2(x+x_j)}{h_{e_1}+x_j} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x$ is the arc-length parametrization on $e_1$ toward the extended intersection of $e_1$ and $e_i$, $x_j$ is the distance on $e_1$ from the an boundary point of $e_1$ to the intersection. Supposing $e_i$ is the only edge/polygonal between $e_1$ and $e_j$, $x_j = h_{e_i}(\cos \alpha_i - \cos(\alpha_i + \alpha_j))$. Because $x_j \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\max_T \lambda_j = \frac{h_{\perp e_1}(T)}{(h_{e_1}/2) \sin \alpha_i} \leq \frac{2h_T}{(h_{e_1} + x_j) \sin(\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} \leq \frac{8}{\sin \alpha_0}.$$  

For a lower bound, because $x_j > 0$ and $e_i$ is an edge/polygon in between, we have

$$\lambda_j|_{T_0} \geq \lambda_i|_{T_0} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$  

Together, in (3.7) and (3.8), we have, noting $\lambda_1|_T \leq 1$,

$$\lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n|_{T_0} \geq \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \ldots \lambda_n^2|_T \leq \frac{8^{2n-2}}{\sin^{n-2} \alpha_0}.$$  

Let $\tilde{q}_k \in P_k(e_1)$ be the unique solution in (3.5), i.e., $\tilde{q}_k = q_k|_{e_1}$. Letting $p = -h_T\tilde{q}_k$ in (3.5), cf. (3.7), we get, by (3.13),

$$\frac{1}{16^k} \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}} \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{e_1} \leq \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{e_1} \leq \|\lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n \tilde{q}_k\|^2_{e_1} \leq \frac{1}{h_T}\langle \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n \tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_k \rangle_{e_1} = \langle \lambda_2 \lambda_n \tilde{q}_k, p \rangle_{e_1} = \langle f, p \rangle_{e_1} = \langle f, -h_T \tilde{q}_k \rangle_{e_1} \leq h_T\|f\|_{e_1} \|\tilde{q}_k\|_{e_1},$$

where in the first step we use the fact $\tilde{q}_k$ is a degree $k$ polynomial. For the unique solution $\tilde{q}_k \in P_k(e_1)$, we view it as a polynomial on the whole line or whole plane.
containing \( e_1 \). We also extend it to \( P_k(\mathbb{R}^d) \) by letting it be constant in the direction orthogonal to \( e_1 \). Let \( S_T \) be a square/cube of size \( h_T \) containing \( T \), with one side \( S_{e_1} \) which contains \( e_1 \). It follows that, by \eqref{eq:3.13},

\[
\|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_T \leq \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{S_T} = h_T \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{S_{e_1}} \leq h_T \left( \frac{h_T}{h_{e_1}} \right)^{2k} \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{e_1} \leq h_T^4 \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_{e_1} \leq h_T^4 \left( 2^{8k+2n-2} h_T \|f\|_{e_1} \right)^2 = 2^{20k+4n-4} h_T^3 \|f\|_{e_1}.
\]

We rewrite \( q \) in terms of this extended polynomial,

\[
q = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_k = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 (\lambda_1 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_k) \quad \text{for some } q_{k-1} \in P_{k-1}(T).
\]

Letting \( p = q_{k-1} \) in \eqref{eq:3.4}, it follows that, by \eqref{eq:3.13},

\[
\|q_{k-1}\|^2_T \leq (h_T/h_{e_0})^{2k-2} \|q_{k-1}\|^2_{T_0} \leq 64^{2k-2} 2^{2n-2} (\lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}, q_{k-1})_{T_0} \leq 2^{2n+12k-14} (2h_T/h_{e_0})^2 (\lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}, q_{k-1})_{T_0} \leq 2^{16n+26k-14} (\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 \tilde{q}_k, q_{k-1})_T \leq 2^{16n+26k-14} \frac{8^{2n-2}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} \|\tilde{q}_k\|_T \|q_{k-1}\|_T,
\]

where \( T_{0,0} \) is the top half of \( T_0 \), and we used the fact \( \max_T \lambda_1 = 1 \) and the fact that the integrand on \( T_{0,0} \) is a degree \( 2n + 2k - 2 \) polynomial. We estimate

\[
\|q\|^2_T = (\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 (\lambda_1 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_k), \lambda_1 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_k)_T \leq \frac{8^{2n-4}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} (\lambda_1 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_k, \lambda_1 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_k)_T \leq \frac{8^{2n-4}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} 2 (\|\lambda_1 q_{k-1}\|^2_T + \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_T) \leq \frac{2^{12n-11}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} (\|q_{k-1}\|^2_T + \|\tilde{q}_k\|^2_T).
\]

Combining above three bounds, we get

\[
\|q\|_T \leq \left( \frac{2^{12n-11}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2^{22n+26k-20}}{\sin^{4n-2} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{q}_k\|_T \leq \left( \frac{2^{16n+20k-15}}{\sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2^{22n+26k-20}}{\sin^{4n-2} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_T^3 \|f\|_{e_1} =: Ch_T^{3/2} \|f\|_{e_1}.
\]  

The proof is completed. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let the following notations be defined in Lemma 3.1. For any \( g \in P_{k-1}(e_1) \), there is a unique polynomial \( q = \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k+1} \) for some \( q_{k+1} \in P_{k+1}(T) \) such that

\[
(3.15) \quad (q, p)_T = 0 \quad \forall p \in P_{k-1}(T),
\]

\[
(3.16) \quad \langle \nabla q \cdot n, p \rangle_{e_1} = 0 \quad \forall p \in P_k(e_1),
\]

\[
(3.17) \quad \langle q - g, p \rangle_{e_1} = 0 \quad \forall p \in P_{k+1}(e_1),
\]

\[
(3.18) \quad \|q\|_T \leq Ch_T^{3/2} \|g\|_{e_1},
\]
where $C$ depends on the minimum angle and the smallest ratio $h_{e_i}/h_T$, and $C$ is defined in (3.19) below.

Proof. For unisovence, letting $g = 0$ in (3.17), we get $q = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_k$ for some $q_k \in P_k(e_1)$, because the weights $\lambda_i > 0$. By (3.16), $\nabla q \cdot n_{e_1} = 0$ and thus $q = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}$ for some $q_{k-1} \in P_{k-1}(T)$. By (3.15), $q_{k-1}|_T = 0$ and thus $q = 0$.

The upper and lower bounds for $\lambda_i$ are same as that in Lemma 3.1.

Let $\tilde{q}_{k+1} \in P_{k+1}(e_1)$ be the unique solution in (3.17), i.e., $q|_{e_1} = \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 \tilde{q}_{k+1}$.

Letting $p = \tilde{q}_{k+1}$ in (3.17), we get, by (3.13),

$$
\frac{1}{16^{2k+2}} \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n-2}} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_0} \leq \|\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_0}
$$

$$
\leq \|\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_1} = (\lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 \tilde{q}_{k+1}, \tilde{q}_{k+1})_{e_1}
$$

$$
= (g, \tilde{q}_{k+1})_{e_1} \leq \|g\|_{e_1} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|_{e_1},
$$

where in the first step we use the fact $\tilde{q}_{k+1}$ is a degree $k + 1$ polynomial. For the unique solution $\tilde{q}_{k+1} \in P_{k+1}(e_1)$, we view it as a polynomial on the whole line or whole plane containing $e_1$. We also extend it to $P_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by letting it be constant in the direction orthogonal to $e_1$. Let $S_T$ be a square/cube of size $h_T$ containing $T$, with one side $S_{e_1}$ which contains $e_1$. It follows that, by (3.13),

$$
\|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{T} \leq \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{S_T} = h_T \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{S_{e_1}} \leq h_T (\frac{h_T}{h_{e_1}})^{2k+2} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_1}
$$

$$
\leq h_T 4^{2k+2} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|^2_{e_1} \leq h_T 4^{2k+2} (2^{8k+2n+6} \|g\|_{e_1})^2
$$

$$
= 2^{20k+4n+16} h_T \|g\|^2_{e_1}.
$$

We rewrite $q$ in terms of this extended polynomial,

$q = \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k+1} = \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 (\lambda_1 q_k + \tilde{q}_{k+1})$ for some $q_k \in P_k(T)$.

By (3.16), we have further, because $\nabla \tilde{q}_{k+1} \cdot n|_{e_1} = 0$,

$q = \lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 (\lambda_1^2 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_{k+1})$ for some $q_{k-1} \in P_{k-1}(T)$.

Letting $p = q_{k-1}$ in (3.15), it follows that, by (3.13),

$$
\|q_{k-1}\|^2_{T} \leq (h_T/h_{e_0})^{2k-2} \|q_{k-1}\|^2_{T_0} \leq 64^{2k-2} 2^{2n-2} (\lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}, q_{k-1})_{T_0}
$$

$$
\leq 2^{2n+12k-14} (2 h_T/h_{e_0})^2 (\lambda_1^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}, q_{k-1})_{T_0,0}
$$

$$
\leq 2^{2n+12k} (2 h_T/h_{e_0}) 2^{n+2k-2} (\lambda_1^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 q_{k-1}, q_{k-1})_{T}
$$

$$
= 2^{16n+26k-14} (\lambda_2^2 \cdots \lambda_n^2 \tilde{q}_{k+1}, -q_{k-1})_{T}
$$

$$
\leq 2^{16n+26k-14} \frac{8^{2n-2}}{\sin^{2n-2} \alpha_0} \|\tilde{q}_{k+1}\|_{T} \|q_{k-1}\|_{T},
$$

where $T_{0,0}$ is the top half of $T_0$, and we used the fact $\max_T \lambda_1 = 1$ and the fact that
the integrant on $T_{0,0}$ is a degree $2n+2k-2$ polynomial. We estimate
\[ \| q \|_T^2 = (\lambda^2_1 \cdots \lambda^2_n (\lambda^2 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_{k+1}), \lambda^2 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_{k+1}) \]
\[ \leq \frac{8^{4n-4}}{sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} (\lambda^2 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_{k+1}, \lambda^2 q_{k-1} + \tilde{q}_{k+1}) \]
\[ \leq \frac{2(\| \lambda^2 q - 1 \|_T^2 + \| \tilde{q}_{k+1} \|_T^2)}{sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} (\| q_{k-1} \|_T^2 + \| \tilde{q}_{k+1} \|_T^2). \]

Combining above three bounds, we get
\[ \| q \|_T \leq \left( \frac{2^{12n-11}}{sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2^{16n+26k-14}}{sin^{2n-2} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \tilde{q}_k \|_T \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{2^{16n+26k+5}}{sin^{4n-4} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2^{16n+26k-14}}{sin^{2n-2} \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_T \| g \|_{\epsilon_1} =: C h_T \| g \|_{\epsilon_1}. \]

The proof is completed. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** There exist two positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that for any $v = \{v_0, v_n, n_e\} \in V_h$, we have
\[ \| v \|_{2,h} \leq \| v \| \leq C_2 \| v \|_{2,h}. \]

**Proof.** For any $v = \{v_0, v_n, n_e\} \in V_h$, it follows from the definition of weak Laplacian (2.3) and integration by parts that
\[ (\Delta w, \phi)_T = (v_0, \Delta \phi)_T - \langle v_b, \nabla \phi \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} + \langle v_n n_e \cdot n, \phi \rangle_{\partial T} \]
\[ = -(\nabla v_0, \nabla \phi)_T + \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla \phi \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} + \langle v_n n_e \cdot n, \phi \rangle_{\partial T} \]
\[ = (\Delta v_0, \phi)_T + \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla \phi \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} + \langle (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n, \phi \rangle_{\partial T}. \]

By letting $\phi = \Delta w v$ in (2.21) we arrive at
\[ \| \Delta w v \|_T^2 = (\Delta v_0, \Delta w v)_T + \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla (\Delta w v) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} + \langle (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n, \Delta w v \rangle_{\partial T} \]

From the trace inequality (3.3) and the inverse inequality we have
\[ \| \Delta w v \|_T^2 \leq \| \Delta v_0 \|_T \| \Delta w v \|_T + \| v_0 - v_b \|_{\partial T} \| \nabla (\Delta w v) \|_{\partial T} \]
\[ + \| (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n \|_{\partial T} \| \Delta w v \|_{\partial T} \]
\[ \leq C (\| \Delta v_0 \|_T + h_T^{-3/2}) \| v_0 - v_b \|_{\partial T} \]
\[ + h_T^{-1/2} \| (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n \|_{\partial T} \| \Delta w v \|_T, \]

which implies
\[ \| \Delta w v \|_T \leq C \left( \| \Delta v_0 \|_T + h_T^{-3/2} \| v_0 - v_b \|_{\partial T} + h_T^{-1/2} \| (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n \|_{\partial T} \right), \]

and consequently
\[ \| v \| \leq C_2 \| v \|_{2,h}. \]
Next we will prove
\[ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-3} \| v_0 - v_b \|^2_T \leq C \| v \|^2. \]

It follows from (3.21) that for any \( \varphi \in P_j(T) \),
\[
(\Delta_w v, \varphi)_T = (\Delta v_0, \varphi)_T + \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla \varphi \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} \\
+ \langle (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n, \varphi \rangle_{\partial T}.
\]
(3.22)

By Lemma 3.1, there exist a \( \varphi_0 \) such that for \( e \in \partial T \),
\[
(\Delta v_0, \varphi_0)_T = 0, \quad \langle (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n, \varphi_0 \rangle_{\partial T} = 0,
\]
(3.23)

and
\[
\| \varphi_0 \|_T \leq C h_T^{3/2} \| v_0 - v_b \|_e.
\]
(3.24)

Letting \( \varphi = \varphi_0 \) in (3.22) yields
\[
\| v_0 - v_b \|^2_e = (\Delta_w v, \varphi_0)_T \leq \| \Delta_w v \|_T \| \varphi_0 \|_T \leq C h_T^{3/2} \| \Delta_w v \|_T \| v_0 - v_b \|_e,
\]
which implies
\[
\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-3} \| v_0 - v_b \|^2_T \leq C \| v \|^2.
\]
(3.26)

Similarly, by Lemma 3.2, we can have
\[
\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-1} \| (\nabla v_0 - v_n n_e) \cdot n \|^2_{\partial T} \leq C \| v \|^2.
\]
(3.27)

Finally, by letting \( \varphi = \Delta_w v \) in (3.22) we arrive at
\[
\| \Delta v_0 \|^2_T = (\Delta v_0, \Delta_w v)_T - \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla (\Delta_w v) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} \\
- \langle (v_n n_e - \nabla v_0) \cdot n, \Delta_w v \rangle_{\partial T}.
\]

Using the trace inequality (3.3), the inverse inequality and (3.26)-(3.27), one has
\[
\| \Delta v_0 \|^2_T \leq C \| \Delta_w v \|_T \| \Delta v_0 \|_T,
\]
which gives
\[
\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \| \Delta v_0 \|^2_T \leq C \| v \|^2.
\]

We complete the proof. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.4.** The weak Galerkin finite element scheme (2.4) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that the solution of (2.4) is trivial if \( f = g = \phi = 0 \).
Take \( v = u_h \) in (2.4). It follows that
\[
(\Delta_w u_h, \Delta_w u_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} = 0.
\]

Then the norm equivalence (3.20) implies \( \| u_h \|_{2,h} = 0 \). Consequently, we have \( \Delta u_0 = 0 \), \( u_0 = u_b \), \( \nabla u_0 \cdot n_e = u_n \) on \( \partial T \). Thus \( u_0 \) is a smooth harmonic function on \( \Omega \). The boundary condition of \( u_b = 0 \) implies that \( u_0 \equiv 0 \) on \( \Omega \). We have \( u_0 = 0 \), then \( u_b = u_n = 0 \), which completes the proof. \( \Box \)
4. An Error Equation. Let $e_h = u - u_h$. The goal of this section is to obtain an error equation that $e_h$ satisfies.

**Lemma 4.1.** For any $v \in V_h^0$, we have

\[ (\Delta_w e_h, \Delta_w v)_\mathcal{T}_h = \ell_1(u, v) + \ell_2(u, v), \]

where

\[ \ell_1(u, v) = \langle \nabla (Q_h \Delta u - \Delta u) \cdot n, v_0 - v_0 \rangle_{\partial T_h}, \]

\[ \ell_2(u, v) = \langle \Delta u - Q_h \Delta u, (\nabla v_0 - v_n n) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T}. \]

**Proof.** For $v = \{v_0, v_b, v_n n_v\} \in V_h^0$, testing (1.1) by $v_0$ and using the fact that $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle \nabla (\Delta u) \cdot n, v_0 \rangle_{\partial T} = 0$ and $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle \Delta u, v_n n \rangle_{\partial T} = 0$ and integration by parts, we arrive at

\[ (f, v_0) = (\Delta^2 u, v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \]

\[ = (\Delta u, \Delta v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \Delta u, \nabla v_0 \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T_h} + \langle \nabla (\Delta u) \cdot n, v_0 \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ = (\Delta u, \Delta v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle \Delta u, (\nabla v_0 - v_n n) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ + \langle \nabla (\Delta u) \cdot n, v_0 - v_0 \rangle_{\partial T_h}. \]

Next we investigate the term $(\Delta u, \Delta v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h}$ in the above equation. Using (2.5), integration by parts and the definition of weak Laplacian, we have

\[ (\Delta u, \Delta v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} = (Q_h \Delta u, \Delta v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \]

\[ = (v_0, Q_h \Delta u)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + \langle \nabla v_0 \cdot n, Q_h \Delta u \rangle_{\partial T_h} - \langle v_0, \nabla (Q_h \Delta u) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ = (\Delta_w v, Q_h \Delta u)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla (Q_h \Delta u) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ + \langle (\nabla v_0 - v_n n) \cdot n, Q_h \Delta u \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ = (\Delta_w u, \Delta_w v)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla (Q_h \Delta u) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T} \]

\[ + \langle (\nabla v_0 - v_n n) \cdot n, Q_h \Delta u \rangle_{\partial T_h}. \]

Combining the above equation with (4.2) gives

\[ (f, v_0) = (\Delta^2 u, v_0)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \]

\[ = (\Delta_w u, \Delta_w v)_{\mathcal{T}_h} - \langle v_0 - v_b, \nabla (Q_h \Delta u - \Delta u) \cdot n \rangle_{\partial T_h} \]

\[ - \langle (\nabla v_0 - v_n n)v \Delta u - Q_h \Delta u \rangle_{\partial T}. \]

which implies that

\[ (\Delta_w u, \Delta_w v)_{\mathcal{T}_h} = (f, v_0) + \ell_1(u, v) + \ell_2(u, v). \]

The error equation follows from subtracting (2.4) from the above equation,

\[ (\Delta_w e_h, \Delta_w v)_{\mathcal{T}_h} = \ell_1(u, v) + \ell_2(u, v). \]

We have proved the lemma. \[\square\]
5. An Error Estimate in $H^2$. We will obtain the optimal convergence rate for the solution $u_h$ of the stabilizer free WG method in (2.4) in a discrete $H^2$ norm.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $k \geq 2$ and $w \in H^{\max(k+1,4)}(\Omega)$. There exists a constant $C$ such that the following estimates hold true:

\[
(5.1) \quad \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T \| \Delta w - Q_h \Delta w \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{k-1} \| w \|_{k+1},
\]

\[
(5.2) \quad \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^3 \| \nabla (\Delta w - Q_h \Delta w) \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{k-1} (\| w \|_{k+1} + h \delta_{k,2} \| w \|_4).
\]

Here $\delta_{i,j}$ is the usual Kronecker’s delta with value 1 when $i = j$ and value 0 otherwise.

The above lemma can be proved by using the trace inequality (3.3) and the definition of $Q_h$. The proof can also be found in [3].

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $w \in H^{\max(k+1,4)}(\Omega)$ for $k \geq 2$ and $v \in V_h$. There exists a constant $C$ such that

\[
(5.3) \quad | \ell_1(w, v) | \leq C h^{k-1} (\| w \|_{k+1} + h \delta_{k,2} \| w \|_4) \| v \|.
\]

\[
(5.4) \quad | \ell_2(w, v) | \leq C h^{k-1} \| w \|_{k+1} \| v \|.
\]

**Proof.** Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (5.1)-(5.2) and (3.20), we have

\[
\ell_1(w, v) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle \nabla (\Delta w - Q_h \Delta w) \cdot \mathbf{n}, v_0 - v_b \rangle_{\partial T} = \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^3 \| \nabla (\Delta w - Q_h \Delta w) \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-3} \| v_0 - v_b \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{k-1} (\| w \|_{k+1} + h \delta_{k,2} \| w \|_4) \| v \|,
\]

and

\[
\ell_2(w, v) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle \Delta w - Q_h \Delta w, (\nabla v_0 - v_n \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial T} = \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T \| \Delta w - Q_h \Delta w \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-1} \| (\nabla v_0 - v_n \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{k-1} \| w \|_{k+1} \| v \|.
\]

We have completed the proof. □

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $w \in H^{\max(k+1,4)}(\Omega)$, then

\[
(5.7) \quad \| w - Q_h w \| \leq C h^{k-1} \| w \|_{k+1}.
\]
Proof. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, it follows from (2.3), integration by parts, (3.3) and inverse inequality,
\[
\|\Delta_w(w - Q_h w)\|_T^2 \\
= (\Delta_w(w - Q_h w), \Delta_w(w - Q_h w))_T \\
= (w - Q_h w, \Delta(\Delta(w - Q_h w)) T) - (w - Q_h w, \nabla(\Delta(w - Q_h w)) \cdot n)_{\partial T} \\
+ (\nabla w \cdot n - Q_n(\nabla w \cdot n) \cdot n, \Delta(w - Q_h w))_{\partial T} \\
\leq C(h_T^2\|w - Q_h w\|_T + h_T^{3/2}\|w - Q_h w\|_{\partial T}) \\
+ h_T^{-1/2}\|\nabla w \cdot n - Q_n(\nabla w \cdot n)\|_{\partial T}\|\Delta w(w - Q_h w)\|_T \\
\leq Ch^{k-1}\|w\|_{k+1,T}\|\Delta w(w - Q_h w)\|_T.
\]
Using the above inequality and taking the summation of it over $T$, we derive (5.7) and prove the lemma. \hfill \Box

Theorem 5.4. Let $u_h \in V_h$ be the weak Galerkin finite element solution arising from (2.4). Assume that the exact solution $u \in H_{\text{max}}^{k+1,4}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that
\[
\|u - u_h\| \leq Ch^{k-1}(\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4).
\]

Proof. Let $\epsilon_h = Q_h u - u_h \in V_h^0$. It is straightforward to obtain
\[
\|\epsilon_h\|_h^2 = (\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w\epsilon_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
= (\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(u - u_h))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
= (\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(Q_h u - u_h))_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(u - Q_h u))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
= (\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(u - u_h))_{\mathcal{T}_h}.
\]
Next, we bound the two terms on the right hand side in (5.9). Letting $v = \epsilon_h \in V_h^0$ in (4.1) and using (5.3)-(5.4) and (5.7), we have
\[
|(\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(u - u_h))_{\mathcal{T}_h}| \leq |\ell_1(u, \epsilon_h)| + |\ell_2(u, \epsilon_h)| \\
\leq Ch^{k-1}(\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4)\|\epsilon_h\| \\
\leq Ch^{k-1}(\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4)(\|u - Q_h u\| + \|u - u_h\|) \\
\leq Ch^{2(k-1)}(\|u\|_{k+1}^2 + h^2\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4^2) + \frac{1}{4}\|\epsilon_h\|^2.
\]
(5.10)
The estimate (5.7) implies
\[
|(\Delta w\epsilon_h, \Delta w(u - Q_h u))_{\mathcal{T}_h}| \leq C\|u - Q_h u\|\|\epsilon_h\| \\
\leq Ch^{2(k-1)}(\|u\|_{k+1}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\epsilon_h\|^2).
\]
(5.11)
Combining the estimates (5.10) and (5.11) with (5.9), we arrive
\[
\|\epsilon_h\| \leq Ch^{k-1}(\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4),
\]
which completes the proof. \hfill \Box
6. Error Estimates in $L^2$ Norm. In this section, we will provide an estimate for the $L^2$ norm of the WG solution $u_h$.

Recall that $e_h = u - u_h$ and $e_h = Q_h u - u_h = \{e_0, e_b, e_n\} \in V^0_h$.

Let us consider the following dual problem

\begin{align}
\Delta^2 w &= e_0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\
 w &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\
\nabla w \cdot n &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.
\end{align}

The $H^4$ regularity assumption of the dual problem implies the existence of a constant $C$ such that

\begin{equation}
\|w\|_4 \leq C\|e_0\|.
\end{equation}

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $u_h \in V_h$ be the weak Galerkin finite element solution arising from (2.4). Assume that the exact solution $u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and (6.4) holds true. Then, there exists a constant $C$ such that

\begin{equation}
\|Q_0 u - u_0\| \leq C h^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} (\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2}\|u\|_4).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Testing (6.1) by $e_0$ and then using the equation (4.3) with $u = w$ and $v = e_h$, we obtain

\begin{align*}
\|e_0\|^2 &= (\Delta^2 w, e_0) \\
&= (\Delta w, \Delta w e_h)_{T_h} - (e_0 - e_b, \nabla (Q_h \Delta w - \Delta w) \cdot n)_{\partial T_h} \\
&\quad - \langle (\nabla e_0 - \epsilon_n n_c) \cdot n, \Delta w - Q_h \Delta w \rangle_{\partial T} \\
&= (\Delta w, \Delta w e_h)_{T_h} - \ell_1 (w, e_h) - \ell_2 (w, e_h)
\end{align*}

The error equation (4.1) gives

\begin{align*}
(\Delta w, \Delta w e_h)_{T_h} &= (\Delta w, \Delta w e_h)_{T_h} + (\Delta w, \Delta w (Q_h u - u))_{T_h} \\
&= (\Delta w, \Delta w Q_h u)_{T_h} + (\Delta w e_h, \Delta w (w - Q_h w))_{T_h} \\
&\quad + (\Delta w, \Delta w (Q_h u - u))_{T_h} \\
&= \ell_1 (u, Q_h w) + \ell_2 (u, Q_h w) + (\Delta w e_h, \Delta w (w - Q_h w))_{T_h} \\
&\quad + (\Delta w, \Delta w (Q_h u - u))_{T_h}.
\end{align*}

Combining the two equations above, we obtain

\begin{align*}
\|e_0\|^2 &= \ell_1 (u, Q_h w) + \ell_2 (u, Q_h w) + (\Delta w e_h, \Delta w (w - Q_h w))_{T_h} \\
&\quad + (\Delta w, \Delta w (Q_h u - u))_{T_h} - \ell_1 (w, e_h) - \ell_2 (w, e_h) \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.
\end{align*}

Next, we will estimate the all the terms on the right hand side of the above equation.
Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (3.3) and (5.2), we have

\[ I_1 = |\ell_1(u, Q_h w)| = \left| \sum_{T \in T_h} (\nabla(\Delta u - Q_h \Delta u) \cdot n, Q_0 w - Q_h w)_{\partial T} \right| \]

\[ \leq \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^2 \|\nabla(\Delta u - Q_h \Delta u)\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^{-3} \|Q_0 w - Q_h w\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^2 \|\nabla(\Delta u - Q_h \Delta u)\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^{-3} \|Q_0 w - w\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} (\|u\|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2} \|u\|_4) \|w\|_4. \]

Similarly, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (5.1) and (3.3), we have

\[ I_2 = |\ell_2(u, Q_h w)| = \left| \sum_{T \in T_h} (\Delta u - Q_h \Delta u, (\nabla Q_0 w \cdot n - Q_n (\nabla w \cdot n))_{\partial T} \right| \]

\[ \leq \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T \|\Delta u - Q_h \Delta u\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \sum_{T \in T_h} h_T^{-1} \|\nabla Q_0 w \cdot n - \nabla w \cdot n\|_{\partial T}^2 + \|\nabla w \cdot n - Q_n (\nabla w \cdot n)\|_{\partial T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} \|u\|_{k+1} \|w\|_4. \]

It follows from (5.8) and (5.7),

\[ I_3 = (\Delta w e_h, \Delta w (w - Q_h w))_{T_h} \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} \|u\|_{k+1} \|w\|_4. \]

To bound \( I_4 \), we define a \( L^2 \) projection element-wise onto \( P_1(T) \) denoted by \( R_h \). Then it follows from the definition of weak Laplacian (2.3)

\[ (\Delta w(Q_h u - u), R_h \Delta w w)_T \]

\[ = (Q_h u - u, \Delta(R_h \Delta w w))_T - (Q_h u - u, \nabla(R_h \Delta w w) \cdot n)_{\partial T} \]

\[ + ((Q_n(\nabla u \cdot n_e) - \nabla u \cdot n_e) \cdot n, R_h \Delta w w)_{\partial T} = 0. \]

Using the equation above and (5.7) and the definition of \( R_h \), we have

\[ I_4 = |\Delta w(Q_h u - u), \Delta w w)_{T_h}| \]

\[ = \left| (\Delta w(Q_h u - u), \Delta w w - R_h \Delta w w)_{T_h} \right| \]

\[ \leq Ch^{k+1} \|u\|_{k+1} \|w\|_4. \]

Using (4.2), (5.3), (5.8) and (5.7), we have

\[ I_5 = |\ell_1(w, e_h)| \leq Ch^{2-\delta_{k,2}} \|w\|_4 \leq Ch^{2-\delta_{k,2}} \|w\|_4 (\|Q_h u - u\| + \|e_h\|) \]

\[ \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} \|w\|_{k+1} \|w\|_4. \]

Similarly, we obtain

\[ I_6 = |\ell_2(w, e_h)| \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_{k,2}} \|w\|_{k+1} \|w\|_4. \]
Combining all the estimates above yields
\[ \| \epsilon_0 \|_2 \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_k,2}(\| u \|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2} \| u \|_4)\| w \|_4. \]

It follows from the above inequality and the regularity assumption (6.4).
\[ \| \epsilon_0 \| \leq Ch^{k+1-\delta_k,2}(\| u \|_{k+1} + h\delta_{k,2} \| u \|_4). \]

We have completed the proof. \( \square \)

7. Numerical Test. We solve the following 2D biharmonic equation on the unit square:
\[ \Delta^2 u = f, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \]
with the boundary conditions \( u = g_1 \) and \( \nabla u \cdot n = g_2 \) on \( \partial \Omega \). Here \( f, g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are chosen so that the exact solution is
\[ u = e^{x+y}. \]

In the first computation, the level one grid consists of two unit right triangles cutting from the unit square by a forward slash. The high level grids are the half-size refinements of the previous grid. The first three levels of grids are plotted in Figure 7.1. The error and the order of convergence for the method are shown in Tables 7.1. Here on triangular grids, we let \( j = k + 2 \) defined in (2.3) for computing the weak Laplacian \( \Delta_w v \). The numerical results confirm the convergence theory.

In the next computation, we use a family of polygonal grids (with pentagons) shown in Figure 7.2. We let the polynomial degree \( j = k + 3 \) for the weak Laplacian on such polygonal meshes. The rate of convergence is listed in Table 7.2. The convergence history confirms the theory.
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Table 7.1
Error profiles and convergence rates for (7.1) on triangular grids (Figure 7.1)

| level | $\|u_h - u\|_0$ rate | $|u_h - u|_{1,h}$ rate | $\|u_h - u\|$ rate |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| by the $P_2$ weak Galerkin finite element |
| 5     | 0.7913E-04 1.96  | 0.5596E-03 2.00  | 0.2764E+00 1.00 |
| 6     | 0.2016E-04 1.97  | 0.1412E-03 1.99  | 0.1383E+00 1.00 |
| 7     | 0.5049E-05 2.00  | 0.3547E-04 1.99  | 0.6912E-01 1.00 |
| by the $P_3$ weak Galerkin finite element |
| 3     | 0.3788E-05 4.20  | 0.1398E-03 3.09  | 0.2949E-01 2.00 |
| 4     | 0.2114E-06 4.16  | 0.1713E-04 3.03  | 0.7384E-02 2.00 |
| 5     | 0.1284E-07 4.04  | 0.2128E-05 3.01  | 0.1848E-02 2.00 |

Fig. 7.2. The first three levels of polygonal grids used in the computation of Table 7.2.

Table 7.2
Error profiles and convergence rates for (7.1) on polygonal grids (Figure 7.2)

| level | $\|u_h - u\|_0$ rate | $|u_h - u|_{1,h}$ rate | $\|u_h - u\|$ rate |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| by the $P_2$ weak Galerkin finite element |
| 3     | 0.5699E-03 2.6   | 0.8766E-02 1.9   | 0.4895E+01 1.0   |
| 4     | 0.1035E-03 2.5   | 0.2346E-02 1.9   | 0.2445E+01 1.0   |
| 5     | 0.2477E-04 2.1   | 0.6175E-03 1.9   | 0.1222E+01 1.0   |
| 6     | 0.6835E-05 1.9   | 0.1598E-03 2.0   | 0.6112E+00 1.0   |
| by the $P_3$ weak Galerkin finite element |
| 1     | 0.1571E-02 0.0   | 0.1905E-01 0.0   | 0.3251E+01 0.0   |
| 2     | 0.9077E-04 4.1   | 0.2259E-02 3.1   | 0.7397E+00 2.1   |
| 3     | 0.5368E-05 4.1   | 0.2888E-03 3.0   | 0.1793E+00 2.0   |
| 4     | 0.3474E-06 3.9   | 0.3939E-04 2.9   | 0.4445E-01 2.0   |


