THE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY FOR A MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLD IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

SIMON BRENDLE

Abstract. We prove an isoperimetric inequality which holds for minimal submanifolds in Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension and codimension. Our estimate is sharp if the codimension is at most 2.

1. Introduction

The isoperimetric inequality for domains in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) is one of the most beautiful results in geometry. It has long been conjectured that the isoperimetric inequality still holds if we replace the domain in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) by a minimal hypersurfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\). In this paper, we prove this conjecture, as well as a more general inequality which holds for submanifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension.

Theorem 1. Let \(\Sigma\) be an \(n\)-dimensional submanifold of \(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\) with boundary \(\partial \Sigma\), where \(m \geq 2\). Then

\[
\frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \, d\text{vol}}{|\partial B^n|} \geq \left( \frac{(n+m) |B^{n+m}|}{m |B^m| |B^n|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{vol}(\Sigma)}{|B^n|} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},
\]

where \(H\) denotes the mean curvature vector of \(\Sigma\).

The standard recursion formula for the volume of the unit ball in Euclidean space gives \((n+2) |B^{n+2}| = 2\pi |B^n|\), hence \(\frac{(n+2) |B^{n+2}|}{2 |B^n| |B^n|} = 1\). Hence, Theorem 1 implies a sharp isoperimetric inequality in codimension 2:

Corollary 2. Let \(\Sigma\) be an \(n\)-dimensional submanifold of \(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}\) with boundary \(\partial \Sigma\). Then

\[
\frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \, d\text{vol}}{|\partial B^n|} \geq \left( \frac{\text{vol}(\Sigma)}{|B^n|} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},
\]

where \(H\) denotes the mean curvature vector of \(\Sigma\).

Finally, in codimension 1, we are able to characterize the case of equality:

Theorem 3. Let \(\Sigma\) be an \(n\)-dimensional submanifold of \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) with boundary \(\partial \Sigma\). If

\[
\frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \, d\text{vol}}{|\partial B^n|} = \left( \frac{\text{vol}(\Sigma)}{|B^n|} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},
\]

then \(\Sigma\) is a flat disk.
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Carleman [3] proved a sharp isoperimetric inequality for two-dimensional minimal surfaces diffeomorphic to disks (see also [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], [12], [13] for other results in the two-dimensional case). In the higher dimensional case, the famous Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality (cf. [11]) implies an isoperimetric inequality for minimal surfaces, albeit with a non-sharp constant. Castillon [4] recently gave an alternative proof of the Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality using methods from optimal transport. Finally, Almgren [1] showed that the sharp isoperimetric inequality holds in all dimensions if we assume that \( \Sigma \) is area-minimizing.

Our method of proof is inspired in part by the Alexandrov-Bakelman-Pucci maximum principle (cf. [2]). An alternative way to prove Theorem 1 would be to use optimal transport; in that case, we would consider the transport map from a thin annulus in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) to the submanifold \( \Sigma \).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

By scaling, we can arrange that \( \text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \, d\text{vol} = n \text{vol}(\Sigma) \). For each point \( x \in \Sigma \), we denote by \( T_x \Sigma \) and \( T_x^\perp \Sigma \) the tangent and normal space to \( \Sigma \) at \( x \), respectively. Moreover, we denote by \( H \) the second fundamental form of \( \Sigma \). Recall that \( H \) is a symmetric bilinear form on \( T_x \Sigma \) which takes values in \( T_x^\perp \Sigma \). The trace of the second fundamental gives the mean curvature vector, which we denote by \( H \). Finally, we denote by \( \eta \) the co-normal to \( \partial \Sigma \) in \( \Sigma \). Since \( \text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \, d\text{vol} = n \text{vol}(\Sigma) \), we can find a function \( u : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \Delta_H u = n - |H| \) in \( \Sigma \) and \( \langle \nabla^\Sigma u, \eta \rangle = 1 \) at each point on \( \partial \Sigma \). Since \( |H| \) is Lipschitz continuous, the function \( u \) is of class \( C^{2,\alpha} \) for each \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) (see [9], Theorem 6.30).

We define
\[
U := \{ x : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, |\nabla^\Sigma u| < 1 \},
\]
\[
\Omega := \{ (x, y) : x \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma, y \in T_x^\perp \Sigma, |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1 \},
\]
\[
A := \{ (x, y) \in \Omega : D_x^2 u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle \geq 0 \}.
\]

Moreover, we define a map \( \Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) by
\[
\Phi(x, y) = \nabla^\Sigma u(x) + y.
\]

Since \( \nabla^\Sigma u(x) \) and \( y \) are orthogonal, we obtain \( |\Phi(x, y)|^2 = |\nabla^\Sigma u|^2 + |y|^2 < 1 \) for all \( (x, y) \in \Omega \).

Lemma 4. The image \( \Phi(A) \) is the open unit ball \( B^{n+m} \).

Proof. Clearly, \( \Phi(A) \subset \Phi(\Omega) \subset B^{n+m} \). To prove the reverse inclusion, we consider an arbitrary vector \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) such that \( |\xi| < 1 \). We define a function \( f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( f(x) := u(x) - \langle x, \xi \rangle \). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain
\[
\langle \nabla^\Sigma f(x), \eta(x) \rangle = \langle \nabla^\Sigma u(x), \eta(x) \rangle - \langle \eta(x), \xi \rangle = 1 - \langle \eta(x), \xi \rangle > 0
\]
for each point \( x \in \partial \Sigma \). Consequently, the function \( f \) must attain its minimum in the interior of \( \Sigma \). Let \( \bar{x} \in \Sigma \setminus \partial \Sigma \) be a point in the interior of \( \Sigma \) such that \( f(\bar{x}) = \inf_{x \in \Sigma} f(x) \). Clearly, \( \nabla^\Sigma f(\bar{x}) = 0 \). This implies \( \xi = \nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x}) + \bar{y} \) for some \( \bar{y} \in T_{\bar{x}} \Sigma \). Consequently, \( |\nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x})|^2 + |\bar{y}|^2 = |\xi|^2 < 1 \). Moreover, we have \( D^2 f(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \). From this, we deduce that \( D^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle II(\bar{x}), \xi \rangle \geq 0 \). Since \( \langle II(\bar{x}), \xi \rangle = \langle II(\bar{x}), \nabla^\Sigma u(\bar{x}) + \bar{y} \rangle = \langle II(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \), we conclude that \( D^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle II(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle \geq 0 \). Therefore, \( (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in A \) and \( \Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \xi \). Thus, \( B^{u+m} \subset \Phi(A) \).

**Lemma 5.** The Jacobian determinant of \( \Phi \) is given by \( \det D\Phi(x, y) = \det(D^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle) \) for \( (x, y) \in \Omega \).

**Proof.** Fix a point \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \Omega \). Let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \) be an orthonormal basis for the tangent space \( T_{\bar{x}} \Sigma \). Let \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) be a system of geodesic normal coordinates around \( \bar{x} \) such that \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = e_i \) at the point \( \bar{x} \). Moreover, let \( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_m \) be a local orthonormal frame for the normal bundle \( T^\perp \Sigma \). If we write \( y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m y_\alpha \nu_\alpha \), then \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \) is a system of local coordinates on the normal bundle of \( \Sigma \). We compute

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), e_j \rangle &= (D^2 u(e_i, e_j) - \langle II(e_i, e_j), \bar{y} \rangle, \\
\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_\alpha}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), e_j \rangle &= 0, \\
\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y_\alpha}(\bar{x}, \bar{s}), \nu_\beta \rangle &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently,

\[
\det D\Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{s}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} D^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle II(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle & 0 \\ * & \text{id} \end{bmatrix} = \det(D^2 u(\bar{x}) - \langle II(\bar{x}), \bar{y} \rangle).
\]

This proves the assertion.

**Lemma 6.** The Jacobian determinant of \( \Phi \) satisfies \( 0 \leq \det D\Phi(x, y) \leq 1 \) for all \( (x, y) \in A \).

**Proof.** Consider a point \( (x, y) \in A \). Then \( D^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle \geq 0 \). Using the inequality \( |\bar{y}| < 1 \) and the identity \( \Delta^\Sigma u = n - |H| \), we obtain

\[
0 \leq \Delta^\Sigma u(x) - \langle H(x), y \rangle \leq \Delta^\Sigma u(x) + |H(x)| = n.
\]

Hence, the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies

\[
0 \leq \det(D^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle) \leq \left( \frac{\text{tr}(D^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle)}{n} \right)^n \leq 1.
\]

Using Lemma 5, we conclude that \( 0 \leq \det D\Phi(x, y) \leq 1 \). This completes the proof of Lemma 6.
We now continue with the proof of Theorem 1. Using Lemma 4 and Lemma 6, we obtain

\[ |B_n + m| (1 - \rho^{n+m}) \]

\[ = \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : \rho^2 < |\xi|^2 < 1} \frac{1}{d\xi} \]

\[ \leq \int_U \left( \int_{\{y \in T^\bot_x \Sigma : \rho^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} \det D\Phi(x, y) 1_A(x, y) dy \right) d\text{vol}(x) \]

\[ \leq \int_U \left( \int_{\{y \in T^\bot_x \Sigma : \rho^2 < |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |y|^2 < 1\}} 1 dy \right) d\text{vol}(x) \]

\[ = |B^m| \int_U \left( (1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)^{\frac{m}{n}} - (\rho^2 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)^{\frac{m}{n}} \right) d\text{vol}(x) \]

for \( 0 \leq \rho < 1 \). In the next step, we divide by \( 1 - \rho \) and take the limit as \( \rho \to 1 \). This gives

\[ (n + m) |B^{n+m}| \leq m |B^m| \int_U (1 - |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2)^{\frac{m-2}{n}} d\text{vol}(x) \leq m |B^m| \text{vol}(U), \]

where in the last step we have used the fact that \( m \geq 2 \). Consequently,

\[ \text{vol}(\Sigma) \geq \text{vol}(U) \geq \frac{(n + m) |B^{n+m}|}{m |B^m|}. \]

Using the identities area(\( \partial \Sigma \)) + \( \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol} = n \text{vol}(\Sigma) \) and \( |\partial B^n| = n |B^n| \), we conclude that

\[ \frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol}}{|\partial B^n|} = \frac{n \text{vol}(\Sigma)}{m |B^m| |B^n|} \geq \left( \frac{m |B^m| |B^n|}{n |B^n|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \]

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Suppose that \( \Sigma \) is an \( n \)-dimensional submanifold in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) satisfying

\[ \frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol}}{|\partial B^n|} = \left( \frac{\text{vol}(\Sigma)}{|B^n|} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \]

We first observe that \( \Sigma \) is connected. Indeed, if \( \Sigma \) is disconnected, we apply Corollary 2 to each individual connected component of \( \Sigma \). If we sum the resulting inequalities, we obtain

\[ \frac{\text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol}}{|\partial B^n|} > \left( \frac{\text{vol}(\Sigma)}{|B^n|} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}, \]

which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, \( \Sigma \) must be connected.

By scaling, we may arrange that \( \text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol} = |\partial B^n| \) and \( \text{vol}(\Sigma) = |B^n| \). In particular, \( \text{area}(\partial \Sigma) + \int_\Sigma |H| \text{dvol} = n \text{vol}(\Sigma) \). Let \( u \) be the solution of the equation \( \Delta^\Sigma u = n - |H| \) in \( \Sigma \) with boundary condition \( \langle \nabla^\Sigma u, \eta \rangle = 1 \) on \( \partial \Sigma \). Let \( \Omega, A, \) and \( \Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) be defined as in the
where in the last step we have used the fact that the normal space \( T_\Sigma \) is one-dimensional. On the other hand, \( \text{vol}(U) \leq \text{vol}(\Sigma) = |B^n| \). Hence, the inequalities must all be equalities. In particular, \( \text{vol}(U) = \text{vol}(\Sigma) \). Moreover, we must have \( \det D\Phi(x,y)1_A(x,y) = 1 \) almost everywhere in \( \Omega \). Consequently, the set \( \Omega \setminus A \) has measure 0. Moreover, we have \( \det D\Phi(x,y) = 1 \) almost everywhere in \( A \). This implies \( D_S^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle = g \) almost everywhere in \( A \). Putting these facts together, we obtain \( D_S^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), y \rangle = g \) at each point in \( \Omega \). Thus, we conclude that \( II = 0 \) and \( D_S^2 u = g \) at each point in \( U \). Moreover, by definition of \( U \) we have \( |\nabla^2 u| < 1 \) at each point on \( U \). On the other hand, since \( \text{vol}(U) = \text{vol}(\Sigma) \), the set \( U \) is a dense subset of \( \Sigma \). Therefore, \( II = 0 \), \( D_S^2 u = g \), and \( |\nabla^2 u| \leq 1 \) at each point in \( \Sigma \).

Since \( II = 0 \) at each point on \( \Sigma \), \( \Sigma \) is contained in a hyperplane \( P \). Since \( D_S^2 u = g \) at each point on \( \Sigma \), the function \( u \) must be of the form \( u(x) = \frac{1}{2} |x - p|^2 + c \) for some point \( p \in P \) and some constant \( c \). Since \( |\nabla^2 u| \leq 1 \) at each point on \( \Sigma \), it follows that \( \Sigma \subset \{ x \in P : |x - p| \leq 1 \} \). Since \( \text{vol}(\Sigma) = \text{vol}(B^n) \), we conclude that \( \Sigma = \{ x \in P : |x - p| \leq 1 \} \).
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