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Abstract. Let $\Psi > 0$ be the logarithm of the ground state of an arbitrary molecule with $n$ electrons in the infinite mass limit (neglecting spin/statistics). Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ be the set of singularities of the underlying Coulomb potential. We show that the metric measure space $\mathcal{M}$ given by $\mathbb{R}^{3n}$ with its Euclidean distance and the measure

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-2\Psi(x)}dx$$

has a Bakry-Emery-Ricci tensor which is absolutely bounded by the function $x \mapsto |x - \Sigma|^{-1}$, which we show to be an element of the Kato class induced by $\mathcal{M}$. In addition, it is shown $\mathcal{M}$ is stochastically complete, that is, the Brownian motion which is induced by a molecule is nonexplosive. Our proofs reveal a fundamental connection between the above geometric/probabilistic properties and recently obtained derivative estimates for $e^\Psi$ by Fournais/Sørensen, as well as Aizenman/Simon’s Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators. Moreover, our results suggest to study general metric measure spaces having a Ricci curvature which is synthetically bounded from below/above by a function in the underlying Kato class.

1. Metric measure spaces

Ever since the pioneering papers by Sturm [28, 29] and Lott/Villani [21], which based on the earlier results from [23, 16, 9], metric measure spaces with a Ricci curvature which is bounded below by a constant have been examined in great detail and revealed many deep geometric and analytic results and in particular stability properties. Several equivalent definitions of such a lower bound have been given in the last years, which are typically in the spirit of a (possibly rather technical) convexity assumption on a certain nonlinear functional (like for example the convexity of the entropy functional on Wasserstein space). In the situation of a weighted Riemannian manifold, which is a pair given by Riemannian manifold $M$ and a function $\Phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$, one canonically gets a metric measure space by taking the geodesic distance on $M$ and the weighted Riemannian volume measure $e^{-2\Phi(x)}\text{vol}(dx)$. In this case, the above convexity assumptions turn out (in their simplest dimension free form) to be equivalent to the lower boundedness of the Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature $\text{(1)}$

$$\text{Ric}_\Phi := \text{Ric} + 2\nabla^2 \Phi.$$
the references therein.
The point we want to make in this note is, that, on the other hand, there exist very natural
metric measure spaces whose Ricci curvature is not even locally bounded from below or
above by a constant, but by a function which lies in the Kato class of the underlying metric
measure space. We believe that our main result, Theorem 2.3 below, suggests to define
and investigate systematically metric measure spaces having a Ricci curvature which is
bounded from below and/or above by a Kato function.

As we will exclusively work in the setting of metric measure spaces that arise from per-
turbing the Lebesgue measure of the standard Euclidean metric measure space, we start
by briefly recalling the notions from metric measure spaces that will be relevant for us in
this special class.

In the sequel, we denote with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Euclidean scalar product and with $|\cdot|$ the associated
norm. With $C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of all globally Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$, that is, the space
of all $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\sup_{x \neq y} |f(x) - f(y)||x - y|^{-1} < \infty,
$$

we understand $C^{0,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ to be the space of all $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi f \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for all
$\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Assume we are given a real-valued function $\Phi \in C^{0,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\Delta \Phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Note that,
in particular, $\nabla \Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ by Rademacher’s theorem.

**Definition 1.1.** The metric measure space $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}_\Phi := (\mathbb{R}^m, |\cdot - \cdot|, \mu_\Phi),
$$

where $\mu_\Phi$ denotes the measure $\mu_\Phi(dx) := e^{-2\Phi}dx$.

In view of (1), we define the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor $\text{Ric}_\Phi$ of $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ by

$$
\text{Ric}_\Phi := 2\nabla^2 \Phi \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m, \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R})).
$$

Note that the asserted local square integrability follows from $\Phi, \Delta \Phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and the
Calderon-Zygmund inequality. The Cheeger energy form $Q_\Phi$ of $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ in the Hilbert space
$L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is given by

$$
Q_\Phi(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\Phi
$$

with domain of definition $W^{1,2}_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ given by all $f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\nabla f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^m)$,
where for $q \in [1, \infty)$ the Banach space $L^q_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is defined to be space of the equivalence
classes of $1$-Borel functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ with

$$
\int |f|^q d\mu_\Phi < \infty,
$$

1 with respect to $dx$ or equivalently $d\mu_\Phi$; note that $L^\infty_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$. 

Definition 1.2. The Kato class $\mathcal{K}_\Phi$ on $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ is defined by all Borel functions $W$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \int_0^t e^{s\Delta_{\Phi}}(x,y)|W(y)|d\mu_{\Phi}(y)ds = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \int_0^t e^{s\Delta_{\Phi}}|W(x)|ds = 0.
\]
In view of
\[
\int e^{s\Delta_{\Phi}}(x,y)d\mu_{\Phi}(y) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } s > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^m,
\]
one trivially has $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$, while inclusions of the type $L^q_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ will in general depend on the geometry induced by $\Phi$. We refer the reader to [1, 24, 30, 19, 14] for several abstract and Riemannian results on the Kato class.

Remark 1.3. A simple result [24, 1] in this context for $\Phi = 1$ is that
\[
L^q(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^m) \quad \text{for all } q > m/2 \text{ if } m \geq 3,
\]
and that if $W \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and if $T : \mathbb{R}^m' \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a surjective linear map, then $W \circ T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. 

and likewise for vector-valued functions. The \emph{weighted Laplacian} $\Delta_{\Phi}$ on $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ is given by
\[
\Delta_{\Phi} = \Delta - 2(\nabla \Phi, \cdot)
\]
and its domain of definition is $W^{2,2}_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of all $f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\Delta_{\Phi}f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and an integration by parts shows that $-\Delta_{\Phi}/2 \geq 0$ is the self-adjoint operator induced by $Q_{\Phi}$. As in the proof of Theorem 11.5 in [13] one finds that the operator $-\Delta_{\Phi}/2$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{c}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

The space-time function $(t, x) \mapsto e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}f(x)$ has a jointly continuous version for all $f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and there exists a uniquely determined a jointly continuous map
\[
(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \ni (t, x, y) \mapsto e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y) \in [0, \infty)
\]
which for all $f \in L^2_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m), t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies
\[
e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}f(x) = \int e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y)f(y)d\mu_{\Phi}(y).
\]
This integral kernel has the following properties for all $s, t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$:
\[
e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}(y, x) = e^{\frac{t}{2}\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y),
\]
\[
e^{t+s\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y) = \int e^{s\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y)e^{\frac{s}{2}\Delta_{\Phi}}(y, z)d\mu_{\Phi}(z),
\]
\[
\int e^{s\Delta_{\Phi}}(x, y)d\mu_{\Phi}(y) \leq 1.
\]
In particular, one can extend the definition $e^{t\Delta_{\Phi}}f(x)$ to $f \in \bigcup_{q \in [1, \infty]} L^q_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ or all non-negative Borel $f$’s using formula (2).

Definition 1.2. The Kato class $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^m)$ of $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ is defined by all Borel functions $W$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying
Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_*, X, (P^x_\Phi)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m})\) be the canonical \(\Delta \Phi/2\)-diffusion, which in general lives on the space of continuous paths \(\Omega\) with values in one-point compactification of \(\mathbb{R}^m\). In particular, \(\mathcal{F}_*\) denotes the canonical filtration of \(\Omega\) which is generated by the coordinate process \(X\). For every Borel set \(A \subset \mathbb{R}^m, t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^m\) one has the defining relation
\[
P^x_\Phi \{A, t < \zeta\} = \int_A e^{\frac{t}{2} \Delta \Phi(x,y)} d\mu_\Phi(y),
\]
with \(\zeta := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = \infty\} : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]\) the canonical explosion time.

**Definition 1.4.** The metric measure space \(\mathcal{M}_\Phi\) is called stochastically complete, if one has \(P^x_\Phi \{t < \zeta\} = 1\) for all \(t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^m\).

Note that for \(\Phi = 1\) the above diffusion is nothing but Euclidean Brownian motion. In particular, \(P^x_\Phi\) can simply be obtained as the law of the solution \(X^\Phi_t(x)\) of the Ito equation
\[
dX^\Phi_t(x) = dX_t + \nabla \Phi(X^\Phi_t(x)) dt, \quad X^\Phi_0(x) = x,
\]
with respect to \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}_*, P)\), where \(P := P^x|_{x=0}\).

2. The metric measure space of a molecule

Given \(n, m \in \mathbb{N}, R = (R_1, \ldots, R_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{3m}, Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{3m}\), and the potential \(V : \mathbb{R}^{3n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\)
\[
V(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := -\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Z_j}{|x_i - R_j|} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|},
\]
consider the Hamilton operator \(-\Delta/2 + V\) in \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})\) of a molecule with \(m\) electrons and \(n\) nuclei, where in the infinite mass limit the the \(j\)-th nucleus is considered to be fixed in \(R_j\). In addition, we have ignored spin/statistics and we have set the elementary charge equal to 1. This operator is essentially self-adjoint on \(C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{3n})\) and its domain of definition is \(W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})\). Let \(\lambda < 0\) be the corresponding ground state energy and \(0 < \tilde{\Psi} \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})\) the ground state, so
\[
(-\Delta + V)\tilde{\Psi} = \lambda \tilde{\Psi}.
\]
We \([17]\) have \(\tilde{\Psi} \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})\). In fact, there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that
\[
|\nabla \tilde{\Psi}| \leq C \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma,
\]
where
\[
\Sigma := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i - R_j| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |x_i - x_j| = 0 \right\}
\]
is the set of singularities of the underlying Coulomb potential, a closed set of measure zero. Of course, by local elliptic regularity, \(\tilde{\Psi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma)\).
**Definition 2.1.** With $\Psi := \log(\tilde{\Psi})$, the metric measure space

$$\mathcal{M}_\Psi = (\mathbb{R}^{3n}, |\cdot - \cdot|, \mu_\Psi),$$

is called a **molecular metric measure space**.

**Remark 2.2.** 1. Clearly $\Psi \in C^{0,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ and it follows from the formula

$$\Delta \Psi = 2(1/\tilde{\Psi})\Delta \tilde{\Psi} + 2(1/\tilde{\Psi}^2)\sum_{j=1}^{3n}(\partial_i \tilde{\Psi})^2$$

in combination with $|\partial_i \tilde{\Psi}| \leq C$, the continuity of $\tilde{\Psi}$ and $\Delta \tilde{\Psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, as required for the theory from the previous section.

2. The operator $-\Delta/2 + V$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $-\Delta \Psi/2 + \lambda$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ via

$$U_\Psi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n}), \quad U_\Psi f(x) = e^{\Psi(x)}f(x).$$

Indeed, as both operators are essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ in their respective Hilbert spaces, it suffices to check

$$(-\Delta \Psi/2 + \lambda)U_\Psi f = U_\Psi(-\Delta/2 + V)f \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n}),$$

which is a standard calculation.

3. It is well-known [24, 1] that $V \in K(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ (in fact, this is a simple consequence of Remark 1.3).

Here comes our main result:

**Theorem 2.3.** a) There exist constants $A_1, A_2 > 0$ such that

$$|(\text{Ric}_\Psi(x)v)| \leq (A_1 + A_2|x - \Sigma|^{-1})|v|, \quad \text{for all } (x, v) \in (\mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma) \times \mathbb{R}^{3n}.$$

b) One has $|\cdot - \Sigma|^{-1} \in K_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$.

c) $\mathcal{M}_\Psi$ is stochastically complete.

**Proof.** Before we come to the actual proof of the statements, we record some central results for atomic Schrödinger operators:

i) As $V \in K(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, Aizenman/Simon’s Harnack inequality [1] for positive eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators with Kato potentials states the existence of a constant $C$ such that

$$\sup_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : |y - x| < 1/2\}} \tilde{\Psi}(y) \leq C \inf_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : |y - x| < 1/2\}} \tilde{\Psi}(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}.$$

ii) Recently established estimates by Fournais/Sørensen (see also [15] for $\alpha = 1$) state that for every multi-index $\alpha$ there exists [11] a constant $c_\alpha$ with

$$|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\Psi}(x)| \leq c_\alpha \min(1, |x - \Sigma|)^{1-\alpha} \sup_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : |y - x| < 1/2\}} \tilde{\Psi}(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma.$$
iii) As a consequence of i), ii) we get that for every multi-index $\alpha$ one has

$$\frac{|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\Psi}(x)|}{\tilde{\Psi}(x)} \leq c_\alpha \min(1, |x - \Sigma|)^{1-\alpha} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}, |y - x| < 1/2} \frac{\tilde{\Psi}(y)}{\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}, |y - x| < 1/2} \tilde{\Psi}(y)}$$

$$\leq c'_\alpha C \min(1, |x - \Sigma|)^{1-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma.$$ 

iv) For all $q \in [1, \infty)$ the process

$$\exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla(q\Psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla(q\Psi)(X_r)|^2 dr \right)$$

is a martingale under $P^x$, where $\int_0^t (\nabla(q\Psi)(X_r), dX_r)$ denotes the Ito-integral

$$\int_0^t (\nabla(q\Psi)(X_r), dX_r) = q \sum_{j=1}^{3n} \int_0^t (\nabla \Psi)_j(X_r) dX^j_r.$$

Indeed, by Novikov’s theorem it suffices to show that for all $t > 0$ one has

$$E^x \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla(q\Psi)(X_r)|^2 dr \right) \right] < \infty,$$

which trivially follows from

$$|\partial_i \Psi(x)| = \frac{|\partial_i \tilde{\Psi}(x)|}{\tilde{\Psi}(x)} \leq C',$$

by inequality iii).

v) Girsanov’s theorem states that for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ we have

$$dP^x_{\mathcal{F}_{t \cap \{t < \zeta\}}} = \exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla \Psi(X_s), dX_s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla \Psi(X_s)|^2 ds \right) dP^x.$$

a) One calculates

$$(\text{Ric}_\Psi)_{ij} = 2(1/\tilde{\Psi}) \partial_i \partial_j \tilde{\Psi} + 2(1/\tilde{\Psi}^2) \partial_i \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \partial_j \tilde{\Psi} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{3n} \setminus \Sigma.$$
\[x,\]
\[= \int e^{\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi(x, y)} |y - \Sigma|^{-1} d\mu(y)\]
\[= E_\psi^x [1_{\{s < \xi\}} |X_s - \Sigma|^{-1}]\]
\[= E_\psi^x \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla \psi(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla \psi(X_r)|^2 dr \right) |X_s - \Sigma|^{-1} \right]\]
\[\leq E_\psi^x \left[ \exp \left( q^* \int_0^t (\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{q^*}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr \right) \right]^{1/q}
\times E_\psi^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right]^{1/q}\]
\[\leq e^{C_q t} E_\psi^x \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr \right) \right]^{1/q}
\times E_\psi^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right]^{1/q},\]

where we have estimated as follows:
\[q^* \int_0^t (\nabla \psi(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{q^*}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla \psi(X_r)|^2 dr\]
\[\leq q^* \int_0^t (\nabla \psi(X_r), dX_r)\]
\[= \int_0^t (\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr\]
\[\leq \int_0^t (\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr + C'_q s,\]

using \(\mathcal{H}\). By iv) we have
\[E_\psi^x \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r), dX_r) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\nabla (q^* \psi)(X_r)|^2 dr \right) \right] = 1,\]

and by Jenßen’s inequality, for all \(t \leq 1\),
\[\int_0^t E_\psi^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right]^{1/q} ds \leq \left( \int_0^t E_\psi^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right] ds \right)^{1/q}.\]

In order to estimate this, we record that for all \(y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}\) one has
\[|y - \Sigma|^{-q}\]
\[= \min \left\{ |y_i - R_j|, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|y_k - y_l| : i, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, k < l, j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \right\}^{-q}\]
\[\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - R_j|^{-q} + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|y_k - y_l| \right)^{-q},\]
and the latter function of \( y \) is in \( K(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for some \( q > 1 \) by Remark 1.3 (just note that for all \( R \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) the function
\[
(\mathbf{y} ightarrow |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{R}|^{-3/2} = 1_{\{x:|x-R|\leq 1\}}(\mathbf{y})|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{R}|^{-3/2} + 1_{\{x:|x-R|>1\}}(\mathbf{y})|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{R}|^{-3/2}
\]
is an element of \( L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Thus for such a \( q > 1 \) we arrive at
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_0^t E^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right] ds \right)^{1/q} = \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^t E^x \left[ |X_s - \Sigma|^{-q} \right] ds \right)^{1/q} \rightarrow 0
\]
as \( t \rightarrow 0^+ \), which completes the proof of a).

c) Using v), iv) we have
\[
\int e^{\frac{1}{2} \Delta_X(x, y)} d\mu(y)
= P^x_\Psi \{ t < \zeta \}
= E^x \left[ \exp \left( \int_0^t (\nabla \Psi(X_s), dX_s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla \Psi(X_s)|^2 ds \right) \right] |_{t=0}
= 1,
\]
completing the proof.

As a corollary to the stochastic completeness of \( \mathcal{M}_\Psi \) and the Feynman-Kac formula we get the following seemingly completely new formula:

**Corollary 2.4.** For all \( t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) one has
\[
E^x \left[ e^{-\int_0^t V(X_s) ds} / \tilde{\Psi}(X_t) \right] = e^{-t\lambda} / \tilde{\Psi}(x).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( (K_n) \) be a compact exhaustion of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Then for \( f_n := 1_{K_n} e^{-\Psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) we have
\[
e^{-t\lambda} e^{-\Psi(x)} e^{t\Delta_X/2} (e^{\Psi f_n})(x) = e^{-t(-\Delta/2 + V)} f_n(x) = E^x \left[ e^{-\int_0^t V(X_s) ds} f_n(X_t) \right],
\]
where the first equality follows from the unitary equivalence from Remark 2.2 and the second from the Feynman-Kac formula [24]. Taking \( n \rightarrow \infty \) the RHS of (6) tends to the LHS of (5) by monotone convergence. The LHS of (6) is equal to
\[
e^{-t\lambda} e^{-\Psi(x)} e^{t\Delta_X/2} (e^{\Psi f_n})(x) = \frac{e^{-t\lambda}}{\tilde{\Psi}(x)} \int_{K_n} e^{t\Delta_X/2}(x, y) d\mu(\Psi)(y),
\]
which tends to \( e^{-t\lambda} / \tilde{\Psi}(x) \) by monotone convergence and the stochastic completeness of \( \mathcal{M}_\Psi \).
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