Observation of Collisional Cooling of Ultracold Molecules
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Collisional cooling is crucial to prepare quantum degenerate gases. It has been a long-standing goal to achieve such cooling of ultracold molecules. We realize collisional cooling by sympathetically cooling rovibrational ground-state triplet NaLi molecules with Na atoms. We find a lower bound on the elastic to inelastic collision ratio greater than 50. Using two stages of evaporation, the phase-space density of the molecules is increased by a factor of 20, achieving temperatures as low as 220 nK.

Since the original work on Bose-Einstein condensation [1,2], the field of quantum degenerate gases has grown tremendously. Ultracold gases of bosonic and fermionic atoms allow the quantum emulation of important systems from condensed matter and nuclear physics, as well as the study of novel many-body states with no analog in other fields of physics [3]. Ultracold molecules in the micro- and nano-Kelvin regimes promise to bring powerful new capabilities to quantum emulation [4] and quantum computing [5]. They also open new possibilities for precision measurement and the study of quantum chemistry [6].

The full potential of ultracold atoms was not realized until the advent of collision-based cooling methods like evaporative and sympathetic cooling. While atomic systems have recently demonstrated laser-cooling to quantum degeneracy, these schemes still require collisional thermalization [7,8]. Therefore, there has been much work over the past 15 years [9] trying to achieve collisional cooling of ultracold molecules. Buffer gas cooling [10] cannot push below 100 mK due to the rapidly diminishing vapor pressure of buffer gases (even 3He) at such temperatures. Supersonic expansion [11] can produce temperatures around 100 mK. Controlled collisions in crossed molecular beams [12] can in principle reduce this temperature further, though the technique hasn’t been demonstrated below 400 mK.

Cooling below 100 mK calls for trapping molecules in magnetic or electrostatic traps and good collisional properties—defined as a ratio of elastic to inelastic collisions much greater than 1. A variety of systems have been proposed for evaporative or sympathetic cooling [9,13–17]. The requirement to trap in weak-field seeking states, which are never the absolute ground state, plagues such systems with inelastic state-changing collisions that eject the cold molecules from the trap. Some evidence for elastic collisions has been seen in the OH radical [18] and O2 [19] systems at temperatures below 1 K but neither has seen definitive evidence for collisional cooling.

In recent years, assembling molecules from ultracold atoms [20–23] and the direct laser-cooling of molecules [24,25] have both expanded to new molecules and new temperature regimes. These offer the first molecular systems below the 10–100 mK range, reaching to micro- and even nano-Kelvin temperatures, which raises the challenge of collisional cooling in the ultracold regime. Optical traps allow trapping of the absolute ground state, which removes the concern of state-changing collisions. However, inelastic processes such as chemical reactions frequently increase in rate faster than elastic collisions while cooling at ultracold temperatures. The great hope for collisional cooling in absolute ground-state, chemically stable systems has still not been realized [26]. Rather, chemically stable molecular species assembled from ultracold atoms have shown anomalously high inelastic loss rates that preclude collisional cooling, possibly due to collision complex formation [27] or to interactions with optical trapping beams [28].

In this paper we observe sympathetic cooling of rovibrational ground-state triplet 23Na6Li molecules by Na atoms. Sympathetic cooling of one atomic species by another has been observed in a variety of ultracold atomic mixtures [29,30] but triplet NaLi is an unlikely place to find sufficiently good collisional properties. NaLi
has energetically allowed chemical reactions, even in the electronic ground state, and the triplet state we work with has an electronic excitation energy of 0.9 eV or 10,000 K. Furthermore, we perform our experiments in an excited hyperfine state of the rovibrational ground state. Nonetheless, we report clear thermalization and sympathetic cooling to 220 nK. We observe increases of phase-space density (PSD) as high as 20 times, opening the possibility of collisionally cooling to deep quantum degeneracy.

We believe that the favorable collisional properties of the NaLi-Na mixture in fully stretched states result from strong suppression of electronic spin flips during collisions, which could otherwise lead to chemical reactions, as mentioned in refs 41 and 16. However, ref. 42 has shown that reactions requiring spin flips can be fast due to intramolecular spin-spin coupling at short range. We speculate that for NaLi, the low molecular and reduced masses play an additional major role since they result in small spin-orbit coupling and low density of states.

As previously described 12 43, we produce $3.5 \times 10^4$ weakly-bound NaLi Feshbach molecules from a mixture of ultracold Na and Li atoms by sweeping the magnetic field across a narrow interspecies resonance at 745 G in a 1596 nm 1D optical lattice. Then, $\approx 98\%$ of the Feshbach molecules are transferred to the triplet ground state by means of Stimulated Raman Adiabatic Passage (STIRAP). As coolants of the molecules, $\approx 9 \times 10^5$ Na atoms are prepared in the upper stretched hyperfine state (in the low-field basis, $|F, m_F\rangle = |2, 2\rangle$). Use of 1596 nm light for the trap improves the lifetime of the NaLi molecules by suppressing spontaneous photon scattering compared to the more common 1064 nm trap. The 1D lattice provides strong axial confinement to overcome the anti-trapping produced by magnetic curvature detailed in our previous work 12. After molecule formation, a resonant light pulse is applied for 1 ms to remove the free atoms that were not converted to molecules. Sodium atoms in the lowest-energy Zeeman state are optically pumped by the resonant light into a dark Zeeman state ($|F, m_F\rangle = |2, 1\rangle$). Adding a repump beam to address this state removes the need to drop the magnetic field to blast the atoms at low field, which caused significant heating and loss of the molecules in our previous work 12. We detect ground-state molecules by reverse STIRAP and a magnetic field sweep across the Feshbach resonance followed by resonant absorption imaging of the resulting free atoms.

Due to the differential polarizability in the 1596 nm optical lattice, molecules feel a deeper trapping potential than atoms. This results in an increase of the kinetic energy and in turn of the temperature of the molecules, which are initially not in thermal equilibrium with the Na atoms. Immediately after STIRAP, the temperature of molecules is 2.80(6) µK, while the temperature of Na atoms is 2.42(3) µK. As molecules thermalize with Na atoms while a hot fraction of atoms evaporate out of the trap, the temperatures of both particles settle to 2.23(6) µK (see Methods for molecular thermometry).

While this initial settling of temperatures hints at sympathetic cooling, we are able to see much stronger effects by forced cooling and heating of Na atoms. We vaporize Na atoms with almost no loss of molecules by taking advantage of the particles’ different polarizabilities: $\alpha_{NaLi}/\alpha_{Na} = (m_2\nu_2^2)/NaLi/(m_1\nu_1^2)Na \approx 2.6$, where $\alpha_i$ is the polarizability of particle $i$, $\nu$ is an angular frequency for oscillation in the trap and $m$ is a particle mass. Fig. 2 shows a curve of thermalization between molecules and atoms beginning with a larger temperature difference after a short evaporative cooling ramp. As we hold the particles in the trap, their temperatures approach each other. Due to the large particle number ratio, $N_{Na}/N_{NaLi} \approx 7$, molecule temperature decreases by 0.67(9) µK after thermalization while the temperature of Na atoms only increases by 70(20) nK. However, when the Na is removed immediately before the hold time, the molecule temperature remains fixed during the same pe-

![Fig. 2. Thermalization of NaLi and Na. A quick evaporation and recompression of the trap cools the Na but not the NaLi. The molecule number (b.) and temperature (c.) are measured at various hold times after recompression to a trap laser power of 1.2 W. The trapping potential of molecules (the red solid line) is deeper than that of atoms (the black dashed line), allowing evaporation with negligible loss of molecules, as shown in a. In the number plot, b., the red dashed line is a one-body loss fit and the blue is a two-body loss fit (details in main text). The dashed line in the temperature plot, c., is an exponential fit. Note that a drop in temperature does not occur in the absence of Na atoms. For this measurement, Na number $\approx 1.5 \times 10^5$.](image-url)
period.

As further evidence of thermalization, sympathetic heating of molecules with hot atoms is shown in Fig. 3. After a short evaporation, molecules and atoms thermalize to 1.5 μK. Then we selectively heat the atoms to 2.02(6) μK by sinusoidally driving the trap amplitude at twice the sodium trap frequency. After 200 ms, particles thermalize and the molecule temperature rises to the temperature of the heated Na atoms. When the molecules are driven in the same manner without the Na atoms the temperature remains at 1.58(4) μK. The heating process for sodium also induces center of mass motion and breathing oscillations that cause the Na density to depend on time in the early stages of sympathetic heating, which is the reason for the delay in heating and non-exponential thermalization curve.

To quantitatively measure the rate of thermalization, we return to the cleaner situation of cooling. We fit the temperature to a simple exponential model, \( T(t) = (T_0 - T_\infty) \exp(-\Gamma_{\text{th}} t) + T_\infty \) and obtain \( \Gamma_{\text{th}} = 25(6) \) (s\(^{-1}\)) [14]. The relation between the thermalization rate and the total collision rate is [35]:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{coll}} = \frac{3}{\xi} \frac{N_{\text{Na}} N_{\text{NaLi}}}{N_{\text{Na}} + N_{\text{NaLi}}} \Gamma_{\text{th}} \approx \frac{3}{\xi} N_{\text{NaLi}} \Gamma_{\text{th}}
\]

The factor \( 3/\xi \) quantifies the average number of collisions per particle required for thermalization in a mass-imbalanced system, where \( \xi = 4m_{\text{Na}} m_{\text{NaLi}}/(m_{\text{Na}} + m_{\text{NaLi}})^2 \). For the NaLi-Na mixture, this corresponds to approximately 3 collisions since the mass difference is small. \( N_{(\text{Na}, \text{NaLi})} \) is the effective number of particles per lattice site, which is the total number of particles divided by a factor \( l_{\text{eff}}/a \), where \( a = \lambda/2 \) is the lattice spacing and \( l_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective axial width (in the \( z \)-direction, see Fig. 1) of the atomic density distribution across all lattice sites:

\[
l_{\text{eff}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \! z \exp(-z^2/2\sigma^2) \, dz = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sigma,
\]

where \( \sigma = 0.68(7) \) mm is the Gaussian width from a fit of the atomic density profile.

The total collision rate is given by \( \Gamma_{\text{coll}} = \sigma_{\text{el}} v_{\text{rel}} I \) where \( \sigma_{\text{el}} \) is the elastic scattering cross-section, \( v_{\text{rel}} \) is the mean relative velocity, and \( I \) is the overlap density of the mixture [43].

\[
v_{\text{rel}} = \frac{8k_B}{\pi} \left( \frac{T_{\text{Na}}}{m_{\text{Na}}} + \frac{T_{\text{NaLi}}}{m_{\text{NaLi}}} \right)
\]

\[
I = N_{\text{Na}} N_{\text{NaLi}} \bar{\omega}_N \left[ \left( \frac{2\pi k_B T_{\text{Na}}}{m_{\text{Na}}} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\text{Na}} T_{\text{NaLi}}}{\alpha_{\text{NaLi}} T_{\text{Na}}} \right) \right]^{3/2}
\]

where \( \bar{\omega}_N = (\omega_{\text{x},\text{NaLi}}^2)^{1/3} = 2\pi \times (540 \cdot 410 \cdot 34000)^{1/3} \) Hz is the geometric mean of the trap frequencies. The overlap density \( I \) does not consider a differential gravitational sag between molecules and atoms, since such effect is negligible in the measurement. Given the relation between the total collision rate and the thermalization rate, we obtain the elastic scattering cross section between a molecule and an atom, \( \sigma_{\text{el}} \approx (3/\xi)(N_{\text{NaLi}}/v_{\text{rel}} I) \Gamma_{\text{th}} = 2.7(7) \times 10^{-11} \) (cm\(^2\)) and the corresponding scattering length, \( a = 278(68) a_0 \) where \( a_0 \) is the Bohr radius.

Considering that thermalization requires \( 3/\xi \) collisions, we obtain the average elastic collision rate per particle, \( \Gamma_{\text{el}} \), from the measured thermalization rate: \( \Gamma_{\text{el}} = (3/\xi) \Gamma_{\text{th}} = 75(18) \) (s\(^{-1}\)). In the presence of Na atoms, the loss rate of molecules is \( \Gamma_{\text{inel}} = 1.29(7) \) (s\(^{-1}\)) from a fit to the one-body loss model, \( N(t) = N_0 \exp(-\Gamma_{\text{inel}} t) \) (the red-dashed line in Fig. 2b). Comparing the average elastic collision rate to the total loss rate, we obtain the ratio of elastic to inelastic collisions for NaLi, \( \gamma \geq \Gamma_{\text{el}}/\Gamma_{\text{inel}} = 58(14) \). Without Na atoms, the molecular loss follows a two-body loss model, \( N(t) = N_0/(\beta t + 1) \) (the blue-dashed line in Fig. 2b), from which we obtain a loss rate of \( \beta = 1.02(10) \) (s\(^{-1}\)). Regarding a differential loss rate, or the difference between \( \Gamma_{\text{inel}} \) and \( \beta \), as an effective inelastic loss rate for collisions between NaLi and Na, we obtain the ratio of “good to bad” collisions, \( \gamma \approx \Gamma_{\text{el}}/(\Gamma_{\text{inel}} - \beta) \approx 300 \) with an uncertainty of 50%.

Optimum evaporation entails balancing the thermalization rate, evaporative loss of sodium, and the temperature-dependent molecule-molecule loss. For a single stage of evaporation, we can achieve a PSD increase of 7. In order to increase the PSD further, we make the initial evaporation cycle quicker, only cooling the Na atoms. The Na then cools the molecules during a short hold in the tight trap. This allows for better cooling of molecules during the second, three times longer evaporation ramp. In this evaporation, we decrease the trap power to 0.06 W (2 µK trap depth). Attempting to reach this trap depth with a single stage of evaporation
leads to loss of most of the molecules. In this double evaporation, we achieve a peak PSD of \(1.6(4) \times 10^{-2}\), which is 20 times higher than the initial PSD. Before the final recompression of the trap, the lowest temperature of the molecules is 220(20) nK.

In summary, we present the first clear evidence for collisional cooling of molecules at micro- and nanoKelvin temperatures. We find a ratio of elastic to inelastic collisions greater than 50 and observe increases in PSD by a factor of 20. An upgraded optical dipole trap should allow cooling into the quantum degenerate regime. Further, the atomic and molecular states used in this work are magnetically trappable. If molecules could be produced in a magnetic trap, sympathetic cooling with sodium should allow for the production of large samples of deeply quantum degenerate dipolar molecules. Such gases would open new areas of inquiry in quantum emulation with long-range interactions and quantum computing with ultracold molecules.
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**Fig. 4.** Evaporation sequences. The initial power of the 1596 nm trapping laser is 1.5 W, and at the end of evaporation, we recompress the trap to the initial power to increase thermalization rate and for a straightforward comparison of density without re-scaling the trap volume. Single evaporation, a., consists of (i) 100 ms exponential forced evaporation \((\tau = 40 \text{ ms})\), where trap depth, \(U(t) = A \exp(-t/\tau) + B\), and (ii) 500 ms recompression. Double evaporation, b., consists of (1) 100 ms exponential forced evaporation \((\tau = 40 \text{ ms})\), (2) 50 ms recompression to the initial trap followed by 30 ms hold, (3) 300 ms exponential evaporation \((\tau = 120 \text{ ms})\), and (4) 200 ms recompression.

**Fig. 5.** Increasing phase-space density. The molecule PSD (a.), number (b.), and temperature (c.) are plotted versus the 1596 nm laser power at the end of the forced exponential evaporation. See Fig 4 (i) for single evaporation, and (1) for double evaporation. For the double evaporation, we ramp the power down to 0.06 W in (3), the lowest point that gives a molecular signal high enough for consistent temperature measurement. The black dashed line in a. indicates the PSD after STIRAP and removal of free atoms. The solid curves are to guide the eye.
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METHODS

Atomic sample preparation We produce an ultra-cold mixture of $^{23}\text{Na}$ and $^{6}\text{Li}$ in their positively stretched hyperfine states (in the $|F, m_F\rangle$ basis, these states correspond to $|2, 2\rangle$ for Na and $|3/2, 3/2\rangle$ for Li) in an Ioffe-Pritchard magnetic trap at a bias field of 2 G. We evaporatively cool the Na atoms using an RF-knife and sympathetically cool the Li atoms with the Na atoms \cite{38}. Then, the mixture is transferred into the combination of two coaxial optical traps: a 1064 nm optical dipole trap (ODT) (30 µm waist, 10 W power) and a 1596 nm 1D optical lattice (50 µm waist, 2 W power). After 0.6 seconds of forced evaporation in the 1064 nm ODT, the ODT is switched off. Then, in a 1596 nm 1D lattice, the sample is transferred to the lowest-energy Zeeman states (in the $|F, m_F\rangle$ basis, $|1, 1\rangle$ for Na, and $|1/2, 1/2\rangle$ for Li) through a Landau-Zener magnetic field sweep. By controlling the microwave power for the Landau-Zener sweep, we intentionally leave a fraction of Na atoms in the stretched hyperfine state for later use as coolants of the NaLi molecules.

Molecule formation Feshbach molecules form in the $a^3\Sigma^+, v = 10, N = 2, m_N = -2$ state from the atoms in the lowest-energy Zeeman states ($v$ and $N$ are the vibrational and rotational molecular quantum numbers, respectively). The Feshbach molecules are transferred to the triplet ground state ($a^3\Sigma^+, v = 0, N = 0$) by means of a 30 µs long STIRAP with the intermediate state ($v = 11, N = 1, m_N = -1$) in the $c^3\Sigma^+$ excited potential. The STIRAP light (upleg: 833 nm [360.00381(1) THz], downleg: 819 nm [366.23611(1) THz] \cite{27 48 49}) is obtained from two home-built external cavity diode (ECDL) lasers \cite{50} locked to an ultra-low-expansion cavity. The relative linewidth of the two ECDLs is less than 1 kHz. In order to attain sufficient optical power for the upleg transition, we use a 500mW high-power diode laser injection-locked by 2mW of ECDL light.

Thermometry of molecules In order to avoid issues with different atomic and molecular trapping potentials, we dissociate molecules in free space after turning off the trap, which allows accurate molecular thermometry. Since the particle velocities are fixed after the trap is turned off, the density at some TOF maps the velocity distribution of molecules in the trap. The molecule temperature is determined from a fit to such density profile. Extra kinetic energy could be added in quadrature while Feshbach molecules dissociate into atomic continuum states \cite{51}. We determine the parameters of Feshbach dissociation (i.e., the rate of magnetic field sweep) in order to minimize the released dissociation energy. All uncertainties quoted in this article are from statistical errors and fit errors. The systematic uncertainty, which is mainly determined by the imaging magnification, is $\approx 7\%$ but has no effect on measured thermalization rates since it gives a common shift to all temperature measurements.