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Abstract. We show that there exist concordant links in thickened surfaces between which a concordance can only be realised by passing through thickenings of higher genus surfaces. We use an augmented version of Khovanov homology to do so, exhibiting an infinite family of such links. Such links provide counterexamples to an analogue of the Slice-Ribbon conjecture.

1. Introduction

We show that there exist concordant links in thickened surfaces between which a concordance can only be realised by passing through thickenings of higher genus surfaces. We achieve this using an augmented version of Khovanov homology due to Manturov and the author [13]. Such links provide counterexamples to an analogue of the Slice-Ribbon conjecture for knots in $S^3$.

Recall that the Slice-Ribbon conjecture may be stated in terms of the Morse critical points of concordances, as described in [11, Chapter 3], for example.

Conjecture (Slice-Ribbon). Let $K$ be a knot in $S^3$. If there exists a concordance from $K$ to the unknot, then there exists a concordance with no index 2 Morse critical points.

Let $L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I$ and $L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I$ links in thickenings of $\Sigma_{g_1}$ and $\Sigma_{g_2}$. A concordance between $L_1$ and $L_2$ is a pair $(S,M)$, where $M$ is a compact orientable 3-manifold with $\partial M = \Sigma_{g_1} \sqcup \Sigma_{g_2}$, and $S$ a disjoint union of annuli properly embedded in $M \times I$ such that each annulus has a boundary component in both $L_1$ and $L_2$ [17]. In addition to analysing the surface $S$, as in the case of links in $S^3$, we may pose new questions about the 3-manifold $M$.

Let $f$ be a Morse function on $M$ and $h$ a Morse function on $S$. Up to isotopy we may assume that the critical values of $f$ and $h$ are distinct, and that $S$ is transverse to the $I$ factor of $M \times I$. Under the convention $f(\Sigma_{g_1}) = \{1\}$ and $f(\Sigma_{g_2}) = \{0\}$, when traversing the concordance from $\Sigma_{g_1}$ to $\Sigma_{g_2}$ an index 2 critical point of $f$ is a 3-dimensional 1-handle addition. That is, the genus of level surfaces of $f$ increases by 1 when passing an index 2 critical point. Postponing the precise definition until Section 2.2, we say that an index 2 critical point is exceeding if the genus of level surfaces appearing immediately after it is greater than both $g_1$ and $g_2$. A concordance for which $M$ contains an exceeding index 2 critical point must pass through surfaces of greater complexity than both the initial and terminal surface, therefore.

Our main result establishes that there exist representatives of the same concordance class that cannot be seen to be concordant without introducing exceeding critical points.

Theorem. There exist concordant links in thickened surfaces such that if $(S,M)$ is a concordance between them, then $M$ contains an exceeding index 2 critical point. Such links are said to be ascent concordant. In fact, there exists an infinite family of ascent concordant links.
This result follows from Theorem 4.6, and a pair of ascent concordant links are given in Figure 8.

Observe the analogy between our result and the Slice-Ribbon conjecture. While the Slice-Ribbon conjecture is concerned with critical points of Morse functions on surfaces, our result concerns critical points of Morse functions on 3-manifolds. In addition, the qualifier exceeding must be added to make the question nontrivial: there are concordant links \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) and \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \) with \( g_1 < g_2 \), so that any concordance between them must contain an index 2 critical point (not necessarily exceeding).

Let \( \mathcal{L}_g \) denote the set of links in thickened surfaces of genus less than or equal to \( g \), and \( C_g \) the quotient of \( \mathcal{L}_g \) obtained by identifying two links if they are concordant but not ascent concordant. The result above demonstrates that \( C_g \) is not a proper subset of \( C_{g'} \), for \( g < g' \), in general. This is an instance of the ubiquitous phenomenon of ‘increase-before-decrease’, as exhibited by classical knot diagrams [7], presentations of groups, and handle decompositions of manifolds.

In contrast, let \( K_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_0 \times I, K_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_0 \times I \) be knots in the thickened 2-sphere. A result of Boden and Nagel [3] implies that if \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are concordant, then they are not ascent concordant. The ascent concordant links exhibited in this paper have ambient space \( \Sigma_1 \times I \), so that the ascent phenomenon is seen to occur as soon as one passes to thickened surfaces of nonzero genus.

One way to approach the Slice-Ribbon conjecture is to attempt to produce invariants of ribbon concordance that are not invariant under generic concordance. The case of ascent concordance is similar. As we define in Section 2.2, a concordance of links in thickened surfaces \( (S, M) \) is descent if \( M \) does not contain an exceeding index 2 critical point; descent is the analogue of ribbon in this case. We are therefore interested in invariants that obstruct descent concordance but not ascent concordance.

Our main tool is an augmented version of Khovanov homology, defined by Manturov and the author [13]. It associates to a link in a thickened surface \( L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \) and \( \gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}) \) a trigraded Abelian group, \( DKh''(L, \gamma) \), the totally reduced homology of \( L \) with respect to \( \gamma \). As described in Section 4, the totally reduced homology is invariant under certain concordances but not generic concordances. Crucially, it contains information regarding the intersection of \( L \) with attaching spheres of destabilizing handles (index 1 critical points of \( M \)). In Proposition 4.4 we show that this information is also robust under certain genus 0 cobordisms i.e. cobordisms of the form \( (S, M) \) with \( g(S) = 0 \).

Given concordant links \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) and \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \) with \( g_1 > g_2 \), the above properties allow us to prove that, if \( DKh''(L_1, \gamma) \) satisfies a certain condition, any concordance from \( L_1 \) to \( L_2 \) is descent. Specifically, we show that \( L_1 \) cannot be made disjoint to the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle within a descent concordance. It follows that if \( (S, M) \) is a concordance from \( L_1 \) to \( L_2 \) then \( M \) contains an index 2 critical point, exceeding by construction. If \( g_1 = g_2 \) and we can show that every concordance from \( L_1 \) to \( L_2 \) contains a (de)stabilizing handle (this can be done using \( DKh''(L_1, \gamma) \) or \( \pi_1(\Sigma_{g_1}) \), for example), then an identical argument shows that \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are ascent concordant.

The totally reduced homology may be viewed as an extension of the Rasmussen invariant extracted from the Lee homology of a knot in \( S^3 \) [14, 10]. While the Rasmussen invariant contains information regarding the genus of surfaces appearing as cobordisms
between two knots in $S^3$, $DKh''(L, \gamma)$ contains information regarding the 3-manifolds appearing in cobordisms between links in thickened surfaces (in addition to the cobordism surfaces).

Although our totally reduced homology is strong enough to establish the existence of ascent concordant links, there are a number of questions that may require even stronger invariants to be resolved.

**Question 1.** Do there exist ascent concordant knots?

The totally reduced homology relies on a choice of $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and due to a result on the dimension of the totally reduced homology of a knot, the choice of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients renders it unsuited to the knot case. An upgrade of the construction that takes as input an element of the integral cohomology of $\Sigma$ has the potential to detect ascent concordant knots.

In particular, it is unknown if there exist knots that are ascent concordant to the unknot in $\Sigma \times I$. Given a cobordism $(S, M)$, this case may be interpreted as studying the relationship between the complexity of $S$ and that of $M$. Need $M$ be complex if $S$ is simple? This paper provides an affirmative answer to this question in the case of nontrivial links.

**Question 2.** Given a positive integer $m$, does there exist a pair of concordant nonsplit links such that every concordance between them contains $m$ exceeding index 2 critical points?

It is an open question whether the minimum number of exceeding index 2 critical points need be arbitrarily large.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we describe cobordism and concordance of links in thickened surfaces, and define ascent and descent concordance. Section 3 contains an overview of the construction of the totally reduced homology, and gives some of its properties. We employ the totally reduced homology in Section 4, and establish that the set of ascent concordant links is nonempty, using Theorem 4.6. We work in the smooth category throughout.
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## 2. Cobordism of links in thickened surfaces

In this section we define links in thickened surfaces and their diagrams, before describing cobordism and concordance of such objects. We also introduce the notions of ascent and descent cobordism.

### 2.1. Links in thickened surfaces

We denote by $\Sigma$ a closed orientable surface of genus $g$, not necessarily connected. For concreteness we state the definition of the genus of a disconnected surface. For $S$ a closed orientable surface, the genus of $S$ is given by

$$g(S) = \frac{2c(S) - \chi(S)}{2}$$
A diagram of a knot in $\Sigma \times I$.

Figure 1. A diagram of a knot in $\Sigma \times I$.

for $c(S)$ the number of connected components of $S$.

A link in a thickened surface (henceforth simply link) is an embedding $L : \bigsqcup S^1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$, considered up to isotopy. We abuse notation to denote by $L : \Sigma_g \times I \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ a link in $\Sigma_g \times I$. Links in $S^3$ appear as links in $\Sigma_0 \times I$, and are referred to as classical links.

Given a link $L : \Sigma_g \times I \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ a regular projection to $\Sigma_g$ yields a 4-valent graph on $\Sigma_g$ whose vertices may be decorated with the under- or overcrossing decoration of classical knot theory. Such a decorated graph is known as a diagram of $L$; an example is given in Figure 1.

Two diagrams on $\Sigma_g$ represent the same link if and only if they are related by a finite sequence of Reidemeister moves (where such moves occur in disc neighbourhoods on $\Sigma_g$). We refer to the unique knot in $\Sigma_0 \times I$ that bounds a disc as the unknot.

2.2. Cobordism

We define cobordism and concordance of links in thickened surfaces, following Turaev [17] (note that he uses the term cobordism for what we refer to as a concordance).

Definition 2.1 (Cobordism). Let $L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I$ and $L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I$ be links. A cobordism from $L_1$ to $L_2$ is a pair $(S, M)$, consisting of a compact orientable 3-manifold $M$, with $\partial M = \Sigma_{g_1} \sqcup \Sigma_{g_2}$, and a compact orientable surface $S$ properly embedded in $M \times I$, with $\partial S = L_1 \sqcup L_2$. If such a pair $(S, M)$ exists we say that $L_1$ and $L_2$ are cobordant. We refer to $S$ as the cobordism surface.

If $S$ is a disjoint union of annuli such that each annulus has a boundary component in both $\Sigma_{g_1} \times I$ and $\Sigma_{g_2} \times I$, we say that $(S, M)$ is a concordance, and that $L_1$ and $L_2$ are concordant.

A schematic picture of a cobordism is given in Figure 2. Notice that if $L_1$ and $L_2$ are concordant then $|L_1| = |L_2|$ (for $|L|$ the number of components of $L$). There is an important distinction between concordance and genus 0 cobordism: two links and are genus 0 cobordant if there is a cobordism between them, $(S, M)$, with $g(S) = 0$. Notice that it is possible that $|L_1| \neq |L_2|$ and that $S$ is not a disjoint union of annuli in this case.

Proposition 2.2. Any two links are cobordant.

Proof. We prove that any link is cobordant to the unknot. The proposition then follows by transitivity.

Consider $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$. Given a diagram $D$ of $L$, one may remove all of its crossings via repeated iterations of a cobordism $(S, \Sigma_g \times I)$, where $S$ is constructed as follows:
A cobordism between links in thickened surfaces.

For an alternative proof of Proposition 2.2 see [6].

In contrast, not all links are concordant. For instance, it can be shown that the knot depicted in Figure 1 is not concordant to any knot in $\Sigma_0 \times I$ [15, Section 4.3].

As described in Section 1, the goal of this paper is to show that there exist pairs of concordant links such that any concordance between them, $(S, M)$, passes through surfaces of genus higher than that of the initial and terminal surface. This is equivalent to $M$ possessing a certain type of index 2 Morse critical point (thus the analogue to the Slice-Ribbon conjecture).

We now concretise the notion of ‘passing through’ used above.

**Definition 2.3 (Exceeding critical point).** Let $(S, M)$ be a cobordism from $L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{q_1} \times I$ to $L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{q_2} \times I$. Let $f$ be a Morse function on $M$ and $h$ be a Morse function on $S$. Up to isotopy we may assume that $S$ is transverse to the $I$ factor of $M \times I$. That is, if $t$ is a regular value of $f$, then $S$ intersects $f^{-1}(t) \times I$ transversely. We may also assume that the critical values of $f$ and $h$ are distinct.

Under the convention $f(\Sigma_{q_1}) = \{1\}$ and $f(\Sigma_{q_2}) = \{0\}$, and reading the cobordism by starting at $L_1$ and ending at $L_2$, an index 2 critical point of $f$ is a 3-dimensional 1-handle addition. From this viewpoint the genus of surfaces appearing as level sets increases by 1 when passing an index 2 critical point. Similarly, the genus of surfaces appearing as level sets decreases by 1 when passing an index 1 critical point. See Figure 3.
Suppose $p \in M$ is an index 2 critical point of $f$. We say that $p$ is exceeding if $f^{-1}(f(p) - \epsilon) = \Sigma_k$ with $k > g_1, g_2$ for $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small. That is, $p$ is exceeding if the genus of level surfaces appearing immediately after $p$ is greater than both $g_1$ and $g_2$.

The convention that $f(\Sigma_{g_1}) = \{1\}$ and $f(\Sigma_{g_2}) = \{0\}$ follows that given by Gordon in the case of ribbon classical knots [5]. Under this convention index 1 critical points of $M$ correspond to 3-dimensional $(3-i)$-handle additions. In particular, an index 1 critical point corresponds to a 3-dimensional 2-handle addition, with attaching sphere a simple closed curve on the level surface immediately preceding the critical point. Similarly an index 2 critical point corresponds to a 3-dimensional 1-handle addition. We say that a 2-handle addition is destabilizing if it reduces the genus of the level surface, and that a 1-handle addition is stabilizing if it increases the genus of the level surface. Henceforth we shall not distinguish between critical points and the handle additions they correspond to.

**Definition 2.4** (Pseudostrict, strict cobordism). Let $(S, M)$ be a cobordism. We say that $(S, M)$ is strict if $M = \Sigma_g \times I$. We say that $(S, M)$ is pseudostrict if the critical points of $M$ are of the following types: index 0, index 1 with attaching sphere a separating curve, index 2 such that the handle is attached between disjoint components, or index 3.

Notice that the genus of level surfaces does not change within a pseudostrict cobordism, but the number of connected components may.

**Definition 2.5** (Ascent, descent cobordism). We say that $(S, M)$ is descent if $M$ does not contain an exceeding index 2 critical point. Notice that a pseudostrict cobordism is descent, but that the converse is not necessarily true. We say that $(S, M)$ is ascent if $M$ contains an exceeding index 2 critical point.

Two links are said to be descent/pseudostrictly/strictly cobordant if there exists a descent/pseudostrict/strict cobordism between them. Two links are said to be ascent cobordant if they are cobordant but not descent cobordant. Ascent/descent/pseudostrict/strict concordance and genus 0 cobordism are defined likewise, so that two links are said to be ascent concordant if they are concordant but not descent concordant.
3. Totally reduced homology

We outline the difficulties encountered when extending Khovanov homology to links in thickened surfaces in Section 3.1, before reviewing the construction of the totally reduced homology in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. After describing the functorial nature of the theory in Section 3.4, we highlight some of its important properties in Section 3.5.

3.1. Extending Khovanov homology to thickened surfaces

When constructing Khovanov homology for classical links the cube of resolutions possesses exactly two types of edge:

(i) An edge along which one circle splits into two.
(ii) An edge along which two circles merge into one.

Passing to links in thickened surfaces causes a new type of edge to appear, along which one circle can be sent to one circle, as depicted in Figure 4 (the associated cobordism is a once punctured Möbius band). This is known as a single cycle smoothing. A map must be assigned to these edges, which we denote $\eta$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the module assigned to one circle in the construction of classical Khovanov homology; if one attempts to assign $\mathcal{A}$ to circles in this new situation, the map $\eta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is forced to be the zero map for quantum grading reasons. This causes collateral damage to the chain complex, however, so that it is no longer well-defined (over any coefficient ring except $\mathbb{Z}_2$). Extra technology must then be added to repair this, as is done by Manturov [12] and Tubbenhauer [16] (see also [4, 1]).

We take a different approach, altering the module assigned to a circle. Specifically, we assign $\mathcal{A} \oplus (\mathcal{A}(-1))$ (where $\{-1\}$ denotes a grading shift by $-1$). As is detailed in [15], this allows $\eta$ to be nonzero, and yields a well-defined homology theory automatically; this homology theory is known as doubled Khovanov homology. In the remainder of this section we describe an augmentation of doubled Khovanov homology which is more sensitive to the ambient thickened surface.

**Remark.** Doubled Khovanov homology has structural similarities to an instanton homology due to Kronheimer and Mrowka [9, 8], in that both contain information regarding the Tait colourings of trivalent graphs. Indeed, the four colour theorem may be restated in terms of the ranks of (perturbations of) either of these homology theories.
3.2. Doubled Khovanov homology of links in thickened surfaces

The definition of the totally reduced homology is given in [13, Section 3], which itself relies on a generalization of doubled Khovanov homology [15]. The construction is familiar from other theories in the Khovanov tradition: a cube of smoothings is associated to a diagram, which is then turned into an algebraic chain complex. The chain homotopy equivalence class of this chain complex is an invariant of the link represented by the diagram, so that its homology is also.

Definition 3.1 (Smoothing). Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$. The crossings of $D$ may be resolved in one of two ways:

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\overset{1}{\bigcirc} \quad \overset{0}{\bigcirc}
\end{array}
\end{align*}$$

The resolutions are known as the $0$- and the $1$-resolution, as depicted. A smoothing of $D$ is a diagram formed by arbitrarily resolving all of the crossings of $D$; it is a disjoint union of circles in $\Sigma_g$.

Given a smoothing $\mathcal{S}$ of $D$, the height of $\mathcal{S}$, denoted $|\mathcal{S}|$, is defined as

$$|\mathcal{S}| := \#(1\text{-resolutions in } \mathcal{S}) - n_-$$

for $n_-$ the number of negative crossings of $D$.

First, smoothings of diagrams are used to decorate the vertices of an appropriate-dimensional cube.

Definition 3.2 (Dotted cube of smoothings). Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$, with $n$ crossings, of which $n_-$ are negative. Arbitrarily label the crossings from $1$ to $n$. Denote by $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$ the smoothing obtained by resolving the $k$-th crossing into its $e_k$-resolution. Assign to the vertices of the cube $\{0, 1\}^n$ the appropriate smoothings of $D$; we no longer make a distinction between a vertex and the smoothing assigned to it. The result is known as the cube of smoothings of $D$.

Pick $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Given a smoothing $\mathcal{S}$ of $D$, a circle within $\mathcal{S}$ is decorated with a dot if it has nonzero image under $\gamma$. Repeat this for all of the vertices of the cube. The resulting assignment of dots is known as the dotting with respect to $\gamma$. The fully decorated cube is referred to as the dotted cube of smoothings of $D$ with respect to $\gamma$, and is denoted $[D, \gamma]$.

Two examples of dottings are given in Figure 5; green dots represent the dotting associated to Poincaré dual to the green simple closed curve, and the red simple closed curve does not produce any dots.

Next, the fully decorated cube is converted into a chain complex.

Definition 3.3 (Dotted complex). Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$. Pick $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and form the dotted cube $[D, \gamma]$ as in Definition 3.2.
Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a vertex of $\|D, \gamma\|$, made up of $k$ circles. We assign a vector space to $\mathcal{S}$ in the following manner

$$
\bigcup_k \bigcirc^{(\bullet)} \mapsto A^\otimes k \oplus \left( \left( (\bullet) A^\otimes k \right) \{-1\} \right)
$$

where

$$
A = \mathbb{Q}[X]/X^2 = \langle \psi_+, \psi_- \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

The vector space $A$ is graded with $\psi_\pm$ of degree $\pm 1$; this grading extends linearly across tensor products. The braces $\{-1\}$ denote a grading shift by $-1$.

Arbitrarily identify the tensorands and the circles of the smoothing: a dot, $\bullet$, is added to the vector space if the associated circle possesses a dot. This decoration persists to the elements so that

$$
A = \langle \psi_+^\bullet, \psi_-^\bullet \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

We add a superscript to denote the shifted and unshifted copies of $A$. Specifically, we write

$$
A^{(\bullet)} = \langle \psi_+^\bullet, \psi_-^\bullet \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
$$

and

$$
A^{(\bullet)}\{-1\} = \langle \psi_+^\bullet, \psi_-^\bullet \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}
$$

and similarly for tensor products. A dot in parentheses, $(\bullet)$, denotes a copy of $A$ that may or may not be dotted (likewise for elements such as $\psi_+^\bullet$).

---

1 The construction is valid for coefficients in a commutative unital ring, but we shall only need $\mathbb{Q}$. 

---

**Figure 5.** The dotted cube of smoothings of the diagram depicted in Figure 1.
Denote by $CDKh_i(D, \gamma)$ the direct sum of the vector spaces assigned to the vertices of height $i$. The **doubled Khovanov complex of $D$ with respect to $\gamma$**, denoted $CDh(D, \gamma)$ has chain spaces $CDKh_i(D, \gamma)$, and differentials matrices of maps, whose entries are determined by the edges of $[D, \gamma]$. The forms of these maps depend on the dotting, and are given by the maps $m^0$, $\Delta^0$, and $\eta^0$ in Figure 7. Signs are added to the entries in the standard way.

The assignment used in Definition 3.3 is not a topological quantum field theory (TQFT) nor an unoriented TQFT in the sense of Turaev and Turner [18]: it fails the multiplicativity axiom. Nevertheless, it is functorial with respect to link cobordism, which we exploit in Section 4.

There is a distinguished basis of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$, on which we define three gradings.

**Definition 3.4 (States).** Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a smoothing of $D$ whose circles are decorated with exactly one of + and − (in addition to the dotting with respect to $\gamma$). A **state** is an element $a^{u/l} \in CDKh(D, \gamma)$ of the form

$$
a^u = v^u_{(\pm)} \otimes v^u_{(\pm)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^u_{(\pm)} \\
a^{\ell} = v^{\ell}_{(\pm)} \otimes v^{\ell}_{(\pm)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{\ell}_{(\pm)}
$$

where the ± and (●) are determined by the decorations of the associated circle of $\mathcal{S}$ (under the identification of the circles of $\mathcal{S}$ and the tensorands as described in Definition 3.3).

**Definition 3.5 (Gradings).** Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ with $n_-$ negative crossings and write $wr(D)$. Pick $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and form the doubled complex $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ as in Definition 3.3.

We define three gradings on the states of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$. Let $a^{u/l}$ be a state associated to the smoothing $\mathcal{S}$. The **homological grading**, $i$, is defined as

$$i(a^{u/\ell}) = |\mathcal{S}| = \# (1\text{-resolutions in } \mathcal{S}) - n_-.$$
The $i$-grading does not depend on the $u/\ell$ superscript, nor the dotting associated to $\gamma$. The quantum grading, $j$, is defined as

$$j(a^u) = \# \left( \nu_a^{u^+} \text{s in } a^u \right) - \# \left( \nu_a^{u^-} \text{s in } a^u \right) + i(a^u) + \text{wr}(D)$$

$$j(a^{i\ell}) = \# \left( \nu_a^{i\ell^+} \text{s in } a^{i\ell} \right) - \# \left( \nu_a^{i\ell^-} \text{s in } a^{i\ell} \right) + i(a^{i\ell}) + \text{wr}(D) - 1$$

(note this is simply the grading of $A^{\otimes k}$ described in Definition 3.3 with a particular shift). The $j$-grading depends on the $u/\ell$ superscript, but not the dotting associated to $\gamma$. The dotted grading, $c$, is defined as

$$c(a^{u/\ell}) = \# \left( \nu_a^{u/\ell^+} \text{s in } a^{u/\ell} \right) - \# \left( \nu_a^{u/\ell^-} \text{s in } a^{u/\ell} \right) + \frac{1}{2} j(a^{u/\ell})$$

The $c$-grading depends on both the $u/\ell$ superscript and the dotting associated to $\gamma$. The $i$- and $j$-gradings are $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings, while the $c$-grading is a $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]$-grading.

Notice that the maps $m^0$, $\Delta^0$, and $\eta^0$ are all $j$- and $c$-graded of degree 0, so that $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ is a trigraded chain complex. The chain homotopy equivalence class of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ depends only on the link represented by $D$.

**Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 3.4 of [13]).** The chain homotopy equivalence class of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ is an invariant of $L$, the link represented by $D$, so that its homology is also. We denote this homology $DKh(D, \gamma)$ and refer to it as the doubled Khovanov homology of $L$ with respect to $\gamma$.

### 3.3. Perturbations

For our purposes we do not need the full doubled Khovanov homology of a link, merely a perturbation of it. As in the case of classical Khovanov homology we add terms to the differential to produce the desired perturbed theory.

**Definition 3.7 (Totally reduced homology).** Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_q \times I$. Given $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_q; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ let $CDKh''(D, \gamma)$ denote the chain complex whose chain spaces are those of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ but with an altered differential. This differential is obtained from that of $CDKh(D, \gamma)$ by adding the terms denoted $m^{+2}$, $m^{+4}$, $\Delta^{+2}$, $\Delta^{+4}$, $\eta^{+2}$ and $\eta^{+4}$ in Figure 7; we write $m'' = m^0 + m^2 + m^4$, and similarly for $\Delta''$, $\eta''$. The chain complex $CDKh''(D, \gamma)$ is known as the totally reduced complex of $D$ with respect to $\gamma$.

Notice that the maps $m^{+2}$, $\Delta^{+2}$, and $\eta^{+2}$ are $j$-graded of degree 0, and $c$-graded of degree $+2$. The maps $m^{+4}$, $\Delta^{+4}$, and $\eta^{+4}$ $j$-graded of degree $+4$ and $c$-graded of degree 0. It follows that $CDKh''(D, \gamma)$ is filtered in both the $j$- and $c$-gradings. We abuse notation and denote by $j$ and $c$ the induced filtration gradings on $CDKh''(D, \gamma)$.

**Theorem 3.8 (Theorem 3.8 of [13]).** Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_q \times I$. The chain homotopy equivalence class of $CDKh''(D, \gamma)$ is an invariant of $L$, so that its homology is also. This homology is denoted $DKh''(D, \gamma)$, and known as the totally reduced homology of $L$ with respect to $\gamma$. 
We denote by $\Delta : \_ \rightarrow \bullet \otimes \bullet$ a $\Delta$ map taking an undotted circle to two dotted circles, and so forth. The $u/\ell$ superscripts are suppressed for the $m$ and $\Delta$ maps, as they do not interact with them.

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta : \_ & \rightarrow \_ \otimes \_ \\
\eta : \bullet & \rightarrow \bullet \otimes \_ \\
\eta : \_ & \rightarrow \_ \otimes \bullet
\end{align*}
\]

The $\eta$ map changes the superscript globally; for example, $\eta \otimes \text{id} (v^u_+ \otimes v^u_+) = 2v^u_+ \otimes v^u_+$.
In [15, Section 3] a homology theory of virtual links is constructed, analogous to the Lee homology of classical links. A virtual link is an equivalence class of links in thickened surfaces, up to self-diffeomorphism of the surface and certain permitted handle additions. As such, the homology theory constructed in [15] descends to a well-defined homology theory of links in thickened surfaces. Given an oriented link \( L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \) we denote by \( DKh'(L) \) the doubled Lee homology of \( L \). For full details see [15, Section 3].

**Proposition 3.9.** Forgetting the \( c \)-grading, \( DKh''(L, \gamma) \) is isomorphic to \( DKh'(L) \).

**Proof.** Compare the differential components of doubled Lee homology, given in [15, Definition 3.1], to those of the totally reduced homology (given in Figure 7). Also notice that the \( j \)-grading, as defined in Definition 3.5, does not depend on the dotting with respect to \( \gamma \). \( \square \)

In [15, Section 3] distinguished generators of doubled Lee homology are described, which yield generators of the totally reduced homology by Proposition 3.9. These generators come in quadruples; for the remainder of this work \( x^u, \overline{x}^u, x^\ell, \overline{x}^\ell \) shall denote such a quadruple.

**Remark.** The reader familiar with the Lee homology of classical links will recall that there are distinguished generators, \( s, \overline{s} \), corresponding to alternately colourable smoothings of the argument diagram. The generators \( x^u / \overline{x}^u \) above are \( x^u = s \pm \overline{s} \), and \( \overline{x}^u = s \mp \overline{s} \).

### 3.4. Functoriality

Although the totally reduced homology is not constructed using a TQFT, it is functorial with respect to link cobordism. For full details see [15, Section 3.2] and [13, Section 3.4].

**Definition 3.10.** Let \((S, \Sigma_g \times I)\) be a strict concordance between oriented links \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \) and \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \). There is a map \( \phi_S : DKh''(L_1, \gamma) \to DKh''(L_2, \gamma) \) induced by \((S, \Sigma_g \times I)\), for all \( \gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \).

Further, if \((S, M)\) is a cobordism between \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) and \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \), there is a map \( \widetilde{\phi}_S : DKh'(L_1) \to DKh'(L_2) \).

Similar to the case of the cobordism maps on Lee homology, \( \phi_S \) is filtered of \( j \)-degree \( \chi(S) \), and \( c \)-degree \( \frac{1}{2} \chi(S) \).

By construction, the map assigned to a cobordism factors through the maps assigned to cobordisms it may be decomposed into.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let \((S_1, M_1)\) be a cobordism from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \), and \((S_2, M_2)\) a cobordism from \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \) to \( L_3 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_3} \times I \). Then \((S, M) = (S_1 \cup S_2, M_1 \cup M_2)\) is a cobordism from \( L_1 \) to \( L_3 \), and \( \widetilde{\phi}_S = \widetilde{\phi}_{S_2} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{S_1} \). If \( M_1 = M_2 = \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) then \( \phi_S = \phi_{S_2} \circ \phi_{S_1} \) also.

Cordances induce isomorphisms on doubled Lee homology. If a concordance is strict, it induces an isomorphism on the totally reduced homology.

**Theorem 3.12** (Theorem 3.21 of [15], Proposition 3.15 of [13]). If \((S, M)\) is a concordance then \( \widetilde{\phi}_S \) is an isomorphism. If \( M = \Sigma_g \times I \) then \( \phi_S \) is an isomorphism also.
In addition to strict cobordisms, the totally reduced homology enjoys functoriality with respect to pseudostrict cobordisms. To establish this, we show that if \((S, M)\) is a pseudostrict cobordism, passing a critical point of \(M\) does not affect the totally reduced homology. We may then concatenate the maps assigned to the strict pieces of \((S, M)\).

**Proposition 3.13.** Let \(L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I\) and \(L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g' \times I\) be links and \((S, M)\) a pseudostrict concordance between them, such that \(S\) is a product cobordism and \(M\) contains exactly one critical point. Then there is an isomorphism

\[
(3.4) \quad f : H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^1(\Sigma_g'; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\]

and the chain complexes \(CDKh''(D_1, y)\) and \(CDKh''(D_2, f(y))\) are identical for all diagrams \(D_1, D_2\) of \(L_1\) and \(L_2\). Thus \(CDKh''(L_1, y)\) and \(CDKh''(L_2, f(y))\) are identical also.

**Proof.** If the critical point of \(M\) is of index 0 or 3 the result is clear from the construction of the totally reduced homology: the number of circles in a smoothing, the edges of the cube of resolutions, and the dotting are unchanged.

Suppose that the critical point of of index 1 (the index 2 case is obtained by reversing the cobordism and applying the following proof). As \((S, M)\) is pseudostrict, the attaching sphere of the handle corresponding to the critical point must be a separating curve; denote it by \(\sigma\). Distinguishing this curve induces a direct sum decomposition of \(H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) as follows. Let \(\Sigma_g = F_1 \cup_g F_2\), where \(F_1, F_2\) are compact orientable surfaces with \(S^1\) boundary. Denote by \(\Sigma_{g_i}\) the result of collapsing \(F_i\) to a point. Notice that \(\Sigma_g = \Sigma_{g_1} \cup \Sigma_{g_2}\). We have

\[
H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \equiv H^1(\Sigma_{g_1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H^1(\Sigma_{g_2}; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\]

\[
\equiv H^1(\Sigma_{g'}; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\]

Denote the isomorphism described by \(f\). It is clear that the number of circles in a smoothing and the edges of the cube of resolutions are unchanged, and that the dotting with respect to \(\gamma\) and \(f(\gamma)\) are equivalent. \(\Box\)

**Definition 3.14.** Let \(L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I\) and \(L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g' \times I\) be links and \((S, M)\) a pseudostrict cobordism between them. There is a map \(\phi_S : DKh''(L_1, \gamma) \rightarrow DKh''(L_2, f(\gamma))\) induced by \((S, \Sigma_g \times I)\), for all \(\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) (we have suppressed the notation \(f(\gamma)\) of Equation (3.4)). This map is defined by splitting \((S, M)\) into strict pieces, and concatenating the maps assigned to these pieces using the identity of their domains and domains given in Proposition 3.13. \(\diamond\)

The map assigned to a pseudostrict cobordism enjoys the factoring property, described in Proposition 3.11, by construction. In addition, if \((S, M)\) is a pseudostrict cobordism then \(\phi_S\) is filtered of \(j\)-degree \(\chi(S)\), and \(c\)-degree \(\frac{j}{2} \chi(S)\).

The following is a corollary to Theorem 3.12.

**Corollary 3.15.** If \((S, M)\) is a pseudostrict concordance then \(\phi_S\) is an isomorphism.

### 3.5. Properties

We conclude this section by determining properties of the totally reduced homology we require in Section 4.
Vertical annuli within \( \Sigma_g \times I \) represent available handle destabilizations, or equivalently index 1 Morse critical points within cobordisms. Given \( L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \), suppose that there exists a vertical annulus \( \sigma \times I \) in \( \Sigma_g \times I \), such that \( L \cap \sigma \times I = \emptyset \). If \( \sigma \) represents the Poincaré dual to \( \gamma \), then the \( c \)-grading of \( \text{DKh}''(L, \gamma) \) is determined by the \( j \)-grading.

**Lemma 3.16.** Let \( L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I \) be a link and \( \gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). Suppose there exists a vertical annulus \( \sigma \times I \) in \( \Sigma_g \times I \), such that \( L \cap \sigma \times I = \emptyset \). Then

\[
c(x^{u/l}) = \frac{1}{2} j(x^{u/l})
\]

for all \( x^{u/l} \in \text{DKh}''(L, \gamma) \).

**Proof.** If \( D \cap \sigma = \emptyset \) then no circles within \([D, \gamma]\) acquire dots with respect to \( \gamma \). The result is then clear from Equation (3.3). \( \square \)

The generators of classical Lee homology come in pairs, and the grading of one is prescribed by the grading of the other. In the case of the totally reduced homology the generators come in quadruples, and the grading of any one of them prescribes the gradings of the others.

**Lemma 3.17.** We have

\[
\begin{align*}
    j(x^u) &= j(x^\ell) + 1 \\
    c(x^u) &= c(x^\ell) + \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( x^{u/l} \in \text{DKh}''(L, \gamma) \).

**Proof.** In [15, Proof of Theorem 3.5] Gaussian elimination is used to produce a complex that is chain homotopy equivalent to \( \text{CDKh}''(D, \gamma) \), with chain spaces spanned by the set of all \( x^{u/l}, \bar{x}^{u/l} \), and with vanishing differential. Therefore the new complex splits as a direct sum of upper and lower terms, and one may obtain the gradings of the shifted part of its homology from the unshifted part via Equations (3.2) and (3.3). It follows that \( \text{DKh}''(D, \gamma) \) splits likewise. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.18.** We have

\[
\begin{align*}
    j(x^{u/l}) &= j(\bar{x}^{u/l}) \pm 2 \\
    c(x^{u/l}) &= c(\bar{x}^{u/l}) \pm 1
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( x^{u/l} \in \text{DKh}''(L, \gamma) \). The \( \pm \) signs are independent.

The proof of the \( j \)-grading statement follows from Proposition 3.9 and [15, Lemma 4.2], while proof of the \( c \)-grading statement is essentially identical to that of [15, Lemma 4.2] (see also [14, Lemma 3.5]).

The final property we require concerns the interaction between the maps assigned to cobordisms and the upper and lower superscripts.

**Lemma 3.19.** Let \( \bar{\phi}_S : \text{DKh}'(L_1) \to \text{DKh}'(L_2) \) be a map induced by a cobordism. Then

\[
\bar{\phi}_S(x^u) = y^{u/l} \iff \bar{\phi}(x^\ell) = y^{\ell u}
\]

up to a nonzero scalar. A map induced by a strict cobordism \( \phi_S : \text{DKh}''(L_1, \gamma) \to \text{DKh}''(L_2, \gamma) \) behaves similarly.
Lemma 4.2. Nontrivial, $DKh''$

Proof. Suppose $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. As $L$ is totally nontrivial, $DKh''(L, \gamma)$ must contain an element $x$ such that

$$c(x) \neq \frac{1}{2} f(x).$$

4. Detecting ascent concordance

In this section we demonstrate that the totally reduced homology may be used to obstruct descent concordance. First, we define the totally nontrivial property of links. As Lemma 4.2 shows, a totally nontrivial link must intersect the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle. Next, in Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 we verify that a totally nontrivial link cannot be made disjoint to an attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle at any stage of a pseudistrict concordance. Destabilizing handles correspond to index 1 Morse critical points, and it follows that when traversing a concordance with a totally nontrivial initial link we must encounter a critical point of index 2.

Definition 4.1 (Totally nontrivial). An oriented link $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ is totally nontrivial if for every non-identity element $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ there exists $x \in DKh''(D, \gamma)$ such that

$$c(x) \neq \frac{1}{2} f(x).$$

A totally nontrivial link must intersect the attaching spheres of destabilizing handles.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\sigma$ be the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle on $\Sigma_g$. If $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ is totally nontrivial, then $D \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ for all diagrams $D$ of $L$.

Proof. Suppose $\sigma$ represents (the Poincaré dual to) $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. As $L$ is totally nontrivial, $DKh''(L, \gamma)$ must contain an element $x$ such that

$$c(x) \neq \frac{1}{2} f(x).$$
The result then follows from the contrapositive to Lemma 3.16.

It follows that \( L \cap (\sigma \times I) \neq \emptyset \). Thus a totally nontrivial link does not support a destabilizing handle. We are interested in concordance, however, and therefore must verify that the totally nontrivial property interacts well with concordances.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) be strictly concordant links. Then \( L_1 \) is totally nontrivial if and only if \( L_2 \) is totally nontrivial.

**Proof.** Invoke the isomorphism on the totally reduced homologies induced by a strict concordance, as stated in Theorem 3.12. \( \Box \)

Proposition 4.3 is not enough to obstruct descent concordance, however. To see this, let \( (S, M) \) be a concordance with initial link \( L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho \times I \). Traverse the concordance, starting at \( L \), until a critical point corresponding to a (de)stabilizing handle is met. Cutting open \( (S, M) \) immediately before this critical point yields \( (S', \Sigma_\varrho \times I) \), a pseudostrict genus 0 cobordism (not necessarily a concordance). It follows that a destabilization may occur at a link that is merely pseudostrictly genus 0 cobordant to \( L \).

As such, we must verify that the totally nontrivial property obstructs index 1 critical points within pseudostrict genus 0 cobordisms obtained by cutting open concordances. To ease exposition, we prove the case of strict genus 0 cobordisms; the pseudostrict case follows identically.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( (S_1, \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I) \) be a strict genus 0 cobordism from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \) to \( J \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \), and \( (S_2, M) \) a cobordism from \( J \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \). Suppose that \( (S, M') = (S_1 \cup J S_2, \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \cup M) \) is a concordance from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\varrho_1 \times I \).

If \( L_1 \) is totally nontrivial and \( \sigma \) is the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle on \( \Sigma_\varrho_1 \), then \( J \cap (\sigma \times I) \neq \emptyset \).

This proposition requires the assumption that the genus 0 cobordism is obtained by cutting open a concordance: there exist totally nontrivial links that are genus 0 cobordant to the unknot (the relevant genus 0 cobordism may not appear within a concordance, therefore).

**Proof of Proposition 4.4.** The map \( \widetilde{\phi}_S : DKh' (L_1) \to DKh'' (L_2) \) is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.12, and \( \widetilde{\phi}_S = \phi_{S_2} \circ \phi_{S_1} \) by Proposition 3.11. Therefore \( \phi_{S_1} \) is injective, and so is \( \phi_{S_1} \) by Proposition 3.9.

We require a fact regarding \( \phi_{S_1} \) and the upper/lower superscripts. Suppose that

\[
\begin{align*}
j(\phi_{S_1}(x^u)) &= j(x^u) + m_1 \\
c(\phi_{S_1}(x^u)) &= c(x^u) + m_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.1)

(Note that the injectivity of \( \phi_{S_1} \) guarantees that \( \phi_{S_1}(x^{u/l}) \neq 0 \).) We claim that

\[
\begin{align*}
j(\phi_{S_1}(x^f)) &= j(x^f) + m_1 \\
c(\phi_{S_1}(x^f)) &= c(x^f) + m_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.2)

also. To see this, apply Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 3.19 to Equation (4.1) to obtain

\[
j(\phi_{S_1}(x^f)) \pm 1 = j(x^f) + 1 + m_1
\]


so that \( j(\phi_{S_1}(x^{\ell})) = j(x^{\ell}) + 1 + m_1 \). Recall that the differential of the totally reduced homology consists of a component of \( j \)-degree 0 and another of \( j \)-degree +4, and that \( \phi_{S_1} \) is \( j \)-graded (c-graded) of degree \( \chi(S_1) (1/2) \chi(S_1) \). Therefore we have \( m_1 = \chi(S_1) \mod 4 \). If \( j(\phi_{S_1}(x^{\ell})) = j(x^{\ell}) + 2 + m_1 \), then \( 2 + m_1 = \chi(S_1) \mod 4 \) also, yielding a contradiction. The argument for the c-grading statement is essentially identical.

We now verify the proposition. Assume towards a contradiction that there exists \( \sigma \), the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle, such that \( J \cap (\sigma \times I) = \emptyset \). Therefore there exists \( D \), a diagram of \( J \), such that \( D \cap \sigma = \emptyset \). Let \( \sigma \) represent the Poincaré dual to \( \gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \). In what follows we shall continue to denote the induced filtration gradings on \( DK_h''(J, \gamma) \) by \( j \) and \( c \), and denote the honest gradings on \( CDK_h''(D, \gamma) \) by \( \tilde{j} \) and \( \tilde{c} \).

As \( D \cap \sigma = \emptyset \), no smoothings within \( [D, \gamma] \) acquire dots, and we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{c}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{j}(y) \\
c([y]) &= \frac{1}{2} j([y])
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( y \in CDK_h''(D, \gamma) \) by Equation (3.3).

As \( L_1 \) is totally nontrivial there exists an \( x^{u_1} \in DK_h''(L, \gamma) \) such that \( c(x^{u_1}) \neq \frac{1}{2} j(x^{u_1}) \).

Suppose that

\[
c(x^{u_1}) = kj(x^{u_1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]

Without loss of generality we may assume that \( j(x^{u_1}) \neq 0 \): if \( j(x^{u_1}) = 0 \) it follows from Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18 that at least one of \( \{x^{u_1}, \tilde{x}^u, \tilde{x}^{\ell} \} \) is as desired. Via Equations (4.1) to (4.4) we obtain

\[
c(\phi_{S_1}(x^{u_1})) = c(x^{u_1}) + m_2
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} j(\phi_{S_1}(x^{u_1})) = kj(x^{u_1}) + m_2
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( j(x^{u_1}) + m_1 \right) = kj(x^{u_1}) + m_2
\]

so that

\[
\frac{1}{2} m_1 - m_2 = \left( k - \frac{1}{2} \right) j(x^{u_1}).
\]

Suppose \( y_1, y_2 \in CDK_h''(D, \gamma) \) are homologous and

\[
\tilde{j}(y_1) \geq \tilde{j}(y_2)
\]

\[
\tilde{c}(y_1) \leq \tilde{c}(y_2).
\]

But \( \tilde{c}(y_1) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{j}(y_1) \) so that \( \tilde{c}(y_1) = \tilde{c}(y_2) \), and \( \tilde{j}(y_1) = \tilde{j}(y_2) \). Thus the \( j \)- and \( c \)-gradings may be realised on one element of a homology class. Further, we have

\[
\tilde{j}(y_1) = \tilde{j}(y_2) + 4r_1
\]

\[
\tilde{c}(y_1) = \tilde{c}(y_2) + 2r_2
\]
for \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N} \), due to the form of the differential of \( CDKh''(D, y) \). Equation (4.3) implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{j}(y_1) &= \overline{j}(y_2) + 4r_1 \\
2\overline{c}(y_1) &= 2\overline{c}(y_2) + 4r_1 \\
\overline{c}(y_1) &= \overline{c}(y_2) + 2r_1
\end{align*}
\]
so that \( r_1 = r_2 \). It follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
m_1 &= \chi(S) + 4t \\
m_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\chi(S) + 2t
\end{align*}
\]
for \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) as given in Equations (4.1) and (4.2), and \( t \in \mathbb{N} \). Then
\[
(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{2}m_1 - m_2 = \frac{1}{2}\chi(S) + 2t - \frac{1}{2}\chi(S) - 2t = 0.
\]
Combining Equations (4.5) and (4.6), and recalling that \( j(x^w) \neq 0 \), we obtain \( k - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \((S_1, \Sigma_{g_1} \times I)\) be a pseudostrict genus 0 cobordism from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) to \( J \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \), and \((S_2, M)\) a cobordism from \( J \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \). Suppose that \((S, M') = (S_1 \cup_f S_2, \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \cup M)\) is a concordance from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \).

If \( L_1 \) is totally nontrivial and \( \sigma \) is the attaching sphere of a destabilizing handle on \( \Sigma_{g_1} \), then \( J \cap (\sigma \times I) \neq \emptyset \).

**Proof.** The proof is almost identical to that of Proposition 4.4: simply replace the map assigned to a strict concordance with that assigned to a pseudostrict concordance, given in Definition 3.14. \( \square \)

With the case of pseudostrict genus 0 cobordisms complete we can obstruct descent concordance.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \((S, M)\) be a concordance from \( L_1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_1} \times I \) to \( L_2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g_2} \times I \). Suppose that \( L_1 \) is totally nontrivial and either

(i) \( g_1 > g_2 \)

or

(ii) \( g_1 = g_2 \) and \((S, M)\) is not pseudostrict.

Then \((S, M)\) is ascent.

**Proof.** We prove Case (ii). Let \( f \) be a Morse function as in Definition 2.3. As \((S, M)\) is not pseudostrict, when traversing the concordance we must encounter a critical point corresponding to a (de)stabilizing handle addition. Let \( p \) be the first such critical point met. Assume towards a contradiction that \( p \) is a destabilizing handle 1 critical point.

At \( p \) a 3-dimensional 2-handle attachment occurs. Let \( \Sigma_g = f^{-1}(f(p) + \epsilon) \) be the level surface to which this handle is attached, and \( \sigma \) the attaching sphere (a nonseparating simple closed curve on \( \Sigma_g \)).

The intersection \( (\Sigma_g \times I) \cap S \) is a link in \( \Sigma_g \times I \), denoted \( J \). The link \( J \) satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 4.5, so that \( J \cap (\sigma \times I) \neq \emptyset \). But if this intersection is non-empty then \( S \) is not smoothly embedded in \( M \), yielding a contradiction. It follows that the critical point \( p \) must be of index 2, and correspond to a stabilizing handle addition. Thus
A pair of ascent concordant links.

The totally reduced homology of the links depicted in Figure 8. It is supported in homological grading 0, and the vertical (horizontal) axis denotes the quantum (dotted) grading.

the genus of level surfaces appearing immediately after it is $g_1 + 1$. As $g_1 + 1 > g_1 = g_2$ the critical point $p$ is exceeding, and $(S, M)$ is ascent.

The proof for Case (i) is identical, as the fact that $g_1 > g_2$ guarantees that $(S, M)$ is not pseudostrict. □

**Corollary 4.7.** Let $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ and $L' \hookrightarrow \Sigma_g \times I$ be concordant and $L$ totally nontrivial. If $DH(L, \gamma) \neq DH(L', \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then $L$ and $L'$ are ascent concordant.

**Proof.** If $DH(L, \gamma) \neq DH(L', \gamma)$ then $L$ and $L'$ are not pseudostrictly concordant by Corollary 3.15, and by Theorem 4.6 any concordance between them is ascent. □

The working mathematician lives in fear of proving theorems about the empty set. We dispel any such fears in this case by proving the theorem stated on page 1, presenting an infinite family of ascent concordant links. Consider the two-component links $L \hookrightarrow \Sigma_1 \times I$ and $L' \hookrightarrow \Sigma_1 \times I$ given in Figure 8. Let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a basis of $H^1(\Sigma_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. The homologies $DH''(L, \gamma)$ and $DH''(L', \gamma)$ are isomorphic for all $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$; we depict them in Figure 9. Observe that $L$ and $L'$ are totally nontrivial, and that $L'$ is obtained from $L$ by
a Dehn twist. We may realise this Dehn twist as an ascent concordance, as described in Figure 10. The diagram labelled (1) is obtained from $L$ via an isotopy, then:

1. to (2): Add an empty handle (pass an exceeding index 2 critical point).
2. to (3): Slide the foot of the leftmost handle over the rightmost, via the red path.
3. to (4): Destabilize along the blue curve.

The diagram labeled (4) is isotopic to the diagram of $L'$ in Figure 8.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 10.** Realizing a Dehn twist as a concordance.

As $L$ and $L'$ are embedded in diffeomorphic thickened surfaces we must obstruct their pseudostrict concordance in order to apply Theorem 4.6. First, notice that without loss of generality we may assume that a pseudostrict concordance does not contain index 0 or 3 critical points.

**Lemma 4.8.** If there exists a pseudostrict concordance between two links, then there exist a pseudostrict concordance between them with only index 1 and 2 critical points.

**Proof.** Let $(S, M)$ be a pseudostrict concordance. Traverse $(S, M)$ from the initial link, until an index 3 or index 0 critical point is met. Suppose it is of index 3, and that $\Sigma_g$ is the level surface preceding it: when passing such a critical point the level surface becomes $S^2 \cup \Sigma_g$. As $(S, M)$ is pseudostrict, in traversing the remainder of the concordance the $S^2$ component may only be attached to another level surface component, split into two disjoint copies of $S^2$, or remain unchanged. It follows that any regions of the cobordism surface $S$ which require the presence of the index 3 critical point may equivalently be supported on (thickenings of) disc neighbourhoods of $\Sigma_g$. Produce a new pseudostrict concordance by reproducing such regions of $S$ on a (thickenings of) disc neighbourhoods of $\Sigma_g$, removing the index 3 critical point (and any subsequent critical points interacting with it). Any remaining index 3 critical points may be removed by repeating this process. We may remove index 0 critical points by considering the reverse cobordism and repeating the above argument. \qed
Both $L$ and $L'$ have $\Sigma_1 \times I$ as ambient space; suppose a pseudostrict concordance between them, $(S, M)$, contains an index 1 critical point which necessarily creates a disjoint $S^2$ component. As $(S, M)$ is pseudostrict any regions of $S$ which require the presence of this $S^2$ may be reproduced in (thickenings of) disc neighbourhoods of $\Sigma_1$, and by an argument similar to that given in the proof of Lemma 4.8 we may produce a new pseudostrict concordance without index 1 critical points. As this new concordance does not possess index 0, 1, or 3 critical points and $\Sigma_1$ is connected, it follows that it does not possess index 2 critical points between two distinct components. We conclude that if $L$ and $L'$ are pseudostrictly concordant, then they are strictly concordant.

Assume towards a contradiction that $L$ and $L'$ are strictly concordant; then there exists a concordance $(S, \Sigma_1 \times I)$ with $S \hookrightarrow \Sigma_1 \times I \times \{0\} = L$ and $S \cap (\Sigma_1 \times I \times \{1\}) = L'$. Therefore the ambient spaces of $L$ and $L'$ are identical (as opposed to being merely diffeomorphic). However, it is clear that $L$ and $L'$ are not homotopic if the ambient spaces are identical. As strictly concordant implies homotopic, we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $L$ and $L'$ are not pseudostrictly concordant, and by Theorem 4.6 they are ascent concordant. One may produce an infinite family of ascent concordant links by iterating the Dehn twist.
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