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Abstract

In [8], without giving a detailed proof, Yamauchi provided a formula to calculate the genus of a certain family of smooth complete intersection algebraic curves. That formula is used extensively in [1] to study on the algebraic curves for which their Jacobian has superelliptic components. In this note, we determine the correct version of the genus formula with an algebraic proof. Then, we show that the formula given in [8] works only under certain conditions.

1 Introduction and main result

Let \( r \geq 2 \) and \( s \geq 1 \) be arbitrary integers. Assume that \( k \) is a field with characteristic coprime to \( 2r \). Denote by \( \overline{k} \) an algebraically closed field containing \( k \). Fix a system of coordinates \( x, y_1, \cdots, y_s, w, z \) on the projective space \( \mathbb{P}^{s+2}_{\overline{k}} \). Let \( \mathcal{X}_{r,s} \) denotes the algebraic curve defined over \( k \) in \( \mathbb{P}^{s+2}_{\overline{k}} \) by the following equations,

\[
zw = c_0 x^2 + c_1 x w + c_2 w^2,
\]

\[
y_1^r = h_1(z, w) := z^r + c_{1,1} z^{r-1} w + \cdots + c_{r-1,1} z w^{r-1} + w^r,
\]

\[
\cdots
\]

\[
y_s^r = h_1(z, w) := z^r + c_{1,s} z^{r-1} w + \cdots + c_{r-1,s} z w^{r-1} + w^r,
\]

where \( c_\ell \in k, \ell = 0, 1, 2 \), and \( c_{i,j} \in k \) for \( i = 1, \cdots, r \), and \( j = 1, \cdots, s \).

Without giving a comprehensive proof, in Proposition 4.1 (i) of [8], Yamauchi stated that the genus \( g(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) \) of the curve \( \mathcal{X}_{r,s} \) is equal to \( (r - 1)(rs - 2^s - 2^s + 1) \) if it is smooth and \( c_0 \neq 0 \). This genus formula is used extensively in Section 4 of [1] to provide an necessary and sufficient condition in terms of \( r \) and \( s \) such that the Jacobian of \( g(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) \) to decompose as Jacobian of superelliptic curves.

In this paper, we are going to provide a correct formula for the genus of \( \mathcal{X}_{r,s} \) as stated in the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that the curve $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}$ is smooth and $c_0 \neq 0$. Then, its arithmetic the genus is equal to

$$g(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) = 1 + r^s(s(r - 1) - 1). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{r,s}}$ be the canonical sheaf of $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}$. By the exercise (II.8.4.e) in [3], it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(rs + 2 - (s + 2) - 1) = \mathcal{O}(s(r - 1) - 1)$. Hence, we have

$$\text{deg}(\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{r,s}}) = (s(r - 1) - 1) \cdot \text{deg}(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}).$$

Using the classical version of Bezout’s theorem, see Proposition 8.4 in [2] or Example 1 in page 198 of [3], the degree of $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}$ over $k$ is equal to $\text{deg}(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) = 2 \cdot r^s$. Thus, we get that $\text{deg}(\omega_{\mathcal{X}_{r,s}}) = 2 \cdot r^s \cdot (s(r - 1) - 1)$. As a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem, it is well known that the degree of canonical sheaf of any algebraic curve of genus $g$ is equal to $2g - 2$. For instance, see example 1.3.3 in chapter IV of [3]. Therefore, we have

$$2g - 2 = 2 \cdot r^s \cdot (s(r - 1) - 1)$$

that leads to the desired formula. \qed

In the proof of Propositions 2.1 and 4.1 of [5], without giving any more details, Yamauchi has stated that the genus of $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}$ can be derived by applying the Reimann-Hurwitz’s formula to the natural morphism which drops the coordinates $x, y_1, \ldots, y_s$. In what follows, we are going to investigate his assertion as well as the necessity of the assumption on the characteristic of $k$. Let us to recall the Reimann-Hurwitzs formula that relates the genera of algebraic curves. Assume that $C_1$ and $C_2$ are two smooth curves defined over $k$ of genus $g_1$ and $g_2$, respectively. Let $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ be a rational map. Then, when the characteristic of $k$ is zero or it is coprime to $e_\phi(P)$ for all $P \in C_1$, one has

$$2g_1 - 2 = (2g_2 - 2) \cdot \deg \phi + \sum_{P \in C_1} (e_\phi(P) - 1), \quad (3)$$

See Theorem III.4.12 and Corollary III.5.6 in [7] or Theorem II.5.9 in [6]. In order to compute the ramification indexes of $\phi$ at $P \in \mathcal{X}_{r,s}$, we let $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ be roots of $c_0x^2 + c_1x + c_2$ and $\beta_{ij}$ be the roots of $h_i(z, 1)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Then, one can check that the smoothness of $\mathcal{X}_{r,s}$ is equivalent to say that all of $\beta_{ij}$’s are distinct elements in $\bar{k}$. Furthermore, we let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ be $r$-th root of unity in $\bar{k}$. Let us to consider the map $\phi : \mathcal{X}_{r,s} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$, defined as

$$[\beta_i : \alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_s : 0 : 1] \mapsto [\beta_i : 1],$$

$$[x_{ij} : \alpha_1 : \cdots : 0 : \alpha_{i+1} : \cdots : \alpha_s : \beta_{ij} : 1] \mapsto [\beta_{ij} : 1],$$

$$[x' : \alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_s : \beta : 1] \mapsto [\beta : 1], \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 0, \beta_{ij},$$

$$[x'' : \alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_s : 1 : 0] \mapsto [1 : 0], \quad (4)$$

where $x_{ij}, x'$, and $x''$ are elements of $\bar{k}$ satisfying in $c_0x_{ij}^2 + c_1x_{ij} + c_2 - \beta_{ij} = 0, c_0x'^2 + c_1x' + c_2 - \beta = 0, c_0x''^2 + c_1x'' + c_2 - 1 = 0$, respectively. Then, one has $\#\phi^{-1}([\beta_i : 1]) = r^s$ and $e_\phi(P) = 2$ for all $P \in \phi^{-1}([\beta_i : 1])$. Considering $z$ as a local coordinate results that $\#\phi^{-1}([\beta_{ij} : 1]) = 2r^s - 1$ and $e_\phi(P) = r$ for all $P \in \phi^{-1}([\beta_{ij} : 1])$. Furthermore, we have $\#\phi^{-1}([\beta : 1]) = 2r^s$ with ramification index 1 for $\beta$ different from zero and $\beta_{ij}$’s. Finally, we have $\#\phi^{-1}([1 : 0]) = 2r^s$ and each of the points has ramification index equal to 1. Since
\[ \deg \phi = \deg(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) = 2r^s, \text{ so the formula } (3) \text{ can be rewritten as} \]
\[
g(\mathcal{X}_{r,s}) = 1 - 2r^s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(\beta_{1:1})} (e_\phi(P) - 1) \]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(\beta_{2:1})} (e_\phi(P) - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(\beta_{ij:0})} (e_\phi(P) - 1) \]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(\beta:0)} (e_\phi(P) - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(1:0)} (e_\phi(P) - 1) \]
\[
= 1 - 2r^s + r^s + r^s(s(r - 1)) = 1 + r^s(s(r - 1) - 1). \tag{5} \]

We remark that the genus formula given in [8] and here coincide in two cases, say when \( r = 2 \) and any \( s \geq 1 \) as well as \( r \geq 2 \) and \( s = 1 \). Hence, Theorem 4.2 in [8] and Theorem 4.3 in [1] and its consequences are true only in the above mentioned two cases and are wrong in other cases. The author is intended to obtain their correct versions for all cases in his forthcoming paper [4].
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