Explaining Retrocausality Phenomena in Quantum Mechanics using a Modified Variational Principle
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Abstract—A modified lagrangian with causal and retrocausal momenta was used to derive a causal wave equation and retrocausal wave equation using the principle of least action. The retrocausal wave function obtained through this method is equivalent to the complex conjugate of the causal wave equation, thus making one conclude that retrocausality phenomena was already implicit in classical quantum mechanics through the means of complex conjugation. Lastly, a dissipative lagrangian (which contains fractional derivatives) is used with the same variational principle to obtain a pair of dissipative wave equations, one causal and other retrocausal, which remain to be solved.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lagrangian mechanics started back in 1788 with Joseph-Louis Lagrange, who derived a formalism for classical mechanics, through the means of calculus of variations, that contained Newton’s laws [1]. By making the action of a system stationary, Lagrange obtained a set of equations, later called the Euler-Lagrange equations of the system, which describes how the system evolves in time. This equations of motion are identical to the ones obtained through Newton’s second law of motion. Similarly, using the principle of least action and Hamilton-Jacobi theory, Erwin Schrödinger derived his famous equation [2] for explaining energy quantization in atoms. From classical to quantum mechanics, Lagrange’s work has taken a fundamental role in discovering the laws that govern our universe.

Based on the formulation of lagrangians used by Dreisigmeyer & Young [3] in frictional systems, this article proposes a modified lagrangian and variational principle later to be used in a similar manner as Schrödinger did to obtain two different wave equations, one causal and the other one retrocausal, or backwards causal. Anti-causal, retrocausal and backwards causal are all terms to be understood as the same phenomena.

Consider an interval of time \(a < t < b\), where \(a\) and \(b\) represent arbitrary parameters. Now, consider a system with a general coordinate \(q\) and its fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\). If \(m\) is the smallest integer greater than \(\alpha\), the causal derivative of \(q\) is given by:

\[
\alpha D^\alpha_a [q(t)] = \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m - \alpha)} \int_a^t f(\tau)(t - \tau)^{m-\alpha-1} d\tau \right] (1)
\]

But to simplify notation, the causal derivative is written as:

\[
\alpha D^\alpha_a [q(t)] = _a q^\alpha_a (2)
\]

Similarly, the corresponding retrocausal derivative of \(q\) is given by:

\[
\alpha D^\alpha_b [q(t)] = _b q^\alpha_b (3)
\]

When viewed as a convolution, equation 1 is a forward convolution because is going from left to right, which is equivalent to taking time to flow from a past point \(a < t\) to the present moment \(t\). On the other hand, equation 3 is a reverse convolution, because the operation is being taken from right to left. That is to say, as if time is flowing in the opposite direction, from the future point \(b\) to the present time \(t\). For this reason is to be called retrocausal.

Following the above notation, [3] defines the following lagrangian, which is a function of both its causal and retrocausal derivatives:

\[
\mathcal{L}(q^\alpha_a, q^\alpha_b, \dot{q}^\alpha_a, \dot{q}^\alpha_b) (5)
\]

Using this lagrangian, the action of the system is made stationary by the following equation:

\[
\delta S = \delta \int_a^b \mathcal{L}(q^\alpha_a, \dot{q}^\alpha_a, q^\alpha_b, \dot{q}^\alpha_b) dt = 0 (6)
\]

The variation is made by taking an arbitrary small parameter \(\eta\) so that \(q \longrightarrow q + \eta\). In this way, the variation as a first order expansion is given by:

\[
\delta \int_a^b \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q^\alpha_a} \eta^\alpha_a + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha_a} \dot{\eta}^\alpha_a + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q^\alpha_b} \eta^\alpha_b + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha_b} \dot{\eta}^\alpha_b \right] dt = 0 (7)
\]
Using the condition $\eta(a) = \eta(b)$ which is familiar in classical mechanics, the integration by parts step is done the following way [3]:

$$\int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \eta \, dt = \int_a^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \dot{\eta} \, dt$$ (8)

As equation 8 shows, the retrocausal derivative appears instead of the negative sign one is used to in integration by parts. By this, one can factorize the variation parameter $\eta$ in equation 7:

$$\int_a^b \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^1} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^2} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^2} \right) \eta dt = 0$$ (9)

Because the action must be stationary for any value the parameter $\eta$ gets, the term in the parenthesis must be equal to zero. This gives the modified Euler-Lagrange equations for the system:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^1} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^2} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^2} = 0$$ (10)

For example, when the condition in equation 10 is applied to the following lagrangian [3]:

$$L = \frac{-m}{2}(\alpha q^1_t)(\alpha q^1_t) - \frac{C}{2}(\alpha q^\alpha_t)(\alpha q^\alpha_t) - V(q)$$ (11)

By splitting the potential energy in two, one obtains the following equations of motion when the causal part is forced to vanish separately from the retrocausal part:

$$m a D^2_t[q] + C(\alpha D^2_t[q]) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q}$$ (12)

$$m a D^2_b[q] + C(\alpha D^2_b[q]) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q}$$ (13)

If we let then $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, and take the potential to be $V(q) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 q^2$ then the causal and retrocausal equations for the well known damped oscillator are obtained:

$$m \ddot{q} + C \dot{q} + m \omega^2 q = 0$$ (14)

$$m \ddot{q} - C \dot{q} + m \omega^2 q = 0$$ (15)

II. MODIFICATION TO THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

It is in a way unsettling how [3] decides to split the potential in two in order to obtain the right equations of motion. It feels like a violation to the whole careful procedure done before. Nonetheless, next is proposed a way in which it is not necessary to split the potential in two. Consider the following lagrangian, similar to that in equation 5, but which is also a function of their zeroth-order causal and retrocausal derivatives:

$$L(a q^0, \alpha q^1_t, \beta q^0_t)$$ (16)

Following the same procedure of taking $q \rightarrow q + \eta$ and expanding the lagrangian to first order, the following modified euler-lagrange equations are obtained:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \eta = 0$$ (17)

$$a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1} + a D^\alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^2} \eta = 0$$ (18)

And analogously to equation 11, the following lagrangian is proposed:

$$L = m(\alpha q^1_t)(\alpha q^1_t) + C(\alpha q^\alpha_t)(\alpha q^\alpha_t) + k(\alpha q^0_t)(\alpha q^0_t)$$ (19)

This lagrangian is different because the negative signs used before are no longer needed. Here the constant $k$ corresponds to the spring constant of the oscillator, which is exchangeable with $m \omega^2$. Applying equations 17 and 18 on this lagrangian, one obtains the equivalent to equations 12 and 13, and the latter equations 14 and 15:

$$m a D^2_t[q] + C(\alpha D^2_t[q]) + k(a D^0_t[q]) = 0$$ (20)

$$m a D^2_b[q] + C(\alpha D^2_b[q]) + k(a D^0_b[q]) = 0$$ (21)

Following this reasoning, the concept of a lagrangian can be reduced to a sum over the products of causal and retrocausal, $\beta$-order derivatives in the following form:

$$L = \sum_\beta C_{\beta}(\alpha q^\beta_t)(\alpha q^\beta_t)$$ (22)

Here, $C_{\beta}$ is some real coefficient, and $\beta$ can be any real number. For the lagrangian in equation 19, $\beta$ is summing over the values of 1, $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0, and the coefficients $C_{\beta}$ are $m$, $C$ and $k$ respectively. This generalization of lagrangian can then yield any pair of causal and retrocausal equations of motion of any given order, according to the $\beta$ parameter used. This generalizes the Euler-Lagrange equations to the following form:
\[ aD^\beta_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\beta_i} = 0 \]  
(23)

\[ iD^\beta_b \frac{\partial L}{\partial a^\beta_i} = 0 \]  
(24)

Where there is a sum over the index \( \beta \) in both equations. With this lagrangian and equations of motion, next we proceed to analize the quantum mechanical chase.

### III. Quantization of Energy as an Eigenvalue Problem Revisited

The problem of energy quantization as an eigenvalue problem was formulated by Schrödinger in the following manner [2]:

\[ H(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}) = E \]  
(25)

Here, using Hamilton-Jacobi theory, the momenta in the Hamiltonian are replaced by the partial derivatives of the action \( S \) [4]. Now we set the goal of deriving the same formulation using the causal/retrocausal approach. Using the familiar Legendre transform, it is possible to prove that for the following lagrangian:

\[ \mathcal{L} = m(q^1_i)(q^1_i) - V(q) \]  
(26)

The corresponding hamiltonian function is of the form [5]:

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{m} p_+ p_- + V(q) = E \]  
(27)

Where the momenta \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \) correspond to the first order causal and retrocausal derivatives in the lagrangian of equation 26. This can be also thought as causal speed and retrocausal speed with their corresponding causal momentum \( p_+ \) and retrocausal momentum \( p_- \). Under this formalism and following the steps of Schrödinger [2], the following causal and retrocausal actions are proposed respectively as:

\[ S_+ = K \ln(p_+) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \ln(p_+) \]  
(28)

\[ S_- = K \ln(p_-) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \ln(p_-) \]  
(29)

Where \( K \) is a constant with action units. In the above equations, \( p_+ \) corresponds to a causal wave function, and similarly \( p_- \) corresponds to a retrocausal wave function. By doing this, the momenta \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \) can be replaced by the Hamilton-Jacobi transformation:

\[ p_+ = \frac{\partial S_+}{\partial q} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2p_+}} \frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial q} \]  
(30)

Thus when doing the substitution in the Hamiltonian in equation 27, one obtains:

\[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \psi_+ \nabla \psi_- - (E - V(q))\psi_+ \psi_- = 0 \]  
(31)

Next and analogously to [2], the variational principle problem is formulated as:

\[ \delta \int \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \psi_+ \nabla \psi_- + (V(q) - E)\psi_+ \psi_- \right] dx dy dz = 0 \]  
(32)

Where the integration is on all space. As [2] shows in the original derivation of the wave equation, taking the variation shown in equation 33 similarly implies the existence of two separate wave equations:

\[ \nabla^2 \psi_+ + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(E - V)\psi_+ = 0 \]  
(34)

\[ \nabla^2 \psi_- + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(E - V)\psi_- = 0 \]  
(35)

Which means both \( \psi_+ \) and \( \psi_- \) have the same energy eigenvalue. When solved in the energy basis and going to the usual bra-ket notation, both wavefunctions can be expressed as [6]:

\[ \psi_+ = \sum_E |E \rangle \langle E| e^{\frac{\pm iEt}{\hbar}} \]  
(36)

The retrocausal wave function \( \psi_- \) has the same eigenfunction solution as \( \psi_+ \) but experiences a temporal inversion, so the exponent for the temporal part gets a minus sign:

\[ \psi_- = \sum_E |E \rangle \langle E| e^{\frac{iEt}{\hbar}} \]  
(37)

Thus by measuring the energy of one of the wave functions, the other is forced to collapse to the same energy value because they are entangled. This means the past wave function collapses the future wave function, and vice versa. Equation 29 looks very familiar in classical terms, since now we arrive to the conclusion that \( \psi_- \) is the complex conjugate of our causal wave function \( \psi_+ \). This means there is an equivalence principle in taking the complex conjugate of our classical wave function and the consideration of a retrocausal wave function, or a retrocausal action. The probability amplitude for our particle can then be obtained from:

\[ P = \psi_+ \psi_- \]  
(38)

This being equivalent to the classical probability amplitude:
Thus, the retrocausality effect taken into consideration has always been implicit in the complex conjugation of the classical wave function, because complex conjugation implies time reversal [6].

IV. EXTENSION TO THE FRACTIONAL CASE

Now we use a variation of the lagrangian in equation 19 for dissipative systems:

\[ \mathcal{L} = m(q_+^1)(q_+^1) + C(q_+^2)(q_+^2) - V(q) \]  

(40)

Here C is again a damping coefficient, not to be confused with \( c \), the speed of light. For this lagrangian, the corresponding Hamiltonian function is [5]:

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{m} p_+ p_- + \frac{1}{C} p_+^2 p_-^2 + V(q) = E \]  

(41)

And as you might have guessed, the index \( \frac{1}{2} \) over the momenta refers to the corresponding half derivatives in the lagrangian of equation 40. This means we now have a half-causal momentum \( p_+^\frac{1}{2} \) and a half-retrocausal momentum \( p_-^\frac{1}{2} \). In this case, the following substitution is proposed according to Hamilton-Jacobi theory:

\[ p_+^\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\partial S_+^\frac{1}{2}}{\partial q_+^\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2} \psi_+} \frac{\partial \psi_+}{\partial q_+^\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(42)

\[ p_-^\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\partial S_-^\frac{1}{2}}{\partial q_-^\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2} \psi_-} \frac{\partial \psi_-}{\partial q_-^\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(43)

Thus one obtains the following quantity for the energy variation:

\[ \delta \int_a^b \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \psi_+ \nabla \psi_- + \frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \nabla \psi_+^\frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi_-^\frac{1}{2} - (E - V(q)) \psi_+ \psi_- \right] dx dy dz = 0 \]  

(44)

This can be shown to yield the following causal and retrocausal dissipative wave equations:

\[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi_+ + \frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \nabla \psi_+ + (E - V(q)) \psi_+ = 0 \]  

(45)

\[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi_- + \frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \nabla \psi_- + (E - V(q)) \psi_- = 0 \]  

(46)

These two can further be reduced by multiplying on both sides by \( \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \) to obtain:

\[ \nabla^2 \psi_+ + \frac{m}{\hbar^2} (E - V(q)) \psi_+ = 0 \]  

(47)

\[ \nabla^2 \psi_- + \frac{m}{\hbar^2} (E - V(q)) \psi_- = 0 \]  

(48)

V. CONCLUSIONS

As can be deduced from the variational principles, when considering a system with no dissipation (that means taking \( C = 0 \) in the lagrangian of equation 40), one recovers Schrödinger’s equation. But by considering a damping coefficient \( C \neq 0 \) one gets a dissipative version of the original wave equation. The solution of the last remains to be found and its similarities with other systems remain to be analyzed. The consideration of a retrocausal action and retrocausal wave function is equivalent in principle to the complex conjugation done in the usual way in quantum mechanics, thus having started from a different point of view, a known quantum mechanical principle was obtained at the end. Further consideration of retrocausality remains to be explored and expanded, for example in systems of many particles.
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