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Abstract

The Frankl conjecture (called also union-closed sets conjecture) is one of the famous unsolved conjectures in combinatorics of finite sets. It has been formulated since mid-1970s as follows: If $A$ is a union-closed family of subsets of a finite set $X$, which contains a nonempty subset of $X$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $x$ belongs to at least half of the members of $A$. In this short note, we introduce and to some extent justify some variants of the Frankl conjecture.
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Let $X$ be a finite nonempty set and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the powerset of $X$. By a union-closed family on $X$ we mean a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\emptyset, X \in A$ and such that if $A_1, A_2 \in A$ then $A_1 \cup A_2 \in A$. Frankl's conjecture (FC for short), that is also called union-closed sets conjecture, is as follows.

Conjecture 1. FC: If $A$ is a union-closed family on a finite nonempty set $X$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $x$ belongs to at least half of the members of $A$.

For history of FC, some basic related results, and some equivalent formulations of the conjecture in terms of graphs and lattices, we refer the reader to [1, 2] and references therein. In this short note, we introduce some natural variants of FC.

Let us begin by some notations and definitions. For a set $S$, we denote by $|S|$ the cardinal of $S$. If $A$ is a union-closed family on $X$, we denote $X$ by the symbol $\cup A$. The class of all union-closed families on finite nonempty sets is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be two natural numbers with $k \geq \ell \geq 1$. A family $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is called $k|\ell$-separated if $|\cup A| \geq k$ and for every $k$ distinct elements $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \cup A$, there exists $A \in A$ such that $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \in A$ and $\{x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_k\} \cap A = \emptyset$. The class of all $k|\ell$-separated union-closed families is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}$.

By definition, any union-closed family is $1|1$-separated; thus $\mathfrak{A}_{1|1} = \mathfrak{A}$. Also, $\mathfrak{A}_{k|k}$ is the class of all union-closed families $A$ with $|\cup A| \geq k$. As a trivial example, for every finite set $X$ with $|X| \geq k$, any union-closed family on $X$, containing the union-closed family $\{A \subseteq X : |A| \geq \ell \} \cup \{\emptyset\}$, is $k|\ell$-separated.
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For every two natural numbers \( k \) and \( \ell \) as above, let \( c_{k|\ell} \) be the supremum of all positive real numbers \( \epsilon \) satisfying the following condition: For every \( A \in \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell} \), there exists a set \( S \subseteq \cup A \) with \( |S| = k \) such that there are at least \( \epsilon|A| \) members \( A \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) satisfying \( |S \cap A| \geq \ell \). If there is no such an \( \epsilon \), then \( c_{k|\ell} \) is defined to be 0. Note that the ‘supremum’ in the definition of \( c_{k|\ell} \) is actually a ‘maximum’.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( k, k', k'', \ell \) be natural numbers such that \( k, k' \geq \ell \geq 1 \) and \( k'' \geq 1 \). The following four inequalities are satisfied.

1. \( 0 \leq c_{k|\ell} \leq 2^{-k} \sum_{i=\ell}^k \binom{k}{i} \)
2. \( c_{k+k'|\ell} \geq c_{k|\ell} + c_{k'|\ell} - c_{k|\ell} c_{k'+\ell} \)
3. \( c_{k+k''|\ell+k''} \geq c_{k|\ell} c_{k''|\ell} \)
4. \( c_{k+k'+|\ell+k'} \geq c_{k|\ell} c_{k'\ell} \)

**Proof.** (1) Let \( X \) be a finite set with \( |X| \geq k \). Then, \( \mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell} \). It is easily checked that for every subset \( S \subseteq X \) with \( |S| = k \), the number of those members \( A \) of \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) with \( |A \cap S| \geq \ell \), is equal to \( 2^{|X| - k} \sum_{i=\ell}^k \binom{k}{i} \). This shows that (1) is satisfied.

(2) Let \( A \in \mathfrak{A}_{k+k'|\ell} \). Then, it is easily checked that \( A \) also belongs to \( \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell} \). Thus, there exists \( S \subseteq \cup A \) with \( |S| = k \), such that if the family \( B \) is defined by

\[
B := \{ A \in A : |A \cap S| \geq \ell \},
\]

then \( |B| \geq c_{k|\ell}|A| \). Let the real number \( r \geq 0 \) be such that \( |B| = c_{k|\ell}|A| + r \). Suppose that the family \( B' \) is defined to be the empty family if \( \ell = 1 \), and otherwise,

\[
B' := \{ A \in A : \ell > |A \cap S| \geq 1 \}.
\]

Also, let

\[
A' := \{ A \in A : A \cap S = \emptyset \}.
\]

It is clear that \( A \) coincides with the disjoint union \( B \cup B' \cup A' \). Thus,

\[
|A| = |B| + |B'| + |A'|.
\]

On the other hand, it is easily checked that \( A' \) is a union-closed family on the set \( \cup A \setminus S \) and \( A' \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{k'|\ell} \). Thus, there exists \( S' \subseteq \cup A \setminus S \) with \( |S'| = k' \) such that for at least \( c_{k'|\ell}|A'| \) members \( A' \) of \( A' \), \( |A' \cap S'| \geq \ell \). Let \( p \) be the number of those members \( A \) of \( A \) with the property \( |A \cap (S \cup S')| \geq \ell \). Then,

\[
p \geq |B| + c_{k'|\ell}|A'| (1 + |B'|)
\]
\[
= |B| + c_{k'|\ell}(|A| - |B| - |B'|)(1 + |B'|)
\]
\[
= |B| + c_{k'|\ell}|A| - c_{k'|\ell}|B| + c_{k'|\ell}(|A| - |B| - |B'| - 1)
\]
\[
\geq |B| + c_{k'|\ell}|A| - c_{k'|\ell}|B|
\]
\[
= c_{k|\ell}|A| + r + c_{k'|\ell}|A| - c_{k'|\ell}c_{k|\ell}|A| - rc_{k'|\ell}
\]
\[
= (c_{k|\ell} + c_{k'|\ell} - c_{k|\ell}c_{k'|\ell})|A| + (1 - c_{k'|\ell})r
\]
\[
\geq (c_{k|\ell} + c_{k'|\ell} - c_{k|\ell}c_{k'|\ell})|A|.
\]
The above inequality together with \(|S \cup S'| = k + k'\) show that (2) is satisfied.

(3) Let \(A \in \mathfrak{A}_{k+k'}|\ell+k'|\). Then, \(A \in \mathfrak{A}_{k'|\ell}\), and there exists a set \(S \subseteq \cup \mathfrak{A}\) with \(|S| = k''\) such that \(S\) is contained in at least \(\xi_{k'|\ell}|\mathfrak{A}|\) members of \(A\). Let

\[A' := \{A \setminus S : A \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } S \subseteq A\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \]

Therefore, we have \(|A'| \geq \xi_{k'|\ell}|\mathfrak{A}|\). On the other hand, it is easily seen that \(A' \in \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}\). Thus, there exists a set \(S'' \subseteq \cup A''\) with \(|S''| = k\) such that there are at least \(\xi_{k|\ell}\) members \(A''\) of \(A''\) satisfying \(|A'' \cap S''| \geq \ell\). Therefore, \(S \cup S''\) has \(k + k''\) elements and there exists at least \(\xi_{k|\ell}\xi_{k'|\ell}|\mathfrak{A}|\) members of \(A\) of \(A\) satisfying \(|A \cap (S \cup S'')| \geq \ell + k''\). This implies that (3) is satisfied.

(4) follows directly from (3).

Now, we introduce our variant of the Frankl conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.** FC of order \(k|\ell\): If \(A \in \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}\) then there exists a subset \(S \subseteq \cup \mathfrak{A}\) with \(|S| = k\) such that the number of those members \(A\) of \(A\) with \(|A \cap S| \geq \ell\), is at least \(2^{-k} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k} \binom{k}{i}\). In other words, we have \(\xi_{k|\ell} = 2^{-k} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k} \binom{k}{i}\). In particular, \(\xi_{k|1} = 2^{-k}(2^k - 1)\), \(\xi_{k|k} = 2^{-k}\), and \(\xi_{2k+1|k+1} = 2^{-1}\).

It is clear that FC of order \(1|1\), is the original Frankl conjecture. We have suggested Conjecture [4] from inequality (1) in Theorem 3 and special cases of the conjecture of orders \(k|1\) and \(k|k\).

**Theorem 5.** Let \(k, k'\) be arbitrary natural numbers. The following statements are satisfied.

(1) Validity of FC of orders \(k|1\) and \(k'|1\) imply validity of FC of order \(k + k'|1\). In particular, validity of the Frankl conjecture implies validity of FC of order \(k|1\).

(2) Validity of FC of orders \(k|k\) and \(k'|k'\) imply validity of FC of order \(k + k'|k + k'\). In particular, validity of the Frankl conjecture implies validity of FC of order \(k|k\).

**Proof.** (1) follows from inequalities (1) and (2) of Theorem [3] (2) follows from inequalities (1) and (4) of Theorem [3].

It is easily seen that the following two inequalities are satisfied:

\[2^{-(k+k')} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k+k'} \binom{k+k'}{i} \geq 2^{-k} \sum_{j=\ell}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \sum_{j'=\ell}^{k'} \binom{k'}{j'} - \left[2^{-k} \sum_{j=\ell}^{k} \binom{k}{j}\right] \left[2^{-k'} \sum_{j'=\ell}^{k'} \binom{k'}{j'}\right] \]

\[2^{-(k''+k)} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k''+k} \binom{k''+k}{i} \geq 2^{-k''} \sum_{i=\ell}^{k''} \binom{k''}{i} \]

Hence, Conjecture 4 is compatible with inequalities (2) and (3) of Theorem 3. This is another justification for Conjecture 4.

We suggest that FC of order \(k|\ell\) is also satisfied in a bigger class of union-closed families than \(\mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}\): Let \(A\) be a union-closed family such that \(|\cup A| \geq k\). We say that \(A\) is weakly \(k|\ell\)-separated if the following condition is satisfied: For any \(k\) distinct elements \(x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \cup A\), there exists \(A \in A\) such that \(\{x_1, \ldots, x_\ell\} \cap A \neq \emptyset\) and \(\{x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_k\} \cap A = \emptyset\); we denote the class of all weakly \(k|\ell\)-separated union-closed families by \(\mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}^\prime\). It is clear that \(\mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}^\prime\). We let the real number \(\tilde{\xi}_{k|\ell}\) be exactly defined as \(\xi_{k|\ell}\) except that this time the supremum being taken over \(\mathfrak{A}_{k|\ell}^\prime\). Obviously, we have \(\tilde{\xi}_{k|\ell} \leq \xi_{k|\ell}\).
Conjecture 6. Strong FC of order $k|\ell$: \( \tilde{c}_{k|\ell} = 2^{-k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \).

Note that the statements and proofs of Theorems 3 and 5 hold if the symbols $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{A}$ are replaced by $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$, and the phrase ‘FC of order’ by ‘strong FC of order’. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{k|1} = \mathfrak{A}_{k|1}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{k|k} = \mathfrak{A}_{k|k}$), strong FC and FC of order $k|1$ (resp. $k|k$) coincide.

Remark 7. It is remarked that we have checked the validity of Conjectures 4 and 6 for families $\mathcal{A}$ with $|\cup \mathcal{A}| \leq 5$.
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