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Abstract

We explore the recently introduced local-triviality dimensions by studying gauge actions on graph C*-algebras, as well as the restrictions of the gauge action to finite cyclic subgroups. For C*-algebras of finite acyclic graphs and finite cycles, we characterize the finiteness of these dimensions, and we further study the gauge actions on many examples of graph C*-algebras. These include the Toeplitz algebra, Cuntz algebras, and q-deformed spheres.
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1 Introduction

Principal bundles are fundamental objects in algebraic topology, and their local triviality is pivotal for physical applications. One can measure the local triviality of a given principal bundle using the Schwarz genus [33], which is the smallest number of open sets in a trivializing cover. The local-triviality dimension [20] of an action of a compact quantum group on a unital C*-algebra is then a noncommutative generalization of this invariant. Finiteness of the local-triviality dimension implies freeness of the action in the sense of Ellwood [18], and similar claims hold for the weak and strong variants of the dimension. We will address all three versions of local-triviality dimension in what follows, with a focus on graph C*-algebras [4, 32].

Many algebraic properties of a graph C*-algebra, such as simplicity, or classification of certain ideals, can be described purely in terms of the underlying directed graph. Further, from the dynamical point of view, every graph C*-algebra is equipped with a useful action of the circle, called the gauge action. Freeness of this action is again determined by simple conditions on the graph [34, 13], so our concern here is to bound the local-triviality dimensions of the gauge action. By considering this action, as well as its restrictions to finite cyclic subgroups, we find that graph C*-algebras enable us to obtain new examples of locally trivial compact quantum principal bundles.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic facts on graph C*-algebras and the local-triviality dimensions. Next, in Section 3, we recast the local-triviality dimensions for actions of Z/k or S^1 in terms of elements in the spectral subspaces. From this, we generate some bounds on the dimensions of the gauge action restricted to Z/2, phrased in terms of the adjacency matrix, which we follow with a brief discussion of tensor products and Z/k actions. In Section 4, we show that both the local-triviality dimension and its stronger version can only take the value 0 or \(\infty\) for the gauge Z/k-action on a C*-algebra of a finite acyclic graph. For the same actions, however, finiteness of the weak local-triviality dimension is equivalent to freeness. Section 5 contains various examples for which we can obtain additional dimension estimates, such as the Cuntz algebras, the Toeplitz algebra, the graph of an n-cycle, and quantum spheres realized as graph C*-algebras. Finally, in Section 6, we examine the fixed point subalgebras of the gauge
actions and its restrictions, proving that for row-finite graphs with no sinks, $C^*(E)^\mathbb{Z}/k$ is again a graph $C^*$-algebra.

2 Graph algebras and the local-triviality dimensions

In this manuscript, a graph will always mean a directed graph, written as $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$, where $E^0$ is a countable set of vertices, $E^1$ is a countable set of edges, and $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$ are the range and source maps, respectively. Note that this definition allows for loops and for distinct edges with the same source/range. As such, the adjacency matrix $A_E$, defined by

$$(A_E)_{vw} = \# \text{ edges with source } v \text{ and range } w,$$

may have any nonnegative integers (or a countable infinity) as its entries.

Definition 2.1 The graph $C^*$-algebra $C^*(E)$ is the universal $C^*$-algebra generated by elements $P_v, v \in E^0$ and $S_e, e \in E^1$ subject to the constraints:

1. the $P_v, v \in E^0$ are projections which are mutually orthogonal,
2. the $S_e, e \in E^1$ are partial isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges,
3. for each $e \in E^1$, $S_e^*S_e = P_{r(e)}$,
4. for each $e \in E^1$, $S_eS_e^* \leq P_{s(e)}$, and
5. for each $v \in E^0$ with $s^{-1}(v)$ nonempty and finite, $P_v = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} S_eS_e^*$.

Since the roles of the range and source maps are different in this construction, $C^*(E)$ is generally noncommutative. We will primarily consider finite graphs, where $E^0$ and $E^1$ are both finite sets, so the condition on $s^{-1}(v)$ in item 5 will apply provided $v$ is not a sink (and hence condition 4 is extraneous in this case). Unsurprisingly, in many of our computations, sinks must be handled separately. We will consider paths (i.e., directed paths) from left to right: a path of length $n \geq 1$ is a composition $e_1 \ldots e_n$ of edges with $r(e_j) = s(e_{j+1})$, and a path of length 0 is just a vertex $v$. We denote the length of a path $\mu$ by $|\mu|$.

The multiplication of $*$-polynomials in $P_v$ and $S_e$ in general is determined by the structure of the graph. Some elementary consequences of the definitions are that

- distinct edges have $S_e^*S_f = 0$, regardless of whether or not the ranges or sources agree, and
- edges with distinct ranges have $S_eS_f^* = 0$.

Moreover, every appearance of $S_e$ in the relations of $C^*(E)$ is accompanied by $S_e^*$, so for any unit scalar $\lambda$, $\lambda S_e$ satisfies the same relations. This guarantees the existence of a useful automorphism of $C^*(E)$.

Definition 2.2 Let $E$ be a graph. Then the gauge action is the action of $S^1$ on $C^*(E)$ generated by the automorphisms $S_e \mapsto \lambda S_e$ and $P_v \mapsto P_v$ for unit scalars $\lambda \in S^1$. ∗

2
We will study the gauge action and its restrictions to finite subgroups of \( S^1 \), which are cyclic groups \( \mathbb{Z}/k \). One measure of the complexity of this action is the local-triviality dimension, which applies generally to actions \( \delta : A \to A \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \) of a compact quantum group \( \mathbb{G} \) on a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). Alternatively, \( \delta \) is called a coaction of \( C(\mathbb{G}) \), and we note that here (and in the rest of the manuscript) \( \otimes \) refers to the minimal/spatial tensor product if both tensorands are \( C^* \)-algebras, unless otherwise specified. Further, in the following definition, \( t \) denotes the identity function on \( (0, 1] \), which generates the \( C^* \)-algebra \( C_0((0, 1]) \).

The following definition was introduced in [20] to generalize the Borsuk-Ulam theorem further into the noncommutative setting.

**Definition 2.3** [20] Let \( \mathbb{G} \) be a compact quantum group acting on a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). The local-triviality dimension \( \dimLT(A) \) of the action is the smallest \( n \) for which there exist \( \mathbb{G} \)-equivariant \(+\)-homomorphisms \( \rho_i : C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \to A, 0 \leq i \leq n \), such that \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1) = 1 \). If there is no finite \( n \), we say that the dimension of the action is \( \infty \).

**Remark 2.4** Equivalently, one may consider linear maps \( \gamma_i : C(\mathbb{G}) \to A \) which are \( \mathbb{G} \)-equivariant, completely positive, contractive, and order zero using [39, Corollary 4.1].

When everything in sight is classical, i.e. \( \mathbb{G} = G \) is a compact group which acts on a commutative unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A = C(X) \), the local-triviality dimension may be computed using open covers.

**Theorem 2.5** [20] Let \( G \) be a compact group acting on the compact space \( X \). Then \( \dimLT(C(X)) \) is the smallest \( n \) (if it exists) for which we have an open cover

\[ U_0 \cup \cdots \cup U_n = X \]

by \( G \)-invariant sets such that each \( U_i \) admits an equivariant map \( U_i \to G \).

**Remark 2.6** Equivalently, this means we can cover \( X/G \) by \( n + 1 \) open sets over which the \( G \)-fibered bundle resulting from the action is trivial.

It is evident from Theorem 2.5 that an action \( G \curvearrowright X \) with finite dimension cannot have fixed points, as the action of \( G \) on itself by translation has no fixed points. More generally, [20] shows that if \( \dimLT(A) < \infty \), then a coaction \( \delta : A \to A \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \) is free in the sense that the Ellwood condition

\[
\left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} (a_i \otimes 1)\delta(b_j) : a_i, b_j \in A \right\} = A \otimes C(\mathbb{G})
\]

(1)
of [18] holds.

In the general setting, one should interpret the local-triviality dimension as a measure of an action’s complexity. Indeed, if an equivariant unital morphism \( A \to B \) exists, then \( \dimLT(A) \geq \dimLT(B) \). Alternatively, if the dimension of \( B \) is too big, such an equivariant map is impossible. Thus, as in [20], this dimension is useful to resolve cases of the (Type 1) noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam conjecture of [8].

**Conjecture 2.7** [8, Conjecture 2.3 Type 1] Let \( \mathbb{G} \) be a compact quantum group with comultiplication \( \Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \to C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \) and with a free action \( \delta : A \to A \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \) on a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). Construct the equivariant join

\[ A \oplus^\delta C(\mathbb{G}) = \{ f \in C([0, 1], A \otimes C(\mathbb{G})) : f(0) \in C \otimes C(\mathbb{G}), f(1) \in \delta(A) \}, \]
equipped with the free action \( \delta_\Delta \) resulting from the application of \( \text{id} \otimes \Delta \) pointwise. Then there are no equivariant, unital morphisms from \( A \) to \( A \oplus^\delta C(\mathbb{G}) \).
In particular, if the local-triviality dimension of $A$ strictly increases under the equivariant join procedure, then the conjecture would follow for $A$. This approach is successful in some circumstances (see [20]) that strictly generalize many other results [8, 29, 17]. However, the use of the dimension (so far) ultimately reduces to consideration of compact groups acting on commutative $C^*$-algebras, so a weaker notion of dimension suffices for this purpose. Along these lines, we consider the variant suggested in [12, Question 3.22] and given in [20] here.

**Definition 2.8** Let $G$ be a compact quantum group acting on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$. The weak local-triviality dimension $\dim_{\text{LT},w}^G(A)$ of the action is the smallest $n$ for which there exist $G$-equivariant $\ast$-homomorphisms $\rho_i : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(G) \to A$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1)$ is invertible. If there is no finite $n$, we say that the dimension of the action is $\infty$.

The crux of the matter is that in the above definition, $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1)$ need not commute with any of the summands, so it may not be possible to rescale the maps to produce a sum equal to 1. This distinction only matters in the noncommutative case, so any local-triviality dimension argument reduces to the classical setting applies equally well to the weak variant, even though the weak dimension can output a strictly smaller value. Note that an action with $\dim_{\text{LT},w}^G(A) < \infty$ is still free [20].

There is also a strong variant of the local-triviality dimension, phrased in terms of the equivariant join of [16]. Let $E_n G$ denote the noncommutative compact space underlying the iterated join of $C(G)$ with itself. That is,

$$C(E_0 G) = C(G), \quad C(E_1 G) = C(G) \otimes^\Delta C(G), \quad C(E_2 G) = (C(G) \otimes^\Delta C(G)) \otimes^\Delta C(G), \quad \ldots$$

and so on.

**Definition 2.9** [20] If $G$ is a compact quantum group which acts on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$, then the strong local-triviality dimension $\dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A)$ is the smallest $n$ such that there exists a $G$-equivariant, unital morphism $C(E_n G) \to A$. If there is no such $n$, then we say the dimension of the action is $\infty$.

From [12, Proposition 3.4], the plain local-triviality dimension satisfies $\dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(E_n G)) \leq n$. Since this dimension decreases under equivariant maps, it follows that the strong dimension dominates the plain one. Combined with the more direct comparison of weak and plain dimension, this shows that in general,

$$\dim_{\text{LT},w}^G(A) \leq \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(A) \leq \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A). \quad (2)$$

When $G = G$ is a compact group, the strong dimension also has the following alternative formulation.

**Proposition 2.10** Let $G$ be a compact group acting on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$. Then $\dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A)$ is the smallest $n$ such that there exist $G$-equivariant $\ast$-homomorphisms $\rho_i : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(G) \to A$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \text{Ran}(\rho_i)$ generates a commutative $C^*$-algebra and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1) = 1$. Equivalently, $\dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A)$ is the infimum of $\dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X))$ where $C(X) \subseteq A$ is a $G$-invariant, commutative, unital $C^*$-subalgebra.
Proof If $G$ is a compact group, then $C(E_nG)$ is just the commutative $C^*$-algebra of functions on the $n$-fold topological join of $G$, equipped with the diagonal action. Recall that the space $E_nG$ can be described as
\[ E_nG = \left\{ ([s_0, g_0], \ldots, [s_n, g_n]) \in (CG)^{n+1} : \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i = 1 \right\} \subset (CG)^{n+1}, \]
so that Gelfand–Naimark duality gives a surjective, $G$-equivariant $*$-homomorphism
\[ \pi : C(CG)^{(n+1)} \to C(E_nG). \]
Suppose that $\dim_{\text{LT}}^G(A) = n$, so we have a unital, $G$-equivariant $*$-homomorphism
\[ \rho : C(E_nG) \to A. \]
We then define $G$-equivariant $*$-homomorphisms
\[ \rho_i : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(G) \to C(CG)^{(n+1)} \cong C(E_nG) \xrightarrow{\rho} A, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n. \]
Here $\iota_i$ denotes the inclusion of $C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(G)$ into the $n$th tensorand of $C(CG)^{\otimes n}$. It is straightforward to verify that the images of $\rho_i$’s generate a commutative $C^*$-algebra and that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that there exist $G$-equivariant $*$-homomorphisms $\rho_i : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(G) \to A$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \text{Ran}(\rho_i)$ generates a commutative $C^*$-algebra and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1) = 1$. One can use the unitizations $\rho_i^+$ to form a $G$-equivariant $*$-homomorphism $C(CG)^{(n+1)} \to A$, so the condition $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i(t \otimes 1) = 1$ ensures that this map factors through $C(E_nG)$.

This shows that for commutative $G$-$C^*$-algebras, the plain and the strong dimensions coincide. Next, consider $\inf \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X))$, where the infimum is taken over all $G$-invariant, commutative, unital subalgebras of $A$. Since all the inclusions $C(X) \subset A$ are equivariant,
\[ \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A) \leq \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X)) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X)) \]
for any such $C(X)$. Therefore, we obtain
\[ \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A) \leq \inf \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X)). \]

For the reverse inequality, we may assume without loss of generality that $\dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A)$ is finite, and denote by $C(Y)$ the commutative $C^*$-algebra generated by $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \text{Ran}(\rho_i)$. The subalgebra $C(Y)$ is $G$-invariant by the equivariance of the maps $\rho_i$. Finally,
\[ \inf \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X)) \leq \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(Y)) = \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(C(Y)) = \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(A), \]
so we are done.

Crucial to the above was the fact that if both $A = C(X)$ and $G = G$ are classical, then all three dimensions coincide:
\[ \dim_{\text{LT},w}^G(C(X)) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^G(C(X)) = \dim_{\text{LT},s}^G(C(X)). \tag{3} \]
In the noncommutative setting, the dimensions may generally take different values. The primary exception is when any one of the dimensions is zero.
Proposition 2.11  Let $G$ be a compact quantum group acting on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$. Then
$$\dim_{LT,w}^G(A) = 0 \iff \dim_{LT}^G(A) = 0 \iff \dim_{LT,s}^G(A) = 0.$$  

Proof  It suffices to compare the weak and strong dimensions. If $\dim_{LT,w}^G(A) = 0$, then there is a single $G$-equivariant, completely positive, contractive, order zero, linear map $\gamma : C(G) \to A$ such that $h := \gamma(1)$ is invertible. Following [39, Theorem 3.3], $\gamma$ decomposes as $\gamma(b) = \pi_\gamma(b)h$ where $\pi_\gamma$ is a morphism such that the range of $\pi_\gamma$ commutes with $h$. Since $h = \gamma(1)$ is invertible and $\gamma$ is $G$-equivariant, $\pi_\gamma$ is a $G$-equivariant unital morphism from $C(G) = C(E_0G)$ to $A$, and hence $\dim_{LT,s}^G(A) = 0$.  

In light of Proposition 2.11, whenever we claim one of the dimensions is zero (or nonzero), we refer to them interchangeably. Our primary concern will be the case when $G = G$ is a compact group, namely $S^1$ or $Z/k$, acting on a graph $C^*$-algebra through the gauge action or one of its restrictions.

3 Dimensions and spectral subspaces

An action $\alpha$ of a compact abelian group $G$ on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$ produces a grading of $A$ by spectral subspaces $A_\gamma$, which are given by
$$A_\gamma = \{a \in A : \text{for all } g \in G, \alpha_g(a) = \gamma(g)a\}$$
for characters $\gamma \in \hat{G}$. Freeness of the action $\alpha$ is detected by the saturation condition $1 \in A_\gamma A^*_\gamma$ for each $\gamma$. Note that the Ellwood freeness condition (1) more generally applies to actions of compact quantum groups, and even in this setting an equivalence between freeness and saturation conditions is known from [9, Theorem 0.4]. However, we will not need this level of generality.

The local-triviality dimension, as well as its weak and strong variants, may be recast in terms of saturation properties in our restricted setting. For $Z = \hat{S}^1$ and $Z/k = \hat{Z}/\hat{k}$, we temporarily use multiplicative notation and denote the canonical generator by $\gamma$ in order to appropriately match the identity element with the $C^*$-algebra unit.

Proposition 3.1  Let $S^1$ act on a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$, and let $\gamma$ denote a generator of $Z = \hat{S}^1$. Then
$$\dim_{LT}^{S^1}(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_ia_i^* = 1 \right\},$$
$$\dim_{LT,w}^{S^1}(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_ia_i^* \text{ is invertible} \right\},$$
and
$$\dim_{LT,s}^{S^1}(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal commuting } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_ia_i^* = 1 \right\}.$$  

Proof  It suffices to characterize the image $\rho(t \otimes 1)$ of an $S^1$-equivariant $*$-homomorphism $\rho : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(S^1) \to A$. We show that there exists a normal contraction $a \in A_\gamma$ such that $aa^* = \rho(t \otimes 1)$, and conversely that $\rho$ may be constructed from such an $a$.

Since $\rho$ is contractive and has commutative domain, $b := \rho(t \otimes \gamma)$ is normal and satisfies $\|b\| \leq 1$. Similarly, equivariance of $\rho$ shows that $b \in A_\gamma$ based on the spectral subspace of its
preimage. Finally, \( \rho(t^2 \otimes 1) = \rho(t^2 \otimes \gamma^{-1}) = bb^* \), and applying the positive square root to both sides gives \( \rho(t \otimes 1) = \sqrt{bb^*} = |b| \). It follows that the normal contraction \( a := b|b|^{-1/2} \in A_\gamma \) exists from the functional calculus (even if \( b \) is not invertible, since \( 1/2 < 1 \)) and has \( aa^* = |b| = \rho(t \otimes 1) \).

Conversely, suppose \( a \in A_\gamma \) is a normal contraction. Then \( \sigma(a) \) is \( S^1 \)-invariant, and we may write

\[
\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{t \in K} t : S^1
\]

for some compact \( K \subseteq [0,1] \). We then have from the spectral theorem that \( C^*(a) \cong \{ f \in C(K, C(S^1)) : f(0) = 0 \text{ if } 0 \in K \} \) via the isomorphism which represents \( a \) as the function \( a(t) = t \gamma \). Here we have used the identification \( C^*(Z) \cong C(S^1) \). The linear map \( \psi : C(S^1) \to C^*(a) \) defined by \( \psi(g)[t] = tg \) is then completely positive, contractive, \( S^1 \)-equivariant, and order zero by direct examination. Moreover, it sends \( \gamma = C^*(Z) \cong C(S^1) \) to \( a \). It follows that there is an \( S^1 \)-equivariant *-homomorphism \( \rho : C_0((0,1]) \otimes C(S^1) \to A \) with \( \rho(t \otimes 1) = a \).

Finally, we conclude the proof with two comments about the weak and strong dimensions. For the weak dimension, we have not assumed that the \( a_i \) given in the second equality are contractions, but this may be accomplished with a rescaling. For the strong dimension, if normal elements \( a_i \) commute, the Fuglede-Putnam-Rosenblum theorem guarantees that \( a_i^*a_j = a_ja_i^* \), and hence \( C^*(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \) is commutative. Therefore, the third equality follows from Proposition 2.10.

For actions of \( Z/k \), we may again recast the dimensions in terms of the spectral subspaces, but there is an additional requirement on the elements considered. Given \( k \in Z^+ \), let

\[
\text{Star}_k = \{ t e^{2\pi i m/k} : 0 \leq t \leq 1, m \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\} \} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z^k \in [0,1] \}
\]

denote the star-convex set with center 0 generated by the \( k \)th roots of unity.

**Proposition 3.2** Let \( Z/k \) act on a unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), and let \( \gamma \) denote a generator of \( Z/k = \mathbb{Z}/k \).

Then

\[
\dim_{\text{LT}}^Z(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subset \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\},
\]

\[
\dim_{\text{LT},w}^Z(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subset \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* \text{ is invertible} \right\},
\]

and

\[
\dim_{\text{LT},s}^Z(A) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal commuting } a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subset \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\}.
\]

**Proof** The proof is essentially identical to that of the previous proposition, with the exception that the normal element \( \rho(t \otimes \gamma) \) must have spectrum in \( \text{Star}_k \). To see this, note that \( (\rho(t \otimes \gamma))^k = \rho(t^k \otimes 1) \) is a positive contraction, and apply the spectral mapping theorem.

Similarly, any normal element \( a \in A_\gamma \) has \( Z/k \)-invariant spectrum. If, in addition, \( \sigma(a) \subseteq \text{Star}_k \), then we may decompose the spectrum as

\[
\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{t \in K} t \cdot \{ \zeta \in S^1 : \zeta^k = 1 \}
\]

for some \( K \subseteq [0,1] \). The rest of the proof then follows through as above, with the roots of unity replacing \( S^1 \).
This formulation of local-triviality dimension is reminiscent of the results and questions in [35] on graded Banach and \( C^* \)-algebras, which had significant influence on the development of noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory. Note also that when \( k = 2 \), a normal element \( a \) with \( \sigma(a) \subseteq \text{Star}_2 \) is simply a self-adjoint contraction. Since such elements abound in \( C^* \)-algebras, and the \(-1\) spectral subspace of a \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-action is closed under the adjoint, we find that estimation of the local-triviality dimensions for \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-actions is considerably more tractable than the other cases. We collect some results here for future use.

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose \( E \) is a finite graph with adjacency matrix \( A_E \) such that \((1 \ 1 \ \ldots \ 1) \in \text{Row}_+ (I + A_E)\), as a strictly positive combination of rows \( v \in X \subseteq E^0 \) (with \( v \) as the source vertex representing the row) where no \( v \in X \) is a sink. If \( n = \max_{w \in E^0} \sum_{v \in X} (A_E)_{vw} \), then \( \dim_{LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/2} (C^*(E)) \leq 2n - 1 \).

**Proof** By assumption, there are strictly positive coefficients \( \chi_v, v \in X \) such that for each \( w \in E^0 \),

\[
\sum_{v \in X} \chi_v (I + A_E)_{vw} = 1.
\]

Noting that no \( v \in X \) used is a sink, so \( 0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty \), we have that

\[
\sum_{e \in s^{-1}(X)} \chi_{s(e)} (S_e S_e^* + S_e^* S_e) = \sum_{v \in X} \chi_v \left[ \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} S_e S_e^* + \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} S_e^* S_e \right] = \sum_{v \in X} \left[ P_v + \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} P_e \right] = \sum_{v \in X} \left[ P_v + \sum_{w \in E^0} \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v) \cap s^{-1}(w)} P_w \right] = \sum_{v \in X} \left[ \sum_{w \in E^0} (I + A_E)_{vw} P_w \right] = \sum_{w \in E^0} \left[ \sum_{v \in X} \chi_v (I + A_E)_{vw} \right] P_w = \sum_{w \in E^0} P_w = 1.
\]

Next, consider the largest partial column sum \( n = \max_{w \in E^0} \sum_{v \in X} (A_E)_{vw} \) of entries in column \( w \) from the relevant rows \( v \in X \). Then \( s^{-1}(X) \) may be partitioned into subsets \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \) such that no two edges in \( Y_i \) have the same range. In particular, this implies that \( S_e S_f \neq 0 \) for \( e \neq f \) in \( Y_i \), in addition to the automatic condition \( S_e^* S_f = 0 \). Letting

\[
B_i = \sqrt{2} \sum_{e \in Y_i} \sqrt{\chi_{s(e)}} S_e
\]
gives that $B_i$ is in the $-1$ eigenspace, with
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Re}(B_i)^2 + \text{Im}(B_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} (B_i B_i^* + B_i^* B_i) \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{e \in Y_i} \chi_{s(e)}(S_e S_e^* + S_e^* S_e) \\
= 1,
\]
and hence $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^2/2}(C^*(E)) \leq 2n - 1$.

Some assumption about sinks is necessary in Theorem 3.3. For example, if $A_E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then the gauge $\mathbb{Z}^2/2$-action is not free (and hence cannot be finite-dimensional), but $I + A_E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ still has $(1, 1)$ in the positive cone of its row space.

**Corollary 3.4** Let $E$ be a finite graph which does not have sinks. Assume that no two edges share the same source and range, and $(1 \quad 1 \ldots \quad 1) \in \text{Row}_+(I + A_E)$. Then
\[
\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^2/2}(C^*(E)) \leq 2|E^0| - 1.
\]

**Proof** Since no two edges have the same source and range, each entry of the adjacency matrix is at most one. Therefore, the estimate $n = \max_{w \in E^0} \sum_{v \in X} (A_E)_{vw}$ computed in Theorem 3.3 is at most the number of vertices.

If one wishes to estimate the *weak* local-triviality dimension, then the above computations apply with $(1 \quad 1 \ldots \quad 1)$ replaced by any vector with positive entries, but this is more easily seen with a direct partition argument.

**Proposition 3.5** Let $E$ be a finite graph, and let $\mathbb{Z}^2/2$ act on $C^*(E)$ via restriction of the gauge action. Suppose there are collections $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ of edges such that no two edges in $Y_j$ have the same range, and for each $v \in E^0$, either
\begin{itemize}
  \item $r^{-1}(v) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Y_j \neq \emptyset$, or
  \item $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $s^{-1}(v) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Y_j$.
\end{itemize}
Then $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^2/2}(C^*(E)) \leq 2n - 1$. In particular, if $E$ has no isolated vertices, we may always choose $n = |E^1|$ by letting each $Y_j$ consist of a single edge.

**Proof** Define the element
\[
R_j := \sum_{e \in Y_j} S_e
\]
in the $-1$ spectral subspace. It follows that $R_j^* R_j = \sum_{e \in Y_j} S_e S_e^* = \sum_{e \in Y_j} P_{r(e)}$ since $S_e S_f = 0$ for distinct edges. Similarly, since $r(e) \neq r(f)$ for any $e \neq f$ in $Y_j$, we also have that $R_j R_j^* = \sum_{e \in Y_j} S_e S_e^*$. By the conditions on $Y_j$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} R_j R_j^* + R_j^* R_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2(\text{Re}(Y_j)^2 + \text{Im}(Y_j)^2)$ dominates the sum of all vertex projections and hence is invertible. The result then follows from Proposition 3.2.
It is evident from Proposition 3.5 that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ weak dimension is finite if there are no isolated vertices in the graph. If there does exist an isolated vertex $v$, then the $-1$ eigenspace generates a proper ideal, so the action is not even free, consistent with the result [25, Corollary 4.4] on Leavitt path algebras.

We will also have some use for the following simple observation on the dimension of a tensor product. Consider $\mathbb{Z}/k$-actions on $\text{C}^*$-algebras $A$ and $B$, which induce a diagonal action on $A \otimes B$.

**Lemma 3.6** Suppose $A$ and $B$ are unital $\text{C}^*$-algebras with actions of $\mathbb{Z}/k$. Then for any choice of $\text{C}^*$-algebra tensor product,

$$\dim_{\text{LT},w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A \otimes B) \leq \min\left(\dim_{\text{LT},w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A), \dim_{\text{LT},w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(B)\right),$$

and similarly for the plain and strong dimensions. That is, the local-triviality dimensions are subadditive on tensor products with respect to the tropical addition $m \oplus n = \min(m, n)$ on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

**Proof** If $a_i, 0 \leq i \leq d$ witness $\dim_{\text{LT},w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A) \leq d$ in the language of Proposition 3.2, then $a_i \otimes 1 \in A \otimes B$ witness $\dim_{\text{LT},w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A \otimes B) \leq d$. The right tensorand follows a similar pattern, as do the plain and strong dimensions. □

We will see later in Example 4.3 that the inequality in Lemma 3.6 can be strict. The same example shows that it is possible for $\mathbb{Z}/k$ to act non-freely on $A$ and $B$ and freely on their tensor product as soon as $k \geq 3$. However, this phenomenon cannot occur for $k = 2$, as we shall see in the following result. Central to this claim is the fact that if $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded, then $A_2$ is identical to $A_1A_1^* \subseteq A_0$, which is an ideal of $A_0$, and freeness of the action simply means the ideal is dense in $A_0$.

**Proposition 3.7** Suppose $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on unital $\text{C}^*$-algebras $A$ and $B$ so that the diagonal action on $A \otimes B$ is free. Then at least one of the two original actions must be free.

**Proof** Using the same notation as above, we have that the homogeneous components attached to the diagonal action are

$$(A \otimes B)_0 = (A_0 \otimes B_0) \oplus (A_1 \otimes B_1)$$

$$(A \otimes B)_1 = (A_0 \otimes B_1) \oplus (A_1 \otimes B_0)$$

and freeness of the diagonal action shows that 1 is in the closure of $(A \otimes B)_1(A \otimes B)^*_1 = (A \otimes B)^*_1$. That is,

$$1 \in (A_1^2 \otimes B_0) \oplus (A_0 \otimes B_1^2) \oplus (A_1 \otimes B_1).$$

Considering the $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ action on $A \otimes B$ obtained as the external tensor product of the original actions instead, the rightmost summand in (5) is the $(1, 1)$-eigenspace, whereas the first two summands are contained in the $(0, 0)$-eigenspace $A_0 \otimes B_0$. Restricting (5) to the $(0, 0)$ eigenspace, which certainly contains 1, implies that

$$1 \in (A_1^2 \otimes B_0) \oplus (A_0 \otimes B_1^2).$$

It remains to note that if both the ideals

$$\overline{A_1^2} \subset A_0$$
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and
\[ B_i^1 \subset B_0 \]
were proper, then the right hand side of (6) would be the (proper) kernel of the morphism
\[ A_0 \otimes B_0 \to \left( A_0/\overline{A_1^1} \right) \otimes \left( B_0/\overline{B_1^2} \right) \]
of C*-algebras and hence couldn’t possibly contain 1.

4 Finite acyclic graphs

In this section, we will compute the local-triviality dimensions of finite acyclic graphs E. The graph algebra \( A = C^*(E) \) is in this case finite-dimensional, and we can moreover replace it with the graph algebra of the maximally out-split version \( F \) of \( E \) via [3, Theorem 3.2]. In particular, note that the isomorphism \( C^*(E) \cong C^*(F) \) described there is gauge-equivariant.

Since \( E \) is finite and acyclic, every path in \( E \) can be extended until the final range vertex is a sink. The maximally out-split \( F \) is then defined as follows.

- \( F^0 \) is the set of directed paths in \( E \) terminating in a sink.
- There is an edge \( e \in F^1 \) with \( s(e) = \mu \) and \( r(e) = \nu \) if \( \nu \) is the right-hand segment of \( \mu \) with \( |\nu| = |\mu| - 1 \).

Note also that the connected components of \( F \) are in bijection with the sinks of \( E \). These identifications will be implicit throughout the discussion below, and they allow us to translate statements about \( F \) into statements about \( E \) and vice versa. Moreover, a length 0 path is allowed in the above formulation, and also in the computations below. In this case, the path just refers to a sink \( v \).

Now, consider the gauge action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) on \( A \). For a residue \( i \in \{0, \ldots, k - 1\} \) modulo \( k \) and a sink \( v \in E^0 \), denote by \( \#_i^v \) the number of elements of \( F^0 \) which terminate at \( v \) and whose length is \( i \) (mod \( k \)). Also, define the nonnegative extended integer
\[ \text{quot}^v := \left\lceil \frac{\max_i \#_i^v}{\min_j \#_j^v} \right\rceil, \tag{7} \]
where the value is \( \infty \) if the denominator vanishes. We now have the tools to compute the weak dimension.

**Theorem 4.1** For a finite acyclic graph \( E \), we have
\[ \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/k}^{\text{LT}, w}(C^*(E)) = \max_{\text{sinks } v} (\text{quot}^v - 1). \]

**Proof** The algebra \( A = C^*(E) \cong C^*(F) \) breaks up as a product of simple factors indexed by the sinks of \( E \). Moreover, as noted before, \( F \) has one connected component \( F_i \) for each sink \( v_i \), and the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( C^*(F) = \prod_i C^*(F_i) \) is diagonal. That is, it consists of the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on each \( C^*(F_i) \). Since the product decomposition gives
\[ \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/k}^{\text{LT}, w}(C^*(F)) = \max_i \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/k}^{\text{LT}, w}(C^*(F_i)), \]
we will henceforth assume that \( E \) has a single sink (or equivalently, the maximally out-split \( F \) of \( E \) is connected). In that case, it remains to show that the weak dimension of the simple C*-algebra \( A \) is \( \text{quot}^v - 1 \), where \( v \) is the single sink.
Let $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq n = |F^0|$ be the vertex projections of the maximally-out-split presentation of $A$. We have $A \cong M_n$, with the $p_i$ being a complete set of mutually orthogonal minimal projections. The gauge action of $\mathbb{Z}/k$ is implemented via conjugation by the unitary $u \in A$ whose action on the range of $p_i$ is multiplication by $\omega^{\mu_i}$, where

- $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ and
- $\mu_i$ is the unique path from the vertex $v_i$ attached to $p_i$ to the sink $v$.

The weak dimension is then the minimal $d$ for which we can find normal contractions $x_j, 0 \leq j \leq d$ with spectra contained in $\text{Star}_k$ satisfying

(a) $ux_j u^* = \omega x_j$ and

(b) $\sum_{j=0}^{d} x_j x_j^*$ is invertible.

Condition (a) means that $x_j$ maps the $\omega^j$-eigenspace of $u$ into the $\omega^{j+1}$-eigenspace (for all $0 \leq j \leq d$ and all $i$ modulo $k$). Keeping this in mind, we prove the two inequalities separately.

**I** The weak dimension is $\geq \text{quot}^v - 1$. The operators $x_j x_j^*$ leave the eigenspaces of $u$ invariant, and have ranks bounded above by the denominator $\min_i \#_i^v$ of (7). The ranges $R_j$ of their restrictions to the largest eigenspace of $u$, whose dimension is the numerator $\max_i \#_i^v$ of the same expression, must span this eigenspace. We thus have

$$\max_i \#_i^v \leq \sum_{j=0}^{d} \dim R_j \leq (d + 1) \min_i \#_i^v,$$

hence the desired inequality $d + 1 \geq \text{quot}^v$.

**II** The weak dimension is $\leq \text{quot}^v - 1$. In this case it will be enough to produce elements $x_j, 0 \leq j \leq \text{quot}^l - 1$ as above (where certainly we may assume $\text{quot}^l$ is finite). Let $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$ range over the residues modulo $k$. Because the $\omega^i$-eigenspace of $u$ has dimension

$$\leq (d + 1)(\text{smallest dimension of an eigenspace for } u),$$

we can find subspaces

$$V_{i,j} \leq (\omega^j - \text{eigenspace of } u), \ 0 \leq i \leq k, \ 0 \leq j \leq d,$$

all of dimension

$$\min_i \#_i^v = \text{smallest dimension of an eigenspace for } u,$$

and such that

$$\sum_{j} V_{i,j} = \omega^j - \text{eigenspace of } u, \ \forall 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Now, define $x_j$ to be a partial isometry that maps $V_{i,j}$ onto $V_{i+1,j}$ isometrically, with $i$ regarded modulo $k$ (so that $k = 0$), and such that $x_j^k$ is the identity on each $V_{i,j}$.

We leave it to the reader to check that all of the requisite conditions are met: the spectra of $x_j$ are contained in $\{0, \omega^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$, normality is built in by construction, $ux_j u^* = \omega x_j$ because $x_j$ maps the $\omega^i$-eigenspace of $u$ into the $\omega^{i+1}$-eigenspace, and so on.
For finite acyclic graphs, [14, Proposition 4.3] shows that the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action is free if and only if each sink receives a path of a length \( k - 1 \) (and hence paths of any smaller length as well). Since this is precisely what guarantees that the denominator of (7) is never zero, we reach the following immediate extension of [14, Proposition 4.3].

**Corollary 4.2** For a finite acyclic graph \( E \) and a positive integer \( k \), the following conditions are equivalent.

(a) The gauge action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) on \( C^*(E) \) is free.

(b) \( \dim_{\text{LT},w}(C^*(E)) \) is finite.

(c) For each sink \( v \), there exists a path terminating at \( v \) of length \( k - 1 \).

Note also that [14, Proposition 4.3], which is the equivalence \( (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) \), shows there is no need to consider the dimension of the full gauge action for finite acyclic graphs. Namely, the gauge action of \( S^1 \) on \( C^*(E) \) is not free if \( E \) is finite and acyclic, for otherwise the consequential freeness of all the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) restrictions would produce paths of arbitrary size in \( E \), which is a contradiction. Hence, all dimensions for the gauge \( S^1 \)-action on \( C^*(E) \) are infinite in this case. Another ramification of Corollary 4.2 will be a suite of natural examples showing that the inequality in Lemma 3.6 can be strict, at least in the case of the weak dimension.

**Example 4.3** Let \( 2 \leq n < k \) be positive integers, and realize the matrix algebra \( M_n \) as \( C^*(E) \) for the path \( E \) of length \( n - 1 \) (i.e., a path of \( n \) vertices and \( n - 1 \) edges).

![Figure 1: Graph representation of \( M_3 \).](image1)

According to Corollary 4.2, the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( M_n \) has infinite dimension. On the other hand, the diagonal \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( M_n \otimes M_n \) can be identified with the gauge action on the graph algebra \( C^*(F) \), where \( F \) is the graph on \( n^2 \) vertices consisting of \( n \) paths whose endpoints themselves constitute a path. In total, this graph has \( n^2 - 1 \) edges.

![Figure 2: Graph representation of \( M_3 \otimes M_3 \).](image2)

There is once more a single sink, and the largest path it receives has \( 2n - 2 \) edges. Therefore, if

\[ n < k \leq 2n - 1, \]

we have

\[ \dim_{\text{LT},w}(M_n \otimes M_n) < \infty = \dim_{\text{LT},w}(M_n), \]

showing that indeed (4) can be strict. 

\[ \diamond \]
Remark 4.4 Note incidentally that according to Corollary 4.2, Example 4.3 also gives examples of non-free \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-actions whose tensor products are free, even when \( k \geq 3 \) is prime. Proposition 3.7 shows this is impossible for \( k = 2 \).

This is a purely noncommutative phenomenon: if a prime-order group \( \mathbb{Z}/p \) acts on compact spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) such that the diagonal action on \( X \times Y \) is free, then at least one of the original actions must be free.

We now turn to the plain and strong dimensions, still in the context of finite acyclic graphs. In this case, the situation is drastically different as compared to the weak counterpart: the following result shows that there are no intermediate values between 0 and \( \infty \).

Theorem 4.5 For a finite acyclic graph \( E \), the following are equivalent.

(a) \( \dim_{\text{LT},s}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \) is finite.

(b) \( \dim_{\text{LT}}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \) is finite.

(c) For each sink \( v \), \( \#_v^i \) is independent of \( i \).

(d) \( \dim_{\text{LT}}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) = 0 \).

Proof In light of (2) and Proposition 2.11, it suffices to prove that (b) \( \implies \) (c) and (c) \( \implies \) (d). As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can out-split \( E \) maximally to obtain a graph \( F \), so \( F \) breaks up into connected components \( F_i \), each containing a single sink, with

\[
\dim_{\text{LT}}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(F)) = \max_i \dim_{\text{LT}}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(F_i)).
\]

Therefore, it suffices to assume \( E \) is maximally out-split and has a single sink \( v \).

(c) \( \implies \) (d): Assume that all \( \#_v^i \) are equal for \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 1 \). According to Theorem 4.1 this means that \( \dim_{\text{LT},w}^\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{C}^*(E)) \) is zero. But then all versions of the dimension vanish by Proposition 2.11.

(b) \( \implies \) (c): Suppose the plain dimension is finite. Denote by \( u \) a unitary implementing the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action, as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We can then find normal contractions \( x_j, 0 \leq j \leq d \) with spectra contained in \( \text{Star}_k \), satisfying

(a) \( ux_ju^* = \omega x_j \), and

(b) \( \sum_{j=0}^d x_j x_j^* = 1 \).

The claim we need to prove is that the eigenspaces of \( u \) all have the same dimension, since

\[
\dim \ker(u - \omega^i) = \#_v^i, \ 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.
\]

As observed before, each \( x_j \) maps

\[
V_i = \ker(u - \omega^i) \rightarrow \ker(u - \omega^{i+1}) = V_{i+1},
\]

and hence \( x_j x_j^* \) all leave the spaces \( V_i \) invariant. Regarding \( x_j \) as an operator \( V_i \rightarrow V_{i+1} \), the traces of

\[
x_j x_j^* \in \text{End}(V_{i+1}) \text{ and } x_j^* x_j \in \text{End}(V_i)
\]
are equal. Summing over $j$ and using
\[ \sum x_j x_j^* = \sum x_j^* x_j = 1, \]
we obtain
\[ \dim(V_i) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_{V_i}) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_{V_{i+1}}) = \dim(V_{i+1}). \]
This is valid for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/k$, hence the $V_i$ are equidimensional, and $\#^v_i$ is independent of $i$. ■

**Corollary 4.6** Let $M_n$ be the $n \times n$ matrix algebra, realized as the graph algebra of an $n$-vertex path. For positive integers $k$, we have
\[ \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/k}^{LT}(M_n) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/k}^{LT}(M_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \mid n \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof** This is immediate from Theorem 4.5: the $n$-vertex path has a single sink, and the equality of all $\#^v_i$ is equivalent to $k$ dividing $n$. ■

5 Various examples

5.1 Cuntz algebras

The Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ is given by the presentation $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n \mid \sum S_i S_i^* = 1, \forall i S_i^* S_i = 1)$, which corresponds to a graph with a single vertex and $n$ loops.

![Graph representation of $\mathcal{O}_4$.](image)

We will regard the gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_n$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, with the degree $d$ component denoted as
\[ \mathcal{O}_n(d) := \{ x \in \mathcal{O}_n \mid z \triangleright x = z^d x, \forall z \in \mathbb{S}^1 \}. \]
It is well-known that the $C^*$-subalgebra $F = \mathcal{O}_n(0) \subset \mathcal{O}_n$ is the UHF algebra of type $n^\infty$, i.e. the colimit of the sequence of diagonal unital inclusions
\[ M_{n^p} \rightarrow M_{n^{p+1}} \]
(see e.g. [15, §1]).

The gauge action is also known to be free. As in Proposition 3.1, the local-triviality dimensions are determined by the existence of normal degree 1 elements $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_n(1)$ satisfying sum conditions. However, these elements do not exist.

**Proposition 5.1** For $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{O}_n$ admits no normal, degree one elements other than 0. Consequently, the gauge action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on $\mathcal{O}_n$ has infinite weak dimension.
Proof Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_n(1)$ be a normal element; we seek to show that $x = 0$.

Denote by $S = S_1$ one of the $n$ generating isometries $S_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$. It then follows from [15, §1.6] that $x$ is of the form $yS$, where $y$ belongs the UHF algebra $F$. Moreover, because right multiplication by $S$ annihilates the orthogonal complement of the projection $SS^*$, we may as well assume that $y = ySS^*$. The normality of $x$ translates to

$$yy^* = ySS^*y^* = xx^* = x^*x = S^*y^*yS.$$  \hfill (8)

Upon multiplying (8) on the left and right by $S$ and $S^*$ respectively, the assumption $ySS^*$ shows that

$$Syy^*S^* = y^*y.$$ \hfill (9)

Now, if $\tau$ is the canonical trace on $F$, $S(\cdot S^*$ is a (non-unital) endomorphism of $F$ that scales $\tau$ by $\frac{1}{n}$. Equation (9) then implies

$$\tau(y^*y) = \tau(Syy^*S^*) = \frac{1}{n}\tau(yy^*) = \frac{1}{n}\tau(y^*y),$$

and hence $y^*y$ is annihilated by $\tau$. The faithfulness of the trace then proves that $y = 0$, and hence $x = 0$, as desired. \blacksquare

As for the restriction of the gauge action to $\mathbb{Z}/k$, we have the following results. First, the dimension of the gauge $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action can be estimated using Theorem 3.3.

**Proposition 5.2** For $n \geq 2$, $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{O}_n) \leq 2n - 1$.

**Proof** The adjacency matrix is $[n]$, which meets the conditions of Theorem 3.3. The given formula reduces to examining the elements $x_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \Re(S_i)$ and $y_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \Im(S_i)$, which have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i^2 + y_i^2 = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (S_i^*S_i + S_iS_i^*) = \frac{1}{n+1} (n+1) = 1,$$

and hence $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{O}_n) \leq 2n - 1$. \blacksquare

On the other hand, analysis of crossed products shows the gauge $\mathbb{Z}/k$-action can never have dimension zero.

**Theorem 5.3** For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $\dim_{\text{LT}, w}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\mathcal{O}_n) \geq 1$.

**Proof** We derive a contradiction from the existence of a unitary element $u$ in the generating eigenspace. It follows from the universality property of the Cuntz algebras (e.g. [15, §1.9]) or from Proposition 6.5 below that the fixed-point subalgebra satisfies

$$\mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{Z}/k} \cong \mathcal{O}_n^k.$$ If $u$ exists as above, this would then give an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_n \cong \mathcal{O}_n^k \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k,$$ \hfill (10)

where the $\mathbb{Z}/k$-action on $\mathcal{O}_n^k \cong \mathcal{O}_n^{\mathbb{Z}/k}$ is conjugation by $u$. Taking crossed products in (10) by the dual action of $\mathbb{Z}/k \cong \mathbb{Z}/k$ on the right hand side gives

$$\mathcal{O}_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k \cong (\mathcal{O}_n^k \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/k \cong M_k(\mathcal{O}_n^k),$$ \hfill (11)
where the last isomorphism is Takai duality [10, Theorem 10.1.2]. We then have

\[ \mathbb{Z}/(n^k - 1) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_{n^k}) \cong K_0(M_k(\mathcal{O}_{n^k})) \]

via the Morita isomorphism identifying the class of \( 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{n^k} \) with the class of the upper left hand projection \( p \) in \( M_k \subset M_k(\mathcal{O}_{n^k}) \). Since \([1] \in K_0(\mathcal{O}_{n^k})\) is a generator, \([1] \in K_0(M_k(\mathcal{O}_{n^k}))\) has order \( \geq \frac{n^k - 1}{k} \).

The unital embedding of \( \mathcal{O}_n \) in \( M_k(\mathcal{O}_{n^k}) \) from (11) now leads to a contradiction: the unit has order \( n - 1 \) in \( K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n - 1) \) and hence \((n - 1)[1] = 0\) in (11), at odds with the above observation that the order of \([1]\) is \( \geq n^k - 1 > n - 1 \).

We remind the reader that since the weak dimension takes the lowest possible values of the three variants, Theorem 5.3 applies equally well to any of the dimension types. It is also fairly easy to see that if \( k = 2 \), then the elements \( x = \text{Re}(S_1) \) and \( y = \text{Im}(S_1) \) have \( x^2 + y^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}S_1^*S_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), and hence \( \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{\text{LT}, w}(\mathcal{O}_n) \) is precisely equal to 1. Below, we extend this claim to actions of \( \mathbb{Z}/2^m \).

The following result makes use of the notion of Kirchberg algebra. Recall that this means a \( C^* \)-algebra that is

- separable,
- simple,
- nuclear, and
- purely infinite, i.e. for every \( x \neq 0 \) and \( y \) there are \( a, b \) such that \( axb = y \),

as described in [19, Introduction].

**Proposition 5.4** For \( n \geq 2 \) and \( m \geq 1 \), \( \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}^{\text{LT}, w}(\mathcal{O}_n) = 1 \).

**Proof** It was proved in [27] that the gauge action on \( \mathcal{O}_n \) is pointwise outer, as are its restrictions to finite subgroups. That is, for every \( g \in S^1 \setminus \{1\} \), the action automorphism \( \alpha_g \) is not implemented as \( \text{Ad}(u) \) for a unitary \( u \in \mathcal{O}_n \). Since Cuntz algebras are Kirchberg algebras (e.g. see [15]), we use [21, Theorem 4.19] to deduce that the Rokhlin dimension of this action is at most 1. Next, [31] and [20] imply that in this case the weak dimension is dominated by the Rokhlin dimension, so \( \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}^{\text{LT}, w}(\mathcal{O}_n) \leq 1 \). The opposite inequality follows from Theorem 5.3.

**5.2 The Toeplitz algebra**

The Toeplitz algebra \( \mathcal{T} = C^*(V | V^*V = 1) \) is the universal \( C^* \)-algebra generated by a single isometry \( V \). It is determined by the following graph.

![Figure 4: Graph representation of \( \mathcal{T} \).](image-url)
5.2.1 Strong dimension

In this section, we will show that the strong dimension satisfies
\[
\dim_{\text{LT},s}^{Z/k}(T) = \infty, \ k \geq 2,
\]
as in Theorem 5.9. This will require some preparation, so we begin with an informal description of the proof strategy.

We denote by \( \pi : T \to C(S^1) \) the usual surjection with kernel \( \mathcal{K} \), which denotes the set of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space. There is a \( Z/k \)-equivariant extension
\[
0 \to \mathcal{K} \to T \to C(S^1) \to 0 \tag{12}
\]
of \( C(S^1) \) by \( \mathcal{K} \). If \( \dim_{\text{LT},s}^{Z/k}(T) = n < \infty \), then a choice of normal commuting \( a_i \in T \), \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) as in Proposition 3.2 will descend to give elements \( \pi(a_i) \in C(S^1) \) in the generating eigenspace with
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi(a_i)^* \pi(a_i) = 1.
\]
The \( \pi(a_i) \) simply encode a \( Z/k \)-equivariant morphism \( \Psi : C(S^{2n+1}) \to C(S^1) \). However, since the \( a_i \) themselves are normal, commute, and satisfy \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i a_i^* = 1 \), we have that \( \Psi \) lifts to \( T \). We will prove that this lifting cannot occur using equivariant \( KK \)-theory.

We first seek to identify the equivariant \( KK \)-theory group \( KK_{Z/k}^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \) with equivalence classes of equivariant extensions by \( \mathcal{K} \). This is essentially done in [36, Theorem 9.2], but that result concerns a seemingly different action on a space of compact operators. Thus, we need the following.

**Proposition 5.5** Let \( \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(l^2(\mathbb{N})) \) denote the \( C^* \)-algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, equipped with the gauge \( Z/k \)-action from its realization as a graph \( C^* \)-algebra of an infinite path. Then \( \mathcal{K} \) is equivariantly isomorphic to the \( C^* \)-algebra \( \mathcal{K}_{Z/k} \) of compact operators on a countably infinite direct sum \( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} L^2(Z/k) \), equipped with the conjugation action by \( Z/k \) resulting from the regular representation on \( L^2(Z/k) \).

**Proof** As a \( Z/k \)-\( C^* \)-algebra, \( \mathcal{K}_{Z/k} \) is the colimit of the corner embeddings
\[
M_{nk}(\mathbb{C}) \cong B\left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} L^2(Z/k) \right) \subset B\left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} L^2(Z/k) \right) \cong M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})
\]
of matrix algebras, with the \( Z/k \) actions given by conjugation by
\[
\text{diag}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \cdots)
\]
for a primitive \( k \)th root of unity \( \zeta \). The result follows by identifying \( M_{nk}(\mathbb{C}) \) with the graph \( C^* \)-algebra of the directed path on \( nk \) vertices equipped with its gauge \( Z/k \)-action. \( \blacksquare \)

From [36, Theorem 9.2], \( KK_{Z/k}^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \) is identified with equivalence classes of equivariant extensions
\[
0 \to \mathcal{K}_{Z/k} \to \mathcal{A} \to C(S^1) \to 0,
\]
so combining that result with Proposition 5.5 gives that \( KK_{Z/k}^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \) is also identified with equivalence classes of equivariant extensions
\[
0 \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{A} \to C(S^1) \to 0. \tag{13}
\]
It is then not difficult to show that the usual suspect generates the group \( KK_{Z/k}^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \).
Lemma 5.6 Fix an integer \( k \geq 2 \). Then the equivalence class of the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant extension

\[
0 \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{T} \to C(S^1) \to 0
\]

generates \( KK^1_{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \).

Proof Consider the restriction morphism (see [10, Definition 20.5.1])

\[
KK^1_{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \to KK^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C})
\]

to non-equivariant \( KK \)-theory, which simply forgets the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) structure of an extension. Both groups are abstractly isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \), and the image of the equivariant Toeplitz extension

\[
0 \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{T} \to C(S^1) \to 0
\]

is again just the usual Toeplitz extension, without regard for the group action. Now, the latter is a generator of \( KK^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) by standard index theory, and every epimorphism \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) is an isomorphism, so we are done. \( \blacksquare \)

We have therefore completed the necessary preparation on the analytic side. In particular, if a \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant morphism \( \Psi : C(S^{2n-1}) \to C(S^1) \) is nontrivial on equivariant \( KK \)-theory, then it does not admit an equivariant lift to the Toeplitz algebra. Our next goal is then to show that all such equivariant maps are nontrivial using topological means, with a focus on the dual map \( \psi : S^1 \to S^{2n+1} \) and equivariant \( K \)-homology.

Note that [7, Theorem 3.11] or [6, Theorem 4.6] gives that

\[
KK^1_{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1), \mathbb{C}) \cong K^1_{\mathbb{Z}/k}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(14)

If a finite group \( G \) acts freely on a compact space \( X \), then as per [5, note (3.12)(ii)], we also have a natural identification

\[
K^*_G(X) \cong K_*(X/G).
\]

The above is shown in [24] (see also [38, Proposition 4.2.9]), and we will use it freely and repeatedly. In particular, it applies to the usual rotation action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) on spheres \( S^{2n+1} \), whose quotients we denote as the lens spaces \( L^k_{2n+1} = S^{2n+1}/(\mathbb{Z}/k) \). That is,

\[
K^*_{\mathbb{Z}/k}(S^{2n+1}) \cong K_*(L^k_{2n+1}),
\]

(15)

where we note that certainly \( L^1_k \cong S^1 \).

Recall also that for compact metrizable \( X \), the universal coefficient theorem [10, Theorem 16.3.3] fits the first \( K \)-homology group into an unnaturally-splitting natural exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Ext}^1_\mathbb{Z}(K^0(X), \mathbb{Z}) \to K_1(X) \to \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(K^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) \to 0.
\]

(16)

Since for us everything in sight is a finitely-generated abelian group, the leftmost term in (16) will be precisely the torsion of \( K_1 \), while the rightmost term will be free abelian. For the lens spaces \( L^k_{2n+1} \), we in fact have \( K^1 \cong \mathbb{Z} \). Specifically, the Chern character [26, Theorem 5.5] induces an isomorphism

\[
K^1 \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i+1} \otimes \mathbb{Q},
\]

and the odd cohomology is only torsion-free in top dimension (in which case it is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \)).
We first consider the maps $\psi_n : L_k^{2n-1} \rightarrow L_k^{2n+1}$ resulting from the standard equatorial embeddings $S^{2n-1} \hookrightarrow S^{2n+1}$. Since we have just seen that $K^1$ of lens spaces is essentially identified with the top cohomology via the (natural) Chern character, the induced map

$$\psi_n^* : K^1(L_k^{2n+1}) \rightarrow K^1(L_k^{2n-1})$$

is trivial. It follows that

$$\psi_n^* : K_1(L_k^{2n-1}) \rightarrow K_1(L_k^{2n+1})$$

factors as $\ker(K_1(L_k^{2n+1}) \rightarrow K_1(L_k^{2n-1}))$. That is, the map factors through the torsion that constitutes the leftmost term $\text{Ext}^1_\mathbb{Z}(K_0(L_k^{2n+1}), \mathbb{Z})$ of the sequence (16) for lens spaces.

**Lemma 5.7** Let $n \geq 2$. Then the standard inclusion $S^{2n-1} \hookrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ induces an embedding

$$\text{tor}(K_1(Z/k^{2n-1})) \hookrightarrow \text{tor}(K_1(Z/k^{2n+1})).$$

**Proof** Given (16), it will be enough to argue that the equatorial embedding $\psi = \psi_n : L_k^{2n-1} \rightarrow L_k^{2n+1}$. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/k$ and the $G$-module $E$ that is a direct sum of $n$ (respectively, $n+1$) copies of the character given by a primitive $k^{th}$ root of unity. Said result provides exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow R(\mathbb{Z}/k) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{Z}/k) \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow 0$$

for both lens spaces, natural with respect to the canonical map $L_k^{2n-1} \rightarrow L_k^{2n+1}$, where $R(-)$ denotes the representation ring of the group. The diagram on [2, p.106] (or rather its analogue for lens spaces, obtainable by virtually the same argument) then gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R(\mathbb{Z}/k) & \rightarrow & K^0(L_k^{2n+1}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K^0(L_k^{2n-1}) & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact top and bottom, showing that

$$K^0(L_k^{2n+1}) \rightarrow K^0(L_k^{2n-1})$$

is onto. The conclusion follows from the identification of $K^0$ with the quotient of $K^0$ by the image of the map $K^0(\text{pt}) \rightarrow K^0(X)$ induced on $K^0$ by the constant map $X \rightarrow \text{pt}$. ■

With this, we can finally prove the desired nontriviality of equivariant maps on equivariant $K$-homology.

**Theorem 5.8** Let $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/k$-equivariant map for some integer $k \geq 2$. Then the morphism

$$\phi_* : \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1^\mathbb{Z/k}(S^1) \rightarrow K_1^\mathbb{Z/k}(S^{2n+1})$$

induced by $\psi$ in equivariant $K$-homology is nontrivial.
Proof The map induced in equivariant $K$-homology depends only on the equivariant homotopy class of the map $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$. If $n > 0$, then note that $\phi$ is equivariantly homotopically equivalent to a smooth (necessarily non-surjective) loop, which may be compressed to a loop $z \mapsto (\alpha(z), 0, \ldots, 0)$ seeing only the first coordinate. By the $\mathbb{Z}/k$ Borsuk-Ulam theorem, every $\mathbb{Z}/k$-equivariant loop on $\mathbb{S}^1$ has winding number congruent to 1 mod $k$, so we may as well assume that $\phi$ has the form

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \ni z \mapsto (z^{kd+1}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1},$$

regardless of $n \geq 0$. We then consider cases.

Case I. Let $n = 0$. Given $\phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$, consider the induced self-map of $K_1(\mathbb{L}_k^1)$. Note that since $\mathbb{L}_k^1$ is one-dimensional, its $K_1$ group is isomorphic to $H_1(\mathbb{L}_k^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by [38, Lemma A.4.1] (which applies to CW-complexes of dimension $\leq 2$). Having degree 1 modulo $k$, $\phi$ induces a one-to-one endomorphism on the homology $H_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and hence also a one-to-one endomorphism on the homology $H_1(\mathbb{L}_k^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, which fits into an inclusion

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong H_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \to H_1(\mathbb{L}_k^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

of index $k$. Therefore, the induced map on $K_1(\mathbb{L}_k^1)$ is nontrivial, and by (15) we are done.

Case II. Let $n = 1$. If $\phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^3$, then given (15) it once again suffices to show that the resulting map $\mathbb{L}_k^1 \to \mathbb{L}_k^3$ is nontrivial on $K_1$. The integral homology of $\mathbb{L}_k^3$ reads

$$(H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3) = (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/k, 0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

It follows that the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (see [1, §III.7] or [23, Theorem A])

$$E^2_{p,q} := H_p(\mathbb{L}_k^3, K_q(pt)) \Rightarrow K_{p+q}(\mathbb{L}_k^3)$$

collapses on the third page $E^3$ and produces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(\mathbb{L}_k^3) \to K_1(\mathbb{L}_k^3) \to B \to 0.$$  

Here $B$ is the kernel of the differential

$$d^3 : H_3(\mathbb{L}_k^3) \to H_0(\mathbb{L}_k^3)$$

of the spectral sequence. The torsion of $K_1(\mathbb{L}_k^3)$ is thus naturally identified with $H_1(\mathbb{L}_k^3)$, and the conclusion now follows from the remark that our map $\mathbb{L}_k^1 \to \mathbb{L}_k^3$ is non-trivial on fundamental groups (which are abelian) and hence on $H_1$.

Case III. Let $n \geq 2$, and consider $\phi : z \mapsto (z^{kd+1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We may factor $\phi$ as a map

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \mathbb{S}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^5 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$$

using the usual equatorial embeddings. The above case shows that $\tilde{\phi} : z \mapsto (z^{kd+1}, 0)$ is nontrivial on $K_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}$, and in fact produces a nontrivial image in the torsion group $\text{tor}(K_1^{\mathbb{Z}/k} (\mathbb{S}^3)) \cong \text{tor}(K_1(\mathbb{L}_k^3))$. Applying Lemma 5.7 repeatedly shows that since the inclusions $\mathbb{S}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^5 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$ induce embeddings on the torsion of $K_1^{\mathbb{Z}/k}$, $\phi$ induces a nontrivial map on equivariant $K$-homology as well. \qed
At last, we reach the desired result.

**Theorem 5.9** The strong dimension of the Toeplitz algebra satisfies \( \dim_{LT,s}^{Z/k}(T) = \infty \) for each \( k \geq 2 \).

**Proof** Suppose \( \dim_{LT,s}^{Z/k}(T) = n < \infty \). By Proposition 3.2, there exist commuting normal elements \( a_0, \ldots, a_n \) in the generating eigenspace of \( T \) such that \( \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_i a_i^* = 1 \). This produces a \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant morphism \( C(S^{2n+1}) \to T \), or equivalently a \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant morphism \( \Phi : C(S^{2n+1}) \to C(S^1) \) which lifts through the quotient map \( \pi : T \to C(S^1) \).

From Lemma 5.6 and the preceding discussion, it follows that \( \Phi \) induces a trivial map on \( KK^1_{Z/k}(\cdot, C) \). However, from Theorem 5.8, \( \psi \) induces a nontrivial map on equivariant \( K \)-homology, and from (14) these two groups are identified, so this is a contradiction. \( \blacksquare \)

### 5.2.2 Weak dimension

As far as weak dimensions are concerned, we have.

**Proposition 5.10** The weak dimension of the Toeplitz algebra satisfies \( \dim_{LT,w}^{Z/2}(T) = 1 \).

**Proof** Proposition 3.5 applies to the graph representation of \( T \), where the single set \( Y_1 \) contains both edges. It follows that \( \dim_{LT,w}^{Z/2}(T) \leq 2 \cdot 1 - 1 = 1 \).

On the other hand, \( \pi : T \to C(S^1) \) is \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-equivariant for the antipodal action on \( C(S^1) \), and \( \dim_{LT,w}^{Z/2}(S^1) = 1 \). Hence \( \dim_{LT,w}^{Z/2}(T) \geq 1 \), and the claim follows. \( \blacksquare \)

### 5.3 Cycles

The graph \( C^* \)-algebra of the length \( n \) cycle \( E = C_n \) is isomorphic to \( M_n(C(S^1)) \cong C(S^1) \otimes M_n \).

![Figure 5: Graph representation of \( M_4(C(S^1)) \).](image-url)

Denote by \( v_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) the \( n \) vertices of \( C_n \) and by \( e_i \) the edge originating at \( v_i \). We also write \( S_i \) for the partial isometry \( S_{e_i} \).

With these conventions in place, the tensorand \( C(S^1) \) of \( C^*(E) \cong C(S^1) \otimes M_n \) is generated by the unitary operator \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \).

Fix a positive integer \( k \geq 2 \), and consider the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( A = C^*(E) \). It scales the partial isometries \( S_i \) by \( \omega = \exp(2\pi i/k) \), and it is isomorphic to the tensor product of the following two \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-actions:

- rotation by \( \frac{2\pi i}{k} \) (or multiplication by \( \omega^n \)) on \( C(S^1) \), and
- the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( M_n \), realized as the graph \( C^* \)-algebra of a length \( n - 1 \) path.
Lemma 3.6
Proposition 2.11

Let \( X \) be a compact connected space equipped with a free \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action such that
\[
\dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(X)) = 1.
\]

Let \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) also act by the gauge action of \( M_n \) from its usual graph presentation. Then we have the following possibilities for the diagonal action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) on \( C(X) \otimes M_n \):

- \( k \mid n \), in which case all dimensions are zero.
- \( k \nmid n \), in which case all dimensions are 1.

**Proof**
Suppose \( k \mid n \). Then Lemma 3.6 shows that \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(X) \otimes M_n) \) is at most the minimum of \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(X)) \) and \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(M_n) \). However, the latter is zero when \( k \mid n \) by Corollary 4.6. By Proposition 2.11, all dimensions of \( C(X) \otimes M_n \) are zero.

On the other hand, suppose \( k \nmid n \). Applying Lemma 3.6 to the strong dimension shows that \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(X) \otimes M_n) \) is at most 1. Given (2) and Proposition 2.11, it suffices to show that the action is not of dimension zero.

Suppose that \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(X) \otimes M_n) = 0 \). Then there exists a normal \( \omega = e^{2\pi i/k} \) eigenvector with spectrum in \( \{1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{k-1}\} \) by Proposition 3.2. Moreover, \( C(X) \otimes M_n \) consists of continuous maps
\[
X \ni z \mapsto T_z \in M_n,
\]
and the \( \omega \)-eigenvectors are those satisfying the constraint
\[
\omega T_{\omega^{-1}z} = UT_z U^{-1},
\]
where \( U = \text{diag}(\omega^i)_{i=1}^n \) is the diagonal matrix inducing the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( M_n \) by conjugation. Let \( T \) be such an \( \omega \)-eigenvector with spectrum in the roots of unity.

The continuity of \( z \mapsto T_z \) and the connectedness of \( X \) imply that all \( T_z \) have the same multiplicity for all \( \omega^i \), \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 1 \). Furthermore, (19) implies that the \( \omega^i \)-multiplicity of \( T_z \) equals the \( \omega^{i+1} \)-multiplicity of \( T_{\omega z} \), and hence all \( \omega^i \) have equal multiplicity in the spectrum of \( T_z \in M_n \). This contradicts the assumption that \( k \) does not divide \( n \).

**Corollary 5.12**
For the gauge action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) on the graph algebra \( A = C^*({C_n}) \) we have the following possibilities:

- \( k \mid n \), in which case all dimensions are zero.
- \( k \nmid n \), in which case all dimensions are 1.

**Proof**
Apply Proposition 5.11 with \( X = S^1 \). Note that the \( 2\pi i/k \) rotation on \( S^1 \) certainly satisfies \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1)) > 0 \) by the Intermediate Value Theorem. However, there exists an equivariant morphism \( C(E_1 \mathbb{Z}/k) \to C(S^1) \) dual to a continuous map \( S^1 \to E_1 \mathbb{Z}/k \), so \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1)) \leq 1 \). Therefore, the assumption \( \dim_{\LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(C(S^1)) = 1 \) is satisfied.

For prime-order cyclic groups, Proposition 5.11 admits the following variant, removing the connectedness assumption on \( X \).

**Proposition 5.13**
If \( k \) is prime, we may apply Proposition 5.11 to \( X \) which are not connected.
Proof The case when \( k \mid n \) proceeds exactly as in Proposition 5.11, so suppose \( k \nmid n \). Set \( A = C(X) \otimes M_n \), which again consists of continuous maps

\[ X \ni z \mapsto T_z \in M_n. \]

Suppose for contradiction that \( A \) has dimension zero, so there exists a unitary \( T \in A \) satisfying \( \omega T_{z_{-1}} = UT_z U^{-1} \), with \( \sigma(T) \subseteq \{1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{k-1}\} \). Let \( \psi \) denote the function which sends \( z \in X \) to the spectrum of \( T_z \), regarded as an \( n \)-multiset of points in \( S^1 \). Equip the collection of \( n \)-multisets of \( S^1 \) with the action of \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) which simultaneously scales each element. It follows that \( \psi \) is then \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant.

Because \( k \) does not divide \( n \), \( \psi(z) \) cannot contain all \( k^{th} \) roots of unity with equal multiplicities. It follows from the primality of \( k \) that \( \mathbb{Z}/k \) acts freely on the range of \( \psi \), so we have a continuous \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-equivariant map from \( X \) to a discrete free \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-space (consisting of unordered \( n \)-tuples of \( k^{th} \)-order roots of unity). This implies that the dimension of the \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action on \( X \) is zero, contradicting the hypothesis that it is 1.

The primality of \( k \) and connectedness of \( X \) cannot be dropped simultaneously. The following example illustrates this.

**Example 5.14** Let

\[ X = X_l \sqcup X_r, \quad X_l = X_r = S^1 \]

and let \( \mathbb{Z}/4 \) act on \( X \) as follows. The generator \( \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/4 \) interchanges the two copies of the circle such that \( \sigma^2 \) acts as the antipodal map on each \( S^1 \).

Now take \( n = 2 \) (so \( n \) is certainly not divisible by \( k = 4 \)) and define the continuous field \( T_z \) of unitary \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices for \( z \) ranging over one copy \( X_l \) of \( S^1 \) and satisfying (19) with \( \omega = -1 \) for \( z \in X_l \). We can then extend \( T_z \) uniquely to all \( z \in X \), so as to satisfy condition (19) for \( \omega = i \). ♦

### 5.4 Antipodal actions on quantum spheres

Consider the **Vaksman–Soibelman quantum spheres** \( C(S^{2n-1}_q) \) of [37], which are generated by \( z_1, \ldots, z_n \) subject to the following relations for \( q \in (0,1) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_j z_i &= q z_i z_j, \quad i < j \\
  z_i^* z_i &= q z_i z_i^*, \quad i \neq j \\
  z_i^* z_i &= z_i z_i^* + (1 - q^2) \sum_{j > i} z_j z_j^*, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \\
  z_1 z_1^* + \ldots + z_n z_n^* &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

In [22], Hong and Szymański showed that the Vaksman–Soibelman quantum spheres may be realized as graph \( C^* \)-algebras. Further, they defined \( C(S^{2n}_q) \) (which is the quotient of \( C(S^{2n+1}_q) \) that requires the final generator \( z_{n+1} \) to be self-adjoint) and gave a graph presentation for these even-dimensional quantum spheres as well. The graphs for even versus odd dimension are quite different, so we will need to treat them separately.

The quantum spheres \( C(S^k_q) \) admit an **antipodal action** which negates each generator \( z_j \) in the above presentation. Yamashita proved in [40, Corollary 15] that this action satisfies a Borsuk-Ulam theorem using equivariant \( KK \) theory: there is no \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-equivariant morphism from \( C(S^k_q) \) to \( C(S^l_q) \) for \( k < l \). In this subsection, we obtain bounds on the dimensions of quantum spheres.
using their presentations as graph $C^*$-algebras from [22]. Note that the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem is equivalent to the claim that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (C(S^k)) = k$, and computation of the dimension for noncommutative spheres is posed as a problem (in different language) as early as [35, Question 3].

If $n$ is fixed, the odd quantum spheres $C(S^{2n-1}_q)$ are all isomorphic to the graph $C^*$-algebra of a graph having $n$ vertices $v_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and an edge $e_{ij}$ between $v_i$ and $v_j$ for all $i \leq j$. The antipodal action of $C(S^{2n-1}_q)$ then corresponds to the gauge $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action for this graph, regardless of the choice of $q \in (0, 1)$.

![Figure 6: Graph representation of $C(S^5_q)$.

**Proposition 5.15** Consider the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $C(S^{2n-1}_q)$. Then we have that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (C(S^{2n-1}_q)) \leq 2n - 1.$$  

**Proof** The adjacency matrix $A_E$ of the graph of the Vaksman–Soibelman quantum odd sphere is upper triangular with 1 on and above the diagonal, and in particular there are no sinks. Since $(1 \ 1 \ \ldots \ 1) \in \text{Row}_+(I + A_E)$ from the geometric sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{-i} \text{Row}_i$, it follows from Corollary 3.4 that $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2} (C(S^{2n-1}_q)) \leq 2n - 1$. ■

Next, consider the even-dimensional quantum spheres $C(S^{2n}_q)$. The presentation from [22] is as follows: there are vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, w_1, w_2$, edges $e_{ij}$ between $v_i$ and $v_j$ for $i \leq j$, and edges $f_{ij}$ between $v_i$ and $w_j$ for all $i$ and $j$.

![Figure 7: Graph representation of $C(S^6_q)$.

For brevity, we will write $P^i := P_{v_i}$, $P_i := P_{w_i}$, $S^{ij} := S_{e_{ij}}$, $S^i_j := S_{f_{ij}}$. In this case, the antipodal action on $C(S^{2n}_q)$ does not correspond to the gauge action, but to the following map:

$$P^i \mapsto P^i, \quad P_k \mapsto P_{3-k}, \quad S^{ij} \mapsto -S^{ij}, \quad S^i_j \mapsto -S^i_{3-j},$$

where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Although we are no longer considering the gauge action, we may apply a similar strategy as for Theorem 3.3 to obtain a bound for the plain dimension.
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Proposition 5.16 Consider the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $C(S^2_{q^n})$. Then we have that
\[
\dim_{LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^2_{q^n})) \leq 2n.
\]

Proof Take the elements
\[
z := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}(P_1 - P_2), \quad t_j := \sum_{k=j}^{n} S_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^{2} S^j_l, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\},
\]
and consider the rescaled real and imaginary parts of the $t_j$:
\[
y_{2j-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{j+1}}} \left( \sum_{k=j}^{n} (S^*_{jk} + S_{jk}) + \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( (S^j_l)^* + S^j_l \right) \right),
\]
\[
y_{2j} = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2^{j+1}}} \left( \sum_{k=j}^{n} (S^*_{jk} - S_{jk}) + \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( (S^j_l)^* - S^j_l \right) \right).
\]
The elements $z$ and $y_k$, $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ are odd and self-adjoint. By an analogous calculation as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, they satisfy $z^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} y_k^2 = 1$, and hence $\dim_{LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^2_{q^n})) \leq 2n$. ■

It is possible that the inequalities given above are equalities, which would produce an alternative proof of [40, Corollary 15] using the local-triviality dimension. Note that the following result shows that the weak local-triviality dimension is insufficient for this purpose.

Proposition 5.17 Consider the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $C(S^k_{q^1})$. Then $\dim_{LT,w}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^k_{q^1})) = 1$.

Proof Any $C(S^k_{q^1})$ admits $C(S^1)$ as an equivariant commutative quotient through the map which annihilates $z_i$, $i \geq 2$. Since $\dim_{LT,w}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^1)) = 1$ by the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, it follows that $\dim_{LT,w}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^k_{q^1})) \geq 1$. For the reverse inequality, it suffices to consider only the odd spheres, as the even ones can be obtained as quotients.

Consider $C(S^{2n-1}_{q^n})$ with the graph presentation from above, so that the antipodal action corresponds to the gauge $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action. Then the loops at each vertex certainly have distinct ranges, and the single set $Y_1$ containing these loops satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5 since $r^{-1}(v_i) \cap Y_1 \neq \emptyset$ for each vertex $v_i$. It follows that $\dim_{LT,w}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^{2n-1}_{q^n})) \leq 2 \cdot 1 - 1 = 1$. ■

Proposition 5.17 shows that the weak dimension of quantum spheres follows a somewhat similar pattern to that of the $\theta$-deformed spheres, as seen in [30, Theorem 2.10]. However, note that the $\theta$-deformed spheres are also poorly behaved with respect to plain dimension, in that it is possible for $\dim_{LT}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(S^k_{q^1}))$ to equal 1 even if $k$ is large. This occurs, for example, if the generators pairwise anticommute [12, Proposition 3.21]. Nevertheless, the $\theta$-deformed spheres also satisfy a Borsuk-Ulam theorem (see [30, Corollary 3.2] and [28, Theorem 1.8]), which can be established using $K$-theory.
6 Fixed-point subalgebras

This section is devoted to studying the fixed-point subalgebra \( C^*((E)^{\mathbb{Z}/k}) \) for a graph \( E \) under the gauge \( \mathbb{Z}/k \)-action. Before specializing to graphs, we will need some general remarks on fixed points of actions by compact quantum groups on \( C^* \)-algebras.

Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra equipped with a coaction

\[
\rho : A \to A \otimes C(G)
\]

for a compact quantum group \( G \). We follow the notation of \cite{9}: \( \mathcal{O}(G) \) denotes the Peter-Weyl subalgebra of \( C(G) \), which is the unique dense Hopf \( * \)-subalgebra of the Hopf \( C^* \)-algebra \( C^*(G) \), and

\[
\mathcal{P}_G(A) := \{ a \in A \mid \rho(a) \in A \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \}
\]

denotes the Peter-Weyl subalgebra of \( A \). Note that \( \mathcal{P}_G(A) \) is a dense \( * \)-subalgebra of \( A \), and \( \otimes \) is just the ordinary algebraic tensor product.

For an irreducible \( G \)-representation \( V \), we write \( A^V \) for the subspace

\[
(A \otimes V^*)^{\mathcal{O}(G)} = (\mathcal{P}_G(A) \otimes V^*)^{\mathcal{O}(G)},
\]

where \( V^* \) is the dual of \( V \) and the superscript indicates elements fixed by the \( \mathcal{O}(G) \)-coaction. This is the sum of all \( \mathcal{O}(G) \)-comodules of \( \mathcal{P}_G(A) \) that are isomorphic to \( V \), or rather, the \( V \)-isotypic component of \( \mathcal{P}_G(A) \). We use the same notation (superscript \( V \)) for the \( V \)-isotypic component of any \( \mathcal{O}(G) \)-comodule.

**Proposition 6.1** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra equipped with a \( G \)-action as above and let \( B \subset \mathcal{P}_G(A) \) be a dense \( * \)-subalgebra preserved by the \( \mathcal{O}(G) \)-coaction. Then for every irreducible \( G \)-representation \( V \), the isotypic component \( A^V \) is the closure of \( B^V \).

**Proof** We have a continuous expectation

\[
E_{A,V} : A \otimes V^* \to A^V
\]

that splits the inclusion of the latter space into the former, defined by the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes V^* & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A \otimes V^*} \otimes h} & A \otimes V^* \otimes C(G) \\
& & \xrightarrow{E_{A,V}} A^V \\
\end{array}
\]

Here \( h : C(G) \to \mathbb{C} \) is the Haar state and the left hand arrow is the tensor product coaction.

There is an analogous picture with \( B \) in place of \( A \), yielding an expectation

\[
E_{B,V} : B \otimes V^* \to B^V,
\]

and the density of \( B \subseteq A \) shows that the image \( B^V \) of \( E_{B,V} \) is dense in the image \( A^V \) of \( E_{A,V} \).

When the above is applied to the gauge action on a graph \( C^* \)-algebra \( A = C^*(E) \), the role of \( B \) is played by the Leavitt path algebra \( L(E) \), which is indeed dense in \( A \) and preserved by the gauge action. In that context, we obtain the following immediate consequence of Proposition 6.1 for trivial \( V \).
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Corollary 6.2 For any graph $E$ and integer $k \geq 2$, the fixed-point subalgebra algebra $C^*(E)^{\mathbb{Z}/k}$ is the closed span of the elements $S_\mu S^*_\nu$ for $\mu$ and $\nu$ ranging over the pairs of co-terminal paths in $E$ satisfying $|\mu| \equiv |\nu| \mod k$. Similarly, $C^*(E)^{S^1}$ is the closed span of such elements with $|\mu| = |\nu|$.

We will also need the following result, ensuring the faithfulness of certain morphisms.

Lemma 6.3 [11, Lemma 2.2] Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra acted upon by a compact group $G$, and let $f : A \to B$ be a $C^*$-morphism. If

- $f$ is faithful on the fixed-point algebra $A^G$, and
- $\|f(\int_G ga \, dg)\| \leq \|f(a)\|$ for all $a \in A$, 

then $f$ is faithful.

As a consequence, we obtain the following cognate (and slight generalization) of the gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem [4, Theorem 2.1], stating that any morphism with source $C^*(E)$ into a $C^*$-algebra acted upon by $S^1$ which

- is gauge-invariant and
- does not annihilate any vertex projections

is automatically one-to-one. We alter the result to allow more general circle actions on graph algebras.

Corollary 6.4 Let $E$ be a row-finite graph, and let $S^1$ act on the graph $C^*$-algebra $C^*(E)$ by the $k$th power of the gauge action for some positive integer $k$. For any $S^1$-$C^*$-algebra $B$, any $S^1$-equivariant morphism $C^*(E) \to B$ that does not annihilate any vertex projections is faithful.

Proof The proof is virtually the same as that of the aforementioned [4, Theorem 2.1], appealing to Lemma 6.3.

The $S^1$-fixed-point subalgebra of $C^*(E)$ coincides with that of the usual gauge action because $S^1$ is divisible (i.e. $z \mapsto z^k$ is onto for every positive integer $k$). The faithfulness of

$$C^*(E)^{S^1} \to A$$

is then argued in the course of the proof of [4, Theorem 2.1], while the inequality condition required by Lemma 6.3 then follows by averaging, once more as in the proof of [4, Theorem 2.1].

We now reach the main result of this section.

Proposition 6.5 Let $E$ be a row-finite graph with no sinks, and denote by $E_k$, $k \geq 1$, the graph whose vertices are the same as those of $E$ and whose edges are the length-$k$ directed paths of $E$. Under these conditions, we have an isomorphism $C^*(E)^{\mathbb{Z}/k} \cong C^*(E_k)$.

Proof Since all paths in $E$ whose length is divisible by $k$ are fixed by the $\mathbb{Z}/k$-action, the universality of the graph algebra $C^*(E_k)$ ensures the existence of a canonical morphism

$$\text{can} : C^*(E_k) \to C^*(E)^{\mathbb{Z}/k},$$

which we have to argue is both onto and faithful. We address the two points separately.
can is onto.

According to Corollary 6.2, it suffices to show that for every pair of co-terminal paths \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) whose lengths are congruent modulo \( k \), the element \( S_\mu S_\nu^* \) is in the span of those \( S_\alpha S_\beta^* \) for co-terminal \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) with \( |\alpha| \) and \( |\beta| \) both divisible by \( k \). Since the common range \( r = r(\mu) = r(\nu) \) is not a sink, it emits paths \( \mu' \) of any length \( d \). In particular, we can choose \( d \) so that \( k \) divides \( d + |\mu| \), and we can write

\[
S_\mu S_\nu^* = \sum_{\mu'} S_{\mu\mu'} S_{\nu\mu'}^*,
\]

where \( \mu' \) ranges over the length-\( d \) paths emanating from \( r \). Since \( |\mu\mu'| \) and \( |\nu\mu'| \) are divisible by \( k \), this finishes the proof.

can is injective.

This will be an application of Corollary 6.4. The morphism, can, clearly does not annihilate any vertex projections (in fact, it acts as the identity on the set of vertex projections). Further, can is equivariant with respect to

- the \( k^{th} \) power of the gauge action on \( C^*(E_k) \), and
- the restriction of the (usual) gauge action to \( C^*(E)\mathbb{Z}/k \subset C^*(E) \).

The corollary thus applies, finishing the proof. \( \blacksquare \)

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the project Quantum Dynamics supported by EU-grant RISE 691246 and Polish Government grant 317281. A.C. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1801011. M.T. was partially supported by the project Diamentowy Grant No. DI2015 006945 financed by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

References


2010.


[12] Alexandru Chirvasitu and Benjamin Passer. Invariants in noncommutative dynamics. To

[13] Lisa Orloff Clark, Roozbeh Hazrat, and Simon W. Rigby. Strongly graded groupoids and

[14] Lisa Orloff Clark, Roozbeh Hazrat, and Simon W. Rigby. Strongly graded groupoids and

185, 1977.

[16] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Tom Hadfield, and Piotr M. Hajac. Equivariant join and fusion of non-
commutative algebras. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 11:Paper 082,
7, 2015.

[17] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Piotr M. Hajac, and Sergey Neshveyev. Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-


[22] Jeong Hee Hong and Wojciech Szymański. Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph

[23] Jerome Kaminker and Claude Schochet. K-theory and Steenrod homology: applications to the
1977.


Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: achirvas@buffalo.edu

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1 Canada
E-mail address: bpasser@uwaterloo.ca

Instytut Matematyczny, Polska Akademia Nauk, ul. Śniadeckich 8, Warszawa, 00-656 Poland
E-mail address: mtobolski@impan.pl